EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings as of September 1, 2020

The following activity, as more fully described in the attached litigation report, has occurred since the report dated August 4, 2020 ("last Report") was circulated. New matters/proceedings since the last Report are preceded by an asterisk '*'. Page numbers precede the matter description.

		C	OVID-19
1	Jul 8-9 Tech Conf: Impacts of COVID-19 on the Energy Industry (AD20-17)	Aug 28	AEP, APPA, America Forest & Paper, America's Power, EEI, IEEE Power Energy Society, Clearview Energy Partners, TAPS, Assoc. of Oil Pipelines Pilot Travel Centers, Process Gas submit post-tech. conf. comments
1	Remote ALJ Hearings (AD20-12)	Sep 1	Chief Judge Cintron issues a notice that Uniform Hearing Rules for all Office of the ALJ hearings will be adopted, eff. Sep 15, 2020
2	Extension of Filing Deadlines (AD20-11)	Aug 20	FERC extends through Jan 29, 2021 wavier of FERC regulations that require filings be notarized or supported by sworn declarations
2	Blanket Waiver of ISO/RTO Tariff In- Person Meeting and Notarization Requirements (EL20-37)	Aug 20	FERC also extends through Jan 29, 2021 blanket waivers of ISO/RTO Tariff <i>in-person</i> meeting and notarization requirements
	I. C	omplaints/So	ection 206 Proceedings
* 2	New England Generators' Exelon Complaint (EL20-67)	Aug 25 Aug 27-Sep 1	New England Generators file Complaint; Exelon response and commen date Sep 14, 2020 ENE, Calpine, Public Citizen intervene
3	206 Proceeding: FCM Pricing Rules Complaints Remand (EL20-54)	Aug 7-27 Aug 20 Aug 24	BSW Project Co., RENEW Northeast intervene (out-of-time) NEPOOL submits limited comments ISO-NE, ISO-NE EMM, MA AG, NEPGA, NRG, RENEW Northeast submit initial briefs; response date <i>Sep 23, 2020</i>
4	Exelon PP-10 Complaint (EL20-52)	Aug 17	FERC denies Complaint
4	206 Investigation Into ISO-NE Implementation of <i>Order 1000</i> Exemptions for Immediate Need Reliability Projects (EL19-90)	Aug 20	FERC issues Notice of Denial by Operation of Law of the requests for rehearing of its <i>Order Terminating Proceeding</i>
5	206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols (ER20-2054; EL16-19-002)	Aug 18	Presiding ALJ Coffman certifies uncontested settlement to the Commission
	II.	Rate, ICR, FC	A, Cost Recovery Filings
8	FCA15 De-List Bids Filing (ER20-2317)	Aug 19	FERC accepts Filing, eff. Aug 31, 2020
9	Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement (ER18-1639)	Aug 13-17	July 17 Orders: CT Parties request rehearing of Jul 17, 2020 orders on the Dec 2018 Order and Mar 2019 Compliance Filing; ISO-NE and NESCOE also request clarification and/or rehearing of the Jul 17, 2020 orders on the Dec 2018 Order and Mar 2019 Compliance Filing; NEPGA requests rehearing of each of the orders issued on Jul 17 in this proceeding; FERC action required on or before Sep 14

ROE Paper Hearing: initial briefs due Sep 28, 2020

21 Interconnection Study Metrics

* 21 ISO-NE FERC Form 3-Q (2020/Q2)

(not docketed)

Processing Time Exceedance Report Q2 2020 (ER19-1951)

10	TOs' <i>Opinion 531-A</i> Compliance Filing Undo (ER15-414)	Aug 28	TOs challenge <i>Order Rejecting Filing</i> in DC Circuit (see Section XV)
	III. Market Rule and Inform	ation Polic	y Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests
11	Information Policy §2.3 Revisions (ER20-2518)	Aug 17	Eversource, National Grid, intervene
11	DAM Offer Window Modification (ER20-2511)	Aug 6-17	Eversource, National Grid, NRG, Vitol intervene
12	Inventoried Energy Program (Chapter 2B) Remand (ER19-1428)	Aug 20	FERC issues Notice of Denial by Operation of Law of the requests for rehearing of its <i>IEP Remand Order</i>
13	Order 841 Compliance Filings (Electric Storage in RTO/ISO Markets) (ER19-470)	Aug 31	NEPOOL and ISO-NE request a 35-day extension of time to comply w requirements in the <i>Order 841 Compliance Filing II Order</i>
17	2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding (ER13-2266)	Aug 27	FERC issues <i>Remand Rehearing Order</i> addressing arguments raised b TransCanada in its request for rehearing of the 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand
	V.		Activity to Report Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments
	V.	Financial A	Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments Activity to Report
		Financial A	Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments
18		Financial A	Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments Activity to Report
18	VI Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean-	Financial A No A	Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments Activity to Report 2 20/21/22/23 Changes Versant Power files amended Schedule 20A-VP to reflect renaming Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographica
	Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean- Up (ER20-2783) Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P	No A Schedule Aug 31	Activity to Report 2 20/21/22/23 Changes Versant Power files amended Schedule 20A-VP to reflect renaming Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographica errors; comment date Aug 31
19	Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean- Up (ER20-2783) Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P Agreement (ER20-2454) Schedule 21-UI: LCSA: UI/NextEra (ER20-2449)	No A Schedule Aug 31 Aug 7 Aug 31	Activity to Report 2 20/21/22/23 Changes Versant Power files amended Schedule 20A-VP to reflect renaming Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographica errors; comment date Aug 31 DWW Rev I intervenes
19	Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean- Up (ER20-2783) Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P Agreement (ER20-2454) Schedule 21-UI: LCSA: UI/NextEra (ER20-2449)	No A Schedule Aug 31 Aug 7 Aug 31	Activity to Report 2 20/21/22/23 Changes Versant Power files amended Schedule 20A-VP to reflect renaming Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographica errors; comment date Aug 31 DWW Rev I intervenes FERC accepts LCSA, eff. Jul 1, 2020
19	Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean- Up (ER20-2783) Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P Agreement (ER20-2454) Schedule 21-UI: LCSA: UI/NextEra (ER20-2449)	No A Schedule Aug 31 Aug 7 Aug 31	Activity to Report 2 20/21/22/23 Changes Versant Power files amended Schedule 20A-VP to reflect renaming Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographica errors; comment date Aug 31 DWW Rev I intervenes FERC accepts LCSA, eff. Jul 1, 2020

Aug 14

Aug 26

ISO-NE files required quarterly report

ISO-NE submits its 2020 Q2 FERC Form 3-Q

			IX. M	lembership Filings	
*	22	September 2020 Membership Filing (ER20-2772)	Aug 28	New Members: Acadia Renewable Energy (Related Person to Nautilus Power (Generation Sector)); Sky View Ventures (AR Sector DG Small Group); and SYSO LLC (AR Sector DG Small Group); and Name Change: ENGIE Power & Gas; comment date Sep 18	
	22	July 2020 Membership Filing (ER20-2277)	Aug 10	FERC accepts memberships of: Hampshire Power (Supplier Sector); Invenia Technical Computing Corp. (Supplier Sector); and Power Ledger Pty. Ltd. (GIS-Only Participant)	
	X. Misc ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards				
*	23	NOI: Enhancements to CIP Standards (RM20-12)	Aug 7-25	Parties submit comments	
*	25	2021 NERC/NPCC Business Plans and Budgets (RR20-6)	Aug 24	NERC submits proposed 2021 Business Plan and Budget for itself and it Regional Entities, including NPCC; comment date Sep 14	
			XI. Misc.	- of Regional Interest	
*	25	Use Rights Transfer Agreement: NSTAR/HQUS (ER20-2724)	Aug 24	NSTAR files Agreement for the transfer to HQUS through May 31, 202 its Use Rights on the Phase I/II HVDC line; comment date Sep 14	
*	26	TSAs: Second Amendments to New England Clean Energy Connect TSAs (ER20-2674 et al.)	Aug 14	CMP files second amendments to TSAs; comment date Sep 4	
	27	Orders 864/864-A (Public Util. Trans.	_	Versant answers MPUC Jul 23 comments	
		ADIT Rate Changes): New England Compliance Filings (various)	Aug 11 Aug 28	MPUC protests CMP filing CMP answers MPUC Aug 11 protest	
		XII. Misc	Administ	rative & Rulemaking Proceedings	
	27	Carbon Pricing in RTO/ISO Markets Tech Conf (Sep 30, 2020) (AD20-14)	Aug 5 Aug 28	FERC issues first supplemental notice of tech conf. FERC issues second supplemental notice of tech conf.	
	28	Hybrid Resources Tech Conf (Jul 23, 2020) (AD20-9)	Aug 10	FERC invites interested persons to file post-technical conference comments; comments due on or before Sep 24, 2020	
	31	Order 872: Pricing and Eligibility Changes to PURPA Regulations (RM19-15)	Aug 17	California Utilities, EPSA, Northwest Coalition, One Energy Enterprises, Public Interest Organizations, SEIA, Thomas Mattson file requests for rehearing and/or clarification of <i>Order 872</i>	
			XIII. N	latural Gas Proceedings	
	38	Iroquois ExC Project (CP20-48)	Aug 7	Iroquois responds to Jul 30 data request	
		XIV. State Pr	oceedings	s & Federal Legislative Proceedings	

No Activity to Report

	XV. Federal Courts				
	41	CASPR (20-[tbd])	Aug 31	Sierra Club, NRDC, RENEW, CLF appeal CASPR Order	
*	41	<i>Opinion 531-A</i> Compliance Filing Undo (20-1329)	Aug 28 Aug 31	TOs appeal FERC's Oct 6, 2017 order rejecting the TOs' filing that sought to reinstate their transmission rates to those in place prior to the FERC's orders later vacated by <i>Emera Maine</i> ; Clerk issues procedural order; appearances due Sep 30, 2020	
	44	Opinion 569/569-A: FERC's Base ROE Methodology (16-1325, 20-1227)	Aug 5 Aug 14 Aug 20	FERC asks Court to hold the appeals in abeyance, including the filing of the certified index to the record, for a period of four months, ending Dec 7 (to allow the FERC to issue a further order on rehearing) MISO TOs oppose FERC's request FERC responds to MISO TOs' Aug 14 opposition	
	43	FERC orders on PG&E Bankruptcy (19-71615) (9th Cir.)	Aug 14	Hearings held	

MEMORANDUM

TO: NEPOOL Participants Committee Members and Alternates

FROM: Patrick M. Gerity, NEPOOL Counsel

DATE: September 1, 2020

RE: Status Report on Current Regional Wholesale Power and Transmission Arrangements Pending

Before the Regulators, Legislatures and Courts

We have summarized below the status of key ongoing proceedings relating to NEPOOL matters before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), state regulatory commissions, and the Federal Courts and legislatures through September 1, 2020. If you have questions, please contact us.

COVID-19

• Jul 8-9 Tech Conf: Impacts of COVID-19 on the Energy Industry (AD20-17)

On July 8-9, 2020, the FERC convened a Commissioner-led technical conference to explore the potential longer-term impacts of the emergency conditions caused by COVID-19 on FERC-jurisdictional entities "in order to ensure the continued efficient functioning of energy markets, transmission of electricity, transportation of natural gas and oil, and reliable operation of energy infrastructure today and in the future, while also protecting consumers". The conference included consideration of: (i) the energy industry's ongoing and potential future operational and planning challenges due to COVID-19 and as the situation evolves moving forward; (ii) the potential impacts of changes in electric demand on operations, planning, and infrastructure development; (iii) the potential impacts of changes in natural gas and oil demand on operations, planning, and infrastructure development; and (iv) issues related to access to capital, including credit, liquidity, and return on equity. Comments and speaker opening statements are posted in eLibrary.

Interested parties were invited to file, on or before August 31, 2020, post-technical conference comments on any or all of the topics discussed at the July 8-9 technical conference, as well as to respond to the questions outlined in the July 1, 2020 supplemental notice of technical conference. Comments were filed by AEP, APPA, America Forest & Paper, America's Power, EEI, IEEE Power & Energy Society, Clearview Energy Partners, TAPS, Assoc. of Oil Pipelines, Pilot Travel Centers, and Process Gas.

Remote ALJ Hearings (AD20-12)

All hearings before Administrative Law Judges ("ALJs") will be held remotely through video conference software until further notice.² The Presiding Judge in each remote hearing will ensure that the participants have access to an "IT Day" prior to the hearing to allow all participants, witnesses, and the public who will attend the hearing to learn more about the remote hearing software and to get their technical questions answered by the appropriate FERC staff. On July 13, 2020, the Office of ALJs posted "Remote Hearing Guidance for Participants", including information on WebEx and SharePoint, the two platforms that will be used for all remote hearings. And on September 1, Chief Jude Cintron issued a notice that Uniform Hearing

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this filing are intended to have the meanings given to such terms in the Second Restated New England Power Pool Agreement (the "Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement"), the Participants Agreement, or the ISO New England Inc. ("ISO" or "ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the "Tariff").

² Chief Administrative Law Judge's Notices to the Public, Docket No. AD20-12 (June 17, 2020).

Rules for all Office of the ALJ hearings will be adopted effective September 15, 2020. The Uniform Hearing Rules will be issued in this proceeding and publicly available on the FERC's Administrative Litigation webpage.

• Extension of Filing Deadlines (AD20-11)

On August 20, 2020, the FERC extended, through January 29, 2021, the wavier of FERC regulations that require that filings with the FERC be notarized or supported by sworn declarations.³ The August 20 notice extends the waiver first noticed in May.⁴ As previously reported, Entities may also seek waiver of FERC orders, regulations, tariffs and rate schedules, including motions for waiver of regulations that govern the form of filings, as appropriate, to address needs resulting from steps they have taken in response to the coronavirus.⁵

• Blanket Waiver of ISO/RTO Tariff In-Person Meeting and Notarization Requirements (EL20-37)

Also on August 20, 2020, the FERC issued an order extending the blanket waivers of ISO/RTO Tariff *in-*person⁶ meeting and notarization requirements through January 29, 2021. The order extends the blanket waivers first granted in the FERC's April 2, 2020 order, which would have otherwise expired September 1, 2020.

I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

New England Generators' Exelon Complaint (EL20-67)

On August 25, 2020, New England Generators⁹ filed a complaint against Exelon¹⁰ requesting that, if and to the extent the FERC does not grant all relief requested by the New England Generators in its August 27, 2020 request for clarification and/or rehearing of the *July 17 Orders* in the Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement ("COS Agreement") proceeding (*see* ER18-1639 below), the FERC should find that the new information about Exelon's two new queue positions and Exelon's intention to continue to operate Everett beyond the term of the Mystic Agreement makes the existing rate in the Mystic Agreement unjust and unreasonable. New England Generators further requested that the FERC change the Mystic Agreement to: (i) apply the clawback mechanisms to Exelon's two new interconnection queue positions (to prevent Exelon from using interconnection queue positions for "new" or "repowered" units to skirt restrictions imposed on Mystic's recovery of costs pursuant to the COS Agreement); (ii) delete or give no meaning to the words "that were expensed" (in order to prevent Exelon from shielding costs paid for by captive ratepayers from the application of the COS Agreement's clawback provision); and (iii) require that Mystic return any of the undepreciated Everett repair and capital expenditure costs in the event that Mystic 8 or 9 return to the market after the end of the COS Agreement. Exelon's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before September 14, 2020. Thus far, doc-less interventions have been filed by Calpine, ENE and Public Citizen.

³ Extension of Non-Statutory Deadlines, Docket No. AD20-11-000 (Aug. 20, 2020).

⁴ Extension of Non-Statutory Deadlines, Docket No. AD20-11-000 (May 8, 2020).

⁵ Extension of Non-Statutory Deadlines, Docket No. AD20-11-000 (Apr. 2, 2020).

⁶ The waiver only applies to a specific requirement that meetings be held *in person*. Other than the in-person requirement, such meetings must still be held consistent with the tariff, but should be conducted by other means (e.g. telephonically).

⁷ Temporary Action to Facilitate Social Distancing, 172 FERC ¶ 61,151 (Aug. 20, 2020).

⁸ Temporary Action to Facilitate Social Distancing, 171 FERC ¶ 61,004 (Apr. 2, 2020) (waiving notarization requirements through Sep. 1, 2020, contained in any tariff, rate schedule, service agreement, or contract subject to the FERC's jurisdiction under the Federal Power Act ("FPA"), the Natural Gas Act ("NGA"), or the Interstate Commerce Act).

⁹ "New England Generators" are Vistra, Dynegy Marketing and Trade, NextEra Energy Resources, NRG Power Marketing, LS Power Associates, FirstLight Power, and Cogentrix Energy Power Management.

¹⁰ For purposes of this Complaint, "Exelon" is short for Constellation Mystic Power, LLC ("Mystic"), Exelon Generation Company, LLC ("Exelon Generation") and Exelon Corporation ("Exelon Corp.").

206 Proceeding: FCM Pricing Rules Complaints Remand (EL20-54)

In response to the February 2, 2018 remand by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ("DC Circuit")¹¹ (where the DC Circuit found that the FERC did not adequately explain why it allowed ISO-NE to forego an offer floor for its seven-year price lock period despite previously rejecting PJM's request to remove the offer floor for its three-year price lock period), the FERC instituted this proceeding, pursuant to section 206 of the FPA, finding preliminarily that ISO-NE's new entrant rules may be unjust and unreasonable.¹² The FERC established paper hearing procedures and posed the following questions, which needed to be addressed in initial briefs due on or before *August 24, 2020*:¹³

- (a) to evaluate the need for the price lock in its entirety: (i) how many resources have taken advantage of the price lock to date? (ii) is a price lock still needed to incent new entry in ISO-NE? (iii) does the price lock lead to unreasonable price suppression in the entry year? (iv) does the price lock with the zero-price offer rule result in unreasonable price suppression in years 2-7? (v) is the price lock unduly discriminatory? and (vi) if the price lock is retained, should the term be shortened and, if so, what would be a just and reasonable term?
- (b) to evaluate retaining the price-lock and adding an offer floor: (i) how would an offer floor be implemented? (2) would an offer floor require significant market redesign? and (iii) what would be the timeline for implementing an offer floor in ISO-NE?
- (c) to evaluate whether to impose an alternative replacement rate: (i) are there alternative approaches to the current price-lock that would be sufficient to incent new entry? (ii) how would these alternative approaches address any concerns related to unreasonable price suppression? and (iii) how would these alternative approaches address any concerns related to undue discriminatory or preferential treatment?

Initial briefs were filed by ISO-NE, ISO-NE External Market Monitor ("EMM"), Massachusetts Attorney General ("MA AG"), NEPGA, NRG, and RENEW Northeast. NEPOOL filed limited comments (urging the FERC, should it conclude that the Tariff is unjust and unreasonable and/or unduly discriminatory, to allow sufficient time and flexibility to permit meaningful opportunities for New England stakeholders to work with ISO-NE to develop any required market adjustments through the complete NEPOOL Participant Processes). Responses to the initial briefs are due **September 23, 2020**. No additional answers or briefs will be permitted.

Interventions were due on or before July 22, 2020 and were filed by NEPOOL, ISO-NE, ISO-NE EMM, Avangrid, Brookfield, BSW Project Co. (out-of-time), Calpine, CPV Towantic, Dominion, Energy New England ("ENE"), Eversource, Exelon, FirstLight, HQUS, LS Power, MA AG, MMWEC, National Grid, NESCOE, NHEC, NextEra, NRG, NTE Energy, Talen, Vistra, NEPGA, EPSA, CT AG, CT DEEP, CT PURA, MA DPU (out-of-time), PJM EMM, Public Citizen, and RENEW Northeast (out-of-time).

In order to accept the changes originally filed, the FERC must provide some analysis and explanation why it changed course. The FERC established July 9, 2020 (the date of publication in the *Federal Register*) as the refund effective date. The FERC noted its expectation that it would issue a final order in this proceeding within the 180-day period contemplated under FPA section 206(b). If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please

¹¹ New England Power Generators Assoc. v FERC, 881 F.3d 202 (DC Cir. 2018) (granting NEPGA's and Exelon's petitions for review of orders accepting the Forward Capacity Market's ("FCM") 7-year price lock-in (EL14-7) and capacity-carry-forward rules (EL15-23)).

¹² ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,005 (Jul 1, 2020) ("FCM Pricing Rules Complaints Remand Order").

¹³ Notice of the initiation of this proceeding was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on July 9, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 132) p. 41,237. Aug. 24, 2020 was the first Business Day that was 45 days after publication.

contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; <u>slombardi@daypitney.com</u>) or Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

• Exelon PP-10 Complaint (EL20-52)

On August 17, 2020, the FERC denied the June 10, 2020 complaint by Constellation Mystic Power, LLC ("Exelon").¹⁴ As previously reported, the Complaint requested that ISO-NE be prohibited from (i) implementing changes to Planning Procedure No. 10 (Planning Procedure to Support the Forward Capacity Market),¹⁵ which Exelon asserted would significantly affect the rates, terms and conditions of jurisdictional services by dramatically changing the way in which ISO-NE conducts its annual transmission security review of capacity auction retirement bids and the Network Model upon which the capacity auction is based, and (ii) violating the requirements of its Tariff for *Order 1000* competitive transmission procurements.

In denying the Complaint, the FERC found that it is Tariff § III.13.2.5.2.5(e), and not the PP-10 Revisions, which significantly affects the rates, terms and conditions of service that concern Mystic. The PP-10 Revisions, which are similar to the "instructions [and] guidelines . . . [that] guide internal operations" that the FERC has found to be more appropriately placed in non-tariff materials, did not need to be included in the Tariff under the FERC's rule of reason policy. The FERC disagreed with Mystic's assertion that the Tariff requires ISO-NE to use the Network Model for the transmission security review for a resource that has previously submitted a Retirement De-List Bid, finding "the Boston RFP results provide ISO-NE with sufficient information to ensure that it can address violations of applicable reliability criteria due to the absence of Mystic 8 and 9 and had no need to use the Network Model in order to comply with Tariff section III.13.2.5.2.5." In addition, the FERC found that the PP-10 Revisions did not violate the Attachment K provisions related to the *Order 1000* RFP process, that Mystic failed to demonstrate that ISO-NE violated its Tariff in conducting the Boston RFP process, or that the PP-10 Revisions jeopardize reliability.

Unless the *Order Denying PP-10 Complaint* is challenged, with any challenges due on or before September 16, 2020, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com) or Sophia Browning (202-218-3904; sbrowning@daypitney.com).

206 Investigation Into ISO-NE Implementation of Order 1000 Exemptions for Immediate Need Reliability Projects (EL19-90)

On August 20, the FERC issued a "Notice of Denial of Rehearings by Operation of Law and Providing for Further Consideration".²² The Notice confirmed that the 60-day period during which a petition for review of the FERC's *Order Terminating Proceeding*²³ can be filed with an appropriate federal court was triggered when

¹⁴ Constellation Mystic Power, LLC v. ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,144 (Aug. 17, 2020) ("Order Denying PP-10 Complaint").

¹⁵ The PP-10 Revisions were supported by the Participants Committee at its June 4 meeting by a vote of 99.12% in support (only Exelon opposing).

¹⁶ *Id.* at P 29.

¹⁷ *Id.* at P 31.

¹⁸ Id. at P 42.

¹⁹ *Id.* at P 57.

²⁰ *Id.* at P 58.

²¹ Id. at PP 69-71.

²² ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,096 (Aug. 20, 2020).

²³ ISO New England Inc., 171 FERC ¶ 61,211 (June 18, 2020) ("Order Terminating Proceeding") (finding (i) "insufficient evidence in the record to find under FPA section 206 that [ISO-NE's] implementation of the exemption for immediate need reliability projects is unjust, unreasonable, or unduly discriminatory or preferential; (ii) "insufficient evidence in the record to find that ISO-NE implemented the

the FERC did not act on the requests for rehearing (filed by CT PURA/CT OCC/MA AG ("CT/MA Parties"), LS Power and MMWEC/NHEC) of the *Order Terminating Proceeding*. The Notice also notes the right the FERC has to address the rehearing requests in a future order, modifying or setting aside its order, in whole or in part, up and through the date the record of the proceeding is filed with a court of appeals.²⁴ If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols Settlement Agreement II (ER20-2054; EL16-19-002)

On August 18, Presiding ALJ Coffman certified to the Commission²⁵ the uncontested Joint Offer of Settlement ("Settlement Agreement II") filed June 15, 2020 by the Transmission Owners, on behalf of the Settling Parties, to resolve all issues in Docket No. EL16-19, a Section 206 proceeding first instituted by the FERC on December 28, 2015.²⁶ Recall that, as previously reported, the first joint offer of settlement filed ("Settlement Agreement I") was contested²⁷ and subsequently rejected by the FERC.²⁸ The Tariff changes included with Settlement Agreement II were considered through the Participants Processes (Transmission and Participants Committee review), and supported by the Participants Committee at its June 4, 2020 meeting (Agenda Item # 13).

Comments on Settlement Agreement II were due on or before July 6, 2020. NEPOOL filed comments supporting the Tariff changes included with Settlement Agreement II. FERC Trial Staff filed comments not opposing Settlement Agreement II. On July 15, 2020, the TOs filed reply comments supporting Settlement Agreement II. On July 29, 2020, the MA DPU intervened. Settlement Agreement II is now before the Commission.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

Base ROE Complaints I-IV: (EL11-66, EL13-33; EL14-86; EL16-64)

There are four proceedings pending before the FERC in which consumer representatives seek to reduce the TOs' return on equity ("Base ROE") for regional transmission service.

immediate need reliability project exemption in a manner that is inconsistent with or more expansive than [the FERC] directed"; and (iii) that ISO-NE complies with the five criteria established for the immediate need reliability project exemption).

²⁴ See 16 USC § 825l(a) ("Until the record in a proceeding shall have been filed in a court of appeals, ... the [FERC] may at any time, upon reasonable notice and in such manner as it shall deem proper, modify or set aside, in whole or in part, any finding or order made or issued by it under the provisions of this chapter.").

²⁵ ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm., 172 FERC ¶ 63,017 (Aug. 18, 2020).

²⁶ ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm., 153 FERC ¶ 61,343 (Dec. 28, 2015), reh'g denied, 154 FERC ¶ 61,230 (Mar. 22, 2016) ("RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols Order"). The RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols Order found the ISO-NE Tariff unjust, unreasonable, and unduly discriminatory or preferential because the Tariff "lacks adequate transparency and challenge procedures with regard to the formula rates" for Regional Network Service ("RNS") and Local Network Service ("LNS"). The FERC also found that the RNS and LNS rates themselves "appear to be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful" because (i) "the formula rates appear to lack sufficient detail in order to determine how certain costs are derived and recovered in the formula rates" and "could result in an over-recovery of costs" due to the "the timing and synchronization of the RNS and LNS rates". The FERC encouraged the parties to make every effort to settle this matter before hearing procedures are commenced. The FERC-established refund date is January 4, 2016.

²⁷ Settlement Agreement I was opposed by FERC Trial Staff and "Municipal PTF Owners" (Braintree, Chicopee, Middleborough, Norwood, Reading, Taunton, and Wallingford).

²⁸ As previously reported, the Settling Parties filed the Settlement on Aug. 17, 2018, in ER18-2235. The Settlement proposed changes to Section II.25, Schedules 8 and 9, Attachment F (including the addition of Interim Formula Rate Protocols ("Interim Protocols")), and the Schedule 21s to the ISO-NE OATT. The Interim Protocols, as well as the changes to Section II.25 and Schedules 8 and 9, were supported by the Participants Committee at its July 24, 2018 meeting. However, the FERC found insufficient detailed information to enable it to apply any of the approaches available to it to approve a contested settlement and remanded the proceeding (EL16-19) to Chief Judge Cintron to resume hearing procedures. *ISO New England Inc. Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm., et al.*, 167 FERC ¶ 61,164 (May 22, 2019) ("RNS Rate/Rate Protocol Settlement I Order").

- ▶ Base ROE Complaint I (EL11-66). In the first Base ROE Complaint proceeding, the FERC concluded that the TOs' ROE had become unjust and unreasonable,²⁹ set the TOs' Base ROE at 10.57% (reduced from 11.14%), capped the TOs' total ROE (Base ROE plus transmission incentive adders) at 11.74%, and required implementation effective as of October 16, 2014 (the date of Opinion 531-A).³⁰ However, the FERC's orders were challenged, and in Emera Maine,³¹ the DC Circuit vacated the FERC's prior orders, and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its order. The FERC's determinations in Opinion 531 are thus no longer precedential, though the FERC remains free to re-adopt those determinations on remand as long as it provides a reasoned basis for doing so.
- ➤ Base ROE Complaints II & III (EL13-33 and EL14-86) (consolidated). The second (EL13-33)³² and third (EL14-86)³³ ROE complaint proceedings were consolidated for purposes of hearing and decision, though the parties were permitted to litigate a separate ROE for each refund period. After hearings were completed, ALJ Sterner issued a 939-paragraph, 371-page Initial Decision, which lowered the base ROEs for the EL13-33 and EL14-86 refund periods from 11.14% to 9.59% and 10.90%, respectively.³⁴ The Initial Decision also lowered the ROE ceilings. Parties to these proceedings filed briefs on exception to the FERC, which has not yet issued an opinion on the ALJ's Initial Decision.
- ➤ Base ROE Complaint IV (EL16-64). The fourth and final ROE proceeding³⁵ also went to hearing before an ALJ, Judge Glazer, who issued his initial decision on March 27, 2017.³⁶ The Base ROE IV Initial Decision concluded that the currently-filed base ROE of 10.57%, which may reach a

²⁹ The TOs' 11.14% pre-existing Base ROE was established in *Opinion 489. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co.*, Opinion No. 489, 117 FERC \P 61,129 (2006), order on reh'g, 122 FERC \P 61,265 (2008), order granting clarif., 124 FERC \P 61,136 (2008), aff'd sub nom., Conn. Dep't of Pub. Util. Control v. FERC, 593 F.3d 30 (D.C. Cir. 2010) ("Opinion 489")).

³⁰ Coakley Mass. Att'y Gen. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., 147 FERC ¶ 61,234 (2014) ("Opinion 531"), order on paper hearing, 149 FERC ¶ 61,032 (2014) ("Opinion 531-A"), order on reh'g, 150 FERC ¶ 61,165 (2015) ("Opinion 531-B").

³¹ Emera Maine v. FERC, 854 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2017) ("Emera Maine"). Emera Maine vacated the FERC's prior orders in the Base ROE Complaint I proceeding, and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its order. The Court agreed with both the TOs (that the FERC did not meet the Section 206 obligation to first find the existing rate unlawful before setting the new rate) and "Customers" (that the 10.57% ROE was not based on reasoned decision-making, and was a departure from past precedent of setting the ROE at the midpoint of the zone of reasonableness).

³² The 2012 Base ROE Complaint, filed by Environment Northeast (now known as Acadia Center), Greater Boston Real Estate Board, National Consumer Law Center, and the NEPOOL Industrial Customer Coalition ("NICC", and together, the "2012 Complainants"), challenged the TOs' 11.14% ROE, and seeks a reduction of the Base ROE to 8.7%.

³³ The 2014 Base ROE Complaint, filed July 31, 2014 by the Massachusetts Attorney General ("MA AG"), together with a group of State Advocates, Publicly Owned Entities, End Users, and End User Organizations (together, the "2014 ROE Complainants"), seeks to reduce the current 11.14% Base ROE to 8.84% (but in any case no more than 9.44%) and to cap the Combined ROE for all rate base components at 12.54%. 2014 ROE Complainants state that they submitted this Complaint seeking refund protection against payments based on a preincentives Base ROE of 11.14%, and a reduction in the Combined ROE, relief as yet not afforded through the prior ROE proceedings.

 $^{^{34}}$ Environment Northeast v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co. and Mass. Att'y Gen. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co, 154 FERC ¶ 63,024 (Mar. 22, 2016) ("2012/14 ROE Initial Decision").

³⁵ The 4th ROE Complaint asked the FERC to reduce the TOs' current 10.57% return on equity ("Base ROE") to 8.93% and to determine that the upper end of the zone of reasonableness (which sets the incentives cap) is no higher than 11.24%. The FERC established hearing and settlement judge procedures (and set a refund effective date of April 29, 2016) for the 4th ROE Complaint on September 20, 2016. Settlement procedures did not lead to a settlement, were terminated, and hearings were held subsequently held December 11-15, 2017. The September 26, 2016 order was challenged on rehearing, but rehearing of that order was denied on January 16, 2018. *Belmont Mun. Light Dept. v. Central Me. Power Co.*, 156 FERC ¶ 61,198 (Sep. 20, 2016) ("Base ROE Complaint IV Order"), reh'g denied, 162 FERC ¶ 61,035 (Jan. 18, 2018) (together, the "Base ROE Complaint IV Orders"). The Base ROE Complaint IV Orders, as described in Section XV below, have been appealed to, and are pending before, the DC Circuit.

³⁶ Belmont Mun. Light Dept. v. Central Me. Power Co., 162 FERC ¶ 63,026 (Mar. 27, 2018) ("Base ROE Complaint IV Initial Decision").

maximum ROE of 11.74% with incentive adders, was **not** unjust and unreasonable for the Complaint IV period, and hence was not unlawful under section 206 of the FPA.³⁷ Parties in this proceeding filed briefs on exception to the FERC, which has not yet issued an opinion on the *Base ROE IV Initial Decision*.

October 16, 2018 Order Proposing Methodology for Addressing ROE Issues Remanded in Emera Maine and Directing Briefs. On October 16, 2018, the FERC, addressing the issues that were remanded in Emera Maine, proposed a new methodology for determining whether an existing ROE remains just and reasonable.³⁸ The FERC indicated its intention that the methodology be its policy going forward, including in the four currently pending New England proceedings (see, however, Opinion 569-A³⁹ (EL14-12; EL15-45) in Section XI below). The FERC established a paper hearing on how its proposed methodology should apply to the four pending ROE proceedings.⁴⁰

At highest level, the new methodology will determine whether (1) an existing ROE is unjust and unreasonable under the first prong of FPA section 206 and (2) if so, what the replacement ROE should be under the second prong of FPA section 206. In determining whether an existing ROE is unjust and under the first prong of Section 206, the FERC stated that it will determine a "composite" zone of reasonableness based on the results of three models: the Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF"), Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM"), and Expected Earnings models. Within that composite zone, a smaller, "presumptively reasonable" zone will be established. Absent additional evidence to the contrary, if the utility's existing ROE falls within the presumptively reasonable zone, it is not unjust and unreasonable. Changes in capital market conditions since the existing ROE was established may be considered in assessing whether the ROE is unjust and unreasonable.

If the FERC finds an existing ROE unjust and unreasonable, it will then determine the new just and reasonable ROE using an averaging process. For a diverse group of average risk utilities, FERC will average four values: the midpoints of the DCF, CAPM and Expected Earnings models, and the results of the Risk Premium model. For a single utility of average risk, the FERC will average the medians rather than the midpoints. The FERC said that it would continue to use the same proxy group criteria it established in *Opinion 531* to run the ROE models, but it made a significant change to the manner in which it will apply the high-end outlier test.

The FERC provided preliminary analysis of how it would apply the proposed methodology in the Base ROE I Complaint, suggesting that it would affirm its holding that an 11.14% Base ROE is unjust and unreasonable. The FERC suggested that it would adopt a 10.41% Base ROE and cap any preexisting incentive-based total ROE at 13.08%.⁴¹ The new ROE would be effective as of the date of *Opinion 531-A*, or October 16, 2014. Accordingly, the issue to be addressed in the Base ROE Complaint II proceeding is whether the ROE established on remand in the first complaint proceeding remained just and reasonable based on financial data for the six-month period September 2013 through February 2014 addressed by the evidence presented by the

³⁷ Id. at P 2.; Finding of Fact (B).

 $^{^{38}}$ Coakley v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., 165 FERC ¶ 61,030 (Oct. 18, 2018) ("Order Directing Briefs" or "Coakley").

³⁹ Ass'n of Buss. Advocating Tariff Equity v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc., Opinion No. 569-A, 171 FERC ¶ 61,154 (2020) ("Opinion 569-A"). The refinements to the FERC's ROE methodology included: (i) the use of the Risk Premium model instead of only relying on the DCF model and CAPM under both prongs of FPA Section 206; (ii) adjusting the relative weighting of long- and short-term growth rates, increasing the weight for the short-term growth rate to 80% and reducing to 20% the weight given to the long-term growth rate in the two-step DCF model; (iii) modifying the high-end outlier test to treat any proxy company as high-end outlier if its cost of equity estimated under the model in question is more than 200% of the median result of all the potential proxy group members in that model before any high- or low-end outlier test is applied, subject to a natural break analysis. This is a shift from the 150% threshold applied in Opinion 569; and (iv) calculating the zone of reasonableness in equal thirds, instead of using the quartile approach that was applied in Opinion 569.

⁴⁰ *Id.* at P 19.

⁴¹ *Id.* at P 59.

participants in the second proceeding. Similarly, briefing in the third and fourth complaints will have to address whether whatever ROE is in effect as a result of the immediately preceding complaint proceeding continues to be just and reasonable.

The FERC directed participants in the four proceedings to submit briefs regarding the proposed approaches to the FPA section 206 inquiry and how to apply them to the complaints (separate briefs for each proceeding). Additional financial data or evidence concerning economic conditions in any proceeding must relate to periods before the conclusion of the hearings in the relevant complaint proceeding. Following a FERC notice granting a request by the TOs and Customers⁴² for an extension of time to submit briefs, the latest date for filing initial and reply briefs was extended to January 11 and March 8, 2019, respectively. On January 11, initial briefs were filed by EMCOS, Complainant-Aligned Parties, TOs, EEI, Louisiana PSC, Southern California Edison, and AEP. As part of their initial briefs, each of the Louisiana PSC, SEC and AEP also moved to intervene out-of-time. Those interventions were opposed by the TOs on January 24. The Louisiana PSC answered the TOs' January 24 motion on February 12. Reply briefs were due March 8, 2019 and were submitted by the TOs, Complainant-Aligned Parties, EMCOS, FERC Trial Staff.

TOs Request to Re-Open Record and file Supplemental Paper Hearing Brief. On December 26, 2019, the TOs filed a Supplemental Brief that addresses the consequences of the November 21 MISO ROE Order⁴³ and requested that the FERC re-open the record to permit that additional testimony on the impacts of the MISO ROE Order's changes. On January 21, EMCOS and CAPs opposed the TOs' request and brief.

These matters remain pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com) or Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com).

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

FCA15 De-List Bids Filing (ER20-2317)

On August 19, 2020, the FERC accepted ISO-NE's July 2, 2020 filing describing the Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids that were submitted on or prior to the FCA15 Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline.44 In that filing, ISO-NE reported that the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline for FCA15 was March 13, 2020 and it received 1 Permanent De-List Bid, 13 Retirement De-List Bids, and 0 substitution auction test prices from 10 Lead Market Participants. The bids were for resources located in the CT, VT, ME, South Eastern Massachusetts, and Western Central MA Load Zones, with 241.256 MWs of aggregate capacity. All but four of the Bids were for resources under 20 MW or that did not meet the affiliation requirements that would have required Internal Market Monitor ("IMM") review, with two (representing 20.712 MWs) requiring substitution auction test price reviews because the Bids were for greater than 3 MWs. The IMM did review the remaining four Bids (from four separate suppliers) for 213.376 MWs of capacity. The IMM's determination regarding those bids is described in the version of the filing that was filed confidentially as required under §13.8.1(a) of Market Rule 1. ISO-NE also reported that, because the Energy Security Improvements ("ESI") filing described in Section III below (ER20-1567) is still pending and FCA15 participants will receive final mitigated prices from the IMM before there is a FERC determination on the ESI filing, the IMM provided Participants with conditional retirement notifications that included a price under the current Market Rules, and a price to be used under each of the ISO-NE and NEPOOL ESI alternatives, should one of those be accepted. Unless the August 19 order is challenged, this proceeding will be

⁴² For purposes of the motion seeking clarification, "Customers" are CT PURA, MA AG and EMCOS.

⁴³ Ass'n of Buss. Advocating Tariff Equity v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc., Opinion No. 569, 169 FERC ¶ 61,129 (2019) ("MISO ROE Order"), order on reh'g, Opinion No. 569-A, 171 FERC ¶ 61,154 (May 21, 2020).

⁴⁴ ISO New England Inc., Docket No. ER20-2317 (Aug. 19, 2020) (unpublished letter order).

concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement (ER18-1639)

As previously reported, the FERC issued four orders in this proceeding in July 2020 (three on July 17 (together, the "July 17 Orders"); one on July 28, 2020). Each of the orders addressed in part or in whole the Cost-of-Service Agreement ("COS Agreement")⁴⁵ among Constellation Mystic Power ("Mystic"), Exelon Generation Company ("ExGen") and ISO-NE, which is to provide compensation for the continued operation of the Mystic 8 & 9 units from June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2024.

July 17 Orders. The July 17 Orders addressed (i) requests for rehearing of the July 2018 Order⁴⁶ (the FERC's initial order in this proceeding, accepting the COS Agreement but suspending its effectiveness and setting the matter for accelerated hearings and settlement discussions); (ii) Dec 2018 Order⁴⁷ (the FERC's order following hearings ordered in the July 2018 Order conditionally accepting the COS Agreement, subject to a compliance filing modifying aspects of the COS Agreement that FERC rejected or directed be changed, and establishing a paper hearing to ascertain whether and how the ROE methodology that FERC proposed in Coakley should apply in this case); and (iii) the Mar 2019 Compliance Filing⁴⁸ (submitted in response to the requirements of the Dec 2018 Order).

Requests for Rehearing of July 17 Orders. Requests for rehearing and/or clarification of one or more of the *July 17 Orders* were filed by ISO-NE (the order on the *Dec 2018 Order*), ⁴⁹ CT Parties⁵⁰ (the orders on the *Dec 2018 Order* and on the Mar 2019 Compliance Filing), NESCOE (the order on the *Dec 2018 Order*), and NEPGA (each of the *July 17 Orders*). The requests for rehearing are pending, with FERC action required on or before September 14, 2020 (the first business day that is 30 days from the day that the first requests for rehearing (by CT Parties) were filed), or the requests will be deemed denied by operation of law.

ROE Paper Hearings. The *Dec 2018 Order* established a paper hearing to determine the just and reasonable ROE to be used in setting charges under Mystic's COS Agreement. On April 19, 2019, Mystic, Connecticut Parties, ENECOS, MA AG, and FERC Trial Staff filed initial briefs. On July 18, 2019, Constellation Mystic Power, CT Parties, ENECOS, MA AG, National Grid, FERC Trial Staff filed reply briefs. In a July 28, 2020 order, ⁵¹ the FERC reopened the record to allow parties an opportunity to present written evidence applying the FERC's *Opinion*

⁴⁵ The COS Agreement, submitted on May 16, 2018, is between Mystic, Exelon Generation Company, LLC ("ExGen") and ISO-NE. The COS Agreement is to provide cost-of-service compensation to Mystic for continued operation of Mystic 8 & 9, which ISO-NE has requested be retained to ensure fuel security for the New England region, for the period of June 1, 2022 to May 31, 2024. The COS Agreement provides for recovery of Mystic's fixed and variable costs of operating Mystic 8 & 9 over the 2-year term of the Agreement, which is based on the pro forma cost-of-service agreement contained in Appendix I to Market Rule 1, modified and updated to address Mystic's unique circumstances, including the value placed on continued sourcing of fuel from the Distrigas liquefied natural gas ("LNG") facility, and on the continued provision of surplus LNG from Distrigas to third parties.

⁴⁶ Constellation Mystic Power, LLC, 164 FERC \P 61,022 (July 13, 2018) ("July 2018 Order"), clarif. granted in part and denied in part, reh'g denied, 172 FERC \P 61,043 (July 17, 2020).

⁴⁷ Constellation Mystic Power, LLC, 165 FERC ¶ 61,267 (Dec. 20, 2018) ("Dec 2018 Order"), set aside in part, clarification granted in part and clarification denied in part, 172 FERC ¶ 61,044 (July 17, 2020). The July 17 order set aside the parts of the Dec 2018 Order that required the COS Agreement to include a sliding scale or other revenue crediting mechanism and the part that required Mystic to true-up revenues, granted clarification requested by Mystic that the FERC did not intend to re-state its prudence standard in the Dec 2018 Order, and denying clarifications requested by Mystic, NESCOE and ENECOS.

⁴⁸ Constellation Mystic Power, LLC, 172 FERC ¶ 61,045 (July 17, 2020) (order on compliance and directing further compliance).

⁴⁹ ISO-NE seeks rehearing of the FERC's finding that the Tank Congestion Charge will no longer be applied in the determination of Mystic's fuel costs.

⁵⁰ "CT Parties" for purposes of this proceeding are CT PURA, CT DEEP and the CT OCC.

⁵¹ Constellation Mystic Power, LLC, 172 FERC ¶ 61,093 (July 28, 2020).

569-A ROE methodology to the facts of this proceeding. Initial briefs are due on or before *September 28, 2020*; responses to those initial briefs, October 28, 2020.

If you have questions on any aspect of this proceeding, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• TOs' Opinion 531-A Compliance Filing Undo (ER15-414)

On August 28, 2020, the TOs petitioned the DC Circuit for review of the FERC's October 6, 2017 order⁵² rejecting the TOs' filing that sought to reinstate their transmission rates to those in place prior to the FERC's orders later vacated by the DC Circuit's *Emera Maine*⁵³ decision. As previously reported, the *Order Rejecting Filing*, the FERC required the TOs to continue collecting their ROEs currently on file, subject to a future FERC order. ⁵⁴ The FERC explained in the *Order Rejecting Filing* that it will "order such refunds or surcharges as necessary to replace the rates set in the now-vacated order with the rates that the Commission ultimately determines to be just and reasonable in its order on remand" so as to "put the parties in the position that they would have been in but for [its] error." So as not to "significantly complicate the process of putting into effect whatever ROEs the Commission establishes on remand" or create "unnecessary and detrimental variability in rates," the FERC left in place the ROEs set in *Opinion 531-A*, pending an order on remand⁵⁵ (which has not been issued).

The TOs requested rehearing of the *Order Rejecting Filing* on November 6, 2017. The FERC issued a tolling order providing it additional time to consider the TOs' request for rehearing of the *Order Rejecting Filing* on December 4, 2017, but has never issued an order on the TOs' request. In light of the DC Circuit's *Allegheny* decision, which recently held that tolling orders "are not the kind of action on a rehearing application that can fend off a deemed denial and the opportunity for judicial review", the TOs' now deem their request for rehearing of the *Order Rejecting Filing* to be denied and filed a petition for review of that Order with the DC Circuit. With the TOs' August 28, 2020 filing of the appeal, and absent any further FERC activity prior to the filing of the record in the DC Circuit proceeding, ⁵⁶ reporting on this matter will move to Section XV in future Reports.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com) or Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

2020/21 Power Year Transmission Rate Filing (ER09-1532; RT04-2)

On July 31, 2020, the Participating Transmission Owners ("PTOs") Administrative Committee ("PTO AC") submitted a filing identifying adjustments to regional transmission service charges under Section II of the ISO Tariff for the period June 1, 2020 through May 31, 2021. The filing reflected the charges to be assessed under annual transmission formula rates, reflecting actual 2019 cost data, Forecasted Annual Transmission Revenue Requirements associated with projected PTF additions for the 2019 Forecast Period, and the Annual True-up including associated interest. The PTO AC states that the annual updates results in a Pool "postage stamp" RNS Rate of \$129.26 /kW-year effective June 1, 2020, an increase of \$17.32 /kW-year from the charges that went into effect on June 1, 2019. In addition, the annual update to the Schedule 1 formula rate results in a charge of \$1.745 kW-year, a \$0.152/kW-year increase from the Schedule 1 charge that last went into effect on June 1, 2019. This filing will be reviewed at the August 18-19 Reliability/Transmission Committee summer

⁵² ISO New England Inc., 161 FERC ¶ 61,031 (Oct. 6, 2017) ("Order Rejecting Filing"), reh'g requested.

⁵³ Emera Maine v. FERC, 854 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2017) ("Emera Maine").

⁵⁴ Order Rejecting Filing at P 1.

⁵⁵ *Id.* at P 36.

⁵⁶ Under 16 USC § 825l(a), the FERC retains the right to address the rehearing request in a future order, modifying or setting aside its order, in whole or in part, up until the record of the proceeding is filed with a court of appeals. *See* n. 91 *supra*.

meeting. The filing will not be noticed for public comment. If there are questions on this proceeding, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests

Information Policy §2.3 Revisions (ER20-2518)

On July 28, 2020, ISO-NE and NEPOOL jointly filed revisions to Section 2.3 the Information Policy. Specifically, the revisions are designed (i) to improve and clarify communications with Participants regarding the status of Participants emerging from bankruptcy and (ii) to provide ISO-NE with greater flexibility when disclosing confidential information of defaulting Participants to the FERC, courts of competent jurisdiction (esp. bankruptcy courts), and/or other agencies. The revisions do not modify the type of information that will be disclosed on weekly notices and do not affect the confidentiality and non-disclosure obligations of Participants under the Information Policy. The revisions were supported by the Participants Committee at its June 4 meeting (Consent Agenda Item #1). An October 1, 2020 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due on or before August 18, 2020; none were filed. Doc-less interventions were filed by Calpine, Eversource, Exelon, and National Grid. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com) or Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

DAM Offer Window Modification (ER20-2511)

On July 27, 2020, ISO-NE and NEPOOL jointly filed revisions to Market Rule 1 Section 1.10.1A to extend by 30 minutes the Day-Ahead Energy Market ("DAM") offer window. Also included with the DAM Offer Window modification were two Offer Cap clean-up changes, one to add Demand Reduction Offers to the consolidated offer floor provisions of Section III.1.9.1.2, the other to remove "Energy Offer Cap" from Section III.1.10.1A(e)(ii). The revisions were supported by the Participants Committee at its June 4 meeting (Consent Agenda Item #2). A September 30, 2020 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due on or before August 17, 2020; none were filed. Doc-less interventions only were filed by Calpine, Eversource, Exelon, FirstLight, National Grid, NRG, PSEG, and Vitol. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com) or Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

Waiver Request: Settlement Only Resources Definition -- GMP's Searsburg facility (ER20-1755)

Green Mountain Power ("GMP")'s May 4, 2020 request for a limited waiver from the revised definition of Settlement Only Resources⁵⁷ as applied to GMP's Searsburg wind power facility⁵⁸ (because the vintage and unique physical characteristics of the Searsburg facility's wind turbines will make compliance with the revised definition of a Settlement Only Resource infeasible) remains pending before the FERC.⁵⁹ No comments on GMP's waiver

⁵⁷ See ER20-1582 below.

⁵⁸ The Searsburg facility is comprised of eleven Zond Z-40 turbines, each of which is rated at 550 kW; the overall project has a nameplate rating of 6MW. However, due to the age and physical characteristics of the turbines (the facility went online in July 1997, and reached its projected design lifetime of 20 years in July 2017), the Searsburg facility has a 20-25 percent capacity factor and produces on average 1.2 to 1.5 MW annually.

⁵⁹ Searsburg's SCADA system does not have the ability to set an active power limit for the wind facility, and the GMP control room does not have any turbine-level control capability. In addition, because the facility's Zond Z-40 turbines are among the last turbines of this model still in operation in the country, updated or modified control systems or spare parts for Searsburg's legacy Zond turbines are not available, and GMP states that it is unable to acquire turbine software capable of allowing Searsburg to set up an active power limit. The power output of the facility can only be limited by manually taking individual turbines offline, if a technician is available, or alternatively, shutting down the entire plant remotely by tripping the substation breaker, potentially damaging the wind turbines. Over the coming years, as each of Searsburg's turbines becomes inoperable, GMP will decommission the turbine.

request were filed before the May 22, 2020 comment date. NEPOOL filed a doc-less intervention. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• ESI Alternatives (ER20-1567)

This proceeding was initiated by ISO-NE's April 15, 2020 filing of Tariff revisions to incorporate comprehensive, long-term market enhancements to address the fuel security challenges facing the New England region ("Energy Security Improvements" or "ESI").⁶⁰ The revisions included NEPOOL-supported alternatives to certain aspects of the enhancements proposed by ISO-NE, which ISO-NE and NEPOOL agreed would be considered on equal legal footing with ISO-NE's favored alternative. ISO-NE asked that the FERC issue an order and accept the changes effective no later than November 1, 2020, conditioned on ISO-NE's filing of an appropriate market power mitigation proposal supported by a Market Power Assessment by the fourth quarter of 2021. The ESI Proposals were considered at the April 2 Participants Committee meeting. ISO-NE's ESI proposal with three amendments proposed by NESCOE was approved by NEPOOL and is the NEPOOL Alternative. ISO-NE's ESI proposal without the amendments (the "ISO-NE Proposal") was not supported. Comments on this filing are due on or before May 15, 2020. On April 24, NEPOOL submitted comments to provide NEPOOL's support for the NEPOOL Alternative.

Comments and protests were filed by Avangrid, API, Calpine/Vistra, Cogentrix, Dominion, Excelerate, Exelon, FirstLight, IECG, MA AG/NH OCA, MMWEC, NECOES/ENE, NESCOE, Repsol, NEPGA, NRG, PIOs, ISO-NE IMM, Potomac Economics, CT DEEP, MPUC, VT PUC, AEE, EPSA, National Hydropower Assoc., and the National Gas Supply Association ("NGSA"). On June 1 NEPOOL and NESCOE filed answers to some of the pleadings submitted. Doc-less interventions were filed by Acadia Center, Brookfield RTM, CT OCC, CT AG, CLF, ENE, Environmental Defense Fund, Eversource, National Grid, NextEra, NRDC/Sustainable FERC Project, PSEG, Repsol, Shell, UCS, Vistra, AWEA, APPA, EPSA, Helix Maine, Public Citizen, Sierra Club, and Vote Solar. On June 5, Calpine/Vistra and NEPGA answered NESCOE's May 15 protest. On June 10, FirstLight answered NEPOOL's and NESCOE's answers. ISO-NE submitted its answer to various pleadings on June 16. On June 22, NESCOE filed a second answer, to the June 5 answers by NEPGA and Calpine/Vistra. NESCOE, and the MA AG answered ISO-NE's Jun 16 answer on June 30, And, finally, NEPOOL answered ISO-NE's out-of-time answer on July 1.

There has been no activity in this proceeding since the last Report and this matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com) or Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

Inventoried Energy Program (Chapter 2B or "IEP") Remand (ER19-1428)

On August 20, the FERC issued a "Notice of Denial of Rehearings by Operation of Law".⁶¹ The Notice confirmed that the 60-day period during which a petition for review of the FERC's *IEP Remand Order* ⁶² can be filed with an appropriate federal court was triggered when the FERC did not act on the requests for rehearing (filed by MA AG, MPUC, and jointly by NECOS⁶³ and ENE, NH PUC and NH OCA, and by Sierra Club and UCS) of the *IEP Remand Order*. If appealed, further developments will be reported in Section XV. If you have questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

⁶⁰ This filing was submitted in response to the requirements of the *Mystic Waiver Order*, which directed ISO-NE, in part, to submit permanent Tariff revisions reflecting improvements to its market design to better address regional fuel security concerns. *See ISO New England Inc.*, 164 FERC ¶ 61,003 (July 2, 2018), *reh'g requested* ("*Mystic Waiver Order*").

⁶¹ ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,095 (Aug. 20, 2020).

⁶² ISO New England Inc., 171 FERC ¶ 61,235 (June 18, 2020) ("IEP Remand Order").

⁶³ "NECOS" are Belmont, Block Island Utility District, Braintree, Georgetown, Groveland, Hingham, Littleton (MA) Electric Light Dept., Merrimack, Middleborough, Middleton, North Attleborough, Norwood, Pascoag, Reading, Rowley, Stowe, Taunton, and Wellesley.

Order 841 Compliance Filings (Electric Storage in RTO/ISO Markets) (ER19-470)

As previously reported, the FERC has now conditionally accepted both the November 22, 2019⁶⁴ and February 10, 2020⁶⁵ Order 841⁶⁶ compliance filings, each subject to additional compliance filing(s). In its Order 841 Compliance Filing II Order, the FERC directed two further compliance filings, one due on or before November 2, 2020, and the other on or before August 4, 2021.

The *November 2020 compliance filing* must address concerns that the FERC raised with respect to the application of transmission charges to electric storage resources. Finding that ISO-NE "has failed to demonstrate that an electric storage resource that is self-scheduled to charge at a fixed MW quantity is providing a service that warrants exempting its *full* self-scheduled charging MW from transmission charges," the FERC directed ISO-NE to file, on or before November 2, 2020, proposed Tariff revisions: (i) specifying that it will not apply transmission charges to electric storage resources when they are dispatched to withdraw energy to provide voltage support and reactive control, provide operating reserves, provide regulation, balance energy supply and demand on an economic basis, or address a reliability concern; and (ii) applying transmission charges to electric storage resources when they are not being dispatched to provide one of those tariff-defined services.⁶⁷ The November 2020 compliance filing must also modify section III.1.10.6(d)(ii) to either (i) eliminate any suggestion that a host utility could be allowed, through an unwillingness to support the necessary registration, metering, and accounting of the electric storage resource, to decide whether an electric storage resource may participate in the ISO-NE markets; or (ii) to clarify how the section does not serve as a barrier to the participation of electric storage resources.

The **August 4, 2021 compliance filing**⁶⁸ must include proposed revisions to Tariff section III.1.10.6(d) to specify how ISO-NE will account for State of Charge and Duration Characteristics of electric storage resources in the Day-Ahead Energy Market. If ISO-NE intends to rely on new bidding parameters, it must define those bidding parameters in its Tariff and explain in its transmittal how those bidding parameters will be incorporated into its Day-Ahead Energy Market engine. If ISO-NE intends to rely on "other means," it must specify those other means with sufficient detail in its Tariff and explain in its transmittal how those other means will account for State of Charge and Duration Characteristics of electric storage resources in the Day-Ahead Energy Market.

Extension of November 2020 Compliance Filing Deadline Requested. On August 31, 2020, NEPOOL and ISO-NE jointly requested a 35-day extension of time to submit the November 2020 *Order 841* compliance filing, which they indicated would also include the proposed revisions required to be filed by August 4, 2021. The joint request for an extension of time is pending before the FERC.

Challenges, if any, to the *Order 841 Compliance Filing II Order* must be filed on or before September 3, 2020. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

⁶⁴ ISO New England Inc., 169 FEC ¶ 61,140 (Nov. 22, 2019) ("Order 841 Initial Compliance Filing Order").

⁶⁵ ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,125 (Aug. 4, 2020) ("Order 841 Compliance Filing II Order").

⁶⁶ See Elec. Storage Participation in Mkts. Operated by Regional Transmission Orgs. and Indep. Sys. Operators, Order No. 841, 162 FERC ¶ 61,127 (Feb. 15, 2018) ("Order 841").

⁶⁷ Order 841 Compliance Filing II Order at P 52.

⁶⁸ The FERC explained that it directed a one-year compliance window to allow ISO-NE sufficient time to develop a solution to account for State of Charge that recognizes the technical complexities of the issue as well as ISO-NE's existing software constraints, given ISO-NE is in the process of conducting various Day-Ahead Energy Market initiatives, including replacement of its Day-Ahead software.

• Fuel Security Retention Proposal (ER18-2364)

Requests for rehearing and/or clarification of the *Fuel Security Retention Proposal Order*⁶⁹ remain pending before the FERC. As previously reported, the *Fuel Security Retention Proposal Order* accepted ISO-NE's Proposal⁷⁰ in all respects, despite the various protests and alternative proposals filed. There was a concurring decision from Commissioner Glick, and a partial dissent from Chairman Chatterjee on the FCA price treatment issue. Challenges to the *Fuel Security Retention Proposal Order* were filed by NEPGA, NRG, Verso, Vistra/Dynegy Marketing & Trade, MPUC, and PIOs.⁷¹ On February 1, 2019, the FERC issued a tolling order to afford it additional time to consider the requests for rehearing, which remain pending. If you have further questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

• ISO-NE Waiver Filing: Mystic 8 & 9 (ER18-1509; EL18-182)

On July 2, 2018, the FERC issued an order⁷² that (i) denied ISO-NE's request for waiver of certain Tariff provisions that would have permitted ISO-NE to retain Mystic 8 & 9 for fuel security purposes (ER18-1509); and (ii) instituted an FPA Section 206 proceeding (EL18-182) (having preliminarily found that the ISO-NE Tariff may be unjust and unreasonable in that it fails to address specific regional fuel security concerns identified in the record that could result in reliability violations as soon as year 2022). The *Mystic Waiver Order* required ISO-NE, on or before August 31, 2018 to either: (a) submit interim Tariff revisions that provide for the filing of a short-term, cost-of-service agreement ("COS Agreement") to address demonstrated fuel security concerns (and to submit by July 1, 2019 permanent Tariff revisions reflecting improvements to its market design to better address regional fuel security concerns "Chapter 3 Proposal"); or (b) show cause as to why the Tariff remains just and reasonable in the short- and long-term such that one or both of Tariff revisions filings is not necessary.

Addressing the waiver element, the FERC found the waiver request "an inappropriate vehicle for allowing Mystic 8 and 9 to submit a [COS Agreement] in response to the identified fuel security need" and further that the request "would not only suspend tariff provisions but also alter the existing conditions upon which a market participant could enter into a [COS Agreement] (for a transmission constraint that impacts reliability) and allow for an entirely new basis (for fuel security concerns that impact reliability) to enter into such an agreement." The FERC concluded that "[s]uch new processes may not be effectuated by a waiver of the ISO-NE Tariff; they must be filed

⁶⁹ ISO New England Inc., 165 FERC ¶ 61,202 (Dec. 3, 2018), reh'g requested ("Fuel Security Retention Proposal Order"). In accepting the ISO-NE Proposal, the FERC, among other things: (i) found ISO-NE's trigger and assumptions for the fuel security reliability review for retention of resources be reasonable, but required ISO-NE at the end of each winter to "to submit an informational filing comparing the study assumptions and triggers from the modeling analysis to actual conditions experienced in the winter of 2018/19; (ii) found cost allocation on a regional basis to Real-Time Load Obligation just and reasonable and consistent with precedent regarding the past Winter Reliability Programs; (iii) found that entering retained resources into the FCAs as price takers would be just and reasonable to ensure that they clear and are counted towards resource adequacy so that customers do not pay twice for the resource; and (Iv) found that it was appropriate to include FCAs 13, 14 and 15 in the term. The FERC agreed that it is necessary to implement a longer-term market solution as soon as possible, and required ISO-NE to file its longer-term market solution no later than June 1, 2019. The FERC declined to provide guidance on what the long-term solution(s) should be.

of a short-term, cost-of-service agreement to address demonstrated fuel security concerns". ISO-NE proposed three sets of provisions to expand its authority on a short-term basis to enter into out-of-market arrangements in order to provide greater assurance of fuel security during winter months in New England (collectively, the "Fuel Security Retention Proposal"). ISO-NE stated that the interim provisions would sunset after FCA15, with a longer-term market solution to be filed by July 1, 2019, as directed in the *Mystic Waiver Order*. In addition, the ISO-NE transmittal letter described (i) the generally-applicable fuel security reliability review standard that will be used to determine whether a retiring generating resource is needed for fuel security reliability reasons; (ii) the proposed cost allocation methodology (Real-Time Load Obligation, though ISO-NE indicated an ability to implement NEPOOL's alternative allocation methodology if determined appropriate by the FERC); and (iii) the proposed treatment in the FCA of a retiring generator needed for fuel security reasons that elects to remain in service. The ISO-NE Fuel Security Changes were considered but not supported by the Participants Committee at its August 24, 2018 meeting. There was, however, super-majority support for (1) the Appendix L Proposal with some important adjustments to make that proposal more responsive to the FERC's guidance in the Mystic Waiver Order and other FERC precedent, and (2) the PP-10 Revisions, also with important adjustments (together, the "NEPOOL Alternative").

⁷¹ "PIOs" for purposes of this proceeding are Sierra Club, NRDC, Sustainable FERC Project, and Acadia Center.

⁷² ISO New England Inc., 164 FERC ¶ 61,003 (July 2, 2018), reh'g requested ("Mystic Waiver Order").

as proposed tariff provisions under FPA section 205(d)."⁷³ Even if it were inclined to apply its waiver criteria, the FERC stated that it would still have denied the waiver request as "not sufficiently limited in scope."⁷⁴

Although it denied the waiver request, the FERC was persuaded that the record supported "the conclusion that, due largely to fuel security concerns, the retirement of Mystic 8 and 9 may cause ISO-NE to violate NERC reliability criteria." Finding ISO-NE's methodology and assumptions in the Operational Fuel-Security Analysis ("OFSA") and Mystic Retirement Studies reasonable, the FERC directed the filing of both interim and permanent Tariff revisions to address fuel security concerns (or a filing showing why such revisions are not necessary).⁷⁵ The FERC directed ISO-NE to consider the possibility that a resource owner may need to decide, prior to receiving approval of a COS Agreement, whether to unconditionally retire, and provided examples of how to address that possibility.⁷⁶ The FERC also directed ISO-NE include with any proposed Tariff revisions a mechanism that addresses how cost-of-service-retained resources would be treated in the FCM⁷⁷ and an *ex ante* cost allocation proposal that appropriately identifies beneficiaries and adheres to FERC cost causation precedent.⁷⁸

Requests for Rehearing and/or Clarification. The following requests for rehearing and or clarification of the *Mystic Waiver Order* remain pending before the FERC:

- ♦ **NEPGA** (requesting that the FERC grant clarification that it directed, or on rehearing direct, ISO-NE to adopt a mechanism that prohibits the re-pricing of Fuel Security Resources in the FCA at \$0/kW-mo. or at any other uncompetitive offer price);
- ◆ Connecticut Parties⁷⁹ (requesting that the FERC clarify that (i) the discussion in the Mystic Waiver Order of pricing treatment in the FCM for fuel security reliability resources is not a final determination nor is it intended to establish FERC policy; (ii) the FERC did not intend to prejudge whether entering those resources in the FCM as price takers would be just and reasonable; and (iii) that ISO-NE may confirm its submitted position that price taking treatment for these resources would, in fact, be a just and reasonable outcome. Failing such clarification, Connecticut Parties request rehearing, asserting that the record fails to support a determination that resources retained for reliability to address fuel security concerns must be entered into the FCM at a price greater than zero);
- ◆ ENECOS (asserting that the Mystic Waiver Order (i) misplaces reliance on ISO-NE "assertions concerning 'fuel security,' which do not in fact establish a basis in evidence or logic for initiating" a Section 206(a) proceeding; (ii) impermissibly relies on extra-record material that the FERC did not actually review and that intervenors were afforded no meaningful opportunity to challenge; and (iii) speculation concerning potential future modifications to the FCM bidding rules as to retiring generation retained for fuel security misunderstands the problem it seeks to address, and prejudices the already truncated opportunities for stakeholder input in this proceeding), ENECOS suggest that the FERC should grant rehearing, vacate its show cause directive, strike its dictum concerning potential treatment of FCM bidding for retiring generation retained for "fuel security," and direct ISO-NE to proceed either in accordance with its Tariff or under FPA Section 205 to address, with appropriate evidentiary support, whatever concerns it believes to exist concerning "fuel security");
- ♦ **MA AG** (asserting that the decision to institute a Section 206 proceeding was insufficiently supported by sole reliance on highly contested OFSA and Mystic Retirement Studies; and the FERC should

⁷³ *Id.* at P 47.

⁷⁴ *Id.* at P 48.

⁷⁵ *Id.* at P 55.

⁷⁶ *Id.* at PP 56-57.

⁷⁷ *Id.* at P 57.

⁷⁸ *Id.* at P 58.

⁷⁹ "Connecticut Parties" are CT PURA and CT DEEP.

- reconsider the timeline for the permanent tariff solution and set the deadline for implementation no later than February 2020);
- ♦ MPUC (challenging the Order's (i) adoption of ISO-NE's methodology and assumptions in the OFSA and Mystic Retirement Studies without undertaking any independent analysis; (ii) failure to address arguments and analysis challenging assumptions in the OFSA and Mystic Retirement Studies; (iii) failure to address the MPUC argument that the Mystic Retirement Studies adopted a completely new standard for determining a reliability problem three years in advance; (iv) unreasonably discounting of the ability of Pay-for-Performance to provide sufficient incentives to Market Participants to ensure their performance under stressed system conditions; and (v) failure to direct ISO-NE to undertake a Transmission Security Analysis consistent with the provisions in the Tariff);
- ♦ New England EDCs⁸⁰ (requesting clarification that (i) the central purpose of ISO-NE's July 1, 2019 filing is to assure that New England adds needed new infrastructure to address the fuel supply shortfalls and associated threats to electric reliability that ISO-NE identified in its OFSA and (ii) that, in developing the July 1, 2019 filing, ISO-NE is to evaluate Tariff revisions (such as those the EDCs described in their request), through which ISO-NE customers would pay for the costs of natural gas pipeline capacity additions via rates under the ISO-NE Tariff);
- ♦ **PIOs**⁸¹ (asserting that (i) the FERC failed to respond to or provide a reasoned explanation for rejecting the arguments submitted by numerous parties that key assumptions underlying and the results of the ISO-NE analyses were flawed; and (ii) the FERC's determination that ISO-NE's analyses were reasonable is not supported by substantial evidence in the record); and
- ◆ AWEA/NGSA (asserting that the FERC erred (i) in finding that ISO-NE's OFSA and subsequent impact analysis of fuel security was reasonable without further examination and (ii) in its preliminary finding that a short-term out-of-market solution to keep Mystic 8 & 9 in operation is needed to address fuel security issues).

On August 13, 2018, CT Parties opposed the NEPGA motion for clarification. On August 14, 2018, NEPOOL filed a limited response to Indicated New England EDCs, requesting that the FERC "reject the relief sought in [their motion] to the extent that relief would bypass or predetermine the outcome of the stakeholder process, without prejudice to [them] refiling their proposal, if appropriate, following its full consideration in the stakeholder process." Answers to the Indicated New England EDCs were also filed by the MA AG, NEPGA, NextEra, and CLF/NRDC/Sierra Club/Sustainable FERC Project. On August 29, 2018, the Indicated New England EDCs answered the August 14/16 answers. On August 27, 2018, the FERC issued a tolling order to afford it additional time to consider the requests for rehearing, which remain pending.

If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Dave Doot (860-275-0102; dtdoot@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slowbardi@daypitney.com).

CASPR (ER18-619)

Rehearing of the FERC's order accepting ISO-NE's Competitive Auctions with Sponsored Policy Resources ("CASPR") revisions,⁸² summarized in more detail in prior Reports, remains pending. Those requests were filed by (i) *NextEra/NRG* (which challenged the RTR Exemption Phase Out); (ii) *ENECOS*⁸³ (challenging the FERC's findings

⁸⁰ The "EDCs" are the National Grid companies (Mass. Elec. Co., Nantucket Elec. Co., and Narragansett Elec. Co.) and Eversource Energy Service Co. (on behalf of its electric distribution companies – CL&P, NSTAR and PSNH).

^{81 &}quot;PIOs" are the Sierra Club, Natural Resources Defense Council ("NRDC"), and Sustainable FERC Project.

⁸² ISO New England Inc., 162 FERC ¶ 61,205 (Mar. 9, 2018) ("CASPR Order"), reh'q requested.

⁸³ The Eastern New England Consumer-Owned Systems ("ENECOS") are: Braintree Electric Light Department, Georgetown Municipal Light Department, Groveland Electric Light Department, Littleton Electric Light & Water Department, Middleton Electric Light Department, Middleborough Gas & Electric Department, Norwood Light & Broadband Department, Pascoag (Rhode Island) Utility District, Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant, Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant, and Wallingford (Connecticut) Department of Public Utilities. Wellesley Municipal Light Plant, which intervened in this proceeding as one of the ENECOS, did not join in the ENECOS' request for rehearing.

with respect to the definition of Sponsored Policy Resource and the allocation of CASPR side payment costs to municipal utilities); (iii) *Clean Energy Advocates*⁸⁴ (which challenged the CASPR construct in its entirety, asserting that state-sponsored resources should not be subject to the MOPR); and (iv) *Public Citizen* (which also challenged the CASPR construct in its entirety and the *CASPR Order*'s failure to define "investor confidence"). On April 24, 2018, ISO-NE answered Clean Energy Advocates' answer. On May 7, 2018, the FERC issued a tolling order to afford it additional time to consider the requests for rehearing, which remain pending. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Dave Doot (860-275-0102; dtdoot@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slowbardi@daypitney.com).

• 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding (ER13-2266)

On August 27, 2020, the FERC issued an order ("Remand Rehearing Order")⁸⁵ addressing arguments raised by TransCanada in its request for rehearing of the FERC's 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand.⁸⁶ As it is permitted under section 313(a) of the FPA⁸⁷ (since the record of this remand proceeding has not yet been filed in TransCanada's appeal before the DC Circuit), the FERC modified the discussion in the 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand but reached the same the result. Developments in TransCanada's DC Circuit appeal are summarized in Section XV below. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

IV. OATT Amendments / TOAs / Coordination Agreements

• CIP IROL Cost Recovery Rules (ER20-739)

On July 27, 2020, the FERC issued a notice (i) that the rehearing of the *CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order*⁸⁸ requested June 25, 2020 by the IROL-Critical Facility Owners⁸⁹ may be deemed denied by operation of law and (ii) providing for further consideration ("Notice"). In accordance with *Allegheny*, while the *CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order*⁹⁰ may now be appealed to a federal court of appeals within 60 days of the Notice, the FERC retains the right to address the rehearing request in a future order, modifying or setting aside its order, in whole or in part, up until the record of the proceeding is filed with a court of appeals.⁹¹ As previously reported, the *CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order* accepted Schedule 17, which sets forth a mechanism to facilitate the recovery of critical infrastructure protection ("CIP") costs by facilities that ISO-NE identifies as critical to the derivation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits ("IROL"). Importantly, in accepting Schedule 17, the FERC found that "Schedule 17 permits recovery only of CIP costs incurred on or after the effective date of a section 205 filing made by an IROL-Critical Facility Owner to recover such costs".⁹² It is this determination

⁸⁴ For purposes of this proceeding, "Clean Energy Advocates" are, collectively, the NRDC, Sierra Club, Sustainable FERC Project, CLF, and RENEW Northeast, Inc.

 $^{^{85}}$ ISO New England Inc., 172 FERC ¶ 61,164 (Aug. 27, 2020) ("Remand Rehearing Order").

⁸⁶ ISO New England Inc., 171 FERC ¶ 61,003 (Apr. 1, 2020) ("2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand"), reh'g requested. In this Order, the FERC also provided the further reasoning requested by the DC Circuit for this finding.

⁸⁷ 16 U.S.C. § 825l(a) (2020) ("Until the record in a proceeding shall have been filed in a court of appeals, as provided in subsection (b), the Commission may at any time, upon reasonable notice and in such manner as it shall deem proper, modify or set aside, in whole or in part, any finding or order made or issued by it under the provisions of this chapter.").

⁸⁸ ISO New England Inc., 171 FERC ¶ 61,160 (May 26, 2020) ("CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order").

⁸⁹ "IROL-Critical Facility Owners" are Calpine, Cogentrix, Cross-Sound Cable, FirstLight, NextEra, NRG, and Vistra.

⁹⁰ ISO New England Inc., 171 FERC ¶ 61,160 (May 26, 2020) ("CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order").

⁹¹ See 16 USC § 825I(a) ("Until the record in a proceeding shall have been filed in a court of appeals, ... the [FERC] may at any time, upon reasonable notice and in such manner as it shall deem proper, modify or set aside, in whole or in part, any finding or order made or issued by it under the provisions of this chapter.").

⁹² *Id.* at PP 1, 27. "Section 2.2(A) of proposed Schedule 17 would permit IROL-Critical Facility Owners to make FPA section 205 filings to recover costs incurred by the IROL Critical Facility Owner *during the period in which the subject facility is designated as an IROL*-

that was at the heart of IROL-Critical Facility Owners' request for rehearing, which argued that, as a result, the CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order ultimately establishes a rate that is unjust, unreasonable, and inconsistent with the clear cost recovery right Congress established in FPA section 219. IROL-Critical Facility Owners have until September 25, 2020 to appeal the CIP IROL Cost Recovery Order to a federal court. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• Order 845 Compliance Filing II (ER19-1951-002)

On July 17, 2020, ISO-NE, NEPOOL and the PTO AC submitted an additional compliance filing ("Order 845 Compliance Filing II") in response to the March 19, 2020 order⁹³ conditionally accepting the first set of changes filed in response to the requirements of Order 845 ("Order 845 Compliance Filing I").⁹⁴ The changes in Order 845 Compliance Filing II were considered and supported by the Participants Committee at its June 4 meeting (Agenda Item #7). Comments on Order 845 Compliance Filing II were due on or before August 7, 2020; none were filed. The Order 845 Compliance Filing II is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

FAP Enhancements and Clean-Up Changes (ER20-2145)

Pending before the FERC are the enhancements and clean-up changes to the Financial Assurance Policy ("FAP") jointly filed by ISO-NE and the NEPOOL on June 24, 2020. Among other things, the filing included: (i) updates and enhancements to the credit insurance provisions; (ii) updates to the form letter of credit and related provisions; and (iii) miscellaneous revisions, including a change to the retention period for financial assurance after membership termination and a conforming change in the FCM Charge Rate calculation (collectively, the "FAP Changes"). A September 10, 2020 effective date was requested. The FAP Changes were unanimously supported by the Participants Committee at its June 23 meeting (Agenda Item #2). Comments on this filing were due on or before July 15; none were filed. Doc-less interventions were submitted by Calpine, Eversource, National Grid, NRG, and Financial Marketers Coalition. As noted, this matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Paul Belval (pnbelval@daypitney.com; 860-275-0381).

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes

Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean-Up (ER20-2783)

On August 31, Versant Power filed an amended version of Schedule 20A-VP in order to reflect the renaming of Emera Maine as Versant Power and to correct certain typographical errors. A November 1, 2020 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing are due on or before September 21, 2020. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

Critical Facility. While the parties dispute the meaning of the italicized language, that language is appropriately read in conjunction with the requirement that IROL-Critical Facility Owners submit individual FPA section 205 fillings to recover such costs ... Thus, we find that, read in context with the remainder of section 2.2(A), the italicized language would allow IROL-Critical Facility Owners to recover only those costs incurred on or after the effective date of the relevant individual FPA section 205 filling."

⁹³ ISO New England Inc. and Participating Transmission Owners Admin. Comm., 170 FERC ¶ 61,209 (Mar. 19, 2020) ("Order 845 Compliance Filing Order").

⁹⁴ The *Order 845 Compliance Filing Order* identified a number of ways in which *Order 845* Compliance Filing I only partially or did not comply at all with *Order 845*. The *Order* directed changes that needed to include additional justification for proposed changes or revisions that make no modification to the *pro forma* LGIA/LGIP in the following areas: Stand-Alone Network Upgrades definition, Interconnection Customer's ability to exercise the option to build; Option to Build Cost Recovery; Determination of Contingent Facilities; requesting interconnection service below generating facility capacity; Provisional Interconnection Service; definition of Surplus Interconnection Service; Surplus Interconnection Service process;

Schedule 22: NSTAR/Vineyard Wind LGIA (ER20-2489)

On July 23, Eversource filed an executed, non-conforming LGIA by and among ISO-NE, NSTAR and Vineyard Wind, LLC ("Vineyard Wind"), designated as Original Service Agreement No. LGIA-ISONE/NSTAR-20-01 under Schedule 22 of the ISO-NE OATT. The LGIA is non-conforming in that it contains certain deviations in Appendix C.3 necessary to reflect unique characteristics of the proposed interconnection -- the location of the met gathering station(s) and the layout of the facility due to its location in offshore federal waters rather than onshore. A July 10, 2020 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due August 7; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P Agreement (ER20-2454)

On July 17, New England Power Company ("NEP") filed under Schedule 21-NEP an Engineering & Procurement Agreement ("E&P Agreement") between NEP and DWW REV I, LLC ("DWW"). The E&P Agreement (designated as Service Agreement No. E&P-NEP-01) is to facilitate NEP's performance of preliminary engineering and certain procurement-related activities in connection with the interconnection of DWW's Revolution Wind project, a proposed 704 MW offshore wind generating facility project, to NEP's transmission system at the 115kV Davisville substation in Washington County, Rhode Island, prior to the parties entering into an LGIA. A June 17, 2020 effective date was requested. Comments on this filing were due on or before August 7; none were filed. DWW filed a doc-less motion to intervene. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

Schedule 21-UI: LCSA: UI/NextEra (ER20-2449)

On August 31, 2020, the FERC accepted the Localized Costs Sharing Agreement ("LCSA") by and between UI and NextEra Energy Marketing ("NextEra").⁹⁵ UI filed the LCSA so that it can recover NextEra's Category B Load Ratio Share of the revenue requirement for UI's Localized Facilities under Schedule 21-UI.⁹⁶ The LCSA was accepted effective as of July 1, 2020, as requested. Unless the August 31 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

Schedule 21-VP: 2019 Annual Update Settlement Agreement (ER15-1434-004)

On March 19, 2020, Emera Maine submitted a joint offer of settlement between itself and the MPUC to resolve all issues raised by the MPUC in response to Emera Maine's 2019 annual charges update filed, as previously reported, on June 10, 2019 (the "Emera 2019 Annual Update Settlement Agreement"). Under Part V of Attachment P, "Interested Parties shall have the opportunity to conduct discovery seeking any information relevant to implementation of the [Attachment P] Rate Formula. . . ." and follow a dispute resolution procedure set forth there. In accordance with those provisions, the MPUC identified certain disputes with the 2019 Annual Update, all of which are resolved by the Emera 2019 Annual Update Settlement Agreement. Comments on the Emera 2019 Annual Update Settlement Agreement were due on or before April 9, 2020; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

Schedule 21-VP: Recovery of Bangor Hydro/Maine Public Service Merger-Related Costs (ER15-1434-001 et al.)

The MPS Merger Cost Recovery Settlement, filed by Emera Maine on May 8, 2018 to resolve all issues pending before the FERC in the consolidated proceedings set for hearing in the MPS Merger-Related Costs

⁹⁵ The United Illuminating Co., Docket No. ER20-2449 (Aug. 31, 2020) (unpublished letter order).

⁹⁶ NextEra entered into the Agreement on behalf for its affiliate Nutmeg Solar, LLC, whose electric generating facility consists of a 19.9 MW solar array located in Enfield, Connecticut within the NU Local Network / Connecticut Reliability Region outside of UI's native load service area.

Order, ⁹⁷ and certified by Settlement Judge Dring ⁹⁸ to the Commission, ⁹⁹ remains pending before the FERC. As previously reported, under the Settlement, permitted cost recovery over a period from June 1, 2018 to May 31, 2021 will be \$390,000 under Attachment P of the BHD OATT and \$260,000 under the MPD OATT. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activity to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

• Opinion 531-A Local Refund Report: FG&E (EL11-66)

FG&E's June 29, 2015 refund report for its customers taking local service during *Opinion 531-A's* refund period remains pending. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• Opinions 531-A/531-B Regional Refund Reports (EL11-66)

The TOs' November 2, 2015 refund report documenting resettlements of regional transmission charges by ISO-NE in compliance with *Opinions No. 531-A*¹⁰⁰ and *531-B*¹⁰¹ also remains pending. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

• Opinions 531-A/531-B Local Refund Reports (EL11-66)

The *Opinions 531-A and 531-B* refund reports filed by the following TOs for their customers taking local service during the refund period also remain pending before the FERC:

♦ Central Maine Power

♦ National Grid

◆ United Illuminating

♦ Emera Maine

♦ NHT

♦ VTransco

♦ Eversource

♦ NSTAR

If there are questions on this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

⁹⁷ Emera Maine and BHE Holdings, 155 FERC ¶ 61,230 (June 2, 2016) ("MPS Merger-Related Costs Order"). In the MPS Merger-Related Costs Order, the FERC accepted, but established hearing and settlement judge procedures for, filings by Emera Maine seeking authorization to recover certain merger-related costs viewed by the FERC's Office of Enforcement's Division of Audits and Accounting ("DAA") to be subject to the conditions of the orders authorizing Emera Maine's acquisition of, and ultimate merger with, Maine Public Service ("Merger Conditions"). The Merger Conditions imposed a hold harmless requirement, and required a compliance filing demonstrating fulfillment of that requirement, should Emera Maine seek to recover transaction-related costs through any transmission rate. Following an audit of Emera Maine, DAA found that Emera Maine "inappropriately included the costs of four merger-related capital initiatives in its formula rate recovery mechanisms" and "did not properly record certain merger-related expenses incurred to consummate the merger transaction to appropriate non-operating expense accounts as required by [FERC] regulations [and] inappropriately included costs of merger-related activities through its formula rate recovery mechanisms" without first making a compliance filing as required by the merger orders. The MPS Merger-Related Costs Order set resolution of the issues of material fact for hearing and settlement judge procedures, consolidating the separate compliance filing dockets.

⁹⁸ ALJ John Dring was the settlement judge for these proceedings. There were five settlement conferences -- three in 2016 and two in 2017. With the Settlement pending before the FERC, settlement judge procedures, for now, have not been terminated.

⁹⁹ Emera Maine and BHE Holdings, 163 FERC ¶ 63,018 (June 11, 2018).

¹⁰⁰ Martha Coakley, Mass. Att'y Gen., 149 FERC ¶ 61,032 (Oct. 16, 2014) ("Opinion 531-A").

¹⁰¹ Martha Coakley, Mass. Att'y Gen., Opinion No. 531-B, 150 FERC ¶ 61,165 (Mar. 3, 2015) ("Opinion 531-B").

• Capital Projects Report - 2020 Q2 (ER20-2640)

On August 10, 2020, ISO-NE filed its Capital Projects Report and Unamortized Cost Schedule covering the second guarter of calendar year 2020 (the "Report"). ISO-NE is required to file the Report under Section 205 of the FPA pursuant to Section IV.B.6.2 of the Tariff. Report highlights included the following new projects: (i) Forward Capacity Tracking System Infrastructure Conversion Part II (\$1.7 million); (ii) Data Governance, Risk Management & Compliance ("GRC") Software Phase I (\$1.1 million); 2020 Corrective Action Preventative Actions (\$873,300); (iv) Markets Database Enhancements (\$420,000); and Gateway Data Management Application Conversion (\$365,000). Projects with a significant changes were (i) nGEM Software Development Part II (\$1.36 budget decrease for 2020; reallocated to 2021); (ii) Identity and Access Management Phase II (budget decrease of \$1.1 million; \$715,000 reallocated to 2021); (iii) TranSMART Technical Architecture Update (\$399,200 budget decrease for 2020; reallocated to 2021); (iv) IMM Data Analysis Phase II (budget decrease of \$250,000); (v) Sub-accounts for FTR Market (budget decrease of \$191,200; reallocated to 2021); (vi) Enterprise Application Integration Replacement Phase II (budget decrease of \$153,600); (vii) CIMNET Simultaneous Feasibility Test with Data Transfer Enhancements (budget increase of \$361,000). Comments on this filing were due on or before August 31. On August 25, NEPOOL filed comments supporting the filing. Eversource and National Grid filed doc-less interventions. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Paul Belval (860-275-0381; pnbelval@daypitney.com).

Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report Q2 2020 (ER19-1951)

On August 14, 2020, ISO-NE filed, as required, ¹⁰² public and confidential ¹⁰³ versions of its Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report (the "Exceedance Report") for the Second Quarter of 2020 ("2020 Q2"). ISO-NE reported that all four *Interconnection Feasibility Study ("IFS") reports* delivered to Interconnection Customers were delivered later than the best efforts completion timeline. ¹⁰⁴ The average mean time from ISO-NE's receipt of the executed IFS Agreement to delivery of the completed IFS report to the Interconnection Customer was 241 days. Three *System Impact Study ("SIS") reports* were delivered to Interconnection Customers, with one delivered later than the best efforts completion timeline of 270 days. The average mean time from ISO-NE's receipt of the executed SIS Agreement to delivery of the completed SIS report to the Interconnection Customer was 227 days. There were no Interconnection Requests with projects in the Interconnection Facilities Study phase of the interconnection process. Section 4 of the Report identifies steps ISO-NE has identified to remedy issues and prevent future delays, including implementing certain interconnection studies timeline modifications accepted in the *Order 845* compliance proceeding, moving to earlier in the process certain Interconnection Customer data reviews, and enhanced information sharing and coordination efforts with Interconnecting TOs. This report was not noticed for public comment.

ISO-NE FERC Form 3Q (2020/Q2) (not docketed)

On August 26, ISO-NE submitted its 2020/Q2 FERC Form 3Q (Quarterly financial report of electric utilities, licensees, and natural gas companies). FERC Form 3-Q is a quarterly regulatory requirement which supplements the annual FERC Form 1 financial reporting requirement. These filings are not noticed for comment.

Under section 3.5.4 of ISO-NE's Large Generator Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP"), ISO-NE must submit an informational report to the FERC describing each study that exceeds its Interconnection Study deadline, the basis for the delay, and any steps taken to remedy the issue and prevent such delays in the future. The Exceedance Report must be filed within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter, and ISO-NE must continue to report the information until it reports four consecutive quarters where the delayed amounts do not exceed 25 percent of all the studies conducted for any study type in two consecutive quarters.

¹⁰³ ISO-NE requested that the information contained in Section 3 of the un-redacted version of the Exceedance Report, which contains detailed information regarding ongoing Interconnection Studies and if released could harm or prejudice the competitive position of the Interconnection Customer, be treated as confidential under FERC regulations.

¹⁰⁴ 90 days from the Interconnection Customer's execution of the study agreement.

IX. Membership Filings

September 2020 Membership Filing (ER20-2772)

On August 28, 2020, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept (i) the memberships of: Acadia Renewable Energy, L.L.C. [Related Person to Nautilus Power (Generation Sector)], Sky View Ventures LLC (AR Sector, DG Sub-Sector Small Group Seat) and SYSO LLC (AR Sector, DG Sub-Sector Small Group Seat); and (ii) the name change of ENGIE Power & Gas LLC (f/k/a Plymouth Rock Energy, LLC). Comments on this filing are due on or before September 18.

August 2020 Membership Filing (ER20-2581)

On July 31, 2020, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept (i) the memberships of: Blueprint Power Technologies Inc. (Provisional Member); and Advanced Energy Economy Inc. (Fuels Industry Participant); and (ii) the termination of the Participant status of two End Users, New Hampshire Industries Inc. and The Energy Council of Rhode Island ("TEC-RI"). This matter is pending before the FERC.

July 2020 Membership Filing (ER20-2277)

On August 10, 2020, the FERC accepted the memberships of: Hampshire Power (Supplier Sector); Invenia Technical Computing Corp. ("Invenia") (Supplier Sector); and Power Ledger Pty. Ltd. (GIS-Only Participant). Unless the August 10 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded.

Invenia Additional Conditions Informational Filing (ER20-2001)

On June 5, 2020, pursuant to Section II.A.1(b) of the FAP, ISO-NE submitted an informational filing identifying the additional condition (supplemental financial assurance) required of Invenia for participation in the New England Markets. The additional condition was supported, and made a condition of Invenia's membership, by the Participants Committee at its June 4 meeting. A doc-less intervention was submitted by Public Citizen. This informational filing is pending before the FERC.

X. Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards

Questions concerning any of the ERO Reliability Standards or related rule-making proceedings or filings can be directed to Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

Joint Staff White Paper on Notices of Penalty for Violations of CIP Standards (AD19-18)

Still pending is the FERC's White Paper, prepared jointly with NERC staff and issued on August 27, 2019, that sets out a proposed new format for NERC Notices of Penalty ("NOP") involving violations of CIP Reliability Standards. The FERC explained that the revised format is intended to improve the balance between security and transparency in the filing of NOPs. Specifically, NERC CIP NOP submissions would consist of a proposed public cover letter that discloses the name of the violator, the Reliability Standard(s) violated (but not the Requirement), and the penalty amount. NERC would submit the remainder of the CIP NOP filing containing details on the nature of the violation, mitigation activity, and potential vulnerabilities to cyber systems as a nonpublic attachment, along with a request for the designation of such information as CEII.

Public comment on the proposal was sought with respect to the following: (i) the potential security benefits from the new proposed format; (ii) potential security concerns that could arise from the new format; (iii) any other implementation difficulties or concerns that should be considered; and (iv) whether the proposed format provides sufficient transparency to the public. Other suggested approaches to CIP NOP submissions were

¹⁰⁵ New England Power Pool Participants Comm., Docket No. ER20-2277 (Aug. 10, 2020) (unpublished letter order).

welcomed. No changes to the CIP NOP filing format will be made prior to consideration of public comment on the White Paper. Comments were filed by over 80 parties. This matter is pending before the FERC.

Revised Reliability Standards: FAC-002-3; IRO-010-3; MOD-031-3; MOD-033-2; NUC-001-4; PRC-006-4; TOP-003-4 (RD20-4)

Still pending before the FERC are the proposed changes, filed on February 21, 2020, to the following Reliability Standards: FAC-002-3 (Facility Interconnection Studies); IRO-010-3 (Reliability Coordinator Data Specification and Collection); MOD-031-3 (Demand and Energy Data); MOD-033-2 (Steady-State and Dynamic System Model Validation); NUC-001-4 (Nuclear Plant Interface Coordination); PRC-006-4 (Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding); and TOP-003-4 (Operational Reliability Data) ("Revised Standards"). The changes remove references to Load Serving Entity (which is no longer an applicable entity), add Underfrequency Load Shedding ("UFLS")-Only Distribution Provider to PRC-006-3 as an applicable entity, and make consistent across the Standards the use of the term "Planning Coordinator". NERC asked that revised Reliability Standards become effective (and the currently effective versions be retired) on the first day of the first calendar quarter that is three months following FERC approval. Comments on the Revised Standards were due on or before March 23, 2020; none were filed. American Municipal Power ("AMP") submitted a doc-less intervention.

On July 17, 2020, the FERC issued a notice of revised information collections that would impact these Reliability Standards and requested that comments on the collections of information be filed in this proceeding on or before September 22, 2020. 106

CIP Standards Development: Informational Filings on Virtualization and Cloud Computing Services Projects (RD20-2)

On March 19, 2020, NERC submitted, as directed by the FERC, ¹⁰⁷ an informational filing describing the activity of two NERC CIP standard drafting projects pertaining to virtualization and cloud computing services, including a schedule for Project 2016-02 (Modifications to CIP Standards) and Project 2019-02 (BES Cyber System Information Access Management) (collectively, the "NERC Projects"). Comments were submitted by a private citizen (Barry Jones) and VMware, Inc. on April 21 and 27, 2020, respectively.

In addition, NERC is required to file on an information basis quarterly status updates, until such time as new or modified Reliability Standards are filed with the FERC. NERC filed its second informational filing on June 19, 2020. With respect to Project 2016-02, NERC reported that it "continues to target a December 2021 filing to the Commission." With respect to Project 2019-02, NERC reported that it "now anticipates filing the proposed Reliability Standards with the Commission in December 2020 (deferred from the original target date of September 2020)."

Revised Reliability Standard: CIP-002-6 (RM20-17)

On June 12, 2020, NERC filed for approval a revised Reliability Standard -- CIP-002-6 (Cyber Security – BES Cyber System Categorization), and associated implementation plan, VRFs and VSLs (together, the "CIP-002 Changes"). NERC stated that the CIP-002 Changes improve upon the currently effective standard by clarifying the criterion for Transmission Owner Control Centers and tailoring the language to better reflect the risk posed by these Control Centers if unavailable or compromised. As of the date of this Report, the FERC has not noticed a proposed rulemaking proceeding or otherwise invited public comment.

NOI: Enhancements to CIP Standards (RM20-12)

On June 18, 2020, the FERC issued a notice of inquiry ("NOI") seeking comments on certain potential enhancements to the currently-effective Critical Infrastructure Protection ("CIP") Reliability Standards. In particular, the FERC asked for comments on whether the CIP Standards adequately address: (i) cybersecurity risks

 $^{^{106}\,}$ See Fed. Reg. July 24, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 143) pp. 44,875-44,880.

¹⁰⁷ N. Am. Elec. Rel. Corp., 170 FERC ¶ 61,109 (Feb. 20, 2020).

pertaining to data security, (ii) detection of anomalies and events, and (iii) mitigation of cybersecurity events. In addition, the FERC asked for comments on the potential risk of a coordinated cyberattack on geographically distributed targets and whether FERC action including potential modifications to the CIP Standards would be appropriate to address such risk.

Comments were filed by NERC, the ISO/RTO Council ("IRC"), APPA/LPPC, Canadian Electricity Assoc. ("CEA"), Cogentrix, EEI/EPSA, Forescout Technologies, MISO TOs, NJ BPU, NRECA, Reliable Energy Analytics, Southwestern Power Administration, SEIA, Siemen's Energy, Southern Companies, TAPS, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S Corp of Army Engineers, Western Area Power Administration ("WAPA"), Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative, XTec, and J. Applebaum, J. Christopher/T. Conway, and J. Cotter. Reply comments are due on or before September 23, 2020.

• NOI: Virtualization and Cloud Computing Services in BES Operations (RM20-8)

On February 20, 2020, the FERC issued a NOI seeking comments on (i) the potential benefits and risks associated with the use of virtualization and cloud computing services in association with bulk electric system ("BES") operations; and (ii) whether the CIP Reliability Standards impede the voluntary adoption of virtualization or cloud computing services. ¹⁰⁸ On March 25, 2020, Joint Associations ¹⁰⁹ requested an extension of time to submit comments and reply comments. On April 2, the FERC granted Joint Associations' request and extended the deadline for initial comments on the NOI to July 1, 2020; the deadline for reply comments, July 31, 2020. Comments were filed by NERC, the ISO/RTO Council ("IRC"), Accenture, Amazon Web Services ("Amazon"), Bonneville, the Bureau of Reclamation, Barry Jones, Georgia System Operations, GridBright, Idaho Power, Microsoft, MISO, MISO Transmission Owners, Siemens Energy Management, Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, VMware, Inc., AEE, American Association for Laboratory Accreditation ("A2LA"), APPA, Canadian Electricity Assoc., EEI, NRECA, and Waterfall Security Solutions. Reply comments were due on or before July 31, 2020, and were filed by AEE, Amazon and Microsoft. This matter is pending before the FERC.

NOPR - Retirement of Reliability Standard Requirements (Standards Efficiency Review) (RM19-17; RM19-16)

On January 23, 2020, the FERC issued a NOPR¹¹⁰ proposing to approve the retirement of 74 of the 77 Reliability Standard requirements requested to be retired by NERC in these two dockets¹¹¹ in connection with the first phase of work under NERC's Standards Efficiency Review¹¹² ("Retirements NOPR"). The FERC explained in the Retirements NOPR that the requirements to be retired "(1) provide little or no reliability benefit; (2) are administrative in nature or relate expressly to commercial or business practices; or (3) are redundant with other

¹⁰⁸ Virtualization and Cloud Computing Services, 170 FERC ¶ 61,110 (Feb. 20, 2020).

¹⁰⁹ "Joint Associations" are for purposes of this proceeding: EEI, APPA, NRECA, and LPPC.

¹¹⁰ Electric Reliability Organization Proposal to Retire Requirements in Rel. Standards Under the NERC Standards Efficiency Review, 170 FERC ¶ 61,032 (Jan. 23, 2020).

Reliability Standards: FAC-008-4 (Facility Ratings); INT-006-5 (Evaluation of Interchange Transactions); INT-009-3 (Implementation of Interchange); and PRC-004-6 (Protection System Misoperation Identification and Correction); and (ii) the retirement, in their entirety, of the following 10 Reliability Standards: FAC-013-2 (Assessment of Transfer Capability for the Near-term Transmission Planning Horizon); INT-004-3.1 (Dynamic Transfers); INT-010-2.1 (Interchange Initiation and Modification for Reliability); MOD-001-1a (Available Transmission System Capability); MOD-004-1 (Capacity Benefit Margin); MOD-008-1 (Transmission Readability Margin Calculation Methodology); MOD-020-0 (Providing Interruptible Demands and Direct Control Load Management Data to System Operators and Reliability Coordinators); MOD-028-2 (Area Interchange Methodology); MOD-029-2a (Rated System Path Methodology); and MOD-030-3 (Flowgate Methodology). NERC filed in *RM19-16* for approval of the retirement of individual requirements in the following three Reliability Standards: IRO-002-7 (Reliability Coordination – Monitoring and Analysis); TOP-001-5 (Transmission Operations); and VAR-001-6 (Voltage and Reactive Control).

¹¹² The Standards Efficiency Review initiative, which began in 2017, reviewed the body of NERC Reliability Standards to identify those Reliability Standards and requirements that were administrative in nature, duplicative to other standards, or provided no benefit to reliability.

Reliability Standards."¹¹³ The FERC also proposes to approve the associated VRFs, VSLs, implementation plan, and effective dates proposed by NERC. With respect to the remaining three requirements that NERC seeks to retire, the FERC seeks more information on two -- the retirement of FCA-008-3, Requirements R7 and R8 (with the FERC's final determination to be based on the comments received) – and proposes to remand one – VAR-001-6 – in order to retain R2, which it found neither redundant nor unnecessary for reliability. Comments on the *Retirements NOPR* were due on or before April 6, 2020.¹¹⁴ Comments were filed by J. Applebaum, Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA"), NERC, and the Western Area Power Administration ("WAPA").

NERC Notice of Withdrawal of VAR-001-6. On May 14, 2020, NERC withdrew its proposed changes to VAR-001-6.

• 2021 NERC/NPCC Business Plans and Budgets (RR20-6)

On August 24, 2020, NERC submitted its proposed Business Plan and Budget, as well as the Business Plans and Budgets for the Regional Entities, including NPCC, for 2021. FERC regulations¹¹⁵ require NERC to file its proposed annual budget for statutory and non-statutory activities 130 days before the beginning of its fiscal year (January 1), as well as the annual budget of each Regional Entity for their statutory and non-statutory activities, including complete business plans, organization charts, and explanations of the proposed collection of all dues, fees and charges and the proposed expenditure of funds collected. NERC reports that its proposed 2021Funding requirement represents an overall decrease of approximately 1.0% over NERC's 2020 Funding requirement. The NPCC U.S. allocation of NERC's net funding requirement is \$4.44 million. NPCC has requested \$16.4 million in statutory funding (a U.S. assessment per kWh (2020 NEL) of \$0.0000494) and \$1 million for non-statutory functions. Comments on this filing are due on or before September 14, 2020.

Report of Comparisons of Budgeted to Actual Costs for 2019 for NERC and the Regional Entities (RR20-3)

On May 29, 2020, NERC filed comparisons of actual to budgeted costs for 2019 for NERC and the seven Regional Entities operating in 2019, including NPCC. The Report includes comparisons of actual funding received and costs incurred, with explanations of significant actual cost-to-budget variances, audited financial statements, and tables showing metrics concerning NERC and Regional Entity administrative costs in their 2019 budgets and actual results. Comments on this filing were due on or before June 19, 2020; none were filed. On July 21, 2020, NERC supplemented its May 29, 2020 filing to include the final, audited 2019 financial report for Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. ("Texas RE") (not available to be included at the time of the May 29 filing). This matter is pending before the FERC.

XI. Misc. - of Regional Interest

203 Application: CMP/NECEC (EC20-24)

On March 13, 2020, the FERC authorized CMP to transfer to NECEC Transmission LLC 7 TSAs, executed on June 13, 2018, that provide the rates, terms, and conditions under which transmission service will be provided over the New England Clean Energy Connect ("NECEC") Transmission Line to the participants that are funding construction of the Line. Pursuant to the March 13 order, notice must be filed within 10 days of consummation of the transaction, which as of the date of this Report has not yet occurred.

Use Rights Transfer Agreement: NSTAR/HQUS (ER20-2724)

On August 24, NSTAR filed an Agreement between NSTAR and H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.), Inc. ("HQUS") for the continued reassignment (through May 31, 2021) of NSTAR's Use Rights on the Phase I/II HVDC

¹¹³ *Id.* at P 1.

¹¹⁴ The *Retirements NOPR* was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Feb. 6, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 25) pp. 6,831-6,838.

^{115 18} CFR § 39.4(b) (2014).

¹¹⁶ Central Maine Power Co., 170 FERC 62,145 (Mar. 13, 2020).

Transmission Facilities ("Transfer Agreement") to HQUS. Comments on this filing are due on or before September 14, 2020. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

• TSAs: Second Amendments to New England Clean Energy Connect TSAs (ER20-2674 et al.)

On August 14, 2020, CMP filed executed second amendments to 7 of its previously filed and accepted, cost-based transmission service agreements ("TSAs") with the participants that will fund the construction, operation and maintenance of CMP's portion of a the NECEC Transmission Line. The amendments are intended to implement conforming changes to some provisions of the TSAs in anticipation of, and to acknowledge, the assignment of the TSAs from CMP to NECEC Transmission LLC. Comments on the second amendments are due on or before September 4, 2020. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

VTransco Rate Schedule Cancellations (ER20-2507)

On July 27, 2020, VTransco filed a notice of cancellation of two agreements, ¹¹⁸ both entered into in 2006, among Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. ("VELCO"), Central Vermont Public Service Corporation ("CVPS"), Green Mountain Power Corporation ("GMP"), and VTransco, which are no longer in use. VTransco requested that the notice of cancellation be accepted for filing as of July 30, 2020. Comments on this filing were due on or before August 17, 2020; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

D&E Agreement Cancellation: CL&P-NTE CT (ER20-2327)

On July 6, 2020, CL&P filed a notice of cancellation of its Design, Engineering and Procurement Agreement (the "D&E Agreement") with NTE Connecticut, LLC ("NTE CT"). The D&E Agreement, which set forth the terms and conditions under which CL&P would undertake certain preliminary design and engineering activities on the Interconnection Facilities that were identified in ISO-NE's studies, prior to execution of a Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement ("LGIA"), expired when an LGIA was signed on June 16, 2020. CL&P requested that the notice of cancellation be accepted for filing as of June 1, 2020. Comments on this filing were due on or before July 27, 2020; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

Phase II VT DMNRC Support Agreement Order 864-Related Filing (ER20-1480)

On April 1, Vermont Electric Power Company ("VELCO"), as an agent of the Joint Owners, submitted a filing (following consultation with FERC staff) that described why no changes were required to the Phase II Vermont Dedicated Metallic Neutral Return Conductor ("DMNRC") Support Agreement¹¹⁹ as a result of *Order 864*. Comments on this filing were due April 22 and were filed by GMP, which supported the filing and agreed with VELCO that no *Order 864* compliance filing is necessary. The IRH Management Committee, Eversource and National Grid intervened doc-lessly. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

The second amendments to the 7 TSAs were separately docketed as follows: Eversource (ER20-2674); National Grid (ER20-2675); Unitil (ER20-2676); HQUS/Eversource (ER20-2677); HQUS/National Grid (ER20-2678); HQUS/Unitil (ER20-2679); and HQUS Additional (ER20-2680).

¹¹⁸ The Agreements are an Amended and Restated Three Party Transmission Agreement and an Amended and Restated Three Party Agreement.

The DMNRC was installed on VETCO's Phase I facilities to provide a neutral return for Phase I and Phase II at a total construction cost of approximately \$2.6 million. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Joint Owners recover their total cost of service by making the DMNRC available to NHH who in turn makes the DMNRC available to the Participants pursuant to, and for the term of, the Phase II New Hampshire Transmission Facilities Support Agreement.

• Orders 864/864-A (Public Util. Trans. ADIT Rate Changes): New England Compliance Filings (various)

In accordance with *Order 864*¹²⁰ and *Order 864-A*, ¹²¹ and extensions of time granted, New England's public utilities with transmission have submitted their Order 864 compliance filings, with the specific dockets and filing dates identified in the following table:

Date Filed	Docket	Transmission Provider	Date Accepted
Aug 5, 2020	ER20-2614	New England Power Support Agreement	pending
Aug 5, 2020	ER20-2610	CL&P	pending
Aug 5, 2020	ER20-2609	NSTAR	pending
Aug 5, 2020	ER20-2608	PSNH	pending
Aug 4, 2020	ER20-2607	NEP – Seabrook Transmission Support Agreement	pending
Jul 31, 2020	ER20-2594	VTransco	pending
Jul 30, 2020	ER20-2551	New England Power	pending
Jul 30, 2020	ER20-2553	NEP – LSA with MECO/Nantucket	pending
Jul 30, 2020	ER20-2572	New England TOs	pending
Jul 15, 2020	ER20-2429	CMP	pending
Jun 29, 2020	ER20-2219	New England Power	pending
Jun 23, 2020	ER20-2133	Versant Power	pending
May 18, 2020	ER20-1839	VETCO	Pending
Feb 26, 2020	ER20-1089	New England Elec. Trans. Corp.	pending
Feb 26, 2020	ER20-1088	New England Hydro Trans. Elec. Co.	pending
Feb 26, 2020	ER20-1087	New England Hydro Trans. Corp.	pending

XII. Misc. - Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings

Carbon Pricing in RTO/ISO Markets Tech Conf (Sep 30, 2020) (AD20-14)

On June 17, 2020, the FERC issued a notice that it would convene a Commissioner-led technical conference on September 30, 2020, from 9:00 am – 5:30 pm. The purpose of the conference, which will be held electronically, will be to discuss considerations related to state adoption of mechanisms to price carbon dioxide emissions, commonly referred to as carbon pricing, in regions with FERC-jurisdictional organized wholesale electricity markets. The September 30 conference is a response to (i) the April 14, 2020 request by Interest Parties, 122 who asserted that a technical conference "would be helpful to the Commission and stakeholders in the electric energy industry in deciding how best to move forward at the state and regional levels on these issues and in the relevant organized markets" complementing "state, regional, and national discussions currently taking place" as well as to (ii) the more than 30 sets of comments on the request that were filed.

¹²⁰ Public Util. Trans. Rate Changes to Address Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, Order No. 864, 169 FERC ¶ 61,139 (Nov. 21, 2019), reh'g denied and clarification granted in part, 171 FERC ¶ 61,033 (Apr. 16, 2020) ("Order 864"). requiring all public utility transmission providers with transmission rates under an OATT, a transmission owner tariff, or a rate schedule to revise those rates to account for changes caused by the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("2017 Tax Law"). Specifically, for transmission formula rates, Order 864 requires public utilities (i) to deduct excess ADIT from or add deficient ADIT to their rate bases and adjust their income tax allowances by amortized excess or deficient ADIT; and (ii) to incorporate a new permanent worksheet into their transmission formula rates that will annually track ADIT information.

¹²¹ Public Util. Trans. Rate Changes to Address Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, 171 FERC ¶ 61,033, Order No. 864-A (Apr. 16, 2020) ("Order 864-A").

[&]quot;Interested Parties" are AEE, the American Council on Renewable Energy, the American Wind Energy Association, Brookfield Renewable, Calpine, CPV, EPSA, the Independent Power Producers of New York ("IPPNY"), LS Power Associates ("LS Power"), the Natural Gas Supply Association ("NGSA"), NextEra, PJM Power Providers Group, R Street Institute, and Vistra Energy Corp.

Since the last Report, two supplemental notices were issued (August 5 and 28), with the August 28 notice identifying the panels, including the panels' objectives, discussion topics, and speakers. There is no fee for attendance, and the conference will be webcast for the public to attend electronically. Information on this technical conference, including a link to the webcast, will also be posted on this conference's event page on the FERC's website (wholesale-electricity) prior to the event.

Hybrid Resources Technical Conference Tech Conf (Jul 23, 2020) (AD20-9)

On July 23, 2020, the FERC convened a technical conference to discuss technical and market issues prompted by growing interest in projects that are comprised of more than one resource type at the same plant location ("hybrid resources"). The focus was on generation resources and electric storage resources paired together as hybrid resources. Speaker materials have been posted to the FERC's eLibrary.

On August 10, 2020, the FERC invited interested persons to file post-technical conference comments to address issues raised during the technical conference and identified in the Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference issued July 13, 2020. Post-technical conference comments are due on or before September 24, 2020.

• Credit Reforms in Organized Wholesale Markets (AD20-6)

Energy Trading Institute's 123 December 16, 2019 request that the FERC hold a technical conference and conduct a rulemaking to update the requirements adopted in Order 741¹²⁴ and Section 35.47 of the FERC's regulations addressing credit and risk management in the markets operated by RTO/ISOs remains pending. As previously reported, ETI, citing a recent filing by NYISO (which it protested), 125 and stating that several expedited initiatives related to RTO/ISO credit policies are underway, suggested that it would be helpful for the FERC to consolidate any "filings with this proceeding and hold the technical conference ETI is requesting by March 30, 2020 so the ISOs, RTOs and their stakeholders consider those discussions in any initiatives they have underway." ETI suggested in its request that RTO/ISO credit support requirements be standardized, and that the requested technical conference and rulemaking explore various ways to identify and mitigate counterparty risk (including know-you-customer ("KYC") tools and participant suspensions or bans) and enhance risk management infrastructure/processes within the organized markets. Doc-less interventions have been filed by, among others, PJM, the PJM IMM, SPP, CAISO, Tenaska, Avangrid, and Roscommon Analytics. On January 24, the IRC, including ISO-NE, submitted comments and proposed, as an alternative approach to the one suggested by ETI, that the FERC not commence a rulemaking or schedule a technical conference at this time and instead allow individual RTO/ISOs to address their respective credit and risk management issues, permit sufficient time for experience with the evolving rules to be gained, and then consider the best path forward to facilitate a dialogue on best practices and potential points of alignment among the RTO/ISO. ETI responded to those comments on February 10, 2020.

The FERC issued a notice of ETI's request for technical conference and petition for rulemaking on February 11, 2020, setting March 12, 2020 as the deadline for comments thereon. Comments were submitted by a number

¹²³ In its request, The Energy Trading Institute ("ETI") describes itself generally as "represent[ing] a diverse group of energy market participants, all with substantial interests in wholesale electricity transactions in Commission-jurisdictional markets. ETI members provide important services to a wide variety of wholesale energy market participants. They act as intermediaries between producers and consumers of electric energy that have mismatched quantity, timing, and contract type needs. In addition, they provide liquidity by engaging in energy related commercial transactions with a variety of market entities including, but not limited to, generation owners, project developers, load-serving entities, and investors. ETI members advocate for markets that are open, transparent, competitive and fair - all necessary attributes for markets ultimately to benefit electricity consumers."

¹²⁴ Credit Reforms in Organized Wholesale Elec. Mkts., 75 Fed. Reg. 65942 (2010), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,317 (2010) ("Order 741"); order on reh'g, 76 Fed. Reg. 10492 (2011), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,320 (2011) ("Order 741-A"); order on reh'g, 135 FERC ¶ 61,242 (2011) ("Order 741-B"); 18 C.F.R. § 35.47.

¹²⁵ See Proposed Tariff Amendments to Enhance Credit Reporting Requirements and Remedies, New York Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc., Docket No. ER20-483 (filed Nov. 26, 2019).

of parties, including APPA, CAISO, the Committee of Chief Risk Officers ("CCRO"), DC Energy, EEI, EPSA, Indicated PJM Transmission Owners, ¹²⁶ and an independent consultant. ¹²⁷ This matter remains pending before the FERC.

Grid Resilience in RTO/ISOs; DOE NOPR (AD18-7; RM18-1)

On January 8, 2018, the FERC initiated a Grid Resilience in RTO/ISOs proceeding (AD18-7)¹²⁸ and terminated the DOE NOPR rulemaking proceeding (RM18-1).¹²⁹ In terminating the DOE NOPR proceeding, the FERC concluded that the Proposed Rule and comments received did not support FERC action under Section 206 of the FPA, but did suggest the need for further examination by the FERC and market participants of the risks that the bulk power system faces and possible ways to address those risks in the changing electric markets. On February 7, Foundation for Resilient Societies ("FRS") requested rehearing of the January 8 order terminating the DOE NOPR proceeding. The FERC issued a tolling order on March 8, 2018 to afford it additional time to consider the FRS request for rehearing, which remains pending.

Grid Resilience Administrative Proceeding (AD18-7). AD18-7 was initiated to evaluate the resilience of the bulk power system in RTO/ISO regions. The FERC directed each RTO/ISO to submit information on certain resilience issues and concerns, and committed to use the information submitted to evaluate whether additional FERC action regarding resilience is appropriate. RTO submissions were due on or before March 9, 2018.

ISO-NE Response. In its response, ISO-NE identified fuel security¹³⁰ as the most significant resilience challenge facing the New England region. ISO-NE reported that it has established a process to discuss market-based solutions to address this risk, and indicated that it believed it will need through the second quarter of 2019 to develop a solution and test its robustness through the stakeholder process. In the meantime, ISO-NE indicated that it would continue to independently assess the level of fuel-security risk to reliable system operation and, if circumstances dictate, would take, with FERC approval when required, actions it determines to be necessary to address near-term reliability risks. ISO-NE's response was broken into three parts: (i) an introduction to fuel-security risk; (ii) background on how ISO-NE's work in transmission planning, markets, and operations support the New England bulk power system's resilience; and (iii) answers to the specific questions posed in the January 8 order.

Industry Comments. Following a 30-day extension issued on March 20, 2018, reply comments were due on or before May 9, 2018. NEPOOL's comments, which were approved at the May 4 meeting, were filed May 7, and were among over 100 sets of initial comments filed. A summary of the comments that seemed most relevant to New England and NEPOOL was circulated to the Participants Committee on May 15 and is posted on the

[&]quot;Indicated PJM Transmission Owners" are Exelon Corp. ("Exelon"), American Electric Power Service Corp. ("AEP"), Dominion Energy Services, Inc. ("Dominion"), PPL Electric Utilities Corp. ("PPL"), the FirstEnergy Utility Companies. ("FirstEnergy"), East Kentucky Power Coop. ("EKPC"), Duke Energy Corp. ("Duke"), Duquesne Light Co. ("Duquesne"), and the PSEG Companies ("PSEG").

¹²⁷ W. Scott Miller, III, Whitehall Bay Energy Services, LLC.

¹²⁸ Grid Rel. and Resilience Pricing, 162 FERC ¶ 61,012 (Jan. 8, 2018), reh'g requested.

secretary Rick Perry, issued under a rarely-used authority under §403(a) of the Department of Energy ("DOE") Organization Act, that would have required RTO/ISOs to develop and implement market rules for the full recovery of costs and a fair rate of return for "eligible units" that (i) are able to provide essential energy and ancillary reliability services, (ii) have a 90-day fuel supply on site in the event of supply disruptions caused by emergencies, extreme weather, or natural or man-made disasters, (iii) are compliant with all applicable environmental regulations, and (iv) are not subject to cost-of-service rate regulation by any State or local authority. More than 450 comments were submitted in response to the DOE NOPR, raising and discussing an exceptionally broad spectrum of process, legal, and substantive arguments. A summary of those initial comments was circulated under separate cover and can be found with the posted materials for the November 3, 2017 Participants Committee meeting. Reply comments and answers to those comments were filed by over 100 parties.

¹³⁰ ISO-NE defined fuel security as "the assurance that power plants will have or be able to obtain the fuel they need to run, particularly in winter – especially against the backdrop of coal, oil, and nuclear unit retirements, constrained fuel infrastructure, and the difficulty in permitting and operating dual-fuel generating capability."

NEPOOL website. On May 23, NEPOOL submitted a limited response to four sets of comments, opposing the suggestions made in those pleadings to the extent that the suggestions would not permit full use of the Participant Processes. Supplemental comments and answers were also filed by FirstEnergy, MISO South Regulators, NEI, and EDF. Exelon and American Petroleum Institute filed reply comments. FirstEnergy included in this proceeding its motion for emergency action also filed in ER18-1509 (ISO-NE Waiver Filing: Mystic 8 & 9), which Eversource answered (in both proceedings). Reply comments were filed by APPA and AMP and the Nuclear Energy Institute ("NEI") moved to lodge presentations by the National Infrastructure Advisory Council. On December 6, the Harvard Electricity Law Initiative filed a comment suggesting that, as a matter of law, "Commission McNamee cannot be an impartial adjudicator in these proceedings" and "any proceeding about rates for 'fuel-secure' generators" and should recuse himself. Similarly, on December 18, "Clean Energy Advocates" requested Commissioner McNamee recuse himself from these proceedings. These matters remain pending before the FERC.

FirstEnergy DOE Application for Section 202(c) Order. In a related but separate matter, FirstEnergy Solutions ("FirstEnergy") asked the Department of Energy ("DOE") in late March to issue an emergency order to provide cost recovery to coal and nuclear plants in PJM, saying market conditions there are a "threat to energy security and reliability". FirstEnergy made the appeal under Section 202(c) of the FPA, which allows the DOE to issue emergency orders to keep plants operating, but has previously been exercised only in response to natural disasters. Action on that 2018 request is pending.

NOPR: Electric Transmission Incentives Policy (RM20-10)

On March 20, 2020, the FERC issued a NOPR¹³² proposing to revise its existing transmission incentives policy and corresponding regulations.¹³³ The proposed revisions include the following:

- ♦ A shift from risks and challenges to a *consumers'' benefits test* that focuses on ensuring reliability and reducing the cost of delivered power by reducing transmission congestion.
- ♦ **ROEs incentive for Economic Benefits**. A 50 basis point adder for transmission projects that meet an economic benefit-to-cost ratio in the top 75th percentile of transmission projects examined over a sample period <u>and</u> an additional 50 basis point adder for transmission projects that demonstrate *ex post* cost savings that fall in the 90th percentile of transmission projects studied over the same sample period, as measured at the end of construction.
- ♦ **ROE for Reliability Benefits.** A 50 basis point adder for transmission projects that can demonstrate potential reliability benefits by providing quantitative analysis, where possible, as well as qualitative analysis.
- ♦ Abandoned Plant Incentive. 100 percent of prudently incurred costs of transmission facilities selected in a regional transmission planning process that are cancelled or abandoned due to factors that are beyond the control of the applicant. Recovery from the date that the project is selected in the regional transmission planning process.
- ♦ Eliminate Transco Incentives.
- ♦ **RTO-Participation Inventive.** A 100-basis-point increase for transmitting utilities that turn over their wholesale facilities to an RTO, ISO, or Transmission Organization, and available regardless of whether participation is voluntary.
- ♦ *Transmission Technologies Incentives*. Eligible for both a stand-alone, 100-basis-point ROE incentive on the costs of the specified transmission technology project and specialized regulatory asset treatment. Pilot programs presumptively eligible (though rebuttable).
- ♦ **250-Basis-Point Cap**. Total ROE incentives capped at 250 basis points in place of current "zone of reasonableness" limit.

¹³¹ For purposes of these proceedings, "Clean Energy Advocates" are NRDC, Sierra Club and UCS.

 $^{^{132}}$ Electric Transmission Incentives Policy Under Section 219 of the Federal Power Act, 170 FERC ¶ 61,204 (Mar. 20, 2020) ("Electric Transmission Incentives NOPR").

^{133 18} CFR 35.35 (2020).

◆ Updated Date Reporting Processes. Information to be obtained on a project-by-project basis, information collection expanded, updated reporting process.

A more detailed summary of the NOPR was distributed to the Transmission Committee and discussed at its March 25, 2020 meeting. Over 80 sets of comments on the proposed revisions were filed on or before the July 1, 2020¹³⁴ comment date, including comments by: Avangrid, EDF Renewables, EMCOS, Eversource, Exelon, LS Power, MMWEC/NHEC/CMEEC, National Grid, NESOCE, NextEra, UCS, CT PURA, and Potomac Economics. Reply comments were filed by AEP, ITC Holding, the N. California Transmission Agency, and WIRES. The NOPR is now pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

• Order 872: Pricing and Eligibility Changes to PURPA Regulations (RM19-15)

On July 16, 2020, the FERC issued its final rule¹³⁵ approving pricing and eligibility revisions to its long-standing regulations implementing sections 201 and 210 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA").¹³⁶ Those regulations address the obligation of electric utilities to purchase power produced by "qualifying facilities" or "QFs" at rates that must be "just and reasonable to the electric consumers of the electric utility and in the public interest, and not discriminate against" those QFs.¹³⁷ *Order 872* implements the following significant revisions:

- State Flexibility in Setting QF Rates: Previous regulations required that rates paid to qualifying facilities (QFs) under PURPA must be at "avoided costs" of the purchasing utility, with the QF electing whether to accept avoided cost rates that vary over a contract period or a fixed rate for the duration of the contract. Order 872 eliminates that requirement; instead, states will have the option of requiring energy rates (but not capacity rates) in QF power sales contracts to vary with changes in the purchasing utility's "asavailable" avoided costs at the time energy is delivered. If a state exercises this option, then a QF cannot elect to fix the energy rate but can continue to receive a fixed capacity rate for the term of its agreement with the purchasing utility. In addition, Order 872 allows states in an ISO/RTO market to set the rate for as-available energy at a variable rate equal to the ISO/RTO LMP, based on a rebuttable presumption (rather than a per se rule as FERC proposed in its NOPR) that the LMP represents the as-available avoided costs of utilities located in that market. These regulations provide greater flexibility to the states in determining whether such rates accurately reflect the purchasing utility's avoided cost at the time of delivery. Order 872 also permits states to set energy and capacity rates pursuant to competitive solicitation processes but only so long as those processes are transparent and nondiscriminatory. FERC, however, declined to adopt a NOPR proposal to permit states with retail competition to relieve their utilities from PURPA's mandatory purchase obligation.
- Decreases (to 5 MW) the Threshold for Rebuttable Presumption of Access to Nondiscriminatory,
 Competitive Markets. PURPA regulations previously provided a rebuttable presumption that certain 20
 MW or larger QFs located in ISO/RTO markets had nondiscriminatory access to those markets and
 exempted utilities from any purchase obligations from such resources. Order 872 reduces the threshold
 from 20 MW to 5 MW (rather than 1 MW as proposed in the NOPR). QFs above 5 MW can challenge the
 presumption that they have nondiscriminatory access to wholesale markets based on a list of factors

¹³⁴ The *Electric Transmission* Incentives NOPR was published in the *Fed. Reg.* on Apr. 2, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 64) pp. 18,784-18,810. Requests for extension of time to file comments were filed by American Manufacturers, APPA/TAPS, and State Entities; WIRES and EEI each opposed the requested extensions. No extension of time to file comments was granted.

¹³⁵ Qualifying Facility Rates and Requirements; Implementation Issues Under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, Order No. 872, 172 FERC ¶ 61,041 (July 16, 2020) ("Order 872").

 $^{^{136}}$ 16 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq. (2018). PURPA was enacted to help lessen the dependence on fossil fuels and promote the development of power generation from non-utility power producers.

¹³⁷ 16 U.S.C. § 824a-3; PURPA, Sec. 210(a)-(b).

specified in *Order 872*, including barriers to connecting to the transmission grid and lack of affiliation with entities participating in RTO/ISO markets. This modification does not apply to QFs that are cogenerators, which are still subject to the 20 MW threshold.

- Updates the "One-Mile Rule". Under current PURPA regulations, a small power production facility must be 80 MW or less to be eligible for QF treatment. To prevent gaming of that rule (QF certification of multiple projects that, if combined, would otherwise exceed the 80 MW cap), Order 872 establishes two irrebuttable presumptions: (1) facilities under common ownership located less than one mile apart that use the same energy resource will be aggregated into a single project for purposes of QF eligibility; and (2) facilities under common ownership located more than 10 miles apart that use the same energy resource will be presumed to be separate projects for QF eligibility. Order 872 also establishes a rebuttable presumption that facilities under common ownership located more than one mile apart but less than 10 miles apart are located on a separate site and are not aggregated in determining whether they fall below the 80 MW cap. The FERC explained that this rule also will be applied to QFs developed by unaffiliated developers and later acquired by a single entity.
- Clarifies When a QF Establishes Its Entitlement to a Purchase Obligation. Order 872 requires a utility to
 purchase the power only from QFs that can demonstrate commercial viability and a financial commitment
 pursuant to objective and reasonable state-defined criteria. The FERC clarified that, to the extent that a
 permitting factor is relied upon, a QF need only show that it has applied for all required permits and paid
 all applicable fees, but not that it has obtained such permits or has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining
 such permits.
- Provides for Certification Challenges. Order 872 provides that interested stakeholders may challenge a
 QF self-certification or self-recertification. Challenges to recertifications, however, will be limited to those
 QFs making substantive changes (e.g., a change in electrical generating equipment that increases power
 production capacity by the greater of 1 MW or 5 percent of the previously certified capacity, or a change
 in ownership in which an owner increases its equity interest by at least 10 percent from the equity interest
 previously reported).

Order 872 will become effective 120 days after its publication in the Federal Register (which as of the date of this Report still has not yet happened). Requests for rehearing and/or clarification of Order 872 were filed by California Utilities, EPSA, Northwest Coalition, One Energy Enterprises. Public Interest Organizations, Solar Energy Industries Association, and Thomas Mattson. The requests for rehearing challenging Order 872 are pending, with FERC action required on or before September 16, 2020, or the requests will be deemed denied by operation of law.

DER Participation in RTO/ISOs (RM18-9)

In *Order 841*¹³⁸ (*see* RM16-23 below), the FERC initiated a new proceeding in order to continue to explore the proposed distributed energy resource ("DER") aggregation reforms it was considering in the *Storage NOPR*. All comments filed in response to the *Storage NOPR* will be incorporated by reference into Docket No. RM18-9 and further comments regarding the proposed distributed energy resource aggregation reforms, including comments regarding the April 10-11 technical conference in AD18-10, 140 were also to be filed in RM18-9. On June

¹³⁸ Elec. Storage Participation in Mkts. Operated by Regional Trans. Orgs. and Indep. Sys. Operators, Order No. 841, 162 FERC ¶ 61,127 (Feb. 15, 2018), reh'g and/or clarif. requested ("Order 841").

 $^{^{139}}$ Elec. Storage Participation in Mkts. Operated by Regional Trans. Orgs. and Indep. Sys. Operators, 157 FERC \P 61,121 (Nov. 17, 2016) ("Storage NOPR").

¹⁴⁰ On April 10-11, 2018, the FERC held a technical conference to gather additional information to help the FERC determine what action to take on DER aggregation reforms proposed in the *Storage NOPR* and to explore issues related to the potential effects of DERs on the bulk power system. Technical conference materials are posted on the FERC's eLibrary. Interested persons were invited to file post-technical conference comments on the topics concerning the Commission's DER aggregation proposal discussed during the technical conference, including on follow-up questions from FERC Staff related to the panels. Comments related to DER aggregation were to be filed in RM18-9; comments on the potential effects of DERs on the bulk power system, in AD18-10.

26, 2018, over 50 parties submitted post-technical conference comments in this proceeding, including comments from ISO-NE, Calpine, Direct, Eversource, Icetec, NRG, Utility Services, EEI, EPRI, EPSA, NARUC, NRECA, and SEI. On February 11, 2019, a group of 18 US Senators submitted a letter urging the FERC to adopt a final rule that enable all DERs the opportunity to participate in the RTO/ISO markets and requesting an update no later than March 1, 2019. Reply comments and answers were submitted by the Arkansas PUC, AEE, AEMA, and the Missouri PUC. APPA/NRECA submitted supplemental comments.

On September 5, 2019, the FERC requested that each of the RTO/ISOs provide responses to data requests seeking information on their policies and procedures that affect DER interconnections. The RTO/ISO responses were due and were filed on October 7, 2019. Comments on the responses were filed by 8 parties, including comments addressing ISO-NE's responses by MA DPU, MA DOER and MA AG (collectively, "Massachusetts"), MMWEC, AEE, EEI and NRECA. This matter is pending before the FERC.

Order 860/860-A: Data Collection for Analytics & Surveillance and MBR Purposes (RM16-17)

As previously reported, Order 860, ¹⁴¹ issued three years after the FERC's Data Collection NOPR, ¹⁴² (i) revises the FERC's MBR regulations by establishing a relational database of ownership and affiliate information for MBR Sellers (which, among other uses, will be used to create asset appendices and indicative screens), (ii) reduces the scope of information that must be provided in MBR filings, modifies the information required in, and format of, a MBR Seller's asset appendix, (iii) changes the process and timing of the requirements to advise the FERC of changes in status and affiliate information, and (iv) eliminates the requirement adopted in Order 816 that MBR Sellers submit corporate organization charts. In addition, the FERC stated that it will not adopt the Data Collection NOPR proposal to collect Connected Entity data from MBR Sellers and entities trading virtuals or holding FTRs. The FERC will post on its website high-level instructions that describe the mechanics of the relational database submission process and how to prepare filings that incorporate information that is submitted to the relational database. As recently extended (see below), Order 860 will become effective April 1, 2021, and submitters will have until close of business on August 2, 2021 to make their initial baseline submissions. Submitters will be required to obtain in Spring 2021 FERC-generated IDs for reportable entities that do not have CIDs or LEIs, as well as Asset IDs for reportable generation assets without an EIA code so that every ultimate upstream affiliate or other reportable entity has a FERC-assigned company identifiers ("CID"), Legal Entity Identifier, 143 or FERC-generated ID and that all reportable generation assets have an code from the Energy Information Agency ("EIA") Form EIA-860 database or a FERC-assigned Asset ID. Requests for rehearing and/or clarification of Order 860 were denied, 144 other than TAPS' request that the FERC clarify that the public will be able to access the relational database. On that point, the FERC clarified "that we will make available services through which the public will be able to access organizational charts, asset appendices, and other reports, as well as have access to the same historical data as Sellers, including all market-based rate information submitted into the database. We also clarify that the database will retain information submitted by Sellers and that historical data can be accessed by the public."

MBR Database. On January 10, 2020, the FERC issued a notice that updated versions of the XML, XSD, and MBR Data Dictionary are available on the FERC's <u>website</u> and that the test environment for the MBR Database is now available and can be accessed on the <u>MBR Database webpage</u>.

 $^{^{141}}$ Data Collection for Analytics and Surveillance and Market-Based Rate Purposes, 168 FERC \P 61,039 (July 18, 2019) ("Order 860"), order on reh'g and clarif., 170 FERC \P 61,129 (Feb. 20, 2020).

 $^{^{142}}$ Data Collection for Analytics and Surveillance and Market-Based Rate Purposes, 156 FERC ¶ 61,045 (July 21, 2016) ("Data Collection NOPR").

¹⁴³ An LEI is a unique 20-digit alpha-numeric code assigned to a single entity. They are issued by the Local Operating Units of the Global LEI System.

¹⁴⁴ Data Collection for Analytics and Surveillance and Market-Based Rate Purposes, Order No. 860-A, 170 FERC ¶ 61,129 (Feb. 20, 2020) ("Order 860-A").

Effective Date Extended by 6 Months. On May 6, 2020, EEI requested a four-month extension of implementation of Order 860. EPSA supported that request on May 13, 2020. On May 20, the FERC issued a notice extending the effective and associated implementation dates of Order 860 by six months. The new Order 860 effective date will be April 1, 2021, and the deadline for baseline submissions to and including August 2, 2021. First change in status filings under these new timelines will be due August 31, 2021.

Order 676-I: NAESB WEQ Standards v. 003.2 - Incorporation by Reference into FERC Regs (RM05-5-027)

On February 4, 2020, the FERC issued Order *676-I*,¹⁴⁵ which incorporates by reference into its regulations, with certain enumerated exceptions, the latest version (Version 003.2) of certain Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities adopted by the Wholesale Electric Quadrant ("WEQ") of the North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB").¹⁴⁶ The Version 003.2 Standards included NAESB's Version 003.1 revisions, which were the subject of an earlier NOPR.¹⁴⁷ The FERC declined to adopt the proposal to remove the incorporation by reference of the WEQ-006 Manual Time Error Correction Business Practice Standards as adopted by NAESB. *Order 676-I* will become effective April 27, 2020.¹⁴⁸ Requests for clarification and/or rehearing of *Order 676-I* were filed by EEI and Southern Companies. On April 6, the FERC issued a tolling order to afford it additional time to consider those requests, which remain pending before the FERC.

Compliance dates: Public utilities must make a compliance filing to comply with the requirements of *Order 676-I* through eTariff no later than July 27, 2020. The FERC will set an effective date for the proposed tariff changes in the order(s) on the compliance filings, but no earlier than October 27, 2020.

Waiver of Tariff Requirements (PL20-7)

On May 21, 2020, the FERC issued a Proposed Policy Statement that would clarify its policy regarding requests for waiver of tariff provisions. The *Proposed Policy Statement* sets forth the approach the FERC would take going forward to ensure compliance with the filed rate doctrine and the rule against retroactive making. The proposed policy will both clarify and modify waiver standards, and in some instances, make it harder to obtain waivers.

Specifically, the FERC proposed the following guidance on filing procedures to implement its new approach for granting waivers of tariff provisions and to no longer grant retroactive waivers except as consistent with the *Proposed Policy Statement*:

1. Style Requests as Requests for Remedial Relief. Filings seeking relief in connection with actions or omissions that have already occurred prior to the date relief is sought from the FERC would be characterized as a request for remedial relief (rather than as a request for a waiver). In response to such a request, the FERC will focus on what remedy, if any, is required to cure acknowledged or alleged deviations from a filed tariff. "Waiver" is to be limited to (a) requests for prospective relief when a requested future deviation from the filed tariff has not yet occurred at the time a request is filed; or (b) petitions for remedial relief when a tariff

¹⁴⁵ Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities, Order No. 676-I, 170 FERC ¶ 61,062 (Feb. 4, 2020) ("Order 676-I"), reh'g and/or clarif. pending.

 $^{^{146}}$ Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities, 167 FERC ¶ 61,127 (May 16, 2019) ("NAESB WEQ v. 003.2 Standards NOPR").

 $^{^{147}}$ Standards for Business Practices and Communication Protocols for Public Utilities, 156 FERC ¶ 61,055 (July 21, 2016), ("WEQ v. 003.1 NOPR").

¹⁴⁸ Order 676-I was published Fed. Reg. on Feb. 25, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 37) pp. 10,571-10,586.

¹⁴⁹ Waiver of Tariff Requirements, 171 FERC ¶ 61,156 (May 21, 2020) ("Proposed Policy Statement").

expressly authorizes regulated entities to seek a remedial waiver from the FERC for past non-compliance with the filed tariff.

- 2. Form of Filing. When the entity requesting remedial relief is the entity that acted (or believes it may have acted) in a manner inconsistent with the tariff, such requests should be filed as petitions for declaratory order under Rule 207 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedure. When the filing entity alleges a different entity has acted in a manner inconsistent with the tariff, such requests should be filed as complaints under Rule 206. Given the filing fees associated with petitions for declaratory order, the industry was encouraged to directly address this aspect of the proposal.
- 3. Expressly Request FERC Action pursuant to FPA section 309 or NGA section 16.4. These provisions have been found to afford the FERC the latitude to remedy past non-compliance "provided the agency's action conforms with the purposes and policies of Congress and does not contravene any terms of the Act."

The FERC acknowledged that this Policy would represent a change from its past approach, particularly in situations where inadvertent failures to comply with ministerial tariff requirements have not been protested. The FERC suggested a few ways tariffs may be modified to avoid what may appear by comparison to be harsh outcomes, including expressly stating in the tariff that a failure to comply with a certain deadline may be waived by order of the FERC or by allowing various kinds of errors to be cured within a reasonable period of time after a default has occurred or an error has been discovered, but is difficult to imagine how feasible or how well these options might work in practice.

The FERC proposed to incorporate its current four-part analysis¹⁵⁰ in considering both requests for prospective waiver and petitions for remedial relief, but cautioned that it would apply that analysis only in those limited circumstances where the request for remedial relief would not violate the filed rate doctrine or the rule against retroactive ratemaking due to adequate prior notice, or the requested relief is within the FERC's authority to grant under FPA section 309 or NGA section 16.

Finally, the FERC proposed requiring a stronger showing when a petitioner is seeking remedial relief for its own failure to comply with a tariff – petitions will be more compelling when the failure to comply was due to something more than inadvertent error or administrative oversight. Petitions for remedial relief will generally be denied when a protestor credibly contends, or the FERC independently determines, that the requested remedial relief will result in undesirable consequences (e.g. harm to third parties).

With respect to prospective requests to waive the 60-day prior notice requirement under FPA section 205(d) (or the 30-day prior notice requirement under NGA section 4(d)), which the FERC has discretion to waive "for good cause shown," the FERC proposes to leave in effect its policy of generally granting such waivers, 151 to the extent that entities seek an effective date no earlier than the day *after* the date a rate change is submitted to the FERC.

Under current practice, the FERC grants tariff provision waivers where: (1) the underlying error was made in good faith; (2) the waiver is of limited scope; (3) the waiver addresses a concrete problem; and (4) the waiver does not have undesirable consequences, such as harming third parties.

¹⁵¹ See Cent. Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp., 60 FERC ¶ 61,106, order on reh'g, 61 FERC ¶ 61,089 (1992) ("Central Hudson"). Factors that will generally support a waiver of prior notice include: (1) uncontested filings that do not change rates; (2) filings that reduce rates and charges; and (3) filings that increase rates as prescribed by a previously accepted contract or settlement on file with the FERC.

Comments on the Proposed Policy Statement were due on or before June 18, 2020 and were filed by the IRC, AEE, APPA, AWEA/SEIA, EEI, EPSA, Indicated Generators, ¹⁵² INGAA, Kansas Electric Power Coop. ("KEPC"), NGA, NGSA, NRECA, Public Citizen, Sunflower Electric Power, and TAPS. Reply comments were filed by APPA, Joint Trade Associations, ¹⁵³ KEPC, and the Sustainable FERC Project. The proposed Policy Statement is pending before the FERC.

FERC's ROE Policy for Natural Gas and Oil Pipelines (PL19-4)

On May 21, 2020, the FERC issued a Policy Statement that applies to natural gas and oil pipelines, with certain exceptions to account for the statutory, operational, organizational and competitive differences among the electric, natural gas and oil pipeline industries, the FERC's ROE methodology adopted in *Opinion No. 569-A*.¹⁵⁴ Specifically, the FFERC revised its policy and will determine natural gas and oil pipeline ROEs by averaging the results of the DCF and CAPM, but will not use the risk premium model discussed in *Opinion 569/569-A* ("Risk Premium").¹⁵⁵ In addition, the FERC clarified its policies governing the formation of proxy groups and the treatment of outliers in proceedings addressing natural gas and oil pipeline ROEs. Finally, the FERC encouraged oil pipelines to file revised FERC Form No. 6, page 700s for 2019 reflecting the revised ROE policy. This Policy Statement became effective May 27, 2020.¹⁵⁶ On July 7, the FERC issued a notice that pipelines choosing to file updated FERC Form No. 6, page 700 data consistent with the ROE Policy Statement should file such data on or before July 21, 2020.

Complainant-Aligned Parties¹⁵⁷ answered the New England TO's May 10 supplemental comments. On June 15, 2020, Joint Parties¹⁵⁸ submitted supplemental comments arguing that the FERC should use the midpoint, rather than the median, as the measure of central tendency for public utilities that file individually to establish a ROE. Joint Parties' comments were opposed by Six Cities.¹⁵⁹ WIRES submitted supplemental comments on June 18, 2020 requesting that the FERC take further action in this proceeding to "resolve the uncertainty surrounding its base ROE methodology and establish a policy consistent with the recommendations made in these comments" (recommending a framework that employs all four of the previously proposed ROE models, including the Expected Earnings model, along with certain modifications, to ensure that ROEs attract capital investment in needed transmission infrastructure). On June 24, EEI and WIRES requested the FERC issue a NOI regarding the FERC's policy for determining base ROE applicable to the electric industry as a whole. Six Cities answered Joint Parties on June 30. APPA answered EEI and WIRES' June 24 motion.

¹⁵² "Indicated Generators" are Vistra, NRG, FirstLight, Cogentrix, and LS Power.

¹⁵³ "Joint Trade Associations" are AEE, AWEA, EEI, EPSA, INGAA, NGSA, NRECA and SEIA.

¹⁵⁴ Inquiry Regarding the Commission's Policy for Determining Return on Equity, 171 FERC \P 61,155 (May 21, 2020) ("Natural Gas and Oil Pipeline ROE Policy Statement").

and ties and if so how, it should modify its policies concerning the determination of ROE to be used in designing jurisdictional rates charged by public utilities. The FERC also sought comment on whether any changes to its policies concerning public utility ROEs should be applied to interstate natural gas and oil pipelines. This NOI followed *Emera Maine*, which reversed *Opinion 531*, and seeks to engage interests beyond those represented in the *Emera Maine* proceeding (see EL11-66 *et al.* in Section I above).

¹⁵⁶ The *Natural Gas and Oil Pipeline ROE Policy Statement* was published *Fed. Reg.* on May 27, 2020 (Vol. 85, No. 102) pp. 31,760-31,773.

¹⁵⁷ For this purpose, "Complainant-Aligned Parties" are: Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, Connecticut Office of the Attorney General, Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General, Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, and New Hampshire Electric Cooperative.

¹⁵⁸ "Joint Parties" are: AEP, Avista, Evergy Companies, Entergy Services, Exelon, FirstEnergy, Portland Gen. Elec., PG&E, Corporation, Puget Sound Energy, PacifiCorp, Idaho Power, PSEG, So. Cal. Edison, and San Diego Gas & Elec.

^{159 &}quot;Six Cities" are the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Pasadena, and Riverside, California.

• NOI: Certification of New Interstate Natural Gas Facilities (PL18-1)

On April 19, 2018, the FERC announced its intention to revisit its approach under its 1999 Certificate Policy Statement to determine whether a proposed jurisdictional natural gas project is or will be required by the present or future public convenience and necessity, as that standard is established in NGA Section 7. Specifically, the NOI¹⁶⁰ seeks comments from interested parties on four broad issue categories: (1) project need, including whether precedent agreements are still the best demonstration of need; (2) exercise of eminent domain; (3) environmental impact evaluation (including climate change and upstream and downstream greenhouse gas emissions); and (4) the efficiency and effectiveness of the FERC certificate process. Pursuant to a May 23 order extending the comment deadline by 30 days, ¹⁶¹ comments were due on or before July 25, 2018. Literally thousands of individual and mass-mailed comments were filed. This matter remains pending before the FERC.

XIII. Natural Gas Proceedings

For further information on any of the natural gas proceedings, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com).

Natural Gas-Related Enforcement Actions

The FERC continues to closely monitor and enforce compliance with regulations governing open access transportation on interstate natural gas pipelines:

BP (IN13-15). On July 11, 2016, the FERC issued Opinion 549¹⁶² affirming Judge Cintron's August 13, 2015 Initial Decision finding that BP America Inc., BP Corporation North America Inc., BP America Production Company, and BP Energy Company (collectively, "BP") violated Section 1c.1 of the Commission's regulations ("Anti-Manipulation Rule") and NGA Section 4A. 163 Specifically, after extensive discovery and hearing procedures, Judge Cintron found that BP's Texas team engaged in market manipulation by changing their trading patterns, between September 18, 2008 through the end of November 2008, in order to suppress next-day natural gas prices at the Houston Ship Channel ("HSC") trading point in order to benefit correspondingly long position at the Henry Hub trading point. The FERC agreed, finding that the "record shows that BP's trading practices during the Investigative Period were fraudulent or deceptive, undertaken with the requisite scienter, and carried out in connection with Commission-jurisdictional transactions."164 Accordingly, the FERC assessed a \$20.16 million civil penalty and required BP to disgorge \$207,169 in "unjust profits it received as a result of its manipulation of the Houston Ship Channel Gas Daily index." The \$20.16 million civil penalty was at the top of the FERC's Penalty Guidelines range, reflecting increases for having had a prior adjudication within 5 years of the violation, and for BP's violation of a FERC order within 5 years of the scheme. BP's penalty was mitigated because it cooperated during the investigation, but BP received no deduction for its compliance program, or for self-reporting. The BP Penalties Order also denied BP's request for rehearing of the order establishing a hearing in this proceeding. BP was directed to pay the civil penalty and disgorgement amount within 60 days of the BP Penalties Order. On August 10, 2016 BP requested rehearing of the BP Penalties Order. On September 8, 2018, the FERC issued a tolling order to afford it additional time to consider BP's request for rehearing of the BP Penalties Order, which remains pending.

 $^{^{160}}$ The NOI was published in the Fed. Reg. on Apr. 26, 2018 (Vol. 83, No. 80) pp. 18,020-18,032.

¹⁶¹ Certification of New Interstate Natural Gas Facilities, 163 FERC ¶ 61,138 (May 23, 2018).

¹⁶² BP America Inc., Opinion No. 549, 156 FERC ¶ 61,031 (July 11, 2016) ("BP Penalties Order").

¹⁶³ BP America Inc., 152 FERC ¶ 63,016 (Aug. 13, 2015) ("BP Initial Decision").

¹⁶⁴ BP Penalties Order at P 3.

¹⁶⁵ BP America Inc., 147 FERC ¶ 61,130 (May 15, 2014) ("BP Hearing Order"), reh'g denied, 156 FERC ¶ 61,031 (July 11, 2016).

On September 7, 2016, BP submitted a motion for modification of the *BP Penalties Order's* disgorgement directive because it cannot comply with the disgorgement directive as ordered. BP explained that the entity to which disgorgement was to be directed, the Texas Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP"), is not set up to receive or disburse amounts received from any person other than the Texas Legislature. In response, on September 12, 2016, the FERC stayed the disgorgement directive (until an order on BP's pending request for rehearing is issued), but indicated that interest will continue to accrue on unpaid monies during the pendency of the stay.¹⁶⁶

BP moved, on December 11, 2017, to lodge, to reopen the proceeding, and to dismiss, or in the alternative, for reconsideration based on changes in the law it asserted are dispositive and that have occurred since BP filed its request for rehearing of the *BP Penalties Order*. FERC Staff asked for, and was granted, additional time, to January 25, 2018, to file its Answer to BP's December 11 motion. FERC Staff filed its answer on January 25, 2018, and revised that answer on January 31. On February 9, BP replied to FERC Staff's revised answer. This matter remains pending before the FERC.

Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. et al. (IN12-17). On April 28, 2016, the FERC issued a show cause order¹⁶⁷ in which it directed Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. ("TGPNA") and its West Desk traders and supervisors, Therese Tran f/k/a Nguyen ("Tran") and Aaron Hall (collectively, "Respondents") to show cause why Respondents should not be found to have violated NGA Section 4A and the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule through a scheme to manipulate the price of natural gas at four locations in the southwest United States between June 2009 and June 2012.¹⁶⁸

The FERC also directed TGPNA to show cause why it should not be required to disgorge unjust profits of \$9.18 million, plus interest; TGPNA, Tran and Hall to show cause why they should not be assessed civil penalties (TGPNA - \$213.6 million; Hall - \$1 million (jointly and severally with TGPNA); and Tran - \$2 million (jointly and severally with TGPNA). In addition, the FERC directed TGPNA's parent company, Total, S.A. ("Total"), and TGPNA's affiliate, Total Gas & Power, Ltd. ("TGPL"), to show cause why they should not be held liable for TGPNA's, Hall's, and Tran's conduct, and be held jointly and severally liable for their disgorgement and civil penalties based on Total's and TGPL's significant control and authority over TGPNA's daily operations. Respondents filed their answer on July 12, 2016. OE Staff replied to Respondents' answer on September 23, 2016. Respondents answered OE's September 23 answer on January 17, 2017, and OE Staff responded to that answer on January 27, 2017. This matter remains pending before the FERC.

• New England Pipeline Proceedings

The following New England pipeline projects are currently under construction or before the FERC:

• Iroquois ExC Project (CP20-48)

 125,000 Dth/d of incremental firm transportation service to ConEd and KeySpan by building and operating new natural gas compression and cooling facilities at the sites of four existing Iroquois compressor stations in Connecticut (Brookfield and Milford) and New York (Athens and Dover)

¹⁶⁶ BP America Inc., 156 FERC ¶ 61,174 (Sep. 12, 2016) ("Order Staying BP Disgorgement").

¹⁶⁷ Total Gas & Power North America, Inc., 155 FERC ¶ 61,105 (Apr. 28, 2016) ("TGPNA Show Cause Order").

¹⁶⁸ The allegations giving rise to the Total Show Cause Order were laid out in a September 21, 2015 FERC Staff Notice of Alleged Violations which summarized OE's case against the Respondents. Staff determined that the Respondents violated section 4A of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Anti-Manipulation Rule by devising and executing a scheme to manipulate the price of natural gas in the southwest United States between June 2009 and June 2012. Specifically, Staff alleged that the scheme involved making largely uneconomic trades for physical natural gas during bid-week designed to move indexed market prices in a way that benefited the company's related positions. Staff alleged that the West Desk implemented the bid-week scheme on at least 38 occasions during the period of interest, and that Tran and Hall each implemented the scheme and supervised and directed other traders in implementing the scheme.

- Three-year construction project; service request by November 1, 2023
- Application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pending.
- Since the Last Report, on August 7, Iroquois responded to the FERC's July 30 data request asking for information on the ExC Project's first year O&M costs and expected revenues.

• Non-New England Pipeline Proceedings

The following pipeline projects could affect ongoing pipeline proceedings in New England and elsewhere:

• Northern Access Project (CP15-115)

- The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NY DEC") and the Sierra Club requested rehearing of the *Northern Access Certificate Rehearing Order* on August 14 and September 5, 2018, respectively. On August 29, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation and Empire Pipeline ("Applicants") answered the NY DEC's August 14 rehearing request and request for stay. On April 2, 2019, the FERC denied the NY DEC and Sierra Club requests for rehearing.¹⁶⁹ Those orders have been challenged on appeal to the US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (19-1610).
- As previously reported, the August 6, 2018 Northern Access Certificate Rehearing Order dismissed or denied the requests for rehearing of the Northern Access Certificate Order. Further, in an interesting twist, the FERC found that a December 5, 2017 "Renewed Motion for Expedited Action" filed by National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation and Empire Pipeline, Inc. (the "Companies"), in which the Companies asserted a separate basis for their claim that the NY DEC waived its authority under section 401 of the Clean Water Act ("CWA") to issue or deny a water quality certification for the Northern Access Project, served as a motion requesting a waiver determination by the FERC, 171 and proceeded to find that the NY DEC was obligated to act on the application within one year, failed to do so, and so waived its authority under section 401 of the CWA.
- The FERC authorized the Companies to construct and operate pipeline, compression, and ancillary facilities in McKean County, Pennsylvania, and Allegany, Cattaraugus, Erie, and Niagara Counties, New York ("Northern Access Project") in an order issued February 3, 2017.¹⁷² The Allegheny Defense Project and Sierra Club (collectively, "Allegheny") requested rehearing of the *Northern Access Certificate Order*.
- Despite the FERC's Northern Access Certificate Order, the project remained halted pending the outcome of National Fuel's fight with the NY DEC's April denial of a Clean Water Act permit. NY DEC found National Fuel's application for a water quality certification under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, as well as for stream and wetlands disturbance permits, failed to comply with water regulations aimed at protecting wetlands and wildlife and that the pipeline failed to explore construction alternatives. National Fuel appealed the NY DEC's decision to the 2nd Circuit on the grounds that the denial was improper.¹⁷³ On February 2, 2019, the 2nd Circuit vacated the decision of the NY DEC and remanded

 $^{^{169}}$ Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp. and Empire Pipeline, Inc., 167 FERC \P 61,007 (Apr. 2, 2019).

¹⁷⁰ Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp. and Empire Pipeline, Inc., 164 FERC ¶ 61,084 (Aug. 6, 2018) ("Northern Access Rehearing & Waiver Determination Order"), reh'g denied, 167 FERC ¶ 61,007 (Apr. 2, 2019).

¹⁷¹ The DC Circuit has indicated that project applicants who believe that a state certifying agency has waived its authority under CWA section 401 to act on an application for a water quality certification must present evidence of waiver to the FERC. *Millennium Pipeline Co., L.L.C. v. Seggos*, 860 F.3d 696, 701 (D.C. Cir. 2017).

 $^{^{172}}$ Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp., 158 FERC \P 61,145 (2017) ("Northern Access Certificate Order"), reh'g denied, 164 FERC \P 61,084 (Aug 6, 2018) ("Northern Access Certificate Rehearing Order").

¹⁷³ Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. NYSDEC et al. (2d Cir., Case No. 17-1164).

- the case with instructions for the NY DEC to more clearly articulate its basis for the denial and how that basis is connected to information in the existing administrative record. The matter is again before the NY DEC.
- On November 26, 2018, the Applicants filed a request at FERC for a 3-year extension of time, until February 3, 2022, to complete construction and to place the certificated facilities into service. The Applicants cited the fact that they "do not anticipate commencement of Project construction until early 2021 due to New York's continued legal actions and to time lines required for procurement of necessary pipe and compressor facility materials." The extension request was granted on January 31, 2019.
- On August 8, 2019, the NY DEC again denied Applicants request for a Water Quality Certification, and as directed by the Second Circuit,¹⁷⁴ provided a "more clearly articulate[d] basis for denial."
- On August 27, 2019, Applicants requested an additional order finding on additional grounds that the NY DEC waived its authority over the Northern Access 2016 Project under Section 401 of the CWA, even if the NY DEC and Sierra Club prevail in their currently pending court petitions challenging the basis for the Commission's Waiver Order.¹⁷⁵

XIV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

Executive Order on Securing the United States Bulk-Power System

On May 1, 2020, President Trump signed an Executive Order that authorizes U.S. Secretary of Energy Dan Brouillette to work with the Cabinet and energy industry to secure America's Bulk-Power System ("BPS"). The Executive Order prohibits Federal agencies and U.S. persons from "acquiring, transferring, or installing BPS equipment in which any foreign country or foreign national has any interest and the transaction poses an unacceptable risk to national security or the security and safety of American citizens. Evolving threats facing our critical infrastructure have only served to highlight the supply chain risks faced by all sectors, including energy, and the need to ensure the availability of secure components from American companies and other trusted sources." The Secretary of Energy is accordingly authorized to (i) establish and publish criteria for recognizing particular equipment and vendors as "pre-qualified" (pre-qualified vendor list); (ii) identify any now-prohibited equipment already in use, allowing the government to develop strategies and work with asset owners to identify, isolate, monitor, and replace this equipment as appropriate; and (iii) work closely with the Departments of Commerce, Defense, Homeland Security, Interior; the Director of National Intelligence; and other appropriate Federal agencies to carry out the authorities and responsibilities outlined in the Executive Order. A Task Force led by Secretary Brouillette will develop energy infrastructure procurement policies to ensure national security considerations are fully integrated into government energy security and cybersecurity policymaking. The Task Force will consult with the energy industry through the Electricity and Oil and Natural Gas Subsector Coordinating Councils to further its efforts on securing the BPS. A copy of the Executive Order may be accessed here.

XV. Federal Courts

The following are matters of interest, including petitions for review of FERC decisions in NEPOOL-related proceedings, that are currently pending before the federal courts (unless otherwise noted, the cases are before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit). An "**" following the Case No. indicates that NEPOOL has intervened or is a litigant in the appeal. The remaining matters are appeals as to which NEPOOL has

¹⁷⁴ Summary Order, *Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. N.Y. State Dep't of Envtl. Conservation*, Case 17-1164 (2d Cir, issued Feb. 5, 2019).

¹⁷⁵ See Sierra Club v. FERC, No. 19-01618 (2d Cir. filed May 30, 2019); NYSDEC v. FERC, No. 19-1610 (2d. Cir. filed May 28, 2019) (consolidated).

no organizational interest but that may be of interest to Participants. For further information on any of these proceedings, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

CASPR (20-[tbd])

Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER18-619¹⁷⁶

Petitioners: Sierra Club, NRDC, RENEW Northeast, and CLF

On August 31, 2020, the Sierra Club, NRDC, RENEW Northeast, and CLF petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's order accepting ISO-NE's CASPR revisions (which, under *Allegheny*, is ripe for review). As of the date of this Report, this appeal has not been assigned a case number and no orders by the Clerk have been issued.

Opinion 531-A Compliance Filing Undo (20-1329)

Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER15-414¹⁷⁷

Petitioners: TOs' (CMP et al.)

On August 28, 2020, the TOs¹⁷⁸ petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's October 6, 2017 order rejecting the TOs' filing that sought to reinstate their transmission rates to those in place prior to the FERC's orders later vacated by the DC Circuit's *Emera Maine*¹⁷⁹ decision. Among other submissions, the TOs must file by September 30, 2020 a docketing statement, statement of issues, and any procedural motions. Dispositive motions and a Certified Index to the Record must be filed by October 15, 2020. Appearances by others in this case must be filed by September 30, 2020.

2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand (20-1289)
 Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER13-2266¹⁸⁰

Petitioner: TransCanada

On July 30, 2020, TransCanada Power Marketing ("Petitioner") again petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's action on the 2013/2014 Winter Reliability Program, this time in the FERC's April 1, 2020 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand. Among other submissions, TransCanada must file by August 31, 2020 a docketing statement, statement of issues, and any procedural motions. Dispositive motions and a Certified Index to the Record must be filed by September 14. Appearances by others in this case were due by August 31, 2020.

ISO-NE's Inventoried Energy Program (Chapter 2B) Proposal (19-1224***; 19-1247; 19-1252; 19-1253)(consolidated); Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER19-1428¹⁸²
 Petitioners: ENECOS (Belmont et al.) (19-1224); MA AG (19-1247); NH PUC/NH OCA (19-1252); Sierra Club/UCS (19-1253)

At the unopposed request of the FERC, the Court issued an order suspending the briefing schedule and remanded the record back to the FERC. In the request to suspend the briefing schedule and remand the record,

¹⁷⁶ ISO New England Inc., 162 FERC ¶ 61,205 (Mar. 9, 2018) ("CASPR Order").

¹⁷⁷ ISO New England Inc., 161 FERC ¶ 61,031 (Oct. 6, 2017) ("Order Rejecting Filing").

¹⁷⁸ The "TOs" are CMP; Eversource Energy Service Co., on behalf of its affiliates CL&P, NSTAR and PSNH; National Grid; New Hampshire Transmission; UI; Unitil and Fitchburg; VTransco; and Versant Power.

¹⁷⁹ Emera Maine v. FERC, 854 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2017) ("Emera Maine").

^{180 171} FERC ¶ 61,003 (Apr. 1, 2020) ("2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand") (accepting ISO-NE's January 23, 2017 compliance filing, finding that the bid results from the 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program were just and reasonable, and providing for this finding the further reasoning requested by the DC Circuit in *TransCanada Power Mktg. Ltd. v. FERC*, 811 F.3d 1 (DC Cir. 2015) ("*TransCanada*").)

¹⁸¹ In TransCanada, the DC Circuit granted TransCanada's prior petition in part, and directed the FERC to either better justify its determination or revise its disposition to ensure that the rates under the Program are just and reasonable. *TransCanada* at 1.

¹⁸² 162 FERC ¶ 61,127 (Feb. 15, 2018) ("Order 841"); 167 FERC ¶ 61,154 (May 16, 2019) ("Order 841-A").

the FERC stated that it "now has a quorum of Commissioners who can participate in the review of the ISO New England tariff filing," that remand "could obviate the need for a subsequent appeal by Petitioners", and it "anticipates issuing an order on remand within 90 days of this Court's order remanding the agency record and an order addressing the merits of any subsequent requests for rehearing within 180 days of the close of the 30-day period for applying for rehearing". (As reported in Section III above, the FERC issued the *IEP Remand Order* on June 18, 2020.) The Court directed the FERC to file status reports at 90-day intervals, the first of which was filed on July 17, 2020. Parties were directed to file motions to govern further proceedings in these consolidated cases within 30 days of the completion of the remand proceedings (now, September 16, 2020).

Other Federal Court Activity of Interest

Allegheny Defense Project v. FERC (17-1098)
 Underlying FERC Proceeding: CP15-138¹⁸³
 Petitioner: Allegheny Defense Project

On June 30, in a decision¹⁸⁴ that will likely have a profound effect on current and future proceedings before the FERC, the DC Circuit ruled that the Natural Gas Act ("NGA") does not allow FERC to delay appellate review of its substantive orders through its common practice of issuing tolling¹⁸⁵ orders. The decision at the very least modifies—if not wholly overrules—a long-unbroken line of cases that rejected as premature appeals from FERC orders while applications for rehearing were pending. While the case was decided under the NGA,¹⁸⁶ there is little doubt that the court's rejection of FERC's long-standing tolling policy will impact proceedings arising under the FPA as well.

Following issuance of the decision, the FERC asked the Court for a stay of issuance of the mandate in this case for 90 days (the Court had ordered that the mandate be issued on July 7, 2020). The FERC argued that the stay would permit the FERC time to assess how to implement the Court's decision and would also allow the federal government to consider whether to file a petition for writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court. Petitioners opposed the FERC's motion. On July 23, 2020, the Court issued a *per curiam* order staying issuance of the mandate through October 5, 2020, as requested by the FERC. Also of note, On July 2, 2020, Chairman Chatterjee and Commissioner Glick issued a joint statement asking Congress to consider providing FERC with additional time to act on rehearing requests.

 $^{^{183}}$ Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co., LLC, 159 FERC \P 62,181 (Feb. 3, 2017); Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co., LLC, 161 FERC \P 61,250 (Dec. 6, 2017).

¹⁸⁴ Allegheny Def. Project v. FERC, 964 F.3d 1, 2020 WL 3525547 (D.C. Cir. June 30, 2020).

A tolling order is a brief order issued within 30 days of receiving an application for rehearing that does not address the merits of the rehearing request, but rather explicitly "grants" rehearing for the purpose of giving the agency more time to consider the arguments. FERC then treats the tolling order as indefinitely suspending the 30-day statutory deadline in order to afford more time to fully address the rehearing request. FERC has for decades routinely issued tolling orders in response to identical language in both the NGA and the FPA that requires any party seeking to challenge a FERC order on appeal to first request a rehearing before FERC, and FERC to act within 30 days after receiving any such requests. If FERC does not act within that time, the rehearing request is deemed denied and the FERC order is final and ripe for appeal.

¹⁸⁶ In this case, the Petitioners challenged the FERC's use of a tolling order in response to their applications for rehearing of a FERC order that issued a certificate of public convenience and necessity to the Atlantic Sunrise Project. Those rehearing applications were pending for nine months before the FERC ruled on them. When the appeals were filed, the FERC and others sought to use the pending rehearing requests as the basis for dismissing the petitions as "incurably premature." Since the applications for rehearing did not stay the FERC's issuance of the certificate, the petitioners also sought a stay from the FERC, which FERC did not act on for almost seven months. While the rehearings and requests for stay were still before the FERC, the pipeline sponsors of the Atlantic Sunrise Project proceeded to condemn land and begin construction activities. By the time the first panel of the court heard oral arguments on the petitions for review, the project had been built and in service for two months.

FERC orders on PG&E Bankruptcy (19-71615) (9th Cir.)
 Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL19-35, EL19-36¹⁸⁷
 Petitioner: PG&E

On June 26, PG&E appealed the FERC's orders finding that it has concurrent jurisdiction with the bankruptcy courts to review and address the disposition of wholesale power contracts sought to be rejected through its bankruptcy. On July 11, PG&E moved to suspend the briefing schedule pending the Court's decision on whether to authorize direct appeal of a decision by the Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of California. In a declaratory judgment, the Bankruptcy Court came to a completely different conclusion than the FERC and held that it has "original and exclusive jurisdiction over . . . [PG&E's] rights to assume or reject executory contracts under 11 U.S.C. § 365" and that the FERC "does not have concurrent jurisdiction, or any jurisdiction, over the determination of whether any rejections of power purchase contracts by [PG&E] should be authorized." Because of the opposite conclusions, PG&E suggested that, should the Ninth Circuit allow the direct appeal of the Bankruptcy Court decision, the two appeals should proceed together. The PG&E motion was granted on August 1.

The Court ordered the parties to submit supplemental briefs by July 8, 2020 addressing the impact on this appeal of the confirmation of PG&E's bankruptcy plan. (PG&E has since successfully emerged from bankruptcy). While the parties agreed in their briefs that the case is moot given PG&E's voluntary assumption of its contracts in its reorganization plan, there was disagreement over whether the FERC's orders should be vacated. Hearings were held on August 14, 2020. This matter is now pending before the 9th Circuit.

PennEast Project (18-1128)
 Underlying FERC Proceeding: CP15-558¹⁸⁹
 Petitioners: NJ DEP, DE and Raritan Canal Commission, NJ Div. of Rate Counsel

Abeyance continues of the appeal before the DC Circuit of the FERC's orders granting certificates of public convenience and necessity to PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC ("PennEast")¹⁹⁰ for the construction and operation of a new 116-mile natural gas pipeline from Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, to Mercer County, New Jersey, along with three laterals extending off the mainline, a compression station, and appurtenant above ground facilities ("PennEast Project"). The cases are being held in abeyance "pending final disposition of any post-dispositional proceedings [] before the United States Supreme Court resulting from the Third Circuit's decision in No. 19-1191 (In re: PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC (3rd Cir. Sep. 10, 2019)), or other action that resolves the obstacle PennEast poses". That decision held that the Eleventh Amendment barred condemnation cases brought by PennEast in federal district court in New Jersey to gain access to property owned by the State or its agencies, thus calling into question the viability of PennEast's proposed project route, and the certificates issued in the underlying case. Until the Third Circuit case is resolved, which is in the midst of proceedings before the Supreme Court, the DC Circuit will not take up this case. Since the last Report, on June 29, 2020, a Joint Status Report was filed, noting developments since the May 4, 2020 Status Report, and reporting that none of the events "constitute any of the conditions that [the DC Circuit] enumerated in its October 1, 2019 Order as triggering an obligation to file a motion governing future proceedings."

 $^{^{187}}$ NextEra Energy, Inc. v. PG&E, 166 FERC ¶ 61,049 (Jan. 25, 2019); Exelon Corp. v. PG&E, 166 FERC ¶ 61,053 (Jan. 28, 2019); Order Denying Rehearing, 167 FERC ¶ 61,096 (May 1, 2019).

¹⁸⁸ Declaratory Judgment at 1-2, PG&E v. FERC, (Bankr. N.D. Cal. June 7, 2019).

¹⁸⁹ PennEast Pipeline Co., LLC, 162 FERC ¶ 61,053 (Jan. 19, 2018), reh'g denied, 163 FERC ¶ 61,159 (May 30, 2018).

¹⁹⁰ PennEast is a joint venture owned by Red Oak Enterprise Holdings, Inc., a subsidiary of AGL Resources Inc.; NJR Pipeline Company, a subsidiary of New Jersey Resources; SJI Midstream, LLC, a subsidiary of South Jersey Industries; UGI PennEast, LLC, a subsidiary of UGI Energy Services, LLC; and Spectra Energy Partners, LP.

Opinion 569/569-A: FERC's Base ROE Methodology (16-1325, 20-1227, 20-1240)
 Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL14-12; EL15-45¹⁹¹

Petitioners: MISO TOs, FirstEnergy, Transource Energy

The MISO Transmission Owners (TOs), FirstEnergy and Transource have appealed *Opinion 569/569-A*. The MISO TOs' case has been consolidated with previous appeals that had been held in abeyance, with the lead case number assigned as 16-1325. Motions to govern future proceedings in the MISO TOs' case are now due August 10, 2020. The FirstEnergy case was assigned case number 20-1227; the Transource case, 12-1240. On July 10, 2020, the Court consolidated the FirstEnergy and Transource cases. Initial submissions in the FirstEnergy case were filed July 30, 2020.

Since the last Report, on August 5, 2020, the FERC asked the Court to hold the appeals in abeyance, including the filing of the certified index to the record, for a period of four months, ending December 7, 2020, with parties to file motions to govern further proceedings at the end of that period. The FERC requested abeyance to permit it to issue a further order on rehearing of challenged orders. MISO TOs opposed the FERC's request on August 14. The FERC responded to that opposition on August 20, 2020. The Court has not as of the date of this Report acted on the FERC's August 5 motion.

¹⁹¹ Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co., LLC, 159 FERC ¶ 62,181 (Feb. 3, 2017); Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Co., LLC, 161 FERC ¶ 61,250 (Dec. 6, 2017).

INDEX

Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings as of September 1, 2020

COVID-19

Blanket Waiver of ISO/RTO Tariff In-Person Meeting & Notarization Requirements	
Extension of Filing Deadlines	·
Jul 8-9 Tech Conf: Impacts of COVID-19 on the Energy Industry	
Remote ALJ Hearings	(AD20-12)1
I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings	
206 Investigation Into -NE Implementation of Order 1000 Exemptions	
for Immediate Need Reliability Projects	(EL19-90)4
206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols	(ER20-2054; EL16-19-002)5
Base ROE Complaints I-IV	(EL11-66, EL13-33;
	EL14-86; EL16-64)5
New England Generators' Exelon Complaint	(EL20-67)2
II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings	
206 Proceeding: RNS/LNS Rates and Rate Protocols	(FR20-2054: FL16-19-002) 5
2020/21 Power Year Transmission Rate Filing	
Exelon PP-10 Complaint	
FCA15 De-List Bids Filing	,
Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement	,
TOs' Opinion 531-A Compliance Filing Undo	
III. Market Rule and Information Policy Chang	ies.
III. Market Rule and Information Policy Chang Interpretations and Waiver Requests	ies,
Interpretations and Waiver Requests	
	(ER13-2266)17
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding CASPR	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding CASPR	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding CASPR	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)
Interpretations and Waiver Requests 2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Remand Proceeding	(ER13-2266)

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Updates

Schedule 20A-VP: Renaming/Clean-Up	(ER20-2783)	18
Schedule 21-NEP: DWW E&P Agreement		
Schedule 21-UI: LCSA: UI/NextEra		
Schedule 21-VP: 2019 Annual Update Settlement Agreement		
Schedule 21-VP: Bangor Hydro/Maine Public Service Merger-Related Costs Recovery	'	
Schedule 22: NSTAR/Vineyard Wind LGIA	•	

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activity to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

VIII. Regional Reports				
Capital Projects Report - 2020 Q2	(ER20-2640)	21		
LFTR Implementation: 47th Quarterly Status Report				
Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report Q2 2020	(ER19-1951)	21		
ISO-NE FERC Form 3-Q (2020/Q2)	(not docketed)	21		
Opinion 531-A Local Refund Report: FG&E	(EL11-66)	20		
Opinions 531-A/531-B Local Refund Reports				
Opinions 531-A/531-B Regional Refund Reports	(EL11-66)	20		
IX. Membership Filings				
Invenia Additional Conditions Informational Filing	(ER20-2001)	22		
August 2020 Membership Filing	(ER20-2581)	22		
July 2020 Membership Filing	(ER20-2277)	22		
September 2020 Membership Filing	(ER20-2772)	22		
X. Misc ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Stand	dards			
2021 NERC/NPCC Business Plans and Budgets	(RR20-6)	25		
CIP Standards Development: Informational Filings on Virtualization and Cloud	()			
Computing Services Projects				
Joint Staff White Paper on Notices of Penalty for Violations of CIP Standards				
NOI: Enhancements to CIP Standards	,			
NOI: Virtualization and Cloud Computing Services in BES Operations	(KIVIZU-8)	24		

NUC-001-4; PRC-006-4; TOP-003-4(RD20-4)......23

Revised Reliability Standards: FAC-002-3; IRO-010-3; MOD-031-3; MOD-033-2;

XI. Misc. Regional Interest

203 Application: CMP/NECEC	(EC20-24)	25
D&E Agreement Cancellation: CL&P-NTE CT		
Orders 864/864-A (Public Util. Trans. ADIT Rate Changes): New England Compliance	Filings (various)	27
TSAs: Second Amendments to New England Clean Energy Connect TSAs	(ER20-2674 et al.)	26
Use Rights Transfer Agreement: NSTAR/HQUS	(ER20-2724)	25
VTransco Rate Schedule Cancellations	(ER20-2507)	26
XII. Misc: Administrative & Rulemaking Proceed	dings	
Carbon Pricing in RTO/ISO Markets	(AD20-14)	27
Credit Reforms in Organized Wholesale Markets		
DER Participation in RTO/ISOs	(RM18-9)	32
FERC's ROE Policy for Natural Gas and Oil Pipelines	(PL19-4)	36
FirstEnergy DOE Application for Section 202(c) Order		29
Grid Resilience in RTO/ISOs; DOE NOPR	(AD18-7)	29
Hybrid Resources Technical Conference	(AD20-9)	28
NOI: Certification of New Interstate Natural Gas Facilities	(PL18-1)	37
NOPR: Electric Transmission Incentives Policy	(RM20-10)	30
Order 676-I: NAESB WEQ Standards v. 003.2 – Incorporat'n by Ref. into FERC Regs	(RM05-5-027)	3/1
Order 860/860-A: Data Collection for Analytics & Surveillance and MBR Purposes		
Order 872: Pricing and Eligibility Changes to PURPA Regulations	• •	
Waiver of Tariff Requirements		
Trainer of family requirements	(1 220 7 /	
XIII. Natural Gas Proceedings		
Enforcement Action: BP Initial Decision	(IN13-15)	37
Enforcement Action: Total Gas & Power North America, Inc	(IN12-17)	37
Iroquois ExC Project	(CP20-48)	38
New England Pipeline Proceedings		38
Non-New England Pipeline Proceedings		39
XIV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proc	eedinas	
Executive Order on Securing the United States Bulk-Power System	_	40
Executive Order on Securing the Officed States Burk-Power System		40
XV. Federal Courts		
2013/14 Winter Reliability Program Order on Compliance and Remand	(20-1289)(DC Cir.)	41
Allegheny Defense Project v. FERC		
CASPR		
ISO-NE's Inventoried Energy Program (Chapter 2B) Proposal		
Opinion 531-A Compliance Filing Undo		
Opinion 569/569-A: FERC's Base ROE Methodology	(16-1325)(DC Cir.)	44
PennEast Project	18-1128(DC Cir.)	43
FFRC Orders on PG&F Bankruntcy	19-71615 (9 th Cir)	43