



Regulation, Ramping, and Reserves

Requested by Multi-Sector Group A*

**Acadia Center, Advanced Energy Economy (AEE), Brookfield Renewable, Conservation Law Foundation (CLF), Energy New England (ENE), Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), and Power Options*

Overview

- **Objective:**
 - To assess if there is a need for or benefit from additional ramping, regulation, or load-following resources as the system decarbonizes
- **Study Information:**
 - **Associated Prior Study:** PAC 2016 Economic Study Phase II (Regulation, Ramping, and Reserves), updated and extended beyond 2030
 - **Modeling Tool:** EPECS Simulator (Dartmouth)
- **Scenarios:**
 - **Base Case** reflecting best information about the system in 2030 (updating inputs to 2016 PAC study), adjusted as needed to meet state policy goals
 - **System in flux** between base case and end state (not tied to a particular year)
 - **End State** low-carbon generation scenario (potentially based on end state technical outputs from Massachusetts 80x50 study or Eversource “Aggressive Decarbonization” scenario; not tied to a particular year)

Study Details

- **Deliverables:**

- Simulated operating reserves: Load Following, ramping and curtailment performance
- Simulated interface & tie-line performance
- Simulated regulation performance
- Simulated balancing performance
- Timeseries data outputs on the most granular time-scale (e.g. 1- or 10-minute data) for each kind of assessed reserve

- **Other notes:**

- Mid-point not necessarily linear extrapolation
- Requestors would like additional information and discussion of the model's treatment of energy storage and flexible demand
- Inputs should assume declines in resource capital and O&M costs
- Inputs should assume prices for RGGI allowances / other emission allowances

Thank You

Contact

Doug Hurley / dhurley@synapse-energy.com / 617.512.2721