NEPOOL
NEW ENGLAND POWER POOL

November 17, 2026

To: **Participants Committee Members and Alternates**
Re: **Noticed Actions of the NEPOOL Participants Committee**

This notice summarizes the actions taken by the Participants Committee at its November 12, 2026 meeting. The official record of, and details concerning, these actions will be reflected in the minutes for this meeting that will be reviewed and approved by the Committee.

Preliminary Minutes of October 2, 2026 Meeting (Agenda #1)

The preliminary minutes of the October 2, 2026 meeting, as circulated in advance of the meeting and with additional non-material clarifications, were unanimously approved, with 1 abstention recorded.

Consent Agenda (Agenda #2)

The Consent Agenda was approved, with 1 opposition and 6 abstentions recorded.

SATOA Conforming Changes to Tariff Section IV.A, Schedule 2 (Agenda #2A)

The Committee considered and approved unanimously the following motion, with 1 abstention recorded:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the updates to Section IV.A, Schedule 2 of the Tariff to exclude the operation of Storage as Transmission-Only Assets from the calculation of Energy Transaction Units, as reflected in the materials circulated to this Committee in advance of this meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair of the Budget & Finance Subcommittee.

Large Load Revisions (Agenda #5)

5.a TC-Recommended Changes (Agenda #5.a)

Main Motion (all TC-Recommended Changes). The Committee began with the following motion:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Large Load Revisions in response to the FERC's Order in Docket No. EL26-72, as proposed by ISO-NE and the PTOs and as recommended by the ***Transmission Committee***, and as reflected in the materials distributed to the Participants Committee in advance of this meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Transmission Committee.

- **MA AGO Motion to Divide the Question**. By a show of hands, the Committee approved a motion by the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office ("MA AGO") to divide the question so that the PTOs' proposed Large Load Cost Recovery Agreement ("Attachment V") would be considered and voted on separately from the remainder of the TC-recommended changes.

- **Vote on the TC-Recommended Changes excluding Attachment V**. The Committee then considered and ***unanimously approved***, by a show of hands, the motion to support the TC-recommended Large Load Revisions (excluding Attachment V), with 5 abstentions recorded.

- **Vote on PTO-proposed Large Load Cost Recovery Agreement (Attachment V)**. The Committee then considered but ***failed to approve*** the motion to support Attachment V, ***with a 63.39% Vote in favor***[1] (Generation Sector – 0.00%; Transmission Sector – 16.67%; Supplier Sector – 9.52%; AR Sector – 11.11%; Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 16.67%; End User Sector – 9.42%; and Provisional Members – 0.00%).

[1] Passage of the motion to support Attachment V required a Vote equal to or greater than 66.67%.

5.b RC-Recommended Changes (Agenda #5.b)

The Committee considered and, by a show of hands, ***approved*** the following motion, with 5 oppositions and 8 abstentions recorded:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Large Load Revisions in response to the FERC's Order in Docket No. EL26-72, as proposed by ISO-NE and the PTOs and as recommended by the ***Reliability Committee***, and as reflected in the materials distributed to the Participants Committee in advance of this meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Reliability Committee.

5.c MC-Recommended Changes (Agenda #5.c)

The Committee considered and ***approved*** the following motion:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Large Load Revisions in response to the FERC's Order in Docket No. EL26-72, as proposed by ISO-NE and the PTOs and as recommended by the ***Markets Committee***, and as reflected in the materials distributed to the Participants Committee in advance of this meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Markets Committee.

The motion to support the MC-Recommended Changes ***passed with a 68.92% Vote in favor***[2] (Generation Sector – 0.00%; Transmission Sector – 16.67%; Supplier Sector – 8.33%; AR Sector – 12.96%; Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 16.67%; End User Sector – 14.29%; and Provisional Members – 0.00%).

[2] Passage of the motion to support the MC-Recommended Changes required a Vote equal to or greater than 60%.