FINAL

Pursuant to notice duly given, a meeting of the NEPOOL Participants Committee was held via teleconference beginning at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 7, 2020. A quorum determined in accordance with the Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement was present and acting throughout the meeting. Attachment 1 identifies the members, alternates and temporary alternates who participated in the teleconference meeting.

Ms. Nancy Chafetz, Chair, presided and Mr. David Doot, Secretary, recorded. Ms. Chafetz began by confirming that, as previously announced, the 2020 Summer Meeting would not be held in person. She said virtual sessions were being planned for June 23, minimally to hear from the External Market Monitor (EMM) about his 2019 Annual Report on the New England Markets, and for June 24 to have the promised educational session relating to the future grid discussions. She said that the annual report by the Internal Market Monitor (IMM) would be presented at the June Markets Committee meeting, and encouraged all interested members to participate. Efforts were underway to identify times for virtual sector meetings with the ISO Board panels for late June or July, with specific timing and format to be determined and circulated when finalized.

Ms. Chafetz also provided an update on the future grid efforts. In addition to the June 24 educational session just noted, a joint meeting of the Markets and Reliability Committees had been scheduled for May 27 to discuss the planned study.

APPROVAL OF APRIL 2, 2020 MINUTES

Ms. Chafetz referred the Committee to the preliminary minutes of the April 2, 2020 meeting, as circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the preliminary minutes of the April 2, 2020 meeting were unanimously approved as circulated, with an abstention by Mr. Michael Kuser noted.

CONSENT AGENDA

Ms. Chafetz referred the Committee to the Consent Agenda that was circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the Consent Agenda was unanimously approved without comment, with an abstention by Mr. Michael Kuser noted.

OATT SCHEDULE 24 REVISIONS

Ms. Chafetz referred the Committee to revisions to Schedule 24 of the ISO-NE Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) to incorporate updated Business Practice Standards from the North American Electric Standard Board (NAESB) for the Wholesale Electric Quadrant (Schedule 24 Revisions). She explained that the Schedule 24 Revisions were proposed in response to FERC Order 671-I. She said that this matter would have been on the Consent Agenda but for the timing of the Transmission Committee's consideration and vote.

The following motion was duly made, seconded, and unanimously approved without comment, with an abstention noted by Mr. Kuser:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Schedule 24 Revisions as recommended by the Transmission Committee and reflected in the materials posted for the May 7, 2020 Participants Committee teleconference meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be agreed to after the meeting by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Transmission Committee.

ISO CEO REPORT

Mr. Gordon van Welie, ISO Chief Executive Officer (CEO), began his report by describing the ISO's plans for a phased re-entry into ISO facilities of ISO personnel, most of whom had been working remotely since the COVID-19 outbreak. He indicated that, subject to state and federal requirements which continued to evolve, the ISO was planning to initiate re-entry June 1, at the earliest. The ISO would implement changes to ensure appropriate social distancing and require the use of personal protective equipment as appropriate. He said that

information technology personnel would be among the first to return, with additional groups of employees following through the rest of the summer months. The ISO planned in re-entry to use both its main headquarters and the facilities at the back-up control center. The ISO expected that many of its employees would continue to work from home during and following the re-entry period.

Then, Mr. van Welie noted and commented on the May 1 Presidential Executive Order on Securing the United States Bulk-Power System (BPS). He reported that the Order would prohibit the future acquisition or installation of BPS electric equipment designed, developed, manufactured, or supplied, by persons owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary. He said the language of the Order was very broad and the US Department of Energy (DOE) was tasked to provide guidance. The ISO's initial assessment was that it did not have equipment or applications that would require replacement as a result of the Executive Order. Mr. van Welie committed to keep Participants apprised of any issues that arise, at least initially through information in the monthly Chief Operating Officer (COO) reports. He acknowledged that the Executive Order created confusion and uncertainty that needed to be addressed through future DOE guidance. He assured Participants that, through the ISO/RTO Council (IRC), the ISO would have input into the DOE efforts to develop that guidance and would share appropriate information as that guidance was developed.

Mr. van Welie completed his remarks, referring the Committee to the summaries of the ISO Board and Board Committee meetings that had occurred since the April 2, 2020 Participants Committee meeting, which had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. There were no questions or comments on the summaries.

ISO COO REPORT

Dr. Vamsi Chadalavada, ISO COO, reviewed highlights from the May COO report, which was circulated in advance of the meeting and posted on the NEPOOL and ISO websites.

COVID-19 Summary Update

He began his report by providing an update on ISO operations during the continuing COVID-19 pandemic. Roughly 95 percent of the ISO workforce continued to work remotely. Restrictions on ISO travel and visitor access to ISO facilities would continue through at least Labor Day. Protective measures were in place for control room operators and on-site staff, and there was and would continue to be continuous monitoring of their health and safety. He described the ISO's operational outreach to Participants, to local control centers and reliability coordinators, and to industry groups. He reported on coordination with asset owners, many of whom had deferred non-essential maintenance or had cancelled outages completely. He expressed confidence in the ISO's ability to manage maintenance deferred to the fall.

Dr. Chadalavada then summarized ISO plans for a measured re-entry of its personnel into ISO facilities, which he said was targeted to begin June 1 and to be phased in over at least three months. The re-entry plan would be flexible, subject to national, state and local criteria being met, and would be adjusted according to changing conditions and daily metrics. Re-entry would be based on business needs and priorities, and would provide for re-deployment of work-at-home operations if a second wave of coronavirus occurred later in the fall or winter. He said the ISO would remain vigilant and flexible, and would continue to work to ensure reliable operation of the bulk power system.

He then talked about the continuing impact of COVID-19 on system loads. He said system-wide demand continued to be down by about three to five percent. He reported that the ISO had built a backcast model to calculate what load would have been without the pandemic.

He referred the Committee to slides that compared average hourly load deviations and loads to those produced by the backcast model (which were not weather-normalized), making the following observations: (i) overnight loads, on average, were lower than would be expected; (ii) morning ramps were slower, likely due to staggering schedules that conform more closely to individual tendencies than a set schedule; (iii) morning peaks were lower and an hour later; (iv) mid-day loads were lower; (v) loads tended to drop off after lunchtime, more so on days with favorable weather, when people appeared to shut down early; (vi) evening peaks were lower; and (vii) the transition to night loads was less steep (with fewer loads to shut down). Comparing 2020 loads to 2019 (though not on a weather-adjusted basis), there was an approximately six percent reduction in average load. He opined that COVID-19 contributed between three to five percent of the reduction in loads from historic figures; energy efficiency and photovoltaic (PV) installations made up a majority of the remaining difference. He acknowledged that the figures were not weather adjusted and he was not able to predict at that time how recorded loads might trend in the future based on growth in energy efficiency and PV resources.

Dr. Chadalavada then summarized the challenges in accurately forecasting loads during this period. Even with data spanning more than six weeks, the ISO still needed more actual data to establish consistent patterns. Until that happened, load forecast would continue to be choppy, with mean absolute percentage error increasing on average closer to 3 percent (and sometimes much higher) rather than around the ISO's normal target of 1.8 percent. The forecasts models still underestimated the full breadth of PV output.

He agreed in response to questions and comments, that loads would be impacted by the recession once businesses returned to work, but noted how the complexities involved made developing those forecasts/projections quite a challenge. He also acknowledged that the Capacity, Energy, Loads, and Transmission (CELT) reports needed to incorporate expeditiously

updated load forecast and resource data reflecting the "new normal" and other economic impacts. He indicated that the ISO would take a cautious approach with respect to reflecting the impact of economic downturn on load forecasts. The ISO recognized it had discretion in making its load projections, but with the current load uncertainty it would continue in the long-term to look to the best available data and not discretionary observations. He said that many factors were impacting loads and may in the future, both increasing loads at times and decreasing loads at other times. Projecting loads was and would be particularly challenging as the economy seeks to restart and the work force is dispersed but gradually starting to go back to their places of employment. He said the ISO would work diligently with others in the industry to ensure its load projections would be based on reliable and current data sets. He reaffirmed the commitment to keep the Committee appraised of corresponding changes in load forecasts as a result of developments during and after the business shutdowns for COVID-19. He also announced that, in response to Participant requests, the ISO would begin producing a weekly report on system load impacts, similar in scope to the report being posted by the New York ISO.

He reported that the region set a record low load on Saturday, April 25, 2020, during hour ending 15 (8,199 MW). He said that record low was broken one week later on Saturday, May 2, with a minimum load of 8,003 MW. He indicated in response to questions that he expected in the future that mid-day loads on off peak days would frequently be lower than overnight loads, with behind-the-meter PV load continuing to grow.

Responding to further questions, Dr. Chadalavada noted that there were not many hours of negative pricing, outside of prices in Maine on April 10, when there was a snow storm and limited export capability. He noted that unit commitments were still on the low end of the supply curve and market performance had not been materially impacted. He acknowledged in response to a question that very low loads experienced and the available generation to serve load

might encourage future electrification of the transportation sector to help achieve regional decarbonization goals. He also indicated in response to a question that the ISO would continue to assess whether it had sufficient ramping capabilities from the market on the system and, if needed, to make future market changes to encourage additional ramping capabilities on the system. That ISO commitment was reflected in its work plan and in future discussions post-ESI implementation.

Operations Report

Dr. Chadalavada then continued with his regular operations report. He noted that: (i) Energy Market value was $154 million, down $18 million from March 2020 and down $99 million from April 2020; (ii) average natural gas prices over the period were 3.9 percent higher than March average values; (iii) average Real-Time Hub LMPs ($18.13/MWh) were 7.8 percent lower than March averages; (iv) average daily (peak hour) Day-Ahead cleared physical Energy, as a percent of forecasted load, was 97.6 percent in April, down from 98.7 percent in March (with a minimum value on April 2 of 93.8%); and (v) daily Net Commitment Period Compensation (NCPC) for April totaled $1.3 million, down $400,000 from March 2020 and down $700,000 from April 2019. April 2020 NCPC, which was 0.9 percent of total Energy Market value, was comprised of (a) $1.3 million in first contingency payments, down $200,000 from March, and (b) $45,000 in second contingency payments (there was no NCPC for distribution payments).

Dr. Chadalavada reported that the review process for the Boston 2028 RFP was ahead of schedule and expected the ISO to be able to report in July if not sooner on the Phase I proposals that would move to Phase II. In response to a question on FCA15, he confirmed for that auction that, although he was unaware of whether final studies had been completed, the ISO expected

that Maine would be an export-constrained zone nested inside Northern New England and that Southeast New England and Connecticut would both import-constrained zones.

BILLING POLICY ENHANCEMENTS AND CLEAN-UP CHANGES

Ms. Michelle Gardner, Budget & Finance (B&F) Subcommittee (Subcommittee) Chair, referred the Committee to the materials posted in advance of the meeting concerning enhancements and cleanup changes to the ISO Billing Policy. She reported that these Billing Policy changes were identified by the ISO in conjunction with other enhancements to the Financial Assurance and Billing Policies that were still under Subcommittee review. She said that that Billing Policy changes were discussed by the Subcommittee and no Subcommittee members objected to the changes. She reported that the ISO had expressed its plan to file the changes later in May. Without further discussion, the following motion was moved, seconded, voted, and passed unanimously, with an abstention by Mr. Kuser noted:

> RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports revisions to the ISO New England Billing Policy to make certain enhancements and clean-up changes, as proposed by the ISO and as circulated to this Committee with the April 30, 2020 supplemental notice, together with such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair of the Budget and Finance Subcommittee.

LITIGATION REPORT

Mr. Doot referred the Committee to the May 2 Litigation Report that had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. He then highlighted the following items:

- *Energy Security Improvements (ESI) Alternatives Filing* - Comments on the ISO and NEPOOL alternatives were due May 15, 2020. NEPOOL submitted its support for the NEPOOL-approved alternative on April 24;
- *Hybrid Resources Technical Conference* – The FERC had scheduled for July 23, 2020 a technical conference to discuss technical and market issues prompted by

growing interest in projects comprised of more than one resource type at the same plant location (hybrid resources). Individuals interested in participating as panelists had until May 15, 2020 to submit self-nomination forms;

- *NERA Petition* – On April 14, 2020, the New England Ratepayers Association (NERA) asked the FERC to assert jurisdiction and price the power per applicable requirements whenever energy from a behind-the-meter facility is greater than the energy being consumed at that time behind the meter. The gist of the argument in the petition was that those energy transfers onto the grid were sales of power for resale and therefore FERC jurisdictional, and must be priced under federal rules and requirements, not at state retail rates. He reported also that the FERC extended the comment date 30 days, to June 15, 2020, in response to numerous requests for a 90-day extension;
- *Request for Technical Conference/Workshop on Carbon Pricing in RTO/ISO Markets* - Comments on a request for a technical conference or workshop to discuss integrating state, regional, and national carbon pricing in FERC-jurisdictional organized regional wholesale electric energy markets were due May 21; and
- *ISO's Inventoried Energy Program (IEP or Chapter 2B) Proposal* – The IEP, which became effective by operation of law because of a lack of a FERC quorum and was subsequently challenged in appeals to the DC Circuit Court of Appeals, was remanded back to FERC at the request of the FERC, with the concurrence of the parties to the proceeding. He noted that the FERC committed to deadlines for issuing its order on remand and an order on any requests for rehearing from that order on remand.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Markets Committee (MC). Mr. Bill Fowler, the MC Vice-Chair, reported that the next MC meeting would be May 12, 2020, with plans to consider a modification to the submission deadline for offers and bids in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, to receive highlights from the IMM's 2020 Winter quarterly markets report, and to begin discussion on updates to Cost of New Entry (CONE), Net CONE, and Offer Review Trigger Prices.

Budget & Finance Subcommittee. Ms. Michelle Gardner, B&F Chair, reported that B&F was scheduled to meet on May 14, 2020, and would continue discussion of potential "know your customer" enhancements to the Financial Assurance Policy's minimum eligibility requirements for new and existing Participants. All those interested were encouraged to participate and to review the proposed changes.

Reliability Committee (RC). Mr. Robert Stein, the RC Vice-Chair, reported that the next regularly-scheduled RC meeting would be May 19, 2020, at which the RC would consider changes to Planning Procedure (PP) No. 10 (Planning Procedure to Support the Forward Capacity Market) to incorporate the competitive transmission solution process in De-List reliability reviews. He indicated those changes were expected to be presented to the Participants Committee for vote in early June, and would take effect immediately thereafter, since PPs are not filed with the FERC.

Generation Information System (GIS) Agreement Working Group. Mr. Dave Cavanaugh, Working Group Chair, said that the Working Group would hold its seventh teleconference the following day to continue discussions of options in light of the December 2020 expiration of the GIS Administration Agreement between NEPOOL and APX, Inc. He encouraged all interested, particularly those with hands-on experience with GIS and other Renewable Energy Credit tracking and trading systems, to participate. He confirmed that the

Working Group would present its recommendations for final action to the Participants Committee. He said call-in information for the teleconference was available on the NEPOOL and ISO calendars.

Transmission Committee (TC). Mr. José Rotger, the TC Vice-Chair, reported that the TC was scheduled to meet on May 27, 2020 (just ahead of the joint MC/RC future grid meeting). The key items planned for discussion were (i) the ISO's compliance with the FERC's March 19, 2020 Order 845 (interconnection reforms) compliance filing order; and (ii) a new settlement in the on-going proceeding related to the transparency of the Regional Network Service/Local Network Service formula transmission rates and rate protocols.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Doot reported that the next Participants Committee meeting would be held by teleconference on June 4, 2020, with a number of voting items to be addressed and a presentation by the ISO's Chief Financial Officer of the ISO's 2021 preliminary Operating and Capital Budgets.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

_____

David Doot, Secretary

ATTACHMENT 1

PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN MAY 7, 2020 TELECONFERENCE MEETING

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Able Grid Infrastructure Holdings, LLC	Provisional Group		Sam Lines	
American Petroleum Institute	Fuels Industry Part.			Andrew Ten Eyck
AR Small Load Response (LR) Group Member	AR-LR	Doug Hurley	Brad Swalwell	
AR Small Renewable Generation (RG) Group Member	AR-RG	Erik Abend		
American PowerNet Management	Supplier			Mary Smith, Michael Macrae
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Associated Industries of Massachusetts (AIM)	End User			Roger Borghesani
AVANGRID: CMP/UI	Transmission		Alan Trotta	
Avangrid Renewables	Transmission	Kevin Kilgallen		
Belmont Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Block Island Utility District	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		
Boylston Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
BP Energy Company	Supplier			José Rotger
Braintree Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity			Dave Cavanaugh
Brookfield Renewable Trading and Marketing	Supplier	Aleks Mitreski		
Calpine Energy Services, LP	Supplier	Brett Kruse		Bill Fowler
Castleton Commodities Merchant Trading	Supplier			Bob Stein
Central Rivers Power	AR-RG		Dan Allegretti	
Chester Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Competitive Energy Services, LLC	Supplier		Glenn Poole	
Concord Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Coop.	Publicly Owned Entity	Brian Forshaw		
Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel	End User		Dave Thompson	
Conservation Law Foundation (CLF)	End User	Jerry Elmer		
Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc.	Supplier	Norman Mah		
Cross-Sound Cable Company (CSC)	Supplier		José Rotger	
Danvers Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Direct Energy Business, LLC	Supplier	Nancy Chafetz		
Dominion Energy Generation Marketing, Inc.	Generation		Jim Davis	
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	Supplier			José Rotger
Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC	Supplier			Bill Fowler
Emera Energy Services	Supplier		Bill Fowler	
Enel X North America, Inc.	AR-LR		Herb Healy	
ENGIE Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	AR-RG	Sarah Bresolin		
Eversource Energy	Transmission	James Daly	Cal Bowie	Dave Burnham, Vandan Divatia
Excelerate Energy LP	Fuels Industry Part.			Gary Ritter
Exelon Generation Company	Supplier		Bill Fowler	
FirstLight Power Management, LLC	Generation	Tom Kaslow		Nancy Chafetz
Galt Power, Inc.	Supplier	José Rotger		
Generation Group Member	Generation			Ron Coutu; Bob Stein
Georgetown Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Great River Hydro	AR-RG			Bill Fowler
Groton Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Groveland Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.) Inc.	Supplier	Louis Guibault	Bob Stein	
Harvard Dedicated Energy Limited	End User	Mary Smith	Michael Macrae	
High Liner Foods (USA) Incorporated	End User		William P. Short III	
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	

ATTACHMENT 1

PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN MAY 7, 2020 TELECONFERENCE MEETING

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Holden Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Holyoke Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.	Supplier		Scott Hendricks	
Ipswich Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Jericho Power LLC (Jericho)	AR-RG	Mark Spencer		
KCE CT 1, LLC	Provisional Group	Rachel Goldwasser		
Littleton (MA) Electric Light and Water Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Littleton (NH) Water & Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity	Craig Kieny		
Long Island Power Authority (LIPA)	Supplier		Bill Killgoar	
Maine Power LLC	Supplier	Jeff Jones		
Maine Public Advocate's Office	End User	Drew Landry		
Mansfield Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Maple Energy LLC	AR-LR			Doug Hurley
Marble River, LLC	Supplier		John Brodbeck	
Marblehead Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Mass. Attorney General's Office (MA AG)	End User	Tina Belew	Ben Griffiths	
Mass. Bay Transportation Authority	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Mass. Municipal Wholesale Electric Company	Publicly Owned Entity	Brian Thomson		
Mercuria Energy America, LLC	Supplier			José Rotger
Merrimac Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Michael Kuser	End User	Michael Kuser		
Middleborough Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleton Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
National Grid	Transmission	Tim Brennan	Tim Martin	
Nautilus Power, LLC	Generation		Bill Fowler	
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned Entity	Steve Kaminski		Brian. Forshaw; Dave. Cavanaugh; Brian Thomson
New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate	End User		Erin Camp	
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC	Generation	Michelle Gardner		
North Attleborough Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Norwood Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
NRG Power Marketing LLC	Generation		Pete Fuller	
Pascoag Utility District	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Paxton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Peabody Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
PowerOptions, Inc.	End User			Erin Camp
Princeton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC	Supplier	Joel Gordon		
Reading Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Russell Municipal Light Dept.	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
South Hadley Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Sterling Municipal Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Stowe Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Sunrun Inc.	AR-DG			Pete Fuller
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Templeton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	

ATTACHMENT 1

PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN MAY 7, 2020 TELECONFERENCE MEETING

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
The Energy Consortium	End User	Roger Borghesani	Mary Smith	Michael Macrae
Vermont Electric Coop.	Publicly Owned	Craig Kieny		
Vermont Electric Power Co. (VELCO)	Transmission	Frank Ettori		
Vermont Energy Investment Corp (VEIC)	AR-LR		Doug Hurley	
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	Publicly Owned Entity			Brian Forshaw
Village of Hyde Park (VT) Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wakefield Municipal Gas & Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Wallingford DPU Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Brian Thomson	
Westfield Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wheelabrator North Andover Inc.	AR-RG		Bill Fowler	