

FINAL AGENDA

Discussion on Item 1 will be held in executive session, during which participation will be limited to voting NEPOOL Members and Alternates, or their designates only.

1. To consider a slate of candidates for election to the ISO Board, as recommended by the Joint Nominating Committee. Preliminary information was circulated to Members and Alternates under separate, confidential cover. Background materials and a draft resolution are included with this supplemental notice and posted with the meeting materials. Per direction of the Participants Committee and consistent with past practice, voting on the slate of ISO Board candidates will be conducted by confidential, written ballot (to be submitted electronically or in paper form at the meeting before the general session begins).

The remainder of the meeting will be in general session, which is expected to begin at 10:30 a.m.:

2. To approve the draft minutes of the April 9, 2026 Participants Committee meeting. Please provide us with any comments on those minutes no later than **noon on Tuesday, May 5, 2026.**
3. To adopt and approve the actions recommended by the Technical Committees set forth on the Consent Agenda included with this supplemental notice and posted with the meeting materials.
4. [There having been no ISO Board or Board Committee meetings since the April 9 Participants Committee meeting, there will be no summaries circulated or posted for this meeting.]
5. To receive a Systems and Market Operations Report. The May Systems and Market Operations Report, reflecting April data, will be circulated and posted in advance of the meeting.
6. To receive a report on current contested matters before the FERC and the Federal Courts. The litigation report will be circulated and posted in advance of the meeting.

Protocols. The NEPOOL general business portions and plenary sessions of the meeting will be recorded, as are all the NEPOOL Participants Committee meetings. NEPOOL meetings, while not public, are open to all NEPOOL Participants, their authorized representatives and, except as otherwise limited for discussions in executive session, consumer advocates that are not members, federal and state officials and guests whose attendance has been cleared with the Committee Chair. All those participating in this meeting must identify themselves and their affiliation at the meeting. Official records and minutes of meetings are posted publicly. No statements made in NEPOOL meetings are to be quoted or published publicly.

7. To receive reports from Committees, Subcommittees and other working groups:
 - Markets Committee
 - Reliability Committee
 - Transmission Committee
 - Budget & Finance Subcommittee
 - Membership Subcommittee
8. Administrative matters.
9. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

*A NEPOOL Priorities Forum
will follow the conclusion of this meeting.*

Protocols. The NEPOOL general business portions and plenary sessions of the meeting will be recorded, as are all the NEPOOL Participants Committee meetings. NEPOOL meetings, while not public, are open to all NEPOOL Participants, their authorized representatives and, except as otherwise limited for discussions in executive session, consumer advocates that are not members, federal and state officials and guests whose attendance has been cleared with the Committee Chair. All those participating in this meeting must identify themselves and their affiliation at the meeting. Official records and minutes of meetings are posted publicly. No statements made in NEPOOL meetings are to be quoted or published publicly.

MEMORANDUM

TO: NEPOOL Participants Committee Members and Alternates

FROM: Sebastian Lombardi and Pat Gerity, NEPOOL Counsel and Balloting Agent

DATE: April 23, 2026

RE: Vote on Recommended Slate of Candidates for ISO New England Board of Directors

Participants will be asked at the May 7, 2026 Participants Committee (NPC) meeting to consider endorsing a three-person slate of candidates for the ISO Board recommended by the Joint Nominating Committee (JNC). A NEPOOL endorsement of the slate requires a 70% Vote of the NPC. If NEPOOL endorses the slate, it will then be presented to the ISO Board for final vote.

The process for selecting ISO Board members is set forth in the Participants Agreement. Under that Agreement, the JNC is convened to recommend a slate of candidates for NEPOOL's endorsement. The JNC is comprised of seven incumbent ISO Board members, the NPC Chair and Vice-Chairs (or their designees), and a representative of the New England Conference of Public Utilities Commissioners. ISO Board member, Mr. Brook Colangelo, chaired this year's JNC. Additional, separate materials to be circulated to Members and Alternates under confidential cover will include additional relevant information, including the candidates' backgrounds.

Discussion on this matter at the May 7 meeting will be held in executive session, during which only representatives of NEPOOL Participants are to be participating. Each Participant's vote will be registered confidentially by written ballot, rather than through a roll call. You can vote on or before the end of that executive session by returning a completed ballot to us immediately in-person following the end of the executive session discussion on this matter or electronically, either by completing the form of e-mail ballot either "in favor" or "not in favor" and e-mailing by reply e-mail to pmgerity@daypitney.com, or by completing the Word version of the form of ballot and e-mailing it to pmgerity@daypitney.com. For a completed ballot to be counted, we must receive it before or immediately following the end of the executive session on this matter or 10:30 a.m. on May 7, whichever is later. If more than one completed ballot is received from a Participant, we will count only the last ballot received.

Before the conclusion of the May 7 meeting, NEPOOL Counsel will announce the outcome of the vote either as "passed" or "failed", based on completed ballots received. If the Participants Committee endorses the slate of candidates, the slate will be presented to the ISO Board for a final vote (we expect at its May meeting), and the results of that vote announced publicly by the ISO thereafter.

The following form of resolution for NPC action on this matter is contained in the ballots to be used:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee endorses the slate of candidates for the ISO Board that has been recommended by the Joint Nominating Committee and presented to the Participants Committee in executive session at this meeting.

May 7, 2026

**FORM BALLOT ON
PROPOSED SLATE OF
ISO BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Instructions

Each Participant is entitled to cast a confidential ballot either “in favor” or “not in favor” of the resolution to endorse the slate of candidates recommended by the Joint Nominating Committee, as identified in the confidential materials for the May 7, 2026 NEPOOL Participants Committee Meeting circulated to Committee voting members and alternates.

Ballots may be returned by e-mail to pmgerity@daypitney.com no later than 10:30 a.m., Thursday, May 7, 2026.





Ballot

The undersigned Participant through its duly authorized representative hereby votes as shown below for the election of the slate of candidates for the ISO Board of Directors as provided in the resolution as follows:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee endorses the slate of candidates for the ISO Board that has been recommended by the Joint Nominating Committee and presented to the Participants Committee in executive session at this meeting.

In favor Not in favor

Participant Information

Participant Name: 
Sector: 
By (Name): 
Its* 

* Voting Member / Alternate / Proxy / Duly Authorized Officer

Please indicate one (by using drop down field if completing electronically or by circling if completing a paper copy)

PRELIMINARY

Pursuant to notice duly given, a meeting of the NEPOOL Participants Committee was held beginning at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, April 9, 2026, at The Equinox, Manchester Village Vermont. A quorum, determined in accordance with the Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement, was present and acting throughout the meeting. Attachment 1 identifies the members, alternates, and temporary alternates who participated in the meeting.

Ms. Sarah Bresolin, Chair, presided, and Mr. Sebastian Lombardi, Secretary, recorded. Ms. Bresolin welcomed the members, alternates and guests who were present.

APPROVAL OF MARCH 5, 2026 MEETING MINUTES

Ms. Bresolin referred the Committee to the preliminary minutes of the March 5, 2026 meeting, as circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the preliminary minutes of that meeting were unanimously approved as circulated, with an abstention by Mr. Jon Lamson noted.

CONSENT AGENDA

Ms. Bresolin then referred the Committee to the Consent Agenda that was circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the Consent Agenda was unanimously approved, with an abstention by Mr. Lamson noted.

ISO BOARD AND BOARD COMMITTEE MEETING SUMMARIES

Ms. Bresolin referred the Committee to the summaries of the ISO Board and Board Committee meetings that had taken place since the March 5 Participants Committee meeting, which had been circulated and posted with the materials for the meeting. There were no questions or comments on those summaries.

ISO SYSTEMS & MARKET OPERATIONS REPORT

Mr. Stephen George, ISO Vice President of System & Market Operations and Capital Projects, referred the Committee to the March System & Market Operations Report (Report), which had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting.

Monthly Operations Highlights

Mr. George began by reporting that March had been approximately 3 degrees warmer than normal, with some days as much as 20 degrees above average. Noting that data in the Report was through March 31, unless otherwise noted, he reviewed Report highlights, which included: (i) that the Peak Hour for March 2026, with 17,861 MW of Revenue Quality Metered Data, occurred on March 3 during the hour ending at 7:00 p.m.; (ii) March averages for Day-Ahead Hub Locational Marginal Price (LMP) (\$46.98/MWh), Real-Time Hub LMP (\$47.61/MWh), and natural gas prices (\$3.71/MMBtu); (iii) Energy Market value for March 2026 was \$533 million, up from \$526 million in March 2025 and down from the updated February 2026 Energy Market value of \$1.5 billion; (iv) Ancillary Markets value (\$9.6 million) was up from \$7.1 million in March 2025; (v) average Day-Ahead cleared physical energy during the peak hours as a percentage of forecasted load was 99.7% during March, up slightly from 99.6% during February; (vi) Net Commitment Period Compensation payments for March totaled \$2.4 million; and (vii) a Forward Capacity Market value of \$88.9 million.

Mr. George reported that Day-Ahead Ancillary Services (DA A/S) costs had declined significantly from the winter months and were lower than in March 2025. He noted that average hourly cleared Energy Imbalance Reserve quantities and average Forecast Energy Requirement (FER) prices were the lowest since DA A/S implementation. He attributed that outcome in part

to increased imports, which averaged roughly 800 MW per hour higher in March 2026 than in March 2025.

Load Forecasting, PV Output, and Negative LMPs

Mr. George then addressed questions regarding load forecasting and negative LMPs observed on certain March days. He explained that the ISO generally forecasts daily peaks well, with an average error of approximately 1.5%. On days with significant photovoltaic (PV) output, however, forecasted and actual load can diverge materially. Referring to a slide in the presentation, he pointed to March 1, 11, 19, and 25, when deviations in some hours exceeded 2,000 MW. He also noted the effect of forecast errors on hourly LMPs, particularly on March 1, 19, and 25.

Mr. George stated that PV growth in the region has outpaced the ISO's ability to forecast it as accurately as it would like. He explained that the ISO continues to work with three behind-the-meter PV forecast vendors and is developing probabilistic forecasting measures to better account for the range of load outcomes it may see. He noted that this challenge is not unique to New England, citing similar forecasting difficulties in other regions, including CAISO and Australia, where PV penetration is significant. He added that, as the region moves further into the spring shoulder months, the ISO expects continued low-load conditions and forecast errors of this kind, though it is actively refining its practices. Some negative pricing intervals, he noted, were also associated with binding constraints on the Maine-New Hampshire interface driven by load and generation patterns.

Transmission Outages

Turning to transmission outages of note, Mr. George remarked that the region was entering the usual spring outage season and that significant outages would continue through

April and May. He highlighted the ongoing NNC outage, expected to remain in effect through May 15; the scheduled outage on the New England Clean Energy Connect (NECEC) facility from April 7 through April 17; the Highgate converter outage from April 22 through May 9; and the Cross Sound Cable outage from May 3 through May 15. A member provided additional context on the Highgate outage, noting that a failure had required a spare converter to be placed in service and that the facility would be operating without a spare for a while.

In response to a question regarding the amount of behind-the-meter solar currently on the system, Mr. George explained that the region presently has approximately 8.5 GW of installed behind-the-meter PV nameplate capacity and approximately 1 GW of front-of-meter utility-scale PV. He added that behind-the-meter PV continues to grow at roughly 700 to 1,000 MW per year and appears to remain on that trajectory.

Responding to a question about binding constraints on the Maine-New Hampshire interface and whether planned long-term transmission upgrades may alleviate those conditions, Mr. George stated that it was fair to expect improvement over time, though likely not for many years. He explained that some of the congestion had become more evident with NECEC in service and depends in part on the pattern of generation commitment in Maine and imports from New Brunswick. He added that further transmission upgrades on that corridor should help once in place.

A member then asked whether improving the forecast would reduce negative prices by better aligning day-ahead procurement with expected real-time conditions. Mr. George responded that improved forecasting should better inform the Day-Ahead Energy Market and make day-ahead costs more reflective of expected real-time conditions, thereby reducing

deviations between day-ahead and real-time outcomes, though not necessarily for the purpose of eliminating negative prices.

A member requested that future System & Market Operations Reports include forecast accuracy information for front-of-meter wind and solar, particularly given the ISO's proposal to adjust the Forecast Energy Requirement treatment of those assets. Mr. George responded that the ISO would look into how best to incorporate the requested information in future reports.

Concerning a question about daily load forecast deviations, Mr. George explained that the most difficult days are those when the ISO expects little sun and substantial sun materializes, or when it expects strong sun and cloud cover prevents that output from appearing. He noted that, in New England, a large amount of PV is concentrated in certain areas, making the system particularly sensitive to cloud cover, which is difficult to forecast.

ISO 2026 ANNUAL WORK PLAN UPDATE

Dr. Vamsi Chadalavada, ISO President and Chief Executive Officer, referred the Committee to the update on ISO-NE's 2026 Annual Work Plan, which had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. He explained that the presentation reflected updates to the plan presented and discussed in October 2025 and noted that the ISO remains focused on the key initiatives identified there.

Turning first to the anchor projects, Dr. Chadalavada emphasized that the Capacity Auction Reforms (CAR) initiative remained a central focus for the ISO and continued at a very intense pace. He noted the considerable volume of work undertaken by both the ISO and stakeholders and said he expected that pace to continue through the remainder of the year, with the second phase of CAR remaining on track for a FERC filing by the end of 2026. He stressed that the ISO continues to view CAR, the wholesale markets, and resource adequacy through

market mechanisms as central to how the system should function in New England and stated that the ISO remained committed to that purpose. He reported that the New England States' first competitive solicitation for a Longer-Term Transmission Planning solution and the work to advance the Asset Condition Reviewer role were also on track, though he noted that the latter initiative was still getting off the ground and anticipated work next year would move into somewhat uncharted territory. He also reported that dynamic operating reserves remains an important initiative, though its timing may become less certain because the ISO has added various projects to its work plan.

Dr. Chadalavada then highlighted several other initiatives that were on track or had emerged since initial publication of the 2026 work plan. He reported that the ISO was continuing to evaluate Surplus Interconnection Service rules and expected to complete and share a gap analysis soon. He also stated that FERC Order No. 2023 implementation remained on target and that the DA A/S annual assessment was likewise on schedule. With respect to the formalized PEAT/REST process, he explained that the ISO would undertake five-year and ten-year assessments as part of the first run of that longer-term work and expressed the hope that those studies would provide useful information for stakeholders.

Finally, Dr. Chadalavada addressed four items that have been added to the work plan this year: revisions to the Pay-for-Performance (PfP) Balancing Ratio; revisions to the PfP Performance Penalty Rate; targeted revisions concerning the treatment of external transactions; and DA A/S post-implementation adjustments. He described these as targeted, surgical, and necessary items that the ISO hoped would remain on course and said they would also provide an opportunity to test the ISO's ability to move on needed changes in a practical and effective manner.

A member noted that the Commission's recent order on the first phase of Capacity Auction Reforms-Prompt/Deactivation (CAR-PD) was a positive development and reflected on the ISO's willingness to account for stakeholder concerns in that filing. Expressing concern, however, that the second phase of Capacity Auction Reforms-Seasonal/Accreditation (CAR-SA) would be more challenging, the member representative asked how flexible the ISO intended to be in response to stakeholder concerns and whether the ISO saw an increasing risk of delay in the CAR-SA schedule if broad consensus does not emerge.

In response, Dr. Chadalavada first provided context, noting that resource adequacy constructs are under significant pressure across the country and that wholesale market structures are increasingly being questioned. He stated that New England can be proud of its thoughtful, careful, and largely consensus-driven stakeholder process, which, in his view, has helped preserve regional stability. He cautioned that if that stability begins to erode, the region could face much more uncertain future, and said that the ISO, stakeholders, and states should do all we can to work collaboratively over the coming months. Addressing flexibility directly, he stated that the ISO would continue to listen to stakeholder concerns and, to the extent it can do so while preserving the central purpose of the design, would be flexible in responding to proposed enhancements. He explained that key objectives of the CAR project are to provide incentives to retain the existing fleet and encourage incremental and new investment where needed. So long as proposed changes are consistent with those objectives, he said the ISO will work with stakeholders as best it can. He noted that time is limited and substantial work remains, but said stakeholders would continue to find the ISO "at the table" throughout the process with the goal of producing a stable product that the region can broadly support. He further explained the ISO is

not rigid or inflexible, and that where it may not be able to accept a proposal, that would likely be because of time constraints or a fundamental disagreement on substance.

When a member urged the ISO to communicate promptly if it began to perceive any need for a delay in CAR-SA, Dr. Chadalavada responded that the ISO does not intend to delay the next auction. He explained that delaying one auction would necessarily delay the next and could create a difficult backlog.

As a follow-up to a question submitted in advance of the meeting, a member asked whether the ISO's implementation planning included an effort to educate public officials on the upcoming CAR changes. Dr. Chadalavada responded that the ISO was planning a robust rollout and acknowledged the importance of providing continuity and clarity around expected outcomes, particularly in a system that is changing quickly. He stated that the ISO intends to engage state and federal officials extensively and to provide digestible materials given their limited time.

Responding to expressed concerns regarding the DA A/S market, Dr. Chadalavada said he understood the concerns and emphasized that CAR and resource adequacy represent only one portion of the wholesale market and that the ISO fully appreciates the importance of energy and ancillary services revenues to many resources. Although the work behind developing the DA A/S market has created new products, he acknowledged that long development cycles are neither helpful nor sustainable and create more uncertainty for the region. That said, he noted that investments in systems and technology are intended to reduce those long cycles and stated that the ISO is looking ahead to future system needs, including ramping requirements, ancillary service needs, minimum-load conditions, and dynamic operating reserves. He further explained that the ISO must ensure that the products it procures continue to have real operational value and cannot ignore the inefficiencies identified by the Internal Market Monitor (IMM). He said the

ISO's proposed adjustments, which arose from the IMM's report on DA A/S, would be aimed at preserving the market design objective while improving cost effectiveness.

Another member thanked the ISO for presenting Effective Load Carrying Capability-related results at the March Markets Committee meeting, stating that the information was important in contextualizing the modeling and expressing surprise at the outcomes. The member observed that other RTOs/ISOs have taken a wide variety of approaches and expressed uncertainty as to what constitutes an appropriate model. Dr. Chadalavada responded that the ISO was continuing to examine some of those results and would return, likely in May or June, with updates and feedback informed by revised modeling.

A member commented that one of the principal objectives of the design underpinning the DA A/S market had been to ensure that day-ahead energy prices appropriately compensated resources providing physical energy and expressed concern that some of the proposed changes could leave behind some of the progress made in that regard. The member also observed that compressed stakeholder schedules make it more difficult to discuss such changes thoroughly. Dr. Chadalavada agreed that day-ahead co-optimization is a fundamentally important feature of the design and acknowledged the concern that moving too quickly could lead to suboptimal results. He stated that the ISO is mindful of that challenge.

Another member emphasized the importance of transparency and early identification of issues and expressed appreciation for the way the ISO had rolled out CAR-SA impact analysis and related data, which the member found helpful in unpacking the critical issues that remain to be resolved. The member said that the DA A/S work and the IMM's analysis likewise helped illuminate where gaps exist and expressed appreciation for the ISO's efforts to address critical concerns while continuing to move forward thoughtfully.

Lastly, a representative of the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office expressed appreciation for the ISO's effort to strike a balance and said that the office supported the ISO's work and the sense of urgency it had brought to the issues related to the DA A/S market.

ISO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND CYBER SECURITY REPORT

Dr. Chadalavada introduced Mr. Rudy Pawul, ISO Vice President of Information and Cybersecurity Services, noting the importance and difficulty of the work performed by his team, which encompasses a significant portion of the ISO's staff and is central to maintaining secure and reliable market and operational systems.

Mr. Pawul began with an overview of the Next Generation Markets (nGEM) initiative. He explained that the project is a collaborative modernization effort among ISO-NE, PJM, and MISO using GE Vernova's suite of market applications, with the participating regions sharing development costs for the base product. He stated that nGEM is intended to improve security, standardization, maintainability, and testing, while allowing more incremental releases and faster implementation of market rule changes. He then described the "container" concept as a way to isolate applications operating on the same platform so they can run simultaneously on shared resources without issues caused by shared dependencies. In response to a question regarding what constitutes an "application" in this context, Mr. Pawul explained that the answer depends on the service. He noted that functions that historically might have been treated as a single large application are increasingly being broken into many smaller applications or components operating together. Dr. Chadalavada added that greater granularity allows the ISO to isolate and fix individual components without affecting unrelated processes, thereby minimizing testing.

In response to a question regarding the tradeoffs between cloud-based systems and systems hosted locally, Mr. Pawul explained that the cloud operates under a shared security

model. He stated that, if configured properly, cloud-based systems can be as secure as or more secure than on-premises systems, while also providing improved visibility into activity within and between applications and networks. With respect to timing, he reported that the first portion of the real-time study modes would be implemented in 2026, with the remaining components to be installed by 2028, at which point the ISO expects to retire the legacy environment.

Turning to cybersecurity, Mr. Pawul noted that many of the ISO's most significant cybersecurity investments and activities could not be discussed in open session, but he reviewed several 2025 and 2026 project highlights suitable for public discussion. He stated that, in 2025, the ISO further bifurcated its observability tools by creating enterprise-only Security Information and Event Management and Network Detection and Response installations. He also highlighted refinements to phishing testing, earlier integration of application security testing into development work, and expansion of backups to cloud resources. Looking ahead to 2026, he identified the redesign of the Electronic Security Perimeter, network projects to support post-quantum computing readiness, and a transition from traditional vulnerability management to continuous threat exposure management as key priorities.

Expanding on the ISO's cyber priorities, Mr. Pawul explained that the ISO is preparing for a future in which quantum computing may be capable of undermining forms of encryption currently used broadly across internet and communications infrastructure. He stated that the ISO is therefore incorporating post-quantum cryptography readiness into its infrastructure planning and procurement so that it is not forced to act on short notice. He also noted that advances in artificial intelligence are already changing the threat environment. Mr. Pawul explained that the ISO is moving toward threat exposure management to better prioritize resources toward the most

significant vulnerabilities first. He added that the ISO relies on trusted security vendors and consortium relationships as part of that effort.

Mr. Pawul also addressed current geopolitical conflict. He explained that, when events abroad elevate the cyber threat environment, the ISO reassesses the effectiveness of its tools, reviews cyber intelligence more closely, looks for new indicators of threat activity, and increases internal education and awareness, including briefings for the control room and peers in local control centers. He stated that the ISO subscribes to multiple intelligence sources for this purpose.

At the request of Ms. Bresolin, Mr. Pawul described the ISO's security operations team, explaining that it operates much like a small control room and includes multiple consoles and management support. He noted that, as a matter of best practice, the ISO had moved away from a model in which a single individual independently held continuous responsibility and instead relies on a team-based approach supported by on-call personnel and near-instantaneous alerting. In response to a further question regarding the types and volume of threats seen, Mr. Pawul stated that the ISO is subject to constant probing and attempted access, but that several external-facing services help limit what reaches internal systems. He said that phishing attempts remain common, although the ISO has numerous protections in place such that very few reach users or have any impact. He added that a recently adopted AI-based product had further reduced the number of such attempts reaching users. Dr. Chadalavada added that Mr. Pawul's organization filters and processes very large volumes of potential threat activity, approximately 700,000, before it reaches users or systems. He also observed that peaks in attempted malicious activity had previously coincided with holiday periods or school breaks, but that the ISO is now seeing more state-related threat activity.

Responding to a question regarding the origin and sophistication of threats, Mr. Pawul stated that much of the activity is filtered or dropped before it can be fully characterized, but that the ISO is often able to identify likely state actors through information-sharing arrangements, including a New England-focused security cooperative. He said that the ISO's greatest concern remains activity associated with Russia and China, followed by Iran and North Korea. When asked whether the ISO turns malicious traffic over to law enforcement for prosecution, he responded that the ISO does share information with the government.

VISTRA REQUEST FOR NEPOOL GIS ACCOUNT-LINKING ENHANCEMENT

Ms. Jaimie St. Pierre, the GIS Operating Rules Working Group Chair, referred the Committee to materials circulated in advance of the meeting regarding Vistra's request for changes to the NEPOOL Generation Information System (GIS) to allow a single GIS login to be linked to, and access, multiple GIS accounts. Ms. St. Pierre briefly reviewed the procedural history of the request.

Members proceeded to ask clarifying questions. In response to a question as to why the requested functionality could not simply be built on existing APX application programming interfaces (APIs), an APX representative explained that, while APX maintains APIs for certain registered interfaces, it does not currently provide APIs for all user functions available through the GIS interface. The APX representative stated that the proposal before the Committee was intended to provide an enhancement that would not require an API integration buildout, which was another option, and would be a meaningful improvement over existing functionality. In response to a further question regarding the number of users that might benefit from the enhancement, APX reported that it had reviewed unique email addresses, rather than the number of individuals, and found more than 500 email addresses associated with at least two GIS

accounts, though it could not determine with certainty how many actual users would ultimately use the requested functionality.

A member then asked whether there was any mechanism by which Vistra could fund the enhancement itself if the motion were not approved. Ms. St. Pierre responded that there is no such provision under the current rules. In response to a related question regarding what would need to happen for a participant to fund such an enhancement directly, another member observed that the requested enhancement appeared to have broader value and was not solely a Vistra-specific benefit. Mr. Lombardi responded that there is no current provision in NEPOOL's arrangements with APX for an individual participant to pay for a GIS enhancement and that the best place to consider that issue would be in connection with the next contract discussions between NEPOOL and APX regarding operation of the GIS. A member commented that the next contract renewal, or any future procurement process, would be an appropriate time to address the issues raised by this request, including who should be permitted to fund enhancements and how the annual development-hour allowance should be prioritized. Mr. Lombardi responded that those items could be added to the list of issues for the next contract discussion and stated that the current GIS administration agreement runs through June 2028.

The following motion was duly made and seconded:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee approves the changes to the NEPOOL Generation Information System (GIS) to allow a GIS login to be linked and have access to multiple GIS accounts, as recommended by the Markets Committee at its October 15-16, 2025 meeting, together with such non-material changes thereto as may be approved after the meeting by the Chair of the Participants Committee.

Several members offered comments on the request. One member stated support for the proposal, noting that the GIS has grown substantially and expressing the view that the cost of the enhancement was relatively small in comparison to the overall GIS program and would improve

functionality for many users. Another member stated that the member could not support the request at that time, explaining that additional clarification had previously been sought regarding the value proposition and likely user base for the enhancement and, in that member's view, that information had not been sufficiently developed. A further member, drawing on prior experience selling RECs through the GIS platform, stated the belief that the enhancement would produce only modest time savings and therefore would not support the request.

A member also asked whether approval of the request would effectively exhaust the available GIS development hours for 2026. As described in the memorandum, APX had estimated that implementation of the requested enhancement would require more than 1,000 development hours. Ms. Samantha Regan, NEPOOL Counsel, confirmed that it would, because the request would consume more development hours than remain available under the annual allowance. As a result, other enhancements expected to be considered later in the year would require the use of additional development hours at the applicable hourly charge of \$180 per hour.

Without further discussion, the Committee then considered and approved the motion with a 78.072% Vote in favor (Generation Sector – 16.667%; Transmission Sector – 0%; Supplier Sector – 16.667%; Alternative Resources Sector – 16.667%; Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 16.667%; and End User Sector – 11.404%) (*See* Vote 1 on Attachment 2).

LITIGATION REPORT

Mr. Lombardi referred the Committee to the April 9, 2026 Litigation Report that had been circulated and posted before the meeting, and then asked Mr. Patrick Gerity, Assistant Secretary to highlight several developments summarized in the Litigation Report. First, Mr. Gerity noted significant recent activity in the long-running Base Return on Equity (ROE) Complaints proceeding. He noted that the FERC had issued an order setting the base ROE at

approximately 9.57%, that the Transmission Owners had challenged that determination on the merits, and that the Transmission Owners and the ISO had also sought additional time to process the related refunds, which could be substantial—anywhere from \$900,000 to \$1.5 billion.

Second, he reported on the Brookfield waiver related proceedings, explaining that Brookfield had asked the FERC for permission to return money to the ISO that it should not have received, but that the Tariff does not currently provide a mechanism for the ISO to accept and redistribute such funds. He stated that the FERC had bifurcated its response, directing Brookfield and the ISO to address through settlement how the funds might be returned, while also issuing a show cause order stating that the Tariff may be unjust and unreasonable because it lacks a correction mechanism. Finally, he noted that consumer complaints concerning Day-Ahead Ancillary Services market costs appeared to be circulating, including one complaint that had already been filed with the FERC.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Markets Committee (MC). Mr. Benjamin Griffiths, MC Vice-Chair, reported that the next MC meeting would be a three-day meeting, from April 14–16, 2026, at the Renaissance in Framingham on Tuesday and Wednesday, and virtually on Thursday. He noted that the agenda is expected to require full days, including discussion of PfP revisions, DA A/S post-implementation adjustments, and continued joint discussion with the Reliability Committee of changes to introduce seasonality and accreditation reforms to the capacity market (i.e., CAR-SA), including a focus on market clearing and resource accreditation modeling impact analyses.

Reliability Committee (RC). Mr. Frank Etori, the RC Vice-Chair, reported that the next RC meeting would be held on April 22, 2026, at the Westborough DoubleTree. He noted that items on the RC agenda including Operating Procedure and Planning Procedure Updates (such as

Order No. 881 conforming changes to OP-16), Storage as a Transmission Only Asset operating procedure conforming changes, and Order No. 2023 related conforming changes to planning procedure No. 10, as well as a discussion on the DA A/S post-implementation adjustments and CAR-SA.

Transmission Committee (TC). Mr. Dave Burnham, TC Vice-Chair, reported that the next TC meeting would be held on April 21, 2026 at the Westborough DoubleTree. He reported that the TC was expected to continue discussion on the ISO's advisory role as asset condition project reviewer and to receive a presentation by the ISO on deactivations under CAR-SA framework.

Budget & Finance Subcommittee (B&F). Mr. Tom Kaslow, B&F Chair, reported that the B&F would convene virtually on April 17, 2026. He noted that the agenda would include several items, including discussion on the 2026 internal audit plan and future 15-month audit plan, changes that will be needed to the Financial Assurance Policy associated with PfP changes to external transactions and the balancing ratio, as well as an update on the ISO's plan for responding to the FERC show cause order.

Membership Subcommittee. Mr. Brian Thomson, the Membership Subcommittee Chair, reported that the next Membership Subcommittee meeting would be held virtually on March 13, 2026. He expected the Subcommittee to consider a number of applications and terminations.

Joint Nominating Committee (JNC). Ms. Bresolin provided an update on the work of the Joint Nominating Committee. She reported that the Committee had met on March 17, 2026 and interviewed four candidates, one of whom had been selected to move forward in the process. She noted that, if Sector Chairs had not already done so, information regarding that candidate would be circulated shortly and asked that the candidate's name and related information be kept

confidential. Ms. Bresolin stated that the goal was to have the Participants Committee take action on the slate at its May meeting, if possible, and encouraged members to provide any feedback on the new candidate to their Sector Vice-Chair as soon as possible.

RECOGNITION OF JOEL GORDON

Ms. Bresolin reported that Mr. Joel Gordon was retiring from CPV the next day. In recognition of that occasion, Ms. Bresolin read and presented the following resolution to Mr. Gordon:

WHEREAS, for more than a quarter-century Joel has been involved in the New England Power Pool in a variety of roles, including as a long-standing member of this Committee in two different Sectors, on behalf of no fewer than four different Participants, for five years as a Vice-Chair of the Supplier Sector, for more than five years as Chairman of the Budget & Finance Subcommittee, and for three years as Chairman of the Participants Committee; and

WHEREAS, Joel, throughout his time as a Participant representative and Committee member, has offered insightful guidance and leadership on a variety of financial and market issues, with an unwavering commitment to thereby help create and sustain open, non-discriminatory, competitive, unbundled markets for energy, capacity and ancillary services that are balanced between buyers and sellers; and

WHEREAS, throughout his years of service, Joel Gordon has been dedicated to increasing the visibility, reputation and effectiveness of NEPOOL for its members, including expanding NEPOOL's presence in the regional business priority planning process, building stronger relationships and open dialogue between NEPOOL, ISO, and the New England States, and facilitating collaboration in THE stakeholder organization; and

WHEREAS, Joel's steadfast presence, incisive questions and trenchant wit will be sorely missed.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Participants Committee of the New England Power Pool, on behalf of the NEPOOL Participants, hereby expresses its sincere gratitude to Joel for his many years of leadership and service to this Committee and to the New England region generally; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee extends to Joel our very best wishes for his next chapter, one rich with family, fulfillment and joy.

Signed and presented by the Chair of the NEPOOL Participants Committee on behalf of the NEPOOL Participants this 9th day of April, 2026, in Manchester Village, Vermont.

Mr. Lombardi then presented Mr. Gordon with a plaque in recognition of his many contributions to NEPOOL. Mr. Gordon offered brief remarks, reflecting on his more than 30 years of involvement with, and career alongside, NEPOOL.

There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 12:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Sebastian Lombardi, Secretary

**PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN THE APRIL 9, 2026 MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Acadia Center	End User	Joe LaRusso (W)		Anya Poplavska (W)
American Petroleum Institute	Assoc. Non-Voting			Mike Giamo (W)
AR Large RG Group Member	AR-RG		Aidan Foley (W)	
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
AVANGRID (CMP/UI)	Transmission	Alan Trotta (W)	Jason Rauch (W)	
Avangrid Power	Transmission	Kevin Kilgallen (W)		
Bath Iron Works	End User			Bill Short
Belmont Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Block Island Utility District	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		
Boylston Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
BP Energy Company (BP)	Supplier		Christine Hughey (W)	José Rotger (W)
Braintree Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		
Brookfield Energy Trading and Marketing LLC	Supplier	Aleks Mitreski		
Chester Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dan Murphy	
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Clear River Electric and Water District	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
CLEAResult Consulting Inc.	AR-DG	Tamera Oldfield (W)		
Concord Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Coop.	Publicly Owned Entity	Brian Forshaw (W)		
Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel	End User		Jamie Talbert-Slagle	
Conservation Law Foundation	End User	Phelps Turner (W)		
Constellation Energy Generation (Constellation)	Supplier	Andy Gillespie (W)	Gretchen Fuhr (W)	
CPV Towantic, LLC (CPV)	Generation	Joel Gordon		
Cross-Sound Cable Company (CSC)	Supplier		José Rotger (W)	
Danvers Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Dartmouth Power Associates, L.P.	Generation	Sarah Yasutake (W)		
Dominion Energy Generation Marketing, Inc.	Generation	Wes Walker (W)		
DTE Energy Trading, Inc. (DTE)	Supplier			José Rotger (W)
Elektrisola, Inc.	End User			Bill Short
Emera Energy Services	Supplier			Bill Fowler (W)
ENGIE Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	AR-RG	Sarah Bresolin		
Eversource Energy	Transmission		Dave Burnham	
FirstLight Power Management, LLC	Generation	Tom Kaslow (W)		
Fiscal Alliance Foundation, Inc.	End User			Robert Roper
Gabel Associates, Inc.	Supplier	Sarah Yasutake (W)		
Galt Power, Inc.	Supplier	José Rotger (W)	Jeff Iafrazi (W)	
Garland Manufacturing Company	End User			Bill Short
Generation Bridge Companies	Generation		Steve Kirk	Bill Fowler (W)
Georgetown Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Green Oceans	End User		Lauren Knight (W)	
Groton Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Granite Shore Companies	Generation			Bob Stein
Groveland Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.) Inc. (HQUS)	AR-RG	Louis Guilbault (W)	Bob Stein	
Hammond Lumber Company	End User			Bill Short
High Liner Foods (USA) Inc.	End User		Bill Short	
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Holden Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Holyoke Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Hudson Light and Power Department	Publicly Owned Entity			Dave Cavanaugh
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Industrial Wind Action Group	End User	Lisa Linowes (W)		
Ipswich Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy

(W) = Webex

**PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN THE APRIL 9, 2026 MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Lamson, Jon	End User	Jon Lamson (W)		
Littleton (MA) Electric Light and Water Dept.	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Long Island Power Authority (LIPA)	Supplier		Bill Kilgoar (W)	
Maine Power LLC	Supplier	Jeff Jones (W)		
Maine Public Advocate's Office	End User	Drew Landry		
Mansfield Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Marblehead Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Mass. Attorney General's Office (MA AG)	End User	Jackie Bihrlle (W)	Jamie Donovan (W)	
Mass. Bay Transportation Authority	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Mass. Department of Capital Asset Management	End User		Paul Lopes (W)	
Mass. Municipal Wholesale Electric Company	Publicly Owned Entity	Matt Ide	Dan Murphy	
MDC – The (CT) Metropolitan District	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Mercuria Energy America, LLC	Supplier			José Rotger (W)
Merrimac Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Midcoast Regional Redevelopment Authority	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleborough Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleton Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Moore Company	End User			Bill Short
Natural Resources Defense Council	Claire Lang-Ree			
Nautilus Power, LLC	Generation		Bill Fowler (W)	
New England Power (d/b/a National Grid)	Transmission	Tim Brennan	Tim Martin	
New England Power Gens. Assoc. (NEPGA)	Assoc. Non-Voting	Bruce Anderson		Molly Connors (W)
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned Entity			Brian Forshaw (W)
New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate	End User	Matthew Fossum		
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC	Generation	Michelle Gardner		
North Attleborough Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Norwood Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
NRG Business Marketing, LLC	Supplier	Ben Griffiths		
Nylon Corporation of America	End User			Bill Short
Pawtucket Power Holding Company	Generation	Dan Allegretti (W)		
Paxton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Peabody Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Princeton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Reading Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
RENEW Northeast, Inc.	Assoc. Non-Voting	Francis Pullaro		
Rhode Island Energy (Narragansett Electric Co.)	Transmission	Brian Thomson	Robin Lafayette (W)	
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Russell Municipal Light Dept.	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Saint Anselm College	End User			Bill Short
Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.	Supplier	Jeff Dannels		
Shipyard Brewing LLC	End User			Bill Short
Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
South Hadley Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Sterling Municipal Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Stowe Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity	Nick Parrotta (W)	Dave Cavanaugh	
Templeton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
The Energy Consortium	End User		Mary Smith (W)	
Vermont Electric Company	Transmission	Frank Etori		
Vermont Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned Entity		Dan Potter (W)	
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	Publicly Owned Entity			Brian Forshaw (W)
Versant Power	Transmission	Dave Norman	Stephen Johnston (W)	
Village of Hyde Park (VT) Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		

(W) = Webex

**PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN THE APRIL 9, 2026 MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Vistra (Dynergy Marketing and Trade, Inc.)	Generation	Ryan McCarthy		Bill Fowler (W)
Vitol Inc.	Supplier	Seth Cochran (W)		
Wakefield Municipal Gas & Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Wallingford DPU Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Westfield Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wheelabrator North Andover Inc.	AR-RG		Bill Fowler (W)	
ZTECH, LLC	End User			Bill Short

**APRIL 9, 2026 PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING
VOTE ON MULTIPLE GIS ACCOUNT-LINKING ENHANCEMENT**

TOTAL

Sector/Group	Vote
GENERATION	16.667
TRANSMISSION	0.000
SUPPLIER	16.667
ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES	16.667
PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY	16.667
END USER	11.404
% IN FAVOR	78.072

GENERATION SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
CPV Towantic, LLC	A
Dartmouth Power Associates	F
Dominion Energy Generation Mktg	F
FirstLight Power Management, LLC	A
Generation Bridge Companies	F
Granite Shore Power Companies	F
Nautilus Power, LLC	F
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC	F
Pawtucket Power Holding Co.	F
Vistra (Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC)	F
IN FAVOR (F)	8
OPPOSED (O)	0
TOTAL VOTES	8
ABSTENTIONS (A)	2

ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
Renewable Generation Sub-Sector	
ENGIE Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	F
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.) Inc.	F
Wheelabrator/Macquarie	F
Large RG Group Member	F
Distributed Gen. Sub-Sector	
CLEARresult Consulting, Inc.	A
IN FAVOR (F)	4
OPPOSED (O)	0
TOTAL VOTES	4
ABSTENTIONS (A)	1

TRANSMISSION SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
Avangrid (CMP/UI)	O
Eversource	A
National Grid	O
Rhode Island Energy	O
VELCO	O
Versant Power	O
IN FAVOR (F)	0
OPPOSED (O)	5
TOTAL VOTES	5
ABSTENTIONS (A)	1

SUPPLIER SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
BP Energy Company	F
Brookfield Renewable Trading & Mktg	F
Constellation Energy Generation	F
Cross-Sound Cable Company	A
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	F
Emera Energy Companies	F
Gabel Associates, Inc.	F
Galt Power, Inc.	F
LIPA	A
Maine Power, LLC	F
Mercuria Energy America, Inc	F
NRG Business Marketing, LLC	F
Shell Energy North America (US) LP	F
Vitol Inc.	F
IN FAVOR (F)	12
OPPOSED (O)	0
TOTAL VOTES	12
ABSTENTIONS (A)	2

**APRIL 9, 2026 PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING
VOTE ON MULTIPLE GIS ACCOUNT-LINKING ENHANCEMENT**

END USER SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
Acadia Center	F
Bath Iron Works	F
Conn. Office of Consumer Counsel	O
Conservation Law Foundation	F
Elektrisola, Inc.	F
Fiscal Alliance Foundation	A
Garland Manufacturing Co.	F
Green Oceans	O
Hammond Lumber Co.	F
High Liner Foods	F
Industrial Wind Action Corp.	O
Lamson, John	A
Maine Public Advocate Office	O
Mass. Attorney General's Office	O
Mass. Dept. of Capital Asset Management	F
Moore Company	F
NH Office of Consumer Advocate	O
Nylon Corporation	F
St. Anslem	F
Shipyard Brewing Co.	F
The Energy Consortium	A
Z-TECH, LLC	F
IN FAVOR (F)	13
OPPOSED (O)	6
TOTAL VOTES	19
ABSTENTIONS (A)	3

PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY SECTOR

Participant Name	Vote
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	F
Belmont Municipal Light Dept.	F
Block Island Utility District	F
Boylston Municipal Light Dept.	F
Braintree Electric Light Dept.	F
Chester Municipal Light Dept.	F
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Clear River Electric and Water District	F
Concord Municipal Light Plant	F
Conn. Municipal Electric Energy Coop.	F
Danvers Electric Division	F
Georgetown Municipal Light Dept.	F
Groton Electric Light Dept.	F
Groveland Electric Light Dept.	F

PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY SECTOR (cont.)

Participant Name	Vote
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Holden Municipal Light Dept.	F
Holyoke Gas & Electric Dept.	F
Hudson Light and Power Dept.	F
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Ipswich Municipal Light Dept.	F
Littleton (MA) Electric Light Dept.	F
Mansfield Municipal Electric Dept.	F
Marblehead Municipal Light Dept.	F
Mass. Municipal Wholesale Electric Co.	F
Mass. Bay Transportation Authority	F
MDC – The (CT) Metropolitan District	F
Merrimac Municipal Light Dept.	F
Midcoast Regional Redevelopment Authority	F
Middleborough Gas and Elec. Dept.	F
Middleton Municipal Electric Dept.	F
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative	F
North Attleborough Electric Dept.	F
Norwood Municipal Light Dept.	F
Paxton Municipal Light Dept.	F
Peabody Municipal Light Plant	F
Princeton Municipal Light Dept.	F
Reading Municipal Light Dept.	F
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Russell Municipal Light Dept.	F
Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations	F
South Hadley Electric Light Dept.	F
Sterling Municipal Electric Light Dept.	F
Stowe (VT) Electric Dept.	F
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Templeton Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Vermont Electric Coop.	F
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	F
Village of Hyde Park (VT) Electric Dept.	F
Wakefield Municipal Gas and Light Dept.	F
Wallingford (CT), Town of	F
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	F
West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant	F
Westfield Gas & Electric Light Dept.	F
IN FAVOR (F)	53
OPPOSED (O)	0
TOTAL VOTES	53
ABSTENTIONS (A)	0

CONSENT AGENDA

Markets Committee (MC)

From the previously-circulated notice of actions of the MC's April 14-16, 2026 meeting, dated April 17, 2026.¹

1. GIS and GIS Operating Rules Changes (MA CES-E Updates)²

Approve proposed changes to the NEPOOL Generation Information System (GIS) and GIS Operating Rules related to eligibility for the Massachusetts Clean Energy Standard and existing unit fields by limiting eligibility to certain transmission ties (MA CES-E Updates), together with such further non-material changes as may be approved by the Chair of the GIS Operating Rules Working Group.

The motion to recommend Participants Committee support was approved unanimously, with one abstention in the End User Sector.

Reliability Committee (RC)

From the previously-circulated notice of actions of the RC's April 22, 2026 meeting, dated April 22, 2026.³

2. Revisions to OP-16 Appendix K (Periodic Review: Clean-Ups and Clarifications)

Support proposed revisions to Appendix K (Instructions for Submission of Short Circuit Data) to ISO-NE Operating Procedure (OP) No. 16 (Procedure for Pool-Supported PTF Cost Review) (OP-16K),⁴ as recommended by the RC at its April 22, 2026 meeting, together with such non-material changes as may be approved by the RC Chair and Vice-Chair.

The motion to recommend Participants Committee support was approved unanimously, with one abstention in the End User Sector.

[Continued on Next Page]

¹ MC Notices of Actions are posted on the ISO-NE website at: <https://www.iso-ne.com/committees/markets/markets-committee/?document-type=Committee%20Actions>.

² APX, Inc., the Generation Information System (GIS) Administrator, estimates that implementing this change to the GIS will take 281 development hours. Under Rule 1.3 of the NEPOOL GIS Rules, changes to the GIS that require 50 hours or more of labor or have an estimated cost to NEPOOL of more than \$30,000 and that in either case are not required to address a change in law or a change in the ISO Tariff must be approved by the NEPOOL Participants Committee.

³ RC Notices of Actions are posted on the ISO-NE website at: [https://www.iso-ne.com/committees/reliability/reliability-committee/?document-type=Committee Actions](https://www.iso-ne.com/committees/reliability/reliability-committee/?document-type=Committee%20Actions).

⁴ The OP-16K changes include revisions to: (i) Figure 1 (align dates with procedure language); (ii) Section VI.A – Data Requirement (simplification of language; clarification of modeling requirements); (iii) Table 2 – Bus Numbers in PSS/E (align ASPEN and PSS/E bus numbers); and (iv) Attachment 1 (definition updates and other clarifications).

3. **Revisions to OP-23 Appendix G (Biennial Review: Unit Additions and Removals)**

Support proposed revisions to Appendix G (Reactive Resources Required to Perform Reactive Capability Auditing) to OP No. 23 (Resource Auditing) (OP23-G),⁵ as recommended by the RC at its April 22, 2026 meeting, together with such non-material changes as may be approved by the RC Chair and Vice-Chair.

The motion to recommend Participants Committee support was approved unanimously, with one abstention in the End User Sector.

⁵ The OP-23G changes include: (i) the addition of resources to Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19; (ii) the removal of resources from Table 5, 10, 17, 19; (iii) the addition of asset IDs for new resources; and (iv) the alphabetization of all Appendix G tables.