EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings as of April 6, 2016

The following activity, as more fully described in the attached litigation report, has occurred since the report dated March 2, 2016 was circulated. New matters/proceedings since the last Report are preceded by an asterisk '*'. Page numbers precede the matter description.

	I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings				
*	1	NextEra Bellingham FCA10 Complaint (EL16-48)	Mar 18 Mar 21 Mar 29- Apr 6	NextEra files complaint; comment date Apr 7 NextEra files corrections to Complaint; comment date still Apr 7 NEPOOL, NESCOE, National Grid, Entergy intervene	
	1	Dominion Energy Manchester Street FCA10 Complaint (EL16-38)	Mar 8	Dominion answers ISO-NE Feb 25 answer	
	2	206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols (EL16-19)	Mar 18 Mar 22	Judge Dring cancels Mar 24 settlement conference; next conference Apr 28 FERC denies VEC request for rehearing of Dec 28 order	
	3	206 Proceeding: 2014/15 RNS Recovery of SeaLink Development Costs (EL15-85)	Apr 1 Apr 5	NHT and the New England State Agencies request hearings be held in abeyance for 60 days in order to provide an opportunity to finalize a settlement agreement Chief Judge grants NHT/NESA motion	
	4	Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014) Consolidated (EL13-33 and EL14-86)	Mar 22	Judge Sterner issues his 939-paragraph, 371-page Initial Decision lowering the base and ceiling ROEs for the EL13-33 and EL14-86 refund periods to 9.59/10.42 % and 10.90/12.19 %, respectively	
II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings				A, Cost Recovery Filings	
	5	FCA10 Results Filing (ER16-1041)	Mar 3-25 Apr 4-6	NESCOE, Entergy, NEPGA intervene NEPOOL, National Grid intervene	
	5	ICR, HQICCs and Related Values - 2019/20 Power Year (ER16-307)	Mar 7 Mar 15	FERC issues tolling order affording it additional time to consider the NRG request for rehearing of the 2019/20 ICR/HQICCs Order MA Congressional Delegation and VT Senator Sanders submit comments and questions to FERC and ISO-NE related to the ICRs, the FCM, and retirement reforms	
	6	Eversource CCRP Cost Treatment Proposal (ER16-116)	Mar 22	FERC accepts CL&P recovery of approx. \$15.7 million in planning and development costs associated with the Central Connecticut Reliability Project, eff. Dec 15, 2015	
	III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests				
*	7	Forward Reserve Heat Rate Calculation Revisions (ER16-1296)	Mar 31	ISO and NEPOOL jointly file changes; comment date Apr 21	
	7	DARD Pump Parameter Changes (ER16-954)	Mar 7-9 Mar 9 Mar 22	Eversource, National Grid intervene GDF SUEZ submits comments supporting changes FERC accepts changes, eff. Mar 17, 2017	
	7	Transmission Outage Scheduling Revisions (ER16-937)	Mar 9	FERC accepts revisions, eff. Apr 13, 2016	

(ER16-819)

8	FRM Offer Cap, Elimination of Price Netting (ER16-921)	Mar 25	FERC accepts revisions, eff. Jun 1, 2016
8	New DNE Dispatch Changes Effective Date (ER16-870)	Mar 25	FERC accepts changes to make new DNE Dispatch Changes effective May 25, 2016
8	CSO Termination: Spruce Mountain Wind (ER16-864)	Mar 17	FERC accepts termination of a portion of Spruce Mountain Wind's CSO
8	FCM Resource Retirement Reforms (ER16-551)	Mar 7 Mar 15	ISO-NE files response to NEPGA's initial protest and request for emergency FERC action MA Congressional Delegation and VT Senator Sanders submit comments and questions to FERC and ISO-NE related to the ICRs, the FCM, and retirement reforms
IV. OATT Amendments / TO			OAs / Coordination Agreements
10	Generator Interconnection Revisions (ER16-946)	Mar 3 Mar 4-9 Mar 8 Mar 23	NEPOOL files comments supporting changes Champlain VT, EDP, Eversource, National Grid intervene SunEdison, NESCOE, AWEA, RENEW file comments ISO answers comments filed Mar 3 and Mar 8
11	RSP Timing Changes	Mar 11	FERC accepts changes, eff. Mar 29

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

No Activity to Report

	VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes				
*	11	Schedule 21-EM: Rate Adjustments for Anticipated Changes (ER16-1301)	Mar 31	Emera Maine files changes to Bangor Hydro District Local Service Schedule permitting load, revenue, and sales data adjustments to reflect anticipated changes; comment date Apr 21	
*	11	Schedule 21-EM: GNP East IOA Termination (ER16-1063)	Mar 2	Emera Maine files a notice of termination of a 2003 IOA with GNP East (successor to Katahdin Paper Co.); comment date Mar 23	
	12	Schedule 21-ES: Eversource Recovery of NU/NSTAR Merger- Related Costs (ER16-1023)	Mar 3-18 Mar 18 Mar 21 Apr 4	NH OCA, CMP, CT PURA, NHEC intervene EMCOS, CT AG, CT OCC, MA AG, NH OCA submit protests/comments MPUC intervenes out-of-time Eversource answers protests and comments	
	12	Schedule 21-NEP: CV South Street Landing Reimbursement Agreement (ER16-986)	Mar 21	FERC accepts agreement, eff. Feb 19, 2016	
	12	Schedule 21-EM: Emera Maine/Covanta Maine LTSA Terminations (ER16-840)	Mar 18	FERC accepts notice of terminations, eff. Dec 31, 2015	
	12	Schedule 21-NSTAR: Fore River LGIA Termin. Notice (ER16-816)	Mar 7	FERC accepts termination notice, eff. Jan 20, 2016	
	VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments				

No Activity to Report

April 6, 2016 Report

	VIII. Regional Reports				
	13	Capital Projects Report - 2015 Q4 (ER16-928)	Mar 3 Apr 6	Eversource intervenes FERC accepts Report	
*	13	Reserve Market Compliance (20th) Semi-Annual Report (ER06-613)	Apr 1	ISO submits 20th semi-annual report	
*	14	ISO-NE FERC Form 715	Mar 31	ISO submits annual report of total MWh of transmission service	
			IX. Memb	ership Filings	
*	14	April 2016 Membership Filing (ER16-1321)	Apr 1	Memberships: Atlantic Energy, Eversource Energy Transmission Ventures; Roctop Investments, Sustaining Power Solutions Termination: Aequitas; comment date Apr 22	
	14	Involuntary Termination of Membership: NAPP (ER16-820)	Mar 17	FERC accepts NAPP involuntary termination	
	14	Involuntary Term. of Membership: Negawatt (ER16-818)	Mar 17	FERC accepts Negawatt involuntary termination	
*	14	Suspension Notices (not docketed)	Mar 8	ISO files notice of CHI Power Marketing suspension from New England Markets	
			Mar 21	CHI Power Marketing reinstated	
		X. Misc E	RO Rules, F	ilings; Reliability Standards	
*	15	Revised Reliability Standard: FAC- 003-4 (RD16-4)	Mar 14 Mar 18	NERC files changes to FAC-003 to implement more conservative Minimum Vegetation Clearance Distances; comment date Apr 14 NERC submits correction (removing watermark from Exhibit D)	
	15	New Reliability Standard: BAL-002-2 (RM16-7)		NERC submits supplemental information clarifying how BAL-002-2 will work in conjunction with the successor provisions to TOP-007-0	
	15	<i>Order 822</i> : Revised CIP Reliability Standards (RM15-14)	Mar 21 Mar 29	FERC issues tolling order affording it additional time to consider the FRS and Isologic requests for rehearing of <i>Order 822</i> FRS, Isologic and Applied Content Solutions ask FERC to reopen the evidentiary record	
	16	NOPR: New Reliability Standard: TPL-007-1 (RM15-11)	Mar 4-29	Trade Associations, D. Bardin, J. Kappenman/C. Birnbach, FRS, AEP file additional, post-technical conference comments	
	17	<i>Order 823</i> : New Reliability Standard: PRC-026-1 (RM15-8)	Mar 17	FERC approves new Standard	
	18	Revised Regional Delegation Agreements (RR15-12)	Mar 23	FERC accepts Dec 18 compliance filing	
*	19	Rules of Procedure Revisions Compliance Filing (RR15-2)	Mar 3	FERC files changes to Rules of Procedure in response to requirements of Nov 4 2015 Order	
)	(I. Misc of	Regional Interest	
*	19	203 Application: GDF Suez Energy Resources/Atlas Power (EC16-93)	Mar 25	Atlas Power, Dynegy, ECP, and GDF Suez request authorization for sale of GDF Suez Energy Resources to Atlas Power; comment date May 24	
*	19	203 Application: FirstLight/PSP (EC16-89)	Mar 17	FirstLight requests FERC authorization for its sale to PSP H2O FL USA LLC; comment date Apr 7	
*	19	203 Application: Passadumkeag Wind Park (Southern Renewable Energy / Quantum) (EC16-86)	Mar 11	Passadumkeag requests FERC authorization for its sale to SRE	

	20	203 Application: Essential Power	Mar 21	Public Citizen intervenes and requests copy of purchase and sale
		(EC16-82)	Mar 28	agreement Applicants oppose Public Citizen request
	20	203 Application: ReEnergy Sterling (EC16-58)	Mar 9	FERC authorizes Empire Tire acquisition of ReEnergy Sterling
	20	203 Application: Narragansett/ Entergy RISE (EC16-50)	Mar 22 Mar 31	National Grid consummates acquisition of RISE facilities National Grid files notice of consummation
*	20	CL&P Petition for Declaratory Order (Dominion Outage Dispute Governing Document) (EL16-45)	Mar 7 Mar 11	CL&P asks the FERC to determine whether a dispute between CL&P and Dominion is governed by a Support Agreement or an LGIA to which each a party; comment date Apr 7 ISO-NE intervenes
*	20	IA Termination: UI/Bridgeport Harbor Station #2 (ER16-1099)	Mar 7 Apr 4	UI files to terminate IA with Bridgeport Harbor Station Unit #2 (which has since been retired) FERC accepts IA termination
	20	Cost Sharing Agreements re: Greater Boston Area Transmission Solution Plan (ER16-878 et al.)	Mar 30	FERC accepts Agreements, eff. Apr 4, 2016
	21	LGIA: National Grid/Wheelabrator Saugus (ER16-760)	Mar 9	FERC accepts LGIA, eff. Jan 1, 2016
	21	SGIA: CMP/Hackett Mills Hydro (ER16-518)	Mar 9	FERC accepts SGIA, eff. Jan 1, 2016
	21	Emera MPD OATT Changes (ER15-1429; EL16-13)	Mar 3 Mar 15	2nd settlement conference held; 3rd scheduled for Apr 26 Settlement Judge Johnson issues a status report recommending that settlement judge procedures be continued
	23	FERC Enforcement Action: Show Cause Order – Coaltrain et al. (IN16-4)	Mar 4 Apr 1 Apr 4	Respondents answer Jan 6 Show Cause Order OE Staff replies to Respondents' Mar 4 answers OE Staff submits supplemental material
*	23	FERC Enforcement Action: Berkshire Power / Power Plant Management Services (IN16-3)	Mar 30	FERC approves Agreement resolving OE's investigation of Berkshire and PPMS; Berkshire and PPMS agree to pay \$2 million civil penalty; Berkshire agrees also to disgorge \$1,012,563 in profits and to a \$30,000 civil penalty for Reliability Standards violations
	24	Etracom & M. Rosenberg (IN16-2)	Mar 4	Etracom requests that CAISO be required to disclose certain materials and information that Etracom asserts is relevant to the
			Mar 17	allegations against it OE Staff replies to Etracom answer to Show Cause Order; CAISO opposes Mar 4 motion
		V/II 841	Mar 21	OE Staff opposes Mar 4 motion
		XII. Misc A	administrativ	e & Rulemaking Proceedings
*	24	Competitive Transmission Development Rates (AD16-18)	Mar 17	FERC notices Jun 27-28 workshop

Mar 17 FERC issues staff report summarizing initial results of its efforts regarding transmission metrics

25 Transmission Metrics (AD15-12)

*

*

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$

	25	Price Formation in RTO/ISO Energy and Ancillary Services Markets (AD14-14)	Mar 4 Mar 7 Mar 23 Apr 4-6	ISO-NE, CAISO, MISO, NYISO file reports on price formation issues SPP files its report; comment date on all reports Apr 6 NYISO files corrected report Parties, including EEI, EPSA, Exelon, file comments
*	25	Review of Generator IAs & Procedures / AWEA Petition for LGIA/LGIP Rulemaking (RM16-12; RM15-21)	Mar 29	FERC issues notice of May 13 technical conference
*	26	NOPR: Small Generator Ride Through Requirements (RM16-8)	Mar 17	FERC issues NOPR proposing to require small generating facilities to "ride through" abnormal frequency and voltage events, just as large generating facilities are required to do; comment date May 23
	27	NOPR: Price Formation Fixes - Price Caps in RTO/ISO Markets (RM16-5)	Apr 4	Parties file comments
	26	NOPR: Reactive Power Requirements for Wind Generators (RM16-1)	Mar 7	Delaware Public Service Comm. files comments
		X	(III. Natural (Gas Proceedings
	29	X Algonquin EDC Capacity Release Bidding Requirements Exemption Request (RP16-618)	KIII. Natural (Mar 17-18 Mar 31	Gas Proceedings Algonquin, Eversource, National Grid, ConocoPhillips file answers to protests and comments FERC conditionally accepts Algonquin tariff modifications, subject to a yet-to-be-scheduled technical conference and to become effective Sep 1, 2016 or earlier if so ordered
	29 30	Algonquin EDC Capacity Release Bidding Requirements Exemption	Mar 17-18	Algonquin, Eversource, National Grid, ConocoPhillips file answers to protests and comments FERC conditionally accepts Algonquin tariff modifications, subject to a yet-to-be-scheduled technical conference and to become

XIV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No Activity to Report

XV. Federal Courts			
33	FCA9 Results Filing (16-1068)	Mar 28	UWUA Local 464 and Robert Clark file initial submissions, including statement of issues
		Mar 30	FERC files unopposed procedural motion requesting 60 days between filing of Petitioner's brief and FERC's brief in response
34	NEPGA PER Complaint and FCM Jump Ball and Compliance Proceedings (16-1023/1024) (consol.)	Apr 4	Court issues order proposing limits to intervenors' initial and reply briefs

35	Order 1000 Compliance Filings (15- 1139, 15-1141**) (consolidated)	Mar 11 Apr 1	Respondents file brief Intervenors for Respondent file brief; NESCOE indicates it will not be filing or participating in a brief
35	Base ROE Complaint (2011) (15- 1118, 15-1119, 15-1121**) (consolidated)	Mar 4 Mar 25	TOs, Customers file briefs TOs, EMCOS file reply briefs
35	FCM Pricing Rules Complaints (15-1071**, 16-1042) (consol.)	Mar 10 Mar 28	Exelon files statement of issues and other initial submissions Parties file motion to govern future proceedings
36	New England's Order 745 Compliance Filing (12-1306)	Apr 4 Apr 5	Parties file joint unopposed motion to govern future proceedings and joint stipulation to dismiss case voluntarily Court dismisses case
37	Orders 745 and 745-A (FERC v. EPSA) DC Circuit Remand (11-1486)	Apr 4	Parties file stipulation to dismiss the remainder of the cases voluntarily
40	Entergy Nuclear Fitzpatrick, LLC et al v. Zibelman et al (NY PSC Commissioners) (NDNY 5:15-cv- 00230-DNH-TWD)	Mar 7	Court dismisses NYSPSC motion to dismiss Entergy's claim that the NYPSC Order was both field- and conflict-preempted by the FPA

MEMORANDUM

TO: NEPOOL Participants Committee Member and Alternates

FROM: Patrick M. Gerity, NEPOOL Counsel

DATE: April 6, 2016

RE: Status Report on Current Regional Wholesale Power and Transmission Arrangements Pending Before the Regulators, Legislatures, and Courts

We have summarized below the status of key ongoing proceedings relating to NEPOOL matters before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), state regulatory commissions, and the Federal Courts and legislatures through April 6, 2016. If you have questions, please contact us.¹

Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

• NextEra Bellingham FCA10 Complaint (EL16-48)

Ι.

On March 18, and as corrected and amended on March 21, NextEra Energy Power Marketing ("NEPM") and Northeast Energy Associates ("NEA", and together with NEPM, "NextEra") filed a complaint alleging that the ISO violated its Tariff by disallowing the proposed capacity increase at NEA's Bellingham Energy Center ("Bellingham") from participating in the tenth Forward Capacity Auction ("FCA10"). As a result, NextEra asked the FERC to the direct the ISO to increase Bellingham's Capacity Supply Obligation ("CSO") for 2019-2020 Capacity Commitment Period by including the Bellingham capacity increase as if it had cleared in FCA10, and compensate the capacity increase at the FCA10 clearing price (\$7.03/kW-month). In addition, NextEra asked for fast track processing of the Complaint. Comments on this filing are due on or before April 7, 2016. Thus far, doc-less interventions have been filed by NEPOOL, NESCOE, National Grid, and Entergy. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• Dominion Energy Manchester Street FCA10 Complaint (EL16-38)

As previously reported, Dominion Resources Services, Inc., on behalf of Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc. ("DEMI") and Dominion Energy Manchester Street, Inc. (collectively, "Dominion") filed a Complaint, on February 5, 2016, requesting that the FERC find that the ISO violated its Tariff in preventing new incremental capacity at Manchester Street Station ("Manchester Street") from participating in FCA10 and direct the ISO to award the incremental capacity a CSO for the FCA10 Capacity Commitment Period at the higher of the New Capacity Offer approved for the incremental MWs or the FCA10 Capacity Clearing Price for the Southeastern New England ("SENE") Capacity Zone, if it is apparent that the incremental capacity would have cleared the auction. Dominion explained that the ISO prevented incremental capacity from Manchester Street from participating because Dominion failed to submit a "composite offer" between the new capacity and the existing capacity at the same Manchester Street Unit. Dominion challenged the ISO-NE position that the Manchester Street-related composite offer was required. The ISO answered the Manchester Street Complaint on February 25 (urging the FERC to deny the Complaint or, should it direct New Generating Capacity Resources CSOs as if they had been awarded in FCA10, also direct that the payment for those resources should be limited to the Capacity Clearing Price for the 2019-2020 Capacity Commitment Period only). On March 8, Dominion answered the ISO's February 25 answer. Doc-less interventions were filed by NEPOOL, Entergy National Grid, NESCOE, and

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this filing are intended to have the meanings given to such terms in the Second Restated New England Power Pool Agreement (the "Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement"), the Participants Agreement, or the ISO New England Inc. ("ISO" or "ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the "Tariff").

NRG. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>).

• 206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols (EL16-19)

As previously reported, the FERC instituted this Section 206 proceeding on December 28, 2015, finding that the ISO Tariff is unjust, unreasonable, and unduly discriminatory or preferential because the Tariff "lacks adequate transparency and challenge procedures with regard to the formula rates" for Regional Network Service ("RNS") and Local Network Service ("LNS").² The FERC also found that the RNS and LNS rates themselves "appear to be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful" because (i) "the formula rates appear to lack sufficient detail in order to determine how certain costs are derived and recovered in the formula rates" and "could result in an over-recovery of costs" due to the "the timing and synchronization of the RNS and LNS rates".³ Accordingly, the FERC established hearing and settlement judge procedures to develop just and reasonable formula rate protocols to be included in the ISO-NE Tariff and to examine the justness and reasonableness of the RNS and LNS rates. The FERC encouraged the parties to make every effort to settle this matter before hearing procedures are commenced.⁴ Hearings will be held in abevance pending the outcome of settlement judge procedures.⁵ The FERC-established refund date is January 4, 2016.⁶ Interventions were due February 3, 2016 and were filed by NEPOOL, the ISO, Braintree, Chicopee, Champlain VT, CT AG, CT DEEP, CT OCC, CT PURA, CMEEC, Fitchburg, Green Mountain, Liberty Utilities, MA AG, MA DPU, Maine Office of Public Advocate ("MOPA"), Middleborough, MMWEC, MPUC, Nat'l Grid, NESCOE, NHEC, NH OCA, Norwood, Public Citizen, Reading, RI PUC, Taunton VEC, VELCO, VPSA, VT DPS, Wallingford, and APPA.

Request for Rehearing. On March 22, the FERC denied the request of Vermont Electric Cooperative ("VEC") for rehearing of the December 28 order.⁷ In denying the VEC request for rehearing, the FERC rejected arguments that, because VEC is not a public utility, the FERC has no power under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act ("FPA") to institute a proceeding against VEC, or that the FERC also exceeded its authority by directing an investigation of VEC's LNS rate which is not a pass through rate that is administered or charged by the ISO.

Settlement Judge Procedures. As previously reported, John P. Dring was designated the Settlement Judge in these proceedings. A first settlement conference was held on January 19; a second conference, scheduled for March 24, was cancelled by Judge Dring, and has since been rescheduled for April 28, 2016. The Transmission Committee is being kept apprised of settlement efforts.

• 206 Proceeding: Zonal Sloped Demand Curves (EL16-15)

On December 28, 2015, the FERC instituted a Section 206 proceeding finding that the ISO Tariff is unjust, unreasonable, and unduly discriminatory or preferential because the Tariff "applies vertical demand curves within constrained zones, which does not sufficiently address concerns such as price volatility and a susceptibility to the exercise of market power as part of the Forward Capacity Market ("FCM") rules.⁸ The FERC directed the ISO to submit Tariff revisions "that provide for inclusion of zonal sloped demand curves in its FCM rules, to be

⁶ The notice of this proceeding was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Jan. 4, 2016 (Vol. 81, No. 1) p. 89.

⁸ ISO New England Inc. et al., 153 FERC ¶ 61,338 (Dec. 28, 2015).

² ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm. et al., 153 FERC ¶ 61,343 (Dec. 28, 2015), reh'g denied, 154 FERC ¶ 61,230 (Mar. 22, 2016).

³ *Id.* at P 8.

⁴ *Id.* at P 11.

⁵ Id.

⁷ ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm. et al., 154 FERC ¶ 61,230 (Mar. 22, 2016).

implemented beginning with FCA 11."⁹ Finding that "concerns with continued use of vertical demand curves weigh more heavily than they did a year ago",¹⁰ and that "the general challenges cited by ISO-NE [explaining the delay in developing zonal sloped demand curves] do not justify further delay",¹¹ the FERC directed that Tariff changes be filed, following a request for extension granted, by *April 15, 2016*.¹² Interventions in EL16-15 were due January 19. Interventions were filed by the ISO, NEPOOL, Calpine, Champlain VT, CT DEEP, CT OCC, CT PURA, Dominion (out-of-time), EPSA, Essential Power, Exelon, MA AG, MPUC, National Grid, NEPGA, NESCOE, NH OCA, Public Citizen, TransCanada, and the American Petroleum Institute ("API"), American Public Power Association ("APPA"). Tariff revisions to implement a proposed methodology for establishing FCM system-wide and zonal demand curves will be considered at the April 8 meeting (Agenda Item #5). If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• 206 Proceeding: 2014/15 RNS Recovery of SeaLink Development Costs (EL15-85)

The hearing process in this proceeding is underway, but as noted below, is currently being held in abeyance. As previously reported, after settlement judge proceedings were terminated, Chief Judge Cintron designated ALJ Philip Baten as the trial judge in this proceeding, and, ultimately, established Track II procedural time standards for the hearing. As previously reported, on January 8, 2016, Judge Baten issued an order setting the procedural schedule for the hearing process, with hearing set to commence July 19, 2016 and an initial decision due November 1, 2016. NHT filed, on February 2, its initial direct testimony, exhibits and work papers. Orders setting the rules for the conduct of hearings and adopting a protective order for use in this proceeding (as requested by NextEra) were issued on February 23 and 26, respectively. Intervenors' direct and answering testimony (with summaries), exhibits and work papers were filed March 2, 2016. On April 1, NHT and the New England State Agencies ("NESA")¹³ requested that the hearing be held in abeyance for 60 days to afford the parties an opportunity to finalize a settlement agreement based on the agreement-in-principle reached between NHT and NESA. On April 5, Chief Judge Cintron granted the NHT/NESA motion, holding hearings in abeyance for 60 days. If an offer of settlement resolving all issues in this proceeding has not been filed by June 6, 2016, Chief Judge Cintron directed NHT and NESA to provide her with a status report on that date.

Background. On August 12, 2015, the FERC issued an order accepting the TOs' July 31, 2014 informational rate filing but, in response to a protest by NESA, instituted a Section 206 proceeding in Docket EL15-85 to examine whether the recovery by New Hampshire Transmission ("NHT") of SeaLink project development costs through the RNS formula rate is just and reasonable.¹⁴ The FERC encouraged the parties to make every effort to settle their dispute before hearings were commenced, and held the hearings in abeyance pending the outcome of settlement judge procedures.¹⁵ The FERC-established refund effective date is August 19,

¹¹ *Id.* at P 14.

¹² *Id.* at P 16. The original compliance filing date, March 31, 2016, was slightly accelerated from the tentative schedule identified by the ISO in its Oct. 30, 2015 informational report in ER14-1639. That Report summarized a schedule contemplating Participants Committee consideration of a zonal demand curve proposal at the NPC's April 2016 meeting, with a FERC filing shortly thereafter. *See* Dec. 2, 2015 Litigation Report, Section VIII, Demand Curve Changes Progress Reports (ER14-1639) at p. 17. The compliance filing date was subsequently extended to April 15, 2016, to allow for a vote at the April 8, 2015 NPC meeting.

¹³ The New England State Agencies are: the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Mass. ("MA AG"), the Conn. Office of Consumer Counsel ("CT OCC"), the Conn. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority ("CT PURA"), the Rhode Island Div. of Public Utilities and Carriers ("RI PUC"), the Attorney General of the State of Rhode Island ("RI AG"), the Maine Public Advocate ("MOPA") and the Vermont Department of Public Service ("VT DPS").

¹⁴ ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Administrative Committee and New Hampshire Transmission, LLC, 152 FERC ¶ 61,121 (Aug. 12, 2015) ("August 12 Order").

¹⁵ *Id.* at P 20.

⁹ *Id.* at P 11.

¹⁰ *Id.* at P 15.

2015.¹⁶ On December 11, NESA requested the following two clarifications of the *August 12 Order*: (i) that, in establishing the August 19, 2015 refund effective date, the FERC "did not intend to preclude the ability to order refunds for past periods if it is found that a formula rate has been misapplied"; and (ii) that, in establishing an FPA Section 206 proceeding, the FERC did not intend to relieve NHT of its obligation to demonstrate that its Sealink planning costs "are properly recoverable under the formula rate on file with the [FERC]." On December 14, NHT filed a response taking no position on whether the FERC should provide the requested clarifications, but should it, stating no objection to the FERC making the clarifications requested. NESA's request for clarifications remain pending before the FERC. If there are questions on these proceedings, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014) Consolidated (EL13-33 and EL14-86)

As previously reported, the FERC, in response to second (EL13-33¹⁷) and third (EL14-86¹⁸) complaints regarding the TOs' 11.14% return on equity ("Base ROE"), issued orders establishing trial-type, evidentiary hearings and separate refund periods. The first, in EL13-33, was issued on June 19, 2014 and established a 15-month refund period of December 27, 2012 through March 27, 2014;¹⁹ the second, in EL14-86, was issued on November 24, 2014, established a 15-month refund period beginning July 31, 2014,²⁰ and, because of "common issues of law and fact", consolidated the two proceedings for purposes of hearing and decision, with the FERC finding it "appropriate for the parties to litigate a separate ROE for each refund period."²¹ The TOs requested rehearing of both orders. On May 14, 2015, the FERC denied rehearing of both orders.²² On July 13, 2015, the TOs appealed those orders to the DC Circuit Court of Appeals (*see* Section XIV below), and that appeal remains pending.

Hearings and Trial Judge Initial Decision. Initial hearings on these matters were completed on July 2, 2015. In mid-December, Judge Sterner reopened the record for the limited purpose of having the discounted cash flow ("DCF") calculations re-run in accordance with the FERC's preferred approach and re-submitted. A limited hearing on that supplemental information was held on February 1, 2016. On March 22, 2016, Judge Sterner issued his 939-paragraph, 371-page Initial Decision, which lowered the base ROEs for the EL13-33 and EL14-86 refund periods from 11.14% to 9.59% and 10.90%, respectively.²³ The Decision

¹⁶ The notice of this proceeding was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Aug. 19, 2015 (Vol. 80, No. 160) p. 50,271.

¹⁷ The 2012 Base ROE Complaint, filed by Environment Northeast (now known as Acadia Center), Greater Boston Real Estate Board, National Consumer Law Center, and the NEPOOL Industrial Customer Coalition ("NICC", and together, the "2012 Complainants"), challenged the TOs' 11.14% return on equity, and seeks a reduction of the Base ROE to 8.7%.

¹⁸ The 2014 Base ROE Complaint, filed July 31, 2014 by the Massachusetts Attorney General ("MA AG"), together with a group of State Advocates, Publicly Owned Entities, End Users, and End User Organizations (together, the "2014 ROE Complainants"), seeks to reduce the current 11.14% Base ROE to 8.84% (but in any case no more than 9.44%) and to cap the Combined ROE for all rate base components at 12.54%. 2014 ROE Complainants state that they submitted this Complaint seeking refund protection against payments based on a pre-incentives Base ROE of 11.14%, and a reduction in the Combined ROE, relief as yet not afforded through the prior ROE proceedings.

¹⁹ Environment Northeast, et al. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., et al., 147 FERC ¶ 61,235 (June 19, 2014) ("2012 Base ROE Initial Order"), reh'g denied, 151 FERC ¶ 61,125 (May 14, 2015).

²⁰ Mass. Att'y Gen. et al. -v- Bangor Hydro et al., 149 FERC ¶ 61,156 (Nov. 24, 2014), reh'g denied, 151 FERC ¶ 61,125 (May 14, 2015).

²¹ *Id.* at P 27 (for the refund period covered by EL13-33 (i.e., Dec. 27, 2012 through Mar. 27, 2014), the ROE for that particular 15-month refund period should be based on the last six months of that period; the refund period in EL14-86 and for the prospective period, on the most recent financial data in the record).

²² Environment Northeast, et al. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., et al. and Mass. Att'y Gen. et al. -v- Bangor Hydro et al., 151 FERC \P 61,125 (May 14, 2015).

²³ Environment Northeast, et al. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., et al. and Mass. Att'y Gen. et al. -v- Bangor Hydro et al., 154 FERC ¶ 63,024 (Mar. 22, 2016) ("2012/14 ROE Initial Decision").

also lowered the ROE ceilings. Judge Sterner's decision, if upheld by the FERC, would result in refunds totaling as much as \$100 million, largely concentrated in the EL13-33 refund period. Judge Sterner's findings and Initial Decision are pending, and will be subject to challenge, before the FERC. The Initial Decision and its findings can be approved or rejected, in whole or in part.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; <u>jfagan@daypitney.com</u>) or Eric Runge (617-345-4735; <u>ekrunge@daypitney.com</u>).

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

• FCA10 Results Filing (ER16-1041)

On February 29, the ISO filed the results of the tenth FCA ("FCA10") held February 8, 2016. The ISO reported the following highlights:

- FCA10 Capacity Zones were the Southeastern New England ("SENE") Capacity Zone (the Northeastern Massachusetts ("NEMA")/Boston, Southeastern Massachusetts, and Rhode Island Load Zones) and the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone (the Connecticut, Maine, Western/Central Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Vermont Load Zones)
- FCA10 commenced with a starting price of \$17.296/kW-mo. and concluded for SENE and Restof-Pool after four rounds.
- Resources will be paid as follows:
 - SENE, Rest-of-Pool, and imports over the HQ interfaces (224 MW): \$7.03/kW-month
 - NY AC Ties imports (1,045 MW): \$6.26/kW-month
 - New Brunswick imports (181 MW): \$4.00/kW-month
- No resources cleared as Conditional Qualified New Generating Capacity Resources
- No Long Lead Time Generating Facilities secured a Queue Position to participate as a New Generating Capacity Resource
- No de-list bids were rejected for reliability reasons

The ISO asked the FERC to accept the FCA10 rates and results, effective June 28, 2016. Comments on this filing are due on or before April 14, 2016. Thus far, doc-less interventions have been filed by NEPOOL, Entergy, Exelon, National Grid, NEPGA, and NESCOE. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>) or Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; <u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>).

• ICR-Related Values and HQICCs - 2019/20 Power Year (ER16-307)

The FERC's January 8, 2016 order accepting the 2019/20 Capability Year ICRs, Hydro Quebec Interconnection Capability Credits ("HQICCs") and related Local Sourcing Requirements ("LSR") is subject to a request for rehearing.²⁴ As previously reported, in accepting the 2019/20 values, the FERC noted "that ISO-NE followed the Commission's expectation that ISO-NE would work with its stakeholders to address the incorporation of solar PV forecasts into the ICR calculation for FCA 10."²⁵ The FERC found that the ISO "properly incorporated Non-Embedded Solar Resources into its ICR calculation, and has supported that action," dismissing arguments made by protesters to the contrary."²⁶ With respect to protests regarding the underlying stakeholder process, the FERC found that, "while those discussions did not result in NEPOOL's support of ISO-NE's proposed ICR, [] the stakeholder process … provided sufficient process, and, contrary to NEPGA's

²⁴ ISO New England Inc., 154 FERC ¶ 61,008 (Jan. 8, 2016) ("2019/20 ICR/HQICCs Order"), reh'g requested.

²⁵ *Id.* at P 27.

²⁶ *Id.* at PP 30-37.

assertion in its answer, considered the operational and market consequences of its change to its method of calculating the ICR."²⁷

NRG Request for Rehearing. NRG requested rehearing of the 2019/20 ICR/HQICCs Order on February 8, 2016 (asserting that, because "ISO-NE provided no evidence that it meaningfully 'explore[d] the incorporation of distributed generation' and 'examine[d] the market and operational issues,' the Commission erred in agreeing with ISO-NE that it abided by the Commission's earlier order." NRG requested that the FERC "clarify that any further changes to the Installed Reserve Margin forecasting methodology to take into account behind-the-meter resources will provide market participants advance notice, and the opportunity to comment on, methodological changes to ICR calculations." On February 26, NESCOE answered the NRG request for rehearing (asserting that the 2019/20 ICR/HQICCs Order reflects the FERC's careful consideration of the issues raised in NRG's rehearing request and that none of NRG's arguments require the FERC to provide any additional explanation of its finding). On March 7, the FERC issued a tolling order affording it additional time to consider the NRG request, which remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• Eversource CCRP Cost Treatment Proposal (ER16-116)

On March 22, 2016, the FERC accepted a CL&P proposal to treat \$15.7 million incurred in connection with the Central Connecticut Reliability Project ("CCRP") as capital costs of the New England East-West Solution ("NEEWS") transmission project. As previously reported, as part of the proposal, Eversource proposed to forgo the two ROE incentive adders that the FERC granted to the NEEWS Project (i.e., the 125 basis points for new transmission under *Order 679* and 50 basis points for participation in an RTO), given this component was redesigned and subsumed into a successor transmission project that does not have transmission incentives under *Order 679*. The proposal also included changes to OATT Attachment F and the Attachment F Implementation Rule. Eversource stated that its proposal will have a rate reduction effect. The filing was accepted effective as of December 18, 2015, 60 days from the date of the initial filing, rather than April 16, 2015, as requested (the date on which ISO-NE approved the Greater Hartford and Central Connecticut Project and Eversource withdrew its original CCRP PPAs from consideration in the RSP). Unless the March 22 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• FCA1 Results Remand Proceeding (ER08-633)

As previously reported, the DC Circuit issued a December 23, 2011 *per curium* order²⁸ that granted PSEG's May 2010 petition for review, remanding the FERC's orders in this proceeding²⁹ for further consideration. In particular, the FERC was directed to (i) determine whether PSEG's position (that it should receive the full (unprorated) floor price for all its resources that it could not prorate) would be an appropriate way to interpret the then-existing Market Rules and, if not, (ii) respond to PSEG's objections that any contrary result would result in "undue discrimination" and would be "inconsistent with the fundamental policy goals" of FCM.

In a long-awaited order, the FERC, on June 2, 2015, reversed its prior determination and found that, given that the ISO had prohibited resources needed for reliability from prorating quantity based on its interpretation of the Proration Rule, it was appropriate to consider resettlements to those resources that were not able to prorate quantity.³⁰ "[W]here resources needed for reliability were prohibited from prorating

²⁷ *Id.* at P 37.

²⁸ *PSEG Energy Res. & Trade LLC and PSEG Power Conn. LLC v. FERC*, No. 10-1103, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 25659, (D.C. Cir. Dec. 23, 2011).

²⁹ ISO New England Inc., 123 FERC ¶ 61,290 (2008); reh'g denied, 130 FERC ¶ 61,235 (2010), remanded, PSEG Energy Res. & Trade LLC and PSEG Power Conn. LLC v. FERC, No. 10-1103, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 25659, (D.C. Cir. Dec. 23, 2011).

³⁰ *ISO New England Inc.*, 151 FERC ¶ 61,196 (June 2, 2015) ("*FCA1 Remand Order*").

quantity under the Proration Rule, they should have received the full market clearing price for each megawatt offered."³¹ The FERC established a briefing schedule to permit the parties to address issues relating to the amounts of such resettlements (i.e., the difference between a resource's actual payment and what the payment would have been had proration of the resource not been rejected for reliability reasons), and the parties to which those payments should be charged and to whom they should be paid (taking into consideration any possible changes in ownership, retirements, or similar new circumstances of the resources in question).

In its initial brief filed on July 17, 2015, the ISO identified:

- the Connecticut resources that were unable to prorate quantity in FCA1, and the number of MWs for which each resource received a CSO;
- the resettlements due to each such entity, based on the difference between (1) the prorated price that the resources did receive (4.254/kW-mo.), and (2) the un-prorated capacity clearing price that the resources would have received absent price proration (4.50/kW-mo.), plus interest (total refunds with interest will total approximately \$20.4 million);
- the parties to whom the resettlements would be charged (those with Regional Network Load within Connecticut during that time) and paid (the resource's Lead Market Participant during each month of FCA1); and
- the mechanism by which the ISO would make such resettlements.

The ISO did not identify any considerations that would render the resettlements inappropriate or difficult. For purposes of its brief, the ISO assumed a December 14, 2015 resettlement date. Initial briefs were also submitted by Bridgeport Energy, Dominion, and PSEG. Bridgeport Energy submitted a reply brief (requesting that payments be paid to the legal entity that owned the resource at the time of the FCA1 Commitment Period or, if that legal entity no longer exists, to the successor in interest to ownership of the subject resource). The ISO answered Bridgeport Energy's reply brief on September 2, 2015, advocating for resettlement payments to the Lead Market Participant during the first Capacity Commitment Period. There has been no published activity in this proceeding since that September answer and this matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests

• Forward Reserve Heat Rate Calculation Revisions (ER16-1296)

On March 31, 2016, the ISO and NEPOOL jointly filed Tariff changes that revise the calculation of the Forward Reserve Heat Rate. The Forward Reserve Heat Rate Revisions were supported by the Participants Committee at its March 4, 2016 meeting (Consent Agenda Item #1). A June 15, 2016 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing are due on or before April 21, 2016. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>).

• DARD Pump Parameter Changes (ER16-954)

On March 22, the FERC accepted Tariff changes designed to improve the way that pump storage hydrogenerating resources are modeled and dispatched. The DARD Pump Parameter Changes were accepted effective as of March 31, 2017, as requested. Unless the March 22 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>).

• Transmission Outage Scheduling Revisions (ER16-937)

On March 9, the FERC accepted Tariff revisions deleting "Major Transmission Outage" terminology and modifying the Long-Term economic evaluation requirements for transmission outages submitted to the ISO at least 90 days in advance of the start of the outage. The revisions were accepted effective as of April 13, 2016, as

³¹ *Id.* at P 14.

requested. Unless the March 9 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>).

• FRM Offer Cap, Elimination of Price Netting (ER16-921)

On March 25, the FERC accepted Tariff changes adjusting the Forward Reserve Market ("FRM") offer cap and eliminating the need to net the FCA price from the FRM price for a Forward Reserve Resource ("FRM Changes"). The FRM Changes were accepted effective as of June 1, 2016, as requested. Unless the March 25 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• New DNE Dispatch Changes Effective Date (May 25, 2016) (ER16-870)

On March 25, the FERC accepted changes that establish May 25, 2016 (rather than April 10, 2016) as the effective date for the new Do Not Exceed ("DNE") Dispatch Changes. The FERC previously accepted the DNE Dispatch Changes to become effective on April 10, 2016 in an order issued on July 23, 2015.³² However, in a February 2 filing, the ISO reported that it would not be able to implement the DNE Dispatch Changes on that date as planned, "in part due to the need to complete thorough quality assurance testing of these changes because they affect the real-time dispatch systems" and requested a brief delay to permit implementation on May 25, 2016. In accordance with the March 25 order, the DNE Dispatch Changes will become effective May 25. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• CSO Termination: Spruce Mountain Wind (ER16-864)

On March 17, the FERC accepted the termination of a portion of the CSO for Resource No. 38173 held by Project Sponsor Spruce Mountain Wind. As indicated, the ISO will draw down the applicable amount of financial assurance provided by Spruce Mountain Wind with respect to the portion of the CSO to be terminated. Unless the March 17 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• FCM Resource Retirement Reforms (ER16-551)

Changes proposed by the ISO and its Internal Market Monitor ("IMM") to the FCM rules for resource retirements (the "ISO/IMM Proposal") are pending before the FERC. As previously reported, the ISO/IMM Proposal, filed on December 17, 2015, requires (i) that capacity suppliers with existing resources to submit a price for the retirement of a resource (to replace the existing Non-Price Retirement Request process), (ii) the use of a Proxy De-List Bid, and (iii) notice of the potential retirement and proposed retirement price to be submitted prior to the commencement of an FCA's qualification process for new resources. The ISO/IMM Proposal was considered but not supported by the Participants Committee at its December 4, 2015 meeting. A February 16, 2016 effective date was initially requested. Comments on this filing were initially due on or before January 7, but following a December 18 request by NEPGA, the FERC granted a limited extension of time to submit comments to January 11. Doc-less interventions were filed by Calpine, CMEEC, ConEd, Emera, Entergy, Eversource, Exelon, MMWEC, National Grid, NEPGA, NESCOE, NextEra, NHEC, NRG, PSEG, and TransCanada. NEPOOL submitted comments on December 30 expanding on the reporting of stakeholder consideration of the ISO/IMM Proposal and amendments thereto.

Protests were filed by *GEN Group* (urging the FERC to adopt the GEN Group Proposal, while highlighting concerns with the ISO's proposed sole use of its new discounted cash flow methodology, excessive discretion, assignment of filing rights, price suppression, over-mitigation and impact on retirement rights), *NEPGA* (asserting that (a) elimination of the Non Price Retirement Request mechanism was not sufficiently justified, (b) proposed use of FERC-approved and proxy de-list bids will result in over-mitigation and undue discrimination, and (c) protesting the proposed assignment of filing rights), *Dominion* (supporting NEPGA's protest and highlighting (a) retirement decisions are significant business decisions unlikely to be used to exercise

³² ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm., 152 FERC ¶ 61,065 (July 23, 2015) ("DNE Dispatch Order").

market power; (b) the concepts of "premature" or "uneconomic" retirements cannot be captured by a bright line test; and (c) de-list bid review should defer to the business judgment of the capacity supplier to match the allocation of risk in the market), *NRG* ((a) identifying price suppression, over-mitigation, and unduly discriminatory pricing effects of the ISO's proposal; and (b) asserting that it would be unjust and unreasonable to eliminate the ability for resource owners to reduce bids or to bind a resource to its retirement for every year after it places its retirement bid, and *PSEG* (urging the FERC to reject the filing for many of the same reasons identified by the other protestors and, if not rejected, to direct the ISO to address what PSEG perceives as the real problem -- the lack of flexibility in developing and submitting Static De-List Bids.). *NESCOE* submitted comments supporting the ISO's filing. On January 27, the ISO and Eversource answered the protests filed.

The External Market Monitor, *Potomac Economics*, moved on February 1 to intervene out-of-time, supported the ISO/IMM Proposal, and recommended the following three changes to "address some of the concerns and make the reforms more effective in mitigating potential exercises of market power":

- 1. Allocation of the additional costs of procuring capacity to the retiring supplier when the resource in question was economic based on its competitive, FERC-approved proxy de-list bid.
- 2. Institution of a 15% threshold for the imposition of mitigation (i.e. mitigation only where the original de-list bid exceeds the ISO's competitive estimate by 15% or more), reasonably allowing for differing expectations and risk preferences of the supplier.
- 3. Augmenting the proposed portfolio test to include incremental revenues that may result from the higher FCA prices that derive not only from a supplier's portfolio of generation assets, but also from its other physical and financial positions.

On February 5, GEN Group, NEPGA, NRG, Dominion, and on February 8, PSEG, filed answers to the January 27 answers and to the comments of the EMM.

Deficiency Letter & Response. On February 12, the FERC issued a deficiency letter, indicating that responses to the five detailed questions set forth in the deficiency letter were required for the filing to be processed. The ISO's response to the deficiency letter was due, and was filed on, February 29. In addition to its responses to the questions posed in the February 12 deficiency letter, the ISO submitted corrected Tariff sheets (which omitted certain changes considered in the Participant Processes), and which reflected the ISO's revised effective date of March 1, 2016. The ISO's response to the deficiency letter was considered an amendment to its filing and re-started the statutory clock. Protests to the ISO's deficiency letter response were filed by NEPGA, Gen Group, NRG, and PSEG. On March 1, NEPGA filed an initial protest the ISO's response and, in addition, requested that the FERC take emergency action and issue an order on or before March 17 ordering the ISO: (1) to refrain from applying or enforcing revised tariff provisions set forth in the initial filing until authorized ; and (2) to propose adjusted FCA11 deadlines that would afford suppliers a reasonable period of time to comply with any requirements that may be accepted in an order on the initial filing. The ISO answered NEPGA's March 1 protest and request for emergency action on March 7. The FERC has not acted on hat NEPGA request. The ISO/IMM Proposal itself is again pending before the FERC.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>).

• Demand Curve Changes Remand Proceedings (ER14-1639)

As previously reported, the FERC conditionally accepted, on May 30, 2014, revisions to the FCM rules, jointly submitted by the ISO and NEPOOL, that establish a system-wide sloped demand curve ("Demand Curve Changes").³³ The Demand Curve Changes defined the shape of the system-wide sloped demand curve (with key points defined by CONE and the 0.1 days/year LOLE target), extended the period during which a Market Participant may "lock-in" the capacity price for a new resource from five to seven years, establish a limited

³³ ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm., 147 FERC ¶ 61,173 (May 30, 2014) ("Demand Curve Order").

renewables resource exemption, and eliminated, at the system-wide level, the administrative pricing rules that were necessary in certain market conditions under the vertical demand curve construct. In response to challenges, the FERC denied rehearing of the *Demand Curve Order*,³⁴ but clarified (agreeing with Exelon and Entergy) that a resource that elects to utilize the renewables minimum offer price rule exemption should not also be allowed to utilize the new resource lock-in).³⁵ A compliance filing clarifying that a resource may not utilize both the renewable resource exemption and the new resource price lock-in was submitted on March 2, accepted on May 1, and became effective on May 2, 2015.³⁶

NextEra, NRG and PSEG petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's Demand Curve orders (March 30, 2015). Following submission of Petitioner and Intervenor for Petitioner briefs (October 5 and 20, 2015, respectively), the FERC, on November 20, 2015, requested that the Court remand the case back to the FERC for further proceedings (stating that "review of the opening briefs indicates that further consideration by the Commission is appropriate"). On December 1, 2015, the Court granted FERC's unopposed motion, and remanded the case back to the FERC for further proceedings. Since that remand, there have been no public developments to report. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding (ER13-2266)

As previously reported, the DC Circuit remanded the FERC's decision in ER13-2266, agreeing with TransCanada that the record upon which the FERC relied is devoid of any evidence regarding how much of the 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program cost was attributable to profit and risk mark-up (without which the FERC could not properly assess whether the Program's rates were just and reasonable).³⁷ The FERC must either offer a reasoned justification for the order in ER13-2266 or revise its disposition to ensure that the Program rates are just and reasonable. With respect to TransCanada's claims regarding the FERC's decision in ER13-1851, the Court found that TransCanada's challenge with respect to the procurement process, bid results, and explanation of costs were properly raised and considered in conjunction with Docket ER13-2266 and were not ripe for review in ER13-1851, and found no merit in TransCanada's challenge to the FERC's order that Program costs should be allocated to Real-Time Load Obligation. The Clerk issued the mandate (official remand to the FERC) on February 17, 2016. Since that remand, there have been no public developments to report. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

IV. OATT Amendments / TOAs / Coordination Agreements

• Generator Interconnection Revisions (ER16-946)

Revisions to OATT Schedules 22, 23 and 25 jointly filed by the ISO and the PTO AC on February 16, 2016 that incorporate certain interconnection process improvements are pending before the FERC. The Generator Interconnection Revisions are intended to: (i) to reduce the time to interconnect new generators; (ii) to address some of the operational issues related to inverter-based generators; and (iii) to meet NERC modeling and performance requirements. Among the changes is a reactive power requirement that will apply to wind generators and that is similar to the requirement that the FERC has proposed in its November 19, 2015 notice of proposed rulemaking in Docket No. RM16-1. The Generator Interconnection Revisions were supported unanimously by the Participants Committee at its February 5, 2016 meeting, with an abstention noted by SunEdison. An April 17, 2016 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due

³⁴ ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm., 147 FERC ¶ 61,173 (May 30, 2014) ("Demand Curve Order"), reh'g denied but clarif. granted, 150 FERC ¶ 61,065 (Jan. 30. 2015).

³⁵ ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm., 150 FERC ¶ 61,065, at P 27 (Jan. 30, 2015) ("Demand Curve Clarification Order").

³⁶ The changes become effective with FCA-10, and will not apply to the resources in FCA9, totaling 12.96 MW, that utilize both the renewable resource exemption and the price lock-in election.

³⁷ TransCanada Power Mktg. Ltd. v. FERC, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 22304 (D.C. Cir. 2015).

March 8, 2016 and were filed by NEPOOL, NESCOE, RENEW Northeast (each supporting the Revisions as a first phase of changes to improve the interconnection process in New England, with a second phase of ISO-NE-led discussions of potential solutions to the queue backlog issue and related interconnection process improvements to be held in 2016), SunEdison (generally supporting the Revisions, but requesting FERC clarification as to how the rules are to be interpreted and applied), and AWEA (supporting the Revisions contingent upon the ISO following through on its commitment to work on addressing "deeper" changes needed to the interconnection process in order to address substantial backlogs, as well as other issues). Docless interventions were filed by Champlain VT, EDF Renewable Energy, Entergy, Eversource, Exelon, and National Grid (out-of-time). On March 23, the ISO answered the comments of SunEdison, AWEA, RENEW, and NEPOOL. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• RSP Timing Changes (ER16-819)

On March 11, the FERC accepted changes to OATT Attachment K to modify the timing of the Regional System Plan ("RSP") so that the full RSP report will be published every other year, rather than every year, but with supporting documents like the RSP project list, the annual load forecast, and other annual planning inputs, to continue to be published as they are completed ("RSP Timing Changes"). The FERC accepted the RSP Timing Changes effective as of March 29, 2016, as requested. Unless the March 11 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

٧.	Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments
----	---

No Activity to Report

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes		
	VI.	Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes

• Schedule 21-EM: Rate Adjustments for Anticipated Changes (ER16-1301)

On March 31, Emera Maine filed changes to Schedule 21-EM to permit it to adjust historical load, revenue, and sales data used as inputs to the formula rate to reflect "known and measurable" anticipated changes, particularly when actual load falls short of historic load and Emera Maine might otherwise under-recover its revenue requirement. Comments on this filing are due on or before April 21, 2016. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 21-EM: GNP East IOA Termination (ER16-1063)

On March 2, Emera filed a notice of termination of a 2003 Interconnection and Operating Agreement with GNP East (as successor in interest to Katahdin Paper Company, having assumed the IOA in 2011) that governs the BHE/Great Northern-Millinocket 115 kV Interface Project. Emera filed the termination as a result of GNP East's Chapter 7 trustee's failure to assume the IOA in GNP East's bankruptcy proceeding. Comments on this filing were due on or before March 23, 2016; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 22: New England Wind LGIA (ER16-1024)

On February 29, the ISO and National Grid filed a non-conforming, 3-party LGIA between the ISO, New England Wind as Interconnection Customer, and National Grid as Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The LGIA will replace a prior 2007 non-conforming LGIA governing the current interconnection of New England Wind's 28.5 MW Hoosac Project in Florida and Monroe, MA. The need for a new LGIA was triggered by New England Wind's request to change from Network Resource Interconnection Service to Capacity Network Interconnection Service. The LGIA is non-conforming in that it contains certain deviations from Schedule 22's *pro forma* LGIA, reflected in the 2007 LGIA, necessary to accommodate resolutions agreed to in settlement. A January 19, 2016 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due on or before March 21; none

were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; <u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>).

• Schedule 21-ES: Eversource Recovery of NU/NSTAR Merger-Related Costs (ER16-1023)

On February 26, Eversource filed changes to Schedule ES-21 to recover \$38.9 million in FERCjurisdictional, merger-related transmission costs incurred as the result of the April 10, 2012 NU/NSTAR merger. Eversource proposes to recover the costs over either a one-year or three-year amortization period. A June 1, 2016 effective date was requested. On February 29, Eversource submitted an informational filing to inform parties in various other dockets of the February 26 Section 205 filing in this proceeding. And, on March 1, Eversource submitted certain tariff records in Word format to replace pdf versions of the same sections included in the February 26 filing. Comments on these filings are due 21 days from their submission, or March 18, 21, and 22, 2016, respectively. Interventions were submitted by the CMP, NHEC, CT PURA, and MPUC. Protests and comments were filed by EMCOS, MA AG, CT AG, CT OCC, and NH OCA. On April 4, Eversource filed an answer to those protests and comments. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 21-NEP: CV South Street Landing Reimbursement Agreement (ER16-986)

On March 21, the FERC accepted a Cost Reimbursement Agreement between Narragansett Electric Company ("National Grid") and CV South Street Landing LLC ("South Street"), designated as CRA-NECO-07 under Schedule 21-NEP. The Agreement is designed to facilitate the work associated with South Street's request that, in connection with its Providence, Rhode Island development plans, National Grid relocate underground an existing, above-ground 115 kV transmission line and related facilities owned by National Grid. The Agreement was accepted as of February 19, 2016, as requested. Unless the March 21 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 21-EM: Emera Maine/Covanta Maine LTSA Terminations (ER16-840)

On March 18, the FERC accepted Emera's notice of termination of two expired long-term transmission service agreements ("LTSAs") with Covanta Maine that had expired December 31, 2015 by their own terms. The Agreements were Service Agreements 69 and 70 under Schedule 21-EM. The terminations became effective December 31, 2015, as requested. Unless the March 18 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 21-NSTAR: Fore River LGIA Termination Notice (ER16-816)

On March 7, the FERC accepted the notice of termination of a Fore River LGIA that has since been replaced by an executed three-party LGIA (NSTAR/ISO-NE/Calpine) as a result of Calpine's Interconnection Request to increase the Fore River Energy Center's Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service. The Agreement was Service Agreement 68 under Schedule 21-NSTAR. The termination became effective January 20, 2016 (the effective date of the new LGIA), as requested. Unless the March 7 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

VII.	NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activity to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

• Opinion 531-A Local Refund Report: FG&E (EL11-66)

On June 29, 2015, FG&E filed its refund report for its customers taking local service during the refund period in accordance with *Opinion 531-A*. Comments, if any, on this filing were due on or before July

20; none were filed and this matter is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; <u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>).

• Opinions 531-A/531-B Regional Refund Reports (EL11-66)

On November 2, 2015, the TOs submitted a refund report documenting resettlements of regional transmission charges by the ISO in compliance with *Opinions No. 531-A*³⁸ and 531-B.³⁹ As previously reported, refunds resulting from *Opinion No. 531-B* were completed by August 31, 2015. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• Opinions 531-A/531-B Local Refund Reports (EL11-66)

In accordance with *Opinions 531-A and 531-B*, the following TOs filed their refund reports for their customers taking local service during the refund period (comment date on refund report noted in parentheses):

- Central Maine Power (Jan 21)
- Emera Maine (Jan 29)
- Eversource (CL&P, PSNH, WMECO) (Jan 21)
- National Grid (Jan 13)
- New Hampshire Transmission (Jan 21)
- ♦ NSTAR (Jan 21)
- United Illuminating (Jan 21); supplement (Feb 1)
- ♦ VT Transco (Feb 3)

All comments dates have passed. No comments were filed in response to any of the reports and each is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• Capital Projects Report - 2015 Q4 (ER16-928)

On April 6, the FERC accepted the ISO's Capital Projects Report and Unamortized Cost Schedule covering the fourth quarter ("Q4") of calendar year 2015 (the "Report"). As previously reported, highlights included the following new projects: (i) Market System Corrective Action/Preventative Actions ("CAPAs") (\$1.02 million); (ii) Oracle 12c Upgrade (\$426,000); (iii) Pipeline Constrained Reserve Adequacy Assessment ("RAA") Unit Commitment ("PCUC") Study (\$140,000); (iv) Statistical Analysis System ("SAS") Hardware/Software Upgrade (\$117,221); (v) Strategic Talent Management Initiative (\$60,000); and (vi) NCPC Modifications Project (\$55,000). Projects with significant changes included: (i) FTC Clearing Solutions (\$100,000 decrease; pending resolution of exchange and clearing house issues); Quarterly Release Projects (\$800,000 decrease; enhancements covered under other projects); (iii) FCA10 (\$272,900 decrease; contingency funds unused, internal ISO-NE resources replacing outside consultant fees); (iv) CIP v5 (\$263,800 decrease; funding reallocated to 2016); (v) Synchrophasor Initiatives (\$200,000 increase; e-terraphasoranalytics software acquisition and integration). Unless the April 6 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Paul Belval (860-275-0381; pnbelval@daypitney.com).

• Reserve Market Compliance (20th) Semi-Annual Report (ER06-613)

As directed by the original ASM II Order,⁴⁰ as modified,⁴¹ the ISO submitted its 20th semi-annual reserve market compliance report on April 1, 2016. In the 20th report, the ISO explained, as in its prior

³⁸ Martha Coakley, Mass. Att'y Gen. et al., 149 FERC ¶ 61,032 (Oct. 16, 2014) ("Opinion 531-A").

³⁹ Martha Coakley, Mass. Att'y Gen. et al., Opinion No. 531-B, 150 FERC ¶ 61,165 (Mar. 3, 2015) ("Opinion 531-B").

⁴⁰ See NEPOOL and ISO New England Inc., 115 FERC ¶ 61,175 (2006) ("ASM II Order") (directing the ISO to provide updates on the implementation of a forward TMSR market), *reh'g denied* 117 FERC ¶ 61,106 (2006).

compliance reports, that work on the forward TMSR market issues continues to be on hold due to its efforts on other priority projects. Due to the ISO's efforts on other priority projects, work on the forward TMSR market issues is on hold, and the ISO reports that it does not contemplate revisiting this issue until at least 2018. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Dave Doot (860-275-0102; dtdoot@daypitney.com).

• ISO-NE FERC Form 715 (not docketed)

On April 1, the ISO submitted its 2016 Annual Transmission Planning and Evaluation Report. These filings are not noticed for filing.

IX. Membership Filings

• April 2016 Membership Filing (ER16-1321)

On April 1, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept (i) the memberships of Atlantic Energy MA, LLC (Supplier Sector); Eversource Energy Transmission Ventures, Inc. (Provisional Member, Related Person of the Eversource Companies; Transmission Sector); Roctop Investments Inc. (Supplier Sector); and Sustaining Power Solutions (Related Person of Marble River; Supplier Sector) and (ii) the March 1, 2016 termination of Aequitas Energy (Supplier Sector). Comments on this filing are due on or before April 22.

• March 2016 Membership Filing (ER16-1031)

On February 29, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept (i) the membership of EDF Energy Services, LLC (Related Person of EDF Trading North America, LLC; Supplier Sector); and (ii) the terminations of: Guzman Energy (Supplier Sector) and the Westerly Hospital Companies (End User Sector). This matter is pending before the FERC.

• Involuntary Termination of Membership: NAPP (ER16-820)

On March 17, the FERC accepted the involuntary termination of the NEPOOL and Market Participant status of North America Power Partners ("NAPP") as a result of NAPP's failure to pay when due the amounts invoiced to it by the ISO. The termination was accepted as of January 1, 2016, as requested.

• Involuntary Termination of Membership: Negawatt (ER16-818)

Also on March 17, the FERC accepted the involuntary termination of the NEPOOL and Market Participant status of Negawatt Business Solutions ("Negawatt") as a result of Negawatt's failure to pay when due the amounts invoiced to it by the ISO. The termination was accepted as of January 1, 2016, as requested.

• Suspension Notices (not docketed)

Since the last Report, the ISO filed, pursuant to Section 2.3 of the Information Policy, a notice with the FERC noting that the following Participant was suspended from the New England Markets on the date indicated (at 8:30 a.m.) due to a Payment Default:

Date of Suspension/ FERC Notice	Participant Name	Date Reinstated
Mar 7/8	CHI Power Marketing, LLC	Mar 21

Suspension notices are for the FERC's information only and are not docketed or noticed for public comment.

⁴¹ See NEPOOL and ISO New England Inc., 123 FERC ¶ 61,298 (2008) (continuing the semi-annual reporting requirement with respect to the consideration and implementation of a forward market for Ten-Minute Spinning Reserve ("TMSR")).

Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards

Questions concerning any of the ERO Reliability Standards or related rule-making proceedings or filings can be directed to Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; *pmgerity@daypitney.com*).

• Revised Reliability Standard: FAC-003-4 (RD16-4)

Х.

On March 14, as corrected on March 17, NERC filed for approval changes to Reliability Standard FAC-003 (Transmission Vegetation Management), an associated Implementation Plan, and for the retirement of the currently-effective version of the Reliability Standard, FAC-003-3. NERC stated that the purpose of the revisions is to revise the Alternating Current Minimum Vegetation Clearance Distances ("MVCD Values") set forth in the Standard to be higher and more conservative, given EPRI test results which suggested that MVCD Values under the existing version of the Standard appeared to be too low. NERC requested that FAC-003-4 become effective the first day of the first calendar quarter that is three months after the effective date of the order approving the revised Standard. Comments on this filing are due on or before April 14.

• Revised Reliability Standard: BAL-002-2 (RM16-7)

On January 29, 2016, NERC filed for approval a revised Reliability Standard -- BAL-002-2 (Disturbance Control Performance - Contingency Reserve for Recovery from a Balancing Contingency Event), and associated Glossary definitions, implementation plan, VRFs and VSLs (together, the "BAL Changes"). NERC stated that the BAL Changes consolidate six requirements in BAL-002-1 into three requirements. The three requirements are supported by several proposed associated NERC Glossary definitions, along with a revised Applicability section that incorporates language from the existing Standard. BAL-002-2 requires responsible entities to maintain and deploy energy reserves and to stabilize system frequency through identification of a Reportable ACE deviation and restoration of Reporting ACE to defined values after a system disturbance. BAL-002-2 will also require the responsible entity to maintain an Operating Process to ensure maintenance of Contingency Reserves to a level at least equal to the responsible entity's Most Severe Single Contingency ("MSSC"). By doing so, BAL002-2 will create and implement a continent-wide reserve policy to ensure that responsible entities will always have adequate Contingency Reserves to be deployed as necessary. NERC requested that responsible entities be required to comply with BAL-002-2 on the first day of the first calendar quarter that is six months after this standard is approved by the FERC. On February 12, 2016, NERC submitted supplemental information that clarified how BAL-002-2 will work in conjunction with the successor provisions to TOP-007-0 (TOP-007-0 is set to expire on April 1, 2017). On March 31, NERC provided further supplemental information to further clarify the significance of the Most Severe Single Contingency ("MSSC") as the upper bounds for events that qualify as Reportable Balancing Contingency Events ("RBCE") under Reliability Standard BAL-002-2 and the way in which other Reliability Standards are necessary and appropriate to address events beyond MSSC. As of the date of this Report, the FERC has not noticed a proposed rulemaking proceeding or otherwise invited public comment.

• Order 822: Revised Reliability Standards: CIP-003-6, CIP-004-6, CIP-006-6, CIP-007-6, CIP-009-6, CIP-010-2, CIP-011-2 (RM15-14)

On January 21, the FERC issued *Order* 822⁴² approving changes to seven CIP (Critical Infrastructure Protection) Reliability Standards designed to improve the cyber security protections required by the CIP Standards and address four directives from *Order 791* (the "Supply Chain Cyber Controls Changes"). As previously reported, NERC stated that the Supply Chain Cyber Controls Changes (i) remove the "identify, assess, and correct" language from the 17 requirements in the CIP Version 5 Standards that included such language; (ii) require responsible entities to implement cyber security plans for assets containing low impact bulk electric system ("BES") Cyber Systems; (iii) include specific requirements applicable to transient devices to further mitigate the security risks associated with such devices; and (iv) require entities to implement security controls for non-programmable components of communication networks at Control Centers with high or medium impact BES Cyber Systems. In approving the Supply Chain Cyber Controls Changes, the FERC directed NERC to develop the following modifications to improve the revised CIP Standards: (i) modifications to address the protection of

⁴² Revised Critical Infrastructure Protection Rel. Standards, Order No. 822, 154 FERC ¶ 61,037 (Jan. 21, 2016) ("Order 822").

transient electronic devices used at Low Impact BES Cyber Systems; (ii) modifications to CIP-006-6 to require protections for communication network components and data communicated between all bulk electric system Control Centers according to the risk posed to the bulk electric system; and (iii) modifications to the definition for Low Impact External Routable Connectivity. *Order 822* does not address the supply chain risk management issues to be discussed at the January technical conference (the FERC will determine the appropriate action on that issue following the technical conference). *Order 822* became effective March 31, 2016.⁴³ On February 22, the Foundation for Resilient Societies ("FRS") and Isologic LLC requested rehearing of *Order 822*. On March 21, the FERC issued a tolling order affording it additional time to consider the FRS and Isologic requests for rehearing, which remain pending before the FERC. On March 29, FRS, Isologic and Applied Content Solutions asked the FERC to reopen the evidentiary record to consider new evidence and analysis it summarized, including new information related to the late 2015 cyber-attack against the electric grid in the Ukraine.

Extension of Time for Compliance with CIP Version 5 Standards. On February 25, the FERC granted Trade Associations⁴⁴ February 4, 2016 request for an extension of time for implementation of the CIP version 5 Standards for entities with High and Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems from April 1, 2016 to July 1, 2016 to align with the effective date for the revised CIP Standards approved in *Order 822*.⁴⁵ In granting the request, the FERC found that "separate implementation dates in short succession create unnecessary administrative burdens with little or no commensurate benefit to reliability".⁴⁶

Technical Conference on supply chain risk management issues. On January 28, 2016, the FERC held a technical conference to facilitate dialogue on supply chain risk management issues identified by the FERC in *Order 822*. Staff presented on supply chain efforts by other Federal agencies, followed by industry panels on: (1) the need for a new or modified Reliability Standard; (2) the scope and Implementation of a new or modified Standard; and (3) current supply chain risk management practices and collaborative efforts. New England panelists included: John Galloway (ISO-NE, Director, Cyber Security); and Jonathan Appelbaum (UI, Director, NERC Compliance). Speaker materials from the technical conference are posted on the FERC's eLibrary.

• NOPR: New Reliability Standard: TPL-007-1 (RM15-11)

As previously reported, FERC issued a NOPR, on May 14, 2015, proposing to approve a new Reliability Standard -- TPL-007-1 (Geomagnetic Disturbance Operations) -- and one new definition (Geomagnetic Disturbance Vulnerability Assessment), associated VRFs and VSLs (together, the "GMD Operations Changes").⁴⁷ In addition, the FERC proposes to direct NERC (i) to develop modifications to the benchmark GMD event definition set forth in TPL-007-1 Attachment 1 so that the definition is not based solely on spatially-averaged data and (ii) to submit a work plan, and subsequently one or more informational filings, that address specific GMDrelated research areas. As previously reported, NERC stated that the GMD Operations Changes address the FERC's directive in *Order 779* that NERC develop a Reliability Standard that requires owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System to conduct initial and on-going vulnerability assessments of the potential impact of benchmark geomagnetic disturbance events on the Bulk-Power System equipment and the Bulk-Power System as a whole.⁴⁸ NERC requested the FERC approve a five-year phased implementation plan for Compliance with TPL-007-1. Comments on this NOPR were due on or before July 27, 2015,⁴⁹ and were filed by over 20 parties,

⁴³ Order 822 was published in the Fed. Reg. on Jan. 26, 2016 (Vol. 81, No. 16) pp. 4,177-4,191.

⁴⁴ "Trade Associations" are Edison Electric Institute ("EEI"), APPA, Electricity Consumers Resource Council ("ECRC"), Electric Power Supply Association ("EPSA"), Large Public Power Council ("LPPC"), National Rural Electric Cooperative Association ("NRECA"), and Transmission Access Policy Study Group ("TAPS").

⁴⁵ Revised Critical Infrastructure Protection Rel. Standards, 154 FERC ¶ 61,137 (Feb. 25, 2016).

⁴⁶ *Id*. at P 9.

⁴⁷ *Rel. Standard for Transmission System Planned Performance for Geomagnetic Disturbance Events*, 151 FERC ¶ 61,134 (May 14, 2015) ("*TPL-007 NOPR*").

⁴⁸ Rel. Standards for Geomagnetic Disturbances, Order No. 779, 143 FERC ¶ 61,147 ("Order 779").

⁴⁹ The *TPL-007 NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on May 26, 2015 (Vol. 80, No. 100) pp. 29,990-30,001.

including ISO-NE/NYIOS/PJM/MISO/IESO, EEI, Exelon, and NERC. On August 17, NERC filed a notice that the appeal panel appointed under NERC's process for Standards appeals had concluded NERC appeal proceedings by using a final decision finding that the objections of appellant Foundation for Resilient Societies, Inc. were afforded fair and equitable treatment during the TPL-007-1 development process. Comments on that panel's decision were due and filed by September 10. On October 2, the FERC issued a notice that comments on Foundation for Resilient Societies' filing of a September 2015 technical paper prepared by the Los Alamos National Laboratory entitled "Review of the GMD Benchmark Event in TPL-007-1" as well as on NERC's September 10 comments should be filed on or before October 22. Comments were filed by 8 parties. In addition, On November 2, D. Bardin requested official notice of National Space Weather Strategy and NSW Action Plan. Additional comments and reply comments were filed by EEI, APPA, ECRC, NRECA, D. Bardin, U.S. Geological Survey, Southern Company, IEEE PES Transformers Committee, Storm Analysis Consultants & Advanced Fusion Systems, and J. Stolov.

March 1, 2016 Technical Conference. A technical conference was held on March 1, 2016. The technical conference was led by Commission staff, with prepared remarks presented by invited panelists. Topics and related questions discussed during the conference included: the benchmark GMD event definition (including geomagnetic fields and earth conductivity); vulnerability assessments (harmonics and vibrational effects during benchmark GMD events, transformer thermal assessments, non-uniform geoelectric fields), and monitoring and future work. Speaker materials are posted on the FERC's e-Library. Additional comments following the technical conference were filed by Trade Associations,⁵⁰ D. Bardin, J. Kappenman/C. Birnbach, FRS, and AEP.

• Order 823: New Reliability Standard: PRC-026-1 (RM15-8)

On March 17, the FERC approved PRC-026-1 (Relay Performance During Stable Power Swings) and associated VRFs and VSLs (the "PRC-026 Standard").⁵¹ As previously reported, the PRC-026 Standard was filed in response to the FERC's directive to NERC in *Order 733*⁵² to develop a Reliability Standard addressing undesirable relay operation due to stable power swings. PRC-026 will become effective as follows: R1 on April 1, 2017; and R2-R4 on April 1, 2019. Unless *Order 823* is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded.

• NOPR: Revised Reliability Standard: MOD-001-2 (RM14-7)

The MOD-001-2 NOPR remains pending before the FERC. On June 19, 2014, the FERC issued a NOPR proposing to approve changes to MOD-001-2 (Modeling, Data, and Analysis - Available Transmission System Capability) ("MOD Changes") proposed by NERC.⁵³ The MOD Changes would replace, consolidate and improve upon the Existing MOD Standards in addressing the reliability issues associated with determinations of Available Transfer Capability ("ATC") and Available Flowgate Capability ("AFC"). MOD-001-2 will replace the six Existing MOD Standards⁵⁴ to exclusively focus on the reliability aspects of ATC and AFC determinations. NERC requested that the revised MOD Standard be approved, and the Existing MOD Standards be retired, effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter that is 18 months after the date that the proposed Reliability Standard is approved by the FERC. NERC explained that the implementation period is intended to provide NAESB sufficient time to include in its WEQ Standards, prior to MOD-001-2's effective date, those elements from the Existing MOD Standards, if any, that relate to commercial or business practices and are not included in

⁵² Transmission Relay Loadability Rel. Standard, Order No. 733, 130 FERC ¶ 61,221 (2010); order on reh'g and clarif., Order No. 733-A, 134 FERC ¶ 61,127 (2011); clarified, Order No. 733-B, 136 FERC ¶ 61,185 (2011) ("Order 733").

⁵³ Modeling, Data, and Analysis Rel. Standards, 147 FERC ¶ 61,208 (June 19, 2014).

⁵⁴ The 6 existing MOD Standards to be replaced by MOD-001-2 are: MOD-001-1, MOD-004-1, MOD-008-1, MOD-028-2, MOD-029-1a and MOD-030-2.

⁵⁰ "Trade Associations" are the Edison Electric Institute ("EEI"), American Public Power Association ("APPA"), Electricity Consumers Resource Council ("ECRC"), Electric Power Supply Association ("EPSA"), Large Public Power Council, and National Rural Electric Cooperative Association ("NRECA").

⁵¹ Relay Performance During Stable Power Swings Rel. Standard, Order No. 823, 154 FERC ¶ 61,192 (Mar. 17, 2016) ("Order 823").

proposed MOD-001-2. The FERC seeks comment from NAESB and others whether 18 months would provide adequate time for NAESB to develop related business practices associated with ATC calculations or whether additional time may be appropriate to better assure synchronization of the effective dates for the proposed Reliability Standard and related NAESB practices. The FERC also seeks further elaboration on specific actions NERC could take to assure synchronization of the effective dates. Comments on this NOPR were due August 25, 2014,⁵⁵ and were filed by NERC, Bonneville, Duke, MISO, and NAESB. On December 19, 2014, NAESB supplemented its comments with a report on its efforts to develop WEQ Business Practice Standards that will support and coordinate with the MOD Standards proposed in this proceeding. NASEB issued a report on September 25, 2015, informing the FERC that the NAESB standards development process has been completed and NAESB will file the new suite of business practice standards as part of Version 003.1 of the NAESB WEQ Business Practice Standards in October 2015. As noted above, the MOD-001-2 NOPR remains pending before the FERC.

• NOPR: BAL-002-1a Interpretation Remand (RM13-6)

This May 16, 2013 NOPR, which proposes to remand NERC's proposed interpretation of BAL-002 (Disturbance Control Performance Reliability Standard) filed February 12, 2013 (which would prevent Registered Entities from shedding load to avoid possible violations of BAL-002), remains pending.⁵⁶ NERC asserted that the proposed interpretation clarifies that BAL-002-1 is intended to be read as an integrated whole and relies in part on information in the Compliance section of the Reliability Standard. Specifically, the proposed interpretation would clarify that: (1) a Disturbance that exceeds the most severe single Contingency, regardless if it is a simultaneous Contingency or non-simultaneous multiple Contingency, would be a reportable event, but would be excluded from Compliance evaluation; (2) a pre-acknowledged Reserve Sharing Group would be treated in the same manner as an individual Balancing Authority; however, in a dynamically allocated Reserve Sharing Group, exclusions are only provided on a Balancing Authority member by member basis; and (3) an excludable Disturbance was an event with a magnitude greater than the magnitude of the most severe single Contingency. The FERC, however, proposes to remand the proposed interpretation because it believes the interpretation changes the requirements of the Reliability Standard, thereby exceeding the permissible scope for interpretations. Comments on the BAL-002-1a Interpretation Remand NOPR were due on or before July 8, 2013,⁵⁷ and were filed by NERC, EEI, ISO/RTO Council, MISO, NC Balancing Area, Northwest Power Pool Balancing Authorities, NRECA, and WECC. As noted, this NOPR remains pending before the FERC.

• Compliance Filing: BES Exclusions for Local Network Configurations (RM12-6)

On July 1, 2015, NERC submitted, pursuant to *Order 773*, a Compliance filing identifying in detail the types of local network configurations that may be excluded from the bulk electric system following the implementation of the revised definition of the BES under Exclusion E3 of that definition. As of the date of this Report, the FERC has not noticed the Compliance filing or otherwise invited public comment.

• Revised Regional Delegation Agreements (RR15-12)

On November 2, the FERC conditionally accepted a revised *pro forma* and individual Regional Delegation Agreements with each of the eight Regional Entities, including NPCC (the "RDAs"), filed by NERC to be effective January 1, 2016.⁵⁸ In accepting the RDAs, the FERC required that NERC submit changes (i) to revise section 8(f) of the RDA as directed to ensure that the RDA accounts for the required NERC audits of Regional Entities in accordance with the NERC Rules of Procedure and provides NERC the flexibility to perform reviews it deems necessary on a reasonable periodicity; (ii) to revise section 8(g) as directed in order to grant the

⁵⁵ The MOD-001-2 NOPR was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on June 26, 2014, (Vol. 79, No. 123) pp. 36,269-36,273.

⁵⁶ Electric Reliability Organization Interpretation of Specific Requirements of the Disturbance Control Performance Standard, 143 FERC ¶ 61,138 (2013) ("BAL-002-1a Interpretation Remand NOPR").

⁵⁷ The *BAL-002-1a Interpretation Remand NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on May 23, 2013 (Vol. 78, No. 99) pp. 30,245-30,810.

⁵⁸ N. Amer. Elec. Rel. Corp., 153 FERC ¶ 61,135 (Nov. 2, 2015).

FERC full access to the non-public material resulting from these activities; (iii) to modify the RDAs so that they are subject to FERC re-evaluation and re-approval following the initial term, scheduled to end on December 31, 2020; (iv) to remove the proposed automatic renewal provisions and re-insert audit provisions in section 12(b) that had been proposed to be removed; (v) to revise section 3(b) of the RDAs to include a provision requiring NERC to maintain on its public website the currently effective versions of all of the Regional Entities' bylaws and regional standard development procedures; (vi) to clarify the meaning of other "guidance that NERC may from time to time develop," and that its guidance on reporting to the FERC instances of noncompliance of Reliability Standards and their disposition must be filed with the FERC for approval before it becomes effective; and (vii) to include language in RDA section 15 stating that Section 1500 of the NERC Rules of Procedure controls when a conflict between it and the RDAs may arise. NERC submitted its compliance filing on December 18, and that compliance filing was accepted on March 23, 2016. Unless the March 23 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded.

• Rules of Procedure Revisions Compliance Filing (RR15-2)

On March 3, 2016, NERC submitted, pursuant to a November 4, 2015 order,⁵⁹ a compliance filing revising Section 401 and Appendix 4C of the NERC Rules of Procedure ("Rules of Procedure"). The Section 401 changes address public posting of compliance exceptions. The Appendix 4C changes address the inclusion of Regional Entities' self-logging review period, notice that FERC Staff receive non-public, preliminary notice of self-logged matters received by Regional Entities, and the inclusion of data and information related to self-logging in ERO Enterprise data retention requirements. Comments on this filing were due on or before March 24; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC.

XI. Misc. - of Regional Interest

• 203 Application: GDF Suez Energy Resources/Atlas Power (Dynegy/ECP) (EC16-93)

On March 25, 2016, Atlas Power Finance, a subsidiary of Atlas Power (a newly-formed joint venture between Dynegy and ECP III), Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy"), Energy Capital Partners III, LLC ("ECP") and GDF Suez requested FERC authorization of Atlas Power's acquisition of GDF Suez Energy Resources. In addition, Dynegy and ECP III requested in a separate proceeding (EC16-94) that the FERC approve the purchase by an ECP affiliate, Terawatt Holdings, LP ("Terawatt"), of newly-issued Dynegy common stock representing approximately 10% of the outstanding shares of Dynegy. Comments on both those filings are due on or before May 24, 2016. Thus far, a doc-less intervention was filed by Public Citizen. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• 203 Application: FirstLight/PSP (EC16-89)

On March 17, 2016, FirstLight Hydro Generating Company ("FirstLight Hydro") and FirstLight Power Resources Management, LLC ("FirstLight Management") (or collectively, "FirstLight") requested FERC authorization for a proposed transaction that will result in a transfer of control of FirstLight Power Resources Holdings, Inc. and its indirect wholly-owned public utility subsidiaries FirstLight Hydro and FirstLight Management to PSP H20 FL USA LLC ("PSP"). Comments on this filing are due on or before April 7. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• 203 Application: Passadumkeag Wind Park (Southern Renewable Energy / Quantum) (EC16-86)

On March 11, 2016, Passadumkeag Windpark, LLC ("Passadumkeag") requested FERC authorization for the acquisition by Southern Renewable Energy, Inc. ("SRE"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Power Company, of 100% of the membership interests in Passadumkeag. Comments on this filing were due on or before April 1; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

⁵⁹ N. Amer. Elec. Rel. Corp., 153 FERC ¶ 61,130 (Nov. 4, 2015) ("November 4 Order").

• 203 Application: Essential Power (EC16-82)

On February 29, 2016, Essential Power and Nautilus Generation, LLC ("Nautilus") requested FERC authorization for the sale of 100% of the direct membership interests in Essential Power Investments, LLC from IFM Global Infrastructure Fund to Nautilus (which does not yet have any Related Persons in the Pool). On March 21, Public Citizen intervened and requested a copy of the transaction's Purchase and Sale Agreement, subject to protective agreement and non-disclosure certificate. No other party intervened or submitted comments. On March 28, Essential Power and Nautilus opposed Public Citizen's request. This matter is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• 203 Application: ReEnergy Sterling (EC16-58)

On March 9, 2016, the FERC authorized the sale of 100% of the partnership interests in ReEnergy Sterling CT Limited Partnership ("ReEnergy Sterling") to Empire Tire of Edgewater 2, LLC ("Empire Tire").⁶⁰ When consummated, ReEnergy Sterling will no longer be a Related Person to ReEnergy Stratton, Dartmouth Power or TrailStone Power. ReEnergy Sterling and Empire Tire must notify the FERC within 10 days of the date that the transaction has been consummated. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• 203 Application: Narragansett/Entergy Rhode Island State Energy (EC16-50)

As previously reported, the FERC authorized the acquisition by Narragansett Electric Company ("National Grid") from Entergy Rhode Island State Energy, L.P. ("RISE") of the interconnection assets associated with the RISE combined cycle natural gas-fired electric generating facility located in Johnston, Rhode Island.⁶¹ On March 31, National Grid notified the FERC that the transaction was consummated on March 22. This proceeding is now concluded. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• CL&P Petition for Declaratory Order (Dominion Outage Dispute Governing Document) (EL16-45)

On March 7, CL&P filed a petition for declaratory order asking the FERC to determine whether a dispute between CL&P and Dominion, arising out of a May 25, 2014 CL&P transmission outage, is governed by a Support Agreement (from 1974, as amended in 2000) or an LGIA (from March 2010) to which CL&P Dominion are a party. CL&P stated that resolution of the dispute would control both the procedural conduct and the substantive law to be applied in resolution of the dispute. Direct and consequential damages may exceed \$30 million. Comments on this petition are due on or before April 7. Thus far, a doc-less intervention was filed by the ISO. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• IA Termination: UI/Bridgeport Harbor Station Unit #2 (ER16-1099)

On April 4, the FERC accepted a notice, filed by UI on March 7, of termination of an interconnection agreement ("IA") with Bridgeport Harbor Station Unit #2 (which has since been retired). The termination notice was accepted effective as of May 6, 2016, as requested. Comments on this filing were due on or before March 28; none were filed. Unless the April 4 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• Cost Sharing Agreements: National Grid/NSTAR/PSNH (Greater Boston Area Transmission Solution Plan) (ER16-878, -879, -882)

On March 30, the FERC accepted identical versions of a Cost Sharing Agreement filed by National Grid, NSTAR and PSNH designed to set forth in writing the respective rights and obligations of National Grid and the Eversource Companies (together, the "Parties") in connection with the sharing of costs the planning, engineering, permitting and siting of facilities associated with the Greater Boston transmission

⁶⁰ *ReEnergy Sterling CT Limited Partnership*, 154 FERC ¶ 62,167 (Mar. 9, 2016).

⁶¹ The Narragansett Elec. Co., 154 FERC ¶ 62,105 (Feb. 12, 2016).

projects. The Parties entered into this Agreement to document their cooperation and coordination in constructing the Greater Boston transmission projects, which are planned reliability upgrades to satisfy certain New England regional reliability transmission needs. The Agreements were accepted effective as of April 4, 2016, as requested. Unless any of the March 30 orders are challenged, these proceedings will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• LGIA: National Grid/Wheelabrator Saugus (ER16-760)

On March 9, the FERC accepted a non-conforming Large Generation Interconnection Agreement ("LGIA") between National Grid and with Wheelabrator Saugus governing the interconnection of Wheelabrator Saugus' 36 MW Saugus, Massachusetts generating facility. Since the LGIA continues the existing interconnection arrangements between National Grid and Wheelabrator Saugus, without modification to the Saugus facility's capability or operating characteristics, a new three-party Interconnection Agreement (that would include the ISO) was not required. The LGIA was accepted effective as of January 1, 2016, as requested. Unless the March 9 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• SGIA: CMP/Hackett Mills Hydro (ER16-518)

On March 9, the FERC accepted a non-conforming Small Generation Interconnection Agreement ("SGIA") between Central Maine Power ("CMP") and Hackett Mills Hydro Associates ("Hackett Mills Hydro"). The SGCIA governs the interconnection between CMP and respect Hackett Mills Hydro's 500 kW hydroelectric facility located in Poland, Maine. Since the SGIA merely continues the existing interconnection arrangement between CMP and Hackett Mills, without modification to that facility's capability or operating characteristics, a new three-party Interconnection Agreement (that would include the ISO) was not required. The SGIA was accepted effective as of January 1, 2016, as requested. Unless the March 9 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• Emera MPD OATT Changes (ER15-1429; EL16-13)

As previously reported, the FERC conditionally accepted, on December 7, 2015, changes to the Maine Public District Open Access Transmission Tariff ("MPD OATT"), including to the rates, terms, and conditions set forth in MPD OATT Attachment J.⁶² However, the FERC found, ultimately, that the changes to the MPD OATT had not been shown to be just and reasonable, may be unjust and unreasonable, instituted a Section 206 proceeding (in EL16-13) to examine the provisions, and set the matter for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, to be held in abeyance pending the outcome of settlement judge procedures (*see* below).

Background. As previously reported, Emera Maine, as successor to Maine Public Service Company ("Maine Public"), provides open access to Emera Maine's transmission facilities in northern Maine (the "MPD Transmission System") pursuant to the MPD OATT. Emera Maine stated that the changes to the MPD OATT were needed to ensure that, in light of the filing by Emera of consolidated FERC Form 1 data (data comprising both the former Bangor Hydro and Maine Public systems), charges for service under the MPD OATT reflect only the costs of service over the MPD Transmission System. Emera Maine also proposed additional, limited changes to the MPD OATT. A June 1, 2015 effective date was requested. The "Maine Customer Group"⁶³ filed a motion to reject ("Motion to Reject") the April 1 Filing, asserting the April 1 Filing was deficient because, rather than actual rates, it included proxy rates that MPD said would be replaced with 2014 Form 1 numbers when MPD's 2014 Form 1 was available. On April 22, the Maine PUC and the Maine Customer Group protested the filing. The MPUC challenged three aspects of the filing: (i) the proposed increase of ROE from 9.75% to 10.20% based on anomalous economic conditions; (ii) the change

⁶² Emera Maine, 153 FERC ¶ 61,283 (Dec. 7, 2015).

⁶³ The "Maine Customer Group ("MCG") is comprised of: the Maine Office of the Public Advocate ("MOPA"), Houlton Water Company ("Houlton"), Van Buren Light and Power District ("Van Buren"), and Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("EMEC").

from a measured loss factor calculation to a fixed loss factor; and (iii) the use of end-of-year account balances, rather than average 13-month account balances, for determination of facilities that are included in rate base. In addition to those aspects, the Maine Customer Group further challenged: (iv) inclusion of an out-of-period adjustment to rate base for forecasted transmission; (v) the proposed capital structure, which they assert is artificially distorted to accommodate a requirement resulting from the merger of Emera Maine's predecessor companies; and (vi) the proposed new cost allocation scheme. On April 24, Emera Maine answered the Maine Customer Group's Motion to Reject. On April 29, the Maine Customer Group answered Emera Maine's April 24 answer. On May 1, Emera Maine filed an amendment and errata to its April 1 filing, in part reflecting 2014 FERC Form 1 data rather than estimated data. On May 7, Emera Maine answered the April 22 Maine PUC and MCG protests and the MCG's April 29 answer. On May 8, MCG moved to compel revision to Emera's May 1 filing, asserting that it was not filed in accordance with Emera's OATT, and specifically the Protocols for Implementing and Reviewing Charges Established by the Attachment J Rate Formulas (the "Protocols"). MCG also protested the May 1 filing on May 22. On May 26, Emera Maine answered MCG's May 8 Motion to Compel, which MCG answered the next day.

Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures. The FERC encouraged the parties to make every effort to settle their disputes before hearing procedures are commenced, and will hold the hearing in abeyance pending the outcome of settlement judge procedures. As previously reported, Chief Judge Cintron designated ALJ Karen Johnson as the settlement judge for these proceedings on December 14. A first settlement conference was held January 5, 2016. In a January 12 status report, Judge Johnson reported that, at the January 5 conference, the parties agreed to exchange information and discuss settlement options. Accordingly, Judge Johnson recommended that settlement judge procedures be continued. A second settlement conference was held on March 3, 2016. On March 15, Settlement Judge Johnson issued a status report recommending that settlement judge procedures be continued. A third settlement conference was scheduled for April 26.

If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Pat Gerity (<u>pmgerity@daypitney.com</u>; 860-275-0533).

• MISO Methodology to Involuntarily Allocate Costs to Entities Outside Its Control Area (ER11-1844)

On December 18, 2012, Judge Sterner issued his 374-page initial decision which, following hearings described in previous reports, found at its core that "it is unjust, unreasonable, and unduly discriminatory to allocate costs of Phase Angle Regulating Transformers ("PARs") of the International Transmission Company ("ITC") to NYISO and PJM",64 which the Midwest ISO ("MISO") and ITC proposed unilaterally to do (without the support of either PJM or NYISO) in its October 20, 2010 filing initiating this proceeding. For a summary of specific findings, please refer to any of the January to June 2013 Reports.

On January 17, 2013, ITC and MISO challenged the Initial Decision through their Brief on Exceptions. Briefs opposing exceptions were filed by the FERC Trial Staff, MISO TOs, NYISO, NY TOs, PJM, and the PJM TOs. On February 25, Joint Applicants moved to strike a portion of the PJM Brief Opposing Exceptions. On March 12, PJM answered Joint Applicants February 25 motion. MISO (now called "Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.") moved to lodge a NYISO "Broader Regional Markets Informational Report" filed March 19, 2014 in ER08-1281 and a related January 16, 2014 "Ontario-Michigan Interface PAR Performance Evaluation Report" ("Evaluation Report") prepared by MISO, IESO and PJM. Oppositions to that motion to lodge were filed by FERC Staff, NYISO, NY TOs, PJM, and PSEG. This matter remains pending before the FERC. If there are any questions on this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

^{923.}

⁶⁴ Midwest Indep. Trans. Sys. Op., Inc., 141 FERC ¶ 63,021 (Dec. 18, 2012) ("MISO Initial Decision") at P

• FERC Enforcement Action: Order of Non-Public, Formal Investigation (IN15-10)

MISO Zone 4 Planning Resource Auction Offers. On October 1, 2015, the FERC issued an order authorizing Enforcement to conduct a non-public, formal investigation, with subpoena authority, regarding violations of FERC's regulations, including its prohibition against electric energy market manipulation, that may have occurred in connection with, or related to, MISO's April 2015 Planning Resource Auction for the 2015/16 power year.

Unlike a staff notice of alleged violation, a FERC order converting an informal, non-public investigation to a formal, non-public investigation does not indicate that the FERC has determined that any entity has engaged in market manipulation or otherwise violated any FERC order, rule, or regulation. It does, however, give OE's Director, and employees designated by the Director, the authority to administer oaths and affirmations, subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance and testimony, take evidence, compel the filing of special reports and responses to interrogatories, gather information, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, contracts, agreements, or other records.

• FERC Enforcement Action: Show Cause Order – Coaltrain, its Co-Owners & Traders/Analysts (IN16-4)

As previously reported, the FERC issued an order⁶⁵ on January 6, 2016 directing Coaltrain Energy L.P. ("Coaltrain"), its co-owners Peter Jones and Shawn Sheehan, and its traders/analysts Robert Jones, Jeff Miller, Jack Wells and Adam Hughes (Collectively, "Respondents") to show cause why (i) they should not be found to have violated the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule by executing a scheme involving manipulative PJM Up-To Congestion trading between June and September 2010; (ii) why Coaltrain should not be found to have violated the FERC's Market Behavior Rules through false and misleading statements and material omissions relating to the existence of documents responsive to data requests and relating to the trading conduct at issue; (iii) why Coaltrain, P. Jones and Sheehan should not be jointly and severally required to disgorge unjust profits of \$4,121,894; and (iv) why all Respondents should not be assessed civil penalties as follows: Coaltrain (\$26 million); P. Jones and Sheehan (\$5 million); R. Jones (\$1 million); Miller and Wells (\$500,000); and Hughes (\$250,000). Respondents elected, on February 5, 2016, to invoke their statutory right under FPA § 31(d)(3)(A) to the prompt assessment of a penalty, and a "de novo trial" in federal district court to address whether the penalty, if assessed by the FERC, may be affirmed. On February 17, OE Staff responded to Respondents' election in order to clarify FERC's position that the only avenue that *guarantees* an opportunity for trial is an administrative hearing under FPA § 31(d)(2), and to indicate that OE would not oppose a request by Respondents to revoke their election if made before the FERC issues an order addressing the responses to the Coaltrain Show Cause Order. On March 4, Respondents answered the January 6 Coaltrain Show Cause Order. On April 1, OE Staff replied to Respondents' March 4 answers. This matter is pending before the FERC.

• FERC Enforcement Action: Berkshire Power / Power Plant Management Services (IN16-3)

On March 30, 2016, the FERC approved a stipulation and consent agreement between the Office of Enforcement, Berkshire Power Company ("Berkshire") and Power Plant Management Services LLC ("PPMS") to resolve an investigation into whether Berkshire and PPMS violated (i) the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule by engaging in a manipulative scheme to conceal maintenance work and associated outages beginning at least as early as January 2008 and continuing through March 2011; (ii) FERC-approved Reliability Standards (by failing to provide outage information to its Transmission Operator and failing to inform its Transmission Operator and Host Balancing Authority of all generation resources available for use); and (iii) FERC's Market Behavior Rules (by failing to comply with various provisions of the ISO Tariff and by making false and misleading statements to the ISO regarding its maintenance work and associated outages).⁶⁶ Berkshire and PPMS admitted the violations. Berkshire and PPMS agreed to pay a *\$2 million civil penalty*. Berkshire also agreed to *disgorge to ISO-NE \$1,012,563 plus interest*, and to pay an additional *\$30,000 civil penalty for the Reliability Standards violations*. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

⁶⁵ Coaltrain Energy, L.P. et al, 154 FERC ¶ 61, 002 (Jan. 6, 2016) ("Coaltrain Show Cause Order").

⁶⁶ Berkshire Power Co. LLC and Power Plant Management Srvcs. LLC, 154 FERC ¶ 61,259 (Mar. 30, 2016).

• FERC Enforcement Action: Show Cause Order - Etracom & M. Rosenberg (IN16-2)

On December 16, 2015, the FERC issued an order⁶⁷ directing Etracom LLC ("Etracom") and its principal member and primary trader, Michael Rosenberg, to show cause why (i) it should not be found to have violated the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule by engaging, during May 2011, in manipulative virtual trading at CAISO's New Melones Intertie in order to artificially lower the day-ahead LMP and economically benefit Etracom's Congestion Revenue Rights sourced at that location; (ii) why Etracom should not pay a *\$2.4 million civil penalty*; (iii) why Rosenberg should not pay a *\$100,000 civil penalty*; and (iv) why Etracom should not *disgorge \$315,072* plus interest in unjust profits, or a modification to these amounts as warranted. On December 31, the FERC granted Etracom an extension of time to file its response, to February 16, 2016. On January 14, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph D of the *Etracom Show Cause Order*, Etracom elected, should the FERC assess any civil penalties in this proceeding, prompt assessment of a penalty and a *de novo* review of those penalties in federal district court, (rather than an ALJ review of such penalties).

On February 16, Etracom and Rosenberg answered the *Etracom Show Cause Order*, denying OE Staff's allegations and urging the FERC to terminate this proceeding. On March 17, OE Staff replied to Etracom and Rosenberg's answer. In addition, on March 4, Etracom requested that the FERC require the California ISO ("CAISO") to disclose certain materials and information that Etracom asserted was relevant to allegations against it. That request was opposed by both CAISO and OE Staff on March 17 and 21, respectively.

• FERC Audit of ISO-NE (PA16-6)

On November 24, 2015, the FERC informed ISO-NE that it will evaluate ISO-NE's compliance with: (1) the transmission provider obligations described in the Tariff, (2) *Order 1000* as it relates to transmission planning and expansion, and interregional coordination, (3) accounting requirements of the Uniform System of Accounts under 18 C.F.R. Part 101, (4) financial reporting requirements under 18 C.F.R. Part 141; and (5) record retention requirements under 18 CFR Part 125. The FERC indicated that the audit will cover the period July 10, 2013 through the present.

XII. Misc. - Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings

• Competitive Transmission Development Rates (AD16-18)

On March 17, the FERC issued a notice of a June 27-28, 2016 workshop to discuss competitive transmission development process-related issues, including use of cost containment provisions, the relationship of competitive transmission development to transmission incentives, and other ratemaking issues. Further details about the agenda and speakers will be issued at a later date in supplemental notices.

• Reactive Supply Compensation in RTO/ISO Markets (AD16-17)

On March 17, the FERC issued a notice of a June 30, 2016 workshop to discuss compensation for Reactive Supply and Voltage Control (Reactive Supply) in RTO/ISO markets. Specifically, the workshop will explore the types of costs incurred by generators for providing Reactive Supply capability and service; whether those costs are being recovered solely as compensation for Reactive Supply or whether recovery is also through compensation for other services; and different methods by which generators receive compensation for Reactive Supply (e.g., FERC-approved revenue requirements, market-wide rates, etc.). The workshop will also explore potential adjustments in compensation for Reactive Supply capability and potential mechanisms to prevent overcompensation for Reactive Supply. A workshop agenda will be issued under separate notice. Those wishing to nominate themselves for participation in the workshop should register at https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/06-30-16-speaker-form.asp by April 28. Written comments can be filed by July 28, 2016.

⁶⁷ ETRACOM LLC and Michael Rosenberg, 153 FERC ¶ 61, 314 (Dec. 16, 2015) ("Etracom Show Cause Order").

• Transmission Metrics (AD15-12)

On March 17, the FERC issued a staff report describing a range of objective and standardized metrics that could be used to help assess the effectiveness of FERC policies regarding transmission investment and to inform potential policy revisions going forward. The range of metrics considered fell into three broad categories: (1) metrics designed to evaluate key goals of Order 1000; (2) metrics designed to indicate whether appropriate levels of transmission infrastructure exist in a particular region; and (3) metrics designed to permit analysis of the impact of FERC policy changes by comparing key values before and after changes take place. The Report described Staff's methodology for applying each of the three categories of metrics, the results of that analysis, and the further research that staff believes would be needed to help ensure that each metric provides useful insight as to whether transmission investment in the US is both cost-effective and sufficient to meet the nation's needs.

• Price Formation in RTO/ISO Energy and Ancillary Services Markets (AD14-14)

As previously reported, the FERC directed each RTO/ISO to publicly provide information related to five price formation issues:⁶⁸ (1) pricing of fast-start resources; (2) commitments to manage multiple contingencies; (3) look-ahead modeling; (4) uplift allocation; and (5) transparency. The FERC directed each RTO/ISO to file a report that provides an update on its current practices in the identified topic areas, that provides the status of its efforts (if any) to address each of the five issues, and that fully responds to the questions. The FERC indicated it would use the reports and comments to determine what further action is appropriate. The RTO/ISO reports were filed February 17 by PJM, March 4 by ISO-NE, CAISO, MISO, and NYISO (corrected on March 23), and March 7 by SPP. Comments on the reports are due on or before April 6.⁶⁹ Among the parties that have thus far filed comments are Exelon, EEI, and EPSA.

• Review of Generator IAs & Procedures / AWEA Petition for LGIA/LGIP Rulemaking (RM16-12; RM15-21)

On March 29, 2016, the FERC issued a notice of a technical conference to be held May 13, 2016 to discuss select issues related to AWEA's petition in RM15-21 (summarized below) and to explore other generator interconnection issues, including interconnection of energy storage. The notice indicated that conference discussions may involve issues raised in ER16-946 (ISO-NE's Generator Interconnection Revisions filed February 16, 2016). Additional information regarding the conference will be provided in subsequent supplemental notices. Attendees may register in advance at: <u>https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/05-13-16-form.asp</u>.

AWEA Petition (RM15-21). As previously reported, the American Wind Energy Association ("AWEA") petitioned the FERC, on June 19, 2015, to conduct a rulemaking to revise provisions of the FERC's *pro forma* Large Generator Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") and pro forma Large Generator Interconnection Agreement ("LGIA"). AWEA stated that various aspects of the LGIP and LGIA are out of date in comparison to current market conditions and do not ensure that the generation interconnection process is just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. AWEA indicated that the rulemaking would address reforms to improve (i) certainty in the study and restudy process, (ii) transparency in the interconnection process, (iii) certainty of network upgrade costs, and accountability in the interconnection process. Comments in response to this petition were due on or before September 8, 2015. More than 30 sets of comments were filed, including by ISO-NE, NESCOE, ISO/RTO Council ("IRC"), APPA/NRECA/Large Public Power Council, EEI, EPSA, NextEra, NRG, and PSEG. Reply comments were filed by AWEA and SunEdison.

⁶⁸ Price Formation in Energy and Ancillary Services Markets Operated by Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators, 153 FERC ¶ 61,221 (Nov. 20, 2015).

⁶⁹ In the order directing the reports, the FERC provided that public comment in response to the RTOs/ISOs' reports may be submitted within 30 days of the filing of the reports. Apr. 6 is 30 days after the filing of the last of the reports, the SPP report, on Mar. 7.

• NOPR: Small Generator Ride Through Requirements (RM16-8)

On March 17, 2016, the FERC issued a NOPR proposing to modify the *pro forma* SGIA to require small generating facilities interconnecting through the SGIA to "ride through"⁷⁰ abnormal frequency and voltage events and not disconnect during such events.⁷¹ The *pro forma* LGIA already requires large to have this capability, the FERC believes that small generating facilities should now be held to comparable ride through requirements, and that it would be unduly discriminatory not to also impose these requirements on small generating facilities. Comments on the *Small Generator Ride Through NOPR* are due May 23, 2016.⁷²

• NOI: Primary Frequency Response - Need for Reforms Related to Provision and Compensation (RM16-6)

On February 18, 2016, the FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry ("NOI") seeking comment on the need for reforms to its rules and regulations regarding the provision and compensation of primary frequency response.⁷³ In light of the nation's changing resource mix and other factors, and considering the significance of primary frequency response to the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System, the FERC seeks comment on (i) whether amendments to the *pro forma* LGIA and SGIA are warranted to require all new generation resources to have frequency response capabilities as a precondition of interconnection; (ii) the performance of existing resources and whether primary frequency response requirements for these resources are warranted; and (iii) the requirement to provide and compensate for primary frequency response.⁷⁴ Comments on the *Frequency Response NOI* are due on or before April 25, 2016.⁷⁵

• NOPR: Price Formation Fixes - Price Caps in RTO/ISO Markets (RM16-5)

On January 21, 2016, the FERC issued a NOPR proposing to require that each RTO/ISO cap each resource's incremental energy offer to the higher of \$1,000/MWh or that resource's verified cost-based incremental energy offer (regardless of fuel-type).⁷⁶ Verified cost-based incremental energy offers above \$1,000/MWh would be used for purposes of calculating Locational Marginal Prices ("LMPs"). Comments on the *Price Cap NOPR* were due on or before April 4, 2016⁷⁷ and were filed by 44 parties, including ISO-NE, the ISO-NE IMM, Potomac Economics (the ISO-NE EMM), ISO/RTO Council, NESCOE, Direct Energy Business, Dominion, Exelon, and a number of Trade Associations. The *NOPR* is pending before the FERC.

• NOPR: Reactive Power Requirements for Wind Generators (RM16-1)

On November 19, 2015, the FERC issued a NOPR proposing to eliminate the exemptions for wind generators from the requirement to provide reactive power.⁷⁸ As a result, all newly interconnecting

⁷¹ Requirements for Frequency and Voltage Ride Through Capability of Small Generating Facilities, 154 FERC ¶ 61,222 (Mar. 17, 2016) ("Small Generator Ride Through NOPR").

⁷² The *Small Generator Ride Through NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Mar. 23, 2016 (Vol. 81, No. 56) pp. 15,481-15,485.

⁷³ Essential Reliability Services and the Evolving Bulk-Power System—Primary Frequency Response, 154 FERC ¶ 61,117 (Feb. 18, 2016) ("Frequency Response NOP").

⁷⁴ Frequency Response NOI at P 2.

⁷⁵ The *Frequency Response NOI* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Feb. 24, 2016 (Vol. 81, No. 36) pp. 9,182-9,192.

⁷⁶ Offer Caps in Markets Operated by Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators, 154 FERC ¶ 61,038 (Jan. 21, 2016) ("Price Cap NOPR").

⁷⁷ The *Price Cap NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Feb. 4, 2016 (Vol. 81, No. 23) pp. 5,951-5,965.

⁷⁸ Reactive Power Requirements for Non-Synchronous Generation, 153 FERC ¶ 61,175 (Nov. 19, 2015) ("Reactive Power NOPR").

⁷⁰ "ride through" means "a Generating Facility staying connected to and synchronized with the Transmission System during system disturbances within a range of over- and under-frequency conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice." *See Order 2003* at P 562.

generators, and all existing non-synchronous generators making upgrades to their generation facilities that require new interconnection requests, would be required to provide reactive power. To implement this requirement, the FERC proposes to revise the *pro forma* LGIA, Appendix G to the *pro forma* LGIA, and the *pro forma* SGIA. Comments on the *Reactive Power NOPR* were due on or before January 25, 2016⁷⁹ and were filed by more than 20 parties, including NEPOOL, ISO-NE, ISO/RTO Council, AWEA, EEI, NERC, NextEra, and UCS. In its initial comments, NEPOOL provided a status report both on NEPOOL's consideration of the *Reactive Power NOPR* and on NEPOOL's own consideration with the ISO of the reactive power requirement for non-synchronous (i.e., primarily wind) generators, that has been ongoing in New England for several months, independent of the *Reactive Power NOPR*. NEPOOL filed supplemental comments on February 5. The *Reactive Power NOPR* is pending before the FERC.

• NOPR: Price Formation Fixes - Settlement Intervals/Shortage Pricing (RM15-24)

On September 17, 2015, the FERC issued a NOPR proposing to revise its regulations to require that each RTO/ISO (i) settle (a) energy transactions in its real-time markets at the same time interval it dispatches energy and (b) operating reserves transactions in its real-time markets at the same time interval it prices operating reserves; and (ii) trigger shortage pricing for any dispatch interval during which a shortage of energy or operating reserves occurs.⁸⁰ The FERC sated that adopting these reforms would align prices with resource dispatch instructions and operating needs, providing appropriate incentives for resource performance. The Settlement Intervals/Shortage Pricing NOPR was discussed at the October 7-9 Markets Committee meeting. Comments on this NOPR were due on or before November 30, 2015.⁸¹ Nearly 50 sets of comments were filed, including comments by NEPOOL (summarizing the status of New England's consideration of pricing reforms like those identified in the NOPR and urging that FERC action on the NOPR, and any final rule, be sufficiently flexible in implementation schedule and details to permit final approval and implementation of New England's solutions, which are planned to be filed in the first half of 2016 and implemented in 2017), ISO-NE, Potomac Economics (ISO-NE EMM), APPA/NRECA, EEI, EPSA, Direct Energy, Dominion, Entergy, ESA, Exelon, IRC, NEI, Public Interest Organizations, and PSEG. Golden Spread Electric Cooperative submitted limited reply comments. Since the last Report, the Delaware Public Service Commission filed comments on March 7. This matter is pending before the FERC.

• NOPR: Connected Entity Data Collection (RM15-23)

As previously reported and summarized, the FERC issued a NOPR that would dramatically expand the corporate and relationship structure information that all Market Participants will be required to share with the ISO as a condition to their participation and that the ISO would be required to share with the FERC.⁸² The FERC proposed to require that all ISO/RTO market participants report all of the their "Connected Entities," which is a newly defined term that is much broader than, and is intended to replace, "Affiliate" as defined in and administered under the ISO Tariff. The rule would multiply by several factors the amount of information required to be reported, by including reporting of certain employee and contractual relationships, and of debt/profitability arrangements. The NOPR proposed additional registration and compliance requirements for each market participant and RTO/ISO. The FERC explained in the NOPR that this additional data collection will improve the information that it has for detecting market manipulation, which is a FERC enforcement priority. A more detailed summary of the *Connected Entity Data Collection NOPR* was distributed with the additional materials for the October 2 meeting.

⁸² Collection of Connected Entity Data from Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators, 152 FERC ¶ 61,219 (Sep. 17, 2015) ("Connected Entity Data Collection NOPR").

⁷⁹ The *Reactive Power Requirements for Non-Synchronous Generation NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Nov. 25, 2015 (Vol. 80, No. 227) pp. 73,683-73,689.

⁸⁰ Settlement Intervals and Shortage Pricing in Markets Operated by Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators, 152 FERC ¶ 61,218 (Sep. 17, 2015) ("Settlement Intervals/Shortage Pricing NOPR").

⁸¹ The *Settlement Intervals/Shortage Pricing NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Sep. 29, 2015 (Vol. 80, No. 188) pp. 58,393-58,405.

Dec 8 Technical Conference. A staff-led and Commissioner (LaFleur and Norris)-attended technical conference was held on for December 8, 2015. The technical conference was intended to allow for a dialogue regarding industry concerns and the extent of the burdens that would be imposed upon market participants under the NOPR. It also provided staff an opportunity to ask questions and clarify a number of issues, many raised in NEPOOL's comments filed on December 1 (highlighted at the technical conference as "particularly constructive" and an example of how others might use the comment period to offer "specific, concrete suggestions").

Staff clarifications included the following:

- The Proposed Rule is designed to address and give some visibility to the unknown and "hidden" relationships, and the incentives that may be associated with those relationships, that present a risk to the efficiency and fairness of the wholesale markets.
- The Proposed Rule applies only to participants in RTO/ISO markets. Participants in wholesale gas markets who are not RTO/ISO market participants have no obligation under the Proposed Rule.
- The unique Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) will only be required of market participants, not all Connected Entities.
- "FERC jurisdictional markets" means participation in any or all of an RTO/ISO's markets, physical and financial. Natural gas resources not included.
- Trader. Similar to the NEPOOL-proposed definition, a trader is the person who makes the decisions, or devises the strategies, for buying and selling physical or financial products which are or may be traded in the RTO/ISO electric markets. It would not include a person who simply "pushes the button" to make a trade, if that person has no control over or input into the decision-making process.
- With respect to Contracts, Control, whether over trading activities or unit commitment decisions, is the defining characteristic that creates a connected entity relationship. Fuel arrangements, physical maintenance arrangements, and standard power purchase agreements, and other contracts not conferring control, would not be included.

Staff's presentations, as well as presentations and written comments from some of the speakers, are available in the FERC's eLibrary and attached for your convenience. For those who were unable to attend or view the technical conference via webcast, an archive of the webcast will be available for three months at http://stream.capitolconnection.org/capcon/ferc/ferc.htm.

Comments on the NOPR were due on or before January 22, 2016. The FERC denied a December 30 request by Industry Groups⁸³ that it suspend the January 22 comment date and either: (1) withdraw the NOPR and issue a new or revised NOPR; or (2) issue a supplemental NOPR that takes into consideration the discussion and clarifications discussed at the December 8, 2015 Technical Conference.⁸⁴ Over 50 parties, including the ISO-NE IMM, ISO-NE/MISO, IRC, Backyard Farms, CMEEC/MMWEC/NHEC/VPPSA, Dominion, National Grid, NextEra, NRG, and SunEdison, submitted comments. This matter is pending before the FERC.

⁸³ "Industry Groups" are American Forest & Paper Association ("AF&PA"), Canadian Electricity Association ("CEA"), Commercial Energy Working Group ("CEWG"), Edison Electric Institute ("EEI"), Electricity Consumers Resource Council ("ELCON"), Electric Power Supply Association ("EPSA"), Independent Power Producers of New York, Inc.("IPPNY"), Industrial Energy Consumers Group ("IECG"), International Energy Credit Association ("IECA"), and the Retail Energy Supply Association ("RESA"). The Industry Groups' request was supported by Ares EIF and the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association ("NRECA") and APPA.

⁸⁴ Collection of Connected Entity Data from Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators, 154 FERC ¶ 61,016 (Jan. 13, 2016).

• Order 816: MBR Authorization Refinements (RM14-14)

As previously reported, the FERC issued *Order 816 on* October 16, 2015.⁸⁵ *Order 816* represents another step in the FERC's efforts to modify, clarify and streamline certain aspects of its market-based rate ("MBR") program. The *Order 816* revisions are intended to both increase transparency and refine existing filing requirements. By way of example, *Order 816*:

- requires electronic submissions of asset appendices in MBR filings to be searchable and sortable, and eliminates the requirement to report behind-the-meter generation in asset appendices
- requires MBR sellers to report all long-term firm purchases of capacity and energy that have associated long-term firm transmission (thereby providing a more accurate measure of a seller's generation resources)
- eliminates MBR sellers' requirement to file quarterly land acquisition information for new generation sites
- reduces the number of "notice of change in status" filings by establishing a new threshold for reporting new affiliations and redefines the default relevant geographic market for an independent power producer with generation capacity located in a generation-only balancing authority area
- provides clarification on issues including capacity ratings and simultaneous transmission import limit (SIL) studies

Order 816 became effective January 28, 2016.⁸⁶ Requests for clarification and/or rehearing of *Order 816* were filed by EDF Renewables, EEI, EPSA, Invenergy, NextEra, Southern Company, TAPS, SoCal Edison, and the National Hydropower Association. On December 11, the FERC issued a tolling order affording it additional time to consider the requests for clarification and/or rehearing, which remain pending before the FERC. On December 23, the FERC partially granted an extension of time such that market-based rate applicants and sellers will not be required to comply with the corporate organizational chart requirement prior to the issuance of an order on the merits of the requests for rehearing of the corporate organizational chart requirement.

XIII. Natural Gas Proceedings

For further information on any of the natural gas proceedings, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; <u>jfagan@daypitney.com</u>) or Jamie Blackburn (202-218-3905; <u>jblackburn@daypitney.com</u>).

• Algonquin EDC Capacity Release Bidding Requirements Exemption Request (RP16-618)

On March 31, 2016, the FERC conditionally accepted Algonquin tariff modifications that provide an exemption from capacity release bidding requirements for certain types of firm transportation capacity releases by Electric Distribution Companies ("EDCs") that are participating in state-regulated electric reliability programs.⁸⁷ The modifications were accepted subject to refund and to the outcome of the technical conference to be held within 120 days of the *Algonquin Order* (but yet to be scheduled). The effectiveness of the modifications was suspended until, and the modifications will become effective on, the earlier of September 1, 2016 or the date specified in a further FERC order. As previously reported, Algonquin stated that the modifications were consistent with the FERC's current policy of exempting releases pursuant to state-regulated retail access programs of natural gas local distribution companies ("LDCs") from bidding requirements. Algonquin added that its proposal (i) supports the efforts of EDCs to increase the reliability of supply for natural gas-fired electric generation facilities in New England and to address high electricity prices during peak periods in New England and therefore is in the public interest; and (ii) furthers the FERC's initiatives related to gas-electric coordination. Challenges to the *Algonquin Order* must be filed on or before April 30, 2016.

⁸⁵ *Refinements to Policies and Procedures for Market-Based Rates for Wholesale Sales of Elec. Energy, Capacity and Ancillary Srvcs. by Public Utils.*, 153 FERC ¶ 61,065 (Oct. 16, 2015) ("Order 816").

⁸⁶ Order 816 was published in the Fed. Reg. on Oct. 30, 2015 (Vol. 80, No. 210) pp. 67,056-67,123.

⁸⁷ Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC, 154 FERC ¶ 61,269 (Mar. 31, 2016) ("Algonquin Order").

• Section 5 Investigations: Columbia (RP16-302); Empire (RP16-300); Iroquois (RP16-301); Tuscarora (RP16-299)

On January 21, the FERC issued orders initiating Natural Gas Act Section 5 investigations into whether the rates charged by the following gas pipeline companies were too high above their costs under federal law:

- ♦ Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC (Docket No. RP16-302);⁸⁸
- ◆ Empire Pipeline, Inc. (Docket No. RP16-300);⁸⁹
- Iroquois Gas Transmission System, LP (Docket No. RP16-301);⁹⁰ and
- Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Docket No. RP16-299);⁹¹

Acting Chief Administrative Law Judge Carmen Cintron subsequently designated Administrative Law Judges to preside over the Track II hearings in the respective proceedings and orders. Pre-hearing conferences were held in each proceeding that established dates for the commencement of discovery, hearing and initial decisions in each proceeding, as follows:

Case	Settlement	Settlement	Presiding	Discovery	Hearings	Initial Decision
	Judge	Conference	Judge	Begins	Commence	
Columbia Gulf	Philip C.	June 2	John P.	Apr. 5	Nov. 15	Feb. 28, 2017
(RP16-302)	Baten		Dring			
Empire Pipeline	H. Peter	May 12	Michael J.	Apr. 6	Nov. 8	Feb. 28, 2017
(RP16-300)	Young		Cianci, Jr.	_		
Iroquois Gas	Steven A.	Apr. 19	David H.	Apr. 5	Nov. 15	Feb. 28, 2017
(RP16-301)	Glazer		Coffman			
Tuscarora Gas	Jennifer	May 19	Dawn E.B.	Apr. 5	Dec. 14	Mar. 30, 2017
(RP16-299)	Whang		Scholz			

Since the issuance of the orders, numerous parties have moved to intervene in each of the proceedings.

• Opinion No. 538: ANR Storage Company, Order on Initial Decision (RP12-479)

In what it described as "the first fully-litigated proceeding where a gas storage provider has sought market-based rate authority," the FERC, on October 15, 2015, upheld a January 2014 Initial Decision in which a FERC Presiding Judge (ALJ) denied an application for market-based rate authorization by a natural gas storage provider that previously charged cost-based rates for its services. As the first case of its kind, the FERC provided clarity to its policies and procedures for market-based rate applications from gas storage providers, and also described how gas storage providers can meet the evidentiary burden to demonstrate that they lack significant market power. While reversing the ALJ on certain discrete issues (such as the Initial Decision's finding that market-based rate applicants are required to meet their evidentiary burden solely through direct testimony), the FERC ultimately agreed with the ALJ that the applicant (ANR Storage) "has not met its evidentiary burden to show it lacks significant market power in the relevant markets."⁹² Requests for rehearing of *ANR Order* were

- ⁸⁸ Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC, 154 FERC ¶ 61,027 (2016), reh'g denied, 154 FERC ¶ 61,275 (Mar. 31, 2016).
 - ⁸⁹ Empire Pipeline, Inc., 154 FERC ¶ 61,029 (2016), reh'g denied, 154 FERC ¶ 61,274 (Mar. 31, 2016).
 - ⁹⁰ Iroquois Gas Transmission System, LP, 154 FERC ¶ 61,028 (2016).

⁹¹ *Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company*, 154 FERC ¶ 61,030 (2016), *reh'g denied, clarif. granted*, 154 FERC ¶ 61,273 (Mar. 31, 2016).

⁹² ANR Storage Co., 153 FERC ¶ 61,052 (Oct. 15, 2015) ("ANR Order"), reh'g requested.

filed by ANR and the Joint Intervenor Group.⁹³ On December 11, 2015, the FERC issued a tolling order affording it additional time to consider the requests for rehearing, which remain pending before the FERC.

• Natural Gas-Related Enforcement Actions

The FERC continues to closely monitor and enforce compliance with regulations governing open access transportation on interstate natural gas pipelines.

BP (*IN13-15*). On August 13, 2015, Judge Cintron issued her Initial Decision finding that BP America Inc., BP Corporation North America Inc., BP America Production Company, and BP Energy Company (collectively, "BP") violated Section 1c.1 of the Commission's regulations and section 4A of the Natural Gas Act.⁹⁴ Specifically, after extensive discovery and hearing procedures, Judge Cintron found that BP's Texas team engaged in market manipulation by changing their trading patterns, between September 18, 2008 through the end of November 2008, in order to suppress next-day natural gas prices at the Houston Ship Channel ("HSC") trading point in order to benefit correspondingly long position at the Henry Hub trading point. Judge Cintron's Initial Decision found that:

- There were at least 48 violations on 49 days;
- BP's manipulation resulted in financial losses of \$1,375,482 to \$1,927,728 on the next-day natural gas markets at Houston Ship Channel (HSC) and Katy during the Investigative Period;
- the violation was less than five years after a prior FERC adjudication and adjudications of similar misconduct by the CFTC and DOJ (warranting a 2 point increase in BP's culpability score);
- BP's conduct contravened the terms of a permanent injunction with the CFTC (warranting a 2 point increase in BP's culpability score);
- BP did not have an effective Compliance program; and
- the BP Texas team's gross profits from the manipulation were between \$233,330 and \$316,170 and net profits between \$165,749 and \$248,589.

Judge Cintron also certified the *BP Initial Decision* and the record to the Commission on August 13, 2015. BP filed its Brief on Exceptions on September 14, 2015, and Enforcement Staff filed its Brief Opposing Exceptions on October 5, 2015. This matter is currently pending before the FERC.

• FERC Enforcement Action: Staff Notices of Alleged Violations (IN_-__)

Total Gas & Power, North America, Inc. On September 21, 2015, the FERC issued a notice that Staff has preliminarily determined that Total Gas & Power, North America, Inc. ("TGPNA") and its West Desk traders and supervisors Therese Nguyen and Aaron Hall, violated section 4A of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Anti-Manipulation Rule, by devising and executing a scheme to manipulate the price of natural gas in the southwest United States between June 2009 and June 2012. Specifically, Staff alleges that the scheme involved making largely uneconomic trades for physical natural gas during bidweek designed to move indexed market prices in a way that benefited the company's related positions. Staff alleges that the West Desk implemented the bidweek scheme on at least 38 occasions during the period of interest and that Therese Nguyen and Aaron Hall each implemented the scheme and supervised and directed other traders in implementing the scheme.

⁹³ "Joint Intervenor Group" is comprised of the following: the Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers ("CAPP"), Northern States Power Company-Minnesota and Northern States Power Company-Wisconsin (jointly, "NSP"), Tenaska Gas Storage, LLC ("Tenaska"), and BP Canada Energy Marketing Corp., ("BP Canada").

⁹⁴ BP America Inc., et al., 152 FERC ¶ 63,016 (Aug. 13, 2015) ("BP Initial Decision").

• New England Pipeline Proceedings

The following New England pipeline projects are currently under construction or before the FERC:

- Algonquin Incremental Market Project (AIM Project) (CP14-96)
 - Algonquin Gas Transmission filed for Section 7(b) and 7(c) certificate Feb. 28, 2014.
 - 342,000 dekatherms/day (Dth/d) of firm capacity to NY, CT, RI and MA.
 - 37.6 miles of take-up, loop and lateral pipeline facilities in NY, CT, and MA and system modifications in NY, CT and RI. The system upgrades would also require the removal of some facilities.
 - 10 firm shippers: Yankee Gas, NSTAR, Connecticut Natural Gas, Southern Connecticut, Narragansett Electric, Colonial Gas, Boston Gas, Bay State, Norwich Public Utilities, and Middleborough Gas and Electric (eight LDCs and two municipal utilities).
 - Final Staff-prepared Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) issued Jan. 23, 2015.
 - Certificate of public convenience and necessity granted Mar. 3, 2015.⁹⁵ Order Denying Rehearing and Dismissing Stay Request issued Jan. 28, 2016. FERC orders appealed to DC Circuit.
 - Construction began May 2015.
 - In-service: Nov. 2016 (anticipated).
- Atlantic Bridge Project (CP16-9)
 - Algonquin Gas Transmission filed for Section 7(b) and 7(c) certificate on Oct. 22, 2015.
 - 132,700 Dth/d of firm transportation to new and existing delivery points on the Algonquin system and 106,276 Dth/d of firm transportation service from Beverly, MA to various existing delivery points on the Maritimes & Northeast system.
 - 6.3 miles of replacement pipeline along Algonquin in NY and CT; new 7,700-horsepower compressor station in Weymouth, MA; more horsepower at existing compressor stations in CT and NY.
 - Seven firm shippers: Heritage Gas Limited, Maine Natural Gas Company, NSTAR Gas Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, Exelon Generation Company, LLC (as assignee and asset manager of Summit Natural Gas of Maine), Irving Oil Terminal Operations, Inc., New England NG Supply Limited, and Norwich Public Utilities.

• Connecticut Expansion Project (CP14-529)

- Tennessee Gas Pipeline filed for Section 7(c) certificate July 31, 2014.
- 72,100 Dth/d of firm capacity.
- 13.26 miles of three looping segments and facility upgrades/modifications in NY, MA and CT.
- Three firm shippers: Connecticut Natural Gas, Southern Connecticut Gas, and Yankee Gas.
- Notice of Schedule issued Sept. 1 with FERC EA to be issued Oct. 23 and 90-day Federal Authorization Decision Deadline set at Jan. 21, 2016.
- Environmental Assessment (EA) issued on Oct. 23, 2015.
- Certificate of public convenience and necessity granted Mar. 11, 2016.⁹⁶
- Construction expected to begin Spring 2016.

⁹⁵ Order Issuing Certificate and Approving Abandonment, *Algonquin Gas Transmission LLC*, 150 FERC ¶ 61,163 (Mar. 3, 2015), *reh'g denied*, 154 FERC ¶ 61,048 (Jan. 28, 2016).

⁹⁶ *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., LLC*, 154 FERC ¶ 61,191 (Mar. 11, 2016) (order issuing certificate); 154 FERC ¶ 61,263 (Mar. 30, 2016) (order denying stay).

- In-service: Nov. 2016 (anticipated).
- Constitution Pipeline (CP13-499) and Wright Interconnection Project (CP13-502)
 - Constitution Pipeline Company and Iroquois Gas Transmission (Wright Interconnection) concurrently filed for Section 7(c) certificates on June 13, 2013.
 - 650,000 Dth/d of firm capacity from Susquehanna County, PA through NY to Iroquois/Tennessee interconnection (Wright Interconnection).
 - New 122-mile interstate pipeline.
 - Two firm shippers: Cabot Oil & Gas and Southwestern Energy Services.
 - Final EIS completed on Oct 24, 2014.
 - Certificates of public convenience and necessity granted Dec 2, 2014;
 - Construction expected to begin Spring 2016 (after final Federal Authorizations).

• Salem Lateral Project (CP14-522)

- Algonquin Gas Transmission filed application Jul 10, 2013.
- 115,000 Dth/d of firm capacity.
- 1.2 miles of pipeline to 630 MW Salem Harbor Station and other Salem, MA facilities.
- Footprint Power sole firm customer.
- FERC Staff-prepared EA issued Dec 2, 2014.
- Certificate of public convenience and necessity granted May 14, 2015.⁹⁷
- Construction began in May 2015.
- In-Service: November 2016 (anticipated).

XIV.

State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No Activity to Report.

XV. Federal Courts

The following are matters of interest, including petitions for review of FERC decisions in NEPOOL-related proceedings, that are currently pending before the federal courts (unless otherwise noted, the cases are before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit). An "**" following the Case No. indicates that NEPOOL has intervened or is a litigant in the appeal. The remaining matters are appeals as to which NEPOOL has no organizational interest but that may be of interest to Participants. For further information on any of these proceedings, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

FCA9 Results (16-1068) Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER15-1137⁹⁸ Appellant: UWUA Local 464 and Robert Clark

On February 24, 2016, Robert Clark and UWUA Local 464 filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders on the FCA9 Results Filing. A Docketing Statement Form, Statement of Issues to be Raised, Petitioners' and Respondents' Appearances, and procedural motions were due and were filed on March 28, 2016. On March 30, the FERC filed an unopposed motion requesting that the Court's briefing schedule provide a minimum of 60 days between filing of Petitioner's brief and the FERC's brief in response. Dispositive motions are due April 11.

⁹⁷ Order Issuing Certificate, Algonquin Gas Transmission LLC, 151 FERC ¶ 61,118 (May 14, 2015).

⁹⁸ 153 FERC ¶ 61,378 (Dec. 30, 2015); 151 FERC ¶ 61,226 (June 18, 2015).

• NEPGA PER Complaint and FCM Jump Ball and Compliance Proceedings (16-1023/1024) Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER14-1050;⁹⁹ EL14-52;¹⁰⁰ EL15-25¹⁰¹ Appellants: NEPGA

As previously reported, NEPGA filed, on January 19, 2016, a petition for review of the FERC's orders on NEPGA's Peak Energy Rent ("PER") Complaint. A Docketing Statement Form, Statement of Issues to be Raised, Petitioners' and Respondents' Appearances, and procedural motions were filed. On February 24, the Court granted NEPGA's February 18 motion to consolidate this proceeding with 16-1024. On April 4, the Court ordered intervenors to show, on or before May 4, why they should not be limited to one joint brief (8,750 word limit) on the side of the party they support, and one joint reply brief (4,375 word limit).

 Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014) (15-1212) Underlying FERC Proceedings: EL13-33; EL14-86¹⁰² Appellants: New England Transmission Owners

On July 13, 2015, the TOs filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders in the 2012 and 2014 ROE complaint proceedings. On July 16, the Court issued a scheduling order directing, among other things, a statement of issues and procedural motions to be filed by August 17 and dispositive motions to be filed by August 31; briefing was deferred until further order of the court. However, on August 14, 2015, NETOs filed an unopposed motion to hold this case in abeyance pending final FERC action on the 2012 and 2014 ROE Complaints (*see* Section I above). On August 20, 2015, the Court granted NETOs' motion to hold the case in abeyance, subject to submission of status reports every 90 days. On February 16, the parties filed their second 90-day status report, again indicating, ultimately, that the proceedings upon which the NETOs based their request for abeyance of this appeal remain ongoing.

2015).

⁹⁹ 153 FERC ¶ 61,224 (Nov. 19, 2015); 153 FERC ¶ 61,223 (Nov. 19, 2015); 147 FERC ¶ 61,172 (May 30, 2014).

¹⁰⁰ 153 FERC ¶ 61,222 (Nov. 19, 2015); 150 FERC ¶ 61,053 (Jan. 30, 2015).

¹⁰¹ 153 FERC ¶ 61,222 (Nov. 19, 2015); 150 FERC ¶ 61,053 (Jan. 30, 2015).

¹⁰² 147 FERC ¶ 61,235 (June 19, 2014); 149 FERC ¶ 61,156 (Nov. 24, 2014); 151 FERC ¶ 61,125 (May 14,

 Order 1000 Compliance Filings (15-1139, 15-1141**) (consolidated) Underlying FERC Proceedings: ER13-193; ER13-196¹⁰³ Appellants: New England Transmission Owners (NETOs); NESCOE/CT DEEP/CT PURA, et al. On May 15, 2015, NETOs¹⁰⁴ and NESCOE, et al., filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders in the

On May 15, 2015, NETOS²⁴ and NESCOE, *et al.*, filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders in the *Order 1000* Compliance Filing proceeding. On June 15, the parties filed a joint statement of issues and unopposed motion regarding briefing format. On June 18, a joint statement of issues and docketing statement were filed. On July 2, the Court granted all motions to intervene. As previously reported, joint Petitioner briefs were filed on January 11, 2016. Brief for Respondent was filed on March 11; Brief for Intervenors supporting Respondent, April 1. Next up are the joint reply briefs (April 22). The deferred appendix is due May 13, 2016; final briefs; May 20, 2016. Parties are to be notified separately of the oral argument date and composition of the merits panel.

Base ROE Complaint (2011) (15-1118, 15-1119, 15-1121**) (consolidated) Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL11-66¹⁰⁵ Appellants: NETOs

On April 30, 2015, NETOs filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders in the 2011 Base ROE Complaint Proceeding. Motions for leave to intervene have been filed by NEPOOL,EMCOS,¹⁰⁶ NJ Division of Rate Counsel, NHEC, MMWEC, CT PURA, CT OCC, CT AG, NJ BPU, Delaware PSC, and Coalition of MISO Transmission Customers. The Court granted all motions to intervene on June 23. On August 10, Petitioners filed an unopposed proposed briefing format and schedule. On October 6, 2015, the court issued an order setting the briefing schedule. On December 7, 2015, (i) "Customers"¹⁰⁷ and the TOs¹⁰⁸filed their opening briefs. On December 8, the clerk's office sent to counsel a letter noting the use of uncommon acronyms and abbreviations in briefs filed with the court (parties are expected to limit the use of acronyms and to avoid using acronyms that are not widely known), advising counsel that they could submit within a week revised briefs eliminating any uncommon acronyms used in previously filed briefs, which the TOs did on December 15. The FERC filed its brief on February 12. On March 4, briefs were filed on the issues of the ROE being too low and modification of incentive adders and by NETOs on the issue of the ROE being too high. On March 25, TOs and EMCOs filed their reply briefs. The deferred appendix is due April 15; final briefs, April 26, 2016.

• FCM Pricing Rules Complaints (15-1071**, 16-1042) (consol.) Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL14-7,¹⁰⁹ EL15-23¹¹⁰ Appellants: NEPGA, Exelon

On March 31, 2015, NEPGA filed a petition for review of the FERC's orders on NEPGA's FCM Administrative Pricing Rules Complaint. A docketing statement form, statement of issues to be raised, and Petitioners' appearances were filed on April 23, 2015. Also on April 23, 2015, NEPGA requested that the case be held in abeyance pending the FERC's issuance of an order on rehearing of its initial order in Exelon Corporation

¹⁰³ 150 FERC ¶ 61,209 (Mar. 19, 2015); 143 FERC ¶ 61,150 (May 17, 2013).

¹⁰⁴ "NETOs" are Emera Maine; Central Maine Power Co., National Grid; New Hampshire Transmission ("NHT"), Eversource (on behalf of its electric utility company affiliates CL&P, WMECO, PSNH, and NSTAR), UI, and Vermont Transco.

¹⁰⁵ 150 FERC ¶ 61,165 (Mar. 3, 2015); 149 FERC ¶ 61,032 (Oct. 16, 2014); 147 FERC ¶ 61,234 (June 19, 2014).

¹⁰⁶ "EMCOS" are Taunton, Reading, Hingham, and Braintree.

¹⁰⁷ "Customers" are: the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, CT AG, CT PURA, NH PUC, RI PUC, CT OCC, MOPA, NH OCA, the "EMCOS" group (Braintree, Hingham, Reading, Taunton), MMWEC, NHEC, AIM, IECG, and Power Options.

¹⁰⁸ In this case, TOs are CMP, Emera Maine, Eversource, National Grid, NHT, UI, and Vermont Transco.

- ¹⁰⁹ 150 FERC ¶ 61,064 (Jan. 30, 2015); 146 FERC ¶ 61,039 (Jan. 24, 2014).
- ¹¹⁰ 154 FERC ¶ 61,005 (Jan. 7, 2016); 150 FERC ¶ 61,067 (Jan. 30, 2015).

v. ISO New England Inc. (EL15-23). Motions for leave to intervene were filed by NEPOOL, CT PURA, CT OCC, NESCOE, NECPUC, NHEC, and PSEG. On May 22, the Court granted all motions to intervene and NEPGA's motion to hold the case in abeyance pending a decision in EL15-23. Following the FERC's decision in EL15-23 and Exelon's appeal of that case (16-1042), the Court granted, on March 1, 2016, Exelon's motion to consolidate this proceeding with 16-1042. Accordingly, this proceeding was returned to the court's active docket on a consolidated basis with 16-1042.

On March 28, the parties filed a motion to govern further proceedings in the consolidated cases. This matter is pending before the Court.

• FCA8 Results (14-1244, 14-1246 (consolidated)) Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER14-1409¹¹¹ Appellants: Public Citizen and CT AG

As previously reported, Public Citizen and the CT AG filed petitions for review of the FERC's action on the FCA8 Results Filing, which became effective by operation of law on September 16, 2014. These proceedings have been consolidated. Briefing on the issue of the Court's jurisdiction to hear this matter (with FERC (supported by EPSA and NEPGA) asserting the FCA8 Results Filing Order was not an "order" within the meaning of section 313 of the FPA, or "agency action" reviewable under the Administrative Procedures Act, and Connecticut¹¹² and Public Citizen taking the opposing view) has now been completed. The parties filed a joint appendix (reflecting all filings and issuances in ER14-1409) on December 16. Final Petitioner briefs and reply briefs were filed by Public Citizen on December 17; by Connecticut, on December 22. The FERC's final brief was filed on December 23, as was the final brief of Joint Intervenors for Respondent (EPSA, GenOn Energy Management, HQUS, NRG, and NEPGA). With the jurisdictional issue now fully briefed, the Court will next issue a separate order notifying the parties of the date and time of oral argument. As of the date of this Report, that date (for oral argument) has not been set.

• New England's Order 745 Compliance Filing (12-1306) Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER11-4336¹¹³ Appellants: EPSA and NEPGA

On July 16, 2012, EPSA and NEPGA filed a petition for review of FERC's orders on New England's *Order 745* (Demand Response Compensation) filings. On August 16, 2012, EPSA and NEPGA filed a statement of issues as well as an unopposed motion to hold case in abeyance pending the final resolution of Case Nos. 11-1486, et al. (*EPSA et al. v. FERC*) (*see* Orders 745 and 745-A below). On August 23, 2012, the Court granted the motion to hold the case in abeyance. Since the last Report, on April 4, the parties filed a joint unopposed motion to govern future proceedings, and a joint stipulation to dismiss the case voluntarily. In light of that stipulation, the Court dismissed the case and indicated that no mandate will issue. Reporting on this matter is now concluded.

Status of New England Implementation of Order 745 (in light of *FERC v. EPSA* below). Recall that, in response to the FERC's issuance of *Order 745* on March 15, 2011,¹¹⁴ ISO-NE submitted New England's compliance filing on August 19, 2011, proposing a two-stage implementation process (Transition Period Rules to be effective June 1, 2012; Full Integration Rules,¹¹⁵ June 1, 2015 (later amended to June 1,

¹¹⁵ The "Full Integration Rules" enable DR to (i) fully participate (make Demand Reduction Offers) in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets; (ii) provide Operating Reserve and participate in the Forward Reserve

¹¹¹ Notice of Filing Taking Effect by Operation of Law, *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER14-1409 (Sep. 16, 2014); Notice of Dismissal of Pleadings, *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER14-1409 (Oct. 24, 2014).

¹¹² For purposes of this proceeding, "Connecticut" means the CT AG, CT PURA and CT OCC.

¹¹³ 138 FERC ¶ 61,042 (Jan. 19, 2012); 139 FERC ¶ 61,116 (May 17, 2012).

¹¹⁴ Demand Response Compensation in Organized Wholesale Energy Markets, Order No. 745, 134 FERC ¶ 61,187 (Mar. 11, 2015).

2016)).¹¹⁶ NEPOOL did not support the ISO-NE compliance filing, with 51.9% voting to support the package at the August 12, 2011 Participants Committee meeting. On January 19, 2012, the FERC conditionally accepted New England's *Order 745* compliance filing, with the Transition Period Rules to be effective June 1, 2012 and Full Integration Rules effective June 1, 2016, as either in compliance with *Order 745* or just and reasonable under FPA § 205.¹¹⁷ ISO-NE's 90-day compliance filing (providing further justification for using the Demand Reduction Threshold Price and amending the Transition Period rules to allow for ARCs to bid into the energy markets on behalf of smaller individual assets) was accepted on May 29, 2012.¹¹⁸ A number of parties requested rehearing of the January 19 Order, but the FERC denied rehearing on May 17, 2012.¹¹⁹ EPSA and NEPGA petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's January 19 and May 17, and, as noted above, that case has been held in abeyance pending resolution of the Federal Court challenges to *Order 745*.

The Transition Period rules were implemented on June 1, 2012, and have been in effect, subject to minor adjustment, since that time. With respect to the Full Integration Rules, the ISO included with an April 26, 2012 filing of FCM conforming changes, a request that implementation of the Full Integration Rules be pushed back another year, to June 1, 2017. That delay, together with the Market Rule changes, was accepted on January 14, 2013.¹²⁰ The Full Integration Rules have been clarified and revised several times since, with the most recently filed changes including a request, in light of the uncertainty created by the DC Circuit Order and Supreme Court review, to defer implementation of the Full Integration Rules one more year to June 1, 2018. That request was accepted December 23, 2015. Given the Supreme Court's January 25 Decision, in the time remaining before the Full Integration Rules are implemented on June 1, 2018, NEPOOL and ISO-NE will need to work together to identify, finalize, file, and implement any refinements to the Market Rules, Manuals, or other rules and procedures that may be necessary to support the full integration of DR in the New England's Markets as of June 1, 2018.

• Orders 745 and 745-A Remand to DC Circuit 11-1486 (From *FERC v. EPSA*, Supreme Court, 14-840 and 14-841) Underlying FERC Proceeding: RM10-17-000¹²¹ Appellants: FERC and EnerNOC

As previously reported, on January 25, 2016, the Supreme Court reversed the DC Circuit Court of Appeals' May 23, 2014 decision¹²² vacating FERC Order 745.¹²³ The Supreme Court held that the FPA provides the FERC with the authority to regulate wholesale market operators' compensation of demand response bids, and the FERC's decision to compensate demand response providers at LMP instead of at LMP-G, was not arbitrary and capricious. On April 4, the parties filed a stipulation with the DC Circuit Court of Appeals to dismiss the remainder of the cases voluntarily.

• Hughes v. Talen Energy Marketing et al. (Supreme Court, 14-614/14-623)

Since the last Report, oral argument was held on February 24. The questions presented were: (1) when a seller offers to build generation and sell wholesale power on a fixed rate contract basis, does the FPA field-

Market ("FRM")); and (iii) receive FCM obligations and compensation that are fully comparable with those of dispatchable generation resources.

¹¹⁶ See ISO New England Inc. Order No. 745 Compliance Filing, Docket No. ER11-4336 (filed Aug 19, 2011).

- ¹¹⁷ ISO New England Inc., 138 FERC ¶ 61,042 (Jan. 19, 2012).
- ¹¹⁸ ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER11-4336-005 (May 29, 2012) (unpublished letter order).
- ¹¹⁹ ISO New England Inc., 139 FERC ¶ 61,116 (May 17, 2012).
- ¹²⁰ ISO New England Inc., 142 FERC ¶ 61,027 (2013).
- ¹²¹ 134 FERC ¶ 61,187 (Mar. 15, 2011); 137 FERC ¶ 61,215 (Dec. 15, 2011).
- ¹²² EPSA v. FERC, 753 F.3d 216 (May 23, 2014), reversed and remanded.
- ¹²³ FERC v. EPSA et al., 577 U. S. ____ (2016).

preempt a state order directing retail utilities to enter into the contract?; and (2) does FERC's acceptance of an annual regional capacity auction preempt states from requiring retail utilities to contract at fixed rates with sellers who are willing to commit to sell into the auction on a long-term basis? The matter now awaits a decision by the Court.

As previously reported, on June 2, 2014, the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the September 30, 2013 decision of the United States District Court for the District of Maryland¹²⁴ which found that a Maryland Public Service Commission ("MD PSC") order directing three Maryland distribution utilities to enter into a 'contract for differences' for capacity and energy in the PJM control area (the "CfD") with a gas-fired merchant generator selected by the MD PSC (the "MD PSC Order") violated the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and cannot be enforced.¹²⁵ In affirming the District Court decision, the 4th Circuit found the MD PSC Order to be both field¹²⁶ and conflict pre-empted.¹²⁷

With respect to field pre-emption, the 4th Circuit stated that a "wealth of case law confirms FERC's exclusive power to regulate wholesale sales of energy in interstate commerce, including the justness and reasonableness of the rates charged."¹²⁸ It found the federal scheme (i.e. the PJM Market) "carefully calibrated to protect a host of competing interests" (representing "a comprehensive program of regulation that is quite sensitive to external tampering"),¹²⁹ and leaving "no room either for direct state regulation of the prices of interstate wholesales of [energy], or for state regulations which would indirectly achieve the same result." Accordingly, the 4th Circuit concluded that the MD PSC Order was "field preempted because it functionally sets the rate that CPV receives for its sales in the PJM auction."¹³⁰ The MD PSC Order "compromises the integrity of the federal scheme and intrudes on FERC's jurisdiction" because the MD PSC Order "effectively supplants the rate generated by the auction with an alternative rate preferred by the state." The 4th Circuit rejected arguments that the CfD payments "represented a separate supply-side subsidy implemented entirely outside the federal market."¹³¹ And, even if the presumption against preemption were to apply, the Court found that that it was "overcome by the text and structure of the FPA, which unambiguously apportions control over wholesale rates to FERC."¹³²

¹²⁴ PPL EnergyPlus, LLC v. Nazarian, 974 F.Supp. 2d 790 (D. Md. Sep. 30, 2013); 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 140210, 2013 WL 5432346 ("District Court Decision"). The District Court Decision was summarized in past Litigation Reports.

¹²⁵ PPL EnergyPlus, LLC v. Nazarian, 753 F.3d 467; 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 10155.

¹²⁶ "Field preemption" is a doctrine based on the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution that holds that any federal law, including regulations of a federal agency, takes precedence over any conflicting state law. Preemption can be implied when federal law/regulation "occupies the field" in which the state is attempting to act/regulate. Field preemption occurs when there is "no room" left for state regulation. Accordingly, a state may not pass a law or take any action in a field, like the regulation of wholesale power sales, pervasively regulated by federal law/regulation.

¹²⁷ "Conflict preemption" occurs where there is a conflict between a state law and a federal law. ("[E]ven if Congress has not occupied the field, state law is naturally preempted to the extent of any conflict with a federal statute."). Such a conflict occurs when "the challenged state law stands as an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives of Congress. The court must look to 'the entire scheme of the statute' and determine '[i]f the purpose of the [federal] act cannot otherwise be accomplished--if its operation with its chosen field [would] be frustrated and its provisions be refused their natural effect. Where a state law conflicts with a federal law, the Court does not balance the competing federal and state interests. Any state law, however clearly within a State's acknowledged power, which interferes with or is contrary to federal law, must yield."

- ¹²⁸ Slip op. at p. 14.
- ¹²⁹ *Id.* at p. 10.
- ¹³⁰ *Id.* at p. 16.
- ¹³¹ *Id.* at pp. 18-19.

 132 *Id.* at p. 20. The Court noted the limited scope of its holding, which "is addressed to the specific program at issue" and did not "express an opinion on other state efforts to encourage new generation." *Id.* at p. 21.

With respect to conflict pre-emption, the 4th Circuit found that the MD PSC Order "presents a direct and transparent impediment to the functioning of the PJM markets, and is therefore preempted".¹³³ Preemption was appropriate because of the "extensive and disruptive" impact of the MD PSC Order on matters within federal control (the PJM markets). It found that the MD PSC Order had "the potential to seriously distort the PJM's auction's price signals, thus 'interfer[ing] with the method by which the federal statute (i.e. the PJM Markets) was designed to reach its goals."¹³⁴ "Maryland's initiative disrupts [the PJM scheme] by substituting the state's preferred incentive structure for that approved by FERC."¹³⁵ "Maryland has sought to achieve through the backdoor of its own regulatory process what it could not achieve through the front door of FERC proceedings. Circumventing and displacing federal rules in this fashion is not permissible."¹³⁶

Petitions for rehearing *en banc* were filed by MD PSC and CPV Maryland on June 16, 2014. The Supreme Court granted certiorari on October 19, 2015. Oral argument was held February 24, 2016. A decision will be issued before the end of the Supreme Court's term.

• CPV Power Development, et al. v. PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, et al. (Supreme Court, 14-634, 14-694)

Petitions for a writ of certiorari in this case were filed on November 26, 2014 and December 10, 2014 and placed on the Supreme Court's docket as Case Nos. 14-634 and 14-694, respectively. The parties consented to the filing of amicus curiae briefs, and such briefs were filed by NARUC, the State of Connecticut, APPA, AWEA, and the NY PSC. Since the last Report, Respondents (PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, et al.) filed a brief opposing the writ of certiorari on February 11. Petitioners (CPV Power Development, Inc., et al.) replied to that brief on February 20. On March 23, the Court invited the Solicitor General to file a brief in the case expressing the views of the United States. Since the last Report, the Solicitor General filed, on September 16, an amicus brief of the United States. On September 29, petitioner CPV Maryland filed a supplemental brief. The case was distributed on September 30 for the Court's October 16, 2015 Conference.

As previously reported, on September 11, 2014, the 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed¹³⁷ the analogous October 11, 2013 decision of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey declaring unconstitutional (and therefore null and void) New Jersey's Long Term Capacity Agreement Pilot Program Act ("LCAPP").¹³⁸ In affirming the New Jersey District Court's decision, the 3rd Circuit concluded:

LCAPP compels participants in a federally-regulated marketplace to transact capacity at prices other than the price fixed by the marketplace. By legislating capacity prices, New Jersey has intruded into an area reserved exclusively for the federal government. Accordingly, federal statutory and regulatory law preempts and, thereby, invalidates LCAPP and the Standard Offer Capacity Agreements.¹³⁹

¹³⁴ *Id.* at p. 23.

¹³⁵ *Id.* at p. 24. ("Two features of the Order render its likely effect on federal markets particularly problematic. First, as noted, the CfDs are structured to actually set the price received at wholesale. They therefore directly conflict with the auction rates approved by FERC. Second, the duration of the subsidy -- twenty years -- is substantial.")

¹³⁶ *Id.* at p. 25.

¹³⁷ PPL EnergyPlus, LLC v. Hanna, 977 F.Supp.2d 372 (D. NJ. Oct. 11, 2013); 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 147273, ("NJ Order").

¹³⁸ PPL EnergyPlus, LLC v. Hanna, 766 F.3d 241; 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 17557 (Sep. 11, 2014).

¹³⁹ *Id.* slip op. at 31.

¹³³ *Id.* at p. 27.

No petition for rehearing or rehearing *en banc* was filed on or before September 25, 2014. Accordingly, the mandate was issued on October 3, 2014. As noted above, petitions for *certiorari* to the U.S. Supreme Court were filed and are pending before the Supreme Court.

• Entergy Nuclear Fitzpatrick, LLC et al v. Zibelman et al (NY PSC Commissioners) (NDNY 5:15cv-00230-DNH-TWD)

Entergy¹⁴⁰ filed, on February 27, 2015, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York ("NDNY"), a Complaint that seeks a declaratory judgment that the NYPSC Commissioners' order ("Order") approving an agreement to keep NRG's 435 MW Dunkirk facility in the NYISO market, "repowered" as a natural gas-fired (rather than coal-fired) plant (the "Term Sheet")¹⁴¹ is preempted by the FPA and invalid under the dormant Commerce Clause of the US Constitution. Entergy also seeks a permanent injunction requiring the NYPSC Commissioners to withdraw the Order and/or preventing the NYPSC Commissioners from continuing to treat the Order as valid and binding. This case is noteworthy given the relationship of the issues raised to the Maryland and New Jersey CfD cases summarized above.

Since the last Report, on March 7, the Court dismissed a NYPSC motion to dismiss Entergy's claim that its Order is both field- and conflict-preempted by the FPA, finding that "Entergy has timely asserted claims of harm flowing from state action to an interstate market in which it participates". These proceedings will continue.

¹⁴⁰ Plaintiffs are Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, LLC ("FitzPatrick"); Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing, LLC ("ENPM"); and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. ("ENOI").

¹⁴¹ The Term Sheet provides that, in exchange for Dunkirk's commitment to participate in the NYISO energy and capacity markets through 2025, Dunkirk will receive out-of-market payments of \$20.4 million per year from National Grid and a \$15 million one-time subsidy from a New York State agency. Entergy asserts that the contract structure will lead Dunkirk to bid below its actual costs in the capacity auction, causing the auction market to "clear" at a lower price than otherwise would have resulted, and resulting in all generators receiving lower capacity revenues than they otherwise would have received.

INDEX

Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings as of April 6, 2016

I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

206 Proceeding: 2014/15 RNS Recovery of SeaLink Development Costs	(EL15-85)	3
206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols		
206 Proceeding: Zonal Sloped Demand Curves	(EL16-15)	2
Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014) (Consolidated)		
Dominion Energy Manchester Street FCA10 Complaint		
NextEra Bellingham FCA10 Complaint	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

206 Proceeding: 2014/15 RNS Recovery of SeaLink Development Costs	(EL15-85)
206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols	
Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014) (Consolidated)	
Eversource CCRP Cost Treatment Proposal	
FCA1 Results Remand Proceeding	
FCA10 Results Filing	
ICR, HQICCs and Related Values - 2019/20 Power Year	

III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests

2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)	10
206 Proceeding: Zonal Sloped Demand Curves	(EL16-15)	2
CSO Termination: Spruce Mountain Wind	(ER16-864)	8
DARD Pump Parameter Changes	(ER16-954)	7
Demand Curve Changes Remand Proceedings	(ER14-1639)	9
Dominion Energy Manchester Street FCA10 Complaint	(EL16-38)	1
FCM Resource Retirement Reforms		
Forward Reserve Heat Rate Calculation Revisions	(ER16-1296)	7
FRM Offer Cap, Elimination of Price Netting	(ER16-921)	8
New DNE Dispatch Changes Effect Date	(ER16-870)	8
NextEra Bellingham FCA10 Complaint		
Status of New England Implementation of Order 745		
Transmission Outage Scheduling Revisions	(ER16-937)	7

IV. OATT Amendments/Coordination Agreements

Eversource CCRP Cost Treatment Proposal	(ER16-116)	6
Generator Interconnection Revisions.	(ER16-946)	10
RSP Timing Changes	(ER16-819)	11

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

No Activity to Report

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Updates

Schedule 21-EM: Emera Maine/Covanta Maine LTSA Terminations	(ER16-840)	12
Schedule 21-EM: GNP East IOA Termination	(ER16-1063)	11
Schedule 21-EM: Rate Adjustments for Anticipated Changes	(ER16-1301)	11
Schedule 21-ES: Eversource Recovery of NU/NSTAR Merger-Related Costs	(ER16-1023)	12
Schedule 21-NEP: CV South Street Landing Reimbursement Agreement		

Schedule 21-NSTAR: Fore River LGIA Termination Notice	(ER16-816)12
Schedule 22: New England Wind LGIA	(ER16-1024)11

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/ Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activity to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

Capital Projects Report - 2015 Q4	(ER16-928)	13
ISO-NE FERC Form 715	(not docketed)	14
Opinion 531-A Refund Report: FG&E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Opinions 531-A/531-B Local Refund Reports		
Opinions 531-A/531-B Regional Refund Reports		
Reserve Market Compliance (20th) Semi-Annual Report	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

IX. Membership Filings

April 2016 Membership Filing	(ER16-1321)	14
Involuntary Termination of Membership: NAPP		
Involuntary Termination of Membership: Negawatt		
March 2016 Membership Filing		
Suspension Notice (CHI Power Marketing, LLC)		

X. Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards

Compliance Filing: BES Exclusions for Local Network Configurations		18
NOPR: BAL-002-1a Interpretation Remand		
NOPR: New Reliability Standard: TPL-007-1		16
NOPR: Revised Rel. Standard: MOD-001-2	(RM14-7)	17
Order 822: Revised Rel. Standards: CIP-003-6, CIP-004-6, CIP-006-6, CIP-007-6,		
CIP-009-6, CIP-010-2, CIP-011-2	(RM15-14)	15
Order 823: New Reliability Standard: PRC-026-1	(RM15-8)	17
Revised Regional Delegation Agreements	(RR15-12)	
Revised Reliability Standard: BAL-002-2		15
Revised Reliability Standard: FAC-003-4	(RD16-4)	15
Rules of Procedure Revisions Compliance Filing		

XI. Misc. Regional Interest

203 Application: Essential Power	(EC16-82)	20
203 Application: FirstLight/PSP		
203 Application: GDF Suez Energy Resources/Atlas Power	(EC16-93)	19
203 Application: Narragansett/Entergy Rhode Island State Energy	(EC16-50)	20
203 Application: Passadumkeag Wind Park (Southern Renewable Energy / Quantum)	(EC16-86)	19
203 Application: ReEnergy Sterling		
CL&P Petition for Declaratory Order (Dominion Outage Dispute Governing Document		
Cost Sharing Agreements (Greater Boston Area Transmission Solution Plan):		
National Grid, NSTAR, PSNH	(ER16-878, -879, -882).	20
Emera MPD OATT Changes	(ER15-1429; EL16-13)	21
FERC Audit of ISO-NE		
FERC Enforcement Action: Berkshire Power / Power Plant Management Services	(IN16-3)	23
FERC Enforcement Action: Formal Investigation		
(MISO Zone 4 Planning Resource Auction Offers)	(IN15-10)	23
FERC Enforcement Action: Show Cause Order – Coaltrain et al.	(IN16-4)	23
FERC Enforcement Action: Show Cause Order (Etracom/M. Rosenberg)	(IN16-2)	24

IA Termination: UI/Bridgeport Harbor Station Unit #2	(ER16-1099)	20
LGIA: National Grid/Wheelabrator Saugus	(ER16-760)	21
MISO Methodology to Involuntarily Allocate Costs to Entities Outside Its Control		
SGIA: CMP/Hackett Mills Hydro	(ER16-518)	21

XII. Misc: Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings

Review of Generator IAs & Procedures / AWEA Petition for LGIA/LGIP Rulemaking.	(RM16-12; RM15-21)	25
Competitive Transmission Development Rates	(AD16-18)	24
NOI: Primary Frequency Response -		
Need for Reforms Related to Provision and Compensation	(RM16-6)	26
NOPR: Connected Entity Data Collection	(RM15-23)	27
NOPR: Price Formation Fixes - Price Caps in RTO/ISO Markets	(RM16-5)	26
NOPR: Price Formation Fixes - Settlement Intervals/Shortage Pricing	(RM15-24)	27
NOPR: Reactive Power Requirements for Wind Generators	(RM16-1)	26
NOPR: Small Generator Ride Through Requirements	(RM16-8)	26
Order 816: MBR Authorization Refinements	(RM14-14)	29
Price Formation in RTO/ISO Energy and Ancillary Services Markets	(AD14-14)	25
Reactive Supply Compensation in RTO/ISO Markets	(AD16-17)	24
Transmission Metrics	(AD15-12)	25

XIII. Natural Gas Proceedings

Algonquin EDC Capacity Release Bidding Requirements Exemption Request	(RP16-618)	29
Enforcement Actions: BP Initial Decision		
Enforcement Actions: Staff NoV: Total Gas & Power, North America, Inc		31
New England Pipeline Proceedings		32
Opinion 538: ANR Storage Company, Order on Initial Decision		
Section 5 Investigation: Columbia	(RP16-302)	30
Section 5 Investigation: Empire	(RP16-300)	
Section 5 Investigation: Iroquois	(RP16-301)	
Section 5 Investigation: Tuscarora	(RP16-299)	30

XIV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No Activity to Report

XV. Federal Courts

Base ROE Complaint (2011)	
Base ROE Complaints (2012 and 2014)	
Hughes v. Talen Energy Marketing et al.	
CPV Power Development, Inc., et al. v. PPL EnergyPlus, LLC, et al	
Entergy Nuclear Fitzpatrick, LLC et al. v. Zibelman et al	5:15-cv-00230 (N.D.N.Y.)40
FCM Pricing Rules Complaints	
FCA8 Results	
FCA9 Results	
New England's Order 745 Compliance Filing	
NEPGA PER Complaint and FCM Jump Ball and Compliance Proceedings	
Orders 745/745-A Remand	
Order 1000 Compliance Filings	
Status of New England Implementation of Order 745	