

February 29, 2024

VIA E-MAIL

TO: PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES

RE: Supplemental Notice of March 7, 2024 Participants Committee Meeting

Pursuant to Section 6.6 of the Second Restated New England Power Pool Agreement, Supplemental Notice is hereby given that the March 2024 meeting of the Participants Committee will be held **in person on Thursday, March 7, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. at the Seaport Hotel Boston, located at One Seaport Lane, Boston, MA 02210** for the purposes set forth on the attached agenda and posted with the meeting materials at nepool.com/meetings/.

For those who otherwise attend NEPOOL meetings but plan to participate in the March 7 meeting virtually, please use the following dial-in information: **866-803-2146; Passcode: 7169224**. To join WebEx, click this [link](#) and enter the event password **nepool**.

Please note that the NEPOOL room block for the night before the March 7 meeting has closed. However, if you are still in need of a room, please contact either Jaki Sloan (jsloan@daypitney.com) or Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com) who may be able to assist getting you a room at the Seaport Hotel or an alternative venue if possible.

Looking ahead, please mark your calendars for the 2024 Participants Committee Summer Meeting, which will be held in person at The Omni Mount Washington, Bretton Woods, NH, on June 25-27, 2024 (<https://www.omnihotels.com/hotels/bretton-woods-mount-washington>). As the date draws nearer, we will provide via future notices detailed information regarding the 2024 NPC Summer Meeting, including a link to the registration page and the reservations block, once the block is open.

Respectfully yours,

/s/

Sebastian Lombardi, Secretary

FINAL AGENDA

1. To receive an update on activities of the Joint Nominating Committee (JNC) and information from and about ISO Board members Caren Anders and Steve Corneli, each of whom is eligible and being considered for re-election to the ISO Board of Directors. We have included with this supplemental notice a presentation from ISO Board Chair Cheryl LaFleur regarding this year's JNC process. ISO website bios for Ms. Anders and Mr. Corneli (as well as for Michael Curran) are included in that presentation. Please note that the Participants Committee may conduct some discussion on this item upon completion of the rest of the business agenda in *executive session*, if and as appropriate.
2. To approve the draft minutes of the February 1, 2024 Participants Committee meeting. A copy of the draft minutes, marked to show changes made to the draft circulated with the initial notice, is included and posted with this supplemental notice.
3. [There is no Consent Agenda for this meeting].
4. To receive an ISO Chief Executive Officer report. The March CEO report is included and posted with this supplemental notice.
5. To receive an ISO Chief Operating Officer report. The March COO report will be circulated and posted in advance of the meeting.
6. To consider and take action, as appropriate, on Tariff changes proposed by ISO-NE in response to FERC's *Order No. 2023* (Final Rule regarding Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements), including:
 - a. Revisions to Tariff Sections I.2.2 (Definitions), II.19 (Study Procedures For Regional Network Service Requests), II.34 (Study Procedures For Through or Out Service Requests), II.48 (Interconnection Service Capabilities), Attachment K (Regional System Planning Process), and Schedules 11, 22, 23, and 25 to the Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), considered but not recommended by the Transmission Committee at its February 15, 2024 meeting; and
 - b. Revisions to Tariff Section I.2.2 and Market Rule 1, as recommended by the Markets Committee at its February 7, 2024 meeting.

Materials regarding the ISO's complete package of proposed *Order 2023*-related changes and draft resolutions are included and posted with this supplemental notice.

Protocols. The NEPOOL general business portions and plenary sessions of the meeting will be recorded, as are all the NEPOOL Participants Committee meetings. NEPOOL meetings, while not public, are open to all NEPOOL Participants, their authorized representatives and, except as otherwise limited for discussions in executive session, consumer advocates that are not members, federal and state officials and guests whose attendance has been cleared with the Committee Chair. All those participating in this meeting must identify themselves and their affiliation at the meeting. Official records and minutes of meetings are posted publicly. No statements made in NEPOOL meetings are to be quoted or published publicly.

COVID-19 Considerations. To [safeguard](#) the well-being of yourself and others, please refrain from attending a NEPOOL meeting in person if you have confirmed that you [have COVID-19](#). If you [suspect that you might have COVID-19](#), or [if you have been exposed to COVID-19](#), please take the [precautions](#) recommended by the CDC. In any case, all are encouraged to be respectful of others' personal space, and to respect individual choices with respect to wearing or not wearing masks. Should you receive a COVID-19-positive test result within 10 days of attending a NEPOOL meeting in person, we'd kindly ask that you contact NEPOOL Counsel (pmgerity@daypitney.com) to report that result.

7. To receive a report on current contested matters before the FERC and the Federal Courts. The litigation report will be circulated and posted in advance of the meeting.
8. To receive reports from Committees, Subcommittees and other working groups:
 - Markets Committee
 - Reliability Committee
 - Transmission Committee
 - Budget & Finance Subcommittee
 - Membership Subcommittee
 - Others
9. Administrative matters.
10. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Protocols. The NEPOOL general business portions and plenary sessions of the meeting will be recorded, as are all the NEPOOL Participants Committee meetings. NEPOOL meetings, while not public, are open to all NEPOOL Participants, their authorized representatives and, except as otherwise limited for discussions in executive session, consumer advocates that are not members, federal and state officials and guests whose attendance has been cleared with the Committee Chair. All those participating in this meeting must identify themselves and their affiliation at the meeting. Official records and minutes of meetings are posted publicly. No statements made in NEPOOL meetings are to be quoted or published publicly.

COVID-19 Considerations. To [safeguard](#) the well-being of yourself and others, please refrain from attending a NEPOOL meeting in person if you have confirmed that you [have COVID-19](#). If you [suspect that you might have COVID-19](#), or [if you have been exposed to COVID-19](#), please take the [precautions](#) recommended by the CDC. In any case, all are encouraged to be respectful of others' personal space, and to respect individual choices with respect to wearing or not wearing masks. Should you receive a COVID-19-positive test result within 10 days of attending a NEPOOL meeting in person, we'd kindly ask that you contact NEPOOL Counsel (pmgerity@daypitney.com) to report that result.

Joint Nominating Committee Process for 2024



Cheryl LaFleur

ISO-NE DIRECTOR AND BOARD CHAIR



Goal for 2024 JNC

To nominate and present the slate of ISO New England Board of Directors candidates for re-election to the Participants Committee for vote.

Critical Success Factors for Directors

- Belief in our purpose as defined by our Mission, Vision, Values
- Commitment to Inclusion, Equity, and Diversity
- Comfort in an ever-changing environment
- Comprehension and support of our strategic goals
- Expertise in critical skills and experiences required for success and compliance with the Participant Agreement

2024 Slate for Presentation to NEPOOL

In 2024, three directors' terms end, and all three are eligible for re-election. The incumbents are:

- Mike Curran
- Caren Anders
- Steve Corneli

It is the sense of the Nominating and Governance Committee of the ISO New England Board that these three directors should be re-elected, given:

- Their skills (see slide 5)
- The need for continuity on the Board
 - For benchmarking purposes: S&P 500 directors serve, on average, about the same amount of time as our directors (9 years)

Schedule of JNC Process

JNC met on January 30 and developed the following procedural outline for 2024:

Date	Action
January 17	Board N&G discussed candidates
January 30	JNC kick-off
February 1	Brook to give JNC overview to PC; Mike Curran to present to PC
March 7	Caren Anders and Steve Corneli to present to PC; NEPOOL to consider candidates during executive session
March-April	Sectors to provide feedback to JNC representatives
April (TBD)	JNC to regroup
May	NEPOOL PC to vote (tentative)
June	N&G Committee nominates and Board elects directors (tentative)

Critical Skills and Experience

The current incumbents’ skills are well aligned with our strategic priorities, critical skills matrix and the Participants Agreement’s requirements. The JNC has done a terrific job in selecting highly skilled individuals with diverse backgrounds, experiences, and expertise. The Board works well together and each member is highly committed to the mission, vision, and values of the organization.

Electric Industry/ Transmission Experience (at least three, per the Participants Agreement)	Markets Expertise (F – Financial Markets E – Energy Markets)	Top Corporate Officer with Experience in Leadership, Governance, and Compensation/Human Resources	Public Service, Regulatory Experience (FERC, States)	Audit Committee Financial Expert	IT/Cyber Security Expertise	Demographic consideration : New England Resident (strong preference for directors “from New England” per the Participants Agreement)
---	--	---	--	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--

ISO-NE Board Committee Assignments

Director (by retirement date)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	System Planning and Reliability Committee	Markets Committee	Compensation and HR Committee	Nominating and Governance Committee	Audit and Finance Committee	IT/Cyber Security Committee
Brook Colangelo '26	X			CHAIR		X
Mike Curran '27		CHAIR			X	X
Cheryl LaFleur '28			X	X		
Mark Vannoy '29		X		X		CHAIR
Caren Anders '30	CHAIR		X	X		
Steve Corneli '30		X			X	X
Catherine Flax '31		X			CHAIR	
Mel Williams '31	X		CHAIR			
Craig Ivey '32	X		X		X	
Gordon van Welie	X	X	X	X	X	X

ISO-NE Board Retirement Schedule



	2024 Class Three	2025 Class One	2026 Class Two	2027 Class Three	2028 Class One	2029 Class Two
Caren Anders	Eligible for re-election to second full term			Eligible for re-election to third full term		
Mike Curran	Eligible for re-election to third full term			Hits term limit		
Steve Corneli	Eligible for re-election to second full term			Eligible for re-election to third full term		
Cheryl LaFleur		Eligible for re-election to third full term			Hits term limit	
Mel Williams		Eligible for re-election to second full term			Eligible for re-election to third full term	
Catherine Flax		Eligible for re-election to second full term			Eligible for re-election to third full term	
Craig Ivey			Eligible for re-election to second full term			Eligible for re-election to third full term
Mark Vannoy			Eligible for re-election to third full term			Hits term limit
Brook Colangelo			Hits term limit			
JNC External Search Required			Replacement for Colangelo	Replacement for Curran	Replacement for LaFleur	Replacement for Vannoy



Caren Anders

Bio: Caren Anders is a transmission expert who previously served as an executive advisor for Quanta Technology, where she worked with clients across the utility sector providing advisory solutions and services. Her career has included leading Duke Energy's transmission organization, overseeing 2,100 employees responsible for serving 7 million customers. Prior to joining Duke, Anders was vice president of transmission and substations at Exelon. She has a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Pennsylvania and a Master of Business Administration degree in finance from Drexel University. She is a National Association of Corporate Directors-certified director.

ISO Board Service: Caren was elected to the Board on October 1, 2021. She serves on the Compensation and Human Resources Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, and System Planning and Reliability Committee. Caren is the Chair of the System Planning and Reliability Committee. She has also served on the Joint Nominating Committee.



Steve Corneli

Bio: Steve Corneli is principal and owner of Strategies for Clean Energy Innovation, focusing on the efficient deployment of high levels of clean resources. His clients have included leading energy and environmental think tanks, distributed energy technology companies, and clean energy and environmental advocates. Prior to forming Strategies for Clean Energy Innovation, Corneli was senior vice president of policy, strategy, and sustainability at NRG. He previously served seven years as a consumer advocate within the Minnesota attorney general's office. Corneli has a Bachelor of Arts degree from St. John's College in Santa Fe, New Mexico, as well as a master's degree in public affairs from the University of Minnesota's Humphrey Institute, with a concentration in energy, environment, and technology policy.

ISO Board Service: Steve was elected to the Board on October 1, 2021. He serves on the Audit and Finance Committee, IT and Cyber Security Committee, and Markets Committee. He has also served on the Joint Nominating Committee.



Michael J. Curran

Bio: Michael J. Curran joined the ISO New England Board in 2019. Curran spent the majority of his career in the financial services and investment community, including the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., where he was chairman and CEO. Before joining the Boston Stock Exchange, he was managing director and chief operating officer of Kemper funds and international mutual funds for Zurich Scudder Investments. Curran most recently was chair of the Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) Board of Directors. He is a graduate of Dickinson College.

ISO Board Service: Mike was elected to the Board on January 1, 2019. He serves on the Audit and Finance Committee, IT and Cyber Security Committee, and Markets Committee. He is the Chair of the Markets Committee. He has also served on the Joint Nominating Committee, Compensation and Human Resources Committee, and as Chair of the Audit and Finance Committee.

PRELIMINARY

Pursuant to notice duly given, a meeting of the NEPOOL Participants Committee was held beginning at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, February 1, 2024, at the Renaissance Boston Waterfront Hotel, Boston, MA. A quorum, determined in accordance with the Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement, was present and acting throughout the meeting. Attachment 1 identifies the members, alternates and temporary alternates who participated in the meeting, either in person or by telephone.

Committee Chair, Sarah Bresolin, presided, and Mr. Sebastian Lombardi, Secretary, recorded. The Chair welcomed the members, alternates and invited guests who were present. She thanked the Committee and NEPOOL members for their confidence in electing her to Committee Chair, adding that she is the first Chair from the Alternative Resources (AR) Sector and that she had spent time [also](#) as a Generation Sector and End User Sector representative. She then thanked the immediate past Chair, David Cavanaugh, for his accessible and inclusive leadership during his tenure as Chair, opining that NEPOOL was better and stronger as a result of his leadership.

APPROVAL OF DECEMBER 7, 2023 MEETING MINUTES

The Chair then referred the Committee to the preliminary minutes of the December 7, 2023 Annual Meeting, as circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the preliminary minutes of that meeting were unanimously approved as circulated, with an abstention by Mr. Jon Lamson noted.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Chair referred the Committee to the Consent Agenda that was circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Following motion duly made and seconded, the Consent Agenda was approved as last circulated, with Consent Agenda Item 3 (Revisions to ISO New England Planning Procedure (PP) 5-6) removed and to be discussed later in the meeting, and with an opposition by Ictec Energy Services, Inc. (Ictec) and abstentions by the CT OCC, MA AG, Jericho Power (on behalf of its Related Person CPower), PowerOptions, and Mr. Lamson.

Explaining their votes, the Ictec representative stated that Ictec's opposition was attributable to Item 1 (Further *Order 2222* Compliance), which it felt did not fully comply with the "spirit" of the *Order 2222*, even if technically compliant with the FERC's November 2, 2023 [Order](#). Referring to the Markets Committee discussion of the item, [the Ictec representative](#) explained that, while it was possible for Ictec to declare itself a meter reader, it was, for various reasons, infeasible for them to do. He further stated that, in his view, the revisions would not help to maximize the contributions of Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) to New England's grid system. The Jericho Power representative explained that he had abstained, on behalf of CPower, for those same reasons. The NH OCA representative also attributed its abstention to the *Order 2222* item, expressing continued frustration with the results of the *Order 2222* compliance process.

JOINT NOMINATING COMMITTEE

In furtherance of continued efforts to provide transparency regarding the Joint Nominating Committee (JNC) process, the Chair referred members to the materials circulated in advance of the meeting, and noted that each of the three ISO Board of Directors members whose terms would expire in 2024 were eligible for re-election to the Board. Consistent with recent

practice, she indicated that each of those incumbents had been invited to speak to the Participants Committee about their experience on the ISO Board. Mr. Curran would speak to the Participants Committee at this meeting; Ms. Anders and Mr. Corneli, at the March 7 meeting.

The Committee Chair then introduced Brook Colangelo, ISO Board Member and 2024 JNC Chair, who spoke generally about the critical success factors for nominating Directors, as well as Board members' oversight obligations and responsibilities to the ISO and the New England region. He said that the ISO Board Nominating and Governance Committee believed the three incumbents were worthy of re-election. He opined how the incumbents' skill sets were aligned with the ISO's strategic priorities, critical skills matrix, and Participants Agreement requirements, and how he believed continuity of their service would support the work of the Board on complex issues facing the region. He spoke about ISO Board Committee assignments and how Board Members were placed strategically into those roles to help provide Board oversight and Board guidance to ISO management. Mr. Colangelo summarized the proposed JNC process schedule for 2024. Looking ahead, the slate of Board candidates in 2025 could similarly be comprised of just incumbents. Mr. Colangelo indicated that, on the basis of the Board Member term limits established in the Participants Agreement, he would be the next sitting Board member to reach that limit.

Mr. Colangelo then introduced incumbent ISO Board Member Mr. Michael Curran and referred members to the overview of Mr. Curran's background circulated in advance of the meeting. Mr. Curran then highlighted his experience, including his roles during his six years as an ISO Board member as Chair of the Board's Markets Committee, and assignments to the Audit and Finance Committee and IT and Cyber Security Committees. Mr. Curran summarized his

vision for the ISO, the ongoing challenges facing the ISO Board and the region generally, and his view that there is a growing, common sense of what the region's path forward may be.

In response to questions from members, Mr. Curran suggested that the ISO would be well-served committing to strategic and forward thinking. He commended the ISO's management team for having a plan and challenging each idea for moving forward, as well as the constructive vetting by Participants, which he believed together fostered healthy growth and direction. He reflected that, during his tenure, the focus had been on developing a good strategic vision, getting the community's support, and executing the plan. While he worried about potential set-backs, and the undesirable volatility that could follow therefrom, he emphasized the need to accomplish change in stages, improving and pivoting as [things-circumstances](#) evolve.

ISO CEO REPORT

Mr. Gordon van Welie, ISO Chief Executive Officer (CEO), began by thanking the Committee for its support for the Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Initiative (DASI) filing, which had been accepted by the FERC without change or condition earlier that week. He commended the ISO team for their hard work over the prior several years on that Initiative. He said that the ISO hoped to implement the DASI reforms in the first or second quarter of 2025.

Mr. van Welie then referred the Committee to the summary of ISO New England Board and Board Committee meetings, which had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. He highlighted discussions by the full Board, as identified in the summary, regarding the potential transition to a prompt, seasonal capacity market, which would be discussed in more detail at the February Markets Committee (MC) meeting the following week. The Board discussion was intended to draw out Board Member viewpoints before any ISO recommendation was presented to Participants.

Addressing questions related to the impact of the potential transition to a prompt, seasonal capacity market on the Resource Capacity Accreditation (RCA) Project, as well as on other known and reasonably interdependent projects and future work plans, Dr. Vamsi Chadalavada, ISO Chief Operating Officer (COO), explained that, how processes, activities and schedules for RCA implementation would be sequenced, particularly in conjunction with other initiatives, would begin to be discussed with the MC the following week. At least for the time being, the ISO planned to stay the course with respect to the development of the RCA project for a forward market, with the prospect of an August 2024 FERC filing, though he cautioned that such a course was subject to change following consultation with stakeholders, and action by the FERC on any filing regarding plans for changes to the design or timing of the capacity market construct. He and Mr. van Welie acknowledged the complexity of planning for and accomplishing simultaneously both the potential major changes to the capacity market construct and to on-going improvements to the Energy and Ancillary Services markets, particularly without the full assurance of regulatory certainty. Mr. van Welie confirmed that, conceptually, and presuming the support of the region and the FERC, implementation of a prompt and seasonal capacity market construct could ideally be executed for the 2028/29 capacity auction, though such timing was subject to a host of caveats identified. Messrs. Van Welie and Chadalavada also acknowledged Participants' desire for updated timelines just as soon as those timelines could be reasonably identified.

ISO COO REPORT

Dr. Vamsi Chadalavada began his February report by describing the first round of changes made to the monthly ISO COO Report format, changes which would continue through 2024, and which were intended to maintain overall access to key information, but in a more

concise and user-friendly format. He noted that the Report had been reduced by approximately 25 slides, while presenting the same information, albeit in different ways. He identified the following new exhibits that had been added: Daily and Monthly Generation by Fuel Type, Renewable Generation by Fuel Type, FCM Charges by Capacity Commitment Period, and Total ISO Billings. He pointed out that the changes had been made just to the Markets-related information contained in the Report, and similar changes to the System Operations portion of the Report were planned and would be forthcoming. He encouraged anyone with feedback on the new format to provide that feedback to him or his ISO colleagues.

Operations Highlights

Dr. Chadalavada then referred the Committee to his February operations report, which had been circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. Dr. Chadalavada noted that the data in the report was through January 24, 2024, unless otherwise noted. The report highlighted: (i) that the Peak Load to date for Winter 2023/24, or 18,431 MW of Revenue Quality Metered Data (including settlement-only generation) occurred on January 17, 2024 during the hour ending at 6:00 pm; (ii) January averages for Day-Ahead Hub Location Marginal Price (LMP) (\$76.84/MWh), Real-Time Hub LMP (\$68.91/MWh), and natural gas prices (\$8.83/MMBtu); (iii) Energy Market value through January 24, 2024 was \$712 million up from \$552 million in January 2023; (iv) Ancillary Markets value (\$6.6 million) was unchanged from January 2023; (v) average Day-Ahead cleared physical energy during peak hours as a percentage of forecasted load was 102.1% during January (down from 102.4% reported for December, 2023), with the minimum value for January of 98.1% on January 1; (vi) Daily Net Commitment Period Compensation (NCPC) payments for January totaled \$2.4 million, and was comprised of \$2.3 million in first contingency payments (down \$2.4 million from December 2023) and distribution

payments of \$52,000. There were no second contingency or voltage NCPC payments in January; and (vii) Forward Capacity Market (FCM) value was \$86.3 million. The FCM peak for 2024 was [to that point](#) 17,993/MWh, which also occurred on January 17 during the hour ending 6:00 p.m.

Tuning to planned outages, Dr. Chadalavada noted that one resource outage in New Brunswick, planned to be out of service from April 6 to June 14, 2024, was expected for the duration of the outage to reduce transfer capability in both directions on the New Brunswick-New England interface -- to 625 MW for imports from New Brunswick and to 400 MW for exports to New Brunswick. In response to a question as to whether the resource outage would also affect the Orrington South interface, Dr. Chadalavada committed to provide an answer to the Committee within a day or so. [*Secretary's note: Dr. Chadalavada's response, that the ISO expected the Orrington South interface to be impacted, limited to approximately 725 MW for the duration of the outage, was circulated with the Notice of Actions the day after the meeting.]

Dr. Chadalavada reported that the first Inventoried Energy Program (IEP) day for Winter 2023/24 occurred on January 20. He explained that, on January 20, the observed temperature at Bradley Airport was 16°F (just below the trigger condition of 17°F) and that there was approximately 925,000 MWh of fuel inventory participating in the IEP. Of the 115 units participating in the IEP, approximately 80 of the units over-performed and 35 under-performed (with underperformance due, in small part to outages, but mostly to a lack of committed inventory levels on hand). He reported that the net payment for January 20 totaled \$1.58 million (approximately \$0.86 million paid to the resources that had signed up forward, and \$0.72 million in spot payments). While the IEP assumed roughly 10 IEP days during the course of the winter, Dr. Chadalavada expected no more than one or two more for the remainder of Winter 2023/24.

In response to a request, he committed to provide a few bullets on any future IEP events in his COO Report highlights, beginning with his March Report.

Lastly, Dr. Chadalavada addressed a projected April 8, 2024 solar eclipse. He explained that most of New England was expected to experience approximately 80% of the eclipse from 2:15 p.m. Eastern Daylight Saving Time (EDT) to 4:40 p.m. EDT. The eclipse would be impactful, as it would reduce what otherwise would be 6 GW of expected solar photovoltaic (PV) production during that period of time. He described ISO preparations underway for the eclipse, noting expectations that there would be significant ramping requirements during that period of time, perhaps as much as 100-250 MW/minute. He urged Participants to be prepared for that day and committed to provide additional information to the Committee in March.

After a brief summary of the concerns and reasons underlying his request, a member asked the ISO to consider ways to enhance Participant access to Real-Time data, which he suggested could materially enhance both Participant and ISO planning and operational efforts. Dr. Chadalavada acknowledged the member's observations and concerns, as well as by example the challenges the ISO would face in addressing the request, but agreed that there were possible improvements and solutions to be identified through such efforts and committed to continue the ongoing discussions with Participants to explore possible improvements.

PROPOSED PLANNING PROCEDURE 5-6 REVISIONS

Ms. Emily Laine, [ReliabilityTransmission](#) Committee ([RTC](#)) Chair, referred the Committee to the materials circulated in advance of the meeting regarding proposed revisions to PP5-6 (Interconnection Planning Procedure for Generation and Elective Transmission Upgrades), which had been pulled from the Consent Agenda at the request of Brookfield. She explained that the PP5-6 Revisions were intended to: (i) update system modeling assumptions to

align with the operating conditions expected to result from the clean energy transition; (ii) describe the adoption of the new IEEE ([Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers](#)) Standard 2800 (Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Inverter-Based Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with Associated Transmission Electric Power Systems) prioritizing first the system performance specifications most relevant to the bulk system reliability; and (iii) improve modeling requirements for inverter-based resources to capture best industry practices. The proposed changes, she stated, take a first step towards *Order 2023* Compliance, and were intended to encourage model quality for Interconnection Studies and clarify the required study scenarios. She reported that the Reliability Committee, at its December 19, 2023 meeting, recommended Participants Committee support for the PP5-6 Revisions, with one opposition and one abstention (each within the Generation Sector) recorded.

The Brookfield representative then briefly discussed Brookfield's concerns regarding these proposed revisions. Brookfield concerns related to the PP5-6 attestation requirement, some of which he was hopeful could be addressed by memorializing in the attestation certain understandings and clarifications discussed with the ISO subsequent to the TC's consideration and recommendation. There remained a second issue, which was the reason why Brookfield would oppose the PP5-6 Revisions, in that the proposed new Standards would require hardware changes to current turbine models and battery and storage product systems to be compliant, that its vendor(s) had expressed concerns could not be implemented per the Standards' timeframes. Brookfield suggested that the ISO consider addressing the near-term challenges that the Standards' timeframes would implicate, particularly for the first cluster study in 2025.

Following further discussion, the following motion was duly made, seconded, and approved, with oppositions by Brookfield, Deepwater Wind [Block Island](#), New Leaf [Energy](#), and

NextEra ~~Energy Resources~~, and abstentions by Avangrid, Blue Wave, Calpine, CLF, CPV, ~~CSCross-Sound Cable~~, Dynege, ~~Environmental Defense Fund~~, Galt Power, the Generation Bridge companies, ~~Generation Group Seat~~, Harvard, Ictec, Jericho Power, LIPA, MA AG, Nautilus Power, NRDC, RI Division, ~~Generation Group Seat~~, Shell, Sierra Club, and Mr. Jon Lamson:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the PP 5-6 Revisions, as circulated to the Participants Committee in advance of its February 1, 2024 meeting and as recommended by the Reliability Committee at its December 19, 2023 meeting, together with such non-substantive changes as may be agreed to after the meeting by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Reliability Committee.

FORWARD RESERVE MARKET (FRM) REVISIONS

Ms. Mariah Winkler, MC Chair, referred the Committee to the materials that were circulated and posted in advance of the meeting. She explained that the proposed revisions to the FRM, designed to address concerns and recommendations of the Internal Market Monitor (IMM) identified in the IMM's Spring 2023 Quarterly Markets Report, would (i) update the FRM Offer Cap and (ii) delay FRM Offer Data publication for one year after each procurement period begins. She reported that, at its January 9, 2024 meeting, the MC recommended Participants Committee support for revisions, but with a modified \$7,200/MW-month Offer Cap value as proposed by Jericho Power (up from the \$6,400/MW-month initially proposed by the ISO). The MC recommended Participants Committee support for that Participant-sponsored proposal with a Vote of 66.6% in favor; the ISO's unamended proposal was also voted, but was not supported, with a 49.95% Vote in favor.

Ms. Winkler further reported that, since the January MC meeting, the ISO had continued to evaluate its proposal, as well as the alternative supported by the MC, taking into account

stakeholder feedback. As a result of that further evaluation, the ISO wished to propose an FRM Offer Cap of \$7,100/MW-month (rather than its earlier proposed value of \$7,200/MW-month). Mr. Matt White, ISO Vice President of Market Development and Settlements and the ISO's chief economist, explained the reasoning behind the ISO's updated proposal, which related primarily to an expansion of the pool of resources to be considered when setting the representative unit upon which the FRM Offer Cap is based. By expanding the potential pool of resources to include a broader set of existing, qualified, potential FRM competing units, instead of a narrower pool of units that had competed in just the last two preceding FRM seasonal auctions, he explained how the risk that the Offer Cap could be set below the costs of potential competitors would be reduced and formed the basis for the ISO's updated recommended FRM Offer Cap value of \$7,100/MW-month. In support of this updated recommendation, the ISO had updated its detailed calculations, which had been circulated and posted with the additional materials for the meeting.

Mr. David Naughton, IMM Executive Director, expanded on the IMM's concerns about the ability of Market Participants to exercise Market Power in the FRM, and the IMM's recommendation that the Offer Cap value be reduced from its current value to reflect updated market conditions and ensure reasonable clearing prices for the remaining two Forward Reserve Auctions. He thanked both the ISO and the Participants for considering the changes and the analysis undertaken. He stated, that having reviewed all of that analysis, the IMM supported the ISO's updated \$7,100/MW-month Offer Cap value, which [the IMM](#) viewed as a reasonable estimate of the upper bound of competitive offers for resources that potentially would participate in the FRM.

In response to questions, Mr. White confirmed that the ISO intended to implement the revised FRM Offer Cap for the Summer 2024 auction, as well as for the abbreviated Winter 2024/25 FRM delivery period (abbreviated because, with the FERC's approval of ~~the Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Initiative~~ DASI earlier that week, the Winter 2045/25 delivery period would end on February 28, 2025, the day before the planned March 1, 2025 implementation of DASI). He noted plans to file the Revisions with the FERC after the meeting so that action on that filing could be taken prior to the Summer 2024 Auction.

The Jericho Power (LS Power) representative then addressed the rationale behind its MC-supported proposal. He explained that the revised \$7,100/MW-month FRM Offer Cap now proposed by the ISO was methodologically consistent with the value LS Power had proposed at the MC, with the difference in value attributable to more robust and precise data sets available to the ISO than had been available to LS Power as it developed its proposal. He stated that LS Power was supportive of the work undertaken by the ISO since the MC's consideration and, to that end, would revise down its proposal to be consistent with, and recommended support for, the ISO's \$7,100/MW-month FRM Offer Cap proposal.

In light of the developments since the MC action on the proposed FRM Revisions, particularly with the ISO and the proponent of the MC-recommended FRM Offer Cap value concurring on a revised value, the Secretary recommended that, absent objection, Committee consideration begin with a main motion revised to reflect a proposed FRM Offer Cap value of \$7,100/MW-month. There being no objection, the following motion was duly made and seconded:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the revisions to Tariff Sections I.2.2 and III.9.3, as recommended by the Markets Committee at its January 9, 2024 meeting, and circulated to this Committee in advance of this meeting, together

with the change to the Forward Reserve Offer Cap to \$7,100/MW-month and such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Markets Committee.

Commenting on the proposal as amended, certain End User representatives expressed their preference for the initial, lower FRM Offer Cap value of \$6,400/MW-month proposed and previously supported by the ISO, but acknowledged appreciation that even the \$7,100/MW-month value would be appreciably lower than the then-current value and protective of consumers. Others, without opining on the specific FRM Offer Cap value, expressed their thanks to the IMM and the ISO for addressing and vetting the issue with Participants, particularly the candor, collaboration and transparency exercised, as well as thanks to the Participants who has spent time and effort to develop suggested modifications to the ISO's initial proposal.

Without further discussion, the main motion was unanimously approved, with abstentions by CT OCC, CLF, Constellation, Dynegy, Generation Bridge companies, Harvard ~~Dedicated~~ [Energy](#), Maine OPA, MA AGO, NRDC, NH OCA, PowerOptions, RI Division, Sierra Club, and Mr. Jon Lamson recorded.

GIS WAIVER REQUEST (SACO RIVER HYDRO)

Mr. Paul Belval, NEPOOL Counsel, summarized the request of Saco River Hydro, LLC (Saco River Hydro or Account Holder) for a waiver of certain NEPOOL Generation Information System Operating Rules (GIS Rules) and sections of the Amended and Restated Generation Information System Administration Agreement (GIS Agreement) (the Waiver Request).

Through the Waiver Request, Saco River Hydro was seeking to have the renewable energy Certificates issued for its Swans Falls project (Project) for the first and second quarters of 2023 reclassified [in the GIS](#) as Class I-qualified under the Connecticut renewable portfolio standard (RPS). He explained that, because of [a](#) change to the Project's interconnecting utility (from

Public ~~Service Company~~system of New Hampshire to Central Maine Power), a new asset ID was issued by the ISO, requiring ~~that some notice from~~ the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (CT PURA) ~~notify to~~ APX that the [CT PURA's previously issued](#) Class I qualification carried over to the new asset ID. CT PURA provided that notice in November of 2023, which was after [the deadline in the GIS Rules for making changes to the data fields for the](#) Certificates [issued](#) for the first two quarters of 2023 ~~had been issued~~. Because those Certificates were issued without the Class I qualification, Saco River ~~H~~hydro was asking APX to go back and re-classify those Certificates as Connecticut Class ~~I~~ qualified [in the GIS](#).

Continuing, Mr. Belval explained that, as discussed in connection with other recent waiver requests, a retroactive change to issued GIS Certificates for reasons other than a data entry or software issue attributable to the ISO or APX (which is expressly contemplated by the GIS Operating Rules) required a waiver of the GIS Rules and GIS Agreement. He reported that APX had confirmed that, if NEPOOL granted this Waiver Request, APX would agree to the waiver. Mr. Belval noted that, while the GIS rules require State regulators to inform APX directly of any qualification under a RPS, they do not specify how that information is to be provided to the regulators. A Saco River Hydro representative provided additional information and perspective on the underlying factual background.

The following motion was then duly made and seconded:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee grants Saco River Hydro, LLC's request to waive certain NEPOOL Generation Information System Operating Rules and sections of the Amended and Restated Generation Information System Administration Agreement dated as of October 1, 2017, between APX, Inc. and NEPOOL as discussed in the materials circulated for this meeting.

Discussion followed, with members identifying the potential merits of voting to grant or deny the Waiver Request. With some sympathy expressed for Saco River Hydro's situation, a

few members suggested that where, as here, the GIS reporting properly identified that energy was generated and put on the system, granting the Waiver Request would be on balance preferable to NEPOOL taking a hard line against granting any waivers to retroactively correct Certificates. At the same time, many members indicated that they did not support granting the Waiver Request because, in their view, NEPOOL, and the Participants Committee in particular, was not the appropriate forum to resolve such matters, either through a waiver of the GIS Rules and GIS Agreement or otherwise. Many expressed the view that these kinds of matters were best decided by the States [whose RPS requirements applied to the Certificates at issue, to whose benefit the system was created and maintained](#). Others suggested alternative mechanisms for addressing these kinds of issues.

After further discussion, the Committee voted the Waiver Request motion. As determined by a show of hands, the motion was not approved, and the Waiver Request not granted.

In response to a member's request, the Chair reported that discussions among the Officers and NEPOOL Counsel for establishing processes and/or guidelines to address any future GIS waiver requests were ongoing, would incorporate the feedback provided at this meeting, and any developments would be communicated back to the Participants Committee at a future meeting, when and as appropriate.

LITIGATION REPORT

Mr. Lombardi referred the Committee to the [March 7 January 31, 2024](#) Litigation Report that had been circulated and posted before the meeting. He highlighted that, in part due to the hard work through the stakeholder process and resulting joint FERC filings, many of the filings identified at the December Annual Meeting as pending had since been accepted, including: the

DASI Proposal, FCM CONE and Net CONE Updates; Energy Supply Offer Mitigation Changes; De-List Bid Price Flexibility Changes; DECR FCM Qualification Revisions; and the compliance filing to make eligible to participate in the [IEP Invented Energy Program](#) pumped storage resources participating as Electric Storage Facilities. Mr. Lombardi also noted that, with the December 2023 departure of former Commissioner James Danly, the FERC was operating with just three Commissioners, and any nominations made to fill one or both of the Commissioner vacancies would be brought to the attention of the Committee.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Markets Committee. Mr. William Fowler, the MC Vice-Chair, reported that the next MC meeting was scheduled for February 6-7 at the Courtyard Hotel in Marlborough, MA.

Reliability Committee ~~(RC)~~. Mr. Robert Stein, the RC Vice-Chair, reported that the next RC meeting was scheduled for February 14 at the Doubletree in Milford, MA, with an earlier 9:00 a.m. start, and would include continued discussion of the RCA project and consideration of a number of Proposed Plan Applications and Transmission Cost Allocations.

Transmission Committee (TC). Mr. Dave Burnham, the TC Vice-Chair, reported that the next TC meeting was scheduled for February 15 at the Doubletree in Milford, MA, with an earlier 9:00 am start due to the length of the agenda. Key items would include voting on the ISO's *Order 2023* compliance proposal and related stakeholder amendments as well as continued discussion on the ISO's longer/extended-term transmission planning proposal and associated stakeholder amendments.

Budget & Finance Subcommittee (B&F). On behalf of Mr. Thomas Kaslow, B&F Chair, Mr. Patrick Gerity reported that the next B&F meeting was scheduled to meet by teleconference on February 9, 2024.

Membership Subcommittee. Mr. Bradley Swalwell, who had been appointed and had agreed to succeed to the role of Subcommittee Chair following the departure of Ms. Ashley Gagnon to the MA Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs, reported that the next Membership Subcommittee meeting was scheduled to be held by Zoom on February 12.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Mr. George Twigg, NECPUC Executive Director, announced that NECPUC's 2024 Annual Symposium would be held May 19-21 at the Omni Mount Washington in Bretton Woods, NH. Mr. Twigg also reported that the NECPUC Commissioners had decided to create a working group to focus on issues related to retail demand response and load to explore what further potential might be extracted from demand-side resources to help address winter resource adequacy and peak demand growth. He invited and welcomed input from stakeholders on the subject. Last, Mr. Twigg was excited to announce the addition of NECPUC's newest member, recently-appointed Chair of the Vermont Public Utility Commission—~~Chair~~, [Mr. Ed McNamara](#), who many Committee members were familiar with from his time with the Vermont Department of Public Service and participation in NEPOOL.

Looking ahead, the Chair informed the Committee that the Participants Committee's next regularly-scheduled meeting would be held March 7 in person at a location in Boston to be determined and announced when the venue arrangements were finalized.

There being no other business, the meeting adjourned at 12:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sebastian Lombardi, Secretary

PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN FEBRUARY 1, 2024 MEETING

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Advanced Energy United	Associate Non-Voting		Alex Lawton	
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
AVANGRID: CMP/UI	Transmission	Alan Trotta	Jason Rauch (tel)	Zach Teti (tel) Alex Novicki (tel)
Avangrid Renewables	Transmission	Kevin Kilgallen (tel)		
Bath Iron Works Corporation	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Belmont Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Block Island Utility District	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		
BlueWave Public Benefit Corp.	AR-DG	Mike Berlinski (tel)		
Boylston Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
BP Energy Company (BP)	Supplier			José Rotger
Braintree Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Brookfield Renewable Trading and Marketing	Supplier	Aleks Mitreski		
Castleton Commodities Merchant Trading	Supplier			Bob Stein
Chester Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Clearway Power Marketing LLC	Supplier			Pete Fuller (tel)
Concord Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Coop.	Publicly Owned Entity	Brian Forshaw (tel)		
Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel (CT OCC)	End User		Jamie Talbert-Slagle	Jackie Litynski JR Viglione (tel)
Conservation Law Foundation (CLF)	End User	Phelps Turner (tel)		
Constellation Energy Generation	Supplier	Gretchen Fuhr	Bill Fowler	
CPV Towantic, LLC (CPV)	Generation	Joel Gordon		
Cross-Sound Cable Company (CSC)	Supplier		José Rotger	
Danske Commodities US LLC	Supplier			Norman Mah (tel)
Danvers Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Deepwater Wind Block Island, LLC (Deepwater Wind)	Generation	Eric Wilkinson (tel)		
Dominion Energy Generation Marketing, Inc.	Generation	Wes Walker (tel)		
DTE Energy Trading, Inc. (DTE)	Supplier			José Rotger
Durgin and Crowell Lumber Co., Inc.	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC	Supplier	Ryan McCarthy (tel)	Andy Weinstein (tel)	Bill Fowler
ECP Companies Calpine Energy Services, LP (Calpine) New Leaf Energy	Generation	Andy Gillespie Liz Delaney		Bill Fowler Alex Chaplin
EDF Trading North America, LLC	Supplier	Eric Osborn (tel)		
Emera Energy Services	Supplier			Bill Fowler
ENGIE Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	AR-RG	Sarah Bresolin	Joe Dalton	
Environmental Defense Fund	End User	Jollette Westbrook (tel)		
Eversource Energy	Transmission	James Daly	Dave Burnham	Vandan Divatia
FirstLight Power Management, LLC	Generation			Ben Griffiths (FRM Revisions Only)
Galt Power, Inc. (Galt)	Supplier	José Rotger	Jeff Iafrazi (tel)	
Garland Manufacturing Company	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Generation Bridge Companies	Generation	Bill Fowler		
Generation Group Member	Generation		Abby Krich (tel)	
Georgetown Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	

**PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN FEBRUARY 1, 2024 MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Groton Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Groveland Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.) Inc. (HQ US)	AR-RG	Louis Guibault (tel)	Bob Stein	
Hammond Lumber Company	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Harvard Dedicated Energy Limited (Harvard)	End User			Jackie Litynski
High Liner Foods (USA) Incorporated	End User		William P. Short III (tel)	
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Holden Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Holyoke Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Icetek Energy Services, Inc. (Icetek)	AR-LR	Doug Hurley		
Ipswich Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Jericho Power LLC (Jericho)	AR-RG	Ben Griffiths	Nancy Chafetz (tel)	Marji Philips
Jupiter Power	AR-RG		Ron Carrier (tel)	
Lamson, Jon	End User	Jon Lamson (tel)		
Littleton (MA) Electric Light and Water Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Littleton (NH) Water & Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Craig Kienny (tel)	
Long Island Power Authority (LIPA)	Supplier	Bill Kilgoar (tel)		
Maine Power LLC	Supplier	Jeff Jones (tel)		
Maine Public Advocate's Office (Maine OPA)	End User			Jackie Litynski
Mansfield Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Marblehead Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Mass. Attorney General's Office (MA AG)	End User	Jacquelyn Bihrlé	Jamie Donovan	
Mass. Bay Transportation Authority	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Mass. Department of Capital Asset Management	End User	Paul Lopes (tel)	Nancy Chafetz (tel)	
Mass. Municipal Wholesale Electric Company	Publicly Owned Entity	Matt Ide	Dan Murphy	
Mercuria Energy America, LLC	Supplier			José Rotger
Merrimac Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleborough Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleton Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Moore Company	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Narragansett Electric Co. (d/b/a RI Energy)	Transmission	Brian Thomson		
Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)	End User	Claire Lang-Ree (tel)		
Nautilus Power, LLC	Generation		Bill Fowler	
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned Entity			Brian Forshaw (tel)
New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate (NHOCA)	End User	Matthew Fossum		
New England Power (d/b/a National Grid)	Transmission	Tim Brennan	Tim Martin	
New England Power Generators Assoc. (NEPGA)	Associate Non-Voting	Bruce Anderson	Dan Dolan	Molly Connors (tel)
NextEra Energy Resources, LLC	Generation		Nick Hutchings (tel)	
North Attleborough Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Norwood Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
NRG Business Marketing, LLC	Supplier		Pete Fuller (tel)	
Nylon Corporation of America	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Pascoag Utility District	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Pawtucket Power Holding Company LLC	Generation	Dan Allegretti (tel)		
Paxton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy

PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES
PARTICIPATING IN FEBRUARY 1, 2024 MEETING

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR/ GROUP	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Peabody Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
PowerOptions, Inc.	End User			Jackie Litynski
Princeton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity	Matt Ide		
Reading Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
RI Division (DPUC)	End User	Paul Roberti		
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Russell Municipal Light Dept.	Publicly Owned Entity	Matt Ide		
Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.	Supplier	Jeff Dannels		
Shipyards Brewing LLC	End User			Bill Short (tel)
Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Sierra Club	End User	Casey Roberts (tel)		
South Hadley Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Sterling Municipal Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Stowe Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Sunrun Inc.	AR-DG			Pete Fuller (tel)
SYSO Inc.	AR-DG	Doug Matheson (tel)		
Tangent Energy Inc.	AR-LR	Brad Swalwell (tel)		
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Templeton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity	Matt Ide		Dan Murphy
Tenaska Power Services Co.	Supplier		Eric Stallings (tel)	
The Energy Consortium	End User		Mary Smith (tel)	
Vermont Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned Entity	Craig Kienny (tel)		
Vermont Electric Power Company (VELCO)	Transmission	Frank Ettori		
Vermont Energy Investment Corporation	AR-LR	David Westman		
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	Publicly Owned Entity			Brian Forshaw (tel)
Versant Power	Transmission	Dave Norman (tel)		
Village of Hyde Park (VT) Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity	Dave Cavanaugh		
Wakefield Municipal Gas & Light Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Walden Renewables Development LLC	Generation			Abby Krich (tel)
Wallingford DPU Electric Division	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned Entity		Matt Ide	Dan Murphy
Westfield Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned Entity		Dave Cavanaugh	
Wheelabrator North Andover Inc.	AR-RG	Reg Goldie	Bill Fowler	

Summary of ISO New England Board and Committee Meetings
March 7, 2024 Participants Committee Meeting

Since the last update, the Board and committees held virtual meetings as follows: Compensation and Human Resources Committee on February 7; the Information Technology and Cyber Security Committee on February 14; the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board of Directors on February 15; and the Audit and Finance Committee on February 20.

The Compensation and Human Resources Committee conducted its annual risk assessment and reviewed the key risks within the Committee's oversight. During executive session, the Committee discussed the Company's corporate performance for 2023, and reviewed the feedback from various committees of the Board regarding specific projects completed in 2023. The Committee also preliminarily considered officer compensation for 2024, including information about the reasonableness of that compensation. Finally, the Committee discussed the structure of the Board's compensation and the trend toward adopting a retainer-only structure, and agreed to discuss its recommendation with the full Board.

The Information Technology and Cyber Security Committee was provided with an update on the Company's cyber security plan, and discussed completed projects and the plan's major areas of emphasis going forward. The Committee conducted its risk assessment and reviewed the key risks within its purview. The Committee also reviewed the sufficiency of the Company's cyber security insurance coverage during its annual cyber insurance review, and considered the costs thereof, noting that the same premiums and level of coverage remain in effect.

The Nominating and Governance Committee received an update on Joint Nominating Committee activities and discussed the nomination process for 2024. The Committee then undertook its annual assessment of the risks within its purview, for discussion with the full Board in March. The Committee also considered topics for discussion at the Board's meeting with the states in March. Next, the Committee reviewed a draft of the Company's annual communications plan and offered several comments. Finally, the Committee agreed to launch the process for facilitated Board and committee self-evaluations in mid-March.

The Board of Directors received a report from the CEO on current business, including the recently-filed contract with the Everett LNG facility, the order on the Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Improvements, and the outlook for appointments at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. In executive session, the Board considered and approved the corporate performance results for 2023 and officer compensation for 2024, after discussing the reasonableness of that compensation and competitive market conditions. The Board also agreed to adopt a retainer-only structure for Board compensation, which will eliminate the burden on staff of administering a meeting-based compensation structure.

The Audit and Finance Committee met with the Company's Investment Manager for a review of the Company's benefits plan assets and 401(k) plan, and an analysis of investment options and details regarding the mix, cost, and performance of plan investments. The Committee approved significant accounting estimates used in the Company's budgeting and financial statements, including earnings and discount rates, health care trends, and depreciation. The Committee then reviewed the annual vendor report, which illustrated the year over year change in vendor spending and highlighted key vendors and risks associated therewith. The Committee also considered the structure of the Company's compliance and risk management programs, which included a review of the Company's physical security, plans for business continuity during critical events, and a review of risks within the Committee's oversight. The Committee received an overview of a project to analyze office space utilization, and an update on the private placement debt issuance plan to help fund the capital projects budgets. The Committee then met in executive session and reviewed Internal Audit Department results for 2023 and considered the performance and 2024 compensation for the Director of Internal Audit.

NEPOOL Participants Committee Report

March 2024



Vamsi Chadalavada

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER



Table of Contents

• Highlights	Page	3
• System Operations	Page	9
• Market Operations	Page	21
– Supply and Demand Volumes	Page	22
– Market Pricing	Page	33
• Back-Up Detail	Page	43
– Demand Response	Page	44
– New Generation	Page	46
– Forward Capacity Market	Page	53
– Net Commitment Period Compensation (NCPC)	Page	61
– ISO Billings [New Section]	Page	68
– Regional System Plan (RSP)	Page	70
– Operable Capacity Analysis –Winter 2024 Analysis	Page	97
– Operable Capacity Analysis – Preliminary Spring 2024 Analysis	Page	102
– Operable Capacity Analysis – Appendix	Page	107





Regular Operations Report - Highlights



Highlights: February 2024

Data is through February 28th unless otherwise noted

- **Peak Hour** on February 14 in hour ending 7:00 pm
 - 17,199 MW Revenue Quality Metered (RQM) system peak
- **Average Pricing**
 - Day Ahead (DA) Hub Locational Marginal Price (LMP): \$36.91/MWh
 - Real Time (RT) Hub LMP: \$31.75/MWh
 - Natural Gas: \$3.52/Mmbtu (MA Natural Gas Avg)
- **Energy Market** value \$364M down from \$750M in February 2023
 - Ancillary Markets* value \$6.1M down from \$6.8M in February 2023
 - Average DA cleared physical energy during the peak hours as percent of forecasted load was 98.9% during February, down from 101.7% during January**
 - Updated January Energy Market value: \$838M
- **Net Commitment Period Compensation (NCPC)** total \$1.4M
 - First Contingency \$1.4M, down from \$3.3M in February, 2023
 - Dispatch Lost Opportunity Cost (DLOC) - \$251K; Rapid Response Pricing (RRP) Opportunity Cost - \$160K; Posturing - \$0K; Generator Performance Auditing (GPA) - \$0K
 - DA External Transaction NCPC: \$147K
 - Second Contingency and Voltage payments were both zero
 - Distribution \$4K
- **Forward Capacity Market (FCM)** market value \$86.5M
 - FCM peak for 2024 remains 17,993 MWh; hour ending 6:00 P.M. on Wednesday, January 17


*Ancillaries = Reserves, Regulation, NCPC, less Marginal Loss Revenue Fund (MLRF)

**DA Cleared Physical Energy is the sum of Generation and Net Imports cleared in the DA Energy Market


Underlying natural gas data furnished by:




Highlights – Eclipse Timing



Highlights – Forecasted Solar Output during Eclipse



- 365 MW reduction of Commercial Solar during Eclipse Maximum
- 230 MW gain in Commercial Solar in hour following Totality End



- 3186 MW reduction of BTMPV during Eclipse Maximum
- 2260 MW gain in BTMPV in hour following Totality End

Forward Capacity Market (FCM) Highlights

- CCP 15 (2024-2025)
 - The ISO will hold the third annual reconfiguration auction (ARA3) over March 1-5, 2024, and will post the results no later than April 3, 2024
- CCP 16 (2025-2026)
 - The ISO will hold the second annual reconfiguration auction (ARA2) over August 1-5, 2024, and will post the results no later than September 3, 2024
- CCP 17 (2026-2027)
 - The ISO will hold the first annual reconfiguration auction (ARA1) over June 3-5, 2024, and will post the results no later than July 5, 2024
 - ICR and related values for the ARAs to be conducted in 2024 were filed with FERC on November 30, 2023, and FERC issued an order accepting the results effective January 29, 2024

FCM Highlights, cont.

- CCP 18 (2027-2028)
 - The ISO filed the auction results with FERC on February 21, 2024, and the filing is pending
 - Comments are due April 8, 2024, and ISO requested an effective date of June 20, 2024
- CCP 19 (2028-2029)
 - The ISO filed market rule changes to delay FCA 19 for one year with FERC on November 3, 2023; FERC issued an order accepting the delay to FCA 19 on January 2, 2024
 - The ISO will commence the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process resulting from the FCA 19 delay in April 2024

SYSTEM OPERATIONS



System Operations

<u>Weather Patterns</u>	Boston	Temperature: Above Normal (3.3°F) Max: 60°F, Min: 16°F Precipitation: 0.65" – Below Normal Normal: 3.21" Snow: 0.50"	Hartford	Temperature: Above Normal (4.8°F) Max: 62°F, Min: 14°F Precipitation: 1.87" - Below Normal Normal: 3.13" Snow: 8.50"
-------------------------	--------	--	----------	--

<u>Peak Load:</u>	17,013 MW	February 14, 2024	19:00 (ending)
-------------------	-----------	-------------------	----------------

Emergency Procedure Events (OP-4, M/LCC 2, Minimum Generation Emergency)

Procedure	Declared	Cancelled	Note
NONE			



System Operations


NPCC Simultaneous Activation of Reserve Events

Date	Area	MW Lost
02/02/2024	ISO-NE	525
02/03/2024	ISO-NE	550
02/08/2024	IESO	550



2024 System Operations - Load Forecast Accuracy cont.


Dashboard Indicator 



Month	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	
Day Max	4.02	4.89											4.89
Day Min	0.00	0.12											0.00
MAPE	1.38	1.54											1.46
Goal	2.00	2.00											


2024 System Operations - Load Forecast Accuracy cont.

Dashboard Indicator 



Month	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	
Day Max	2.90	3.17											3.17
Day Min	0.08	0.10											0.08
MAPE	1.10	1.39											1.24
Goal	1.80	1.80											

2024 System Operations - Load Forecast Accuracy




Month	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	
Day Max	4.03	5.00											5.00
Day Min	0.73	0.64											0.64
MAPE	1.83	2.24											2.03
Goal	1.80	1.80											

2024 System Operations - Load Forecast Accuracy cont.

**Percent of Hours Actual Load
 Above vs. Below Forecast**
 Based on LF published by 1000, day before Operating Day


Target = 50%
 Plus/Minus = 5%




	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Avg
Above %	67.9	37.4											53
Below %	32.1	62.6											47
Avg Above	260.5	155.2											261
Avg Below	-155.5	-292.3											-292
Avg All	132	-130											6

2024 System Operations - Load Forecast Accuracy cont.

Deviation of Actual Load from Forecasted Load February 2024



Wind Power Forecast Error Statistics: Medium and Long Term Forecasts MAE




Dashboard Indicator 

Yearly Fleet
Performance targets 

Ideally, MAE and Bias would be both equal to zero. As is typical, MAE increases with the forecast horizon. MAE and Bias for the fleet of wind power resources are less due to offsetting errors. Across all time frames, the ISO-NE/DNV forecast is very good compared to industry standards, and monthly MAE is within the yearly performance targets.

Wind Power Forecast Error Statistics: Medium and Long Term Forecasts Bias




Dashboard Indicator ●

Yearly Fleet Performance targets —

Ideally, MAE and Bias would be both equal to zero. Positive bias means less windpower was actually available compared to forecast. Negative bias means more windpower was actually available compared to forecast. Across all time frames, the ISO-NE/DNV forecast compares well with industry standards, and monthly Bias is within yearly performance targets except for the 100 hour look-ahead timeframe.

Wind Power Forecast Error Statistics: Short Term Forecast MAE




Dashboard Indicator 

Yearly Fleet Performance targets 

Ideally, MAE and Bias would be both equal to zero. As is typical, MAE increases with the forecast horizon. MAE and Bias for the fleet of wind power resources are less due to offsetting errors. Across all time frames, the forecast compares well with industry standards, and monthly MAE is within yearly performance targets.

Wind Power Forecast Error Statistics: Short Term Forecast Bias




Ideally, MAE and Bias would be both equal to zero. Positive bias means less windpower was actually available compared to forecast. Negative bias means more windpower was actually available compared to forecast. Across all time frames, the ISO-NE/DNV forecast compares well with industry standards, and monthly Bias is within yearly performance.

MARKET OPERATIONS




SUPPLY AND DEMAND VOLUMES




DA Cleared Market Volumes

Daily Average MW



Monthly Average MW




Native load comprises locations internal to New England only; Exports are excluded




DA Volumes as % of Forecast in Peak Hour

Daily: This Month





Monthly, Last 13 Months





Note: The number of system-level manual supplemental commitments for capacity required during the Reserve Adequacy Assessment (RAA) period during the month was: none

Resource Mix

Daily Average MW




Monthly Average MW




Renewable Generation by Fuel Type

Daily Average MW





Monthly Average MW




Note: CSF represents Continuous Storage Facilities (a.k.a. Batteries)

RT Net Interchange



Net Interchange is the participant sum of daily imports minus the sum of daily exports; positive values are net imports


System Peak Load MW by Month




Shaded columns reflect RQM Peak for the current month and the same month the last 2 years

Monthly Recorded Net Energy for Load (NEL) and Weather Normalized NEL

Net Energy for Load (NEL)





Weather Normalized NEL





NEPOOL NEL is the total net revenue quality metered energy required to serve load and is analogous to 'RT system load.' NEL is calculated as: Generation - pumping load + net interchange where imports are positively signed. Current month's data may be preliminary. Weather normalized NEL is typically reported on a one-month lag.

DA Cleared Physical Energy Difference from RT System Load at Forecasted Peak Hour*



*Negative values indicate DA Cleared Physical Energy value below its RT counterpart. Forecast peak hour reflected.

Supply* Surplus Cleared Day Ahead relative to Forecasted Peak-Hour Requirements




● Surplus at Fcst Peak - - - Average

● Surplus at Fcst Peak - - - Average

*MWs above are made up of DA offered ECO max for cleared resources + offered reserves for non-cleared resources + DA scheduled net interchange, reflected for the peak hour


RT Generation Output Offered as Must Run vs Dispatchable



Includes generation and DRR. Must Run (non-dispatchable) category reflects full output of settlement-only generation (SOG) as well as must run offers from modeled units



MARKET PRICING



DA vs. RT LMPs (\$/MWh)


Arithmetic Average

Year 2023	CT	Hub	ME	NEMA	NH	RI	SEMA	VT	WCMA
Day-Ahead	\$84.07	\$85.59	\$84.20	\$86.12	\$85.77	\$85.39	\$86.05	\$84.48	\$85.69
Real-Time	\$83.80	\$84.89	\$83.06	\$85.40	\$85.05	\$84.69	\$85.35	\$83.64	\$84.97
RT Delta %	-0.32%	-0.82%	-1.35%	-0.84%	-0.84%	-0.82%	-0.81%	-0.99%	-0.84%
Year 2022	CT	Hub	ME	NEMA	NH	RI	SEMA	VT	WCMA
Day-Ahead	\$36.25	\$37.04	\$36.59	\$37.35	\$37.22	\$36.89	\$37.34	\$36.78	\$37.07
Real-Time	\$35.26	\$35.91	\$35.36	\$36.21	\$36.05	\$35.71	\$36.17	\$35.55	\$35.92
RT Delta %	-0.32%	-0.82%	-1.35%	-0.84%	-0.84%	-0.82%	-0.81%	-0.99%	-0.84%


February-23	CT	Hub	ME	NEMA	NH	RI	SEMA	VT	WCMA
Day-Ahead	\$67.14	\$69.35	\$69.09	\$69.99	\$69.72	\$69.61	\$70.36	\$68.24	\$69.23
Real-Time	\$63.58	\$65.21	\$64.99	\$65.72	\$65.60	\$65.13	\$65.88	\$64.48	\$65.14
RT Delta %	-5.30%	-5.97%	-5.93%	-6.10%	-5.91%	-6.44%	-6.37%	-5.51%	-5.91%
February-24	CT	Hub	ME	NEMA	NH	RI	SEMA	VT	WCMA
Day-Ahead	\$35.45	\$36.91	\$36.40	\$36.97	\$36.91	\$36.68	\$36.97	\$36.44	\$36.56
Real-Time	\$30.70	\$31.75	\$31.25	\$31.80	\$31.78	\$31.54	\$31.81	\$31.35	\$31.48
RT Delta %	-13.40%	-13.98%	-14.15%	-13.98%	-13.90%	-14.01%	-13.96%	-13.97%	-13.89%
Annual Diff.	CT	Hub	ME	NEMA	NH	RI	SEMA	VT	WCMA
Yr over Yr DA	52.8%	46.78%	52.68%	52.82%	52.94%	52.69%	52.54%	53.4%	52.81%
Yr over Yr RT	48.29%	51.31%	48.08%	48.39%	48.45%	48.43%	48.28%	48.62%	48.33%

Hourly DA LMPs, February 1-28, 2024



Hourly Day-Ahead LMPs



Hourly RT LMPs, February 1-28, 2024



Wholesale electricity vs Natural Gas prices by Month




Gas price is average of Massachusetts delivery points

Underlying natural gas data furnished by:




New England, NY, and PJM Hourly Average Real Time Prices by Month

Daily: This Month




Monthly, Last 13 Months




*Note: Hourly average prices are shown

New England, NY, and PJM Average Peak Hour Real Time Prices

Daily: This Month




Monthly, Last 13 Months




Zonal Increment/Decrement Offers and Bid Amounts

February Inc Monthly Totals By Zone



February Dec Monthly Totals By Zone




Includes nodal activity within the zone; excludes external nodes



Total Increment Offers and Decrement Bids


Zonal Level, Last 13 Months



Includes nodal activity within the zone; excludes external nodes



System Unit Availability



	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	YTD
2024	91	92											92
2023	94	92	87	71	77	84	94	93	88	71	77	86	85
2022	93	93	93	77	73	94	93	94	92	74	77	88	87

Data as of 2/26/24



BACK-UP DETAIL




DEMAND RESPONSE




Price Responsive Demand (PRD) Energy Market Activity by Month

DA, RT, and RT Dev MWh



Market Value



Note: DA and RT (deviation) MWh are settlement obligations and reflect appropriate gross-ups for distribution losses.



NEW GENERATION




New Generation Update

Based on Queue as of 03/01/24

- Five projects totaling 562 MW were added to the interconnection queue since the last update
 - One solar and four battery projects with in-service dates between 2026 and 2028
- In total, 404 generation projects are currently being tracked by the ISO, totaling approximately 41,582 MW



Actual and Projected Annual Capacity Additions By Supply Fuel Type and Demand Resource Type




	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total MW	% of Total ¹
Other Renewables	72	2	0	0	0	0	0	74	0.2
Battery	1,384	965	5,494	7,036	2,437	454	0	17,770	45.4
Solar ²	2,272	1,212	1,386	323	793	0	0	5,986	15.3
Wind	989	2,049	4,079	3,678	197	2,870	1,309	15,171	38.7
Natural Gas/Oil ³	135	16	0	0	0	0	0	151	0.4
Natural Gas	26	0	0	4	0	0	0	30	0.1
Totals	4,878	4,244	10,959	11,041	3,427	3,324	1,309	39,182	100.0

¹ Sum may not equal 100% due to rounding

² This category includes both solar-only, and co-located solar and battery projects

³ The projects in this category are dual fuel, with either gas or oil as the primary fuel

Actual and Projected Annual Generator Capacity Additions By State



	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total MW	% of Total ¹
Vermont	0	0	90	0	0	0	0	90	0.2
Rhode Island	371	758	295	102	360	0	0	1,886	4.8
New Hampshire	114	239	504	226	0	0	0	1,083	2.8
Maine	919	1,307	2,759	254	832	0	0	6,071	15.5
Massachusetts	2,204	1,496	4,287	7,470	1,503	2,870	1,309	21,139	54.0
Connecticut	1,270	444	3,024	2,989	732	454	0	8,913	22.7
Totals	4,878	4,244	10,959	11,041	3,427	3,324	1,309	39,182	100.0

¹ Sum may not equal 100% due to rounding

New Generation Projection

By Fuel Type

Unit Type	Total		Green		Yellow	
	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)
Biomass/Wood Waste	0	0	0	0	0	0
Battery Storage	117	17,770	0	0	117	17,770
Fuel Cell	4	46	1	20	3	26
Hydro	1	28	1	28	0	0
Natural Gas	4	30	0	0	4	30
Natural Gas/Oil	3	151	1	62	2	89
Nuclear	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solar	248	5,986	15	343	233	5,643
Wind	27	17,571	2	926	25	16,645
Total	404	41,582	20	1,379	384	40,203

- Projects in the Natural Gas/Oil category may have either gas or oil as the primary fuel
- Green denotes projects with a high probability of going into service within the next 12 months
- Yellow denotes projects with a lower probability of going into service or new applications

New Generation Projection

By Operating Type

Operating Type	Total		Green		Yellow	
	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)
Baseload	7	87	2	48	5	39
Intermediate	2	89	0	0	2	89
Peaker	368	23,835	16	405	352	23,430
Wind Turbine	27	17,571	2	926	25	16,645
Total	404	41,582	20	1,379	384	40,203

- Green denotes projects with a high probability of going into service within the next 12 months
- Yellow denotes projects with a lower probability of going into service or new applications

New Generation Projection

By Operating Type and Fuel Type

Unit Type	Total		Baseload		Intermediate		Peaker		Wind Turbine	
	No. of Projects	Capacity (MW)								
Biomass/Wood Waste	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Battery Storage	117	17,770	0	0	0	0	117	17,770	0	0
Fuel Cell	4	46	4	46	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hydro	1	28	1	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
Natural Gas	4	30	2	13	0	0	2	17	0	0
Natural Gas/Oil	3	151	0	0	2	89	1	62	0	0
Nuclear	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solar	248	5,986	0	0	0	0	248	5,986	0	0
Wind	27	17,571	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	17,571
Total	404	41,582	7	87	2	89	368	23,835	27	17,571

- Projects in the Natural Gas/Oil category may have either gas or oil as the primary fuel



FORWARD CAPACITY MARKET



Capacity Supply Obligation FCA 14

Resource Type	Resource Type	FCA	ARA 1		ARA 2		ARA 3	
		CSO	CSO	Change	CSO	Change	CSO	Change
		MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
Demand	Active Demand	592.043	688.07	96.027	659.671	-28.399	564.371	-95.3
	Passive Demand	3,327.071	3,327.932	0.861	3,315.207	-12.725	3,253.179	-62.028
Demand Total		3,919.114	4,016.002	96.888	3,974.878	-41.124	3,817.550	-157.328
Generator	Non-Intermittent	27,816.902	28,275.143	458.241	27,697.714	-577.429	27,684.252	-13.462
	Intermittent	1,160.916	1,128.446	-32.47	925.942	-202.504	893.444	-32.498
Generator Total		28,977.818	29,403.589	425.771	28,623.656	-779.933	28,577.696	-45.96
Import Total		1,058.72	1,058.72	0	1,029.800	-28.92	958.380	-71.42
Grand Total*		33,955.652	34,478.311	522.661	33,628.334	-849.977	33,353.626	-274.708
Net ICR (NICR)		32,490	32,980	490	31,480	-1,500	31,690	210

* Grand Total reflects both CSO Grand Total and the net total of the Change Column

Note: A resource's CSO may change for a variety of reasons outside ISO-NE administered trading windows. Reasons for CSO changes beyond reconfiguration auctions may include terminations or recent declaration of commercial operation. Details of the changes that occurred due to non-annual event purposes are contained in the 2023-2027 CCP Month Capacity Supply Obligation Changes report on the ISO New England website.

Capacity Supply Obligation FCA 15

Resource Type	Resource Type	FCA	ARA 1		ARA 2		ARA 3	
		CSO	CSO	Change	CSO	Change	CSO	Change
		MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
Demand	Active Demand	677.673	673.401	-4.272	579.692	-93.709		
	Passive Demand	3,212.865	3,211.403	-1.462	3,134.652	-76.751		
Demand Total		3,890.538	3,884.804	-5.734	3,714.344	-170.460		
Generator	Non-Intermittent	28,154.203	27,714.778	-439.425	27,081.653	-633.125		
	Intermittent	1,089.265	1,073.794	-15.471	1,056.601	-17.193		
Generator Total		29,243.468	28,788.572	-454.896	28,138.254	-650.318		
Import Total		1,487.059	1297.132	-189.927	1,249.545	-47.587		
Grand Total*		34,621.065	33,970.508	-650.557	33,102.143	-868.365		
Net ICR (NICR)		33,270	31,775	-1,495	31,545	-230		

* Grand Total reflects both CSO Grand Total and the net total of the Change Column

Note: A resource's CSO may change for a variety of reasons outside ISO-NE administered trading windows. Reasons for CSO changes beyond reconfiguration auctions may include terminations or recent declaration of commercial operation. Details of the changes that occurred due to non-annual event purposes are contained in the 2023-2027 CCP Month Capacity Supply Obligation Changes report on the ISO New England website.

Capacity Supply Obligation FCA 16

Resource Type	Resource Type	FCA	ARA 1		ARA 2		ARA 3	
		CSO	CSO	Change	CSO	Change	CSO	Change
		MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
Demand	Active Demand	765.35	589.882	-175.468				
	Passive Demand	2,557.256	2,579.120	21.864				
Demand Total		3,322.606	3,169.002	-153.604				
Generator	Non-Intermittent	26,805.003	26,643.379	-161.624				
	Intermittent	1,178.933	1,146.783	-32.15				
Generator Total		27,983.936	27,790.162	-193.774				
Import Total		1,503.842	1,247.601	-256.241				
Grand Total*		32,810.384	32,206.765	-603.619				
Net ICR (NICR)		31,645	30,585	-1,060				

* Grand Total reflects both CSO Grand Total and the net total of the Change Column

Note: A resource's CSO may change for a variety of reasons outside ISO-NE administered trading windows. Reasons for CSO changes beyond reconfiguration auctions may include terminations or recent declaration of commercial operation. Details of the changes that occurred due to non-annual event purposes are contained in the 2023-2027 CCP Month Capacity Supply Obligation Changes report on the ISO New England website.

Capacity Supply Obligation FCA 17

Resource Type	Resource Type	FCA	ARA 1		ARA 2		ARA 3	
		CSO	CSO	Change	CSO	Change	CSO	Change
		MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
Demand	Active Demand	622.854						
	Passive Demand	2,316.815						
Demand Total		2,939.669						
Generator	Non-Intermittent	26,507.420						
	Intermittent	1,356.084						
Generator Total		27,863.504						
Import Total		566.998						
Grand Total*		31,370.171						
Net ICR (NICR)		30,305						

* Grand Total reflects both CSO Grand Total and the net total of the Change Column

Note: A resource's CSO may change for a variety of reasons outside ISO-NE administered trading windows. Reasons for CSO changes beyond reconfiguration auctions may include terminations or recent declaration of commercial operation. Details of the changes that occurred due to non-annual event purposes are contained in the 2023-2027 CCP Month Capacity Supply Obligation Changes report on the ISO New England website.

Capacity Supply Obligation FCA 18

Resource Type	Resource Type	FCA	ARA 1		ARA 2		ARA 3	
		CSO	CSO	Change	CSO	Change	CSO	Change
		MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
Demand	Active Demand	543.580						
	Passive Demand	2,070.498						
Demand Total		2,614.078						
Generator	Non-Intermittent	27,026.635						
	Intermittent	1,450.872						
Generator Total		28,477.507						
Import Total		464.835						
Grand Total*		31,556.420						
Net ICR (NICR)		30,550						

* Grand Total reflects both CSO Grand Total and the net total of the Change Column

Note: A resource's CSO may change for a variety of reasons outside ISO-NE administered trading windows. Reasons for CSO changes beyond reconfiguration auctions may include terminations or recent declaration of commercial operation. Details of the changes that occurred due to non-annual event purposes are contained in the 2023-2027 CCP Month Capacity Supply Obligation Changes report on the ISO New England website.


Active/Passive Demand Response

CSO Totals by Commitment Period

Commitment Period	Active/Passive	Existing	New	Grand Total
2021-22	Active	480.941	143.504	624.445
	Passive	2,604.79	370.568	2,975.36
	Grand Total	3,085.734	514.072	3,599.806
2022-23	Active	598.376	87.178	685.554
	Passive	2,788.33	566.363	3,354.69
	Grand Total	3,386.703	653.541	4,040.244
2023-24	Active	560.55	31.493	592.043
	Passive	3,035.51	291.565	3,327.07
	Grand Total	3,596.056	323.058	3,919.114
2024-25	Active	674.153	3.520	677.673
	Passive	3,046.064	166.801	3,212.865
	Grand Total	3,720.217	170.321	3,890.538
2025-26	Active	664.01	101.34	765.35
	Passive	2,428.638	128.618	2557.256
	Grand Total	3,092.648	229.958	3,322.606
2026-27	Active	615.369	7.485	622.854
	Passive	2,194.172	122.643	2,316.815
	Grand Total	2,809.541	130.128	2,939.669
2027-28	Active	543.58	0.0	543.58
	Passive	1,965.515	104.983	2070.498
	Grand Total	2,509.095	104.983	2,614.498

Forward Capacity Market Auctions

Cumulative FCM Charges by CCP



*The items in the graph shaded in a lighter color represent the forecast for future months in the Capacity Commitment Period (CCP)




NET COMMITMENT PERIOD COMPENSATION




DA and RT NCPC Charges

Feb-24 Total = \$1.4 M



Day-Ahead Real-Time


Last 13 Months




Day-Ahead Real-Time

NCPC Charges by Type

Feb-24 Total = \$1.4 M




Last 13 Months




NCPC Charges by Type as percent of Energy Market Value

NCPC by Type as Percent of Energy Market




NCPC Charge Allocations

Feb-24 Total = \$1.4 M




Last 13 Months



RT First Contingency NCPC paid to units and allocated to RTLO and/or RTGO

Feb-24 Total = \$0.41 M



DLOC
 Postured Gen
 GPA
 RRP


Last 13 Months




Note: The categories shown above are a subset of those reflected in First Contingency NCPC throughout this report. The above categories are allocated to RTLO, except for Min Gen Emergency credits, which are allocated to RTGO.

RT First Contingency Charges by Deviation Type

Feb-24 Total = \$0.9 M



Last 13 Months




ISO BILLINGS




Total ISO Billings

Monthly Billed Amounts



Annual Billed Amounts



Ancillaries = Reserves, Regulation, NCPC, minus Marginal Loss Revenue Fund OATT = RNS, Through and Out, Schedule 9

REGIONAL SYSTEM PLAN (RSP)



Planning Advisory Committee (PAC)

- March 20 PAC Meeting Agenda Topics*
 - Asset Condition Projects
 - UI Congress Substation Flood Mitigation Project Update – Avangrid
 - MEPCO Sections 396 and 3001 End of Life Strategy – Avangrid
 - Maine 2028 Short Circuit Solutions Study
 - 2050 Transmission Study – Scope of Additional Analysis
 - Economic Planning for the Clean Energy Transition (EPCET) – Market Efficiency Needs Scenario (MENS) Import Sensitivities
 - Update on Legacy Distributed Energy Resource Assumptions in Needs Assessments
 - RSP Project List and Asset Condition List – March 2024 Update
 - 2024 Economic Study – Benchmark Assumptions and Stakeholder-Requested Scenario Proposals
 - Plan for Needs Assessments in 2024

* Agenda topics are subject to change. Visit <https://www.iso-ne.com/committees/planning/planning-advisory> for the latest PAC agendas.

2050 Transmission Study

- ISO provided initial results at the 3/16/22 PAC meeting
- Sensitivity results, as well as a high-level approach to solutions development, were discussed at the 4/28/22 PAC meeting
- ISO discussed updated results and the approximate duration of overloads at the 7/20/22 PAC meeting
- ISO began initial discussions on solution development and lessons learned at the 12/13/22 PAC meeting
- Additional discussion on solution development occurred at the 4/20/23 and 7/25/23 PAC meetings
- Development of transmission solutions and associated costs, including work by Electrical Consultants Inc. (ECI) on cost estimates, is now complete
- ISO presented solutions and associated costs at the 10/18/23 PAC meeting
- Draft report was posted on 11/1/23; ISO has received stakeholder comments and is preparing a written response
- Draft technical appendix was posted on 12/4/23
- Final version of the study, technical appendix, responses to stakeholder feedback, and study fact sheet were published on 2/14/24

Economic Studies: EPCET

- Economic Planning for the Clean Energy Transition (EPCET) Pilot Study
 - An effort to review all assumptions in economic planning and perform a test study consistent with the changes to the Tariff
 - PAC presentations began in April 2022. To date, the ISO has presented results from the Benchmark, Market Efficiency Need, and Policy scenarios
 - As announced at the October PAC, FGRS Phase 2 was to be completed via the EPCET Policy scenario. Results were presented at the December PAC
 - Further sensitivity results will be presented through Q1 2024
 - A report will be issued in Q2 2024

Economic Studies: 2024 Study

- 2024 Economic Study
 - First use of new Tariff language
 - Study was initiated at the January PAC meeting
 - Study will begin with Benchmark Scenario in Q1-Q2 2024, followed by Policy Scenario in Q3-Q4 2024
 - A Stakeholder-Requested Scenario can be submitted in Q2 2024 for consideration
 - Market Efficiency Needs Scenario will be studied in early 2025




ISO-NE Tie Benefits Evaluation

- The ISO started the tie benefits evaluation at the October 19 PSPC meeting. The second presentation was given at a special January 25 PSPC meeting and topics included:
 - Historical tie benefits results
 - Historical interregional tie flows
 - Load and resource diversity
- The evaluation will extend into Q3 of 2024
 - Additional PSPC time will be dedicated for this topic;
- The next PSPC meeting is scheduled for March 14 and topics will include:
 - Responses to stakeholder questions from January
 - A deeper dive into the MARS model methodology
 - Review of flows within MARS replications during tie benefits analyses


New England Power System Carbon Emissions

2023 vs. 2024 New England Power System Estimated Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Emissions



Data as of 2/18/24

RGGI Allowance Prices



- 2/21/24: RGGI allowance spot price - \$16.90
- The 63rd RGGI Auction is scheduled for March 13
 - 11 of the 12 participating states are offering CO₂ allowances for sale
 - Pennsylvania is currently prohibited from offering allowances for sale (this ruling is under appeal)
 - Virginia is no longer part of RGGI

RGGI – Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative


Massachusetts CO₂ Generator Emissions Cap

2024 Estimated Emissions Under CO₂ Cap


- As of 2/26/24, February estimated GWSA CO₂ emissions range between **371,870** and **434,719** metric tons
 - Year-to-date 2024 estimated emissions range between **12.7%** and **14.9%** of the 2024 cap of 7.61 MMT

2023 Estimated Emissions Under CO₂ Cap

- According to the [EPA CAMPD](#), 2023 total GWSA CO₂ emissions were **5.86 MMT**, or **75%** of the 2023 cap of 7.84 MMT



2021-2024 Estimated Monthly Emissions (Thousand Metric Tons)



GWSA – Global Warming Solutions Act
 MMT – Million Metric Tons

Source: ISO-NE (estimated emissions)

RSP Project Stage Descriptions

Stage	Description
1	Planning and Preparation of Project Configuration
2	Pre-construction (e.g., material ordering, project scheduling)
3	Construction in Progress
4	In Service

Note: The listings in this section focus on major transmission line construction and rebuilding.



Greater Boston Projects

Status as of 2/23/2024

Plan Benefit: Addresses long-term system needs in the Greater Boston area and improves system reliability

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1213, 1220, 1365	Install new 345 kV line from Scobie to Tewksbury	Dec-17	4
1527, 1528	Reconductor the Y-151 115 kV line from Dracut Junction to Power Street	Apr-17	4
1212, 1549	Reconductor the M-139 115 kV line from Tewksbury to Pinehurst and associated work at Tewksbury	May-17	4
1549	Reconductor the N-140 115 kV line from Tewksbury to Pinehurst and associated work at Tewksbury	May-17	4
1260	Reconductor the F-158N 115 kV line from Wakefield Junction to Maplewood and associated work at Maplewood	Dec-15	4
1550	Reconductor the F-158S 115 kV line from Maplewood to Everett	Jun-19	4
1551, 1552	Install new 345 kV cable from Woburn to Wakefield Junction, install two new 160 MVAR variable shunt reactors and associated work at Wakefield Junction and Woburn*	Apr-24	3*
1329	Refurbish X-24 69 kV line from Millbury to Northboro Road	Dec-15	4
1327	Reconductor W-23W 69 kV line from Woodside to Northboro Road	Jun-19	4

* Substation portion of the project is a Present Stage status 4

Greater Boston Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Plan Benefit: Addresses long-term system needs in the Greater Boston area and improves system reliability

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1330	Separate X-24 and E-157W DCT	Dec-18	4
1363	Separate Q-169 and F-158N DCT	Dec-15	4
1637, 1640	Reconductor M-139/211-503 and N-140/211-504 115 kV lines from Pinehurst to North Woburn tap	May-17	4
1516	Install new 115 kV station at Sharon to segment three 115 kV lines from West Walpole to Holbrook	Sep-20	4
965	Install third 115 kV line from West Walpole to Holbrook	Sep-20	4
1558	Install new 345 kV breaker in series with the 104 breaker at Stoughton	May-16	4
1199	Install new 230/115 kV autotransformer at Sudbury and loop the 282-602 230 kV line in and out of the new 230 kV switchyard at Sudbury	Dec-17	4
1335	Install a new 115 kV line from Sudbury to Hudson	Mar-25	3

Greater Boston Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Plan Benefit: Addresses long-term system needs in the Greater Boston area and improves system reliability

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1336	Replace 345/115 kV autotransformer, 345 kV breakers, and 115 kV switchgear at Woburn	Dec-19	4
1553	Install a 345 kV breaker in series with breaker 104 at Woburn	Jun-17	4
1337	Reconfigure Waltham by relocating PARs, 282-507 line, and a breaker	Dec-17	4
1339	Upgrade 533-508 115 kV line from Lexington to Hartwell and associated work at the stations	Aug-16	4
1521	Install a new 115 kV 54 MVAR capacitor bank at Newton	Dec-16	4
1522	Install a new 115 kV 36.7 MVAR capacitor bank at Sudbury	May-17	4
1352	Install a second Mystic 345/115 kV autotransformer and reconfigure the bus	May-19	4
1353	Install a 115 kV breaker on the East bus at K Street	Jun-16	4
1354, 1738	Install 115 kV cable from Mystic to Chelsea and upgrade Chelsea 115 kV station to BPS standards	Jul-21	4
1355	Split 110-522 and 240-510 DCT from Baker Street to Needham for a portion of the way and install a 115 kV cable for the rest of the way	Mar-21	4

Greater Boston Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Plan Benefit: Addresses long-term system needs in the Greater Boston area and improves system reliability

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1356	Install a second 115 kV cable from Mystic to Woburn to create a bifurcated 211-514 line	Apr-24	3
1357	Open lines 329-510/511 and 250-516/517 at Mystic and Chatham, respectively. Operate K Street as a normally closed station.	May-19	4
1518	Upgrade Kingston to create a second normally closed 115 kV bus tie and reconfigure the 345 kV switchyard	Mar-19	4
1519	Relocate the Chelsea capacitor bank to the 128-518 termination postion	Dec-16	4



Greater Boston Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Plan Benefit: Addresses long-term system needs in the Greater Boston area and improves system reliability

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1520	Upgrade North Cambridge to mitigate 115 kV 5 and 10 stuck breaker contingencies	Dec-17	4
1643	Install a 200 MVAR STATCOM at Coopers Mills	Nov-18	4
1341, 1645	Install a 115 kV 36.7 MVAR capacitor bank at Hartwell	May-17	4
1646	Install a 345 kV 160 MVAR shunt reactor at K Street	Dec-19	4
1647	Install a 115 kV breaker in series with the 5 breaker at Framingham	Mar-17	4
1554	Install a 115 kV breaker in series with the 29 breaker at K Street	Apr-17	4



SEMA/RI Reliability Projects

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Southeast Massachusetts/Rhode Island area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1714	Construct a new 115 kV GIS switching station (Grand Army) which includes remote terminal station work at Brayton Point and Somerset substations, and the looping in of the E-183E, F-184, X3, and W4 lines	Oct-20	4
1742	Conduct remote terminal station work at the Wampanoag and Pawtucket substations for the new Grand Army GIS switching station	Oct-20	4
1715	Install upgrades at Brayton Point substation which include a new 115 kV breaker, new 345/115 kV transformer, and upgrades to E183E, F184 station equipment	Oct-20	4
1716	Increase clearances on E-183E & F-184 lines between Brayton Point and Grand Army substations	Nov-19	4
1717	Separate the X3/W4 DCT and reconductor the X3 and W4 lines between Somerset and Grand Army substations; reconfigure Y2 and Z1 lines	Nov-19	4

SEMA/RI Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Southeast Massachusetts/Rhode Island area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1718	Add 115 kV circuit breaker at Robinson Ave substation and re-terminate the Q10 line	Mar-22	4
1719	Install 45.0 MVAR capacitor bank at Berry Street substation	Cancelled*	N/A
1720	Separate the N12/M13 DCT and reconductor the N12 and M13 between Somerset and Bell Rock substations	Mar-27	2
1721	Reconfigure Bell Rock to breaker-and-a-half station, split the M13 line at Bell Rock substation, and terminate 114 line at Bell Rock; install a new breaker in series with N12/D21 tie breaker, upgrade D21 line switch, and install a 37.5 MVAR capacitor	Aug-23	4
1722	Extend the Line 114 from the Dartmouth town line (Eversource-National Grid border) to Bell Rock substation	Dec-25	2
1723	Reconductor L14 and M13 lines from Bell Rock substation to Bates Tap	Cancelled*	N/A

*Cancelled per ISO-NE PAC presentation on August 27, 2020



SEMA/RI Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Southeast Massachusetts/Rhode Island area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1725	Build a new 115 kV line from Bourne to West Barnstable substations which includes associated terminal work	May-24	3
1726	Separate the 135/122 DCT from West Barnstable to Barnstable substations	Dec-21	4
1727	Retire the Barnstable SPS	Nov-21	4
1728	Build a new 115 kV line from Carver to Kingston substations and add a new Carver terminal	Aug-23	4
1729	Install a new bay position at Kingston substation to accommodate new 115 kV line	Aug-23	4
1730	Extend the 114 line from the Eversource/National Grid border to the Industrial Park Tap	Dec-25	2



SEMA/RI Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Southeast Massachusetts/Rhode Island area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1731	Install 35.3 MVAR capacitors at High Hill and Wing Lane substations	Dec-21	4
1732	Loop the 201-502 line into the Medway substation to form the 201-502N and 201-502S lines	Dec-25	3
1733	Separate the 325/344 DCT lines from West Medway to West Walpole substations	Cancelled**	N/A
1734	Reconductor and upgrade the 112 Line from the Tremont substation to the Industrial Tap	Jun-18	4
1736	Reconductor the 108 line from Bourne substation to Horse Pond Tap*	Oct-18	4
1737	Replace disconnect switches on 323 line at West Medway substation and replace 8 line structures	Aug-20	4

* Does not include the reconductoring work over the Cape Cod canal

** Cancelled per ISO-NE PAC presentation on August 27, 2020



SEMA/RI Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Southeast Massachusetts/Rhode Island area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1741	Rebuild the Middleborough Gas and Electric portion of the E1 line from Bridgewater to Middleborough	Apr-19	4
1782	Reconductor the J16S line	May 22	4
1724	Replace the Kent County 345/115 kV transformer	Mar-22	4
1789	West Medway 345 kV circuit breaker upgrades	Apr-21	4
1790	Medway 115 kV circuit breaker replacements	Nov-20	4



Eastern CT Reliability Projects

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Eastern Connecticut area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1815	Reconductor the L190-4 and L190-5 line sections	Dec-24	3
1850	Install a second 345/115 kV autotransformer (4X) and one 345 kV breaker at Card substation	Dec-22	4
1851	Upgrade Card 115 kV to BPS standards	Dec-22	4
1852	Install one 115 kV circuit breaker in series with Card substation 4T	Feb-23	4
1853	Convert Gales Ferry substation from 69 kV to 115 kV	Nov-23	4
1854	Rebuild the 100 Line from Montville to Gales Ferry to allow operation at 115 kV	Jun-23	4



Eastern CT Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Eastern Connecticut area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1855	Re-terminate the 100 Line at Montville station and associated work. Energize the 100 Line at 115 kV	Jun-23	4
1856	Rebuild 400-1 Line section to allow operation at 115 kV (Tunnel to Ledyard Jct.)	Feb-23	4
1857	Add one 115 kV circuit breaker and re-terminate the 400-1 line section into Tunnel substation. Energize 400 Line at 115 kV	Feb-23	4
1858	Rebuild 400-2 Line section to allow operation at 115 kV (Ledyard Jct. to Border Bus with CMEEC)	Sept-22	4
1859	Rebuild the 400-3 Line Section to allow operation at 115 kV (Gales Ferry to Ledyard Jct.)	Feb-23	4
1860	Install a 25.2 MVAR 115 kV capacitor and one capacitor breaker at Killingly	Dec-21	4

Eastern CT Reliability Projects, cont.

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Eastern Connecticut area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1861	Install one 345 kV series breaker with the Montville 1T	Nov-21	4
1862	Install a +55/-29 MVAR synchronous condenser with two 115 kV breakers at Shunock	Dec-23	4
1863	Install a 1% series reactor with bypass switch at Mystic, CT on the 1465 Line	Mar-22	4
1864	Convert the 400-2 Line Section to 115 kV (Border Bus to Buddington)	Feb-23	4
1904	Convert 69 kV equipment at Buddington to 115 kV to facilitate the conversion of the 400-2 line to 115 kV	Dec-23	4



New Hampshire Solution Projects

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the New Hampshire area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1878	Install a +55/-32.2 MVAR synchronous condenser at N. Keene 115 kV Substation with a 115 kV breaker	Sep-24	3
1879	Install a +55/-32.2 MVAR synchronous condenser at Huckins Hill 115 kV Substation with a 115 kV breaker	May-24	3
1880	Install a +127/-50 MVAR synchronous condenser at Amherst 345 kV Substation with two 345 kV breakers	Sep-24	3
1881	Install two 50 MVAR capacitors on Line 363 near Seabrook Station with three 345 kV breakers	Oct-23	4



Upper Maine Solution Projects

Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Upper Maine area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1882	Rebuild 21.7 miles of the existing 115 kV line Section 80 Highland-Coopers Mills 115 kV line	Dec-24	3
1883	Convert the Highland 115 kV substation to an eight breaker, breaker-and-a-half configuration with a bus connected 115/34.5 kV transformer	Jul-28	1
1884	Install a 15 MVAR capacitor at Belfast 115 kV substation	Jul-28	1
1885	Install a +50/-25 MVAR synchronous condenser at Highland 115 kV substation	Jul-28	1
1886	Install +50/-25 MVAR synchronous condenser at Boggy Brook 115 kV substation, and install a new 115 kV breaker to separate Line 67 from the proposed solution elements	Jun-24	3



Upper Maine Solution Projects, cont.


Status as of 2/23/2024

Project Benefit: Addresses system needs in the Upper Maine area

RSP Project List ID	Upgrade	Expected/ Actual In-Service	Present Stage
1887	Install 25 MVAR reactor at Boggy Brook 115 kV substation	Jun-24	3
1888	Install 10 MVAR reactor at Keene Road 115 kV substation	Apr-24	3
1889	Install three remotely monitored and controlled switches to split the existing Orrington reactors between the two Orrington 345/115 kV autotransformers	Dec-24	2



Status of Tariff Studies as of February 1, 2024



Generator Project Status


7 ETUs in Scoping, 4 in FS, 2 in SIS, 0 in OIS, 0 in FAC, 1 Negotiating IA, and 4 with Executed IA

Transmission Service Requests needing study: 1 in SIS

<https://irtt.iso-ne.com/external.aspx>

What is in the Queue (as of February 1, 2024)

Storage Projects are proposed as stand-alone storage or as co-located with wind or solar projects



OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Winter 2024 Analysis



Winter 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

50/50 Load Forecast (Reference)	Mar. - 2024 ² CSO (MW)	Mar. - 2024 ² SCC (MW)
Operable Capacity MW ¹	28,399	31,733
Active Demand Capacity Resource (+) ⁵	395	344
External Node Available Net Capacity, CSO imports minus firm capacity exports (+)	941	941
Non Commercial Capacity (+)	17	17
Non Gas-fired Planned Outage MW (-)	2,312	2,685
Gas Generator Outages MW (-)	1,629	1,983
Allowance for Unplanned Outages (-) ⁴	2,700	2,700
Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply (-) ³	0	0
Net Capacity (NET OPCAP SUPPLY MW)	23,111	25,667
Peak Load Forecast MW (adjusted for Other Demand Resources) ²	16,379	16,379
Operating Reserve Requirement MW	2,305	2,305
Operable Capacity Required (NET LOAD OBLIGATION MW)	18,684	18,684
Operable Capacity Margin	4,427	6,983

¹Operable Capacity is based on data as of **February 27, 2024** and does not include Capacity associated with Settlement Only Generators, Passive and Active Demand Response, and external capacity. The Capacity Supply Obligation (CSO) and Seasonal Claim Capability (SCC) values are based on data as of **February 27, 2024**.

² Load forecast that is based on the 2023 CELT report and represents the week with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin, week beginning **March 30, 2024**.

³ Total of (Gas at Risk MW) – (Gas Gen Outages MW).

⁴ Allowance For Unplanned Outage MW is based on the month corresponding to the day with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin for the week.

⁵ Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) can participate in the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.

Winter 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

90/10 Load Forecast	Mar. - 2024 ² CSO (MW)	Mar. - 2024 ² SCC (MW)
Operable Capacity MW ¹	28,399	31,733
Active Demand Capacity Resource (+) ⁵	395	344
External Node Available Net Capacity, CSO imports minus firm capacity exports (+)	941	941
Non Commercial Capacity (+)	17	17
Non Gas-fired Planned Outage MW (-)	2,312	2,685
Gas Generator Outages MW (-)	1,629	1,983
Allowance for Unplanned Outages (-) ⁴	2,700	2,700
Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply (-) ³	0	0
Net Capacity (NET OPCAP SUPPLY MW)	23,111	25,667
Peak Load Forecast MW (adjusted for Other Demand Resources) ²	17,014	17,014
Operating Reserve Requirement MW	2,305	2,305
Operable Capacity Required (NET LOAD OBLIGATION MW)	19,319	19,319
Operable Capacity Margin	3,792	6,348

¹Operable Capacity is based on data as of **February 27, 2024** and does not include Capacity associated with Settlement Only Generators, Passive and Active Demand Response, and external capacity. The Capacity Supply Obligation (CSO) and Seasonal Claim Capability (SCC) values are based on data as of **February 27, 2024**.

² Load forecast that is based on the 2023 CELT report and represents the week with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin, week beginning **March 30, 2024**.

³ Total of (Gas at Risk MW) – (Gas Gen Outages MW).

⁴ Allowance For Unplanned Outage MW is based on the month corresponding to the day with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin for the week.

⁵ Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) can participate in the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.

Winter 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

50/50 Forecast (Reference)

ISO-NE OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS

February 27, 2024 - 50-50 FORECAST using CSO MW

This analysis is a tabulation of weekly assessments shown in one single table. The information shows the operable capacity situation under assumed conditions for each week. It is not expected that the system peak will occur every week in March.

Report created: 2/27/2024

Study Week (Week Beginning , Saturday)	CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW	CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW	External Node Capacity MW	Non-Commercial Capacity MW	CSO Non Gas- Only Generator Planned Outages MW	CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW	Unplanned Outages Allowance MW	CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply 50- 50PLE MW	CSO Net Available Capacity MW	Peak Load Forecast 50- 50PLE MW	Operating Reserve Requirement MW	CSO Net Required Capacity MW	CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW	Season Min Opcap Margin Flag	Season_Label
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
3/16/2024	28488	361	1062	16	892	658	2200	0	26177	17614	2305	19919	6258	N	Winter 2023/2024
3/23/2024	28488	361	1062	16	2126	1519	2200	0	24082	17054	2305	19359	4723	N	Winter 2023/2024
3/30/2024	28399	395	941	17	2312	1629	2700	0	23111	16379	2305	18684	4427	Y	Winter 2023/2024

Column Definitions

- CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW:** Summation of all resource Capacity supply Obligations (CSO). Does not include Settlement Only Generators (SOG).
- CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW:** Demand resources known as Real-Time Demand Response (RTDR) will become Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) and can participate in the Forward Capacity market (FCM). These resources will have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.
- External Node Capacity MW:** Sum of external Capacity Supply Obligations (CSO) imports and exports.
- Non-Commercial capacity MW:** New resources and generator improvements that have acquired a CSO but have not become commercial.
- CSO Non Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Non-Gas Planned Outages is the total of Non Gas-fired Generator/DARD Outages for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Non Gas-fired Forced Outages.Outages.
- CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Planned Gas-fired generation outage for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Gas-fired Forced Outages.
- Unplanned Outage Allowance MW:** Forced Outages and Maintenance Outages scheduled less than 14 days in advance per ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 5 Appendix A.
- CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply MW:** Gas fired capacity expected to be at risk during cold weather conditions or gas pipeline maintenance outages.
- CSO Net Available Capacity MW:** the summation of columns (1+2+3+4-5-6-7-8=9)
- Peak Load Forecast MW:** Provided in the annual 2023 CELT Report and adjusted for Passive Demand Resources assumes Peak Load Exposure (PLE) and does include credit of Passive Demand Response (PDR) and behind-the-meter PV (BTM PV).
- Operating Reserve Requirement MW:** 120% of first largest contingency plus 50% of the second largest contingency.
- CSO Net Required Capacity MW:** (Net Load Obligation) (10+11=12)
- CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW:** CSO Net Available Capacity MW minus CSO Net Required Capacity MW (9-12=13)
- Operable Capacity Season Label:** Applicable season and year.
- Season Minimum Operable Capacity Flag:** this column indicates whether or not a week has the lowest capacity margin for its applicable season.

Winter 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

90/10 Forecast

ISO-NE OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS

February 27, 2024 - 90/10 FORECAST using CSO MW

This analysis is a tabulation of weekly assessments shown in one single table. The information shows the operable capacity situation under assumed conditions for each week. It is not expected that the system peak will occur every week in March.

Report created: 2/27/2024

Study Week (Week Beginning , Saturday)	CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW	CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW	External Node Capacity MW	Non-Commercial Capacity MW	CSO Non Gas- Only Generator Planned Outages MW	CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW	Unplanned Outages Allowance MW	CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply 90- 10PLE MW	CSO Net Available Capacity MW	Peak Load Forecast 90- 10PLE MW	Operating Reserve Requirement MW	CSO Net Required Capacity MW	CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW	Season Min Opcap Margin Flag	Season_Label
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3/16/2024	28488	361	1062	16	892	658	2200	0	26177	18285	2305	20590	5587	N	Winter 2023/2024
3/23/2024	28488	361	1062	16	2126	1519	2200	0	24082	17705	2305	20010	4072	N	Winter 2023/2024
3/30/2024	28399	395	941	17	2312	1629	2700	0	23111	17014	2305	19319	3792	Y	Winter 2023/2024

Column Definitions

- CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW:** Summation of all resource Capacity supply Obligations (CSO). Does not include Settlement Only Generators (SOG).
- CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW:** Demand resources known as Real-Time Demand Response (RTDR) will become Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) and can participate in the Forward Capacity market (FCM). These resources will have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.
- External Node Capacity MW:** Sum of external Capacity Supply Obligations (CSO) imports and exports.
- Non-Commercial capacity MW:** New resources and generator improvements that have acquired a CSO but have not become commercial.
- CSO Non Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Non-Gas Planned Outages is the total of Non Gas-fired Generator/DARD Outages for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Non Gas-fired Forced Outages.Outages.
- CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Planned Gas-fired generation outage for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Gas-fired Forced Outages.
- Unplanned Outage Allowance MW:** Forced Outages and Maintenance Outages scheduled less than 14 days in advance per ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 5 Appendix A.
- CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply MW:** Gas fired capacity expected to be at risk during cold weather conditions or gas pipeline maintenance outages.
- CSO Net Available Capacity MW:** the summation of columns (1+2+3+4-5-6-7-8=9)
- Peak Load Forecast MW:** Provided in the annual 2023 CELT Report and adjusted for Passive Demand Resources assumes Peak Load Exposure (PLE) and does include credit of Passive Demand Response (PDR) and behind-the-meter PV (BTM PV).
- Operating Reserve Requirement MW:** 120% of first largest contingency plus 50% of the second largest contingency.
- CSO Net Required Capacity MW:** (Net Load Obligation) (10+11=12)
- CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW:** CSO Net Available Capacity MW minus CSO Net Required Capacity MW (9-12=13)
- Operable Capacity Season Label:** Applicable season and year.
- Season Minimum Operable Capacity Flag:** this column indicates whether or not a week has the lowest capacity margin for its applicable season.

*Highlighted week is based on the week determined by the 50/50 Load Forecast Reference week

OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Spring 2024 Analysis



Spring 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

50/50 Load Forecast (Reference)	May - 2024 ² CSO (MW)	May - 2024 ² SCC (MW)
Operable Capacity MW ¹	28,247	31,733
Active Demand Capacity Resource (+) ⁵	512	344
External Node Available Net Capacity, CSO imports minus firm capacity exports (+)	894	894
Non Commercial Capacity (+)	199	199
Non Gas-fired Planned Outage MW (-)	3,140	3,754
Gas Generator Outages MW (-)	1,870	2,283
Allowance for Unplanned Outages (-) ⁴	3,400	3,400
Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply (-) ³	0	0
Net Capacity (NET OPCAP SUPPLY MW)	21,442	23,733
Peak Load Forecast MW (adjusted for Other Demand Resources) ²	18,945	18,945
Operating Reserve Requirement MW	2,305	2,305
Operable Capacity Required (NET LOAD OBLIGATION MW)	21,250	21,250
Operable Capacity Margin	192	2,483

¹Operable Capacity is based on data as of **February 27, 2024** and does not include Capacity associated with Settlement Only Generators, Passive and Active Demand Response, and external capacity. The Capacity Supply Obligation (CSO) and Seasonal Claim Capability (SCC) values are based on data as of **February 27, 2024**.

² Load forecast that is based on the 2023 CELT report and represents the week with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin, week beginning **May 11, 2024**.

³ Total of (Gas at Risk MW) – (Gas Gen Outages MW).

⁴ Allowance For Unplanned Outage MW is based on the month corresponding to the day with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin for the week.

⁵ Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) can participate in the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.

Spring 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

90/10 Load Forecast	May - 2024 ² CSO (MW)	May - 2024 ² SCC (MW)
Operable Capacity MW ¹	28,247	31,733
Active Demand Capacity Resource (+) ⁵	512	344
External Node Available Net Capacity, CSO imports minus firm capacity exports (+)	894	894
Non Commercial Capacity (+)	199	199
Non Gas-fired Planned Outage MW (-)	3,140	3,754
Gas Generator Outages MW (-)	1,870	2,283
Allowance for Unplanned Outages (-) ⁴	3,400	3,400
Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply (-) ³	0	0
Net Capacity (NET OPCAP SUPPLY MW)	21,442	23,733
Peak Load Forecast MW (adjusted for Other Demand Resources) ²	20,388	20,388
Operating Reserve Requirement MW	2,305	2,305
Operable Capacity Required (NET LOAD OBLIGATION MW)	22,693	22,693
Operable Capacity Margin	-1,251	1,040

¹ Operable Capacity is based on data as of **February 27, 2024** and does not include Capacity associated with Settlement Only Generators, Passive and Active Demand Response, and external capacity. The Capacity Supply Obligation (CSO) and Seasonal Claim Capability (SCC) values are based on data as of **February 27, 2024**.

² Load forecast that is based on the 2023 CELT report and represents the week with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin, week beginning **May 11, 2024**.

³ Total of (Gas at Risk MW) – (Gas Gen Outages MW).

⁴ Allowance For Unplanned Outage MW is based on the month corresponding to the day with the lowest Operable Capacity Margin for the week.

⁵ Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) can participate in the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.

Spring 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

50/50 Forecast (Reference)

ISO-NE OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS

February 27, 2024 - 50-50 FORECAST using CSO MW

This analysis is a tabulation of weekly assessments shown in one single table. The information shows the operable capacity situation under assumed conditions for each week. It is not expected that the system peak will occur every week in April & May.

Report created: 2/27/2024

Study Week (Week Beginning , Saturday)	CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW	CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW	External Node Capacity MW	Non-Commercial Capacity MW	CSO Non Gas- Only Generator Planned Outages MW	CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW	Unplanned Outages Allowance MW	CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply 50- 50PLE MW	CSO Net Available Capacity MW	Peak Load Forecast 50- 50PLE MW	Operating Reserve Requirement MW	CSO Net Required Capacity MW	CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW	Season Min Opcap Margin Flag	Season_Label
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
4/6/2024	28399	395	941	17	2766	3079	2700	0	21207	16130	2305	18435	2772	N	Spring 2024
4/13/2024	28399	395	941	17	3388	3153	2700	0	20511	15625	2305	17930	2581	N	Spring 2024
4/20/2024	28399	395	877	17	3587	2614	2700	0	20787	15362	2305	17667	3120	N	Spring 2024
4/27/2024	28247	512	894	199	3747	1719	3400	0	20986	15336	2305	17641	3345	N	Spring 2024
5/4/2024	28247	512	894	199	3006	2800	3400	0	20646	17972	2305	20277	369	N	Spring 2024
5/11/2024	28247	512	894	199	3140	1870	3400	0	21442	18945	2305	21250	192	Y	Spring 2024
5/18/2024	28247	512	894	199	1672	987	3400	0	23793	19849	2305	22154	1639	N	Spring 2024
5/25/2024	28247	512	894	199	1556	313	3400	0	24583	20841	2305	23146	1437	N	Spring 2024

Column Definitions

- CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW:** Summation of all resource Capacity supply Obligations (CSO). Does not include Settlement Only Generators (SOG).
- CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW:** Demand resources known as Real-Time Demand Response (RTDR) will become Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) and can participate in the Forward Capacity market (FCM). These resources will have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.
- External Node Capacity MW:** Sum of external Capacity Supply Obligations (CSO) imports and exports.
- Non-Commercial capacity MW:** New resources and generator improvements that have acquired a CSO but have not become commercial.
- CSO Non Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Non-Gas Planned Outages is the total of Non Gas-fired Generator/DARD Outages for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Non Gas-fired Forced Outages.Outages.
- CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Planned Gas-fired generation outage for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Gas-fired Forced Outages.
- Unplanned Outage Allowance MW:** Forced Outages and Maintenance Outages scheduled less than 14 days in advance per ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 5 Appendix A.
- CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply Mw:** Gas fired capacity expected to be at risk during cold weather conditions or gas pipeline maintenance outages.
- CSO Net Available Capacity MW:** the summation of columns (1+2+3+4-5-6-7-8=9)
- Peak Load Forecast MW:** Provided in the annual 2023 CELT Report and adjusted for Passive Demand Resources assumes Peak Load Exposure (PLE) and does include credit of Passive Demand Response (PDR) and behind-the-meter PV (BTM PV).
- Operating Reserve Requirement MW:** 120% of first largest contingency plus 50% of the second largest contingency.
- CSO Net Required Capacity MW:** (Net Load Obligation) (10-11=12)
- CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW:** CSO Net Available Capacity MW minus CSO Net Required Capacity MW (9-12=13)
- Operable Capacity Season Label:** Applicable season and year.
- Season Minimum Operable Capacity Flag:** this column indicates whether or not a week has the lowest capacity margin for its applicable season.

Spring 2024 Operable Capacity Analysis

90/10 Forecast

ISO-NE OPERABLE CAPACITY ANALYSIS February 27, 2024 - 90/10 FORECAST using CSO MW

This analysis is a tabulation of weekly assessments shown in one single table. The information shows the operable capacity situation under assumed conditions for each week. It is not expected that the system peak will occur every week in April & May.

Report created: 2/27/2024

Study Week (Week Beginning , Saturday)	CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW	CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW	External Node Capacity MW	Non-Commercial Capacity MW	CSO Non-Gas- Only Generator Planned Outages MW	CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW	Unplanned Outages Allowance MW	CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply 90- 10PLE MW	CSO Net Available Capacity MW	Peak Load Forecast 90- 10PLE MW	Operating Reserve Requirement MW	CSO Net Required Capacity MW	CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW	Season Min Opcap Margin Flag	Season_Label
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
4/6/2024	28399	395	941	17	2766	3079	2700	0	21207	16756	2305	19061	2146	N	Spring 2024
4/13/2024	28399	395	941	17	3388	3153	2700	0	20511	16233	2305	18538	1973	N	Spring 2024
4/20/2024	28399	395	877	17	3587	2614	2700	0	20787	15962	2305	18267	2520	N	Spring 2024
4/27/2024	28247	512	894	199	3747	1719	3400	0	20986	15934	2305	18239	2747	N	Spring 2024
5/4/2024	28247	512	894	199	3006	2800	3400	0	20646	19351	2305	21656	-1010	N	Spring 2024
5/11/2024	28247	512	894	199	3140	1870	3400	0	21442	20388	2305	22693	-1251	Y	Spring 2024
5/18/2024	28247	512	894	199	1672	987	3400	0	23793	21351	2305	23656	137	N	Spring 2024
5/25/2024	28247	512	894	199	1556	313	3400	0	24583	22409	2305	24714	-131	N	Spring 2024

Column Definitions

- CSO Supply Resource Capacity MW:** Summation of all resource Capacity supply Obligations (CSO). Does not include Settlement Only Generators (SOG).
- CSO Demand Resource Capacity MW:** Demand resources known as Real-Time Demand Response (RTDR) will become Active Demand Capacity Resources (ADCRs) and can participate in the Forward Capacity market (FCM). These resources will have the ability to obtain a CSO and also participate in the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets.
- External Node Capacity MW:** Sum of external Capacity Supply Obligations (CSO) imports and exports.
- Non-Commercial capacity MW:** New resources and generator improvements that have acquired a CSO but have not become commercial.
- CSO Non Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Non-Gas Planned Outages is the total of Non Gas-fired Generator/DARD Outages for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Non Gas-fired Forced Outages.Outages.
- CSO Gas-Only Generator Planned Outages MW:** All Planned Gas-fired generation outage for the period. This value would also include any known long-term Gas-fired Forced Outages.
- Unplanned Outage Allowance MW:** Forced Outages and Maintenance Outages scheduled less than 14 days in advance per ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 5 Appendix A.
- CSO Generation at Risk Due to Gas Supply MW:** Gas fired capacity expected to be at risk during cold weather conditions or gas pipeline maintenance outages.
- CSO Net Available Capacity MW:** the summation of columns (1+2+3+4-5-6-7-8=9)
- Peak Load Forecast MW:** Provided in the annual 2023 CELT Report and adjusted for Passive Demand Resources assumes Peak Load Exposure (PLE) and does include credit of Passive Demand Response (PDR) and behind-the-meter PV (BTM PV).
- Operating Reserve Requirement MW:** 120% of first largest contingency plus 50% of the second largest contingency.
- CSO Net Required Capacity MW:** (Net Load Obligation) (10+11=12)
- CSO Operable Capacity Margin MW:** CSO Net Available Capacity MW minus CSO Net Required Capacity MW (9-12=13)
- Operable Capacity Season Label:** Applicable season and year.
- Season Minimum Operable Capacity Flag:** this column indicates whether or not a week has the lowest capacity margin for its applicable season.

*Highlighted week is based on the week determined by the 50/50 Load Forecast Reference week

Possible Relief Under OP4: Appendix A

OP 4 Action Number	Page 1 of 2 Action Description	Amount Assumed Obtainable Under OP 4 (MW)
1	Implement Power Caution and advise Resources with a CSO to prepare to provide capacity and notify “Settlement Only” generators with a CSO to monitor reserve pricing to meet those obligations. Begin to allow the depletion of 30-minute reserve.	0 ¹ 600
2	Declare Energy Emergency Alert (EEA) Level 1 ⁴	0
3	Voluntary Load Curtailment of Market Participants’ facilities.	40 ²
4	Implement Power Watch	0
5	Schedule Emergency Energy Transactions and arrange to purchase Control Area-to-Control Area Emergency	1,000
6	Voltage Reduction requiring > 10 minutes	125 ³

NOTES:

1. Based on Summer Ratings. Assumes 25% of total MW Settlement Only resources <5 MW will be available and respond.
2. The actual load relief obtained is highly dependent on circumstances surrounding the appeals, including timing and the amount of advanced notice that can be given.
3. The MW values are based on a 25,000 MW system load and verified by the most recent voltage reduction test.
4. EEA Levels are described in Attachment 1 to NERC Reliability Standard EOP-011 - Emergency Operations



Possible Relief Under OP4: Appendix A

OP 4 Action Number	Page 2 of 2 Action Description	Amount Assumed Obtainable Under OP 4 (MW)
7	Request generating resources not subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation to voluntarily provide energy for reliability purposes	0
8	5% Voltage Reduction requiring 10 minutes or less	250 ³
9	Transmission Customer Generation Not Contractually Available to Market Participants during a Capacity Deficiency. Voluntary Load Curtailment by Large Industrial and Commercial Customers.	5 200 ²
10	Radio and TV Appeals for Voluntary Load Curtailment Implement Power Warning	200 ²
11	Request State Governors to Reinforce Power Warning Appeals.	100 ²
Total		2,520

NOTES:

1. Based on Summer Ratings. Assumes 25% of total MW Settlement Only resources <5 MW will be available and respond.
2. The actual load relief obtained is highly dependent on circumstances surrounding the appeals, including timing and the amount of advanced notice that can be given.
3. The MW values are based on a 25,000 MW system load and verified by the most recent voltage reduction test.
4. EEA Levels are described in Attachment 1 to NERC Reliability Standard EOP-011 - Emergency Operations



New England Winter Review 2023/2024



Vamsi Chadalavada

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER



Highlights

- The weather of Winter 2023/2024 was mild and reliable operation was maintained without use of capacity deficiency or energy emergency procedures
- The New England generation fleet and transmission system performed well overall
- The New England average winter temperature departure from normal of +4.9°F was consistent with NOAA's seasonal outlook of above normal temperatures
- No reductions in natural gas availability were experienced; LNG and fuel oil supplies were adequate with fuel oil inventories ending the winter ~5M gallons above starting inventories
- One Inventoried Energy Program day occurred this winter, on January 20
- Surplus generating capacity was available throughout the winter




Winter Preparations

- IEP and the Mystic Cost-of-Service were both in effect for Winter 2023/2024
- ISO staff met with industry and governmental officials to review seasonal expectations including capacity, energy, and demand forecasts and communication protocols
- ISO System Operations staff hosted a WebEx Generator Winter Readiness Seminar with Market Participants on October 31, 2023
- Annual Winter Generator Readiness Survey was distributed to all generating resources in the region
- Annual Natural Gas Critical Infrastructure Survey process was completed in order to ensure critical infrastructure is not part of automatic or manual load shed schemes
- Dual fuel audits of ~30 generators totaling ~6,500 MW of capacity were completed ahead of winter
- Generator Fuel and Emissions Surveys and 21-Day Energy Assessments were performed weekly throughout the winter season




Winter Temperatures Were Above Normal



- Temperatures were above normal, averaging +6.1°F, +4.0°F, and +4.5°F in Dec, Jan, and Feb, respectively
- Daily above normal temperatures dominated the winter season; 22 days averaged $\geq 10^\circ\text{F}$ above normal
- January 15-22 was the only notable cold stretch this winter; temperatures averaged 5.2°F below normal during this eight-day period
- The single coldest day of the season occurred on January 20 when temperatures averaged 11.1°F below normal

Winter 2023/2024 Temperatures Were 4.9°F Above Normal

Winter 2023-24 (Dec-Jan-Feb) Temperature Departure from Normal 4.9°F




Coldest stretch of season
Eight day average 5.2°F below normal

Across The Region Total Precipitation Was 5.7" Above Normal; Snowfall Amounts Were Below Normal

- Boston

- Total precipitation was 1.7" above normal
- 9.7" of snowfall recorded; 28.4" below normal


Accumulated Precipitation – Boston, MA



- Hartford


- Total precipitation was 7.8" above normal; a **new record**
- 24.0" of snowfall recorded; 15.1" below normal

Accumulated Precipitation – Hartford, CT




Winter Peak Load and Total Energy Demand


- Total winter energy demand was ~29.7 TWh
 - Average winter energy demand since 2010 is ~31.5 TWh
- Telemetered winter peak load was 18,299 MW on January 17
 - Forecasted 50/50 peak load was 20,269 MW



Winter 2023/2024 Energy Sources, by Month




Winter 2023/2024 Energy Sources, by Day



Winter 2023/2024 Energy Sources, by Day

Renewables Only




Fuel Oil Inventories Were Adequate and Ended Slightly Higher Than Starting Inventories

Fuel Oil Usable Inventory: Last 12 Months

Based on OP-21 generator surveys received from market participants

Percentages indicate inventory as % of maximum

..... RFO + DFO max. capacity Residual (RFO) max. capacity Distillate (DFO) max. capacity
 — RFO + DFO inventory — Residual (RFO) inventory — Distillate (DFO) inventory




ISO-NE PUBLIC

Source: ISO New England

Natural Gas Demand – Winter 2023/2024

- LNG sendout to the pipelines this winter was ~11.1 Bcf, up from ~4.2 Bcf in Winter 2022/2023; still, sendout to the pipelines was the second lowest since Winter 2008/2009



Winter 2023/2024 Wholesale Market Summary


- December
 - Average RT Hub LMP: **\$37.15/MWh** (down 69% from prior December)
 - Average natural gas price: **\$3.21/MMBtu** (down 77% from prior December)
 - Temperatures averaged 40°F, ~4°F warmer than both the prior year and the average December month
 - RT Loads* averaged ~13,200 MW, the lowest December loads since SMD (by ~400 MW)
- January
 - Average RT Hub LMP: **\$63.67/MWh** (up ~26% from prior January)
 - Average natural gas price: **\$7.68/MMBtu** (up ~62% from prior January)
 - Temperatures averaged 32°F, ~5°F colder than the prior January, which was unseasonably warm
 - RT Loads averaged ~14,370 MW, ~900 MW higher than the prior January
- February**
 - Average RT Hub LMP: **\$31.75/MWh** (down ~51% from prior February)
 - Average natural gas price: **\$3.52/MMBtu** (down ~57% from prior February)
 - Temperatures averaged 35°F, comparable to the prior February
 - RT Loads averaged ~13,170 MW, the lowest February loads since SMD (by ~170 MW)

Note: Natural gas prices reflect the trade weighted average of natural gas price locations in Massachusetts

*RT Loads reflect telemetered values

** February 2024 includes data through February 28

Hourly Real-Time LMPs, Winter 2023/2024



Comparison of Recent Winter Wholesale Energy Market Revenues

- The table below shows a comparison of Energy Market Revenues¹ for seven of the past 10 winters, in millions of dollars

Winter	December	January	February	Total	Rank ²
2013/14	\$1,161	\$2,190	\$1,703	\$5,054	1
2014/15	\$498	\$871	\$1,400	\$2,769	6
2017/18	\$856	\$1,340	\$401	\$2,597	8
2019/20	\$468	\$297	\$233	\$998	20
2020/21	\$450	\$489	\$759	\$1,698	15
2021/22	\$720	\$1,792	\$1,216	\$3,728	2
2022/23	\$1,328*	\$552	\$749	\$2,640	7
2023/24	\$415	\$838	\$364	\$1,617**	16

1 Energy market revenues are driven by day-ahead load and pricing dynamics, since most obligations are settled day-ahead

2 Since the beginning of Standard Market Design in March 2003

* December 2022 value (\$1.33B) was highest for a December month since SMD primarily due to elevated natural gas prices

** February 2024 includes data through February 28



Winter 2024/2025 Look Ahead

- ISO plans to assess the region's winter energy shortfall risk in advance of Winter 2024/2025 using the Probabilistic Energy Adequacy Tool (PEAT)
- The Regional Energy Shortfall Threshold (REST) remains under development and ISO does not anticipate that the REST will be finalized prior to the start of next winter
- Winter weather forecasts will continue to be a critical factor for the operational outlook and will be closely monitored
- ISO is planning communications similar to last year with the states, utilities, resource owners, and the general public

MEMORANDUM

TO: NEPOOL Participants Committee Members and Alternates

FROM: Eric Runge and Margaret Czepiel, NEPOOL Counsel

DATE: February 29, 2024

RE: Order 2023 Revisions to the ISO New England Tariff

At the March 7, 2024 Participants Committee meeting, you will be asked to consider the ISO's proposed package of revisions to the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff ("Tariff") in response to the requirements of FERC's Order No. 2023 (collectively, the "Order 2023 Revisions").¹

As discussed further herein, the Order 2023 Revisions have thus far been considered and acted on in two parts – one part, the majority of the Revisions subject to the purview of and voted by the Transmission Committee (the "OATT Revisions")² and the other part, Revisions subject to the purview of and voted by the Markets Committee (the "Market Rule Revisions").³ At the February 15, 2024 Transmission Committee ("TC") meeting, the vote on the ISO's proposed OATT Revisions, as well as the votes on six related Participant-proposed amendments, all failed to receive the requisite 66.67% threshold needed to obtain TC support.⁴ The Market Rule Revisions, however, were recommended for Participants Committee support by the Markets Committee ("MC") at its February 7, 2024 meeting.

This memorandum provides background on FERC Order 2023, an overview of the proposed Order 2023 Revisions, and further detail on the actions of the TC and MC in considering the proposals. Additionally, we provide a brief summary of the material developments and changes to the Revisions made since the TC vote in response to stakeholder feedback received, including in connection with the Participant-sponsored amendments considered.

¹ The Order 2023 Revisions include revisions to Sections I, II and III of the Tariff, as described further below.

² The "OATT Revisions" include revisions to Tariff Sections I.2.2 (Definitions), II.19 (Study Procedures For Regional Network Service Requests), II.34 (Study Procedures For Through or Out Service Requests), II.48 (Interconnection Service Capabilities), Attachment K (Regional System Planning Process), and Schedules 11, 22, 23, and 25 to the Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT").

³ The "Market Rule Revisions" include revisions to Order 2023-related revisions to Section III.13 (Forward Capacity Market-related rules) and Section I.2.2 (Definitions).

⁴ The unamended ISO proposal received a vote of 56.49% in support. Details of each of the Order 2023 votes at the TC's February 15 meeting are provided in [Appendix 1](#) to this memorandum.

FERC ORDER 2023

By way of brief background, on July 28, 2023, the FERC issued Order 2023, which requires major reforms to the procedures and agreements used by all jurisdictional transmission providers to interconnect new large generating facilities (i.e., larger than 20 MW, and including energy storage).⁵ Order 2023 also requires some changes to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures. As a transmission provider, the ISO is required to make a compliance filing in response to Order 2023 by April 3, 2024. The ISO plans to file on April 1, together with the Participating Transmission Owners.⁶

Order 2023 requires interconnection reforms to address interconnection queue delays, backlogs, inefficiencies, the advent of new technologies, and to expedite the clean energy transition. The reforms fall generally into three main categories, including reforms to: (1) implement a first-ready, first-served cluster study process; (2) increase the speed of interconnection queue processing; and (3) incorporate technological advancements into the interconnection process. The significant change required by FERC Order 2023 is to discontinue the serial first-come, first served interconnection process and move all interconnection requests (except for a small category to be addressed during a transition period) to a first-ready, first served cluster study process, with readiness deposit requirements, withdrawal penalties and revised cost allocation methods.⁷ Appendix 2 to this memorandum lists some of the key reforms required under Order 2023.

PROPOSED ORDER 2023 TARIFF REVISIONS

The ISO's compliance proposal includes adoption of most of the specific *pro forma* changes required by Order 2023, together with other changes to recognize the existence of certain features of the ISO interconnection process that already exist. These features include an existing cluster process to address cases where cluster enabling transmission is required, integration of the interconnection process with Forward Capacity Market participation, and a unified treatment of all ISO interconnection requests, including those for Small Generators and Elective Transmission Upgrades (addressed under Schedule 23 and Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff, respectively).⁸

Almost all of the proposed Order 2023 Tariff revisions are contained in Section II of the Tariff, except for some revisions to the Tariff Definitions in Section I.2.2 and limited

⁵ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, Order No. 2023, 184 FERC ¶ 61,054 (July 28, 2023) ("Order 2023"). Order 2023 can be accessed [here](#).

⁶ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, Order on Motions and Addressing Limited Arguments Raised on Rehearing and Setting Aside Prior Order, in Part, 185 FERC ¶ 61,063 (2023). See NEPOOL Counsel [Memorandum](#) and [Presentation](#) on Order 2023 for a full summary of the reforms and new requirements of Order 2023.

⁷ In addition to the NEPOOL counsel memo and presentation linked above, further details on Order 2023 and its requirements were provided in a September 27, 2023 ISO presentation to the TC, available [here](#).

⁸ Given that the ISO's proposal goes beyond compliance to include some voluntary changes, we understand that the ISO will make simultaneous compliance and Federal Power Act Section 205 filings.

corresponding revisions to the construct for allocating Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service through the Forward Capacity Market rules in Section III.13. The Section II Tariff revisions include revisions to: (i) Schedules 22, 23 and 25 for Large Generator, Small Generator and Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnections; (ii) Schedule 11, related to cost allocation; (iii) Attachment K, related to regional system planning and revisions to the existing cluster process for ISO interconnections; (iv) Section 19, related to conforming changes to study procedures for Regional Service Requests; (v) Section 34, related to conforming changes to study procedures for Through or Out Service Requests; and Section 48, related to establishing interconnection service capabilities.

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION AND VOTES

The *Transmission Committee* considered and provided input on Order 2023 compliance over the course of eight meetings, beginning on August 22, 2023, and concluding with votes taken at the February 15, 2024 TC meeting. During that time, the committee reviewed and discussed approximately twenty-five potential Participant amendment proposals that eventually were reduced to six proposals voted by the TC as amendments to the ISO's proposal.⁹ ¹⁰

As noted earlier, and as described in further detail in Appendix 1 (*see below*), the Transmission Committee ultimately did not support the ISO's proposal or any of the Participant amendment proposals, though all but one of the proposals did each receive a vote outcome of at least 50% in favor.

The *Markets Committee* considered revisions to Tariff Sections I.2.2 and III.13 related to the construct for allocating Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service through the Forward Capacity Market. This MC review and input process occurred over the course of three meetings, beginning at the December 12-14, 2023 meeting and concluding with a vote at the February 6-8, 2024 meeting. As discussed below, the Markets Committee supported the ISO's proposed revisions to Market Rule 1 and Section 1.2.2 of the Tariff with a few abstentions but no opposition.

DEVELOPMENTS & CHANGES SINCE FEB 15 TRANSMISSION COMMITTEE VOTES

Since the February 15 TC meeting, the ISO, taking into account stakeholder feedback received, including from the Participant proponents of the amendments offered at the TC, and in further assessment of its compliance approach, decided to adopt changes to its Order 2023 proposal based on key elements of some of the Participant-sponsored amendments. Included with the Supplemental Notice package is a memorandum from Alan McBride, ISO's Executive Director of Transmission Services & Resource Qualification (dated February 29, 2024) that provides additional explanation regarding the ISO's updated Order 2023 proposal. Also included with

⁹ During the TC review process, the ISO responded substantively to the Participant-sponsored proposals and adopted several of them or addressed the concerns that gave rise to some of the proposals.

¹⁰ At the February 15 TC meeting, the ISO provided substantive feedback on the remaining Participant amendments in a presentation available [here](#).

materials for this item is a set of updated Tariff redlines reflecting the changes made by ISO since the February 15 TC meeting and votes.

As of the date of this memo, we understand that five of the six amendments considered by the TC have been resolved, with the ISO incorporating into its updated Order 2023 Revisions some form of four of the amendments, and one of the proposals being dropped by the proponent.¹¹ Specifically, TC Amendment nos. 2, 3, 5 and 6 have been resolved through ISO's adoption of some form of them, and TC Amendment 4 has been dropped. Subject to confirmation, TC Amendment 1 also does not appear to be moving forward for any further NEPOOL action.

At this time, we are not aware of any other amendments that may be offered for Participants Committee consideration but will update the Committee if that changes prior to the March 7 meeting.

MAR 7, 2024 PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE ACTION

The Participants Committee will be asked to consider supporting a package of Order 2023 changes, consisting of: (1) an updated set of ISO-proposed revisions to the OATT (as described and reflected in ISO's Feb. 29, 2024 memorandum and accompanying Tariff sheets circulated and posted with the Supplemental Notice); and (2) the Market Rule Revisions, as recommended by the Markets Committee. The OATT changes require a 66.67% Vote in favor to obtain affirmative NEPOOL support while the Market Rule Revisions require a 60.00% Vote in favor.

The following forms of resolution may be used for Participants Committee action on the Order 2023 Revisions, and may be voted together (absent objection) or voted individually:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Order 2023 OATT Revisions, as proposed by the ISO, and as reflected in the materials distributed to the Participants Committee in advance of this meeting, together with [any changes agreed to by the Participants Committee at this meeting and] such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Transmission Committee.

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the Order 2023 Market Rule Revisions, as recommended by the Markets Committee, and as reflected in the materials distributed to the Participants Committee in advance of this meeting, together with [any changes agreed to by the Participants Committee at this meeting and] such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Markets Committee.

¹¹ The six Participant amendments that were voted on by the TC are listed in [Appendix 1](#).

APPENDIX 1: TECHNICAL COMMITTEE VOTES

Transmission Committee

ISO's Unamended Main Motion: Order 2023 Compliance Proposal revisions to Tariff Sections I.2, II.19, II.34, II.48, Attachment K, Schedule 11, Schedule 22, Schedule 23, and Schedule 25.

Failed to pass with a vote of **56.49%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (3.76% in favor, 12.94% opposed, 3 abstentions), Transmission (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 0 abstentions), Supplier (10.44% in favor, 6.26% opposed, 2 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 0 abstentions), Alternative Resources ("AR") (2.48% in favor, 14.03% opposed, 4 abstentions), and End User (6.42% in favor, 10.28% opposed, 1 abstention).

- (1) Glenvale Solar CRD Amendment:** Specify dollar amount in Tariff and reduce Commercial Readiness Deposit ("CRD") for Large Generator Interconnection Requests and Small Generator Interconnection Requests. Revisions to Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of the Tariff.

Failed to pass with a vote of **39.24%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (10.15% in favor, 6.55% opposed, 4 abstentions), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 1 abstention), Supplier (8.35% in favor, 8.35% opposed, 8 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 46 abstentions), AR (11.38% in favor, 5.12% opposed, 5 abstentions), and End User (9.54% in favor, 7.16% opposed, 8 abstentions).

- (2) Advanced Energy United Working Group Amendment:** Establish an interconnection reforms working group and reporting requirements to reduce interconnection timelines. Revisions to Schedule 22 Section 3.5.2.1 and parallel revisions to Schedule 23.

Failed to pass with a vote of **58.93%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (14.84% in favor, 1.86% opposed, 0 abstentions), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 2 abstentions), Supplier (13.36% in favor, 3.34% opposed, 7 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% not in favor, 48 abstentions), AR (14.03% in favor, 2.48% opposed, 5 abstentions), and End User (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 1 abstention).

- (3) RENEW Northeast As-Available Capacity Amendment:** Create a new as-available capacity interconnection request option where the customer indicates that it requests capacity interconnection service only to the extent that it can do so without requiring incremental network upgrades. Revisions to Schedules 22, 23 and 25.

Failed to pass with a vote of **57.31%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (12.73% in favor, 3.97% opposed, 1 abstentions), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 0 abstentions), Supplier (13.36% in favor, 3.34% opposed, 7 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% not in favor, 48 abstentions), AR (14.52% in favor, 1.98% opposed, 3 abstentions), and End User (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 6 abstentions).

- (4) RENEW Northeast Study Costs Amendment:** Allocate study costs separately for Network Resource Interconnection Service (“NRIS”) and Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (“CNRIS”) portions of the cluster study. Revisions to Schedules 22, 23 and 25.

Failed to pass with a vote of **57.31%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (12.73% in favor, 3.97% opposed, 1 abstention), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 1 abstention), Supplier (13.36% in favor, 3.34% opposed, 7 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% not in favor, 48 abstentions), AR (14.52% in favor, 1.98% opposed, 3 abstentions), and End User (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 6 abstentions).

- (5) Advanced Energy United & RENEW Northeast Joint Size Reduction Amendment:** Provide a single, limited opportunity after the cluster study report meeting for eligible interconnection customers to reduce project size to avoid network upgrades if the size reduction request is non-material. Revisions to Schedules 22, 23 and 25.

Failed to pass with a vote of **57.31%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (12.73% in favor, 3.97% opposed, 1 abstention), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 4 abstentions), Supplier (13.36% in favor, 3.34% opposed, 7 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% not in favor, 48 abstentions), AR (14.52% in favor, 1.98% opposed, 3 abstentions), and End User (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 2 abstentions).

- (6) New Leaf SIS Amendment:** Allow the continuation of late-stage serial System Impact Studies until the transitional studies under the Order 2023 process begin. Revisions to Schedules 22, 23 and 25 to add a new Section 5.2.

Failed to pass with a vote of **66.60%** in favor. The individual Sector votes were Generation (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 3 abstentions), Transmission (0.00% in favor, 16.70% opposed, 1 abstention), Supplier (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 8 abstentions), Publicly Owned Entity (0.00% in favor, 16.70% not in favor, 48 abstentions), AR (16.50% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 4 abstentions), and End User (16.70% in favor, 0.00% opposed, 2 abstentions).

Markets Committee

ISO’s Main Motion: Revisions to Market Rule 1 and Section 1.2.2 of the Tariff associated with moving the qualification analysis to Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of the Open Access Transmission Tariff including elimination of the Conditional Qualification and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment.

Approved by a show of hands vote with one abstention in the AR Sector, one abstention in the End User Sector, and one abstention in the Supplier Sector.

APPENDIX 2: ORDER 2023 KEY REFORMS

Order 2023 reforms the interconnection process to ensure that interconnection customers are able to interconnect in a reliable, efficient, transparent and timely manner. Among its many reforms, Order 2023:

- Requires Transmission Providers to transition to a first-ready first-served cluster study process from the serial first-come, first-served study process.
- Requires Transmission Providers to implement a transition mechanism for interconnection customers that meet eligibility requirements.
- Increases financial obligations and readiness requirements for interconnection customers looking to join, and proceed through, the interconnection queue. The increases in financial obligations and site control requirements are intended to discourage speculative interconnection requests.
- Establishes public interconnection information requirements, such as the use of a heat map, to provide better transparency about the viability of potential points of interconnection prior to entering the queue.
- Requires interconnection customers to demonstrate 90 percent site control at the time of the interconnection request and limits the ability to provide a deposit in lieu of site control.
- Imposes financial penalties for withdrawing from the queue, the costs of which increase in amount based on the stage at which the customer withdraws.
- Removes the “reasonable efforts” standard for Transmission Providers conducting interconnection studies and establishes firm study deadlines along with financial penalties on Transmission Providers that fails to meet them.
- Creates a uniform approach to Affected System coordination.
- Establishes requirements for including new technologies – including co-located resources and electric storage resources – in the interconnection process. Order 2023 allows co-location of multiple generating facilities behind a single Point of Interconnection.
- Increases the availability of surplus interconnection service by allowing interconnection customers to access surplus interconnection service once the original interconnection customer has an executed (or filed unexecuted) Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”).
- Requires Transmission Providers evaluate and consider alternative transmission technologies.
- Incorporates modeling and ride-through requirements for non-synchronous generating facilities.



memo

To: NEPOOL Participants Committee

From: Alan McBride, Executive Director, Transmission Services & Resource Qualification

Date: February 29, 2024

Subject: Participants Committee Updates Regarding ISO New England's Order No. 2023 Compliance Proposal

Since September of 2023, the ISO has been presenting and discussing its proposed approach to comply with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Order No. 2023. New England's Order 2023 compliance comprises a significant package of reforms that incorporates key aspects of our regional interconnection approach, as well as multiple Stakeholder-suggested enhancements. This memo describes additional updates to the proposed compliance since the February 15 Transmission Committee (TC) meeting. These updates adopt changes that are based upon Stakeholder-proposed amendments and questions at the TC. Importantly, each of these additions can be incorporated without adding to the overall timeframes or decreasing the efficiency of the new process.

"As-available" CNR Interconnection

An Interconnection Customer (IC) may specify in its Interconnection Request (IR) for Capacity Network Resource (CNR) Interconnection Service that the requested service be downgraded to Network Resource (NR) Interconnection Service under certain conditions. Specifically, in the event that the ISO identifies thermal violations¹ in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the preceding analysis associated with the NR Interconnection Service testing conditions, the downgrade will be permitted and will occur automatically. The ISO will notify the IC that the requested service has been downgraded to NR Interconnection Service, and list the violations identified in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report or Transitional Cluster Study Report.

One-Time Opportunity to Downsize Project

After the completion of a Cluster Study (not including the Transitional Cluster Study), if the ISO determines that a Cluster Restudy is required (because of the withdrawal of other projects), an IC of a remaining project may request a specific, one-time decrease in the size of the Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade for the restudy. Provided that the Cluster Study identified that the associated IR is

¹ For clarity, unchanged from the current practice and as described in [Planning Procedure 10](#), such a thermal violation could include a transfer above the interface transfer capability of the modeled intra-zonal stability or voltage-limited interface.

not responsible for any shared Network Upgrades with a Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in a separate IR, the restudy will proceed using the reduced facility size and such reduction will not constitute a Material Modification.

Completion of Late-Stage System Impact Studies

For ICs with assigned Queue Positions as of thirty Calendar Days after April 1, 2024, but for which System Impact Studies are projected to be completed between May 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024, the ISO will still tender the IC a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement. However, if the System Impact Study is complete and accepted by the IC by July 1, 2024, the IR would no longer proceed to the Transitional Cluster Study. Instead, the IC will be tendered an Interconnection Agreement pursuant to the applicable provisions in the respective Interconnection Procedures, and will be refunded any deposits (if any deposits had been submitted by that time) associated with participation in the Transitional Cluster Study.

Transitional CNR Group Study/Forward Capacity Market Participation (requested clarification)

Where an IR successfully participates in the Transitional CNR Group Study and then later obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation (CSO) in the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), the rules governing any termination of the Capacity Network Resource Capability will be governed by the relevant FCM rules (Critical Path Schedule monitoring).

The ISO is thankful for the Stakeholders' engagement and all of the input provided on our proposal to comply with Order No. 2023, and we are pleased that we have been able to work through so many issues with our Stakeholders. Together with the memo, the materials for the Participants Committee meeting will include the Tariff rules reflecting incremental changes made since the February TC meeting (highlighted in yellow). We are looking forward to presenting the New England proposal to FERC and implementing these important reforms.

I.2 Rules of Construction; Definitions

I.2.1 Rules of Construction:

In this Tariff, unless otherwise provided herein:

- (a) words denoting the singular include the plural and vice versa;
- (b) words denoting a gender include all genders;
- (c) references to a particular part, clause, section, paragraph, article, exhibit, schedule, appendix or other attachment shall be a reference to a part, clause, section, paragraph, or article of, or an exhibit, schedule, appendix or other attachment to, this Tariff;
- (d) the exhibits, schedules and appendices attached hereto are incorporated herein by reference and shall be construed with an as an integral part of this Tariff to the same extent as if they were set forth verbatim herein;
- (e) a reference to any statute, regulation, proclamation, ordinance or law includes all statutes, regulations, proclamations, amendments, ordinances or laws varying, consolidating or replacing the same from time to time, and a reference to a statute includes all regulations, policies, protocols, codes, proclamations and ordinances issued or otherwise applicable under that statute unless, in any such case, otherwise expressly provided in any such statute or in this Tariff;
- (f) a reference to a particular section, paragraph or other part of a particular statute shall be deemed to be a reference to any other section, paragraph or other part substituted therefor from time to time;
- (g) a definition of or reference to any document, instrument or agreement includes any amendment or supplement to, or restatement, replacement, modification or novation of, any such document, instrument or agreement unless otherwise specified in such definition or in the context in which such reference is used;
- (h) a reference to any person (as hereinafter defined) includes such person's successors and permitted assigns in that designated capacity;
- (i) any reference to "days" shall mean calendar days unless "Business Days" (as hereinafter defined) are expressly specified;
- (j) if the date as of which any right, option or election is exercisable, or the date upon which any amount is due and payable, is stated to be on a date or day that is not a Business Day, such right, option or election may be exercised, and such amount shall be deemed due and payable, on the next succeeding Business Day with the same effect as if the same was exercised or made on such date or day (without, in the case of any such payment, the payment or accrual of any interest or

other late payment or charge, provided such payment is made on such next succeeding Business Day);

- (k) words such as “hereunder,” “hereto,” “hereof” and “herein” and other words of similar import shall, unless the context requires otherwise, refer to this Tariff as a whole and not to any particular article, section, subsection, paragraph or clause hereof; and a reference to “include” or “including” means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term, and for purposes hereof the rule of *ejusdem generis* shall not be applicable to limit a general statement, followed by or referable to an enumeration of specific matters, to matters similar to those specifically mentioned.

I.2.2. Definitions:

In this Tariff, the terms listed in this section shall be defined as described below:

Active Demand Capacity Resource is one or more Demand Response Resources located within the same Dispatch Zone, that is registered with the ISO, assigned a unique resource identification number by the ISO, and participates in the Forward Capacity Market to fulfill a Market Participant’s Capacity Supply Obligation pursuant to Section III.13 of Market Rule 1.

Actual Capacity Provided is the measure of capacity provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition, as described in Section III.13.7.2.2 of Market Rule 1.

Actual Load is the consumption at the Retail Delivery Point for the hour.

Additional Resource Blackstart O&M Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Additional Resource Specified-Term Blackstart Capital Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Additional Resource Standard Blackstart Capital Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Administrative Costs are those costs incurred in connection with the review of Applications for transmission service and the carrying out of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies.

Administrative Export De-List Bid is a bid that may be submitted in a Forward Capacity Auction by certain Existing Generating Capacity Resources subject to a multi-year contract to sell capacity outside of the New England Control Area during the associated Capacity Commitment Period, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.4 of Market Rule 1.

ADR Neutrals are one or more firms or individuals identified by the ISO with the advice and consent of the Participants Committee that are prepared to act as neutrals in ADR proceedings under Appendix D to Market Rule 1.

Advance is defined in Section IV.A.3.2 of the Tariff.

Affected Party, for purposes of the ISO New England Billing Policy, is defined in Section 6.3.5 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Affiliate is any person or entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control by another person or entity. For purposes of this definition, "control" means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the authority to direct the management or policies of an entity. A voting interest of ten percent or more shall create a rebuttable presumption of control.

AGC is automatic generation control.

AGC SetPoint is the desired output signal for a Resource providing Regulation that is produced by the AGC system as frequently as every four seconds.

AGC SetPoint Deadband is a deadband expressed in megawatts that is applied to changing values of the AGC SetPoint for generating units.

Allocated Assessment is a Covered Entity's right to seek and obtain payment and recovery of its share in any shortfall payments under Section 3.3 or Section 3.4 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is the procedure set forth in Appendix D to Market Rule 1.

Alternative Technology Regulation Resource (ATRR) is one or more facilities capable of providing Regulation that have been registered in accordance with the Asset Registration Process. An Alternative Technology Regulation Resource is eligible to participate in the Regulation Market.

Ancillary Services are those services that are necessary to support the transmission of electric capacity and energy from resources to loads while maintaining reliable operation of the New England Transmission System in accordance with Good Utility Practice.

Announced Schedule 1 EA Amount, Announced Schedule 2 EA Amount, Announced Schedule 3 EA Amount are defined in Section IV.B.2.2 of the Tariff.

Annual Transmission Revenue Requirements are the annual revenue requirements of a PTO's PTF or of all PTOs' PTF for purposes of the OATT shall be the amount determined in accordance with Attachment F to the OATT.

Annual Reconfiguration Transaction is a bilateral transaction that may be used in accordance with Section III.13.5.4 of Market Rule 1 to specify a price when a Capacity Supply Obligation is transferred using supply offers and demand bids in Annual Reconfiguration Auctions.

Applicants, for the purposes of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy, are entities applying for Market Participant status or for transmission service from the ISO.

Application is a written request by an Eligible Customer for transmission service pursuant to the provisions of the OATT.

Asset is a Generator Asset, a Demand Response Asset, a component of an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, a Distributed Energy Resource participating as part of Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, a Load Asset (including an Asset Related Demand), an Alternative Technology Regulation Resource, or a Tie-Line Asset.

Asset Registration Process is the ISO business process for registering an Asset.

Asset Related Demand is a Load Asset that has been discretely modeled within the ISO's dispatch and settlement systems, settles at a Node, has been registered in accordance with the Asset Registration Process, and is made up of either: (1) one or more individual end-use metered customers receiving service from the same point or points of electrical supply with an aggregate average hourly load of 1 MW or greater during the 12 months preceding its registration; (2) a Storage DARD with a consumption capability of at least 0.1 MW; or (3) one or more storage facilities that are not Electric Storage Facilities with an aggregate consumption capability of at least 1 MW.

Asset Related Demand Bid Block-Hours are Block-Hours assigned to the Lead Market Participant for each Asset Related Demand bid. Blocks of the bid in effect for each hour will be totaled to determine the daily quantity of Asset Related Demand Bid Block-Hours. In the case that a Resource has a Real-Time unit status of "unavailable" for an entire day, that day will not contribute to the quantity of Asset Related Demand Bid Block-Hours. However, if the Resource has at least one hour of the day with a unit status of "available," the entire day will contribute to the quantity of Asset Related Demand Bid Block-Hours.

Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs are the net costs of an asset that is part of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, calculated for the asset in the same manner as the net costs of Existing Generating Capacity Resources as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1.1 (for an asset with a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid) or Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1.2 (for an asset with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid).

Assigned Meter Reader reports to the ISO the hourly and monthly MWh associated with the Asset. These MWh are used for settlement. The Assigned Meter Reader may designate an agent to help fulfill its Assigned Meter Reader responsibilities; however, the Assigned Meter Reader remains functionally responsible to the ISO.

Auction Revenue Right (ARR) is a right to receive FTR Auction Revenues in accordance with Appendix C of Market Rule 1.

Auction Revenue Right Allocation (ARR Allocation) is defined in Section 1 of Appendix C of Market Rule 1.

Auction Revenue Right Holder (ARR Holder) is an entity which is the record holder of an Auction Revenue Right (excluding an Incremental ARR) in the register maintained by the ISO.

Authorized Commission is defined in Section 3.3 of the ISO New England Information Policy.

Authorized Person is defined in Section 3.3 of the ISO New England Information Policy.

Automatic Response Rate is the response rate, in MW/Minute, at which a Market Participant is willing to have a Regulation Resource change its output or consumption while providing Regulation between the Regulation High Limit and Regulation Low Limit.

Available Energy is a value that reflects the MWhs of energy available from an Electric Storage Facility for economic dispatch.

Available Storage is a value that reflects the MWhs of unused storage available from an Electric Storage Facility for economic dispatch of consumption.

Average Hourly Load Reduction is either: (i) the sum of the On-Peak Demand Resource's electrical energy reduction during Demand Resource On-Peak Hours in the month divided by the number of Demand Resource On-Peak Hours in the month; or (ii) the sum of the Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's electrical energy reduction during Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours in the month divided by the number of Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours in the month. The On-Peak Demand Resource's or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's electrical energy reduction and Average Hourly Load Reduction shall be determined consistent with the resource's Measurement and Verification Plan, which shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure consistency with the measurement and verification requirements, as described in Section III.13.1.4.3 of Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

Average Hourly Output is either: (i) the sum of the On-Peak Demand Resource's electrical energy output during Demand Resource On-Peak Hours in the month divided by the number of Demand Resource On-Peak Hours in the month; or (ii) the sum of the Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's electrical energy output during Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours in the month divided by the number of Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours in the month. Electrical energy output and Average Hourly Output shall be determined consistent with the resource's Measurement and Verification Plan, which shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure consistency with the measurement and verification requirements, as described in Section III.13.1.4.3 of Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

Backstop Transmission Solution is a solution proposed: (i) to address a reliability or market efficiency need identified by the ISO in a Needs Assessment reported by the ISO pursuant to Section 4.1(i) of Attachment K to the ISO OATT, (ii) by the PTO or PTOs with an obligation under Schedule 3.09(a) of the TOA to address the identified need; and (iii) in circumstances in which the competitive solution process specified in Section 4.3 of Attachment K to the ISO OATT will be utilized.

Bankruptcy Code is the United States Bankruptcy Code.

Bankruptcy Event occurs when a Covered Entity files a voluntary or involuntary petition in bankruptcy or commences a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law concerning insolvency, reorganization or bankruptcy by or against such Covered Entity as debtor.

Benchmark Scenario is an Economic Study reference scenario that is described in Section 17.2(a) of Attachment K to the OATT.

Bilateral Contract (BC) is any of the following types of contracts: Internal Bilateral for Load, Internal Bilateral for Market for Energy, and External Transactions.

Bilateral Contract Block-Hours are Block-Hours assigned to the seller and purchaser of an Internal Bilateral for Load, Internal Bilateral for Market for Energy and External Transactions; provided, however, that only those contracts which apply to the Real-Time Energy Market will accrue Block-Hours.

Binary Storage DARD is a DARD that participates in the New England Markets as part of a Binary Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Binary Storage Facility is a type of Electric Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Blackstart Capability Test is the test, required by ISO New England Operating Documents, of a resource's capability to provide Blackstart Service.

Blackstart Capital Payment is the annual compensation, as calculated pursuant to Section 5.1, or as referred to in Section 5.2, of Schedule 16 to the OATT, for a Designated Blackstart Resource's Blackstart Equipment capital costs associated with the provision of Blackstart Service (excluding the capital costs

associated with compliance with NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards as part of Blackstart Service).

Blackstart Equipment is any equipment that is solely necessary to enable the Designated Blackstart Resource to provide Blackstart Service and is not required to provide other products or services under the Tariff.

Blackstart O&M Payment is the annual Blackstart O&M compensation calculated under either Section 5.1 or 5.2 of Schedule 16 of the OATT, as applicable.

Blackstart Owner is the Market Participant who is authorized on behalf of the Generator Owner(s) to offer or operate the resource as a Designated Blackstart Resource and is authorized to commit the resource to provide Blackstart Service.

Blackstart Service is the Ancillary Service described in Section II.47 of the Tariff and Schedule 16 of the OATT.

Blackstart Service Commitment is the commitment by a Blackstart Owner for its resource to provide Blackstart Service and the acceptance of that commitment by the ISO, in the manner detailed in ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 11 – Designated Blackstart Resource Administration (OP 11), and which includes a commitment to provide Blackstart Service established under Operating Procedure 11 – Designated Blackstart Resource Administration (OP11).

Blackstart Service Minimum Criteria are the minimum criteria that a Blackstart Owner and its resource must meet in order to establish and maintain a resource as a Designated Blackstart Resource.

Blackstart Standard Rate Payment is the formulaic rate of monthly compensation, as calculated pursuant to Section 5 of Schedule 16 to the OATT, paid to a Blackstart Owner for the provision of Blackstart Service from a Designated Blackstart Resource.

Blackstart Station is comprised of (i) a single Designated Blackstart Resource or (ii) two or more Designated Blackstart Resources that share Blackstart Equipment.

Blackstart Station-specific Rate Payment is the Commission-approved compensation, as calculated pursuant to Section 5.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT, paid to a Blackstart Owner on a monthly basis for the provision of Blackstart Service by Designated Blackstart Resources located at a specific Blackstart Station.

Blackstart Station-specific Rate Capital Payment is a component of the Blackstart Station-specific Rate Payment that reflects a Blackstart Station's capital Blackstart Equipment costs associated with the provision of Blackstart Service (excluding the capital costs associated with compliance with NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards as part of Blackstart Service).

Block is defined as follows: (1) With respect to Bilateral Contracts, a Bilateral Contract administered by the ISO for an hour; (2) with respect to Supply Offers administered by the ISO, a quantity with a related price for Energy (Supply Offers for Energy may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for each hour); (3) with respect to Demand Bids administered by the ISO, a quantity with a related price for Energy (Demand Bids for Energy may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for each hour); (4) with respect to Increment Offers administered by the ISO, a quantity with a related price for Energy (Increment Offers for Energy may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for each hour); (5) with respect to Decrement Bids administered by the ISO, a quantity with a related price for Energy (Decrement Bids for Energy may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for each hour); (6) with respect to Asset Related Demand bids administered by the ISO, a quantity with a related price for Energy (Asset Related Demand bids may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for each hour); and (7) with respect to Demand Reduction Offers administered by the ISO, a quantity of reduced demand with a related price (Demand Reduction Offers may contain multiple sets of quantity and price pairs for the day).

Block-Hours are the number of Blocks administered for a particular hour.

Budget and Finance Subcommittee is a subcommittee of the Participants Committee, the responsibilities of which are specified in Section 8.4 of the Participants Agreement.

Business Day is any day other than a Saturday or Sunday or ISO holidays as posted by the ISO on its website.

Cancelled Start NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Capacity Demonstration Year is the one year period from September 1 through August 31.

Capacity Acquiring Resource is a resource that is seeking to acquire a Capacity Supply Obligation through: (1) a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, as described in Section III.13.5.1, or; (2) an annual or monthly reconfiguration auction, as described in Section III.13.4.

Capacity Balancing Ratio is a ratio used in calculating the Capacity Performance Payment in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.7.2.3 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Base Payment is the portion of revenue received in the Forward Capacity Market as described in Section III.13.7.1 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard has the meaning specified in Schedule 22, Schedule 23, and Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Capacity Clearing Price is the clearing price for a Capacity Zone for a Capacity Commitment Period resulting from the Forward Capacity Auction conducted for that Capacity Commitment Period, as determined in accordance with Section III.13.2.7 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Commitment Period is the one-year period from June 1 through May 31 for which obligations are assumed and payments are made in the Forward Capacity Market.

Capacity Cost (CC) is one of four forms of compensation that may be paid to resources providing VAR Service under Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Capacity Export Through Import Constrained Zone Transaction is defined in Section III.1.10.7(f)(i) of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Load Obligation is the quantity of capacity for which a Market Participant is financially responsible as described in Section III.13.7.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant is a load serving entity or any other Market Participant seeking to acquire a Capacity Load Obligation through a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral, as described in Section III.13.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Network Import Capability (CNI Capability) is as defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service (CNI Interconnection Service) is as defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral is a bilateral contract through which a Market Participant may transfer all or a portion of its Capacity Load Obligation to another entity, as described in Section III.13.5 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant is an entity that has a Capacity Load Obligation and is seeking to shed such obligation through a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral, as described in Section III.13.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Network Resource (CNR) is defined in Section I of Schedule 22 and Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 of the OATT.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (CNR Interconnection Service) is defined in Section I of Schedule 22 and Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 of the OATT.

Capacity Performance Bilateral is a transaction for transferring Capacity Performance Score, as described in Section III.13.5.3 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Performance Payment is the performance-dependent portion of revenue received in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.7.2 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Performance Payment Rate is a rate used in calculating Capacity Performance Payments, as described in Section III.13.7.2.5 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Performance Score is a figure used in determining Capacity Performance Payments, as described in Section III.13.7.2.4 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Rationing Rule addresses whether offers and bids in a Forward Capacity Auction may be rationed, as described in Section III.13.2.6 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Scarcity Condition is a period during which performance is measured in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.7.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Scarcity Condition is a period during which performance is measured in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.7.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Supply Obligation is an obligation to provide capacity from a resource, or a portion thereof, to satisfy a portion of the Installed Capacity Requirement that is acquired through a Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with Section III.13.2, a reconfiguration auction in accordance with Section III.13.4, or a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral in accordance with Section III.13.5.1 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral is a bilateral contract through which a Market Participant may transfer all or a part of its Capacity Supply Obligation to another entity, as described in Section III.13.5.1 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Transfer Rights (CTRs) are calculated in accordance with Section III.13.7.5.4.

Capacity Transferring Resource is a resource that has a Capacity Supply Obligation and is seeking to shed such obligation, or a portion thereof, through: (1) a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, as described in Section III.13.5.1, or; (2) an annual or monthly reconfiguration auction, as described in Section III.13.4.

Capacity Zone is a geographic sub-region of the New England Control Area as determined in accordance with Section III.12.4 of Market Rule 1.

Capacity Zone Demand Curves are the demand curves used in the Forward Capacity Market for a Capacity Zone as specified in Sections III.13.2.2.2 and III.13.2.2.3.

Capital Funding Charge (CFC) is defined in Section IV.B.2 of the Tariff.

CARL Data is Control Area reliability data submitted to the ISO to permit an assessment of the ability of an external Control Area to provide energy to the New England Control Area in support of capacity offered to the New England Control Area by that external Control Area.

Category B Designated Blackstart Resource has the same meaning as Designated Blackstart Resource.

Charge is a sum of money due from a Covered Entity to the ISO, either in its individual capacity or as billing and collection agent for NEPOOL pursuant to the Participants Agreement.

CLAIM10 is the value, expressed in megawatts, calculated pursuant to Section III.9.5.3 of the Tariff.

CLAIM30 is the value, expressed in megawatts, calculated pursuant to Section III.9.5.3 of the Tariff.

Claimed Capability Audit is performed to determine the real power output capability of a Generator Asset, the demand reduction capability of a Demand Response Resource, or the demand reduction capability and energy injection capability of a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation.

Cluster has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedules 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (CETU) has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (CRPS) has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Entry Deadline has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (CSIS) has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Request Window has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Restudy has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

Cluster Study has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

~~**Clustering** has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.~~

CNR Capability is defined in Section I of Schedule 22 and Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 of the OATT.

Coincident Peak Contribution is a Market Participant's share of the New England Control Area coincident peak demand for the prior calendar year as determined prior to the start of each Capacity Commitment Period, which reflects the sum of the prior year's annual coincident peak contributions of the customers served by the Market Participant at each Load Asset. Daily Coincident Peak Contribution values shall be submitted by the Assigned Meter Reader or Host Participant by the meter reading deadline to the ISO.

Commercial Capacity is capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

Commission is the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Commitment Period is (i) for a Day-Ahead Energy Market commitment, a period of one or more contiguous hours for which a Resource is cleared in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, and (ii) for a Real-Time Energy Market commitment, the period of time for which the ISO indicates the Resource is being committed when it issues the Dispatch Instruction. If the ISO does not indicate the period of time for which the Resource is being committed in the Real-Time Energy Market, then the Commitment Period is the Minimum Run Time for an offline Resource and one hour for an online Resource.

Common Costs are those costs associated with a Station that are avoided only by the clearing of the Static De-List Bids, the Permanent De-List Bids, or the Retirement De-List Bids of all the Existing Generating Capacity Resources comprising the Station.

Completed Application is an Application that satisfies all of the information and other requirements of the OATT, including any required deposit.

Compliance Effective Date is the date upon which the changes in the predecessor NEPOOL Open Access Transmission Tariff which have been reflected herein to comply with the Commission's Order of April 20, 1998 became effective.

Composite FCM Transaction is a transaction for separate resources seeking to participate as a single composite resource in a Forward Capacity Auction in which multiple Designated FCM Participants provide capacity, as described in Section III.13.1.5 of Market Rule 1.

~~**Conditional Qualified New Resource** is defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f) of Market Rule 1.~~

Confidential Information is defined in Section 2.1 of the ISO New England Information Policy, which is Attachment D to the Tariff.

Confidentiality Agreement is Attachment 1 to the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Congestion is a condition of the New England Transmission System in which transmission limitations prevent unconstrained regional economic dispatch of the power system. Congestion is the condition that results in the Congestion Component of the Locational Marginal Price at one Location being different from the Congestion Component of the Locational Marginal Price at another Location during any given hour of the dispatch day in the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

Congestion Component is the component of the nodal price that reflects the marginal cost of congestion at a given Node or External Node relative to the reference point. When used in connection with Zonal Price and Hub Price, the term Congestion Component refers to the Congestion Components of the nodal prices that comprise the Zonal Price and Hub Price weighted and averaged in the same way that nodal prices are weighted to determine Zonal Price and averaged to determine the Hub Price.

Congestion Cost is the cost of congestion as measured by the difference between the Congestion Components of the Locational Marginal Prices at different Locations and/or Reliability Regions on the New England Transmission System.

Congestion Paying LSE is, for the purpose of the allocation of FTR Auction Revenues to ARR Holders as provided for in Appendix C of Market Rule 1, a Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer that is responsible for paying for Congestion Costs as a Transmission Customer paying for Regional Network Service under the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, unless such Transmission Customer has transferred its obligation to supply load in accordance with ISO New England System Rules, in which case the Congestion Paying LSE shall be the Market Participant supplying the transferred load obligation. The term Congestion Paying LSE shall be deemed to include, but not be limited to, the seller of internal bilateral transactions that transfer Real-Time Load Obligations under the ISO New England System Rules.

Congestion Revenue Fund is the amount available for payment of target allocations to FTR Holders from the collection of Congestion Cost.

Congestion Shortfall means congestion payments exceed congestion charges during the billing process in any billing period.

Continuous Storage ATRR is an ATRR that participates in the New England Markets as part of a Continuous Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Continuous Storage DARD is a DARD that participates in the New England Markets as part of a Continuous Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Continuous Storage Generator Asset is a Generator Asset that participates in the New England Markets as part of a Continuous Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Continuous Storage Facility is a type of Electric Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Control Agreement is the document posted on the ISO website that is required if a Market Participant's cash collateral is to be invested in BlackRock funds.

Control Area is an electric power system or combination of electric power systems to which a common automatic generation control scheme is applied in order to:

- (1) match, at all times, the power output of the generators within the electric power system(s) and capacity and energy purchased from entities outside the electric power system(s), with the load within the electric power system(s);
- (2) maintain scheduled interchange with other Control Areas, within the limits of Good Utility Practice;
- (3) maintain the frequency of the electric power system(s) within reasonable limits in accordance with Good Utility Practice and the criteria of the applicable regional reliability council or the North American Electric Reliability Corporation; and
- (4) provide sufficient generating capacity to maintain operating reserves in accordance with Good Utility Practice.

Controllable Behind-the-Meter Generation means generation whose output can be controlled located at the same facility as a DARD or a Demand Response Asset, excluding: (1) generators whose output is separately metered and reported and (2) generators that cannot operate electrically synchronized to, and that are operated only when the facility loses its supply of power from, the New England Transmission System, or when undergoing related testing.

Coordinated External Transaction is an External Transaction at an external interface for which the enhanced scheduling procedures in Section III.1.10.7.A are implemented. A transaction to wheel energy into, out of or through the New England Control Area is not a Coordinated External Transaction.

Coordinated Transaction Scheduling means the enhanced scheduling procedures set forth in Section III.1.10.7.A.

Correction Limit means the date that is one hundred and one (101) calendar days from the last Operating Day of the month to which the data applied. As described in Section III.3.6.1 of Market Rule 1, this will be the period during which meter data corrections must be submitted unless they qualify for submission as a Requested Billing Adjustment under Section III.3.7 of Market Rule 1.

Cost of Energy Consumed (CEC) is one of four forms of compensation that may be paid to resources providing VAR Service under Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Cost of Energy Produced (CEP) is one of four forms of compensation that may be paid to resources providing VAR Service under Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Cost of New Entry (CONE) is the estimated cost of new entry (\$/kW-month) for a capacity resource that is determined by the ISO for each Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.4.

Counterparty means the status in which the ISO acts as the contracting party, in its name and own right and not as an agent, to an agreement or transaction with a Customer (including assignments involving Customers) involving sale to the ISO, and/or purchase from the ISO, of Regional Transmission Service and market and other products and services, and other transactions and assignments involving Customers, all as described in the Tariff.

Covered Entity is defined in the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Credit Coverage is third-party credit protection obtained by the ISO in the form of credit insurance coverage.

Credit Qualifying means a Rated Market Participant that has an Investment Grade Rating and an Unrated Market Participant that satisfies the Credit Threshold.

Credit Threshold consists of the conditions for Unrated Market Participants outlined in Section II.B.2 of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII) is defined in Section 3.0(j) of the ISO New England Information Policy, which is Attachment D to the Tariff.

Current Ratio is, on any date, all of a Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's current assets divided by all of its current liabilities, in each case as shown on the most recent financial statements provided by such Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer to the ISO.

Curtailement is a reduction in the dispatch of a transaction that was scheduled, using transmission service, in response to a transfer capability shortage as a result of system reliability conditions.

Customer is a Market Participant, a Transmission Customer or another customer of the ISO.

Cyber Security Exigency is a suspicious or malicious electronic act or event that compromises or attempts to compromise, or disrupts or attempts to disrupt, the ongoing operation of the ISO, the New England Markets, or reliability within the New England Control Area or other electrical facilities directly or indirectly connected to the New England Transmission System and (i) whose severity or nature reasonably requires that the ISO obtain expert assistance not normally called upon to counter such an electronic act or resolve such an event or (ii) whose nature requires the ISO to report such an electronic act or event pursuant to NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards or applicable regulations promulgated by the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Energy, or a federal agency with similar cybersecurity responsibilities (or any of their respective successor organizations or agencies).

Storage as Transmission-Only Asset (SATO) is electric storage equipment that: (1) is connected to or to be connected to Pool Transmission Facilities in the New England Transmission System at a voltage level of 115 kV or higher; (2) the ISO approved to be included in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List as a regulated transmission solution and Pool Transmission Facility pursuant to the regional system planning processes in Attachment K of the OATT; and (3) is capable of receiving energy only from the Pool Transmission Facilities and storing the energy for later injection to the Pool Transmission Facilities.

Data Reconciliation Process means the process by which meter reconciliation and data corrections that are discovered by Governance Participants after the Invoice has been issued for a particular month or that are discovered prior to the issuance of the Invoice for the relevant month but not included in that Invoice or in the other Invoices for that month and are reconciled by the ISO on an hourly basis based on data submitted to the ISO by the Host Participant Assigned Meter Reader or Assigned Meter Reader.

Day-Ahead is the calendar day immediately preceding the Operating Day.

Day-Ahead Adjusted Load Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Congestion Revenue is defined in Section III.3.2.1(i) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Demand Reduction Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Energy Market means the schedule of commitments for the purchase or sale of energy, purchase of demand reductions, payment of Congestion Costs, payment for losses developed by the ISO as a result of the offers and specifications submitted in accordance with Section III.1.10 of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Energy Market Congestion Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(f) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Energy Market Energy Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(f) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Energy Market Loss Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(f) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Energy Market NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead External Transaction Export and Decrement Bid NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead External Transaction Import and Increment Offer NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Generation Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Load Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Locational Adjusted Net Interchange is defined in Section III.3.2.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Loss Charges or Credits is defined in Section III.3.2.1(k) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Loss Revenue is defined in Section III.3.2.1(j) of Market Rule 1.

Day-Ahead Prices means the Locational Marginal Prices resulting from the Day-Ahead Energy Market.

DDP Dispatchable Resource is any Dispatchable Resource that the ISO dispatches using Desired Dispatch Points in the Resource's Dispatch Instructions.

Debt-to-Total Capitalization Ratio is, on any date, a Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's total debt (including all current borrowings) divided by its total shareholders' equity plus total debt, in each case as shown on the most recent financial statements provided by such Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer to the ISO.

Decrement Bid means a bid to purchase energy at a specified Location in the Day-Ahead Energy Market which is not associated with a physical load. An accepted Decrement Bid results in scheduled load at the specified Location in the Day-Ahead Energy Market.

Default Amount is all or any part of any amount due to be paid by any Covered Entity that the ISO, in its reasonable opinion, believes will not or has not been paid when due (other than in the case of a payment dispute for any amount due for transmission service under the OATT).

Default Period is defined in Section 3.3.h(i) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Delivering Party is the entity supplying capacity and/or energy to be transmitted at Point(s) of Receipt under the OATT.

Demand Bid means a request to purchase an amount of energy, at a specified Location, or an amount of energy at a specified price, that is associated with a physical load. A cleared Demand Bid in the Day-Ahead Energy Market results in scheduled load at the specified Location. Demand Bids submitted for use in the Real-Time Energy Market are specific to Dispatchable Asset Related Demands only.

Demand Bid Block-Hours are the Block-Hours assigned to the submitting Customer for each Demand Bid.

Demand Bid Cap is \$2,000/MWh.

Demand Capacity Resource means an Existing Demand Capacity Resource or a New Demand Capacity Resource. There are three Demand Capacity Resource types: Active Demand Capacity Resources, On-Peak Demand Resources, and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources.

Demand Designated Entity is the entity designated by a Market Participant to receive Dispatch Instructions for Demand Response Resources in accordance with the provisions set forth in ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 14.

Demand Reduction Offer is an offer by a Market Participant with a Demand Response Resource to reduce demand.

Demand Reduction Offer Block-Hours are Block-Hours assigned to the Lead Market Participant for each Demand Reduction Offer. Blocks of the Demand Reduction Offer in effect for each hour will be totaled to determine the quantity of Demand Reduction Offer Block-Hours for a given day. In the case that a Resource has a Real-Time unit status of “unavailable” for the entire day, that day will not contribute to the quantity of Demand Reduction Offer Block-Hours. However, if the Resource has at least one hour of the day with a unit status of “available,” the entire day will contribute to the quantity of Demand Reduction Offer Block-Hours.

Demand Reduction Threshold Price is a minimum offer price calculated pursuant to Section III.1.10.1A(f).

Demand Resource On-Peak Hours are hours ending 1400 through 1700, Monday through Friday on non-Demand Response Holidays during the months of June, July, and August and hours ending 1800 through 1900, Monday through Friday on non-Demand Response Holidays during the months of December and January.

Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours are those hours in which the actual, real-time hourly load, as measured using real-time telemetry (adjusted for transmission and distribution losses, and excluding load associated with Exports and Storage DARDs) for Monday through Friday on non-Demand Response Holidays, during the months of June, July, August, December, and January, as determined by the ISO, is equal to or greater than 90% of the most recent 50/50 system peak load forecast, as determined by the ISO, for the applicable summer or winter season.

Demand Response Asset is an asset comprising the demand reduction capability of an individual end-use customer at a Retail Delivery Point or the aggregated demand reduction capability of multiple end-use

customers from multiple delivery points (as described in Section III.8.1.1(f)) that has been registered in accordance with III.8.1.1.

Demand Response Available is the capability of the Demand Response Resource, in whole or in part, at any given time, to reduce demand in response to a Dispatch Instruction.

Demand Response Baseline is the expected baseline demand of an individual end-use metered customer or group of end-use metered customers as determined pursuant to Section III.8.2.

Demand Response Holiday is New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day. If the holiday falls on a Saturday, the holiday will be observed on the preceding Friday; if the holiday falls on a Sunday, the holiday will be observed on the following Monday.

Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation (DRDERA) is a type of Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is described in additional detail in Section III.6.5.

Demand Response Resource is an individual Demand Response Asset or aggregation of Demand Response Assets within a DRR Aggregation Zone that has been registered in accordance with Section III.8.1.2.

Demand Response Resource Notification Time is the period of time between the receipt of a startup Dispatch Instruction and the time the Demand Response Resource starts reducing demand.

Demand Response Resource Ramp Rate is the average rate, expressed in MW per minute, at which the Demand Response Resource can reduce demand.

Demand Response Resource Start-Up Time is the period of time between the time a Demand Response Resource starts reducing demand at the conclusion of the Demand Response Resource Notification Time and the time the resource can reach its Minimum Reduction and be ready for further dispatch by the ISO.

Designated Agent is any entity that performs actions or functions required under the OATT on behalf of the ISO, a Transmission Owner, a Schedule 20A Service Provider, an Eligible Customer, or a Transmission Customer.

Designated Blackstart Resource is a resource that meets the eligibility requirements specified in Schedule 16 of the OATT, which includes any resource referred to previously as a Category B Designated Blackstart Resource.

Designated Entity is the entity designated by a Market Participant to receive Dispatch Instructions for a Generator Asset and/or Dispatchable Asset Related Demand in accordance with the provisions set forth in ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 14.

Designated FCM Participant is any Lead Market Participant, including any Provisional Member that is a Lead Market Participant, transacting in any Forward Capacity Auction, reconfiguration auctions or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral for capacity that is otherwise required to provide additional financial assurance under the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Designated FTR Participant is a Market Participant, including FTR-Only Customers, transacting in the FTR Auction that is otherwise required to provide additional financial assurance under the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Desired Dispatch Point (DDP) means the control signal, expressed in megawatts, transmitted to direct the output, consumption, or demand reduction level of each Generator Asset, Dispatchable Asset Related Demand, or Demand Response Resource dispatched by the ISO in accordance with the asset's Offer Data.

Direct Assignment Facilities are facilities or portions of facilities that are constructed for the sole use/benefit of a particular Transmission Customer requesting service under the OATT or a Generator Owner requesting an interconnection. Direct Assignment Facilities shall be specified in a separate agreement among the ISO, Interconnection Customer and Transmission Customer, as applicable, and the Transmission Owner whose transmission system is to be modified to include and/or interconnect with the Direct Assignment Facilities, shall be subject to applicable Commission requirements, and shall be paid for by the Customer in accordance with the applicable agreement and the Tariff.

Directly Metered Assets are specifically measured by OP-18 compliant metering as currently described in Section IV (Metering and Recording for Settlements) of OP-18. Directly Metered Assets include all Tie-Line Assets, all Generator Assets, as well as some Load Assets. Load Assets for which the Host Participant is not the Assigned Meter Reader are considered Directly Metered Assets. In addition, the

Host Participant Assigned Meter Reader determines which additional Load Assets are considered Directly Metered Assets and which ones are considered Profiled Load Assets based upon the Host Participant Assigned Meter Reader reporting systems and process by which the Host Participant Assigned Meter Reader allocates non-PTF losses.

Disbursement Agreement is the Rate Design and Funds Disbursement Agreement among the PTOs, as amended and restated from time to time.

Dispatch Instruction means directions given by the ISO to Market Participants, which may include instructions to start up, shut down, raise or lower generation, curtail or restore loads from Demand Response Resources, change External Transactions, or change the status or consumption of a Dispatchable Asset Related Demand in accordance with the Supply Offer, Demand Bid, or Demand Reduction Offer parameters. Such instructions may also require a change to the operation of a Pool Transmission Facility. Such instructions are given through either electronic or verbal means.

Dispatch Zone means a subset of Nodes located within a Load Zone established by the ISO for each Capacity Commitment Period pursuant to Section III.12.4A.

Dispatchable Asset Related Demand (DARD) is an Asset Related Demand that is capable of having its energy consumption modified in Real-Time in response to Dispatch Instructions. A DARD must be capable of receiving and responding to electronic Dispatch Instructions, must be able to increase or decrease energy consumption between its Minimum Consumption Limit and Maximum Consumption Limit in accordance with Dispatch Instructions, and must meet the technical requirements specified in the ISO New England Operating Procedures and Manuals.

Dispatchable Resource is any Generator Asset, Dispatchable Asset Related Demand, Demand Response Resource, or, with respect to the Regulation Market only, Alternative Technology Regulation Resource, that, during the course of normal operation, is capable of receiving and responding to electronic Dispatch Instructions in accordance with the parameters contained in the Resource's Supply Offer, Demand Bid, Demand Reduction Offer or Regulation Service Offer. A Resource that is normally classified as a Dispatchable Resource remains a Dispatchable Resource when it is temporarily not capable of receiving and responding to electronic Dispatch Instructions.

Dispute Representatives are defined in 6.5.c of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Disputed Amount is a Covered Entity's disputed amount due on any fully paid monthly Invoice and/or any amount believed to be due or owed on a Remittance Advice, as defined in Section 6 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Disputing Party, for the purposes of the ISO New England Billing Policy, is any Covered Entity seeking to recover a Disputed Amount.

Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (DECR) means an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

Distributed Energy Resource (DER) is any resource located on the distribution system, any subsystem thereof or behind a customer meter that is capable of providing energy injection, energy withdrawal, regulation, or demand reduction.

Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation (DERA) is an aggregation of Distributed Energy Resources that is registered under Section III.6.7 and is described in additional detail in Section III.6.

Distributed Energy Resource Aggregator (DER Aggregator) is a Market Participant that aggregates one or more Distributed Energy Resources for participation in a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation and serves as the Lead Market Participant for a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation.

Distributed Generation means generation directly connected to end-use customer load and located behind the end-use customer's Retail Delivery Point that reduces the amount of energy that would otherwise have been produced on the electricity network in the New England Control Area, provided that the facility's Net Supply Capability is (i) less than 5 MW or (ii) less than or equal to the Maximum Facility Load, whichever is greater.

DRR Aggregation Zone is a Dispatch Zone entirely within a single Reserve Zone or Rest of System or, where a Dispatch Zone is not entirely within a single Reserve Zone or Rest of System, each portion of the Dispatch Zone demarcated by the Reserve Zone boundary.

Do Not Exceed (DNE) Dispatchable Generator is any Generator Asset that is dispatched using Do Not Exceed Dispatch Points in its Dispatch Instructions and meets the criteria specified in Section III.1.11.3(e). Do Not Exceed Dispatchable Generators are Dispatchable Resources.

Do Not Exceed Dispatch Point is a Dispatch Instruction indicating a maximum output level that a DNE Dispatchable Generator must not exceed.

Dynamic De-List Bid is a bid that may be submitted by Existing Generating Capacity Resources, Existing Import Capacity Resources, Existing Demand Capacity Resources, and Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources in the Forward Capacity Auction below the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(d) of Market Rule 1.

Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold is the price specified in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.A of Market Rule 1 associated with the submission of Dynamic De-List Bids in the Forward Capacity Auction.

EA Amount is defined in Section IV.B.2.2 of the Tariff.

Early Amortization Charge (EAC) is defined in Section IV.B.2 of the Tariff.

Early Amortization Working Capital Charge (EAWCC) is defined in Section IV.B.2 of the Tariff.

Early Payment Shortfall Funding Amount (EPSF Amount) is defined in Section IV.B.2.4 of the Tariff.

Early Payment Shortfall Funding Charge (EPSFC) is defined in Section IV.B.2 of the Tariff.

EAWW Amount is defined in Section IV.B.2.3 of the Tariff.

EBITDA-to-Interest Expense Ratio is, on any date, a Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization in the most recent fiscal quarter divided by that Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's expense for interest in that fiscal quarter, in each case as shown on the most recent financial statements provided by such Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer to the ISO.

Economic Dispatch Point is the output, reduction, or consumption level to which a Resource would have been dispatched, based on the Resource's Supply Offer, Demand Reduction Offer, or Demand Bid and the Real-Time Price, and taking account of any operating limits, had the ISO not dispatched the Resource to another Desired Dispatch Point.

Economic Maximum Limit or Economic Max is the maximum available output, in MW, of a Generator Asset that a Market Participant offers to supply in the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market, as reflected in the Generator Asset's Offer Data. This represents the highest MW output a Market Participant has offered for a Generator Asset for economic dispatch. A Market Participant must maintain an up-to-date Economic Maximum Limit (and where applicable, must provide the ISO with any telemetry required by ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 18 to allow the ISO to maintain an updated Economic Maximum Limit) for all hours in which a Generator Asset has been offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

Economic Minimum Limit or Economic Min is (a) for a Generator Asset with an incremental heat rate, the maximum of: (i) the lowest sustainable output level as specified by physical design characteristics, environmental regulations or licensing limits; and (ii) the lowest sustainable output level at which a one MW increment increase in the output level would not decrease the incremental cost, calculated based on the incremental heat rate, of providing an additional MW of output, and (b) for a Generator Asset without an incremental heat rate, the lowest sustainable output level that is consistent with the physical design characteristics of the Generator Asset and with meeting all environmental regulations and licensing limits, and (c) for a Generator Asset undergoing Facility and Equipment Testing or auditing, the level to which the Generator Asset requests and is approved to operate or is directed to operate for purposes of completing the Facility and Equipment Testing or auditing, and (d) for Non-Dispatchable Resources the output level at which a Market Participant anticipates its Non-Dispatchable Resource will be available to operate based on fuel limitations, physical design characteristics, environmental regulations or licensing limits.

Economic Study or Economic Studies are studies described in Section 17 of Attachment K to the OATT that are used to examine situations where potential regulated transmission solutions, market responses, or investments could result in (i) a net reduction in total production cost to supply system load based on the factors specified in Attachment N of the OATT, (ii) reduced congestion, or (iii) the integration of new resources or loads, or both, on an aggregate or regional basis.

Effective Offer is the Supply Offer, Demand Reduction Offer, or Demand Bid that is used for NCPC calculation purposes as specified in Section III.F.1(a).

EFT is electronic funds transfer.

Elective Transmission Upgrade is defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer is defined in Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) is defined in 18 C.F.R. § 39.1.

Electric Storage Facility is a storage facility that participates in the New England Markets as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Eligible Customer is: (i) Any entity that is engaged, or proposes to engage, in the wholesale or retail electric power business is an Eligible Customer under the OATT. (ii) Any electric utility (including any power marketer), Federal power marketing agency, or any other entity generating electric energy for sale or for resale is an Eligible Customer under the OATT. Electric energy sold or produced by such entity may be electric energy produced in the United States, Canada or Mexico. However, with respect to transmission service that the Commission is prohibited from ordering by Section 212(h) of the Federal Power Act, such entity is eligible only if the service is provided pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Owner with which that entity is directly interconnected or the distribution company having the service territory in which that entity is located (if that entity is a retail customer) offer the unbundled transmission service or Local Delivery Service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by the Transmission Owner with which that entity is directly interconnected or the distribution company having the service territory in which that entity is located (if that entity is a retail customer). (iii) Any end user taking or eligible to take unbundled transmission service or Local Delivery Service pursuant to a state requirement that the Transmission Owner with which that end user is directly interconnected or the distribution company having the service territory in which that entity is located (if that entity is a retail customer) offer the transmission service or Local Delivery Service, or pursuant to a voluntary offer of such service by the Transmission Owner with which that end user is directly interconnected, or the distribution company having the service territory in which that entity is located (if that entity is a retail customer) is an Eligible Customer under the OATT.

Eligible FTR Bidder is an entity that has satisfied applicable financial assurance criteria, and shall not include the auctioneer, its Affiliates, and their officers, directors, employees, consultants and other representatives.

Emergency is an abnormal system condition on the bulk power systems of New England or neighboring Control Areas requiring manual or automatic action to maintain system frequency, or to prevent the involuntary loss of load, equipment damage, or tripping of system elements that could adversely affect the reliability of an electric system or the safety of persons or property; or a fuel shortage requiring departure from normal operating procedures in order to minimize the use of such scarce fuel; or a condition that requires implementation of Emergency procedures as defined in the ISO New England Manuals.

Emergency Condition means an Emergency has been declared by the ISO in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Administrative Procedures.

Emergency Energy is energy transferred from one control area operator to another in an Emergency.

Emergency Minimum Limit or Emergency Min means the minimum output, in MWs, that a Generator Asset can deliver for a limited period of time without exceeding specified limits of equipment stability and operating permits.

EMS is energy management system.

End-of-Round Price is the lowest price associated with a round of a Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.2.3.1 of Market Rule 1.

End User Participant is defined in Section 1 of the Participants Agreement.

Energy is power produced in the form of electricity, measured in kilowatthours or megawatthours.

Energy Administration Service (EAS) is the service provided by the ISO, as described in Schedule 2 of Section IV.A of the Tariff.

Energy Component means the Locational Marginal Price at the reference point.

Energy Efficiency is installed measures (e.g., products, equipment, systems, services, practices and/or strategies) on end-use customer facilities that reduce the total amount of electrical energy needed, while delivering a comparable or improved level of end-use service. Such measures include, but are not limited to, the installation of more energy efficient lighting, motors, refrigeration, HVAC equipment and control systems, envelope measures, operations and maintenance procedures, and industrial process equipment.

Energy Imbalance Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 4 of the OATT.

Energy Market is, collectively, the Day-Ahead Energy Market and the Real-Time Energy Market.

Energy Non-Zero Spot Market Settlement Hours are the sum of the hours for which the Customer has a positive or negative Real-Time System Adjusted Net Interchange or for which the Customer has a positive or negative Real-Time Demand Reduction Obligation as determined by the ISO settlement process for the Energy Market.

Energy Offer Floor is negative \$150/MWh.

Energy Transaction Units (Energy TUs) are the sum for the month for a Customer of Bilateral Contract Block-Hours, Demand Bid Block-Hours, Asset Related Demand Bid Block-Hours, Supply Offer Block-Hours, Demand Reduction Offer Block-Hours, and Energy Non-Zero Spot Market Settlement Hours.

Equipment Damage Reimbursement is the compensation paid to the owner of a Designated Blackstart Resource as specified in Section 5.5 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Equivalent Demand Forced Outage Rate (EFORD) means the portion of time a unit is in demand, but is unavailable due to forced outages.

Estimated Capacity Load Obligation is, for the purposes of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy, a Market Participant's share of Zonal Capacity Obligation from the latest available month, adjusted as appropriate to account for any relevant Capacity Load Obligation Bilaterals, HQICCs, and Self-Supplied FCA Resource designations for the applicable month.

Establish Claimed Capability Audit is the audit performed pursuant to Section III.1.5.1.2.

Excepted Transaction is a transaction specified in Section II.40 of the Tariff for the applicable period specified in that Section.

Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline is a deadline, specified in Section III.13.1.10 of Market Rule 1, for submission of certain qualification materials for the Forward Capacity Auction, as discussed in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Capacity Qualification Package is information submitted for certain existing resources prior to participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Capacity Resource is any resource that does not meet any of the eligibility criteria to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Capacity Resource.

Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline is a deadline, specified in Section III.13.1.10 of Market Rule 1, for submission of certain qualification materials for the Forward Capacity Auction, as discussed in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Capacity Retirement Package is information submitted for certain existing resources prior to participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Demand Capacity Resource is a type of Demand Capacity Resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.4.2 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is a type of Distributed Energy Capacity Resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.4A.2 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Generating Capacity Resource is a type of resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

Existing Import Capacity Resource is a type of resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.3.1 of Market Rule 1.

Expedited Study Request is defined in Section II.34.7 of the OATT.

Export-Adjusted LSR is as defined in Section III.12.4(b)(ii).

Export Bid is a bid that may be submitted by certain resources in the Forward Capacity Auction to export capacity to an external Control Area, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.3 of Market Rule 1.

Exports are Real-Time External Transactions, which are limited to sales from the New England Control Area, for exporting energy out of the New England Control Area.

External Elective Transmission Upgrade (External ETU) is defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

External Market Monitor means the person or entity appointed by the ISO Board of Directors pursuant to Section III.A.1.2 of Appendix A of Market Rule 1 to carry out the market monitoring and mitigation functions specified in Appendix A and elsewhere in Market Rule 1.

External Node is a proxy bus or buses used for establishing a Locational Marginal Price for energy received by Market Participants from, or delivered by Market Participants to, a neighboring Control Area or for establishing Locational Marginal Prices associated with energy delivered through the New England Control Area by Non-Market Participants for use in calculating Non-Market Participant Congestion Costs and loss costs.

External Resource means a generation resource located outside the metered boundaries of the New England Control Area.

External Transaction is the import of external energy into the New England Control Area by a Market Participant or the export of internal energy out of the New England Control Area by a Market Participant in the Day-Ahead Energy Market and/or Real-Time Energy Market, or the wheeling of external energy through the New England Control Area by a Market Participant or a Non-Market Participant in the Real-Time Energy Market.

External Transaction Cap is \$2,000/MWh for External Transactions other than Coordinated External Transactions and \$1,000/MWh for Coordinated External Transactions.

External Transaction Floor is the Energy Offer Floor for External Transactions other than Coordinated External Transactions and negative \$1,000/MWh for Coordinated External Transactions.

External Transmission Project is a transmission project comprising facilities located wholly outside the New England Control Area and regarding which an agreement has been reached whereby New England ratepayers will support all or a portion of the cost of the facilities.

Facilities Study is an engineering study conducted pursuant to the OATT by the ISO (or, in the case of Local Service or interconnections to Local Area Facilities as defined in the TOA, by one or more affected PTOs) or some other entity designated by the ISO in consultation with any affected Transmission Owner(s), to determine the required modifications to the PTF and Non-PTF, including the cost and scheduled completion date for such modifications, that will be required to provide a requested transmission service or interconnection on the PTF and Non-PTF.

Facility and Equipment Testing means operation of a Resource to evaluate the functionality of the facility or equipment utilized in the operation of the facility.

Failure to Maintain Blackstart Capability is a failure of a Blackstart Owner or Designated Blackstart Resource to meet the Blackstart Service Minimum Criteria or Blackstart Service obligations, but does not include a Failure to Perform During a System Restoration event.

Failure to Perform During a System Restoration is a failure of a Blackstart Owner or Designated Blackstart Resource to follow ISO or Local Control Center dispatch instructions or perform in accordance with the dispatch instructions or the Blackstart Service Minimum Criteria and Blackstart Service obligations, described within the ISO New England Operating Documents, during a restoration of the New England Transmission System.

Fast Start Demand Response Resource is a Demand Response Resource that meets the following criteria: (i) Minimum Reduction Time does not exceed one hour; (ii) Minimum Time Between Reductions does not exceed one hour; (iii) Demand Response Resource Start-Up Time plus Demand Response Resource Notification Time does not exceed 30 minutes; (iv) has personnel available to respond to Dispatch Instructions or has automatic remote response capability; and (v) is capable of receiving and acknowledging a Dispatch Instruction electronically.

Fast Start Generator means a Generator Asset that the ISO can dispatch to an on-line or off-line state through electronic dispatch and that meets the following criteria: (i) Minimum Run Time does not exceed one hour; (ii) Minimum Down Time does not exceed one hour; (iii) cold Notification Time plus cold Start-Up Time does not exceed 30 minutes; (iv) available for dispatch (when it is either in an on-line or off-line state) and manned or has automatic remote dispatch capability; and (v) capable of receiving and acknowledging a start-up or shut-down Dispatch Instruction electronically.

FCA Cleared Export Transaction is defined in Section III.1.10.7(f)(ii) of Market Rule 1.

FCA Qualified Capacity is the Qualified Capacity that is used in a Forward Capacity Auction.

FCM Capacity Charge Requirements are calculated in accordance with Section VII.C of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

FCM Charge Rate is calculated in accordance with Section VII.C of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

FCM Commercial Operation is defined in Section III.13.3.8 of Market Rule 1.

FCM Deposit is calculated in accordance with Section VII.B.1 of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

FCM Financial Assurance Requirements are described in Section VII of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Final Forward Reserve Obligation is calculated in accordance with Section III.9.8(a) of Market Rule 1.

Financial Assurance Default results from a Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's failure to comply with the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Financial Assurance Obligations relative to the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy are determined in accordance with Section III.A(v) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Financial Transmission Right (FTR) is a financial instrument that evidences the rights and obligations specified in Sections III.5.2.2 and III.7 of the Tariff.

Firm Point-To-Point Service is service which is arranged for and administered between specified Points of Receipt and Delivery in accordance with Part II.C of the OATT.

Firm Transmission Service is Regional Network Service, Through or Out Service, service for Excepted Transactions, firm MTF Service, firm OTF Service, and firm Local Service.

Flexible DNE Dispatchable Generator is any DNE Dispatchable Generator that meets the following criteria: (i) Minimum Run Time does not exceed one hour; (ii) Minimum Down Time does not exceed one hour; and (iii) cold Notification Time plus cold Start-Up Time does not exceed 30 minutes.

Force Majeure - An event of Force Majeure means any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy or terrorists, war, invasion, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, ice, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any curtailment, order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond the control of the ISO, a Transmission Owner, a Schedule 20A Service Provider, or a Customer, including without limitation, in the case of the ISO, any action or inaction by a Customer, a Schedule 20A Service Provider, or a Transmission Owner, in the case of a Transmission Owner, any action or inaction by the ISO, any Customer, a Schedule 20A Service Provider, or any other Transmission Owner, in the case of a Schedule 20A Service Provider, any action or inaction by the ISO, any Customer, a Transmission Owner, or any other Schedule 20A Service Provider, and, in the case of a Transmission Customer, any action or inaction by the ISO, a Schedule 20A Service Provider, or any Transmission Owner.

Forward Capacity Auction (FCA) is the annual Forward Capacity Market auction process described in Section III.13.2 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price is calculated in accordance with Section III.13.2.4 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Capacity Market (FCM) is the forward market for procuring capacity in the New England Control Area, as described in Section III.13 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Energy Inventory Election is the total MWh value for which a Market Participant elects to be compensated at the forward rate in the inventoried energy program as described in Section III.K.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Forward LNG Inventory Election is the portion of a Market Participant's Forward Energy Inventory Election attributed to liquefied natural gas in the inventoried energy program as described in Section III.K.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve means TMNSR and TMOR purchased by the ISO on a forward basis on behalf of Market Participants as provided for in Section III.9 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Assigned Megawatts is the amount of Forward Reserve, in megawatts, that a Market Participant assigns to eligible Forward Reserve Resources to meet its Forward Reserve Obligation as defined in Section III.9.4.1 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Auction is the periodic auction conducted by the ISO in accordance with Section III.9 of Market Rule 1 to procure Forward Reserve.

Forward Reserve Auction Offers are offers to provide Forward Reserve to meet system and Reserve Zone requirements as submitted by a Market Participant in accordance with Section III.9.3 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Charge is a Market Participant's share of applicable system and Reserve Zone Forward Reserve costs attributable to meeting the Forward Reserve requirement as calculated in accordance with Section III.9.9 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Clearing Price is the clearing price for TMNSR or TMOR, as applicable, for the system and each Reserve Zone resulting from the Forward Reserve Auction as defined in Section III.9.4 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Credit is the credit received by a Market Participant that is associated with that Market Participant's Final Forward Reserve Obligation as calculated in accordance with Section III.9.8 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Delivered Megawatts are calculated in accordance with Section III.9.6.5 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Delivery Period is defined in Section III.9.1 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Activate Megawatts are calculated in accordance with Section III.9.7.2(a) of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Activate Penalty is the penalty associated with a Market Participant's failure to activate Forward Reserve when requested to do so by the ISO and is defined in Section III.9.7.2 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Activate Penalty Rate is specified in Section III.9.7.2 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve, as specified in Section III.9.7.1 of Market Rule 1, occurs when a Market Participant's Forward Reserve Delivered Megawatts for a Reserve Zone in an hour is less than that Market Participant's Forward Reserve Obligation for that Reserve Zone in that hour. Under these circumstances the Market Participant pays a penalty based upon the Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve Penalty Rate and that Market Participant's Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve Megawatts.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve Megawatts are calculated in accordance with Section III.9.7.1(a) of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve Penalty is the penalty associated with a Market Participant's failure to reserve Forward Reserve and is defined in Section III.9.7.1 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Failure-to-Reserve Penalty Rate is specified in Section III.9.7.1(b)(ii) of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Fuel Index is the index or set of indices used to calculate the Forward Reserve Threshold Price as defined in Section III.9.6.2 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Heat Rate is the heat rate as defined in Section III.9.6.2 of Market Rule 1 that is used to calculate the Forward Reserve Threshold Price.

Forward Reserve Market is a market for forward procurement of two reserve products, Ten-Minute Non-Spinning Reserve (TMNSR) and Thirty-Minute Operating Reserve (TMOR).

Forward Reserve MWs are those megawatts assigned to specific eligible Forward Reserve Resources which convert a Forward Reserve Obligation into a Resource-specific obligation.

Forward Reserve Obligation is a Market Participant's amount, in megawatts, of Forward Reserve that cleared in the Forward Reserve Auction and adjusted, as applicable, to account for bilateral transactions that transfer Forward Reserve Obligations.

Forward Reserve Obligation Charge is defined in Section III.10.4 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Offer Cap is \$9,000/megawatt-month.

Forward Reserve Payment Rate is defined in Section III.9.8 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Procurement Period is defined in Section III.9.1 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Qualifying Megawatts refer to all or a portion of a Forward Reserve Resource's capability offered into the Real-Time Energy Market at energy offer prices above the applicable Forward Reserve Threshold Price that are calculated in accordance with Section III.9.6.4 of Market Rule 1.

Forward Reserve Resource is a Resource that meets the eligibility requirements defined in Section III.9.5.2 of Market Rule 1 that has been assigned Forward Reserve Obligation by a Market Participant.

Forward Reserve Threshold Price is the minimum price at which assigned Forward Reserve Megawatts are required to be offered into the Real-Time Energy Market as calculated in Section III.9.6.2 of Market Rule 1.

FTR Auction is the periodic auction of FTRs conducted by the ISO in accordance with Section III.7 of Market Rule 1.

FTR Auction Revenue is the revenue collected from the sale of FTRs in FTR Auctions. FTR Auction Revenue is payable to FTR Holders who submit their FTRs for sale in the FTR Auction in accordance with Section III.7 of Market Rule 1 and to ARR Holders and Incremental ARR Holders in accordance with Appendix C of Market Rule 1.

FTR Credit Test Percentage is calculated in accordance with Section III.B.1(b) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

FTR Financial Assurance Requirements are described in Section VI of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

FTR Holder is an entity that acquires an FTR through the FTR Auction to Section III.7 of Market Rule 1 and registers with the ISO as the holder of the FTR in accordance with Section III.7 of Market Rule 1 and applicable ISO New England Manuals.

FTR-Only Customer is a Market Participant that transacts in the FTR Auction and that does not participate in other markets or programs of the New England Markets. References in this Tariff to a “Non-Market Participant FTR Customers” and similar phrases shall be deemed references to an FTR-Only Customer.

FTR Settlement Risk Financial Assurance is an amount of financial assurance required by a Designated FTR Participant for each bid submission into an FTR Auction and for each bid awarded to the individual participant in an FTR Auction. This amount is calculated pursuant to Section VI.A of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

GADS Data means data submitted to the NERC for collection into the NERC’s Generating Availability Data System (GADS).

Gap Request for Proposals (Gap RFP) is defined in Section III.11 of Market Rule 1.

Gas Day means a period of 24 consecutive hours beginning at 0900 hrs Central Time.

Generating Capacity Resource means a New Generating Capacity Resource or an Existing Generating Capacity Resource.

Generator Asset is a device (or a collection of devices) that is capable of injecting real power onto the grid that has been registered as a Generator Asset in accordance with the Asset Registration Process.

Generator Imbalance Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 10 of the OATT.

Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade is an addition to or modification of the New England Transmission System (pursuant to Section II.47.1, Schedule 22 or Schedule 23 of the OATT) to effect the interconnection of a new generating unit or an existing generating unit whose energy capability or capacity capability is being materially changed and increased whether or not the interconnection is being effected to meet the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard or the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. As to Category A Projects (as defined in Schedule 11 of the OATT), a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade also includes an upgrade beyond that required to satisfy the Network Capability Interconnection Standard (or its predecessor) for which the Generator Owner has committed to pay prior to October 29, 1998.

Generator Owner is the owner, in whole or part, of a generating unit whether located within or outside the New England Control Area.

Good Utility Practice means any of the practices, methods and acts engaged in or approved by a significant portion of the electric utility industry during the relevant time period, or any of the practices, methods and acts which, in the exercise of reasonable judgment in light of the facts known at the time the decision was made, could have been expected to accomplish the desired result at a reasonable cost consistent with good business practices, reliability, safety and expedition. Good Utility Practice is not intended to be limited to the optimum practice, method, or act to the exclusion of all others, but rather includes all acceptable practices, methods, or acts generally accepted in the region, including those practices required by Federal Power Act Section 215(a)(4).

Governance Only Member is defined in Section 1 of the Participants Agreement.

Governance Participant is defined in the Participants Agreement.

Governing Documents, for the purposes of the ISO New England Billing Policy, are the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff and ISO Participants Agreement.

Governing Rating is the lowest corporate rating from any Rating Agency for that Market Participant, or, if the Market Participant has no corporate rating, then the lowest rating from any Rating Agency for that Market Participant's senior unsecured debt.

Grandfathered Agreements (GAs) is a transaction specified in Section II.45 for the applicable period specified in that Section.

Grandfathered Intertie Agreement (GIA) is defined pursuant to the TOA.

Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs is the Total Other Production Plant index shown in the Cost Trends of Electric Utility Construction for the North Atlantic Region as published in the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs.

Highgate Transmission Facilities (HTF) are existing U. S.-based transmission facilities covered under the Agreement for Joint Ownership, Construction and Operation of the Highgate Transmission Interconnection dated as of August 1, 1984 including (1) the whole of a 200 megawatt high-voltage, back-to-back, direct-current converter facility located in Highgate, Vermont and (2) a 345 kilovolt transmission line within Highgate and Franklin, Vermont (which connects the converter facility at the U.S.-Canadian border to a Hydro-Quebec 120 kilovolt line in Bedford, Quebec). The HTF include any upgrades associated with increasing the capacity or changing the physical characteristics of these facilities as defined in the above stated agreement dated August 1, 1984 until the Operations Date, as defined in the TOA. The current HTF rating is a nominal 225 MW. The HTF are not defined as PTF. Coincident with the Operations Date and except as stipulated in Schedules, 9, 12, and Attachment F to the OATT, HTF shall be treated in the same manner as PTF for purposes of the OATT and all references to PTF in the OATT shall be deemed to apply to HTF as well. The treatment of the HTF is not intended to establish any binding precedent or presumption with regard to the treatment for other transmission facilities within the New England Transmission System (including HVDC, MTF, or Control Area Interties) for purposes of the OATT.

Host Participant or Host Utility is a Market Participant or a Governance Participant transmission or distribution provider that reconciles the loads within the metering domain with OP-18 compliant metering.

Hourly Charges are defined in Section 1.3 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Hourly Requirements are determined in accordance with Section III.A(i) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Hourly Shortfall NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Hub is a specific set of pre-defined Nodes for which a Locational Marginal Price will be calculated for the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market and which can be used to establish a reference price for energy purchases and the transfer of Day-Ahead Adjusted Load Obligations and Real-Time Adjusted Load Obligations and for the designation of FTRs.

Hub Price is calculated in accordance with Section III.2.8 of Market Rule 1.

HQ Interconnection Capability Credit (HQICC) is a monthly value reflective of the annual installed capacity benefits of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF, as determined by the ISO, using a standard methodology on file with the Commission, in conjunction with the setting of the Installed Capacity Requirement. An appropriate share of the HQICC shall be assigned to an IRH if the Phase I/II HVDC-TF support costs are paid by that IRH and such costs are not included in the calculation of the Regional Network Service rate. The share of HQICC allocated to such an eligible IRH for a month is the sum in kilowatts of (1)(a) the IRH's percentage share, if any, of the Phase I Transfer Capability times (b) the Phase I Transfer Credit, plus (2)(a) the IRH's percentage share, if any, of the Phase II Transfer Capability, times (b) the Phase II Transfer Credit. The ISO shall establish appropriate HQICCs to apply for an IRH which has such a percentage share.

Import Capacity Resource means an Existing Import Capacity Resource or a New Import Capacity Resource offered to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from an external Control Area.

Inadvertent Energy Revenue is defined in Section III.3.2.1(o) of Market Rule 1.

Inadvertent Energy Revenue Charges or Credits is defined in Section III.3.2.1(p) of Market Rule 1.

Inadvertent Interchange means the difference between net actual energy flow and net scheduled energy flow into or out of the New England Control Area.

Increment Offer means an offer to sell energy at a specified Location in the Day-Ahead Energy Market which is not associated with a physical supply. An accepted Increment Offer results in scheduled supply at the specified Location in the Day-Ahead Energy Market.

Incremental ARR is an ARR provided in recognition of a participant-funded transmission system upgrade pursuant to Appendix C of this Market Rule.

Incremental ARR Holder is an entity which is the record holder of an Incremental Auction Revenue Right in the register maintained by the ISO.

Incremental Cost of Reliability Service is described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Independent Transmission Company (ITC) is a transmission entity that assumes certain responsibilities in accordance with Section 10.05 of the Transmission Operating Agreement and Attachment M to the OATT, subject to the acceptance or approval of the Commission and a finding of the Commission that the transmission entity satisfies applicable independence requirements.

Information Request is a request from a potential Disputing Party submitted in writing to the ISO for access to Confidential Information.

Initial Market Participant Financial Assurance Requirement is calculated for new Market Participants and Returning Market Participants, other than an FTR-Only Customer or a Governance Only Member, according to Section IV of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Installed Capacity Requirement means the level of capacity required to meet the reliability requirements defined for the New England Control Area, as described in Section III.12 of Market Rule 1.

Interchange Transactions are transactions deemed to be effected under Market Rule 1.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Interconnection Agreement is the “Large Generator Interconnection Agreement”, the “Small Generator Interconnection Agreement”, or the “Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement” pursuant to Schedules 22, 23 or 25 of the ISO OATT or an interconnection agreement approved by the Commission prior to the adoption of the Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Customer has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement** has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, or Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.~~

Interconnection Procedure is the “Large Generator Interconnection Procedures,”; the “Small Generator Interconnection Procedures,”; or the “Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures” pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, and 25 of the ISO OATT.

Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL) has the meaning specified in the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

Interconnection Request has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, or Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Interconnection Rights Holder(s) (IRH) has the meaning given to it in Schedule 20A to Section II of this Tariff.

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.~~

Interest is interest calculated in the manner specified in Section II.8.3.

Interface Bid is a unified real-time bid to simultaneously purchase and sell energy on each side of an external interface for which the enhanced scheduling procedures in Section III.1.10.7.A are implemented.

Intermittent Power Resource is a wind, solar, run of river hydro or other renewable resource or an aggregation of wind, solar, run of river hydro and other renewable resources that does not have control over its net power output.

Internal Bilateral for Load is an internal bilateral transaction under which the buyer receives a reduction in Real-Time Load Obligation and the seller receives a corresponding increase in Real-Time Load Obligation in the amount of the sale, in MWs. An Internal Bilateral for Load transaction is only applicable in the Real-Time Energy Market.

Internal Bilateral for Market for Energy is an internal bilateral transaction for Energy which applies in the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market or just the Real-Time Energy Market under which the buyer receives a reduction in Day-Ahead Adjusted Load Obligation and Real-Time Adjusted Load Obligation and the seller receives a corresponding increase in Day-Ahead Adjusted Load Obligation and Real-Time Adjusted Load Obligation in the amount of the sale, in MWs.

Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade (Internal ETU) is defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Internal Market Monitor means the department of the ISO responsible for carrying out the market monitoring and mitigation functions specified in Appendix A and elsewhere in Market Rule 1.

Interregional Planning Stakeholder Advisory Committee (IPSAC) is the committee described as such in the Northeast Planning Protocol.

Interregional Transmission Project is a transmission project located within the New England Control Area and one or more of the neighboring transmission planning regions.

Interruption Cost is the amount, in dollars, that must be paid to a Market Participant each time the Market Participant's Demand Response Resource is scheduled or dispatched in the New England Markets to reduce demand.

Inventoried Energy Day is an Operating Day that occurs in the months of December, January, or February during the winters of 2023-2024 and 2024-2025 (inventoried energy program) and for which the average of the high temperature and the low temperature on that Operating Day, as measured and reported

by the National Weather Service at Bradley International Airport in Windsor Locks, Connecticut, is less than or equal to 17 degrees Fahrenheit, as described in Section III.K.3.1 of Market Rule 1.

Investment Grade Rating, for a Market (other than an FTR-Only Customer) or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer, is either (a) a corporate investment grade rating from one or more of the Rating Agencies, or (b) if the Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer does not have a corporate rating from one of the Rating Agencies, then an investment grade rating for the Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's senior unsecured debt from one or more of the Rating Agencies.

Invoice is a statement issued by the ISO for the net Charge owed by a Covered Entity pursuant to the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Invoice Date is the day on which the ISO issues an Invoice.

ISO means ISO New England Inc.

ISO Charges, for the purposes of the ISO New England Billing Policy, are both Non-Hourly Charges and Hourly Charges.

ISO Control Center is the primary control center established by the ISO for the exercise of its Operating Authority and the performance of functions as an RTO.

ISO-Initiated Claimed Capability Audit is the audit performed pursuant to Section III.1.5.1.4.

ISO New England Administrative Procedures means procedures adopted by the ISO to fulfill its responsibilities to apply and implement ISO New England System Rules.

ISO New England Billing Policy is Exhibit ID to Section I of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

ISO New England Filed Documents means the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, including but not limited to Market Rule 1, the Participants Agreement, the Transmission Operating Agreement or other documents that affect the rates, terms and conditions of service.

ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy is Exhibit IA to Section I of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

ISO New England Information Policy is the policy establishing guidelines regarding the information received, created and distributed by Market Participants and the ISO in connection with the settlement, operation and planning of the System, as the same may be amended from time to time in accordance with the provisions of this Tariff. The ISO New England Information Policy is Attachment D to the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

ISO New England Manuals are the manuals implementing Market Rule 1, as amended from time to time in accordance with the Participants Agreement. Any elements of the ISO New England Manuals that substantially affect rates, terms, and/or conditions of service shall be filed with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

ISO New England Operating Documents are the Tariff and the ISO New England Operating Procedures.

ISO New England Operating Procedures (OPs) are the ISO New England Planning Procedures and the operating guides, manuals, procedures and protocols developed and utilized by the ISO for operating the ISO bulk power system and the New England Markets.

ISO New England Planning Procedures are the procedures developed and utilized by the ISO for planning the ISO bulk power system.

ISO New England System Rules are Market Rule 1, the ISO New England Information Policy, the ISO New England Administrative Procedures, the ISO New England Manuals and any other system rules, procedures or criteria for the operation of the New England Transmission System and administration of the New England Markets and the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

ITC Agreement is defined in Attachment M to the OATT.

ITC Rate Schedule is defined in Section 3.1 of Attachment M to the OATT.

ITC System is defined in Section 2.2 of Attachment M to the OATT.

ITC System Planning Procedures is defined in Section 15.4 of Attachment M to the OATT.

Joint ISO/RTO Planning Committee (JIPC) is the committee described as such in the Northeastern Planning Protocol.

Late Payment Account is a segregated interest-bearing account into which the ISO deposits Late Payment Charges due from ISO Charges and interest owed from participants for late payments that are collected and not distributed to the Covered Entities, until the Late Payment Account Limit is reached, under the ISO New England Billing Policy and penalties collected under the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Late Payment Account Limit is defined in Section 4.2 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Late Payment Charge is defined in Section 4.1 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Lead Market Participant, for purposes other than the Forward Capacity Market, is the entity authorized to submit Supply Offers, Demand Bids, Demand Reduction Offers or Baseline Deviation Offers for a Resource and to whom certain Energy TUs are assessed under Schedule 2 of Section IV.A of the Tariff. For purposes of the Forward Capacity Market, the Lead Market Participant is the entity designated to participate in that market on behalf of an Existing Capacity Resource or a New Capacity Resource.

Limited Energy Resource means a Generator Asset that, due to design considerations, environmental restriction on operations, cyclical requirements, such as the need to recharge or refill or manage water flow, or fuel limitations, are unable to operate continuously at full output on a daily basis.

Load Asset means a physical load that has been registered in accordance with the Asset Registration Process. A Load Asset can be an Asset Related Demand, including a Dispatchable Asset Related Demand.

Load Management means measures (e.g., products, equipment, systems, services, practices and/or strategies) on end-use customer facilities that curtail electrical usage or shift electrical usage while delivering a comparable or acceptable level of end-use service. Such measures include, but are not

limited to, energy management systems, load control end-use cycling, load curtailment strategies, and energy storage that curtails or shifts electrical usage by means other than generating electricity.

Load Shedding is the systematic reduction of system demand by temporarily decreasing load.

Load-Side Relationship Certification is a certification described in Section III.A.21.1.3 that a Project Sponsor submits as part of the New Capacity Qualification Package, New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package to demonstrate that the New Capacity Resource should not be subject to buyer-side market power review.

Load Zone is a Reliability Region, except as otherwise provided for in Section III.2.7 of Market Rule 1.

Local Area Facilities are defined in the TOA.

Local Benefit Upgrade(s) (LBU) is an upgrade, modification or addition to the transmission system that is: (i) rated below 115kV or (ii) rated 115kV or above and does not meet all of the non-voltage criteria for PTF classification specified in the OATT.

Local Control Centers are those control centers in existence as of the effective date of the OATT (including the CONVEX, REMVEC, Maine and New Hampshire control centers) or established by the PTOs in accordance with the TOA that are separate from the ISO Control Center and perform certain functions in accordance with the OATT and the TOA.

Local Delivery Service is the service of delivering electric energy to end users. This service is subject to state jurisdiction regardless of whether such service is provided over local distribution or transmission facilities. An entity that is an Eligible Customer under the OATT is not excused from any requirements of state law, or any order or regulation issued pursuant to state law, to arrange for Local Delivery Service with the Participating Transmission Owner and/or distribution company providing such service and to pay all applicable charges associated with such service, including charges for stranded costs and benefits.

Local Network is defined as the transmission facilities constituting a local network as identified in Attachment E, as such Attachment may be modified from time to time in accordance with the Transmission Operating Agreement.

Local Network Load is the load that a Network Customer designates for Local Network Service under Schedule 21 to the OATT.

Local Network RNS Rate is the rate applicable to Regional Network Service to effect a delivery to load in a particular Local Network, as determined in accordance with Schedule 9 to the OATT.

Local Network Service (LNS) is the network service provided under Schedule 21 and the Local Service Schedules to permit the Transmission Customer to efficiently and economically utilize its resources to serve its load.

Local Point-To-Point Service (LPTP) is Point-to-Point Service provided under Schedule 21 of the OATT and the Local Service Schedules to permit deliveries to or from an interconnection point on the PTF.

Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrade is any addition and/or upgrade to the New England Transmission System with a voltage level below 115kV that is required in connection with the construction of a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade approved for inclusion in the Regional System Plan pursuant to Attachment K to the ISO OATT or included in a Local System Plan in accordance with Appendix 1 to Attachment K.

Local Resource Adequacy Requirement is calculated pursuant to Section III.12.2.1.1.

Local Second Contingency Protection Resources are those Resources identified by the ISO on a daily basis as necessary for the provision of Operating Reserve requirements and adherence to NERC, NPCC and ISO reliability criteria over and above those Resources required to meet first contingency reliability criteria within a Reliability Region.

Local Service is transmission service provided under Schedule 21 and the Local Service Schedules thereto.

Local Service Schedule is a PTO-specific schedule to the OATT setting forth the rates, charges, terms and conditions applicable to Local Service.

Local Sourcing Requirement (LSR) is a value calculated as described in Section III.12.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

Local System Planning (LSP) is the process defined in Appendix 1 of Attachment K to the OATT.

Localized Costs are costs that the ISO, with advisory input from the Reliability Committee, determines in accordance with Schedule 12C of the OATT shall not be included in the Pool-Supported PTF costs recoverable under this OATT, or in costs allocated to Regional Network Load according to Section 6 of Schedule 12. If there are any Localized Costs, the ISO shall identify them in the Regional System Plan.

Location is a Node, External Node, Load Zone, DRR Aggregation Zone, or Hub.

Locational Marginal Price (LMP) is defined in Section III.2 of Market Rule 1. The Locational Marginal Price for a Node is the nodal price at that Node; the Locational Marginal Price for an External Node is the nodal price at that External Node; the Locational Marginal Price for a Load Zone, DRR Aggregation Zone or Reliability Region is the Zonal Price for that Load Zone, DRR Aggregation Zone or Reliability Region, respectively; and the Locational Marginal Price for a Hub is the Hub Price for that Hub.

~~**Long Lead Time Facility (Long Lead Facility)** has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22 and Schedule 25 of the OATT.~~

Long-Term is a term of one year or more.

Long-Term Transmission Outage is a long-term transmission outage scheduled in accordance with ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 3.

Longer-Term Transmission Study is a study conducted by the ISO pursuant to the process set out in Section 16 of Attachment K of the OATT. The 2050 Transmission Study shall be the first Longer-Term Transmission Study.

Loss Component is the component of the nodal LMP at a given Node or External Node on the PTF that reflects the cost of losses at that Node or External Node relative to the reference point. The Loss

Component of the nodal LMP at a given Node on the non-PTF system reflects the relative cost of losses at that Node adjusted as required to account for losses on the non-PTF system already accounted for through tariffs associated with the non-PTF. When used in connection with Hub Price or Zonal Price, the term Loss Component refers to the Loss Components of the nodal LMPs that comprise the Hub Price or Zonal Price, which Loss Components are averaged or weighted in the same way that nodal LMPs are averaged to determine Hub Price or weighted to determine Zonal Price.

Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) is the probability of disconnecting non-interruptible customers due to a resource deficiency.

Lost Opportunity Cost (LOC) is one of four forms of compensation that may be paid to resources providing VAR Service under Schedule 2 of the OATT.

LSE means load serving entity.

Lump Sum Blackstart Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.4 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Lump Sum Blackstart Capital Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.4 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Manual Response Rate is the rate, in MW/Minute, at which the output of a Generator Asset, or the consumption of a Dispatchable Asset Related Demand, is capable of changing.

Marginal Loss Revenue Load Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Marginal Reliability Impact is the change, with respect to an increment of capacity supply, in expected unserved energy due to resource deficiency, as measured in hours per year.

Market Credit Limit is a credit limit for a Market Participant's Financial Assurance Obligations (except FTR Financial Assurance Requirements) established for each Market Participant in accordance with Section II.C of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Market Credit Test Percentage is calculated in accordance with Section III.B.1(a) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Market Efficiency Needs Scenario is an Economic Study reference scenario that is described in Section 17.2(b) of Attachment K to the OATT.

Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade is defined as those additions and upgrades that are not related to the interconnection of a generator, and, in the ISO's determination, are designed to reduce bulk power system costs to load system-wide, where the net present value of the reduction in bulk power system costs to load system-wide exceeds the net present value of the cost of the transmission addition or upgrade. For purposes of this definition, the term "bulk power system costs to load system-wide" includes, but is not limited to, the costs of energy, capacity, reserves, losses and impacts on bilateral prices for electricity.

Market Participant is a participant in the New England Markets (including a FTR-Only Customer) that has executed a Market Participant Service Agreement, or on whose behalf an unexecuted Market Participant Service Agreement has been filed with the Commission.

Market Participant Financial Assurance Requirement is defined in Section III of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Market Participant Service Agreement (MPSA) is an agreement between the ISO and a Market Participant, in the form specified in Attachment A or Attachment A-1 to the Tariff, as applicable.

Market Rule 1 is ISO Market Rule 1 and appendices set forth in Section III of this ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, as it may be amended from time to time.

Market Violation is a tariff violation, violation of a Commission-approved order, rule or regulation, market manipulation, or inappropriate dispatch that creates substantial concerns regarding unnecessary market inefficiencies.

Material Adverse Change is any change in financial status including, but not limited to a downgrade to below an Investment Grade Rating by any Rating Agency, being placed on credit watch with negative implication by any Rating Agency if the Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission

Customer does not have an Investment Grade Rating, a bankruptcy filing or other insolvency, a report of a significant quarterly loss or decline of earnings, the resignation of key officer(s), the sanctioning of the Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer or any of its Principles imposed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Securities Exchange Commission, any exchange monitored by the National Futures Association, or any state entity responsible for regulating activity in energy markets; the filing of a material lawsuit that could materially adversely impact current or future financial results; a significant change in the Market Participant's or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer's credit default spreads; or a significant change in market capitalization.

Material Adverse Impact is defined, for purposes of review of ITC-proposed plans, as a proposed facility or project will be deemed to cause a "material adverse impact" on facilities outside of the ITC System if: (i) the proposed facility or project causes non-ITC facilities to exceed their capabilities or exceed their thermal, voltage or stability limits, consistent with all applicable reliability criteria, or (ii) the proposed facility or project would not satisfy the standards set forth in Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff. This standard is intended to assure the continued service of all non-ITC firm load customers and the ability of the non-ITC systems to meet outstanding transmission service obligations.

Maximum Capacity Limit is a value calculated as described in Section III.12.2.2 of Market Rule 1.

Maximum Consumption Limit is the maximum amount, in MW, available for economic dispatch from a DARD and is based on the physical characteristics as submitted as part of the DARD's Offer Data. A Market Participant must maintain an up-to-date Maximum Consumption Limit (and where applicable, must provide the ISO with any telemetry required by ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 18 to allow the ISO to maintain an updated Maximum Consumption Limit) for all hours in which a DARD has been offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

Maximum Daily Energy Limit is the maximum amount of megawatt-hours that a Limited Energy Resource expects to be able to generate in the next Operating Day.

Maximum Daily Consumption Limit is the maximum amount of megawatt-hours that a Storage DARD expects to be able to consume in the next Operating Day.

Maximum Facility Load is the highest demand of an end-use customer facility since the start of the prior calendar year (or, if unavailable, an estimate thereof), where the demand evaluated is established by adding metered demand measured at the Retail Delivery Point and the output of all generators located behind the Retail Delivery Point in the same time intervals.

Maximum Interruptible Capacity is an estimate of the maximum demand reduction and Net Supply that a Demand Response Asset can deliver, as measured at the Retail Delivery Point.

Maximum Load is the highest demand since the start of the prior calendar year (or, if unavailable, an estimate thereof), as measured at the Retail Delivery Point.

Maximum Number of Daily Starts is the maximum number of times that a Binary Storage DARD or a Generator Asset can be started or that a Demand Response Resource can be interrupted in the next Operating Day under normal operating conditions.

Maximum Reduction is the maximum available demand reduction, in MW, of a Demand Response Resource that a Market Participant offers to deliver in the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market, as reflected in the Demand Response Resource's Demand Reduction Offer.

Measure Life is the estimated time an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource measure will remain in place, or the estimated time period over which the facility, structure, equipment or system in which a measure is installed continues to exist, whichever is shorter. Suppliers of On-Peak Demand Resources or Seasonal Peak Demand Resources comprised of an aggregation of measures with varied Measures Lives shall determine and document the Measure Life either: (i) for each type of measure with a different Measure Life and adjust the aggregate performance based on the individual measure life calculation in the portfolio; or (ii) as the average Measure Life for the aggregated measures as long as the demand reduction capability of the resource is greater than or equal to the amount that cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction or reconfiguration auction for the entire Capacity Commitment Period, and the demand reduction capability for an Existing On-Peak Demand Resource or Existing Seasonal Peak Demand Resource is not over-stated in a subsequent Capacity Commitment Period. Measure Life shall be determined consistent with the resource's Measurement and Verification Plan, which shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure consistency with the measurement and verification requirements of Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

Measurement and Verification Documents mean the measurement and verification documents described in Section 13.1.4.3.1 of Market Rule 1 that are submitted by On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources, which include Measurement and Verification Plans, Updated Measurement and Verification Plans, Measurement and Verification Summary Reports, and Measurement and Verification Reference Reports.

Measurement and Verification Plan means the measurement and verification plan submitted by an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource as part of the qualification process for the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to the requirements of Section III.13.1.4.3 of Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

Measurement and Verification Reference Reports are optional reports submitted by On-Peak Demand Resources or Seasonal Peak Demand Resources during the Capacity Commitment Period subject to the schedule in the Measurement and Verification Plan and consistent with the schedule and reporting standards set forth in the ISO New England Manuals. Measurement and Verification Reference Reports update the prospective demand reduction capability of the On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource project based on measurement and verification studies performed during the Capacity Commitment Period.

Measurement and Verification Summary Report is the monthly report submitted by an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource with the monthly settlement report for the Forward Capacity Market, which documents the total demand reduction capability for all On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources in operation as of the end of the previous month.

MEPCO Grandfathered Transmission Service Agreement (MG TSA) is a MEPCO long-term firm point-to-point transmission service agreement with a POR or POD at the New Brunswick border and a start date prior to June 1, 2007 where the holder has elected, by written notice delivered to MEPCO within five (5) days following the filing of the settlement agreement in Docket Nos. ER07-1289 and EL08-56 or by September 1, 2008 (whichever is later), MG TSA treatment as further described in Section II.45.1.

Merchant Transmission Facilities (MTF) are the transmission facilities owned by MTOs, defined and classified as MTF pursuant to Schedule 18 of the OATT, over which the ISO shall exercise Operating

Authority in accordance with the terms set forth in a MTOA or Attachment K to the OATT, rated 69 kV or above and required to allow energy from significant power sources to move freely on the New England Transmission System.

Merchant Transmission Facilities Provider (MTF Provider) is an entity as defined in Schedule 18 of the OATT.

Merchant Transmission Facilities Service (MTF Service) is transmission service over MTF as provided for in Schedule 18 of the OATT.

Merchant Transmission Operating Agreement (MTOA) is an agreement between the ISO and an MTO with respect to its MTF.

Merchant Transmission Owner (MTO) is an owner of MTF.

Meter Data Error means an error in meter data, including an error in Coincident Peak Contribution values, on an Invoice issued by the ISO after the completion of the Data Reconciliation Process as described in the ISO New England Manuals and in Section III.3.8 of Market Rule 1.

Meter Data Error RBA Submission Limit means the date thirty 30 calendar days after the issuance of the Invoice containing the results of the Data Reconciliation Process as described in the ISO New England Manuals and in Section III.3.6 of Market Rule 1.

Metered Quantity For Settlement is defined in Section III.3.2.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

Minimum Consumption Limit is (a) the lowest consumption level, in MW, available for economic dispatch from a DARD and is based on the physical characteristics as submitted as part of the DARD's Offer Data, and (b) for a DARD undergoing Facility and Equipment Testing or auditing, the level to which the DARD requests and is approved to operate or is directed to operate for purposes of completing the Facility and Equipment Testing or auditing.

Minimum Down Time is the number of hours that must elapse after a Generator Asset or Storage DARD has been released for shutdown at or below its Economic Minimum Limit or Minimum Consumption

Limit before the Generator Asset or Storage DARD can be brought online and be released for dispatch at its Economic Minimum Limit or Minimum Consumption Limit.

Minimum Generation Emergency means an Emergency declared by the ISO in which the ISO anticipates requesting one or more Generator Assets to operate at or below Economic Minimum Limit in order to manage, alleviate, or end the Emergency.

Minimum Generation Emergency Credits are those Real-Time Dispatch NCPC Credits calculated pursuant to Appendix F of Market Rule 1 for resources within a reliability region that are dispatched during a period for which a Minimum Generation Emergency has been declared.

Minimum Reduction is the minimum available demand reduction, in MW, of a Demand Response Resource that a Market Participant offers to deliver in the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market, as reflected in the Demand Response Resource's Demand Reduction Offer.

Minimum Reduction Time is the minimum number of hours of demand reduction at or above the Minimum Reduction for which the ISO must dispatch a Demand Response Resource to reduce demand.

Minimum Run Time is the number of hours that a Generator Asset must remain online after it has been scheduled to reach its Economic Minimum Limit before it can be released for shutdown from its Economic Minimum Limit or the number of hours that must elapse after a Storage DARD has been scheduled to consume at its Minimum Consumption Limit before it can be released for shutdown.

Minimum Time Between Reductions is the number of hours that must elapse after a Demand Response Resource has received a Dispatch Instruction to stop reducing demand before the Demand Response Resource can achieve its Minimum Reduction after receiving a Dispatch Instruction to start reducing demand.

Minimum Total Reserve Requirement, which does not include Replacement Reserve, is the combined amount of TMSR, TMNSR, and TMOR required system-wide as described in Section III.2.7A and ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

Monthly Blackstart Service Charge is the charge made to Transmission Customers pursuant to Section 6 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Monthly Capacity Payment is the Forward Capacity Market payment described in Section III.13.7.3 of Market Rule 1.

Monthly Peak is defined in Section II.21.2 of the OATT.

Monthly Real-Time Demand Reduction Obligation is the absolute value of a Customer's hourly Real-Time Demand Reduction Obligation summed for all hours in a month, in MWhs.

Monthly Real-Time Generation Obligation is the sum, for all hours in a month, at all Locations, of a Customer's Real-Time Generation Obligation, in MWhs.

Monthly Real-Time Load Obligation is the absolute value of a Customer's hourly Real-Time Load Obligation summed for all hours in a month, in MWhs.

Monthly Regional Network Load is defined in Section II.21.2 of the OATT.

Monthly Statement is the first weekly Statement issued on a Monday after the ninth of a calendar month that includes both the Hourly Charges for the relevant billing period and Non-Hourly Charges for the immediately preceding calendar month.

MRI Transition Period is the period specified in Section III.13.2.2.1.

MUI is the market user interface.

Municipal Market Participant is defined in Section II of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

MW is megawatt.

MWh is megawatt-hour.

Native Load Customers are the wholesale and retail power customers of a Transmission Owner on whose behalf the Transmission Owner, by statute, franchise, regulatory requirement, or contract, has

undertaken an obligation to construct and operate its system to meet the reliable electric needs of such customers.

NCPC Charge means the charges to Market Participants calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

NCPC Credit means the credits to Market Participants calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Needs Assessment is defined in Section 4.1 of Attachment K to the OATT.

NEMA, for purposes of Section III of the Tariff, is the Northeast Massachusetts Reliability Region.

NEMA Contract is a contract described in Appendix C of Market Rule 1 and listed in Exhibit 1 of Appendix C of Market Rule 1.

NEMA Load Serving Entity (NEMA LSE) is a Transmission Customer or Congestion Paying LSE Entity that serves load within NEMA.

NEMA or Northeast Massachusetts Upgrade, for purposes of Section II of the Tariff, is an addition to or modification of the PTF into or within the Northeast Massachusetts Reliability Region that was not, as of December 31, 1999, the subject of a System Impact Study or application filed pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff; that is not related to generation interconnections; and that will be completed and placed in service by June 30, 2004. Such upgrades include, but are not limited to, new transmission facilities and related equipment and/or modifications to existing transmission facilities and related equipment. The list of NEMA Upgrades is contained in Schedule 12A of the OATT.

NEPOOL is the New England Power Pool, and the entities that collectively participated in the New England Power Pool.

NEPOOL Agreement is the agreement among the participants in NEPOOL.

NEPOOL GIS is the generation information system.

NEPOOL GIS Administrator is the entity or entities that develop, administer, operate and maintain the NEPOOL GIS.

NEPOOL GIS API Fees are the one-time on-boarding fees and annual maintenance fees charged to NEPOOL by the NEPOOL GIS Administrator for each NEPOOL Participant or Market Participant that accesses the NEPOOL GIS through an application programming interface pursuant to Rule 3.9(b) of the operating rules of the NEPOOL GIS.

NEPOOL Participant is a party to the NEPOOL Agreement.

NERC is the North American Electric Reliability Corporation or its successor organization.

NESCOE is the New England States Committee on Electricity, recognized by the Commission as the regional state committee for the New England Control Area.

Net Commitment Period Compensation (NCPC) is the compensation methodology for Resources that is described in Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Net CONE is an estimate of the Cost of New Entry, net of non-capacity market revenues, for a reference technology resource type and is intended to equal the amount of capacity revenue the reference technology resource would require to be economically viable given reasonable expectations of the energy and ancillary services revenues under long-term equilibrium conditions.

Net Regional Clearing Price is described in Section III.13.7.5 of Market Rule 1.

Net Supply is energy injected into the transmission or distribution system at a Retail Delivery Point.

Net Supply Capability is the maximum Net Supply a facility is physically and contractually able to inject into the transmission or distribution system at its Retail Delivery Point.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Network Customer is a Transmission Customer receiving RNS or LNS.

Network Import Capability (NI Capability) is defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Network Import Interconnection Service (NI Interconnection Service) is defined in Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Network Resource is defined as follows: (1) With respect to Market Participants, (a) any generating resource located in the New England Control Area which has been placed in service prior to the Compliance Effective Date (including a unit that has lost its capacity value when its capacity value is restored and a deactivated unit which may be reactivated without satisfying the requirements of Section II.46 of the OATT in accordance with the provisions thereof) until retired; (b) any generating resource located in the New England Control Area which is placed in service after the Compliance Effective Date until retired, provided that (i) the Generator Owner has complied with the requirements of Sections II.46 and II.47 and Schedules 22 and 23 of the OATT, and (ii) the output of the unit shall be limited in accordance with Sections II.46 and II.47 and Schedules 22 and 23, if required; and (c) any generating resource or combination of resources (including bilateral purchases) located outside the New England Control Area for so long as any Market Participant has an Ownership Share in the resource or resources which is being delivered to it in the New England Control Area to serve Regional Network Load located in the New England Control Area or other designated Regional Network Loads contemplated by Section II.18.3 of the OATT taking Regional Network Service. (2) With respect to Non-Market Participant Transmission Customers, any generating resource owned, purchased or leased by the Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer which it designates to serve Regional Network Load.

Network Upgrades has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

New Brunswick Security Energy is defined in Section III.3.2.6A of Market Rule 1.

New Capacity Offer is an offer in the Forward Capacity Auction to provide capacity from a New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource, New Demand Capacity Resource, or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

New Capacity Qualification Deadline is a deadline, specified in Section III.13.1.10 of Market Rule 1, for submission of certain qualification materials for the Forward Capacity Auction, as discussed in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Capacity Qualification Package is information submitted by certain new resources prior to participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Capacity Resource is a resource (i) that never previously received any payment as a capacity resource including any capacity payment pursuant to the market rules in effect prior to June 1, 2010 and that has not cleared in any previous Forward Capacity Auction; or (ii) that is otherwise eligible to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Capacity Resource.

New Capacity Show of Interest Form is described in Section III.13.1.1.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window is the period of time during which a Project Sponsor may submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form, a New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form, or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form, as described in Section III.13.1.10 of Market Rule 1.

New Demand Capacity Resource is a type of Demand Capacity Resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.4.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package is the information that a Project Sponsor must submit, in accordance with Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2 of Market Rule 1, for each resource that it seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource.

New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form is described in Section III.13.1.4.1.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is a type of Distributed Energy Capacity Resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.4A.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package is the information that a Project Sponsor must submit, in accordance with Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2 of Market Rule 1, for each resource that it seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form is described in Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

New England Control Area is the Control Area for New England, which includes PTF, Non-PTF, MTF and OTF. The New England Control Area covers Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, and part of Maine (i.e., excluding the portions of Northern Maine and the northern portion of Eastern Maine which are in the Maritimes Control Area).

New England Markets are markets or programs for the purchase of energy, capacity, ancillary services, demand response services or other related products or services (including Financial Transmission Rights) that are delivered through or useful to the operation of the New England Transmission System and that are administered by the ISO pursuant to rules, rates, or agreements on file from time to time with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

New England System Restoration Plan is the plan that is developed by ISO, in accordance with NERC Reliability Standards, NPCC regional criteria and standards, ISO New England Operating Documents and ISO operating agreements, to facilitate the restoration of the New England Transmission System following a partial or complete shutdown of the New England Transmission System.

New England Transmission System is the system of transmission facilities, including PTF, Non-PTF, OTF and MTF, within the New England Control Area under the ISO's operational jurisdiction.

New Generating Capacity Resource is a type of resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.1.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

New Import Capacity Resource is a type of resource participating in the Forward Capacity Market, as defined in Section III.13.1.3.4 of Market Rule 1.

New Resource Offer Floor Price is defined in Section III.A.21.3.

NMPTC means Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer.

NMPTC Credit Threshold is described in Section V.A.2 of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

NMPTC Financial Assurance Requirement is an amount of additional financial assurance for Non-Market Participant Transmission Customers described in Section V.D of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Node is a point on the New England Transmission System at which LMPs are calculated.

No-Load Fee is the amount, in dollars per hour, for a Generator Asset that must be paid to Market Participants with an Ownership Share in the Generator Asset for being scheduled in the New England Markets, in addition to the Start-Up Fee and price offered to supply energy, for each hour that the Generator Asset is scheduled in the New England Markets.

Nominated Consumption Limit is the consumption level specified by the Market Participant for a Dispatchable Asset Related Demand as adjusted in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.7.5.1.3.

Non-Commercial Capacity is the capacity of a New Capacity Resource or an Existing Capacity Resource, or portion thereof, that has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

Non-Commercial Capacity Cure Period is the time period described in Section VII.D of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Non-Commercial Capacity Financial Assurance Amount (Non-Commercial Capacity FA Amount) is the financial assurance amount held on Non-Commercial Capacity cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction as calculated in accordance with Section VII.B.2 of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Non-Designated Blackstart Resource Study Cost Payments are the study costs reimbursed under Section 5.3 of Schedule 16 of the OATT.

Non-Dispatchable Resource is any Resource that does not meet the requirements to be a Dispatchable Resource.

Non-Hourly Charges are defined in Section 1.3 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Non-Hourly Requirements are determined in accordance with Section III.A(ii) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy, which is Exhibit 1A of Section I of the Tariff.

Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer is a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that: (i) is not currently a PTO; (ii) has a transmission project listed in the RSP Project List; and (iii) has executed a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer Operating Agreement. “Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer” also includes a PTO that proposes the development of a transmission facility not located within or connected to its existing electric system; however, because such a PTO is a party to the TOA, it is not required to enter into a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer Operating Agreement.

Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer Operating Agreement (or NTDOA) is an agreement between the ISO and a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer in the form specified in Attachment O to the OATT that sets forth their respective rights and responsibilities to each other with regard to proposals for and construction of certain transmission facilities.

Non-Market Participant is any entity that is not a Market Participant.

Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer is any entity which is not a Market Participant but is a Transmission Customer.

Non-Municipal Market Participant is defined in Section II of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Non-PTF Transmission Facilities (Non-PTF) are the transmission facilities owned by the PTOs that do not constitute PTF, OTF or MTF.

Non-Qualifying means a Market Participant that is not a Credit Qualifying Market Participant.

Notice of RBA is defined in Section 6.3.2 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Notification Time is the time required for a Generator Asset to synchronize to the system from the time a startup Dispatch Instruction is received from the ISO.

Northeastern Planning Protocol is the Amended and Restated Northeastern ISO/RTO Planning Coordination Protocol on file with the Commission and posted on the ISO website at the following URL: www.iso-ne.com/static-assets/documents/2015/07/northeastern_protocol_dmeast.doc.

NPCC is the Northeast Power Coordinating Council.

Obligation Month means a time period of one calendar month for which capacity payments are issued and the costs associated with capacity payments are allocated.

Offer Data means the scheduling, operations planning, dispatch, new Resource, and other data, including Generator Asset, Dispatchable Asset Related Demand, and Demand Response Resource operating limits based on physical characteristics, and information necessary to schedule and dispatch Generator Assets, Dispatchable Asset Related Demands, and Demand Response Resources for the provision or consumption of energy, the provision of other services, and the maintenance of the reliability and security of the transmission system in the New England Control Area, and specified for submission to the New England Markets for such purposes by the ISO.

Offered CLAIM10 is a Supply Offer value or a Demand Reduction Offer value between 0 and the CLAIM10 of the resource that represents the amount of TMNSR available either from an off-line Fast Start Generator or from a Fast Start Demand Response Resource that has not been dispatched.

Offered CLAIM30 is a Supply Offer value or a Demand Reduction Offer value between 0 and the CLAIM30 of the resource that represents the amount of TMOR available either from an off-line Fast Start Generator or from a Fast Start Demand Response Resource that has not been dispatched.

On-Peak Demand Resource is a type of Demand Capacity Resource and means installed measures (e.g., products, equipment, systems, services, practices and/or strategies) on end-use customer facilities that reduce the total amount of electrical energy consumed during Demand Resource On-Peak Hours, while delivering a comparable or acceptable level of end-use service. Such measures include Energy Efficiency, Load Management, and Distributed Generation.

Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) is the ISO information system and standards of conduct responding to requirements of 18 C.F.R. §37 of the Commission's regulations and all additional requirements implemented by subsequent Commission orders dealing with OASIS.

Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) is Section II of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

Operating Authority is defined pursuant to a MTOA, an OTOA, the TOA or the OATT, as applicable.

Operating Data means GADS Data, data equivalent to GADS Data, CARL Data, metered load data, or actual system failure occurrences data, all as described in the ISO New England Operating Procedures.

Operating Day means the calendar day period beginning at midnight for which transactions on the New England Markets are scheduled.

Operating Reserve means Ten-Minute Spinning Reserve (TMSR), Ten-Minute Non-Spinning Reserve (TMNSR) and Thirty-Minute Operating Reserve (TMOR).

Operations Date is February 1, 2005.

OTF Service is transmission service over OTF as provided for in Schedule 20.

Other Transmission Facility (OTF) are the transmission facilities owned by Transmission Owners, defined and classified as OTF pursuant to Schedule 20, over which the ISO shall exercise Operating Authority in accordance with the terms set forth in the OTOA, rated 69 kV or above, and required to allow energy from significant power sources to move freely on the New England Transmission System. OTF classification shall be limited to the Phase I/II HVDC-TF.

Other Transmission Operating Agreements (OTOA) is the agreement(s) between the ISO, an OTO and/or the associated service provider(s) with respect to an OTF, which includes the HVDC Transmission Operating Agreement and the Phase I/II HVDC-TF Transmission Service Administration Agreement. With respect to the Phase I/II HVDC-TF, the HVDC Transmission Operating Agreement covers the rights and responsibilities for the operation of the facility and the Phase I/II HVDC-TF Transmission Service

Administration Agreement covers the rights and responsibilities for the administration of transmission service.

Other Transmission Owner (OTO) is an owner of OTF.

Ownership Share is a right or obligation, for purposes of settlement, to a percentage share of all credits or charges associated with a Generator Asset or a Load Asset, where such facility is interconnected to the New England Transmission System.

Participant Expenses are defined in Section 1 of the Participants Agreement.

Participant Required Balance is defined in Section 5.3 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Participant Vote is defined in Section 1 of the Participants Agreement.

Participants Agreement is the agreement among the ISO, the New England Power Pool and Individual Participants, as amended from time to time, on file with the Commission.

Participants Committee is the principal committee referred to in the Participants Agreement.

Participating Transmission Owner (PTO) is a transmission owner that is a party to the TOA.

Passive DR Audit is the audit performed pursuant to Section III.13.6.1.5.4.

Passive DR Auditing Period is the summer Passive DR Auditing Period (June 1 to August 31) or winter Passive DR Auditing Period (December 1 to January 31) applicable to On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources.

Payment is a sum of money due to a Covered Entity from the ISO.

Payment Default Shortfall Fund is defined in Section 5.1 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Permanent De-list Bid is a bid that may be submitted by an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy

Capacity Resource in the Forward Capacity Auction to permanently remove itself from the capacity market, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5 of Market Rule 1.

Phase I Transfer Credit is 40% of the HQICC, or such other fraction of the HQICC as the ISO may establish.

Phase I/II HVDC-TF is defined in Schedule 20A to Section II of this Tariff.

Phase I/II HVDC-TF Transfer Capability is the transfer capacity of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF under normal operating conditions, as determined in accordance with Good Utility Practice. The “Phase I Transfer Capability” is the transfer capacity under normal operating conditions, as determined in accordance with Good Utility Practice, of the Phase I terminal facilities as determined initially as of the time immediately prior to Phase II of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF first being placed in service, and as adjusted thereafter only to take into account changes in the transfer capacity which are independent of any effect of Phase II on the operation of Phase I. The “Phase II Transfer Capability” is the difference between the Phase I/II HVDC-TF Transfer Capability and the Phase I Transfer Capability. Determinations of, and any adjustment in, Phase I/II HVDC-TF Transfer Capability shall be made by the ISO, and the basis for any such adjustment shall be explained in writing and posted on the ISO website.

Phase One Proposal is a first round submission, as defined in Section 4.3 of Attachment K of the OATT, of a proposal for a Reliability Transmission Upgrade or Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade, as applicable, by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Phase II Transfer Credit is 60% of the HQICC, or such other fraction of the HQICC as the ISO may establish.

Phase Two Solution is a second round submission, as defined in Section 4.3 of Attachment K of the OATT, of a proposal for a Reliability Transmission Upgrade or Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Planning Advisory Committee is the committee described in Attachment K of the OATT.

Planning and Reliability Criteria is defined in Section 3.3 of Attachment K to the OATT.

Planning Authority is an entity defined as such by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation.

Point(s) of Delivery (POD) is point(s) of interconnection where capacity and/or energy transmitted by a Transmission Customer will be made available to the Receiving Party under the OATT.

Point of Interconnection shall have the same meaning as that used for purposes of Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of the OATT.

Point(s) of Receipt (POR) is point(s) of interconnection where capacity and/or energy transmitted by a Transmission Customer will be made available by the Delivering Party under the OATT.

Point-To-Point Service is the transmission of capacity and/or energy on either a firm or non-firm basis from the Point(s) of Receipt to the Point(s) of Delivery under the OATT pursuant to Local Point-To-Point Service or OTF Service or MTF Service; and the transmission of capacity and/or energy from the Point(s) of Receipt to the Point(s) of Delivery under the OATT pursuant to Through or Out Service.

Policy Scenario is an Economic Study reference scenario that is described in Section 17.2(c) of Attachment K to the OATT.

Pool-Planned Unit is one of the following units: New Haven Harbor Unit 1 (Coke Works), Mystic Unit 7, Canal Unit 2, Potter Unit 2, Wyman Unit 4, Stony Brook Units 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A and 2B, Millstone Unit 3, Seabrook Unit 1 and Waters River Unit 2 (to the extent of 7 megawatts of its Summer capability and 12 megawatts of its Winter capability).

Pool PTF Rate is the transmission rate determined in accordance with Schedule 8 to the OATT.

Pool RNS Rate is the transmission rate determined in accordance with paragraph (2) of Schedule 9 of Section II of the Tariff.

Pool-Scheduled Resources are described in Section III.1.10.2 of Market Rule 1.

Pool Supported PTF is defined as: (i) PTF first placed in service prior to January 1, 2000; (ii) Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades with respect to Category A and B projects (as defined in Schedule 11), but only to the extent not paid for by the interconnecting Generator Owner; and (iii) other PTF upgrades,

but only to the extent the costs therefore are determined to be Pool Supported PTF in accordance with Schedule 12.

Pool Transmission Facility (PTF) means the transmission facilities owned by PTOs which meet the criteria specified in Section II.49 of the OATT.

Posting Entity is any Market Participant or Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer providing financial security under the provisions of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Posture means an action of the ISO to deviate from the jointly optimized security constrained economic dispatch for Energy and Operating Reserves solution for a Resource produced by the ISO's technical software for the purpose of maintaining sufficient Operating Reserve (both online and off-line) or for the provision of voltage or VAR support.

Posturing Credits are the Real-Time Posturing NCPC Credits for Generators (Other Than Limited Energy Resources) Postured for Reliability and the Real-Time Posturing NCPC Credit for Limited Energy Resources Postured for Reliability.

Power Purchaser is the entity that is purchasing the capacity and/or energy to be transmitted under the OATT.

Principal is (i) the sole proprietor of a sole proprietorship; (ii) a general partner of a partnership; (iii) a president, chief executive officer, chief operating officer or chief financial officer (or equivalent position) of an organization; (iv) a manager, managing member or a member vested with the management authority for a limited liability company or limited liability partnership; (v) any person or entity that has the power to exercise a controlling influence over an organization's activities that are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, any exchange monitored by the National Futures Association, or any state entity responsible for regulating activity in energy markets; or (vi) any person or entity that: (a) is the direct owner of 10% or more of any class of an organization's equity securities; or (b) has directly contributed 10% or more of an organization's capital.

Profiled Load Assets include all Load Assets that are not directly metered by OP-18 compliant metering as currently described in Section IV (Metering and Recording for Settlements) of OP18, and some Load

Assets that are measured by OP-18 compliant metering (as currently described in Section IV of OP-18) to which the Host Participant Assigned Meter Reader allocates non-PTF losses.

Project Sponsor is an entity seeking to have a New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource, New Demand Capacity Resource, or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource participate in the Forward Capacity Market, as described in Section III.13.

Proxy De-List Bid is a type of bid used in the Forward Capacity Market.

Provisional Member is defined in Section I.68A of the Restated NEPOOL Agreement.

PTO Administrative Committee is the committee referred to in Section 11.04 of the TOA.

Public Policy Requirement is a requirement reflected in a statute enacted by, or a regulation promulgated by, the federal government or a state or local (e.g., municipal or county) government.

Public Policy Transmission Study is a study conducted by the ISO pursuant to the process set out in Section 4A.3 of Attachment K of the OATT, and consists of two phases: (i) an initial phase to produce a rough estimate of the costs and benefits of concepts that could meet transmission needs driven by public policy requirements; and (ii) a follow-on phase designed to produce more detailed analysis and engineering work on transmission concepts identified in the first phase.

Public Policy Local Transmission Study is a study conducted by a PTO pursuant to the process set out in Section 1.6 of Attachment K Appendix 1 of the OATT, and consists of two phases: (i) an initial phase to produce an estimate of the costs and benefits of concepts that could meet transmission needs driven by public policy requirements; and (ii) a follow-on phase designed to produce more detailed analysis and engineering work on transmission concepts identified in the first phase.

Public Policy Transmission Upgrade is an addition and/or upgrade to the New England Transmission System that meets the voltage and non-voltage criteria for Public Policy Transmission Upgrade PTF classification specified in the OATT, and has been included in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List as a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade pursuant to the procedures described in Section 4A of Attachment K of the OATT.

Publicly Owned Entity is defined in Section I of the Restated NEPOOL Agreement.

Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit is described in Section III.13.1.9.3 of Market Rule 1.

Qualified Capacity is the amount of capacity a resource may provide in the summer or winter in a Capacity Commitment Period, as determined in the Forward Capacity Market qualification processes.

Qualified Generator Reactive Resource(s) is any generator source of dynamic reactive power that meets the criteria specified in Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Qualified Non-Generator Reactive Resource(s) is any non-generator source of dynamic reactive power that meets the criteria specified in Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Qualified Reactive Resource(s) is any Qualified Generator Reactive Resource and/or Qualified Non-Generator Reactive Resource that meets the criteria specified in Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is defined in Sections 4B.2 and 4B.3 of Attachment K of the OATT.

Queue Position has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Attachment 1 to Schedule 23, and Section I of Schedule 25 of the OATT.

Rapid Response Pricing Asset is: (i) a Fast Start Generator; (ii) a Flexible DNE Dispatchable Generator; or (iii) a Binary Storage DARD with Offer Data specifying a Minimum Run Time and a Minimum Down Time not exceeding one hour each. A Rapid Response Pricing Asset shall also include a Fast Start Demand Response Resource for which the Market Participant's Offer Data meets the following criteria: (i) Minimum Reduction Time does not exceed one hour; and (ii) Demand Response Resource Notification Time plus Demand Response Resource Start-Up Time does not exceed 30 minutes.

Rapid Response Pricing Opportunity Cost is the NCPC Credit described in Section III.F.2.3.10.

Rated means a Market Participant that receives a credit rating from one or more of the Rating Agencies, or, if such Market Participant is not rated by one of the Rating Agencies, then a Market Participant that has outstanding unsecured debt rated by one or more of the Rating Agencies.

Rating Agencies are Standard and Poor's (S&P), Moody's, and Fitch.

Rationing Minimum Limit is the MW quantity for a New Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Generating Capacity Resource below which an offer or bid may not be rationed in the Forward Capacity Auction, but shall not apply to supply offers or demand bids in a substitution auction as specified in Section III.13.2.8.2 and Section III.13.2.8.3.

RBA Decision is a written decision provided by the ISO to a Disputing Party and to the Chair of the NEPOOL Budget and Finance Subcommittee accepting or denying a Requested Billing Adjustment within twenty Business Days of the date the ISO distributes a Notice of RBA, unless some later date is agreed upon by the Disputing Party and the ISO.

Reactive Capability Audit is an audit that measures the ability of a Reactive Resource to provide or absorb reactive power to or from the transmission system at a specified real power output or consumption.

Reactive Resource is a device that dynamically adjusts reactive power output automatically in Real-Time over a continuous range, taking into account control system response bandwidth, within a specified voltage bandwidth in response to grid voltage changes. These resources operate to maintain a set-point voltage and include, but are not limited to, Generator Assets, Dispatchable Asset Related Demands that are part of an Electric Storage Facility, and dynamic transmission devices.

Reactive Supply and Voltage Control Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Real-Time is a period in the current Operating Day for which the ISO dispatches Resources for energy and Regulation, designates Resources for Regulation and Operating Reserve and, if necessary, commits additional Resources.

Real-Time Adjusted Load Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Adjusted Load Obligation Deviation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Commitment NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Congestion Revenue is defined in Section III.3.2.1(i) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Demand Reduction Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(c) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Demand Reduction Obligation Deviation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(e) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Dispatch NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Energy Inventory is a component of the spot payment that a Market Participant may receive through the inventoried energy program, as described in Section III.K.3.2.1 of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Energy Market means the purchase or sale of energy, purchase of demand reductions, payment of Congestion Costs, and payment for losses for quantity deviations from the Day-Ahead Energy Market in the Operating Day and designation of and payment for provision of Operating Reserve in Real-Time.

Real-Time Energy Market Deviation Congestion Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(g) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Energy Market Deviation Energy Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(g) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Energy Market Deviation Loss Charge/Credit is defined in Section III.3.2.1(g) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Energy Market NCPC Credits are the Real-Time Commitment NCPC Credit and the Real-Time Dispatch NCPC Credit.

Real-Time External Transaction NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Generation Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Generation Obligation Deviation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time High Operating Limit is the maximum output, in MW, of a Generator Asset that could be achieved, consistent with Good Utility Practice, in response to an ISO request for Energy (including pursuant to Section III.13.6.4 of Market Rule 1), for each hour of the Operating Day, as reflected in the Generator Asset's Offer Data. This value is based on real-time operating conditions and the physical operating characteristics and operating permits of the facility and must be submitted for all Generator Assets (other than Settlement Only Resources).

Real-Time Load Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Load Obligation Deviation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Locational Adjusted Net Interchange is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Locational Adjusted Net Interchange Deviation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(d) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Loss Revenue is defined in Section III.3.2.1(l) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Loss Revenue Charges or Credits are defined in Section III.3.2.1(m) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time NCP Load Obligation is the maximum hourly value, during a month, of a Market Participant's Real-Time Load Obligation summed over all Locations, excluding exports, in kilowatts.

Real-Time Offer Change is a modification to a Supply Offer pursuant to Section III.1.10.9(b).

Real-Time Posturing NCPC Credit for Generators (Other Than Limited Energy Resources)

Postured for Reliability is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Posturing NCPC Credit for Limited Energy Resources Postured for Reliability is an

NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Prices means the Locational Marginal Prices resulting from the ISO's dispatch of the New England Markets in the Operating Day.

Real-Time Reserve Charge is a Market Participant's share of applicable system and Reserve Zone Real-Time Operating Reserve costs attributable to meeting the Real-Time Operating Reserve requirement as calculated in accordance with Section III.10 of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Reserve Clearing Price is the Real-Time TMSR, TMNSR or TMOR clearing price, as applicable, for the system and each Reserve Zone that is calculated in accordance with Section III.2.7A of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Reserve Credit is a Market Participant's compensation associated with that Market Participant's Resources' Reserve Quantity For Settlement as calculated in accordance with Section III.10 of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Reserve Designation is the amount, in MW, of Operating Reserve designated to a Resource in Real-Time by the ISO as described in Section III.1.7.19 of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Reserve Opportunity Cost is defined in Section III.2.7A(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time SATOA Obligation is defined in Section III.3.2.1(b) of Market Rule 1.

Real-Time Synchronous Condensing NCPC Credit is an NCPC Credit calculated pursuant to Appendix F to Market Rule 1.

Real-Time System Adjusted Net Interchange means, for each hour, the sum of Real-Time Locational Adjusted Net Interchange for a Market Participant over all Locations, in kilowatts.

Receiving Party is the entity receiving the capacity and/or energy transmitted to Point(s) of Delivery under the OATT.

Reference Level is defined in Section III.A.5.7 of Appendix A of Market Rule 1.

Regional Benefit Upgrade(s) (RBU) means a Transmission Upgrade that: (i) is rated 115kV or above; (ii) meets all of the non-voltage criteria for PTF classification specified in the OATT; and (iii) is included in the Regional System Plan as either a Reliability Transmission Upgrade or a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade identified as needed pursuant to Attachment K of the OATT. The category of RBU shall not include any Transmission Upgrade that has been categorized under any of the other categories specified in Schedule 12 of the OATT (e.g., an Elective Transmission Upgrade shall not also be categorized as an RBU). Any upgrades to transmission facilities rated below 115kV that were PTF prior to January 1, 2004 shall remain classified as PTF and be categorized as an RBU if, and for so long as, such upgrades meet the criteria for PTF specified in the OATT.

Regional Network Load is the load that a Network Customer designates for Regional Network Service under Part II.B of the OATT. The Network Customer's Regional Network Load shall include all load designated by the Network Customer (including losses). A Network Customer may elect to designate less than its total load as Regional Network Load but may not designate only part of the load at a discrete Point of Delivery. Where a Transmission Customer has elected not to designate a particular load at discrete Points of Delivery as Regional Network Load, the Transmission Customer is responsible for making separate arrangements under Part II.C of the OATT for any Point-To-Point Service that may be necessary for such non-designated load. A Network Customer's Monthly Regional Network Load shall be calculated in accordance with Section II.21.2 of the OATT.

Regional Network Service (RNS) is the transmission service over the PTF described in Part II.B of the OATT, including such service which is used with respect to Network Resources or Regional Network Load that is not physically interconnected with the PTF.

Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process is described in Section 12 of Attachment K to the OATT.

Regional System Plan (RSP) is the plan developed under the process specified in Attachment K of the OATT.

Regional Transmission Service (RTS) is Regional Network Service and Through or Out Service as provided over the PTF in accordance with Section II.B, Section II.C, Schedule 8 and Schedule 9 of the OATT.

Regulation is the capability of a specific Resource with appropriate telecommunications, control and response capability to respond to an AGC SetPoint.

Regulation and Frequency Response Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 3 of the OATT. The capability of performing Regulation and Frequency Response Service is referred to as automatic generation control (AGC).

Regulation Capacity is the lesser of five times the Automatic Response Rate and one-half of the difference between the Regulation High Limit and the Regulation Low Limit of a Resource capable of providing Regulation.

Regulation Capacity Requirement is the amount of Regulation Capacity required to maintain system control and reliability in the New England Control Area as calculated and posted on the ISO website.

Regulation Capacity Offer is an offer by a Market Participant to provide Regulation Capacity.

Regulation High Limit is an offer parameter that establishes the upper bound for AGC SetPoints and is used in the determination of a Resource's Regulation Capacity.

Regulation Low Limit is an offer parameter that establishes the lower bound for AGC SetPoints and is used in the determination of a Resource's Regulation Capacity.

Regulation Market is the market described in Section III.14 of Market Rule 1.

Regulation Resources are those Alternative Technology Regulation Resources, Generator Assets, and Dispatchable Asset Related Demands that satisfy the requirements of Section III.14.2. Regulation Resources are eligible to participate in the Regulation Market.

Regulation Service is the change in output or consumption made in response to changing AGC SetPoints.

Regulation Service Requirement is the estimated amount of Regulation Service required to maintain system control and reliability in the New England Control Area as calculated and posted on the ISO website.

Regulation Service Offer is an offer by a Market Participant to provide Regulation Service.

Related Person is defined pursuant to Section 1.1 of the Participants Agreement.

Related Transaction is defined in Section III.1.4.3 of Market Rule 1.

Reliability Administration Service (RAS) is the service provided by the ISO, as described in Schedule 3 of Section IV.A of the Tariff, in order to administer the Reliability Markets and provide other reliability-related and informational functions.

Reliability Committee is the committee whose responsibilities are specified in Section 8.2.3 of the Participants Agreement.

Reliability Markets are, collectively, the ISO's administration of Regulation, the Forward Capacity Market, and Operating Reserve.

Reliability Region means any one of the regions identified on the ISO's website. Reliability Regions are intended to reflect the operating characteristics of, and the major transmission constraints on, the New England Transmission System.

Reliability Transmission Upgrade means those additions and upgrades not required by the interconnection of a generator that are nonetheless necessary to ensure the continued reliability of the New England Transmission System, taking into account load growth and known resource changes, and include those upgrades necessary to provide acceptable stability response, short circuit capability and system voltage levels, and those facilities required to provide adequate thermal capability and local voltage levels that cannot otherwise be achieved with reasonable assumptions for certain amounts of generation being unavailable (due to maintenance or forced outages) for purposes of long-term planning

studies. Good Utility Practice, applicable reliability principles, guidelines, criteria, rules, procedures and standards of ERO and NPCC and any of their successors, applicable publicly available local reliability criteria, and the ISO System Rules, as they may be amended from time to time, will be used to define the system facilities required to maintain reliability in evaluating proposed Reliability Transmission Upgrades. A Reliability Transmission Upgrade may provide market efficiency benefits as well as reliability benefits to the New England Transmission System.

Remittance Advice is an issuance from the ISO for the net Payment owed to a Covered Entity where a Covered Entity's total Payments exceed its total Charges in a billing period.

Remittance Advice Date is the day on which the ISO issues a Remittance Advice.

Renewable Technology Resource is a Generating Capacity Resource or an On-Peak Demand Resource that satisfies the requirements specified in Section III.13.1.1.1.7.

Re-Offer Period is the period that normally occurs between the posting of the of the Day-Ahead Energy Market results and 2:00 p.m. on the day before the Operating Day during which a Market Participant may submit revised Supply Offers, revised External Transactions, or revised Demand Bids associated with Dispatchable Asset Related Demands or, revised Demand Reduction Offers associated with Demand Response Resources.

Replacement Reserve is described in Part III, Section VII of ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

Request for Alternative Proposals (RFAP) is the request described in Attachment K of the OATT.

Requested Billing Adjustment (RBA) is defined in Section 6.1 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Required Balance is an amount as defined in Section 5.3 of the Billing Policy.

Reseller is a MGTTSA holder that sells, assigns or transfers its rights under its MGTTSA, as described in Section II.45.1(a) of the OATT.

Reserve Adequacy Analysis is the analysis performed by the ISO to determine if adequate Resources are committed to meet forecasted load, Operating Reserve, and security constraint requirements for the current and next Operating Day.

Reserve Constraint Penalty Factors (RCPFs) are rates, in \$/MWh, that are used within the Real-Time dispatch and pricing algorithm to reflect the value of Operating Reserve shortages and are defined in Section III.2.7A(c) of Market Rule 1.

Reserve Quantity For Settlement is defined in Section III.10.1 of Market Rule 1.

Reserve Zone is defined in Section III.2.7 of Market Rule 1.

Reserved Capacity is the maximum amount of capacity and energy that is committed to the Transmission Customer for transmission over the New England Transmission System between the Point(s) of Receipt and the Point(s) of Delivery under Part II.C or Schedule 18, 20 or 21 of the OATT, as applicable. Reserved Capacity shall be expressed in terms of whole kilowatts on a sixty-minute interval (commencing on the clock hour) basis, or, in the case of Reserved Capacity for Local Point-to-Point Service, in terms of whole megawatts on a sixty-minute interval basis.

Resource means a Generator Asset, a Dispatchable Asset Related Demand, an External Resource, an External Transaction, a Demand Response Resource, a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, or a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation.

Restated New England Power Pool Agreement (RNA) is the Second Restated New England Power Pool Agreement, which restated for a second time by an amendment dated as of August 16, 2004 the New England Power Pool Agreement dated September 1, 1971, as the same may be amended and restated from time to time, governing the relationship among the NEPOOL members.

Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone is a single Capacity Zone made up of the adjacent Load Zones that are neither export-constrained nor import-constrained.

Rest of System is an area established under Section III.2.7(d) of Market Rule 1.

Retail Delivery Point is the point on the transmission or distribution system at which the load of an end-use facility, which is metered and assigned a unique account number by the Host Participant, is measured to determine the amount of energy delivered to the facility from the transmission and distribution system. If an end-use facility is connected to the transmission or distribution system at more than one location, the Retail Delivery Point shall consist of the metered load at each connection point, summed to measure the net energy delivered to the facility in each interval.

Retirement De-List Bid is a bid to retire an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource from all New England Markets, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.

Returning Market Participant is a Market Participant, other than an FTR-Only Customer or a Governance Only Member, whose previous membership as a Market Participant was involuntarily terminated due to a Financial Assurance Default or a payment default and, since returning, has been a Market Participant for less than six consecutive months.

Revenue Requirement is defined in Section IV.A.2.1 of the Tariff.

Reviewable Action is defined in Section III.D.1.1 of Appendix D of Market Rule 1.

Reviewable Determination is defined in Section 12.4(a) of Attachment K to the OATT.

RSP Project List is defined in Section 1 of Attachment K to the OATT.

RTEP02 Upgrade(s) means a Transmission Upgrade that was included in the annual NEPOOL Transmission Plan (also known as the “Regional Transmission Expansion Plan” or “RTEP”) for the year 2002, as approved by ISO New England Inc.’s Board of Directors, or the functional equivalent of such Transmission Upgrade, as determined by ISO New England Inc. The RTEP02 Upgrades are listed in Schedule 12B of the OATT.

RTO is a regional transmission organization or comparable independent transmission organization that complies with Order No. 2000 and the Commission’s corresponding regulation.

Same Reserve Zone Export Transaction is defined in Section III.1.10.7(f)(iii) of Market Rule 1.

Schedule, Schedules, Schedule 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are references to the individual or collective schedules to Section IV.A. of the Tariff.

Schedule 20A Service Provider (SSP) is defined in Schedule 20A to Section II of this Tariff.

Scheduling Service, for purposes of Section IV.A and Section IV.B of the Tariff, is the service described in Schedule 1 to Section IV.A of the Tariff.

Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service, for purposes of Section II of the Tariff, is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 1 of the OATT.

Seasonal Claimed Capability is the summer or winter claimed capability of a Generator Asset or Generating Capacity Resource, and represents the maximum dependable load carrying ability of the asset or resource, excluding capacity required for station use.

Seasonal Claimed Capability Audit is the Generator Asset audit performed pursuant to Section III.1.5.1.3.

Seasonal DR Audit is the Demand Response Resource audit performed pursuant to Section III.1.5.1.3.1.

Seasonal Peak Demand Resource is a type of Demand Capacity Resource and shall mean installed measures (e.g., products, equipment, systems, services, practices and/or strategies) on end-use customer facilities that reduce the total amount of electrical energy consumed during Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours, while delivering a comparable or acceptable level of end-use service. Such measures include Energy Efficiency, Load Management, and Distributed Generation.

Section III.1.4 Transactions are defined in Section III.1.4.2 of Market Rule 1.

Section III.1.4 Conforming Transactions are defined in Section III.1.4.2 of Market Rule 1.

Security Agreement is Attachment 1 to the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that proposed the Phase Two or Stage Two Solution that has been identified by the ISO as the preferred Phase Two or Stage Two Solution.

Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement is the agreement between the ISO and a Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor. The Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement is provided in Attachment P to the OATT.

Self-Schedule is the action of a Market Participant in committing its Generator Asset or DARD, in accordance with applicable ISO New England Manuals, to provide service in an hour, whether or not in the absence of that action the Generator Asset or DARD would have been committed by the ISO to provide the service. For a Generator Asset, Self-Schedule is the action of a Market Participant in committing a Generator Asset to provide Energy in an hour at its Economic Minimum Limit, whether or not in the absence of that action the Generator Asset would have been committed by the ISO to provide the Energy. For a DARD, Self-Schedule is the action of a Market Participant in committing a DARD to consume Energy in an hour at its Minimum Consumption Limit, whether or not in the absence of that action the DARD would have been committed by the ISO to consume Energy. For an External Transaction, a Self-Schedule is a request by a Market Participant for the ISO to select the External Transaction regardless of the LMP. Demand Response Resources are not permitted to Self-Schedule.

Self-Supplied FCA Resource is described in Section III.13.1.6 of Market Rule 1.

Senior Officer means an officer of the subject entity with the title of vice president (or similar office) or higher, or another officer designated in writing to the ISO by that officer.

Service Agreement is a Transmission Service Agreement or an MPSA.

Service Commencement Date is the date service is to begin pursuant to the terms of an executed Service Agreement, or the date service begins in accordance with the sections of the OATT addressing the filing of unexecuted Service Agreements.

Services means, collectively, the Scheduling Service, EAS and RAS; individually, a Service.

Settlement Financial Assurance is an amount of financial assurance required from a Designated FTR Participant awarded a bid in an FTR Auction. This amount is calculated pursuant to Section VI.C of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation (SODERA) is a type of Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation and is described in additional detail in Section III.6.6.

Settlement Only Resources are generators of less than 5 MW of maximum net output when operating at any temperature at or above zero degrees Fahrenheit, that meet the metering, interconnection and other requirements in ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 14 and that have elected Settlement Only Resource treatment as described in the ISO New England Manual for Registration and Performance Auditing.

Shortfall Funding Arrangement, as specified in Section 5.1 of the ISO New England Billing Policy, is a separate financing arrangement that can be used to make up any non-congestion related differences between amounts received on Invoices and amounts due for ISO Charges in any bill issued.

Short-Term is a period of less than one year.

Significantly Reduced Congestion Costs are defined in Section III.G.2.2 of Appendix G to Market Rule 1.

SMD Effective Date is March 1, 2003.

Solar High Limit is the estimated power output (MW) of a solar Generator Asset given the Real-Time solar and weather conditions, taking into account equipment outages, and absent any self-imposed reductions in power output or any reduction in power output as a result of a Dispatch Instruction, calculated in the manner described in the ISO Operating Documents.

Solar Plant Future Availability is the forecasted Real-Time High Operating Limit of a solar Generator Asset, calculated in the manner described in the ISO Operating Documents.

Solutions Study is described in Section 4.2(b) of Attachment K to the OATT.

Special Constraint Resource (SCR) is a Resource that provides Special Constraint Resource Service under Schedule 19 of the OATT.

Special Constraint Resource Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 19 of the OATT.

Specified-Term Blackstart Capital Payment is the annual compensation level, as calculated pursuant to Section 5.1 of Schedule 16 of the OATT, for a Designated Blackstart Resource's capital Blackstart Equipment costs associated with the provision of Blackstart Service (except for capital costs associated with adhering to NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards as part of Blackstart Service).

Sponsored Policy Resource is a New Capacity Resource, each asset of which: receives a revenue source, other than revenues from ISO-administered markets, that is supported by a government-regulated rate, charge, or other regulated cost recovery mechanism, and; qualifies as a renewable, clean, zero carbon, or alternative energy asset under a renewable energy portfolio standard, clean energy standard, decarbonization or net-zero carbon standard, alternative energy portfolio standard, renewable energy goal, clean energy goal, or decarbonization or net-zero carbon goal enacted by federal or New England state statute, regulation, or executive or administrative order and as a result of which the asset receives the revenue source.

Stage One Proposal is a first round submission, as defined in Sections 4A.5 of Attachment K of the OATT, of a proposal for a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Stage Two Solution is a second round submission, as defined in Section 4A.5 of Attachment K of the OATT, of a proposal for a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Stakeholder-Requested Scenario is an Economic Study reference scenario that is described in Section 17.2(d) of Attachment K to the OATT.

Standard Blackstart Capital Payment is the annual compensation level, as calculated pursuant to Section 5.1 of Schedule 16 of the OATT, for a Designated Blackstart Resource's capital Blackstart

Equipment costs associated with the provision of Blackstart Service (except for capital costs associated with adhering to NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards as part of Blackstart Service).

Start-of-Round Price is the highest price associated with a round of a Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.1 of Market Rule 1.

Start-Up Fee is the amount, in dollars, that must be paid for a Generator Asset to Market Participants with an Ownership Share in the Generator Asset each time the Generator Asset is scheduled in the New England Markets to start-up.

Start-Up Time is the time it takes the Generator Asset, after synchronizing to the system, to reach its Economic Minimum Limit and, for dispatchable Generator Assets, be ready for further dispatch by the ISO.

State Estimator means the computer model of power flows specified in Section III.2.3 of Market Rule 1.

State-identified Requirement refers to a legal requirement, mandate or policy of a New England state or local government that forms the basis for a Longer-Term Transmission Study request submitted to the ISO pursuant to the process set out in Section 16 of Attachment K of the OATT.

Statements, for the purpose of the ISO New England Billing Policy, refer to both Invoices and Remittance Advices.

Static De-List Bid is a bid that may be submitted by an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource in the Forward Capacity Auction to remove itself from the capacity market for a one year period, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

Station is one or more Existing Generating Capacity Resources consisting of one or more assets located within a common property boundary.

Station Going Forward Common Costs are the net costs associated with a Station that are avoided only by the clearing of the Static De-List Bids, the Permanent De-List Bids or the Retirement De-List Bids of all the Existing Generating Capacity Resources comprising the Station.

Station-level Blackstart O&M Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Station-level Specified-Term Blackstart Capital Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Station-level Standard Blackstart Capital Payment is defined and calculated as specified in Section 5.1.2 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Storage as Transmission-Only Asset (SATO) is electric storage equipment that: (1) is connected to or to be connected to Pool Transmission Facilities in the New England Transmission System at a voltage level of 115 kV or higher; (2) the ISO approved to be included in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List as a regulated transmission solution and Pool Transmission Facility pursuant to the regional system planning processes in Attachment K of the OATT; and (3) is capable of receiving energy only from the Pool Transmission Facilities and storing the energy for later injection to the Pool Transmission Facilities.

Storage DARD is a DARD that participates in the New England Markets as part of an Electric Storage Facility, as described in Section III.1.10.6 of Market Rule 1.

Substation Network Upgrades has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

Summer ARA Qualified Capacity is described in Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.1 of Market Rule 1.

Summer Capability Period means one of two time periods defined by the ISO for the purposes of rating and auditing resources pursuant to Section III.9. The time period associated with the Summer Capability Period is the period of June 1 through September 30.

Summer Intermittent Reliability Hours are defined in Section III.13.1.2.2.2.1(c) of Market Rule 1.

Supply Offer is a proposal to furnish energy at a Node or Regulation from a Resource that meets the applicable requirements set forth in the ISO New England Manuals submitted to the ISO by a Market Participant with authority to submit a Supply Offer for the Resource. The Supply Offer will be submitted pursuant to Market Rule 1 and applicable ISO New England Manuals, and include a price and information with respect to the quantity proposed to be furnished, technical parameters for the Resource, timing and other matters. A Supply Offer is a subset of the information required in a Market Participant's Offer Data.

Supply Offer Block-Hours are Block-Hours assigned to the Lead Market Participant for each Supply Offer. Blocks of the Supply Offer in effect for each hour will be totaled to determine the quantity of Supply Offer Block-Hours for a given day. In the case that a Resource has a Real-Time unit status of "unavailable" for the entire day, that day will not contribute to the quantity of Supply Offer Block-Hours. However, if the Resource has at least one hour of the day with a unit status of "available," the entire day will contribute to the quantity of Supply Offer Block-Hours.

Synchronous Condenser is a generator that is synchronized to the grid but supplying no energy for the purpose of providing Operating Reserve or VAR or voltage support.

System Condition is a specified condition on the New England Transmission System or on a neighboring system, such as a constrained transmission element or flowgate, that may trigger Curtailment of Long-Term Firm MTF or OTF Service on the MTF or the OTF using the curtailment priority pursuant to Section II.44 of the Tariff or Curtailment of Local Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service on the non-PTF using the curtailment priority pursuant to Schedule 21 of the Tariff. Such conditions must be identified in the Transmission Customer's Service Agreement.

System Impact Study is an assessment pursuant to Part II.B, II.C, II.G, Schedule 21, Schedule 22, Schedule 23, or Schedule 25 of the OATT of (i) the adequacy of the PTF or Non-PTF to accommodate a request for the interconnection of a new or materially changed generating unit or a new or materially changed interconnection to another Control Area or new Regional Network Service or new Local Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade, and (ii) whether any additional costs may be required to be incurred in order to provide the interconnection or transmission service.

System Network Upgrades has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

System Operator shall mean ISO New England Inc. or a successor organization.

System Operating Limit (SOL) has the meaning specified in the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve is the demand curve used in the Forward Capacity Market as specified in Section III.13.2.2.

TADO is the total amount due and owing (not including any amounts due under Section 14.1 of the RNA) at such time to the ISO, NEPOOL, the PTOs, the Market Participants and the Non-Market Participant Transmission Customers, by all PTOs, Market Participants and Non-Market Participant Transmission Customers.

Tangible Net Worth is the value, determined in accordance with international accounting standards or generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, of all of that entity's assets less the following: (i) assets the ISO reasonably believes to be restricted or potentially unavailable to settle a claim in the event of a default (e.g., regulatory assets, restricted assets, and Affiliate assets), net of any matching liabilities, to the extent that the result of that netting is a positive value; (ii) derivative assets, net of any matching liabilities, to the extent that the result of that netting is a positive value; (iii) the amount at which the liabilities of the entity would be shown on a balance sheet in accordance with international accounting standards or generally accepted accounting principles in the United States; (iv) preferred stock; (v) non-controlling interest; and (vi) all of that entity's intangible assets (e.g., patents, trademarks, franchises, intellectual property, goodwill and any other assets not having a physical existence), in each case as shown on the most recent financial statements provided by such entity to the ISO.

Technical Committee is defined in Section 8.2 of the Participants Agreement.

Ten-Minute Non-Spinning Reserve (TMNSR) is a form of ten-minute reserve capability, determined pursuant to Section III.1.7.19.2.

Ten-Minute Non-Spinning Reserve Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 6 of the OATT.

Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement is the combined amount of TMSR and TMNSR required system-wide as described in Section III.2.7A and ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

Ten-Minute Spinning Reserve (TMSR) is a form of ten-minute reserve capability, determined pursuant to Section III.1.7.19.2.

Ten-Minute Spinning Reserve Requirement is the amount of TMSR required system-wide as described in Section III.2.7A and ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

Ten-Minute Spinning Reserve Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 5 of the OATT.

Third-Party Sale is any sale for resale in interstate commerce to a Power Purchaser that is not designated as part of Regional Network Load or Local Network Load under the Regional Network Service or Local Network Service, as applicable.

Thirty-Minute Operating Reserve (TMOR) is a form of thirty-minute reserve capability, determined pursuant to Section III.1.7.19.2.

Thirty-Minute Operating Reserve Service is the form of Ancillary Service described in Schedule 7 of the OATT.

Through or Out Rate (TOUT Rate) is the rate per hour for Through or Out Service, as defined in Section II.25.2 of the OATT.

Through or Out Service (TOUT Service) means Point-To-Point Service over the PTF provided by the ISO with respect to a transaction that goes through the New England Control Area, as, for example, a single transaction where energy or capacity is transmitted into the New England Control Area from New Brunswick and subsequently out of the New England Control Area to New York, or a single transaction where energy or capacity is transmitted into the New England Control Area from New York through one point on the PTF and subsequently flows over the PTF prior to passing out of the New England Control

Area to New York, or with respect to a transaction which originates at a point on the PTF and flows over the PTF prior to passing out of the New England Control Area, as, for example, from Boston to New York.

Tie-Line Asset is a physical transmission tie-line, or an inter-state or intra-state border arrangement created according to the ISO New England Manuals and registered in accordance with the Asset Registration Process.

Total Available Amount is the sum of the available amount of the Shortfall Funding Arrangement and the balance in the Payment Default Shortfall Fund.

Total Blackstart Capital Payment is the annual compensation calculated under either Section 5.1 or Section 5.2 of Schedule 16 of the OATT, as applicable.

Total Blackstart Service Payments is monthly compensation to Blackstart Owners or Market Participants, as applicable, and as calculated pursuant to Section 5.6 of Schedule 16 to the OATT.

Total Reserve Requirement, which includes Replacement Reserve, is the combined amount of TMSR, TMNSR, and TMOR required system-wide as described in Section III.2.7A and ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

Total System Capacity is the aggregate capacity supply curve for the New England Control Area as determined in accordance with Section III.13.2.3.3 of Market Rule 1.

Transaction Unit (TU) is a type of billing determinant under Schedule 2 of Section IV.A of the Tariff used to assess charges to Customers.

Transition Period: The six-year period commencing on March 1, 1997.

Transmission Charges, for the purposes of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy and the ISO New England Billing Policy, are all charges and payments under Schedules 1, 8 and 9 of the OATT.

Transmission Congestion Credit means the allocated share of total Transmission Congestion Revenue credited to each holder of Financial Transmission Rights, calculated and allocated as specified in Section III.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Transmission Congestion Revenue is defined in Section III.5.2.5(a) of Market Rule 1.

Transmission Constraint Penalty Factors are described in Section III.1.7.5 of Market Rule 1.

Transmission Credit Limit is a credit limit, not to be used to meet FTR Requirements, established for each Market Participant in accordance with Section II.D and each Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer in accordance with Section V.B.2 of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Transmission Credit Test Percentage is calculated in accordance with Section III.B.1(c) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Transmission Customer is any Eligible Customer that (i) executes, on its own behalf or through its Designated Agent, an MPSA or TSA, or (ii) requests in writing, on its own behalf or through its Designated Agent, that the ISO, the Transmission Owner, or the Schedule 20A Service Provider, as applicable, file with the Commission, a proposed unexecuted MPSA or TSA containing terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the ISO (in consultation with the applicable PTO, OTO or Schedule 20A Service Provider) in order that the Eligible Customer may receive transmission service under Section II of this Tariff. A Transmission Customer under Section II of this Tariff includes a Market Participant or a Non-Market Participant taking Regional Network Service, Through or Out Service, MTF Service, OTF Service, Ancillary Services, or Local Service.

Transmission Default Amount is all or any part of any amount of Transmission Charges due to be paid by any Covered Entity that the ISO, in its reasonable opinion, believes will not or has not been paid when due.

Transmission Default Period is defined in Section 3.4.f of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Transmission Late Payment Account is defined in Section 4.2 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Transmission Late Payment Account Limit is defined in Section 4.2 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Transmission Late Payment Charge is defined in Section 4.1 of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (Tariff) is the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, as amended from time to time.

Transmission Obligations are determined in accordance with Section III.A(vi) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Transmission Operating Agreement (TOA) is the Transmission Operating Agreement between and among the ISO and the PTOs, as amended and restated from time to time.

Transmission Owner means a PTO, MTO or OTO.

Transmission Provider is the ISO for Regional Network Service and Through or Out Service as provided under Section II.B and II.C of the OATT; Cross-Sound Cable, LLC for Merchant Transmission Service as provided under Schedule 18 of the OATT; the Schedule 20A Service Providers for Phase I/II HVDC-TF Service as provided under Schedule 20A of the OATT; and the Participating Transmission Owners for Local Service as provided under Schedule 21 of the OATT.

Transmission Requirements are determined in accordance with Section III.A(iii) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Transmission Security Analysis Requirement shall be determined pursuant to Section III.12.2.1.2.

Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) is the initial agreement and any amendments or supplements thereto: (A) in the form specified in either Attachment A or B to the OATT, entered into by the Transmission Customer and the ISO for Regional Network Service or Through or Out Service; (B) entered into by the Transmission Customer with the ISO and PTO in the form specified in Attachment A to Schedule 21 of the OATT; (C) entered into by the Transmission Customer with an OTO or Schedule 20A Service Provider in the appropriate form specified under Schedule 20 of the OATT; or (D) entered into by the Transmission Customer with a MTO in the appropriate form specified under Schedule 18 of

the OATT. A Transmission Service Agreement shall be required for Local Service, MTF Service and OTF Service, and shall be required for Regional Network Service and Through or Out Service if the Transmission Customer has not executed a MPSA.

Transmission Upgrade(s) means an upgrade, modification or addition to the PTF that becomes subject to the terms and conditions of the OATT governing rates and service on the PTF on or after January 1, 2004. This categorization and cost allocation of Transmission Upgrades shall be as provided for in Schedule 12 of the OATT.

Transitional Cluster Study has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section 1 of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

Transitional CNR Group Study has the meaning specified in Section I of Schedule 22, Section I of 23, and Section I of 25 of the OATT.

UDS is unit dispatch system software.

Unconstrained Export Transaction is defined in Section III.1.10.7(f)(iv) of Market Rule 1.

Uncovered Default Amount is defined in Section 3.3(i) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Uncovered Transmission Default Amounts are defined in Section 3.4.f of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unrated means a Market Participant that is not a Rated Market Participant.

Unsecured Covered Entity is, collectively, an Unsecured Municipal Market Participant and an Unsecured Non-Municipal Covered Entity.

Unsecured Municipal Default Amount is defined in Section 3.3(i) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unsecured Municipal Market Participant is defined in Section 3.3(h) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unsecured Municipal Transmission Default Amount is defined in Section 3.4.f of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unsecured Non-Municipal Covered Entity is a Covered Entity that is not a Municipal Market Participant or a Non-Market Participant Transmission Customer and has a Market Credit Limit or Transmission Credit Limit of greater than \$0 under the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Unsecured Non-Municipal Default Amount is defined in Section 3.3(i) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unsecured Non-Municipal Transmission Default Amount is defined in Section 3.3(i) of the ISO New England Billing Policy.

Unsecured Transmission Default Amounts are, collectively, the Unsecured Municipal Transmission Default Amount and the Unsecured Non-Municipal Transmission Default Amount.

Unsettled FTR Financial Assurance is an amount of financial assurance required from a Designated FTR Participant as calculated pursuant to Section VI.B of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Updated Measurement and Verification Plan is an optional Measurement and Verification Plan that may be submitted as part of a subsequent qualification process for a Forward Capacity Auction prior to the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period of the On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Response project. The Updated Measurement and Verification Plan may include updated project specifications, measurement and verification protocols, and performance data as described in Section III.13.1.4.3.1.2 of Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

VAR CC Rate is the CC rate paid to Qualified Reactive Resources for VAR Service capability under Section IV.A of Schedule 2 of the OATT.

VAR Payment is the payment made to Qualified Reactive Resources for VAR Service capability under Section IV.A of Schedule 2 of the OATT.

VAR Service is the provision of reactive power voltage support to the New England Transmission System by a Qualified Reactive Resource or by other generators that are dispatched by the ISO to provide dynamic reactive power as described in Schedule 2 of the OATT.

Virtual Cap is \$2,000/MWh.

Virtual Requirements are determined in accordance with Section III.A(iv) of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Volt Ampere Reactive (VAR) is a measurement of reactive power.

Volumetric Measure (VM) is a type of billing determinant under Schedule 2 of Section IV.A of the Tariff used to assess charges to Customers under Section IV.A of the Tariff.

Wind High Limit is the estimated power output (MW) of a wind Generator Asset given the Real-Time weather conditions, taking into account equipment outages, and absent any self-imposed reductions in power output or any reduction in power output as a result of a Dispatch Instruction, calculated in the manner described in the ISO Operating Documents.

Wind Plant Future Availability is the forecasted Real-Time High Operating Limit of a wind Generator Asset, calculated in the manner described in the ISO Operating Documents.

Winter ARA Qualified Capacity is described in Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.2 of Market Rule 1.

Winter Capability Period means one of two time periods defined by the ISO for the purposes of rating and auditing resources pursuant to Section III.9. The time period associated with the Winter Capability Period is the period October 1 through May 31.

Winter Intermittent Reliability Hours are defined in Section III.13.1.2.2.2.2(c) of Market Rule 1.

Year means a period of 365 or 366 days, whichever is appropriate, commencing on, or on the anniversary of March 1, 1997. Year One is the Year commencing on March 1, 1997, and Years Two and higher follow it in sequence.

Zonal Price is calculated in accordance with Section III.2.7 of Market Rule 1.

Zonal Capacity Obligation is calculated in accordance with Section III.13.7.5.2 of Market Rule 1.

Zonal Reserve Requirement is the combined amount of TMSR, TMNSR, and TMOR required for a Reserve Zone as described in Section III.2.7A and ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 8.

III.13. Forward Capacity Market.

The ISO shall administer a forward market for capacity (“Forward Capacity Market”) in accordance with the provisions of this Section III.13. For each one-year period from June 1 through May 31, starting with the period June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2011, for which Capacity Supply Obligations are assumed and payments are made in the Forward Capacity Market (“Capacity Commitment Period”), the ISO shall conduct a Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.2 to procure the amount of capacity needed in the New England Control Area and in each modeled Capacity Zone during the Capacity Commitment Period, as determined in accordance with the provisions of Section III.12. To be eligible to assume a Capacity Supply Obligation for a Capacity Commitment Period through the Forward Capacity Auction, a resource must be accepted in the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.1.

III.13.A Forward Capacity Market Interim Provisions.

III.13.A.1 Interim Forward Capacity Auction Schedules.

Notwithstanding any other any dates, date ranges and/or deadlines for activities related to the Forward Capacity Auction established in or pursuant to any provision of the ISO New England Operating Documents, for the nineteenth, twentieth, twenty-first, twenty-second, twenty-third, twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth Forward Capacity Auctions (associated with the 2028-2029, 2029-2030, 2030-2031, 2031-2032, 2032-2033, 2033-2034, and 2034-2035 Capacity Commitment Periods, respectively), the following provisions apply.

For the nineteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period), the dates, date ranges and/or deadlines for activities related to the Forward Capacity Auction established in or pursuant to any provision of the ISO New England Operating Documents shall not apply and shall be delayed by one calendar year.

For the nineteenth, twentieth, twenty-first, twenty-second, twenty-third and twenty-fourth Forward Capacity Auctions (associated with the 2028-2029, 2029-2030, 2030-2031, 2031-2032, 2032-2033 and 2033-2034 Capacity Commitment Periods, respectively), the first annual reconfiguration auction as specified in Section III.13.4 that is typically held in the month of June, approximately 24 months before the start of the applicable Capacity Commitment Period, shall not be conducted.

For the twentieth, twenty-first, twenty-second, twenty-third, twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth Forward Capacity Auctions (associated with the 2029-2030, 2030-2031, 2031-2032, 2032-2033, 2033-2034, and 2034-2035 Capacity Commitment Periods, respectively), the Forward Capacity Auction, and the qualification process for each such auction, shall be conducted under a 10-month timeline in accordance with the key dates set forth in the schedule below. For each Forward Capacity Auction specified in the table below, the ISO shall publish the dates, date ranges and deadlines for activities related to the respective Forward Capacity Auction no later than six months before the applicable notification to Lead Market Participants of their Existing Capacity Resource’s summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity values as specified in Section III.13.1.2.3(a).

Capacity Commitment Period	Forward Capacity Auction Date	Revised annual reconfiguration auction Dates (as applicable)
2029-2030	December 2026	Second annual reconfiguration auction August 2028; third annual reconfiguration auction March 2029
2030-2031	October 2027	Second annual reconfiguration auction August 2029; third annual reconfiguration auction March 2030
2031-2032	August 2028	Second annual reconfiguration auction August 2030; third annual reconfiguration auction March 2031
2032-2033	June 2029	Second annual reconfiguration auction August 2031; third annual reconfiguration auction March 2032
2033-2034	April 2030	Second annual reconfiguration auction August 2032; third annual reconfiguration auction March 2033
2034-2035	February 2031	Regular annual reconfiguration auction schedule applies.

The ISO may adjust any published date, date range and/or deadline for Forward Capacity Auction activities by 10 Business Days if needed, and shall publish a revised date, date range and/or deadline no later than 30 days in advance of such adjustment.

III.13.A.2. Interim Reconfiguration Auction Qualification.

- (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of the ISO New England Operating Documents, a New Capacity Resource that has not already acquired a Capacity Supply Obligation and intends to achieve Commercial Operation as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(h) before June 1, ~~2026~~2028, may qualify for the annual reconfiguration auction, monthly reconfiguration auction and bilateral activities described in Section III.13.4 and Section III.13.5 occurring in 2025, 2026, 2027 and

2028 for the 2024-2025 Capacity Commitment Period associated with the fifteenth Forward Capacity Auction, 2025-2026 Capacity Commitment Period associated with the sixteenth Forward Capacity Auction, 2026-2027 Capacity Commitment Period associated with the seventeenth Forward Capacity Auction and 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period associated with the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction as, applicable, under this section providing the following conditions are met:

- (1) The Project Sponsor submits qualification materials as described in Section III.13.1, including a New Capacity Show of Interest Form in April 2024 and a New Capacity Qualification Package in June 2024. The ISO shall post a list of the required materials on its website and a complete schedule for their submittal at least 60 days in advance; and
- (2) The Project Sponsor requests that the ISO monitor the New Capacity Resource's compliance with its critical path schedule as described in Section III.13.3.1.1 by November 1, 2024.

III.13.A.3. Interim Provisions Regarding Demand Capacity Resources.

Notwithstanding any other provision of the ISO New England Operating Documents, for the nineteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period), a New Demand Capacity Resource is an Active Demand Capacity Resource that has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, or an On-Peak Demand Resource consisting of measures that have not been in service prior to June 1, 2024, or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource consisting of measures that have not been in service prior to June 1, 2024.

III.13.1. Forward Capacity Auction Qualification.

Each resource, or portion thereof, must qualify as a New Generating Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.1), an Existing Generating Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.2), a New Import Capacity Resource or Existing Import Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.3), a New Demand Capacity Resource or Existing Demand Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.4) or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.4A). Each resource must be at least 100 kW in size to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction, except for resources registered with the ISO prior to the earliest date that any portion of this Section III.13 becomes effective. An offer may be composed of separate resources, pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.1.5. Pursuant to the provisions of this Section III.13.1, the ISO shall determine a summer Qualified Capacity and a winter Qualified Capacity for each resource, and an FCA Qualified Capacity for each Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource, New Demand Capacity Resource, and New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

All Project Sponsors must be Market Participants no later than 30 days prior to the deadline for submitting the FCM Deposit. The Lead Market Participant for a resource participating in a Forward Capacity Auction may not change in the 15 Business Days prior to, or during, that Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.1. New Generating Capacity Resources.

To participate in a Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, a resource or proposed resource must meet the requirements of this Section III.13.1.1.

III.13.1.1.1. Definition of New Generating Capacity Resource.

A resource or a portion of a resource that is not a New Import Capacity Resource or Existing Import Capacity Resource (as defined in Section III.13.1.3), a New Demand Capacity Resource or Existing Demand Capacity Resource (as defined in Section III.13.1.4), or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (as defined in Section III.13.1.4A) shall be considered a New Generating Capacity Resource for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction if either: (i) the resource has never previously been counted as a capacity resource as described in Section III.13.1.1.1.1; or (ii) the resource, or a portion thereof, meets one of the criteria in Section III.13.1.1.1.2.

III.13.1.1.1. Resources Never Previously Counted as Capacity.

(a) A resource, or a portion thereof, will be considered to have never been counted as a capacity resource if it has not cleared in any previous Forward Capacity Auction.

(b) [Reserved.]

(c) Where a New Generating Capacity Resource was accepted for participation in the qualification process for a previous Forward Capacity Auction, but cleared less than its summer Qualified Capacity in that previous Forward Capacity Auction and is having its critical path schedule monitored by the ISO in accordance with Section III.13.3, the portion of the resource that did not clear in the previous Forward Capacity Auction shall be a New Generating Capacity Resource in the subsequent Forward Capacity Auction. Such a New Generating Capacity Resource must satisfy all of the qualification process requirements applicable to a New Generating Capacity Resource as described in Section III.13.1.1.2, except that the Project Sponsor is not required to resubmit documentation demonstrating site control (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1) or to resubmit a critical path schedule (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2) or to provide a new Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit (Section III.13.1.1.2.1(e)).

III.13.1.1.2. Resources Previously Counted as Capacity.

A resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource, including a deactivated or retired capacity resource, may elect to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, as described in this Section III.13.1.1.2. The incremental expenditure required to reactivate a resource that previously has been deactivated or retired pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions) may be included in the calculation of the dollar per kilowatt thresholds in this Section III.13.1.1.2. A resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to this Section III.13.1.1.2 shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(e). A Market Participant that elects to have a resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, must notify the ISO when the existing resource ceases to operate and the New Generating Capacity Resource commences operation. If a Market Participant with a resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource elects, pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(a)(iii), to have the resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource cover the Capacity Supply Obligation of a New Generating Capacity Resource and the resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource must take an outage in order for the New Generating Capacity Resource to commence Commercial Operation (as defined in

Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff), then the Market Participant must notify the ISO that the outage is for the purpose of the New Generating Capacity Resource commencing Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff). A resource shall be accepted for participation as a new resource if it complies with one of the following three subsections:

(a) Where investment in the resource will result, by the commencement of the Capacity Commitment Period, in an increase in output by an amount exceeding the greater of: (i) 20 percent of the summer Qualified Capacity of the resource at the time of the qualification process for the Forward Capacity Auction; or (ii) 40 MW above the summer Qualified Capacity of the resource at the time of the qualification process for the Forward Capacity Auction, the whole resource shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource; or

(b) Where investment in the resource subsequent to January 1, 2007 and prior to the conclusion of the first Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation for which treatment as a new resource may be applied, for the purposes of re-powering will be equal to or greater than \$200 per kilowatt of the whole resource's summer Qualified Capacity after re-powering, the owner of the resource may elect that the whole resource participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource. The \$200 threshold (in base year 2008 dollars) shall be adjusted annually in accordance with the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs reflecting data for the period ending January 1 of the year preceding the start of the qualification process for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction; or

(c) Where investment in the resource subsequent to January 1, 2007 and prior to the conclusion of the first Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation for which treatment as a new resource may be applied, for the purpose of compliance with environmental regulations or permits will be equal to or greater than \$100 per kilowatt of the whole resource's summer Qualified Capacity after the investment, the owner of the resource may elect that the whole resource participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource. The \$100 threshold (in base year 2008 dollars) shall be adjusted annually in accordance with the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs reflecting data for the period ending January 1 of the year preceding the start of the qualification process for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.1.1.3. Incremental Capacity of Resources Previously Counted as Capacity.

The owner of a resource previously counted as a capacity resource may elect to have the incremental amount of capacity above the summer Qualified Capacity of the resource at the time of the qualification process participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, where investment in the resource:

(a) will result, by the start of the Capacity Commitment Period, in an increase in output less than or equal to the greater of: (i) 20 percent of the summer Qualified Capacity of the resource at the time of the qualification process for the Forward Capacity Auction; or (ii) 40 MW; and

(b) will be equal to or greater than \$200 per kilowatt of the amount of the increase in summer Qualified Capacity resulting from the investment. The \$200 threshold (in base year 2008 dollars) shall be adjusted annually in accordance with the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs reflecting data for the period ending January 1 of the year preceding the start of the qualification process for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction. These investment costs may include the costs associated with reactivating a resource that was previously deactivated pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions) and in which investment in the resource was undertaken prior to reactivation.

(c) A Project Sponsor or Lead Market Participant making an election pursuant to this Section III.13.1.1.3 must submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.1 and a New Capacity Qualification Package pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2 for the incremental amount.

III.13.1.1.3.A. Treatment of New Incremental Capacity and Existing Generating Capacity at the Same Generating Resource.

For incremental summer capacity seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.3 or incremental winter capacity that meets the investment thresholds in Section III.13.1.1.3 as applied to the resource's winter Qualified Capacity, if the incremental summer or winter capacity does not span the entire Capacity Commitment Period, then the ISO shall match the incremental summer or winter capacity with excess existing winter or summer Qualified Capacity at that same resource, as appropriate, not to exceed the Qualified Capacity of the existing portion of the resource, in order to cover the entire Capacity Commitment Period. This provision shall not apply to Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.1.1.4. De-rated Capacity of Resources Previously Counted as Capacity.

For purposes of the Forward Capacity Market, de-rated capacity of a resource shall be measured by the difference between the summer Qualified Capacity prior to the de-rating of the resource and the most recent summer demonstration of Seasonal Claimed Capability of a resource, as of the fifth Business Day of October. The owner of a resource previously counted as a capacity resource that has been de-rated by at least 2 percent of its summer Qualified Capacity (as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource) but by no more than the lesser of 20 percent of its summer Qualified Capacity (as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource) or 40 MW for three or more years at the time of the Forward Capacity Auction may elect to have the incremental amount of capacity above the capacity level established while de-rated treated as a New Generating Capacity Resource if it demonstrates that it will be reestablished prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period and that the investment in the resource for such purposes shall be equal to or greater than \$200 per kilowatt of the amount of the increase in summer Qualified Capacity resulting from the investment. The Project Sponsor must submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.1 and a New Capacity Qualification Package pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2 for the incremental amount of capacity for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction. The \$200 threshold (in base year 2008 dollars) shall be adjusted annually in accordance with the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs reflecting data for the period ending January 1 of the year preceding the start of the qualification process for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction. The owner of a resource seeking to have the incremental amount of capacity counted as a New Generating Capacity Resource as provided in this Section, must demonstrate based on historical data that the resource previously operated at a level at least 2 percent above the de-rated amount.

III.13.1.1.1.5. Treatment of Resources that are Partially New and Partially Existing.

For purposes of this Section III.13.1, where only a portion of a single resource is treated as a New Generating Capacity Resource, either as a result of partial clearing in a previous Forward Capacity Auction or pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.3 or Section III.13.1.1.1.4, then except as otherwise indicated in this Section III.13.1, that portion of the resource shall be treated as a New Generating Capacity Resource, and the remainder of the resource shall be treated as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.1.1.6. Treatment of Deactivated and Retired Units.

(a) [Reserved.]

(b) A resource that previously has been deactivated or retired pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions), as applicable, that submits to

the ISO a reactivation plan demonstrating that the resource shall return to operation shall, subject to ISO review and acceptance of that reactivation plan, be treated as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource unless that resource satisfies the criteria under Section III.13.1.1.1.2 as a New Generating Capacity Resource. Such reactivation plans must be received by the ISO no later than 10 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. A resource that previously has been deactivated or retired pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions), as applicable, that submits to the ISO a reactivation plan demonstrating that the resource shall return to operation and having a material modification as described in Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions), as applicable, shall be subject to Section III.13.1.1.2.3 (~~Initial Interconnection Analysis~~Interconnection RRreview).

III.13.1.1.1.7 Renewable Technology Resources.

To participate in the Forward Capacity Market as a Renewable Technology Resource, a Generating Capacity Resource or an On-Peak Demand Resource (including every Asset that is part of the On-Peak Demand Resource) must satisfy the following requirements:

- (a) qualify as a Sponsored Policy Resource;;
- (b) participate in a Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period beginning on or after June 1, 2026 as a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1, and;
- (c) has been designated for treatment as a Renewable Technology Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.9.

An Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid may not be submitted for Generating Capacity Resources that assumed a Capacity Supply Obligation by participating in a Forward Capacity Auction as a Renewable Technology Resource.

III.13.1.1.2. Qualification Process for New Generating Capacity Resources.

For a resource to qualify as a New Generating Capacity Resource, the resource's Project Sponsor must make two separate submissions to the ISO: First, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. Second, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Capacity Qualification Package no later than the New Capacity Qualification

Deadline. Each of these submissions is described in more detail in this Section III.13.1.1.2. The Project Sponsor must also have, or in the case of an Import Capacity Resource seeking to qualify with an Elective Transmission Upgrade be associated with, a valid Interconnection Request or Interconnection Agreement under Schedules 22, 23 or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff or ~~interconnection request or agreement under applicable state tariff, rules or procedures~~ prior to submitting a New Capacity Show of Interest Form during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. Both the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and the New Capacity Qualification Package are required regardless of the status of the project under the ~~i~~Interconnection ~~p~~Procedures ~~described in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff or applicable state tariff, rules or procedures~~. Neither the New Capacity Show of Interest Form nor the New Capacity Qualification Package constitutes an ~~Interconnection R~~request to interconnect under the Interconnection Procedures or applicable state tariff, rules or procedures. A Project Sponsor may withdraw from the qualification process at any time prior to three Business Days before the submission of the FCM Deposit pursuant to Section III.13.1.9.1 by providing written notification of such withdrawal to the ISO. Any withdrawal, whether pursuant to this provision or as determined by the ISO (for example as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.1 or Section III.13.1.9.3), shall be irrevocable. The Project Sponsor of a withdrawn application is subject to reconciliation of its Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit described in Section III.13.1.9.3. None of the provisions of this Section III.13.1, including the ~~initial interconnection~~interconnection review analysis and the analysis of overlapping interconnection impacts, supersedes, replaces, or satisfies any of the requirements of Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, except as specifically provided thereunder. Determinations by the ISO pursuant to this Section III.13.1.1.2, including the ~~initial interconnection~~interconnection review analysis and the analysis of overlapping interconnection impacts, are for purposes of qualification for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction only, and do not constitute a right or approval to interconnect, and do not guarantee the ability to interconnect.

III.13.1.1.2.1. New Capacity Show of Interest Form.

Except as otherwise provided in this Section III.13.1.1.2.1, for each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit to the ISO a New Capacity Show of Interest Form as described in this Section III.13.1.1.2.1 during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. After submission of a New Capacity Show of Interest Form, Material Modification (as defined in Section 4.4 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.54.4~~ of Schedule 23, or Section 4.4 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff) may not be made to the information contained therein or the New Capacity Show of Interest Form

shall be considered withdrawn. No change that may result in a reduction in capacity requested for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction may be made to a project described in a New Capacity Show of Interest Form or New Capacity Qualification Package between the date that is ~~150~~143 days before the start of the Forward Capacity Auction and the deadline for qualification determination notifications described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8. For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period) no change that may result in a reduction in capacity may be made to a project described in a New Capacity Show of Interest Form or New Capacity Qualification Package between September 23, 2023 and the deadline for qualification determination notifications described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8 for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction.

(a) A completed New Capacity Show of Interest Form shall include the following information, to the extent the information is not already provided under an active Interconnection Request under Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, and other such information necessary to evaluate a project: the project name; the Project Sponsor's contact information; the Project Sponsor's ISO customer status; the date by which the project is expected to achieve Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff); the project address or location, and if relevant, asset identification number; the status of the project under the interconnection procedures described in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff; whether the resource has ever previously had a Capacity Supply Obligation or previously received payment as a capacity resource pursuant to the market rules in effect prior to June 1, 2010; the capacity (in MW) of the New Generating Capacity Resource; a general description of the project's equipment configuration, including a description of the resource technology type; a simple location plan and a one-line diagram of the plant and station facilities, including any known transmission facilities; the location of the proposed interconnection; and other specific project data as set forth in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project. A completed New Capacity Show of Interest Form shall also specify the Queue Position or other ~~and~~ unique project identifier associated with the project pursuant to Section 4.1 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.54.1~~ of Schedule 23 or Section 4.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, or applicable state tariff, rules or procedures. In the case of a resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource that is supported by an Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade, all Queue Positions associated with the project or other unique project identifiers in which they are taking part

associated with the Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade must be submitted in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form. ~~Submission of the Interconnection Request may take place prior to the qualification process described here, but no later than the date on which the New Capacity Show of Interest Form is submitted to the ISO; however, the Interconnection Customer's~~ Interconnection Request or Interconnection Agreement, or equivalent required under applicable state tariff, rules or procedures, must ~~still~~ be active and consistent with the project described in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form as well as the New Capacity Qualification Package to be submitted as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.

- (b) The Project Sponsor must submit with the New Capacity Show of Interest Form, documentation demonstrating that the Project Sponsor has already achieved control of the project site for the duration of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1.
- (c) In the New Capacity Show of Interest Form, the Project Sponsor must indicate if the New Generating Capacity Resource is incremental capacity associated with a resource that previously had a Capacity Supply Obligation or previously received payment as a capacity resource pursuant to the market rules in effect prior to June 1, 2010 as discussed in Section III.13.1.1.1.3, or if the New Generating Capacity Resource is incremental capacity associated with a resource previously listed as a capacity resource that has been de-rated for three or more years at the time of the Forward Capacity Auction, as discussed in Section III.13.1.1.1.4.
- (d) [Reserved.]
- (e) With the New Capacity Show of Interest Form, the Project Sponsor must submit the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit, as described in Section III.13.1.9.3.

III.13.1.1.2.2. New Capacity Qualification Package.

For each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Capacity Qualification Package no later than the New Capacity Qualification Deadline, described in Section III.13.1.10. Except as otherwise provided in this Section III.13.1, the New Capacity Qualification Package shall conform to the requirements of this Section III.13.1.1.2.2. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project. No change that may result in a reduction in capacity may be made to a project described in a New Capacity Show of Interest Form or New Capacity Qualification Package

between the date that is 150 days before the start of the Forward Capacity Auction and the deadline for qualification determination notifications described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8. For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period) no change that may result in a reduction in capacity may be made to a project described in a New Capacity Show of Interest Form or New Capacity Qualification Package between September 23, 2023 and the deadline for qualification determination notifications described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8 for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.1.2.2.1. Site Control.

For all Forward Capacity Auctions and reconfiguration auctions, the Project Sponsor must achieve, prior to the close of the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window, control of the project site for the duration of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, which shall be as defined in Section 4.1 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.54.1~~ of Schedule 23 or Section 4.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

III.13.1.1.2.2.2. Critical Path Schedule.

In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide a critical path schedule for the project with sufficient detail to allow the ISO to evaluate the feasibility of the project being built and the feasibility that the project will meet the requirement that the project achieve all its critical path schedule milestones no later than the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period. The critical path schedule shall include, at a minimum, the dates on which the following milestones have or are expected to occur:

- (a) **Major Permits.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must list all major permits required for the project, and for each major permit, the Project Sponsor must list the agency requiring the permit, the date on which application for the permit is expected to be made, and the expected date of approval. Major permits shall include, but are not limited to: (i) all federal and state permits; and (ii) local, regional, and town permits. The permitting and installation process associated with any major ancillary infrastructure (such as new gas pipelines, new water supply systems, or large storage tanks) should be included in this portion of the New Capacity Qualification Package.

- (b) **Project Financing Closing.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor shall provide (i) the estimated dollar amount of required project financing; (ii) the expected sources of that financing; and (iii) the expected closing date(s) for the project financing.

(c) **Major Equipment Orders.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide a list of all of the major components necessary for the project, and the date or dates on which all major components necessary for the project have been or are expected to be ordered. Although the specific technology will determine the list of major components to be included, the list shall include, to the extent applicable: (i) electric generators which may include equipment such as fuel cells or solar photovoltaic equipment; (ii) turbines; (iii) step-up transformers; (iv) relay panels (v) distributed control systems; and (vi) any other single piece of equipment or system such as a cooling water system, steam generation, steam handling system, water treatment system, fuel handling system or emissions control system that is not included as a sub-component of other equipment listed in this Section III.13.1.1.2.2(c) and that accounts for more than five percent of the total project cost. For an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade that has not yet achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, major components shall also include, to the extent applicable, transmission facilities and associated substation equipment.

(d) **Substantial Site Construction.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide the approximate date on which the amount of money expended on construction activities occurring on the project site is expected to exceed 20 percent of construction financing costs.

(e) **Major Equipment Delivery.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide the dates on which the major equipment described in subsection (d) above has been or is scheduled to be delivered to the project site.

(f) **Major Equipment Testing.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide the date or dates on which each piece of major equipment described in subsection (c) above is scheduled to undergo testing, including major systems testing, as appropriate for the specific technology to establish its suitability to allow, in conjunction with other major equipment, subsequent operation of the project in accordance with the design capacity of the resource and in accordance with Good Utility Practice. The test(s) shall include those conducted at the point at which the operation of the major equipment will be determined to be in compliance with the requirements of the engineering or purchase specifications.

(g) **Commissioning.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide the date on which the project is expected to have demonstrated the level of performance specified in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and in the New Capacity Qualification Package.

(h) **Commercial Operation.** In the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must provide the date by which the project is expected to achieve Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff) and/or the date by which the Project Sponsor expects to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Demand Capacity Resource described in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, or Distributed Energy Capacity Resources that include Demand Response Resources and/or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations as described in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package, has achieved its full demand reduction value. This date must be no later than the start of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.1.2.2.3. Offer Information.

(a) For a New Generating Capacity Resource that does not satisfy the conditions described in Section III.A.21.1.1 based on the information submitted at the time of the New Capacity Qualification Package, and for which the Project Sponsor does not provide a Load-Side Relationship Certification described in Section III.A.21.1.3, the Project Sponsor must include in the New Capacity Qualification Package the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and sufficient documentation and information for a buyer-side market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2. Such documentation and information includes all financial estimates, projected revenues, and cost projections for the project, including the project's pro-forma financing support data and anticipated out-of-market revenues (as defined in Section III.A.21.3(b)(i)). For a New Generating Capacity Resource that has achieved commercial operation prior to the New Capacity Qualification Deadline, such documentation should also include all financial data of actual incurred capital costs, actual operating costs, and actual revenues since the date of commercial operation.

A Project Sponsor that submits a Load-Side Relationship Certification as part of the New Capacity Qualification Package pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.7 must be prepared to provide both (1) the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and (2) the documentation and information described in this subsection (a), in the event that the ISO determines that the Load-Side Relationship Certification does not meet the requirements of Section III.A.21.1.3.

(b) The Project Sponsor for a New Generating Capacity Resource must indicate in the New Capacity Qualification Package if an offer from the New Generating Capacity Resource may be rationed. A Project Sponsor may specify a Rationing Minimum Limit to which offers may be rationed. Without such indication, offers will only be accepted or rejected in whole. This rationing election shall apply for the entire Forward Capacity Auction.

(c) By submitting a New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor certifies that an offer from the New Generating Capacity Resource will not include any anticipated revenues the resource is expected to receive for its capacity cost as a Qualified Generator Reactive Resource pursuant to Schedule 2 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

III.13.1.1.2.2.4. Capacity Commitment Period Election.

Project Sponsors shall be required to specify whether they are making the election set forth in this Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 for each Forward Capacity Auction up to and including the auction held in February 2021 for the June 1, 2024 through May 31, 2025 Capacity Commitment Period, and no election shall be permitted thereafter.

For each Forward Capacity Auction occurring up to and including the February 2021 auction, in the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must specify whether, if its New Capacity Offer clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the associated Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price (indexed for inflation) shall continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, for up to six additional and consecutive Capacity Commitment Periods, in whole Capacity Commitment Period increments only. For incremental capacity qualified pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.3.A, this election shall apply to both the incremental amount of capacity and the existing Qualified Capacity matched to the incremental capacity at the same generating resource. If no such election is made in the New Capacity Qualification Package, the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price associated with the New Capacity Offer shall apply only for the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the New Capacity Offer clears. If a New Capacity Offer clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the capacity associated with the resulting Capacity Supply Obligation may not be subject to any type of de-list or export bid in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions for Capacity Commitment Periods for which the Project Sponsor elected to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply pursuant to this Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4.

III.13.1.1.2.2.5. Additional Requirements for Resources Previously Counted As Capacity.

In addition to the information described elsewhere in this Section III.13.1.1.2.2:

(a) For each resource seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 (re-powering), Section III.13.1.1.1.3 (incremental capacity), or Section III.13.1.1.1.4 (de-rated capacity), the Project Sponsor must include in the New Capacity Qualification Package documentation of the costs associated with the project in sufficient detail to allow the ISO to determine that the relevant cost threshold (described in Sections III.13.1.1.1.2(b), III.13.1.1.1.3(b), and III.13.1.1.1.4) will be met.

(b) For each resource seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2(c) (environmental compliance), the Project Sponsor must include in the New Capacity Qualification Package: (i) a detailed description of the specific regulations that it is seeking to comply with and the permits that it must obtain; and (ii) documentation of the costs associated with the project in sufficient detail to allow the ISO to determine that the relevant cost threshold (described in Section III.13.1.1.1.2(c)) will be met.

(c) For each resource seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Sections III.13.1.1.1.2, III.13.1.1.1.3, or III.13.1.1.1.4, the Project Sponsor must include in the New Capacity Qualification Package detailed information showing how and when the resource will shed its Capacity Supply Obligation to accommodate necessary work on the facility, if necessary. The Project Sponsor must also include the shedding of its Capacity Supply Obligation as an additional milestone in the critical path schedule described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2.

III.13.1.1.2.2.6. Additional Requirements for New Generating Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources.

In addition to the information described elsewhere in this Section III.13.1.1.2.2, for each Intermittent Power Resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must include in the New Capacity Qualification Package:

(a) a claimed summer Qualified Capacity and a claimed winter Qualified Capacity based on the data described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.6(b);

(b) measured and recorded site-specific summer and winter data relevant to the expected performance of the Intermittent Power Resource (including wind speed data for wind resources, water flow data for run-of-river hydropower resources, and irradiance data for solar resources) that, with the other information provided in the New Capacity Qualification Package, will enable the ISO to confirm the summer and winter Qualified Capacity that the Project Sponsor claims for the Intermittent Power Resource.

III.13.1.1.2.2.7. Load-Side Interests.

If the Project Sponsor seeks to demonstrate one of the qualifying circumstances described in Section III.A.21.1.3 with regard to its New Generating Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must provide the Load-Side Relationship Certification in the New Capacity Qualification Package.

III.13.1.1.2.3. Initial Interconnection Analysis.

III.13.1.1.2.3. Interconnection Review.

Each New Generating Capacity Resource shall be required to undergo an interconnection review as part of a Forward Capacity Auction qualification process.

(a) The interconnection review for New Generating Capacity Resources associated with a project subject to Schedules 22 and 23 of Section II of the Tariff shall be in the form of a deliverability review, which examines applicable interconnection Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study status, results and identified upgrades to determine the extent to which the applicable project and required interconnection facilities and upgrades can be implemented before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period.

(i) If as a result of the interconnection review, the ISO determines that the interconnection facilities and upgrades necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide the entire amount of capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package can not be implemented before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period, the New Generating Capacity Resource's Qualified Capacity values may be adjusted accordingly, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.5.

(ii) If as a result of the interconnection review, the ISO determines that the interconnection facilities and upgrades necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification

Package can not be implemented before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period and the New Generating Capacity Resource can not provide any capacity without those facilities and upgrades, the resource shall not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction. In this case, the ISO will provide an explanation of its determination in the qualification determination notification; discussed in Section III.13.1.1.2.8.

(iii) Where, as a result of the interconnection review, the ISO concludes, after consultation with the Project Sponsor and the applicable Transmission Owner(s) or Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, that the capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package can not be interconnected by the commencement of the Capacity Commitment Period, the Forward Capacity Market qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.

(b) The interconnection review for New Generating Capacity Resources associated with a project not subject to the Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of the ISO-NE Tariff shall be in the form of a deliverability analysis. The ISO shall perform the deliverability analysis based on the information provided in the Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package to determine the amount of capacity that the resource could provide by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. This analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures. Where, as a result of this analysis, the ISO determines that a New Generating Capacity Resource that is otherwise accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the other provisions and requirements of this Section III.13.1 can not deliver any of the capacity that it would otherwise be able to provide (in the absence of the other relevant Existing Capacity Resources), then that New Generating Capacity Resource will not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction.

(a) For each New Generating Capacity Resource, the ISO shall perform an initial interconnection analysis, including an analysis of overlapping interconnection impacts, based on the information provided in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and shall determine the amount of capacity that the resource could provide by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. The initial interconnection analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures, and will include, but will not be limited to, a power flow analysis and a short circuit analysis. No initial interconnection analysis is required where the total requested Qualified Capacity of a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Sections III.13.1.1.1.2, III.13.1.1.1.3, III.13.1.1.1.4, or

~~III.13.1.1.1.6 can be realized without a Material Modification (as defined in Section 4.4 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5 of Schedule 23 and Section 4.4 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff). The ISO will perform the initial interconnection analysis in the form of a group study that will (i) include all the projects that have submitted a New Capacity Show of Interest Form to participate in the same Capacity Commitment Period (as described in Section 4.1 of Schedule 22 and Section 1.5 of Schedule 23 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff) and (ii) exclude any existing capacity that will be retired as of the start of the same Capacity Commitment Period. Participation in an initial interconnection analysis is a requirement for obtaining Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that meets the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard in accordance with the provisions in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.~~

~~(b) — If as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO determines that the interconnection facilities and upgrades identified in the qualification process that are necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide the entire amount of capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form can not be implemented before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period, the New Generating Capacity Resource's Qualified Capacity values may be adjusted accordingly, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.5.~~

~~(c) — If as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO determines that the interconnection facilities and upgrades identified in the qualification process that are necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form can not be implemented before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period and the New Generating Capacity Resource can not provide any capacity without those facilities and upgrades, the resource shall not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction. In this case, the ISO will provide an explanation of its determination in the qualification determination notification, discussed in Section III.13.1.1.2.8.~~

~~(d) — If as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO determines that the New Generating Capacity Resource can provide all or some of the capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form by the start of the Capacity Commitment Period, and if the New Generating Capacity Resource is accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the other provisions and requirements of this Section III.13.1, then in the qualification determination notification, discussed in Section III.13.1.1.2.8, the ISO, after consultation with the applicable Transmission Owner(s) or Elective~~

~~Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer as appropriate, shall include a list of the facilities that may be required to complete the interconnection and time required to construct those facilities by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period.~~

~~(e) — Where, as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO concludes, after consultation with the Project Sponsor and the applicable Transmission Owner(s) or Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, that the capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form can not be interconnected by the commencement of the Capacity Commitment Period, the Forward Capacity Market qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.~~

~~(f) — Where, as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO determines that because of overlapping interconnection impacts, New Generating Capacity Resources that are otherwise accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the other provisions and requirements of this Section III.13.1 cannot provide the full amount of capacity that they each would otherwise be able to provide (in the absence of the other relevant Existing Generating Capacity Resources and New Generating Capacity Resources seeking to qualify for the Forward Capacity Auction), those New Generating Capacity Resources will be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction on the basis of their Queue Position, as described in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, with priority given to resources that entered the queue earlier. Resources with lower priority in the queue may be accepted partially. Starting with the fourth auction, a New Generating Capacity Resource that meets the requirements of this Section III.13.1, but that would not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a result of overlapping interconnection impacts with another resource having a higher priority in the queue may be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a Conditional Qualified New Resource, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(f), provided that the resource having a higher priority in the queue is not a resource offering capacity into the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(e).~~

III.13.1.1.2.3A. Interconnection Review for an Interim Reconfiguration Auction Qualification Process Completed prior to January 1, 2025 Pursuant to Section III.13.A.2.

(a) For an interim reconfiguration auction qualification process completed prior to January 1, 2025 pursuant to Section III.13.A.2, for each New Generating Capacity Resource, the ISO shall perform an interconnection review in the form of an initial interconnection analysis, including an analysis of

overlapping interconnection impacts, based on the information provided in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package, and shall determine the amount of capacity that the resource can deliver by the start of the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period. The initial interconnection analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures, and will include, but will not be limited to, a power flow analysis and a short circuit analysis. The ISO will perform the initial interconnection analysis in the form of a Transitional CNR Group Study that will (i) include all the projects that have submitted a New Capacity Show of Interest Form to participate in the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process on the basis of their Queue Position assigned as of May 1, 2024, pursuant to Section 4.1 of Schedule 22, Section 4.1 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff, and (ii) exclude any existing capacity that will be retired as of the start of the same Capacity Commitment Period. For an interim reconfiguration auction qualification process completed prior to January 1, 2025 pursuant to Section III.13.A.2, participation in an initial interconnection analysis performed in a Transitional CNR Group Study is a requirement for obtaining Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that meets the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard in accordance with the provisions in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

(b) If, as a result of the initial interconnection analysis, the ISO determines that interconnection facilities and upgrades are necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide the entire amount of capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package, but accounting for any reduction in requested capacity pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.1, the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.

III.13.1.1.2.3B. Interconnection Review for an Interim Reconfiguration Auction Qualification Process Completed after January 1, 2025 Pursuant to Section III.13.A.2.

Each New Generating Capacity Resource shall be required to undergo an interconnection review as part of an interim reconfiguration auction qualification process completed after January 1, 2025 pursuant to Section III.13.A.2.

(a) The interconnection review for New Generating Capacity Resources associated with a project subject to Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Tariff shall be in the form of a deliverability

review, which examines applicable interconnection Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study status, results and identified upgrades to determine the extent to which the applicable project and required interconnection facilities and upgrades can be implemented before the start of the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period.

If as a result of the interconnection review, the ISO determines that interconnection facilities and upgrades identified through a Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study necessary to enable the New Generating Capacity Resource to provide the entire amount of capacity indicated in the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package, but accounting for any reduction in requested capacity pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.1, can not be implemented before the start of the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period, the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.

(b) The interconnection review for New Generating Capacity Resources associated with a project not subject to the Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Tariff shall be in the form of a deliverability analysis. The ISO shall perform the deliverability analysis based on the information provided in the Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package to determine the amount of capacity that the resource could provide by the start of the 2028-2029 Capacity Commitment Period. This analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures. Where, as a result of this analysis, the ISO determines that a New Generating Capacity Resource can not deliver any of the capacity that it would otherwise be able to provide (in the absence of the other relevant Existing Capacity Resources), then the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.

III.13.1.1.2.4. Evaluation of New Capacity Qualification Package.

The ISO shall review a New Generating Capacity Resource's New Capacity Qualification Package consistent with the dates set forth in Section III.13.1.10, and shall determine whether the package is complete and whether, based on the information provided, the New Generating Capacity Resource is accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction. In making these determinations, the ISO may consider, but is not limited to considering, the following:

(a) whether the New Capacity Qualification Package contains all of the elements required by this Section III.13.1.1.2;

- (b) whether the critical path schedule includes all necessary elements and is sufficiently developed;
- (c) whether the milestones in the critical path schedule are reasonable and likely to be met;
- (d) whether, in the case of a resource previously counted as a capacity resource, the requirements for treatment as a New Generating Capacity Resource are satisfied; and
- (e) whether, in the case of an Intermittent Power Resource, sufficient data for confirming the resource's claimed summer and winter Qualified Capacity is provided, and whether the data provided reasonably supports the claimed summer and winter Qualified Capacity.

III.13.1.1.2.5. Qualified Capacity for New Generating Capacity Resources.

III.13.1.1.2.5.1. New Generating Capacity Resources Other Than Intermittent Power Resources.

The summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity of a New Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource that has cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction shall be based on the data provided to the ISO during the qualification process, subject to ISO review and verification, and possibly as modified pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.3~~(a)~~(i). The FCA Qualified Capacity for such a resource shall be the lesser of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, as adjusted to account for applicable offers composed of separate resources.

III.13.1.1.2.5.2. [Reserved]

III.13.1.1.2.5.3. New Generating Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources.

The summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity of a New Generating Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity claimed by the Project Sponsor pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.6, as confirmed by the ISO pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.4(e). The FCA Qualified Capacity for such a resource shall be equal to the resource's summer Qualified Capacity, as adjusted to account for applicable offers composed of separate resources.

III.13.1.1.2.5.4. New Generating Capacity Resources Partially Clearing in a Previous Forward Capacity Auction.

Where, as discussed in Section III.13.1.1.1(c), a New Generating Capacity Resource was accepted for participation in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, but cleared less than its summer or winter Qualified Capacity in that previous Forward Capacity Auction and is having its critical path schedule monitored by the ISO as described in Section III.13.3, its summer and winter Qualified Capacity as a New Generating Capacity Resource in the instant Forward Capacity Auction shall be the summer and winter Qualified Capacity from the previous Forward Capacity Auction minus the amount of capacity clearing from the New Generating Capacity Resource in the previous Forward Capacity Auction. The FCA Qualified Capacity for such a resource shall be the lesser of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, as adjusted to account for applicable offers composed of separate resources. The amount of capacity clearing in a Forward Capacity Auction from a New Generating Capacity Resource shall be treated as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.1.2.6. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.1.2.7. Opportunity to Consult with Project Sponsor.

In its review of a New Capacity Show of Interest Form or a New Capacity Qualification Package, the ISO may consult with the Project Sponsor to seek clarification, to gather additional necessary information, or to address questions or concerns arising from the materials submitted. At the discretion of the ISO, the ISO may consider revisions or additions to the qualification materials resulting from such consultation; provided, however, that in no case shall the ISO consider revisions or additions to the qualification materials if the ISO believes that such consideration cannot be properly accomplished within the time periods established for the qualification process. In addition, the ISO or the Project Sponsor may confer to seek clarification, to gather additional necessary information, or to address questions or concerns prior to the ISO's final determination and notification of qualification.

III.13.1.1.2.8. Qualification Determination Notification for New Generating Capacity Resources.

For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the ISO shall send notification as required under this Section III.13.1.1.2.8 to Project Sponsors or Market Participants, as applicable, for each New Generating Capacity Resource no later than October 12, 2023. No later than 127 days before the Forward Capacity Auction, the ISO shall send notification to Project Sponsors or Market Participants, as applicable, for each New Generating Capacity Resource indicating:

(a) whether the New Generating Capacity Resource has been accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a result of the interconnection review ~~initial interconnection analysis~~ made pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.3, and if not accepted, an explanation of the reasons the New Generating Capacity Resource was not accepted as a result of the interconnection review ~~in the initial interconnection analysis~~;

(b) whether the New Generating Capacity Resource has been accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a result of the New Capacity Qualification Package evaluation made pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.4, and if not accepted, an explanation of the reasons the New Generating Capacity Resource's New Capacity Qualification Package was not accepted;

(c) ~~—————[Reserved.] if accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, a list of the facilities that may be required to complete the interconnection for purposes of providing capacity and time required to construct those facilities by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period, as discussed in Section III.13.1.1.2.3(d);~~

(d) if accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the New Generating Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.5;

(e) ~~—————[Reserved.] if accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, but subject to the provisions of Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f) (where not all New Generating Capacity Resources can be interconnected due to their combined effects on the New England Transmission System), a description of how the New Generating Capacity Resource shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction, including, for the fourth and future auctions; (i) whether the resource shall participate as a Conditional Qualified New Resource; (ii) for the notification to a Conditional Qualified New Resource, the Queue Position of the associated resource with higher queue priority; and (iii) for the notification to a resource with higher queue priority than a Conditional Qualified New Resource, the Queue Position of the Conditional Qualified New Resource;~~

(f) if accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the ISO's determination as to whether the New Generating Capacity Resource satisfies any of the conditions described in Section III.A.21.1 and the basis for such determination; and

(g) if accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction and subject to buyer-side market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2, the Internal Market Monitor's determinations regarding whether the New Generating Capacity Resource's requested lowest offer price, submitted pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.3(a), must be mitigated, as described in Section III.A.21.2.3. The ISO shall not disclose to the Project Sponsor any information regarding the potential impact of any offer from the Project Sponsor on Capacity Clearing Prices.

III.13.1.1.2.9 Renewable Technology Resource Election.

A Project Sponsor or Market Participant may not elect Renewable Technology Resource treatment for the FCA associated with a Capacity Commitment Period beginning on or after June 1, 2028.

A Project Sponsor or Market Participant electing Renewable Technology Resource treatment for the FCA Qualified Capacity of a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource shall submit a Renewable Technology Resource election form no later than two Business Days after the date on which the ISO provides qualification determination notifications pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.8 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.6. Only the portion of the FCA Qualified Capacity of the resource that meets the requirements of Section III.13.1.1.1.7 is eligible for treatment as a Renewable Technology Resource.

Renewable Technology Resource elections may not be modified or withdrawn after the deadline for submission of the Renewable Technology Resource election form.

The submission of a Renewable Technology Resource election that satisfies the requirements of Section III.13.1.1.1.7 will invalidate a prior multi-year Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price election for the same resource made pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7 or Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 for a Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.1.2.10 Determination of Renewable Technology Resource Qualified Capacity.

- (a) If the total FCA Qualified Capacity of Renewable Technology Resources exceeds the cap specified in subsections (b) and (c), the qualified capacity value of each resource shall be prorated by the ratio of the cap divided by the total FCA Qualified Capacity. The ISO shall notify the Project Sponsor or Market Participant, as applicable, of the Qualified Capacity value of its resource no more than five Business Days after the deadline for submitting Renewable Technology Resource elections.
- (b) The cap for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2026 is 300 MW.
- (c) The cap for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2027 is (i) 400 MW, (ii) plus the difference between 300 MW and the amount of Capacity Supply Obligations acquired by Renewable Technology Resources in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2026, and (iii) minus the amount of Capacity Supply Obligations acquired through the substitution auction, as described in Section III.13.2.8, for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2026. For clarification, the calculation in (ii) above shall only account for Capacity Supply Obligations acquired in the primary Forward Capacity Auction, and shall not include any additional Capacity Supply Obligations for such a resource acquired through the substitution auction

III.13.1.2. Existing Generating Capacity Resources.

An Existing Generating Capacity Resource, as defined in Section III.13.1.2.1, may participate in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to the provisions of this Section III.13.1.2.

III.13.1.2.1. Definition of Existing Generating Capacity Resource.

Any resource that does not satisfy the criteria for participating in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.1), as an Existing Import Capacity Resource or New Import Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.3), as a New Demand Capacity Resource or Existing Demand Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.4), or as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (Section III.13.1.4A) shall be an Existing Generating Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.2.1.1. Attributes of Existing Generating Capacity Resources.

For purposes of Forward Capacity Auction qualification, a Market Participant may not change any Existing Generating Capacity Resource attribute (including but not limited to the resource's status as an Intermittent Power Resource) in the period beginning 20 Business Days prior to the Existing Capacity

Retirement Deadline and ending with the conclusion of the Forward Capacity Auction. Outside of this period, any such change must be accompanied by documentation justifying the change.

III.13.1.2.1.2 Rationing Minimum Limit.

No later than 120 days before the Forward Capacity Auction Market Participants may specify a Rationing Minimum Limit for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.2.2. Qualified Capacity for Existing Generating Capacity Resources.

III.13.1.2.2.1. Existing Generating Capacity Resources Other Than Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.1.2.2.1.1. Summer Qualified Capacity.

The summer Qualified Capacity of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be equal to the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings from the most recent five years, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, with only positive summer ratings included in the median calculation. For the first Forward Capacity Auction, the summer Qualified Capacity of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings from the most recent four years, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, with only positive summer ratings included in the median calculation. Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource has fewer than five summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, or in the case of the first Forward Capacity Auction, fewer than four summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, then the summer Qualified Capacity for that Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of all of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's previous summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, with only positive summer ratings included in the median calculation. If for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource there are no previous positive summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings because the Existing Generating Capacity Resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Generating Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.2.2.1.2. Winter Qualified Capacity.

The winter Qualified Capacity of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be equal to the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings from the most recent five years, as of the fifth Business Day in June of each year, with only positive winter ratings included in the median calculation. For the first Forward Capacity Auction, the winter Qualified Capacity of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings from the most recent four years, as of the fifth Business Day in June of each year, with only positive winter ratings included in the median calculation. Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource has fewer than five winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, or in the case of the first Forward Capacity Auction, fewer than four winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, then the winter Qualified Capacity for that Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of all of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's previous winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings, as of the fifth Business Day in June of each year, with only positive winter ratings included in the median calculation. If for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource there are no previous positive winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings because the Existing Generating Capacity Resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's winter Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Generating Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.2.2.2. Existing Generating Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources.

The summer and winter Qualified Capacity for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource shall be calculated as follows:

III.13.1.2.2.2.1. Summer Qualified Capacity for an Intermittent Power Resource.

- (a) With regard to any Forward Capacity Auction qualification process, for each of the previous five summer periods, the ISO shall determine the median of the Intermittent Power Resource's net output in the Summer Intermittent Reliability Hours. If there are less than five full summer periods since the Intermittent Power Resource achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the ISO shall determine the median of the Intermittent Power Resource's net output in each of the previous summer periods, or portion thereof, since the Intermittent Power Resource achieved FCM Commercial Operation.
- (b) The Intermittent Power Resource's summer Qualified Capacity shall be the average of the median numbers determined in Section III.13.1.2.2.2.1(a).

(c) The Summer Intermittent Reliability Hours shall be hours ending 1400 through 1800 each day of the summer period (June through September) and all summer period hours in which there was a system-wide Capacity Scarcity Condition and if the Intermittent Power Resource was in an import-constrained Capacity Zone, all Capacity Scarcity Conditions in that Capacity Zone.

(d) If for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource there are no previous positive summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings because the Existing Generating Capacity Resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Generating Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.2.2.2.2. Winter Qualified Capacity for an Intermittent Power Resource.

(a) With regard to any Forward Capacity Auction qualification process, for each of the previous five winter periods, the ISO shall determine the median of the Intermittent Power Resource's net output in the Winter Intermittent Reliability Hours. If there are less than five full winter periods since the Intermittent Power Resource achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the ISO shall determine the median of the Intermittent Power Resource's net output in each of the previous winter periods, or portion thereof, since the Intermittent Power Resource achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) The Intermittent Power Resource's winter Qualified Capacity shall be the average of the median numbers determined in Section III.13.1.2.2.2.2(a).

(c) The Winter Intermittent Reliability Hours shall be hours ending 1800 and 1900 each day of the winter period (October through May) and all winter period hours in which there was a system-wide Capacity Scarcity Condition and if the Intermittent Power Resource was in an import-constrained Capacity Zone, all Capacity Scarcity Conditions in that Capacity Zone.

(d) If for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource there are no previous positive winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings because the Existing Generating Capacity Resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's winter Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Generating Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.2.2.3. Qualified Capacity Adjustment for Partially New and Partially Existing Resources.

(a) Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is associated with a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that was accepted for participation in a previous Forward Capacity Auction qualification process and that cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, then in each subsequent Forward Capacity Auction until the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation the summer Qualified Capacity of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of [the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's positive summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's positive summer Seasonal DECR Audit Values from the most recent five years, as of the fifth Business Day of October of each year, calculated in a manner consistent with Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A] plus [the amount of the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's capacity clearing in previous Forward Capacity Auctions]. After the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation, the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity shall be calculated as described in Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.1, except that no data from the time period prior to the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's FCM Commercial Operation date shall be used to determine the summer Qualified Capacity associated with the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

(b) Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is associated with a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that was accepted for participation in a previous Forward Capacity Auction qualification process and that cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, then in each subsequent Forward Capacity Auction until the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation the winter Qualified Capacity of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of [the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's positive winter Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's positive winter Seasonal DECR Audit Values from the most recent five years, as of the fifth Business Day of June of each year, calculated in a manner consistent with Section III.13.1.2.2.1.2 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.2] plus [the amount of the New Generating

Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's capacity clearing in previous Forward Capacity Auctions]. After the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation, the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's winter Qualified Capacity shall be calculated as described in Section III.13.1.2.2.1.2 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.2, except that no data from the time period prior to the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's FCM Commercial Operation date shall be used to determine the winter Qualified Capacity associated with the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.2.2.4. Adjustment for Significant Decreases in Capacity Prior to the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline.

Where the most recent summer Seasonal Claimed Capability or most recent summer Seasonal DECR Audit Value, as of the fifth Business Day in October, of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource (other than a Settlement Only Resource or an Intermittent Power Resource) and Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (other than one comprised of Settlement Only Resources or an Intermittent Power Resource) is below its summer Qualified Capacity, as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1 and Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.1, respectively, by:

- (1) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2023, more than the lesser of 20 percent of that summer Qualified Capacity or 40 MW;
- (2) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2023, more than the lesser of:
 - (i) the greater of 10 percent of that summer Qualified Capacity or two MW, or;
 - (ii) 10 MW;

then the Lead Market Participant must elect one of the two treatments described in this Section III.13.1.2.2.4 by the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. If the Lead Market Participant makes no election, or elects treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.2.4(c) and fails to meet the associated requirements, then the treatment described in Section III.13.1.2.2.4(a) shall apply.

(a) A Lead Market Participant may elect, for the purposes of the Forward Capacity Auction only, to have the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity set to the most recent summer Seasonal Claimed Capability or summer Seasonal DECR Audit Value as of the fifth Business Day in October, provided that the Lead Market Participant has furnished evidence regarding the cause of the de-rating.

(b) [Reserved.]

(c) A Lead Market Participant may elect: (i) to submit a critical path schedule as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2, Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.3, or Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.4, modified as appropriate, describing the measures that will be taken and showing that the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource will be able to provide an amount of capacity consistent with the summer Qualified Capacity as calculated pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.1 by the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (ii) to have the Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity remain as calculated pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1 or Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.1 for the Forward Capacity Auction. For an Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource subject to this election, the critical path schedule monitoring provisions of Section III.13.3 shall apply.

III.13.1.2.2.5. Adjustment for Certain Significant Increases in Capacity.

Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource (other than a Settlement Only Resource) meets the requirements of Section III.13.1.1.1.3(a) but not the requirements of Section III.13.1.1.1.3(b), the Lead Market Participant may elect to have the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity be the sum of [the median of that Existing Generating Capacity Resource's positive summer Seasonal Claimed Capability ratings from the most recent five years, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, calculated in a manner consistent with Section III.13.1.2.2.1.1] plus [the amount of incremental capacity as described in Section III.13.1.1.1.3(a)]; provided, however, that the Lead Market Participant must abide by all other provisions of this Section III.13 applicable to a resource that is a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.3. Such an election must be made in writing and must be received by the ISO no later than the close of the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. If the incremental amount of capacity seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction meets the requirements of this Section, but the incremental amount of capacity does not span the entire Capacity Commitment Period, then the ISO shall match the incremental amount of capacity with excess Qualified Capacity at that same resource, not to exceed the Qualified Capacity of the existing portion of the resource, in order to cover the entire Capacity Commitment Period. This provision shall not apply to Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.1.2.2.5.1. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.2.2.5.2. Requirements for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, or Existing Import Capacity Resource Having a Higher Summer Qualified Capacity than Winter Qualified Capacity.

Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Import Capacity Resource (other than an Intermittent Power Resource) has a summer Qualified Capacity that exceeds its winter Qualified Capacity, both as calculated pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.2, then that resource must either: (i) offer its summer Qualified Capacity as part of an offer composed of separate resources, as discussed in Section III.13.1.5; or (ii) have its FCA Qualified Capacity administratively set by the ISO to the lesser of its summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity.

Where an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (other than an Intermittent Power Resource) has a summer Qualified Capacity that exceeds its winter Qualified Capacity, both as calculated pursuant to this Section III.13.1.4A.2.A, then that resource must have its FCA Qualified Capacity administratively set by the ISO to the lesser of its summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity.

III.13.1.2.3. Qualification Process for Existing Generating Capacity Resources.

- (a) For each Existing Generating Capacity Resource, no later than 15 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, the ISO will notify the resource's Lead Market Participant of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity and the Load Zone in which the Existing Generating Capacity Resource is located.
- (b) If the Lead Market Participant believes that the ISO has made a mathematical error in calculating the summer Qualified Capacity or winter Qualified Capacity for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource as described in Section III.13.1.2.2, then the Lead Market Participant must notify the ISO within five Business Days of receipt of the Qualified Capacity notification.
- (c) The ISO shall notify the Lead Market Participant of the outcome of any such challenge no later than five Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. If an Existing Generating Capacity Resource does not submit a Static De-List Bid, an Export Bid, an Administrative Export De-List Bid, a Permanent De-List Bid, or a Retirement De-List Bid in the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process, then the resource shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(c).

III.13.1.2.3.1. Existing Capacity Retirement Package and Existing Capacity Qualification Package.

A resource that previously has been deactivated pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (or its predecessor provisions) and seeks to reactivate and participate in the Forward Capacity Market as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource must submit a reactivation plan no later than 10 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, as described in Section III.13.1.1.1.6(b). All Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids in the Forward Capacity Auction must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Retirement Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. All Static De-List Bids, Export Bids and Administrative Export De-List Bids in the Forward Capacity Auction must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids may not be modified or withdrawn after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, except as provided for in Sections III.13.1.2.4.1 and III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d). All Static De-List Bids, Export Bids, and Administrative Export De-List Bids submitted in the qualification process may not be modified or withdrawn after the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, except as provided for in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1. An Existing Generating Capacity Resource may not submit a Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, Administrative Export De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, or Retirement De-List Bid for an amount of capacity greater than its summer Qualified Capacity, unless the submittal is for the entire resource. Where a resource elected pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7 to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, the capacity associated with any resulting Capacity Supply Obligation may not be subject to any type of de-list or export bid in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions for Capacity Commitment Periods for which the Project Sponsor elected to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply. For a single resource, a Lead Market Participant may combine a Static De-List Bid, an Export Bid, and an Administrative Export De-List Bid; neither a Permanent De-List Bid nor a Retirement De-List Bid may be combined with any other type of de-list or export bid.

Static De-List Bids and Export Bids may elect to be rationed (as described in Section III.13.2.6, however, an Export Bid is always subject to potential rationing where the associated external interface binds). Where a Lead Market Participant submits any combination of Static De-List Bid and Export Bid for a single resource, each of those bids must have the same rationing election. Where a Lead Market Participant submits any combination of Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, and Administrative Export De-

List Bid for a single resource, none of the prices in a set of price-quantity pairs associated with a bid may be the same as any price in any other set of price-quantity pairs associated with another bid for the same resource.

III.13.1.2.3.1.A Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold.

For the fifteenth Forward Capacity Auction (for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2024), the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold is \$4.30/kW-month. For each Forward Capacity Auction thereafter, the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold shall be calculated as described below in this Section III.13.1.2.3.1.A, and shall be published to the ISO's website no later than 5 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. This publication shall include the preliminary value calculated pursuant to subsection (a) below, whether the preliminary value was constrained by either of the limitations described in subsection (b) below, the margin value as calculated pursuant to subsection (c) below, and the final value as calculated pursuant to subsection (d) below.

(a) Subject to the limitations described in subsection (b) below, a preliminary value of the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold shall be calculated as the average of: (i) the Capacity Clearing Price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone from the immediately preceding Forward Capacity Auction (provided, however, that if there is a second run of the primary auction-clearing process pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.1(d), the resulting Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone clearing price from that run shall be used instead); and (ii) the price at which the total amount of capacity clearing in the immediately preceding Forward Capacity Auction intersects the estimated System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction. For this purpose, the estimated System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall be constructed, in the same manner as described in Section III.13.2.2.1, using the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact values from the immediately preceding Forward Capacity Auction, the most recent estimate of the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs) for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction, and the Net CONE and Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction.

(b) The preliminary value of the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold shall not be higher than 75 percent of the Net CONE value for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction. The preliminary value of the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold shall not be lower than 75 percent of the clearing price applicable pursuant to (a)(i) of this Section III.13.1.2.3.1.A, except as needed to ensure that it is not higher than 75 percent of the Net CONE value for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction.

(c) A margin value shall be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Margin} = \$1/kW\text{-month} \times \left[\frac{(75\% \times \text{Net } CONE_{\text{upcoming FCA}}) - DDBT_{\text{preliminary}}}{(75\% \times \text{Net } CONE_{\text{upcoming FCA}})} \right]$$

(d) The final value of the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction shall be equal to the preliminary value of the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold calculated pursuant to Sections III.13.1.2.3.1.A(a) and III.13.1.2.3.1.A(b) plus the margin value calculated pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.A(c).

III.13.1.2.3.1.1. Static De-List Bids.

A Lead Market Participant with an Existing Capacity Resource, or a portion thereof, seeking to specify a price below which it would not accept a Capacity Supply Obligation for that resource, or a portion thereof, at prices at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold during a single Capacity Commitment Period may submit a Static De-List Bid in the associated Forward Capacity Auction qualification process. A Static De-List Bid may not result in a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation being less than its Rationing Minimum Limit except where the resource submits de-list and export bids totaling the resource's full summer Qualified Capacity. Each Static De-List Bid must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, and must be in the form of a curve (up to five price-quantity pairs). The curve may in no case increase the quantity offered as the price decreases. All Static De-List Bids are subject to a reliability review as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5. Static De-List Bids are subject to review by the Internal Market Monitor pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2 and must include the additional documentation described in that section. With the submission of a Static De-List Bid, the Lead Market Participant must notify the ISO if the Existing Capacity Resource will not be participating in the energy and ancillary services markets during the Capacity Commitment Period (except for necessary audits or tests).

No later than seven days after the issuance by the ISO of the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(b), a Lead Market Participant that submitted a Static De-List Bid may: (a) lower the price of any price-quantity pair of a Static De-List Bid, provided that the revised price is greater than or equal to the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold, or; (b) withdraw any price-quantity pair of a Static De-List Bid.

III.13.1.2.3.1.2. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.2.3.1.3. Export Bids.

An Existing Generating Capacity Resource within the New England Control Area, other than an Intermittent Power Resource or a Renewable Technology Resource, seeking to export all or part of its capacity during a Capacity Commitment Period may submit an Export Bid in the associated Forward Capacity Auction qualification process. An Export Bid may not result in a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation being less than its Rationing Minimum Limit except where the resource submits de-list and export bids totaling the resource's full summer Qualified Capacity. All Export Bids are subject to a reliability review as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5. Export Bids at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold are subject to review by the Internal Market Monitor pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2 and must include the additional information described in that Section. Each Export Bid must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, and must be in the form of a curve (up to five price-quantity pairs) associated with a specific Existing Generating Capacity Resource. The curve may in no case increase the quantity offered as the price decreases. Each price-quantity pair must be less than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price. The Existing Capacity Qualification Package for each Export Bid must also specify the interface over which the capacity will be exported. Export Bids shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(b).

III.13.1.2.3.1.4. Administrative Export De-List Bids.

An Existing Generating Capacity Resource other than an Intermittent Power Resource or a Renewable Technology Resource subject to a multiyear contract to sell capacity outside of the New England Control Area during the Capacity Commitment Period that either: (i) cleared as an Export Bid in a previous Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period within the duration of the contract; or (ii) entered into a contract prior to April 30, 2007 to sell capacity outside of the New England Control Area during the Capacity Commitment Period, may submit an Administrative Export De-List Bid in the associated Forward Capacity Auction qualification process. An Administrative Export De-List Bid may not result in a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation being less than its Rationing Minimum Limit except where the resource submits de-list and export bids totaling the resource's full summer Qualified Capacity. Unless reviewed as an Export Bid in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, an Administrative Export De-List Bid is subject to a reliability review prior to clearing in a Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5, and is subject to review by the Internal Market Monitor in the first Forward Capacity Auction in which it participates, pursuant to Section III.13.1.7. Both the reliability review and the review by the Internal Market Monitor shall be conducted once and shall remain valid for the multiyear contract period. Each Administrative Export De-List Bid must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification

Deadline, must be associated with a specific Existing Generating Capacity Resource, and must indicate the quantity of capacity subject to the bid. The Existing Capacity Qualification Package for each Administrative Export De-List Bid must also specify the interface over which the capacity will be exported, and must include documentation demonstrating a contractual obligation to sell capacity outside of the New England Control Area during the whole Capacity Commitment Period. Administrative Export De-List Bids shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.4.

III.13.1.2.3.1.5. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids.

(a) A Lead Market Participant with an Existing Capacity Resource seeking to specify a price at or below which it would not accept a Capacity Supply Obligation permanently for all or part of a Generating Capacity Resource beginning at the start of a particular Capacity Commitment Period may submit a Permanent De-List Bid in the associated Forward Capacity Auction qualification process.

(b) A Lead Market Participant with an Existing Capacity Resource seeking to specify a price at or below which it would retire all or part of a Generating Capacity Resource from all New England Markets beginning at the start of a particular Capacity Commitment Period may submit a Retirement De-List Bid in the associated Forward Capacity Auction qualification process.

(c) No Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid may result in a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation being less than its Rationing Minimum Limit unless the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is for the entire resource. Each Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bid must be detailed in an Existing Capacity Retirement Package submitted to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, and must be in the form of a curve (up to five price-quantity pairs) associated with a specific Existing Capacity Resource. The curve may in no case increase the quantity offered as the price decreases. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids are subject to review by the Internal Market Monitor pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1 and must include the additional documentation described in that section.

(d) Once submitted, a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid may not be withdrawn or modified, except as provided in Section III.13.1.2.4.1 and as follows: During the time provided for adjustments to Static De-List Bids under Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1, a Lead Market Participant may reduce the Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid to a price that is no lower than .75 times the Internal Market Monitor-accepted price determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1.2, provided the Lead Market Participant has not elected to retire the Resource for which

a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid has been submitted under Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) or elected conditional treatment of the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid under Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b).

III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1. Reliability Review of Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids During the Qualification Process.

During the qualification process, the ISO will review the following de-list bids to determine if the resource is needed for reliability: (1) Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bids and Internal Market Monitor-accepted Retirement De-List Bids that remain at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price even considering a full downward adjustment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d); and (2) Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids for which the Lead Market Participant has opted to have the resource reviewed for reliability as described in Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) or Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b). The reliability review will be conducted according to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, except as follows:

- (a) Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids that cannot be priced (for example, due to the expiration of an operating license) will be reviewed first.
- (b) System needs associated with Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids for resources found needed for reliability reasons pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 will be reviewed with the Reliability Committee during the month of August following the issuance of retirement determination notifications pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4(a). The Lead Market Participant shall be notified as soon as practicable following the ISO's consultation with the Reliability Committee that the capacity associated with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is needed for reliability reasons.
- (c) If the capacity associated with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is needed for reliability reasons pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, the de-list bid shall be rejected and the resource shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(c) and compensated according to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, unless the resource declines to be retained for reliability, as provided in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1(d).
- (d) No later than the fifth Business Day in the month of September following the review of system needs with the Reliability Committee per (b) above, a Lead Market Participant may notify the ISO that it declines to provide the associated capacity for reliability. Such an election will be binding. A resource for

which a Lead Market Participant has made such an election will not be eligible for compensation pursuant to Sections III.13.2.5.2.5.1 or III.13.2.5.2.5.2.

(e) Where a resource is determined not to be needed for reliability or where a Lead Market Participant notifies the ISO that it declines to provide capacity for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1(d), the capacity associated with the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid will be treated as follows:

PART II For a Retirement De-List Bid determined to be at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, or a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid for which a Lead Market Participant has elected to retire the resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a), the portion of the resource subject to the de-list bid will be retired as permitted by applicable law coincident with the commencement of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the de-list bid was submitted, as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a).

PART III For a Permanent De-List Bid determined to be at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 for which a Lead Market Participant has not elected to retire the resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a), the portion of the resource subject to the de-list bid will be permanently de-listed coincident with the commencement of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the de-list bid was submitted, as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(b).

PART IV For a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid for which a Lead Market Participant has elected conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), the de-list bid will continue to receive conditional treatment as described in Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), Section III.13.2.3.2(b)(ii), and Section III.13.2.5.2.1.

III.13.1.2.3.1.6. Static De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids for Existing Generating Capacity Resources at Stations having Common Costs.

Where Existing Generating Capacity Resources at a Station having Common Costs elect to submit Static De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, or Retirement De-List Bids, the provisions of this Section III.13.1.2.3.1.6 shall apply.

III.13.1.2.3.1.6.1. Submission of Cost Data.

In addition to the information required elsewhere in this Section III.13.1.2.3, Static De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, or Retirement De-List Bids submitted by an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is associated with a Station having Common Costs and seeking to delist must include detailed cost data to allow the ISO to determine the Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs for each asset associated with the Station and the Station Going Forward Common Costs.

III.13.1.2.3.1.6.2. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.2.3.1.6.3. Internal Market Monitor Review of Stations having Common Costs.

The Internal Market Monitor will review each Static De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bids from an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is associated with a Station having Common Costs pursuant to the following methodology:

- (i) Calculate the average Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs of each asset at the Station.
- (ii) Order the assets from highest average Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs to lowest average Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs; this is the preferred de-list order.
- (iii) Calculate and assign to each asset a station cost that is equal to the average cost of the assets remaining at the Station, including Station Going Forward Common Costs, assuming the successive de-listing of each individual asset in preferred de-list order.
- (iv) Calculate a set of composite costs that is equal to the maximum of the cost associated with each asset as calculated in (i) and (iii) above.

The Internal Market Monitor will adjust the set of composite costs to ensure a monotonically non-increasing set of bids as follows: any asset with a composite cost that is greater than the composite cost of the asset with the lowest composite cost and that has average Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs that are less than its composite costs will have its composite cost set equal to that of the asset with the lowest composite cost. The bids of the asset with the lowest composite cost and of any assets whose composite costs are so adjusted will be considered a single non-rationable bid for use in the Forward Capacity Auction.

The Internal Market Monitor will compare a de-list bid developed using the adjusted composite costs to the de-list bid submitted by the Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is associated with a Station having Common Costs. If the Internal Market Monitor determines that the submitted de-list bid is less than or equal to the bid developed using the adjusted composite costs, then the bid shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(b). If the Internal Market Monitor determines that the submitted de-list bid is greater than the bid developed using the adjusted composite costs or is not consistent with the submitted supporting cost data, then the Internal Market Monitor will establish an Internal Market Monitor-determined or Internal Market Monitor-accepted price for the bid as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.

III.13.1.2.3.2. Review by Internal Market Monitor of Bids from Existing Capacity Resources.

The Internal Market Monitor shall review bids for Existing Capacity Resources as follows.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1. Static De-List Bids and Export Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, and Retirement De-List Bids at or Above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold.

The Internal Market Monitor shall review each Static De-List Bid and each Export Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold to determine whether the bid is consistent with: (1) the Existing Capacity Resource's net going forward costs (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.A); (2) reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.3); (3) reasonable risk premium assumptions (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.4); and (4) the resource's reasonable opportunity costs (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.5).

The Internal Market Monitor shall review each Permanent De-List Bid greater than 20 MW that is at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold and each Retirement De-List Bid greater than 20 MW that is at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold to determine whether the bid is consistent with: (1) the net present value of the resource's expected cash flows (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.B); (2) reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.3); and (3) the resource's reasonable opportunity costs (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.5). If more than one Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is submitted by a single Lead Market Participant or its Affiliates (as used in Section III.A.24), the Internal Market Monitor shall review each such bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid

Threshold if the sum of all such bids at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold is greater than 20 MW. The Internal Market Monitor shall review each Permanent De-List Bid and each Retirement De-List Bid submitted at any price pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.1(b) if the sum of the Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids submitted by the Lead Market Participant or its Affiliates (as used in Section III.A.24) is greater than 20 MW. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids that are not reviewed by the Internal Market Monitor shall be included in the retirement determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(a) and in the filing made to the Commission as described in Section III.13.8.1(a).

Sufficient documentation and information about each bid component must be included in the Existing Capacity Retirement Package or the Existing Capacity Qualification Package to allow the Internal Market Monitor to make the requisite determinations. If a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is submitted pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.1(b), all relevant updates to previously submitted documentation and information must be provided to support the newly submitted price and allow the Internal Market Monitor to make updated determinations. The updated information may include a request to discontinue the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid such that it will not be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction, in which case the update must include sufficient supporting information on the nature of resource investments that were undertaken, or other materially changed circumstances, to allow the Internal Market Monitor to determine whether discontinuation is appropriate.

The entire de-list submittal shall be accompanied by an affidavit executed by a corporate officer attesting to the accuracy of its content, including reported costs, the reasonableness of the estimates and adjustments of costs that would otherwise be avoided if the resource were not required to meet the obligations of a listed resource, and the reasonableness of the expectations and assumptions regarding Capacity Performance Payments, cash flows, opportunity costs, and risk premiums, and shall be subject to audit upon request by the ISO.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1. Internal Market Monitor Review of De-List Bids.

The Internal Market Monitor may seek additional information from the Lead Market Participant (including information about the other existing or potential new resources controlled by the Lead Market Participant) after the qualification deadline to address any questions or concerns regarding the data submitted, as appropriate. The Internal Market Monitor shall review all relevant information (including data, studies, and assumptions) to determine whether the bid is consistent with the resource's net going forward costs, reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments, reasonable

risk premium assumptions, and reasonable opportunity costs. In making this determination, the Internal Market Monitor shall consider, among other things, industry standards, market conditions (including published indices and projections), resource-specific characteristics and conditions, portfolio size, and consistency of assumptions across that portfolio.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1.1. Review of Static De-List Bids and Export Bids.

The Internal Market Monitor shall review Static De-List Bids and Export Bids and, after due consideration and consultation with the Lead Market Participant, as appropriate, shall develop an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Static De-List Bid or an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Export Bid. The Internal Market Monitor-accepted Static De-List Bid and Internal Market Monitor-accepted Export Bid shall be equal to the Static De-List Bid or Export Bid submitted by the Lead Market Participant unless the de-list bid price(s) submitted by the Lead Market Participant are more than 10% greater than the Internal Market Monitor-accepted de-list bid price(s) for the same de-list bid. If the de-list bid price(s) submitted by the Lead Market Participant are more than 10% greater than the Internal Market Monitor-accepted de-list bid price(s), the Internal Market Monitor shall calculate an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Static De-List Bid or Internal Market-Monitor-accepted Export Bid that is consistent with the sum of the resource's net going forward costs plus reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments plus reasonable risk premium assumptions plus reasonable opportunity costs.

If an Internal Market Monitor-determined price is established for a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid, both the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4 and the informational filing made to the Commission as described in Section III.13.8.1(c) shall include an explanation of the Internal Market Monitor-determined price based on the Internal Market Monitor review and the resource's net going forward costs, reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments, reasonable risk premium assumptions, and reasonable opportunity costs as determined by the Internal Market Monitor.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.1.2. Review of Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids.

The Internal Market Monitor shall review those Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids identified in Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1 and, after due consideration and consultation with the Lead Market Participant, as appropriate, shall develop an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bid or an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Retirement De-List Bid. The Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bid and Internal Market Monitor-accepted Retirement De-List Bid shall be equal to the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid submitted by the Lead Market Participant unless

the de-list bid price(s) submitted by the Lead Market Participant are more than 10% greater than the Internal Market Monitor-accepted de-list bid price(s) for the same de-list bid. If the de-list bid price(s) submitted by the Lead Market Participant are more than 10% greater than the Internal Market Monitor-accepted de-list bid price(s), the Internal Market Monitor shall calculate an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bid or Internal Market-Monitor-accepted Retirement De-List Bid that is consistent with the sum of the net present value of the resource's expected cash flows plus reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments plus reasonable opportunity costs.

The retirement determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(a) and the filing made to the Commission as described in Section III.13.8.1(a) shall include an explanation of the Internal Market Monitor-accepted price and the Internal Market Monitor determination on any request to discontinue the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.A. Static De-List Bid and Export Bid Net Going Forward Costs.

The Lead Market Participant for an Existing Capacity Resource that submits a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold that is to be reviewed by the Internal Market Monitor shall report expected net going forward costs for the applicable Capacity Commitment Period in a manner and format specified by the Internal Market Monitor, and may supplement this information with other evidence. A Static De-List Bid or Export Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold shall be considered consistent with the Existing Capacity Resource's net going forward costs based on a review of the data submitted in the following formula.

Net Going Forward Costs =

$$\frac{(GFC - IMR) \times InfIndex}{(CQ_{Summer, kw}) \times (12 months)}$$

Where:

GFC = annual going forward costs, in dollars. These are the expected costs and capital expenditures that might otherwise be avoided or not incurred if the resource were not subject to the obligations of a resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation during the Capacity Commitment Period (i.e., maintaining a constant condition of being ready to respond to commitment and dispatch orders). Costs that are not avoidable in a single Capacity Commitment Period and costs associated with the production of energy are not to be included. Service of debt is not a going forward cost. Staffing, maintenance, capital expenses,

and other normal expenses that would be avoided only in the absence of a Capacity Supply Obligation may be included. Staffing, maintenance, capital expenses, and other normal expenses that would be avoided only if the resource were not participating in the energy and ancillary services markets may not be included, except in the case of a resource that has indicated in the submission of a Static De-List Bid that the resource will not be participating in the energy and ancillary services markets during the Capacity Commitment Period.

$CQ_{\text{Summer}}kW$ = capacity seeking to de-list in kW. In no case shall this value exceed the resource's summer Qualified Capacity.

IMR = expected annual infra-marginal rents, in dollars. In the case of a resource that has indicated in the submission of a Static De-List Bid that the resource will not be participating in the energy and ancillary services markets during the Capacity Commitment Period, this value shall be calculated by subtracting all submitted cost data representing the cumulative expected cost of production (total expenses related to the production of energy, e.g. fuel, actual consumables such as chemicals and water, and, if quantified, incremental labor and maintenance) from the Existing Generating Capacity Resource's total ISO market revenues. In the case of a resource that has indicated in the submission of a Static De-List Bid that the resource will be participating in the energy and ancillary services markets during the Capacity Commitment Period, this value shall be \$0.00.

infIndex = inflation index. $\text{infIndex} = (1 + i)^4$

Where: "i" is the most recent reported 4- Year expected inflation number published by the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland at the beginning of the qualification period. The specific value to be used shall be specified by the ISO and available to the Lead Market Participant.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.B Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bid Net Present Value of Expected Cash Flows.

The Lead Market Participant for an Existing Capacity Resource that submits a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid that is to be reviewed by the Internal Market Monitor shall report all expected costs, revenues, prices, discount rates and capital expenditures in a manner and format specified by the Internal Market Monitor, and may supplement this information with other evidence. The Internal Market Monitor will review the Lead Market Participant's submitted data to ensure that it is consistent with overall market conditions and reflects expected values.

The Internal Market Monitor will adjust any data that are inconsistent with overall market conditions or do not reflect expected values. The Internal Market Monitor shall enter all relevant expected costs, revenues, prices, discount rates and capital expenditures into a capital budgeting model and shall determine the net present value of the Existing Capacity Resource's expected cash flows as follows:

The net present value of the Existing Capacity Resource's expected cash flows is equal to (i) the net present value of the Existing Capacity Resource's net annual expected cash flows over the resource's remaining economic life (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.C) plus the net present value of the resource's expected terminal value, using the resource's discount rate, divided by (ii) the product of the resource's Qualified Capacity (in kilowatts) and 12 months.

The Existing Capacity Resource's net annual expected cash flow for the first Capacity Commitment Period of the resource's remaining economic life is the resource's expected annual net operating profit excluding expected capacity revenues less its expected capital expenditures in the Capacity Commitment Period.

The Existing Capacity Resource's net annual expected cash flow for each of the subsequent Capacity Commitment Periods of the resource's remaining economic life is the resource's expected annual net operating profit less its expected capital expenditures in the Capacity Commitment Period.

Where:

Expected net operating profit, in dollars, is the Lead Market Participant's expected annual profit that might otherwise be avoided or not accrued if the resource were not subject to the obligations of a listed capacity resource during the Capacity Commitment Period. Expected labor, maintenance, taxes, insurance, administrative and other normal expenses that can be avoided or not incurred if the resource is retired or permanently de-listed may be included. Service of debt is not an avoidable cost and may not be included.

Expected capacity revenues, in dollars, are the forecasted annual expected capacity revenues based on the Lead Market Participant's forecasted expected capacity prices for each of the subsequent Capacity Commitment Periods of the resource's remaining economic life. The Lead Market Participant shall provide the Internal Market Monitor with documentation supporting the forecasted expected capacity

prices. The supporting documentation must include a detailed description and sources of the Lead Market Participant's assumptions about expected resource additions, resource retirements, estimated Installed Capacity Requirements, estimated Local Sourcing Requirements, expected market conditions, and any other assumptions used to develop the forecasted expected capacity price in each Capacity Commitment Period.

If the Internal Market Monitor determines the Lead Market Participant has not provided adequate supporting documentation for the forecasted expected capacity prices, the Internal Market Monitor will replace the Lead Market Participant's forecasted expected capacity prices with the Internal Market Monitor's estimate thereof in each of the subsequent Capacity Commitment Periods of the resource's remaining economic life.

Expected capital expenditures, in dollars, are the Lead Market Participant's expected capital investments that might otherwise be avoided or not incurred if the resource were not subject to the obligations of a listed capacity resource during the Capacity Commitment Periods.

Expected terminal value, in dollars, for resources with five years or less of remaining economic life, is the Lead Market Participant's expected revenue less expected costs associated with retiring or permanently de-listing the resource. For resources with more than five years of remaining economic life, the expected terminal value in the fifth year of the evaluation period is the Lead Market Participant's expected revenue less expected costs associated with retiring or permanently de-listing the resource at the end of the resource's economic life plus the net present value of the Existing Capacity Resource's net annual expected cash flows from the sixth year of the evaluation period through the end of the resource's remaining economic life, using the resource's discount rate.

Discount rate is a value reflecting the Lead Market Participant's weighted average cost of capital for the Existing Capacity Resource adjusted to reflect the risk to cash flows calculated pursuant to the net present value of expected cash flows analysis in this Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.B.

The Lead Market Participant shall provide the Internal Market Monitor with documentation supporting the weighted average cost of capital for the Existing Capacity Resource adjusted for risk.

The supporting documentation must include a detailed description and sources of the Lead Market Participant's assumptions associated with the cost of capital, risks and any other assumptions used to develop the weighted average cost of capital for the Existing Capacity Resource adjusted for risk.

If the Internal Market Monitor determines the Lead Market Participant has not provided adequate supporting documentation for the weighted average cost of capital for the Existing Capacity Resource adjusted for risk, the Lead Market Participant has included risks not associated with cash flows calculated pursuant to the net present value of expected cash flows analysis in this Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.B or the Lead Market Participant has submitted costs, revenues, capital expenditures or prices that are not reflective of expected values, the Internal Market Monitor will replace the Lead Market Participant's discount rate with a value determined by the Internal Market Monitor.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.C Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bid Calculation of Remaining Economic Life.

The Internal Market Monitor shall calculate the Existing Capacity Resource's remaining economic life, using evaluation periods ranging from one to five years. For each evaluation period, the Internal Market Monitor will calculate the net present value of (a) the annual expected net operating profit minus annual expected capital expenditures assuming the Capacity Clearing Price for the first year is equal to the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price and (b) the expected terminal value of the resource at the end of the given evaluation period. The economic life is the maximum evaluation period in which a resource's net present value is non-negative. However, effective April 9, 2020, beginning with the sixteenth Forward Capacity Auction, the economic life is the evaluation period in which a resource's net present value is maximized.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.3. Expected Capacity Performance Payments.

The Lead Market Participant for an Existing Capacity Resource that submits a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, or Retirement De-List Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold that is to be reviewed by the Internal Market Monitor shall also provide documentation separately detailing the expected Capacity Performance Payments for the resource. This documentation must include expectations regarding the applicable Capacity Balancing Ratio, the number of hours of reserve deficiency, and the resource's performance during reserve deficiencies.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.4. Risk Premium.

The Lead Market Participant for an Existing Capacity Resource that submits a Static De-List Bid, or an Export Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold that is to be reviewed by the Internal Market Monitor shall also provide documentation separately detailing any risk premium included in the bid. This documentation should address all components of physical and financial risk reflected in the bid,

including, for example, catastrophic events, a higher than expected amount of reserve deficiencies, and performing scheduled maintenance during reserve deficiencies. Any risk that can be quantified and analytically supported and that is not already reflected in the formula for net going forward costs described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2.1.2.A may be included in this risk premium component. In support of the resource's risk premium, the Lead Market Participant may also submit an affidavit from a corporate officer attesting that the risk premium submitted is the minimum necessary to ensure that the overall level of risk associated with the resource's participation in the Forward Capacity Market is consistent with the participant's corporate risk management practices.

III.13.1.2.3.2.1.5. Opportunity Costs.

To the extent that an Existing Capacity Resource submitting a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid, Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold has additional opportunity costs that are not reflected in the net going forward costs, net present value of expected cash flows, expected Capacity Performance Payments, discount rate, or risk premium components of the bid, the Lead Market Participant must include in the Existing Capacity Qualification Package evidence supporting such costs. Opportunity costs associated with major repairs necessary to restore decreases in capacity as described in Section III.13.1.2.2.4, capital projects required to operate the plant as a capacity resource or other uses of the resource shall be considered, provided such costs are substantiated by evidence of a repair plan, documented business plan and fundamental market analysis, or other independent and transparent trading index or indices as applicable. Substantiation of opportunity costs relying on sales in reconfiguration auctions or risk aversion premiums shall not be considered sufficient justification.

III.13.1.2.3.2.2. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.2.3.2.3. Administrative Export De-List Bids.

The Internal Market Monitor shall review each Administrative Export De-List Bid associated with a multi-year contract entered into prior to April 30, 2007 in the first Forward Capacity Auction in which it clears. An Administrative Export De-List Bid shall be rejected if the Internal Market Monitor determines that the bid may be an attempt to manipulate the Forward Capacity Auction, and the matter will be referred to the Commission in accordance with the protocols set forth in Appendix A to the Commission's Market Monitoring Policy Statement (111 FERC ¶ 61,267 (2005)).

III.13.1.2.3.2.4. Static De-List Bids for Reductions in Ratings Due to Ambient Air Conditions.

A Lead Market Participant may submit a Static De-List Bid for up to the megawatt amount that the Lead Market Participant expects will not be physically available due to the difference between the summer Qualified Capacity at 90 degrees and the expected rating of the resource at 100 degrees. The ISO shall verify during the qualification process that the rating is accurate. Such Static De-List Bids may be entered into the Forward Capacity Market at prices up to and including the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, subject to validation of the physical limit. Static De-List Bids for reductions in ratings due to ambient air conditions shall not be subject to the review described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2 and need not include documentation for that purpose.

III.13.1.2.3.2.5. Static De-List Bid Incremental Capital Expenditure Recovery Schedule.

Except as described below, the Internal Market Monitor shall review all Static De-List Bids using the following cost recovery schedule for incremental capital expenditures, which assumes an annual pre-tax weighted average cost of capital of 10 percent.

Age of Existing Resource (years)	Remaining Life (years)	Annual Rate of Capital Cost Recovery
1 to 5	30	0.106
6 to 10	25	0.110
11 to 15	20	0.117
16 to 20	15	0.131
21 to 25	10	0.163
25 plus	5	0.264

A Market Participant may request that a different pre-tax weighted average cost of capital be used to determine the resource’s annual rate of capital cost recovery by submitting the request, along with supporting documentation, in the Existing Capacity Qualification Package. The Internal Market Monitor shall review the request and supporting documentation and may, at its sole discretion, replace the annual rate of capital cost recovery from the table above with a resource-specific value based on an adjusted pre-tax weighted average cost of capital. If the Internal Market Monitor uses an adjusted pre-tax weighted average cost of capital for the resource, then the resource’s annual rate of capital cost recovery will be determined according to the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Cost Of Capital}}{(1 - (\text{I} + \text{CostOfCapital})^{-\text{RemainingLife}})}$$

Where:

Cost Of Capital = the adjusted pre-tax weighted average cost of capital.

Remaining Life = the remaining life of the existing resource, based on the age of the resource, as indicated in the table above.

III.13.1.2.4. Retirement Determination Notification for Existing Capacity and Qualification Determination Notification for Existing Capacity.

(a) No later than five Business Days before the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, the ISO shall send notification to the Lead Market Participant that submitted each Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bid concerning the result of the Internal Market Monitor's review conducted pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2. This retirement determination notification shall not include the results of the reliability review pursuant to Sections III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 or III.13.2.5.2.5.

(b) No later than 127 days before the Forward Capacity Auction, the ISO shall send notification to the Lead Market Participant that submitted each Static De-List Bid and Export Bid concerning the result of the Internal Market Monitor's de-list bid review conducted pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2. The qualification determination shall not include the results of the reliability review pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5. For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the ISO shall send notification to the Lead Market Participant that submitted each Static De-List Bid and Export Bid concerning the result of the Internal Market Monitor's de-list bid review conducted pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2 no later than October 12, 2023. The qualification determination shall not include the results of the reliability review pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.

III.13.1.2.4.1. Participant-Elected Retirement or Conditional Treatment.

No later than five Business Days after the issuance by the ISO of the retirement determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(a), a Lead Market Participant that submitted a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid may make an election pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) or Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b). If the Lead Market Participant does not make an election pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) or Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), the prices provided by the Internal Market Monitor in the

retirement determination notifications shall be the finalized prices used in the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(b) (unless otherwise directed by the Commission).

(a) A Lead Market Participant may elect to retire the resource, or portion thereof, for which it has submitted a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid. The capacity associated with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid subject to this election will not be subject to reliability review and will be retired pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a); provided, however, that when making the retirement election pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) the Lead Market Participant may opt to have the resource reviewed for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, in which case the Lead Market Participant may have the opportunity (but will not be obligated) to provide capacity from the resource if the ISO determines that the resource is needed for reliability reasons, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1(d).

(b) A Lead Market Participant may elect conditional treatment for the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid. The capacity associated with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid subject to this election will be treated as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(b)(ii), Section III.13.2.5.2.1, and Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3; provided, however, that in making this election the Lead Market Participant may opt to have the resource reviewed for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, in which case the Lead Market Participant may have the opportunity (but will not be obligated) to provide capacity from the resource if the ISO determines that the resource is needed for reliability reasons, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1(d).

III.13.1.2.5. Optional Existing Capacity Qualification Package for New Generating Capacity Resources Previously Counted as Capacity.

A resource seeking to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 (resources previously counted as capacity resources) may elect to submit an Existing Capacity Qualification Package in addition to the New Capacity Show of Interest Form and New Capacity Qualification Package that it is required to submit pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2. The bids contained in an Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.5 must clearly indicate which New Generating Capacity Resource the Existing Capacity Qualification Package is associated with, and if accepted in accordance with Section III.13.1.2.3, would only be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction where: (i) the new resource is not accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to

Section III.13.1.1.2; or (ii) no offer from that New Generating Capacity Resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(e). An Existing Capacity Qualification Package submitted pursuant to this Section III.13.1.2.5 must conform in all other respects to the requirements of this Section III.13.1.2.

III.13.1.3. Import Capacity.

The qualification requirements for import capacity shall depend on whether the import capacity is an Existing Import Capacity Resource or a New Import Capacity Resource. Both Existing Import Capacity Resources and New Import Capacity Resources clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction must be backed by one or more External Resources or by an external Control Area throughout the relevant Capacity Commitment Period. An external demand resource may not be an Existing Import Capacity Resource or a New Import Capacity Resource. External nodes shall be established and mapped to Capacity Zones pursuant to the provisions in Attachment K to Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

An Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service under Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff shall be included in the FCM ~~(1)~~ after it has established a contractual association with an Import Capacity Resource and that Import Capacity Resource has met the Forward Capacity Market qualification requirements ~~or (2) after it has met the requirements of an Elective Transmission Upgrade with Long Lead Time Facility treatment pursuant to Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.~~ An external node for such an Elective Transmission Upgrade will be modeled for participation in the Forward Capacity Market after the Import Capacity Resource meets the requirements to participate in the FCA. The Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade shall not exceed the Elective Transmission Upgrade's Capacity Network Import Capability specified in its Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service Interconnection Request. ~~In order for an Elective Transmission Upgrade to maintain its Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, an associated Import Capacity Resource must meet the Forward Capacity Market qualification requirements and offer into each Forward Capacity Auction. Otherwise, the Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service will revert to Network Import Interconnection Service for the portion of the Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service for which no Import Capacity Resource is offered into the Forward Capacity Auction and the Elective Transmission Upgrade's Interconnection Agreement will be revised.~~ The provisions in Sections III.13.1.3.5.4, permitting a Capacity Commitment Period Election, and in Section III.13.1.3.5.8, permitting a rationing election, shall apply to a New Import

Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking to reestablish Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service if the threshold to be treated as a new resource in Section III.13.1.1.1.4 is met. If the threshold to be treated as a new increment in Section III.13.1.1.1.3 is met, only the increment will be eligible for the provisions in Sections III.13.1.3.5.4, permitting a Capacity Commitment Period Election, and in Section III.13.1.3.5.8, permitting a rationing election.

III.13.1.3.1. Definition of Existing Import Capacity Resource.

Capacity associated with a multi-year contract entered into before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside of the New England Control Area for a period including the whole Capacity Commitment Period, or capacity from an External Resource that is owned or directly controlled by the Lead Market Participant and which is committed for at least two whole consecutive Capacity Commitment Periods by the Lead Market Participant in the New Capacity Qualification Package, shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as an Existing Import Capacity Resource, except that if that Existing Import Capacity Resource has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, then the import capacity shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Import Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.3.2. Qualified Capacity for Existing Import Capacity Resources.

The summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity of an Existing Import Capacity Resource shall be based on the data provided to the ISO during the qualification process, subject to ISO review and verification.

The qualified capacity for the Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with the VJO and NYPA contracts listed in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) as of the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2014 shall be equal to the lesser of the stated amount in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) or the median amount of the energy delivered from the Existing Import Capacity Resource during the New England system coincident peak over the previous five Capacity Commitment Periods at the time of qualification.

III.13.1.3.3.A Qualification Process for Existing Import Capacity Resources that are not associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service.

Existing Import Capacity Resources shall be subject to the same qualification process as Existing Generating Capacity Resources, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3, except as follows:

- (a) The Qualified Capacity shall be the lesser of the multi-year contract values as documented in the new resource qualification determination notification and the capacity clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction to which the new resource qualification determination notification applied.
- (b) The rationing election described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1 shall not apply.
- (c) The Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with contracts listed in the table below may qualify to receive the treatment described in Section III.13.2.7.3A for the duration of the contracts as listed. For each Forward Capacity Auction after the first Forward Capacity Auction, in order for an Existing Import Capacity Resource associated with a contract listed below to qualify for the treatment described in Section III.13.2.7.3A, no later than 10 Business Days prior to the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, the Market Participant submitting the Existing Import Capacity Resource must also submit to the ISO documentation verifying that the contract will remain in effect throughout the Capacity Commitment Period and that it has not been amended. For the first Forward Capacity Auction, Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with contracts listed in the table below are qualified to receive the treatment described in Section III.13.2.7.3A.

Contract Description	MW	Contract End Date
NYPA: NY – NE: CMEEC	13.2	8/31/2025
NYPA: NY – NE: MMWEC	53.3	8/31/2025
NYPA: NY – NE: Pascoag	2.3	8/31/2025
NYPA: NY– NE: VELCO	15.3	8/31/2025
	84.1	
VJO: Highgate – NE	Up to 225	10/31/2016
VJO: Highgate – NE (extension) (beginning 11/01/2016)	Up to 6	October 2020
VJO: Phase I/II – NE	Up to 110	10/31/2016

- (d) In addition to the review described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2, the Internal Market Monitor shall review each bid from Existing Import Capacity Resources. A bid from an Existing Import Capacity Resource shall be rejected if the Internal Market Monitor determines that the bid may be an attempt to manipulate the Forward Capacity Auction, and the matter will be referred to the Commission in accordance with the protocols set forth in Appendix A to the Commission’s Market Monitoring Policy Statement (111 FERC ¶ 61,267 (2005)).

III.13.1.3.3.B. Qualification Process for Existing Import Capacity Resources that are associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with Capacity Import Interconnection Service.

Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with Capacity Import Interconnection Service pursuant to Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff shall be subject to the same qualification process as Existing Generating Capacity Resources as described in Section III.13.1.2.3, except the Qualified Capacity shall be the lesser of the multi-year contract values as documented in the new resource qualification determination notification and the capacity clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction to which the new resource qualification determination notification applied.

III.13.1.3.4. Definition of New Import Capacity Resource.

Capacity not associated with a multi-year contract entered into before the New Capacity Qualification Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside the New England Control Area for the whole Capacity Commitment Period, but that meets the requirements of Section III.13.1.3.5.1, shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Import Capacity Resource. For capacity associated with a multi-year contract entered into before the New Capacity Qualification Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside the New England Control Area for a period including the whole Capacity Commitment Period, or capacity from an External Resource that is owned or directly controlled by the Lead Market Participant and which is committed for at least two whole consecutive Capacity Commitment Periods by the Lead Market Participant in the New Capacity Qualification Package, if the import capacity has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, then the import capacity shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Import Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.3.5. Qualification Process for New Import Capacity Resources.

The qualification process for a New Import Capacity Resource, whether backed by a new External Resource, by one or more existing External Resources, or by an external Control Area, shall be the same as the qualification process for a New Generating Capacity Resource, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2, except as follows:

III.13.1.3.5.1. Documentation of Import.

(a) For each New Import Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor submitting the import capacity must also submit: (i) documentation of a one-year contract entered into before the New Capacity

Qualification Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside of the New England Control Area for the entire Capacity Commitment Period, including documentation of the MW value of the contract; (ii) documentation of a multi-year contract entered into before the New Capacity Qualification Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside of the New England Control Area for the contract period including the entire Capacity Commitment Period, including documentation of the MW value of the contract; (iii) proof of ownership or direct control over one or more External Resources that will be used to back the New Import Capacity Resource during the Capacity Commitment Period, including information to establish the summer and winter ratings of the resource(s) backing the import; or (iv) documentation for system-backed import capacity that the import capacity will be supported by the Control Area and that the energy associated with that system-backed import capacity will be afforded the same curtailment priority as that Control Area's native load. For each New Import Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must specify the interface over which the capacity will be imported. The Project Sponsor must indicate whether the import is associated with any investment in transmission that increases New England's import capability or is associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service pursuant to Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff that has not yet achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff. The Project Sponsor must submit a contract confirming its association with the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer and the ISO will confirm that relationship. If the import will be backed by a single new External Resource, the Project Sponsor submitting the import capacity must also submit a general description of the project's equipment configuration, including a description of the resource technology type.

(b) To qualify for Capacity Commitment Periods prior to the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction for which the import capacity is qualifying, the Project Sponsor must submit documentation of one or more one-year contracts for each prior Capacity Commitment Period, entered into before the New Capacity Qualification Deadline to provide capacity in the New England Control Area from outside of the New England Control Area for the entire Capacity Commitment Period, including documentation of the MW value of the contract(s); the Project Sponsor must also satisfy the relevant requirements of Sections III.13.1.3.5.1(a) , III.13.1.3.5.2, III.13.1.9, and III.13.3.1.1.

III.13.1.3.5.2. Import Backed by Existing External Resources.

If the New Import Capacity Resource will be backed by one or more External Resources existing at the time of the Forward Capacity Auction and the capacity will be imported over an interface that has achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, the provisions regarding site control (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1) and critical path schedule (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2) shall not apply, and the Project Sponsor shall instead submit a description of how the New Import Capacity Resource will meet its Capacity Supply Obligation in the Capacity Commitment Period(s) for which it seeks to qualify.

If the New Import Capacity Resource will be backed by one or more External Resources existing at the time of the Forward Capacity Auction and the capacity will be imported over an interface that has not achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets, the provisions regarding site control (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1) and critical path schedule (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2) shall apply in addition to the requirement that the Project Sponsor submit a description of how the New Import Capacity Resource will meet its Capacity Supply Obligation in the Capacity Commitment Period(s) for which it seeks to qualify.

The description must indicate specifically which External Resources will back the New Import Capacity Resource during the Capacity Commitment Period, and if those External Resources are not owned or controlled directly by the Project Sponsor, the description must include a commitment that the External Resources will have sufficient capacity that is not obligated outside the New England Control Area to fully satisfy the New Import Capacity Resource's potential Capacity Supply Obligation during the Capacity Commitment Period and demonstrate how that commitment will be met.

III.13.1.3.5.3. Imports Backed by an External Control Area.

If the New Import Capacity Resource will be backed by an external Control Area and the capacity will be imported over an interface that has achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, the provisions regarding site control (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1) and critical path schedule (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2) shall not apply, and the Project Sponsor shall instead submit system load and capacity projections for the external Control Area showing sufficient excess capacity during the Capacity Commitment Period to back the New Import Capacity Resource.

If the New Import Capacity Resource will be backed by an external Control Area and the capacity will be imported over an Elective Transmission Upgrade and the capacity will be imported over an interface that

has not achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, the provisions regarding site control (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.1) and critical path schedule (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2) shall apply in addition to the requirement that the Project Sponsor submit system load and capacity projections for the external Control Area showing sufficient excess capacity during the Capacity Commitment Period to back the New Import Capacity Resource for the length of the multi-year contract.

III.13.1.3.5.3.1. Imports Crossing Intervening Control Areas.

The preceding rules define requirements associated with the import of capacity from a Control Area, or resources located in a Control Area, directly adjacent to the New England Control Area. Imports of capacity from a Control Area or resources located in a Control Area where such import crosses an intervening Control Area or Control Areas shall comply with the following additional requirements: (1) For imports crossing a single intervening Control Area, the Project Sponsor entering the import contract shall demonstrate, as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals, that the remote Control Area will afford the energy export to the adjacent intervening Control Area the same curtailment priority as its native load, that the adjacent intervening Control Area has procedures in place to explicitly recognize the linkage between the import and re-export of energy in support of the import contract, and that the energy export to the ISO will not be curtailed (except pro-rata with a curtailment of native load) so long as the linked import is flowing. (2) For imports crossing more than one intervening Control Area, in addition to the requirements above, the Project Sponsor entering the import contract shall demonstrate, as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals, by the New Capacity Qualification Deadline, that explicit market and operating procedures exist among the intervening Control Areas to ensure that the energy required to be delivered to the New England Control Area will be guaranteed the same curtailment priority as the intervening native loads, and that none of the intervening Control Areas will curtail the transaction except in conjunction with a curtailment of native load. (3) The Project Sponsor entering the import contract shall demonstrate that capacity it supplies to the New England Control Area will not be recalled or curtailed to satisfy the load of the external Control Area, or that the external Control Area in which it is located will afford New England Control Area load the same curtailment priority that it affords its own Control Area native load.

III.13.1.3.5.4. Capacity Commitment Period Election.

The provisions regarding Capacity Commitment Period election (Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4) shall only apply to a New Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with a Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service Interconnection Request. All other New Import Capacity

Resources clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction shall have a Capacity Supply Obligation and shall receive payments only for the one-year Capacity Commitment Period associated with that Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.3.5.5. Initial Interconnection Analysis Interconnection Review.

The provisions regarding ~~initial interconnection analysis~~ interconnection review (Section III.13.1.1.2.3, Section III.13.1.1.2.3A and III.13.1.1.2.3B) shall not apply unless the capacity will be imported over an Elective Transmission Upgrade pursuing Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service pursuant to Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff that has not achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

III.13.1.3.5.5.A. Offer Information.

(a) A New Import Capacity Resource that is not subject to the pivotal supplier test in Section III.A.23 is subject to the same offer information submission requirements for a New Generating Capacity Resource that are described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.3.

(b) A New Import Capacity Resource that is subject to the pivotal supplier test in Section III.A.23 and seeks to specify a price below which it would not accept a Capacity Supply Obligation for that resource, or a portion thereof, that is at or above the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold must submit the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and documentation and information supporting such lowest price, which should include the documentation and information listed in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.3(a) and the expected costs of purchasing power outside the New England Control Area (including transaction costs and supported by forward power price index values or a power price forecast for the applicable Capacity Commitment Period), expected transmission costs outside the New England Control Area, and expected transmission costs associated with importing to the New England Control Area, and may also include reasonable opportunity costs and risk adjustments. The offer information may be submitted in the form of a curve (up to five price-quantity pairs) associated with a specific New Import Capacity Resource. The curve may in no case increase the quantity offered as the price decreases. Each price is subject to review by the Internal Market Monitor pursuant to Section III.A.21.4 and must include the additional documentation described in that Section.

III.13.1.3.5.6. Review by Internal Market Monitor of Offers from New Import Capacity Resources.

In addition to the review described in Section III.A.21, the Internal Market Monitor shall review each offer from New Import Capacity Resources. An offer from a New Import Capacity Resource shall be rejected if the Internal Market Monitor determines that the bid may be an attempt to manipulate the Forward Capacity Auction, and the matter will be referred to the Commission in accordance with the protocols set forth in Section III.A.19 of Market Rule 1.

III.13.1.3.5.7. Qualification Determination Notification for New Import Capacity Resources.

For New Import Capacity Resources, the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8 shall be modified to reflect the differences in the qualification process described in this Section III.13.1.3.5.

No later than seven days after the issuance by the ISO of the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8, a Lead Market Participant with a New Import Capacity Resource that is subject to the pivotal supplier test in Section III.A.23 and that submitted a request to submit offers in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.1.3.5.5.A(b) may: (a) lower the requested offer price of any price-quantity pair submitted to the ISO, provided that the revised price is greater than or equal to the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold, or (b) withdraw any price-quantity pair of a requested offer price.

III.13.1.3.5.8. Rationing Election.

New Import Capacity Resources are subject to rationing except New Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade with a Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service Interconnection Request, which are eligible for the rationing election described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.3(b).

III.13.1.4. Demand Capacity Resources.

To participate in a Forward Capacity Auction as a Demand Capacity Resource, a resource must meet the requirements of this Section III.13.1.4. Each Demand Capacity Resource shall be a minimum of 100 kW. An Active Demand Capacity Resource comprises one or more Demand Response Resources located in a single Dispatch Zone. An On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource comprises one or more Assets located in a single Load Zone. An On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource may consist of Load Management measures, Distributed Generation measures, or a combination thereof, or may consist solely of Energy Efficiency measures. A Demand Capacity Resource may include

an end-use customer facility with a Net Supply Capability of 5 MW or more only if the facility's Net Supply Capability does not exceed its Maximum Facility Load. Demand Capacity Resources must comply with all applicable federal, state, and local regulatory, siting, and tariff requirements, including interconnection tariff requirements related to siting, interconnection, and operation of the Demand Capacity Resource. Demand Capacity Resources are not permitted to submit import or export bids or Administrative Export De-List Bids.

III.13.1.4.1. Definition of New Demand Capacity Resource.

A New Demand Capacity Resource is an Active Demand Capacity Resource that has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, and an On-Peak Demand Resource consisting of measures that have not been in service prior to the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline of the applicable Forward Capacity Auction, or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource consisting of measures that have not been in service prior to the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline of the applicable Forward Capacity Auction. For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), a New Demand Capacity Resource is an Active Demand Capacity Resource that has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction, and an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource consisting of measures that have not been in service prior to June 5, 2023. A Demand Capacity Resource that has previously been defined as an Existing Demand Capacity Resource shall be considered a New Demand Capacity Resource if it meets one of the conditions listed in Section III.13.1.1.1.2.

III.13.1.4.1.1. Qualification Process for New Demand Capacity Resources.

For Forward Capacity Auctions a New Demand Capacity Resource shall have a summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity based on the resource's estimated demand reduction value as submitted and reviewed pursuant to this Section III.13.1.4. The FCA Qualified Capacity for a New Demand Capacity Resource shall be the lesser of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, as adjusted to account for applicable offers composed of separate resources.

(a) For a resource to qualify as a New Demand Capacity Resource, the resource's Project Sponsor must make two separate submissions to the ISO: First, the Project Sponsor must submit estimated demand reduction values and supporting information in the New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form as described in Section III.13.1.4.1.1.1. Second, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package as described in Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.

(b) For a resource to qualify as a New Demand Capacity Resource that is an On-Peak Demand Resource or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, the Project Sponsor must in addition submit, as part of the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, a Measurement and Verification Plan providing the documentation, analysis, studies and methodologies used to support the estimates described in this Section III.13.1.4.1.1, which shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure consistency with the measurement and verification requirements pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.1.4.1.1.1. New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form.

For each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit to the ISO a New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form as described in this Section III.13.1.4.1.1.1 during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window, as described in Section III.13.1.10. A New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form for a resource composed of Energy Efficiency measures must represent a resource with a new and unique resource identification number. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project.

A completed New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form shall include, but is not limited to, the following information: project name; Load Zone within which the Demand Capacity Resource will be located; the Dispatch Zone within which an Active Demand Capacity Resource will be located; estimated summer and winter demand reduction values (MW) per measure and/or per customer facility (measured at the customer meter and not including losses); estimated total summer and winter demand reduction value of the Demand Capacity Resource (for an Active Demand Capacity Resource, this estimate must be consistent with the baseline calculation methodology in Section III.8.2); supporting documentation (e.g., engineering estimates or documentation of verified savings from comparable projects) to substantiate the reasonableness of the estimated demand reduction values; Demand Capacity Resource type (Active Demand Capacity Resource, On-Peak Demand Resource, or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource); brief Demand Capacity Resource project description including measure type (i.e., Energy Efficiency, Load Management, and/or Distributed Generation); types of facilities at which the measures will be implemented; customer classes and end-uses served; the date by which the Project Sponsor expects to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Demand Capacity Resource described in the Project Sponsor's New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value; ISO Market Participant status and ISO customer identification (if applicable); status under Schedules 22 or 23 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (if applicable); project/technical and

credit/financial contacts; for individual Distributed Generation projects and Demand Capacity Resource projects from a single facility with a demand reduction value equal to or greater than 5 MW, the Pnode and service address at which the end-use facility is located; capability and experience of the Project Sponsor.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2. New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package.

For each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package no later than the New Capacity Qualification Deadline. The New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package shall conform to the requirements of this Section

III.13.1.4.1.1.2. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.1. Source of Funding.

The Project Sponsor must provide in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package the source of funding, which includes, but is not limited to, the following: the source(s) of public benefits funding or private financing, or a funding plan supplemented by information on how previous projects were funded; and a completed ISO credit application.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.2. Measurement and Verification Plan.

For On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources, the Project Sponsor must provide in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package a Measurement and Verification Plan that complies with the ISO's measurement and verification requirements pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.3. Customer Acquisition Plan.

(a) A Project Sponsor with more than a single customer must include in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package a description of its plan to acquire customers that includes, but is not limited to, the following information: a description of proposed customer market; the estimated size of target market and supporting documentation; a marketing plan with supporting documentation describing the manner in which customers will be recruited; and evidence supporting the viability of the marketing plan.

(b) A Project Sponsor for a New Demand Capacity Resource that includes one or more end-use customer facilities with behind-the-meter generation must include in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package information demonstrating that each facility's Net Supply Capability will be less than 5 MW or less than or equal to the facility's Maximum Facility Load.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.4. Critical Path Schedule for a Demand Capacity Resource with a Demand Reduction Value of at Least 5 MW at a Single Retail Delivery Point.

The Project Sponsor of a Demand Capacity Resource with a demand reduction value of at least 5 MW at a single Retail Delivery Point shall provide in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package a critical path schedule as set forth in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.5. Critical Path Schedule for a Demand Capacity Resource with All Retail Delivery Points Having a Demand Reduction Value of Less Than 5 MW.

The Project Sponsor of a Demand Capacity Resource with all Retail Delivery Points having a demand reduction value of less than 5 MW shall provide in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package a critical path schedule comprised of a delivery schedule of the share of total offered demand reduction value achieved as of target dates, as follows: (i) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value achieved on target date 1 occurring five weeks prior to the first annual Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Project Sponsor's capacity award was made; (ii) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value achieved on target date 2 occurring five weeks prior to the second annual Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Project Sponsor's capacity award was made; and (iii) target date 3 which is the date by which the Project Sponsor expects to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Demand Capacity Resource described in the Project Sponsor's New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value, which must be on or before the first day of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period and by which date 100% of total demand reduction value must be complete.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.6. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7. Capacity Commitment Period Election.

Project Sponsors shall be required to specify whether they are making the election set forth in this Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7 for each Forward Capacity Auction up to and including the auction held in February 2021 for the June 1, 2024 through May 31, 2025 Capacity Commitment Period, and no election shall be permitted thereafter.

For each Forward Capacity Auction occurring up to and including the February 2021 auction, in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, the Project Sponsor must specify whether, if its New Demand Capacity Resource offer clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the associated Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price (indexed for inflation) shall continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, for up to six additional and consecutive Capacity Commitment Periods, in whole Capacity Commitment Period increments only. If no such election is made in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price associated with the New Demand Capacity Resource offer shall apply only for the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the New Demand Capacity Resource offer clears. If the Project Sponsor elects to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, then the Project Sponsor may not change the Demand Capacity Resource type as long as that Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply. If an offer from a New Demand Capacity Resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the capacity associated with the resulting Capacity Supply Obligation may not be subject to any type of de-list or export bid in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions for Capacity Commitment Periods for which the Project Sponsor elected to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply pursuant to this Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.8. Offer Information from New Demand Capacity Resources.

(a) For a New Demand Capacity Resource that does not satisfy any of the conditions described in Sections III.A.21.1.1 or III.A.21.1.2 based on the information submitted at the time of the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package, and for which the Project Sponsor does not provide a Load-Side Relationship Certification described in Section III.A.21.1.3, the Project Sponsor must include in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and sufficient documentation and information for a buyer-sider market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2. Such documentation and information includes all financial estimates, projected revenues, and cost projections for the project, including the project's pro-forma financing support data and anticipated out-of-market revenues (as defined in Section III.A.21.3(b)(i)). For a New Demand Capacity Resource that has achieved commercial operation prior to the New Capacity Qualification Deadline, such documentation should also include all financial data of actual incurred capital costs, actual operating costs, and actual revenues since the date of commercial operation.

A Project Sponsor that submits a Load-Side Relationship Certification as part of the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.9 must be prepared to provide both (1) the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and (2) the documentation and information described in this subsection (a), in the event that the ISO determines that the Load-Side Relationship Certification does not meet the requirements of Section III.A.21.1.3.

(b) The Project Sponsor for a New Demand Capacity Resource must indicate in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package if an offer from the New Demand Capacity Resource may be rationed. A Project Sponsor may specify a single MW quantity to which offers may be rationed. Without such indication, offers will only be accepted or rejected in whole. This rationing election shall apply for the entire Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.4.1.1.2.9. Load-Side Interests.

If the Project Sponsor seeks to demonstrate one of the qualifying circumstances described in Section III.A.21.1.3 with regard to its New Demand Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must provide the Load-Side Relationship Certification in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package.

III.13.1.4.1.1.3. Initial Interconnection Review Analysis for Active Demand Capacity Resources.

For each New Demand Capacity Resource that is an Active Demand Capacity Resource, the ISO shall perform an analysis based on the information provided in the New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form to determine the amount of capacity that the resource could provide by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. This analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures. Where, as a result of this analysis, the ISO determines that ~~because of deliverability impacts~~ ~~because of overlapping interconnection impacts,~~ such a New Demand Capacity Resource that is otherwise accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction ~~or interim reconfiguration auction process~~ in accordance with the other provisions and requirements of this Section III.13.1 cannot deliver any of the capacity that it would otherwise be able to provide (in the absence of the other relevant Existing Capacity Resources), then that New Demand Capacity Resource will not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, or the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process for that resource shall be terminated and the ISO will notify the Project Sponsor of such termination.

III.13.1.4.1.1.4. Consistency of the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package and New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form.

The ISO shall review the Project Sponsor's New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package for consistency with its New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form. The New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package may not contain material changes relative to the New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form. A material change may include, but is not limited to the following: (i) a change in the designation of the Demand Capacity Resource type; (ii) a change in the Project Sponsor, subject to review by the ISO of the capability and experience of the new Project Sponsor; (iii) a change in the Load Zone within which the project is located, and a change in the Dispatch Zone within which the Active Demand Capacity Resource is located; (iv) a change in the total summer or winter demand reduction value of the project by more than 30 percent; (v) a change in the general type of measure being implemented (e.g., Energy Efficiency, Load Management, Distributed Generation); or (vi) a misrepresentation of the interconnection status of a Distributed Generation project.

III.13.1.4.1.1.5. Evaluation of New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Materials.

The ISO shall review the information submitted by New Demand Capacity Resources and shall determine whether the information submitted complies with the requirements set forth in this Section III.13.1.4 and whether, based on the information provided, the Demand Capacity Resource is accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction. In making these determinations, the ISO may consider, but is not limited to consideration of, the following:

- (a) whether the information submitted by New Demand Capacity Resources is accurate and contains all of the elements required by this Section III.13.1.4;
- (b) whether the critical path schedule submitted by New Demand Capacity Resources includes all necessary elements and is sufficiently developed;
- (c) whether the milestones in the critical path schedule submitted by New Demand Capacity Resources are reasonable and likely to be met;
- (d) whether, in the case of a resource previously counted as a capacity resource, the requirements for treatment as a New Demand Capacity Resource are satisfied; and

(e) whether, in the case of a New Demand Capacity Resource that is an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, the Measurement and Verification Plan complies with the ISO's measurement and verification requirements pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.1.4.1.1.6. Qualification Determination Notification for New Demand Capacity Resources.

For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the ISO shall send notification as required under this Section III.13.1.4.1.1.6 to Project Sponsors or Market Participants, as applicable, for each New Demand Capacity Resource no later than October 12, 2023. No later than 127 days prior to the relevant Forward Capacity Auction, the ISO shall send notification to Project Sponsors for each New Demand Capacity Resource indicating whether the New Demand Capacity Resource has been accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction.

(a) For a New Demand Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will specify the Demand Capacity Resource type and the Demand Capacity Resource's summer and winter Qualified Capacity, which shall be the ISO-determined summer and winter demand reduction value increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses (that is, eight percent).

(b) For a New Demand Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will provide the ISO's determination as to whether the New Demand Capacity Resource satisfies any of the conditions described in Section III.A.21.1 and the basis for such determination.

(c) For a New Demand Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction and subject to buyer-side market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2, the notification will provide the Internal Market Monitor's determinations regarding whether the New Demand Capacity Resource's requested lowest offer price, submitted pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.8(a), must be mitigated, as described in Section III.A.21.2.3. The ISO shall not disclose to the Project Sponsor any information regarding the potential impact of any offer from the Project Sponsor on Capacity Clearing Prices.

(d) For a New Demand Capacity Resource not accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will provide an explanation as to why the resource did not meet the requirements set forth in this Section III.13.1.4 and was not accepted.

III.13.1.4.2. Definition of Existing Demand Capacity Resources.

Demand Capacity Resources that previously have been in service and registered with the ISO, and which are not otherwise New Demand Capacity Resources, shall be Existing Demand Capacity Resources.

Existing Demand Capacity Resources shall include and are limited to Demand Capacity Resources that have been in service and registered with the ISO to fulfill a Capacity Supply Obligation created by clearing in a past Forward Capacity Auction before the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline of the applicable Forward Capacity Auction. Except as specified in this Section III.13.1.4, Existing Demand Capacity Resources shall be subject to the same qualification process as Existing Generating Capacity Resources, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3. Existing Demand Capacity Resources shall be subject to Section III.13.1.2.2.5.2. An On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource may not include in its summer or winter demand reduction value an Energy Efficiency measure whose Measure Life will expire before the beginning of the applicable season of the associated Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.1.4.2.A Qualified Capacity for Existing Demand Capacity Resources.

(a) For Existing Demand Capacity Resources composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the summer (or winter, as applicable) Qualified Capacity shall equal the lesser of: (i) the sum of the summer (or winter, as applicable) demand reduction values of the installed Energy Efficiency measures as of the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list, or whose Measure Life will expire, prior to start of the applicable season of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, and increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses) and any summer (or winter, as applicable) capacity that has cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction and has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation (provided that such capacity is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3, is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the applicable season of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, and for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy) and (ii) the amount of summer (or winter, as applicable) capacity that cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource.

(b) For Existing Demand Capacity Resources other than those composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the summer and winter Qualified Capacity shall equal the summer and winter demand reduction value, respectively, increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses.

III.13.1.4.2.1. Qualified Capacity Notification for Existing Demand Capacity Resources.

(a) For each Existing Demand Capacity Resource, the ISO will notify the Resource's Lead Market Participant no later than 15 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline of: the Demand Capacity Resource type; summer and winter Qualified Capacity; the Load Zone in which the Demand Capacity Resource is located; and, for Active Demand Capacity Resources, the Dispatch Zone in which the resource is located.

(b) If the Lead Market Participant believes that the ISO's assessment of the Qualified Capacity is inaccurate, the Market Participant must notify the ISO within five Business Days of receipt of the Qualified Capacity notification.

(c) If a Market Participant with an Existing On-Peak Demand Resource or Existing Seasonal Peak Demand Resource wishes to change its Demand Capacity Resource type, the Market Participant must submit an Updated Measurement and Verification Plan to reflect the change in its resource type. Updated Measurement and Verification Plans must be received by the ISO no later than five Business Days after receipt of the Qualified Capacity notification. Designation of the Demand Capacity Resource type may not be changed during the Capacity Commitment Period.

(d) A Market Participant with an Existing On-Peak Demand Resource or Existing Seasonal Peak Demand Resource may provide an Updated Measurement and Verification Plan as described in Section III.13.1.4.3.1.2 that complies with the ISO's measurement and verification requirements pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals. Updated Measurement and Verification Plans must be received by the ISO no later than five Business Days after receipt of the Qualified Capacity notification.

(e) If an Existing Demand Capacity Resource is not submitting a Static De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, or Retirement De-List Bid for the Forward Capacity Auction, then no further submissions or actions for that resource are necessary, and the resource shall participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(c) with Qualified Capacity as indicated in the ISO's notification.

III.13.1.4.2.2. Existing Demand Capacity Resource De-List Bids.

An Existing Demand Capacity Resource may submit a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5 no later than the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline or a Static De-List Bid pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1 no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, provided, however, that no de-list bid shall be used as a mechanism to inappropriately qualify Assets associated with Existing Demand Capacity Resources as New Demand Capacity Resources.

III.13.1.4.3. Measurement and Verification Applicable to On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources.

To demonstrate the demand reduction value of an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, the Project Sponsor or Market Participant of such a resource participating in the Forward Capacity Auction, Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, or reconfiguration auctions shall submit to the ISO the Measurement and Verification Documents in accordance with this Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals. The ISO shall review such Measurement and Verification Documents to determine whether they are consistent with the measurement and verification requirements set forth in this Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.1.4.3.1. Measurement and Verification Documents.

Measurement and Verification Documents must demonstrate both availability and performance of an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource in reducing demand coincident with Demand Resource On-Peak Hours or Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours such that the reported monthly demand reduction value shall achieve at least a ten percent relative precision and an eighty percent confidence interval as described and applied in the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures. The Measurement and Verification Documents shall serve as the basis for the claimed demand reduction value of an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource. The Measurement and Verification Documents shall document the measurement and verification performed to verify the achieved demand reduction value of the On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource. The Measurement and Verification Documents shall contain a projection of the On-Peak Demand Resource's or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's demand reduction value for each month of the Capacity Commitment Period and over the expected Measure Lives associated with the Demand Capacity Resources. An On-Peak Demand Resource's or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's Measurement and Verification Documents must describe the methodology used to calculate electrical energy load reduction or output during Demand Resource On-Peak Hours, or Demand Resource Seasonal

Peak Hours. If an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource includes Distributed Generation, the Measurement and Verification Documents must describe the individual metering or metering protocol used to monitor and verify the output of the Distributed Generation, consistent with the measurement and verification requirements set forth in Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

The Measurement and Verification Documents shall include a Measurement and Verification Plan submitted in the Forward Capacity Auction Qualification, as described in Section III.13.1.4.3 and a monthly Measurement and Verification Summary Report during the Capacity Commitment Period. The monthly Measurement and Verification Summary Reports shall reference the measurement and verification protocols and performance data documented in the Measurement and Verification Plan or the Measurement and Verification Reference Report(s). Such monthly Measurement and Verification Summary Reports will document the Project Sponsor's total demand reduction value from eligible pre-existing measures and new measures, and the Project Sponsor's total demand reduction value from both eligible pre-existing measures and new measures, for all measures it had in operation as of the end of the previous month. The monthly Measurement and Verification Summary Reports shall be based on Measurement and Verification Documents determined in accordance with Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals, and shall be the basis for monthly settlement with Project Sponsors. All Measurement and Verification Documents shall conform to the ISO's specifications with respect to content, format and delivery methodology, and shall be submitted in accordance with the timelines and deadlines set forth in Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.1.4.3.1.1. Optional Measurement and Verification Reference Reports.

At the option of the Project Sponsor, the Measurement and Verification Documents for an On-Peak Demand Resource or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource may also include one or more Measurement and Verification Reference Report(s) submitted during the Capacity Commitment Period subject to the schedule in the Measurement and Verification Plan and consistent with the schedule and reporting standards set forth in the ISO New England Manuals. Measurement and Verification Reference Reports shall update the prospective demand reduction value of the On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource based on measurement and verification studies performed during the Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.1.4.3.1.2. Updated Measurement and Verification Documents.

At the option of the Project Sponsor, an Updated Measurement and Verification Plan for an On-Peak Demand Resource or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource may be submitted during a subsequent Forward Capacity Auction qualification process prior to the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period of the Demand Capacity Resource project. The Updated Measurement and Verification Plan may include updated project specifications, measurement and verification protocols, and performance data. However, the Updated Measurement and Verification Plan shall not modify for the duration of the Capacity Commitment Period the total claimed demand reduction value or the Demand Capacity Resource type from the applicable Forward Capacity Auction in which the Project Sponsor's offer cleared. Additionally, the Updated Measurement and Verification Plan shall provide measurement and verification consistent with the requirements specified in the ISO New England Manuals, and shall be comparable to the quality of the original Measurement and Verification Plan accepted during the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process in which the Demand Capacity Resource project cleared the Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.4.3.1.3. Annual Certification of Accuracy of Measurement and Verification Documents.

Project Sponsors for On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources shall submit no less frequently than once per year, a statement certifying that the Demand Capacity Resource projects for which the Project Sponsor is requesting compensation continue to perform in accordance with the submitted Measurement and Verification Documents reviewed by the ISO. One such statement must be received by the ISO no later than 10 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline.

III.13.1.4.3.1.4. Record Requirement of Retail Customers Served.

For On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources targeting customer facilities with greater than or equal to 10 kW of demand reduction value per facility, Project Sponsors shall maintain records of retail customers served including, at a minimum, the retail customer's address, the customer's utility distribution company, utility distribution company account identifier, measures installed, and corresponding monthly demand reduction values. For On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources targeting customer facilities with under 10 kW of demand reduction value per facility, the Project Sponsor shall maintain records as described above for customer facilities with greater than or equal to 10 kW of demand reduction value per facility, or shall maintain records of aggregated demand reduction value and measures installed by Load Zone and meter domain. Project Sponsors shall maintain such records until the end of the Measure Life, or until the Demand Capacity Resource is permanently de-

listed from the Forward Capacity Market, and shall submit such records to the ISO upon request in a readable electronic format.

III.13.1.4.3.2. ISO Review of Measurement and Verification Documents.

The ISO shall review the Measurement and Verification Documents and complete such review and identify any necessary modifications in accordance with the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process as described in Section III.13.1 and pursuant to the ISO New England Manuals. In its review of the Measurement and Verification Documents, the ISO may consult with the Project Sponsor or Lead Market Participant to seek clarification, to gather additional necessary information, or to address questions or concerns arising from the materials submitted. At the discretion of the ISO, the ISO may consider revisions or additions to the Measurement and Verification Documents resulting from such consultation; provided, however, that in no case shall the ISO consider revisions or additions to the Measurement and Verification Documents if the ISO believes that such consideration cannot be properly accomplished within the time periods established for the qualification process.

III.13.1.4A. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

To participate in a Forward Capacity Auction as a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, a resource must meet the requirements of this Section III.13.1.4A. Each Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be a minimum of 100 kW. A facility connected at a point of interconnection that is 5 MW or greater cannot be a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource. A Distributed Energy Capacity Resource comprises one or more Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations located in a single Capacity Zone and a single DRR Aggregation Zone, except that (a) a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation may not participate in a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with any other type of Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, and (b) an end-use customer facility participating as part of On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource with measures other than Energy Efficiency may not participate in a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources are not permitted to submit import or export bids or Administrative Export De-List Bids.

III.13.1.4A.1. Definition of New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

A New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that has not cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.4A.1.1. Qualification Process for New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

For Forward Capacity Auctions a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall have a summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity based on the resource's estimated net injection capability and, as applicable, the resource's estimated demand reduction value as submitted and reviewed pursuant to this Section III.13.1.4A. The FCA Qualified Capacity for a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (other than an Intermittent Power Resource) shall be the lesser of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity.

For a resource to qualify as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the resource's Project Sponsor must make two separate submissions to the ISO: First, the Project Sponsor must submit estimated net energy injection values and, as applicable, estimated demand reduction values and supporting information in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form as described in Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.1. Second, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package as described in Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.1. New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form.

For each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit to the ISO a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form as described in this Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.1 during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window, as described in Section III.13.1.10. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project.

(a) General Requirements. A completed New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form shall include, but is not limited to, the following information: project name; the DRR Aggregation Zone, Load Zone and Dispatch Zone within which the resource will be located; a description of the project and its expected configuration, including the types of generation and demand response comprising the project; a description of the customer classes and end-uses served by the project; the resource's expected Commercial Operation date; estimated summer and winter net injection capability values (MW) per facility; the installation date of facilities that are part of the project and already constructed, installed, or in commercial operation; ISO Market Participant status and ISO customer identification (if applicable); Project Sponsor's contact information and the ISO Customer Status; expected nameplate capacity by technology type per facility; indication of whether the project elects Intermittent Power Resource treatment (available if the project is a homogenous aggregation of intermittent technology); and the project's applicable technical and financial contacts.

For purposes of this Section III.13.1.4A:

- (i) If a facility is expected to interconnect at a point of interconnection, its net injection capability is the generation capability of the installed generation technology at the point of interconnection.
 - (ii) If a facility is expected to interconnect at a Retail Delivery Point and does not plan to participate in the aggregation as a Demand Response Resource or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, the net injection capability is the lesser of the generation less the load profile measured at the location of the end-use customer meter or the amount the facility is contractually able to inject.
- (b) Demand Response Resource. If the resource includes Demand Response Resources, the completed New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form shall include the following additional information: the estimated summer and winter demand reductions values (MW) per measure and/or per customer facility (measured at the customer meter and not including losses); the estimated total summer and winter demand reduction value of the Demand Response Resource (which must be consistent with the baseline calculation methodology in Section III.8.2); and supporting documentation (e.g., engineering estimates or documentation of verified savings from comparable projects) to substantiate the reasonableness of the estimated demand reduction values.
- (c) Net Injection of 5 MW or Greater. If the resource contains a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation with a facility with net injection of 5 MW or greater at a Retail Delivery Point, then the completed New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form for such a resource shall include the following additional information: the Pnode and service address at which the end-use facility is located; nameplate MW and net injection capability; non-coincident peak load (MW) of the facility without generation; technology type; and the Market Participant's portion of generation requested to be included as Qualified Capacity.
- (d) Net Injection Greater or Equal to 1 MW and less than 5 MW. If the resource contains a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation with a facility that has net injection capability at the point of interconnection of 1 MW or greater and less than 5 MW, then the completed New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form for such a facility shall include the following additional information: distribution bus; technology type; nameplate MW; one-line diagram of the plant and station facilities, including any known transmission facilities; if the facility is intermittent, the requested contribution of Qualified Capacity and supporting site-specific data; if an interconnection agreement is required under state requirements, the date when the interconnection request was submitted and the status of that interconnection request.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2. New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package.

For each resource that a Project Sponsor seeks to offer in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must submit a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package no later than the New Capacity Qualification Deadline. The New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package shall conform to the requirements of this Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2. The ISO may waive the submission of any information not required for evaluation of a project.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.1. Source of Funding.

The Project Sponsor must provide in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package the source of funding, which includes, but is not limited to, the following: the source(s) of public benefits funding or private financing, or a funding plan supplemented by information on how previous projects were funded; and a completed ISO credit application.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.2. Customer Acquisition Plan.

(a) A Project Sponsor with more than a single customer must include in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package a description of its plan to acquire customers that includes, but is not limited to, the following information: a description of proposed customer market; the estimated size of target market and supporting documentation; a marketing plan with supporting documentation describing the manner in which customers will be recruited; and evidence supporting the viability of the marketing plan.

(b) A Project Sponsor for a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that includes one or more end-use customer facilities with behind-the-meter generation must include in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package information demonstrating that each facility's net injection capability will be less than 5 MW or less than or equal to the facility's Maximum Facility Load.

(c) The requirements of this Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.2 shall not apply for facilities with a net injection capability at a point of interconnection.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.3. Critical Path Schedule for a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Having a Facility with a Demand Reduction Value or Net Injection Capability of at Least 5 MW at a Single Retail Delivery Point.

The Project Sponsor of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with a customer facility having a demand reduction value of at least 5 MW at a single Retail Delivery Point or having behind-the-meter generation with net injection capability greater than 5 MW at a single Retail Delivery Point, shall provide in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package a critical path schedule as set forth in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.4. Critical Path Schedule for a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with All Facilities Having a Demand Reduction Value or Net Injection Capability of Less Than 5 MW at a Single Retail Delivery Point or Point of Interconnection.

The Project Sponsor of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with all facilities having a demand reduction value or net injection capability of less than 5 MW at a single Retail Delivery Point or point of interconnection shall provide in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package a critical path schedule comprised of a delivery schedule of the share of total offered demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved as of target dates, as follows: (i) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved on target date 1 occurring five weeks prior to the first annual Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Project Sponsor's capacity award was made; (ii) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved on target date 2 occurring five weeks prior to the second annual Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Project Sponsor's capacity award was made; and (iii) target date 3 which is the date by which the Project Sponsor expects to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource described in the Project Sponsor's New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value and net injection capability, which must be on or before the first day of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period and by which date 100% of total demand reduction value and net injection capability must be complete.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.6. Offer Information from New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

(a) For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that does not satisfy any of the conditions described in Sections III.A.21.1.1 or III.A.21.1.2 based on the information submitted at the time of the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package, and for which the Project Sponsor

does not provide a Load-Side Relationship Certification described in Section III.A.21.1.3, the Project Sponsor must include in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and sufficient documentation and information for a buyer-sider market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2. Such documentation and information includes all financial estimates, projected revenues, and cost projections for the project, including the project's pro-forma financing support data and anticipated out-of-market revenues (as defined in Section III.A.21.3(b)(i)). For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that has achieved commercial operation prior to the New Capacity Qualification Deadline, such documentation should also include all financial data of actual incurred capital costs, actual operating costs, and actual revenues since the date of commercial operation.

A Project Sponsor that submits a Load-Side Relationship Certification as part of the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package pursuant to Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.7 must be prepared to provide both (1) the lowest price at which the resource requests to offer capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and (2) the documentation and information described in this subsection (a), in the event that the ISO determines that the Load-Side Relationship Certification does not meet the requirements of Section III.A.21.1.3.

(b) The Project Sponsor for a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource must indicate in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package if an offer from the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource may be rationed. A Project Sponsor may specify a single MW quantity to which offers may be rationed. Without such indication, offers will only be accepted or rejected in whole. This rationing election shall apply for the entire Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.7. Load-Side Interests.

If the Project Sponsor seeks to demonstrate one of the qualifying circumstances described in Section III.A.21.1.3 with regard to its New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the Project Sponsor must provide the Load-Side Relationship Certification in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.3. Initial Interconnection Review Analysis for Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

For each New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the ISO shall perform an analysis based on the information provided in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form to

determine the amount of capacity that the resource could provide by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. This analysis shall be performed consistent with the criteria and conditions described in ISO New England Planning Procedures. Where, as a result of this analysis, the ISO determines that ~~because of deliverability impacts~~ ~~because of overlapping interconnection impacts~~, such a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that is otherwise accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the other provisions and requirements of this Section III.13.1 cannot deliver any of the capacity that it would otherwise be able to provide (in the absence of the other relevant Existing Capacity Resources), then that New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource will not be accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.4. Consistency of the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package and New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form.

The ISO shall review the Project Sponsor's New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package for consistency with its New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form. The New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package may not contain material changes relative to the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form. If a material change exists between the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package and the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form, the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form will be withdrawn by the ISO. A material change includes, but is not limited to the following: (i) a misrepresentation or change of the interconnection status of a facility within the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource; (ii) the addition of facilities at a point of interconnection; (iii) a change in the Project Sponsor, subject to review by the ISO of the capability and experience of the new Project Sponsor; (iv) a change in DRR Aggregation Zone within which the project is located; (v) for any component of the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that is a Demand Response Resource, an aggregate change in the total summer or winter demand reduction values of all such Demand Response Resources by more than 30 percent; (vi) for any component of the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with net injection capability less than 5 MW at a Retail Delivery Point or less than 1 MW at a point of interconnection, a change in the total summer or winter net injection capability of the resource by more than 30 percent; (vii) for non-demand response components of a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, the introduction of a new technology type; (viii) for demand response components of a the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, a change to the technology type providing demand reduction for the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource; (ix) for a facility that interconnects at a point of interconnection, any increase in size of the facility; (x) for any non-demand response components

of any New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that interconnects at a point of interconnection, a decrease in size greater than 60 percent for any facility with greater than or equal to 1 MW connected at the same point of interconnection.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.5. Evaluation of New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Materials.

The ISO shall review the information submitted by New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources and shall determine whether the information submitted complies with the requirements set forth in this Section III.13.1.4A and whether, based on the information provided, the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction. In making these determinations, the ISO may consider, but is not limited to consideration of, the following:

- (a) whether the information submitted by New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources is accurate and contains all of the elements required by this Section III.13.1.4A;
- (b) whether the critical path schedule submitted by New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources includes all necessary elements and is sufficiently developed;
- (c) whether the milestones in the critical path schedule submitted by New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources are reasonable and likely to be met;
- (d) whether, in the case of a resource previously counted as a capacity resource, the requirements for treatment as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource are satisfied; and
- (e) whether the customer acquisition plan and source of funding plan are sufficiently detailed and reasonably achievable.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.6. New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources.

The summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity of a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity claimed by the Project Sponsor pursuant to Section III.13.1.4A.2.A.1, as confirmed by the ISO pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.4(e). The FCA Qualified Capacity for such a resource shall be equal to the resource's summer Qualified Capacity.

III.13.1.4A.1.1.7. Qualification Determination Notification for New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the ISO shall send notification as required under this Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.7 to Project Sponsors or Market Participants, as applicable, for each New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource no later than October 12, 2023. No later than 127 days prior to the relevant Forward Capacity Auction, the ISO shall send notification to Project Sponsors for each New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource indicating whether the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource has been accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction.

- (a) For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will specify the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's summer and winter Qualified Capacity, which shall be the ISO-determined summer and winter net injection capability and demand reduction value, which in the latter case shall be increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses (that is, eight percent).
- (b) For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will provide the ISO's determination as to whether the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource satisfies any of the conditions described in Section III.A.21.1 and the basis for such determination.
- (c) For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction and subject to buyer-side market power review pursuant to Section III.A.21.2, the notification will provide the Internal Market Monitor's determinations regarding whether the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's requested lowest offer price, submitted pursuant to Section III.13.1.4A.1.1.2.6(a), must be mitigated, as described in Section III.A.21.2.3. The ISO shall not disclose to the Project Sponsor any information regarding the potential impact of any offer from the Project Sponsor on Capacity Clearing Prices.
- (d) For a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource not accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction, the notification will provide an explanation as to why the resource did not meet the requirements set forth in this Section III.13.1.4A and was not accepted.

III.13.1.4A.2. Definition of Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources shall include Distributed Energy Capacity Resources that have cleared in a previous Forward Capacity Auction. Except as specified in this Section III.13.1.4A, Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources shall be subject to the same qualification process as Existing Generating Capacity Resources, as described in Section III.13.1.2.3. Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources shall be subject to Section III.13.1.2.2.5.2. Any Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is part of an Existing Capacity Resource shall count only as existing Qualified Capacity, and shall not count toward the Qualified Capacity of a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource. Any Existing Generating Capacity Resource or Existing Demand Capacity Resource that could qualify as an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource may convert to an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.4A.2.A Qualified Capacity for Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

III.13.1.4A.2.A.1 Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources Other Than Intermittent Power Resources

III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.1 Summer Qualified Capacity

The summer Qualified Capacity of an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall equal the median of the resource's summer Seasonal Audit Value from the five most recent years, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, with only positive summer value included in the median calculation. Where an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource has fewer than five summer Seasonal Audit Values, then the summer Qualified Capacity for that Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of all of that resource's previous summer Seasonal Audit Values, as of the fifth Business Day in October of each year, with only positive summer values included in the median calculation. If for an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource there are no previous Seasonal Audit Values because the resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's summer Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.4A.2.A.1.2 Winter Qualified Capacity

The winter Qualified Capacity of an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall equal the median of the resource's winter Seasonal Audit Value from the five most recent years, as of the fifth Business Day in June of each year, with only positive winter value included in the median calculation. Where an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource has

fewer than five winter Seasonal Audit Values, then the winter Qualified Capacity for that Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be equal to the median of all of that resource's previous winter Seasonal Audit Values, as of the fifth Business Day in June of each year, with only positive winter values included in the median calculation. If for an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource there are no previous Seasonal Audit Values because the resource had not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation, then the Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's winter Qualified Capacity shall be equal to the amount of capacity clearing from the resource as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource in previous Forward Capacity Auctions.

III.13.1.4A.2.A.2 Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources That Are Intermittent Power Resources

Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources shall follow the same rules for Existing Generating Capacity Resources that are Intermittent Power Resources in section III.13.1.2.2.2. The Existing Qualified Capacity may not be greater than the amount of summer (or winter, as applicable) capacity that cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction as a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource.

III.13.1.4A.2.A.3 Qualified Capacity Adjustment for Partially New and Partially Existing Resources

Rules related to a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's Qualified Capacity Adjustment for Partially New and Partially Existing Resources can be found in Section III.13.1.2.2.3.

III.13.1.4A.2.A.4 Adjustment for Significant Decreases in Capacity Prior to the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline.

Rules related to a Distributed Energy Capacity Adjustment for Significant Decreases in Capacity Prior to the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline can be found in Section III.13.1.2.2.4.

III.13.1.4A.2.1. Qualified Capacity Notification for Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

(a) For each Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, no later than 15 Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, the ISO will notify the resource's Lead Market Participant of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity and the DRR Aggregation Zone in which the Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource is located.

(b) If the Lead Market Participant believes that the ISO has made a mathematical error in calculating the summer Qualified Capacity or winter Qualified Capacity for an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, then the Lead Market Participant must notify the ISO within five Business Days of receipt of the Qualified Capacity notification.

(c) The ISO shall notify the Lead Market Participant of the outcome of any such challenge no later than five Business Days before the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. If an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource does not submit a Static De-List Bid, a Permanent De-List Bid, or a Retirement De-List Bid in the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process, then the resource shall be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(c).

III.13.1.4A.2.2. Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource De-List Bids.

An Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource may submit a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5 no later than the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline or a Static De-List Bid pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1 no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline, provided, however, that no de-list bid shall be used as a mechanism to inappropriately qualify Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations associated with Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources as New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

III.13.1.5. Offers Composed of Separate Resources.

Separate resources seeking to participate together in a Forward Capacity Auction shall submit a composite offer form no later than 10 Business Days after the date on which the ISO provides qualification determination notifications, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.8, Section III.13.1.2.4, and Section III.13.1.4.1.1.6. Offers composed of separate resources may not be modified or withdrawn after the deadline for submission of the composite offer form. Separate resources may together participate in a Forward Capacity Auction as a single resource if the following conditions are met:

(a) In all months of the summer period (June through September where the summer resource is not a Demand Capacity Resource, April through November where the summer resource is a Demand Capacity Resource) of the Capacity Commitment Period, only one resource may be used to supply the amount of capacity offered during the entire summer period. In all months of the winter period (October through May where the summer resource is not a Demand Capacity Resource, December through March where the summer resource is a Demand Capacity Resource) of the Capacity Commitment Period, multiple

resources may be combined to supply the amount of capacity offered, provided that: (i) the resources together meet the amount of the offer in all months of the winter period; and (ii) to combine for a month, that month must be considered a winter month for both the summer resource and the resource combining with that summer resource in that month.

(b) Each resource that is part of an offer composed of separate resources must qualify in accordance with all of the provisions of this Section III.13.1.5 applicable to that resource type. An offer composed of separate resources participates in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the resource type of the resource providing capacity in the summer period. A resource electing (pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7) to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which its New Capacity Offer clears shall not be eligible to participate in an offer composed of separate resources as the resource providing capacity in the summer period in the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource is a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource.

(c) The summer Qualified Capacity of an offer composed of separate resources shall be the summer Qualified Capacity of the single resource that will provide the Capacity Supply Obligation during the summer period. If the summer Qualified Capacity of an offer composed of separate resources is greater than the winter capacity for any month, then the provisions of Section III.13.1.2.2.5.2 shall apply, even where any of the resources comprising the offer composed of separate resources is an Intermittent Power Resource. If the winter capacity of the offer composed of separate resources in any month is higher than the summer Qualified Capacity, then the capacity offered from the winter resources will be reduced pro-rata to equal the summer Qualified Capacity.

(d) Offers composed of separate resources are subject to the locational restrictions specified in the following table:

	Location of Summer Resource			
	Import- Constrained Capacity Zone	Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone	Export- Constrained Capacity Zone	Nested Export- Constrained Capacity Zone

Location of Winter Resource	Import-Constrained Capacity Zone	Eligible (within same Capacity Zone)	Eligible	Eligible	Eligible
	Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone	Ineligible	Eligible	Eligible	Eligible
	Export-Constrained Capacity Zone	Ineligible	Ineligible	Eligible (within same Capacity Zone)	Eligible (within same Capacity Zone where nested export-constrained Capacity Zone is located)
	Nested Export-Constrained Capacity Zone	Ineligible	Ineligible	Ineligible	Eligible (within same Capacity Zone)

(e) A Renewable Technology Resource may only participate in an offer composed of separate resources if its FCA Qualified Capacity has not been prorated pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.10.

(f) A Distributed Energy Capacity Resource may not participate in an offer composed of separate resources.

III.13.1.5.A. Notification of FCA Qualified Capacity.

No later than five Business Days after the deadline for submission of offers composed of separate resources, the ISO shall notify the Project Sponsor or Lead Market Participant for each New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource, and New Demand Capacity Resource of the resource’s final FCA Qualified Capacity for the Forward Capacity Auction. Such notification will detail the resource’s financial assurance requirements in accordance with Section III.13.1.9.

III.13.1.6. Self-Supplied FCA Resources.

Where a Project Sponsor elects to designate all or a portion of a New Generating Capacity Resource, an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, or an Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource, the Project Sponsor must make such designation in writing to the ISO no later than the date by which the Project Sponsor is required to submit the FCM Deposit and, if the Project Sponsor is not also the associated load serving entity, the Project Sponsor must at that time provide written confirmation from the load serving entity regarding the Self-Supplied FCA Resource designation. A New Import Capacity Resource or Existing Import Capacity

Resource may be designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource. A New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource may only designate its net injection capability as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource. All Self-Supplied FCA Resources shall be subject to the eligibility and locational requirements in this Section III.13.1.6. If designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource and otherwise accepted in the qualification process, the resource will clear in the Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(c) and, with the exception of demand programs for Self-Supplied FCA Resources, shall offset an equal amount of the load serving entity's Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Commitment Period. A load serving entity seeking to self-supply using a Demand Capacity Resource shall realize the benefit through the actual reduction in its annual system coincident peak load, shall not receive credit for a resource and, therefore, is not required to participate in the qualification process described in this Section III.13.1. All designations as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource in the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process are binding.

III.13.1.6.1. Self-Supplied FCA Resource Eligibility.

Where all or a portion of a resource is designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource, it shall also maintain its status as a New Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource and must satisfy the Forward Capacity Auction qualification process requirements set forth in the remainder of Section III.13.1 applicable to that resource type, in addition to the requirements of this Section III.13.1.6. Where an offer composed of separate resources is designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource, all of the requirements and deadlines specified in Section III.13.1.5 shall apply to that offer, in addition to the requirements of this Section III.13.1.6. The total quantity of capacity that an load serving entity designates as Self-Supplied FCA Resources may not exceed the load serving entity's projected share of the Installed Capacity Requirement during the Capacity Commitment Period which shall be calculated by determining the load serving entity's most recent percentage share of the Installed Capacity Requirement multiplied by the projected Installed Capacity Requirement for the commitment year. No resource may be designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource for more MW than the lesser of that resource's summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity.

III.13.1.6.2. Locational Requirements for Self-Supplied FCA Resources.

In order to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource for a load in an import-constrained Capacity Zone, the Self-Supplied FCA Resource must be located in the same Capacity Zone as the associated load, unless the Self-Supplied FCA Resource is a pool-planned unit or other unit

with a special allocation of Capacity Transfer Rights. In order to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource in an export-constrained Capacity Zone for a load outside that export-constrained Capacity Zone, the Self-Supplied FCA Resource must be a pool-planned unit or other unit with a special allocation of Capacity Transfer Rights.

III.13.1.7. Internal Market Monitor Review of Offers and Bids.

In addition to the other provisions of this Section III.13.1, the Internal Market Monitor shall have the authority to review in the qualification process each resource's summer and winter Seasonal Claimed Capability if it is significantly lower than historical values, and if the Internal Market Monitor determines that it may be an attempt to exercise physical withholding, the matter will be referred to the Commission in accordance with the protocols set forth in Appendix A to the Commission's Market Monitoring Policy Statement (111 FERC ¶ 61,267 (2005)). Where an entity submits: (i) an offer as a New Generating Capacity Resource, a New Import Capacity Resource, a New Demand Capacity Resource, or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource; and (ii) a Static De-List Bid, a Permanent De-List Bid, a Retirement De-List Bid, an Export Bid or an Administrative Export De-List Bid in the same Forward Capacity Auction, the Internal Market Monitor shall take appropriate steps to ensure that the resource bid to de-list, retire or export in the Forward Capacity Auction is not inappropriately replaced by that new capacity in a subsequent reconfiguration auction or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral. In its review of any offer or bid pursuant to this Section III.13.1.7, the Internal Market Monitor may consult with the Project Sponsor or Market Participant, as appropriate, to seek clarification, or to address questions or concerns regarding the materials submitted.

III.13.1.8. Publication of Offer and Bid Information.

- (a) Resource name, quantity and Load Zone (or interface, as applicable) in which the resource is located about each Permanent De-list Bid and Retirement De-List Bid will be posted no later than 15 days after the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted.
- (b) The quantity and Load Zone (or interface, as applicable) in which the resource is located of each Static De-List Bid will be posted no later than 15 days after the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted.
- (c) Name of submitter, quantity, and interface of Export Bids and Administrative Export Bids shall be published no later than 15 days after the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted.

(d) Name of submitter, quantity, and interface about offers from New Import Capacity Resources shall be published no later than 15 days after the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted.

(e) No later than three Business Days after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, the ISO shall post on its website information concerning Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids.

(f) The name of each Lead Market Participant submitting Static De-List Bids, Export Bids, and Administrative Export De-List Bids, as well as the number and type of such de-list bids submitted by each Lead Market Participant, shall be published no later than three Business Days after the ISO issues the qualification determination notifications described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.8, III.13.1.2.4(b), III.13.1.4.1.1.6, III.13.1.4A.1.1.7, and III.13.1.3.5.7. Authorized Persons of Authorized Commissions will be provided confidential access to full information about posted Static De-list Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, and Retirement De-List Bids upon request pursuant to Section 3.3 of the ISO New England Information Policy.

(g) No later than five Business Days after the close of the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window, the ISO shall post on its website the aggregate quantity of supply offers and demand bids that have been elected to participate in the substitution auction by Capacity Zone (where the zones used are those being studied for inclusion in the associated Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.12.4).

III.13.1.9. Financial Assurance.

Except as noted in this Section III.13.1.9, all financial assurance requirements associated with Forward Capacity Auctions and annual reconfiguration auctions and other payments and charges resulting from the Forward Capacity Market shall be governed by the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.1.9.1. Financial Assurance for New Generating Capacity Resources and New Demand Capacity Resources Participating in the Forward Capacity Auction.

In order to participate in any Forward Capacity Auction, New Generating Capacity Resources (~~including Conditional Qualified New Resources~~) and New Demand Capacity Resources shall be required to meet the financial assurance requirements as described in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy. Timely payment of the FCM Deposit by the Project Sponsor for a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource accepted for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction constitutes a

commitment to offer the full FCA Qualified Capacity of that New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource in the Forward Capacity Auction at the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price. If the FCM Deposit is not received within the timeframe specified in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy, the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource shall not be permitted to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction. If capacity offered by the New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, financial assurance required prior to the auction pursuant to FAP shall be applied toward the resource's financial assurance obligation, as described in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy. If no capacity offered by that New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the financial assurance required prior to the auction pursuant to FAP will be released pursuant to the terms of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.1.9.2. Financial Assurance for New Generating Capacity Resources and New Demand Capacity Resources Clearing in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Where a New Generating Capacity Resource's offer or a New Demand Capacity Resource's offer is accepted in a Forward Capacity Auction, that resource must provide financial assurance as described in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.1.9.2.1. Failure to Provide Financial Assurance or to Meet Milestone.

If a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource: (i) fails to provide the required financial assurance as described in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy or (ii) has its Capacity Supply Obligation terminated by the ISO pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A, it shall lose its Capacity Supply Obligation and its right to any payments associated with that Capacity Supply Obligation, and it shall forfeit any financial assurance provided with respect to that Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.1.9.2.2. Release of Financial Assurance.

Once a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation, its financial assurance obligation shall be released pursuant to the terms of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy and it shall have the same financial assurance requirements as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, as governed by the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy. If a New Generating Capacity Resource or New Demand Capacity Resource is only capable of

delivering less than the amount of capacity that cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction, then the portion of its financial assurance associated with the shortfall shall be forfeited.

III.13.1.9.2.2.1. [Reserved.]

III.13.1.9.2.3. Forfeit of Financial Assurance.

Where any financial assurance is forfeited pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13, there shall be no further coverage for such forfeit under the ISO New England Billing Policy. Any financial assurance that is forfeited pursuant to Section III.13 shall be used to reduce charges incurred by load in the relevant Capacity Zone.

III.13.1.9.2.4. Financial Assurance for New Import Capacity Resources.

A New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by a new External Resource or will be delivered over an Elective Transmission Upgrade with a Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service Interconnection Request pursuant to Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff shall be subject to the same financial assurance requirements as a New Generating Capacity Resource, as described in Section III.13.1.9.1 and Section III.13.1.9.2. Once the new External Resource or the Elective Transmission Upgrade achieves FCM Commercial Operation, the New Import Capacity Resource shall be subject to the same financial assurance requirements as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, as described in Section III.13.1.9. A New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by one or more existing External Resources or by an external Control Area shall be subject to the same financial assurance requirements as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, as governed by the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.1.9.3. Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit.

For each New Capacity Show of Interest Form, New Demand Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form, and New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Show of Interest Form submitted for the purposes of qualifying for either a Forward Capacity Auction or reconfiguration auction, the Project Sponsor must submit to the ISO a refundable deposit in the amount shown in the table below (“Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit”). The Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit must be received in accordance with the ISO New England Billing Policy. Such deposit shall be used for costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owners, associated with the qualification process described in Section III.13.1 and with the critical path schedule monitoring described in Section III.13.3. An additional Qualification

Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit is not required if: (i) the Project Sponsor is actively seeking qualification for another Forward Capacity Auction or annual reconfiguration auction, or is having the project’s critical path schedule monitored pursuant to Section III.13.3; and (ii) the costs already incurred in the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring do not equal or exceed 90 percent of the amount of the previously-submitted Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit(s). The ISO shall provide the Project Sponsor with an annual statement in writing of the costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owner(s), associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring. In any case where resources are aggregated or disaggregated, the associated Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposits will be adjusted as appropriate. After aggregation or disaggregation of resources, historical data regarding the costs already incurred in the qualification process of the original resources will no longer be provided. Coincident with the issuance of the annual statement, where incurred costs are equal to or greater than 90 percent of the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit(s) previously submitted, the ISO will issue an invoice in the amount determined pursuant to the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit table contained in Section III.13.1.9.3.1 plus any excess of costs incurred to date by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owners, associated with the qualification process described in Section III.13.1 and with the critical path schedule monitoring described in Section III.13.3. Any refunds that may result from aggregation of resources will be issued coincident with the annual statement. Payment on the invoice must be received in accordance with the ISO New England Billing Policy. If the Project Sponsor fails to pay the amount due by the stated due date, the ISO will consider the resources that were invoiced withdrawn by the Project Sponsor. Such a withdrawal shall be irrevocable, and payment on the invoice after the due date will not remedy the failure to pay or the withdrawal.

III.13.1.9.3.1. Partial Waiver Of Deposit.

A portion of the deposit shall be waived when there is an active Interconnection Request and an executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement under Schedule 22, 23 or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff or where a resource modification does not require a revision to the Interconnection Agreement.

<p>New Generating Capacity Resources \geq 20 MW or an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission</p>	<p>New Generating Capacity Resources $<$ 20 MW and \geq 2 MW</p>	<p>Imports and New Demand Capacity Resources</p>	<p>New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource</p>	<p>New Generating Capacity Resources $<$ 2 MW</p>
---	--	---	--	--

Upgrade that has not achieved Commercial Operation as defined in Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff				
<i>Including Up-rates, Re-powering, Environmental Compliance & Intermittent Power Resources</i>	<i>Including Up-rates, Re-powering, Environmental Compliance & Intermittent Power Resources</i>			
\$25 15,000	\$7 6,500	\$1,000	\$2,500	\$500
<i>With Executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or System Impact Study Agreement</i>	<i>With Executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or System Impact Study Agreement</i>			
\$15,000	\$6,500	n/a	n/a	n/a

III.13.1.9.3.2. Settlement of Costs.

III.13.1.9.3.2.1. Settlement Of Costs Associated With Resources Participating In A Forward Capacity Auction Or Reconfiguration Auction.

Upon the latter of: (i) the first day of the Capacity Commitment Period for which a resource offers into the Forward Capacity Market or (ii) the date on which the entire resource is accepted by the ISO for FCM Commercial Operation, the ISO shall provide the Project Sponsor with a statement in writing of the costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owner(s), associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring. If any portion of the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit exceeds the costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owner(s) associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring, the ISO shall refund to the Project Sponsor the excess including interest calculated in accordance with 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2). If the costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the

documented and reasonably-incurred costs of the affected Transmission Owner(s), associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring exceed the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit, the Project Sponsor shall pay such excess, including interest calculated in accordance with 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2) – For Demand Capacity Resources, the ISO shall provide all of the above concurrently with the annual statement required under Section III.13.1.9.3.

III.13.1.9.3.2.2. Settlement Of Costs Associated With Resources That Withdraw From A Forward Capacity Auction Or Reconfiguration Auction.

Upon the withdrawal or failure to meet the requirements of the qualification process set forth in Section III.13.1, the ISO shall provide the Project Sponsor with a statement in writing of the costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of affected Transmission Owner(s), associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring. A Project Sponsor that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its request for qualification shall pay to the ISO all costs prudently incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of affected Transmission Owner(s), associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring. The ISO shall refund to the Project Sponsor any portion of the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit that exceeds the costs associated with the qualification process and critical path schedule monitoring incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of affected Transmission Owner(s), including interest calculated in accordance with 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2). The ISO shall charge the Project Sponsor the amount of such costs incurred by the ISO and its consultants, including the documented and reasonably-incurred costs of affected Transmission Owner(s), that exceeds the Qualification Process Cost Reimbursement Deposit, including interest calculated in accordance with 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2). For Demand Capacity Resources, the ISO shall provide all of the above concurrently with the annual statement required under Section III.13.1.9.3.

III.13.1.9.3.2.3. Crediting Of Reimbursements.

Cost reimbursements received (excluding amounts passed through to the ISO's consultants and to affected Transmission Owner(s)) by the ISO pursuant to this Section III.13.1.9.3.2 shall be credited against revenues received by the ISO pursuant to Section IV.A.6.1 of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff.

III.13.1.10. Forward Capacity Auction Qualification Schedule.

Beginning with the timeline for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2017 (the eighth Forward Capacity Auction), and for each Capacity Commitment Period thereafter, the deadlines will be consistent for each Capacity Commitment Period, as follows:

- (a) each Capacity Commitment Period shall begin in June;
- (b) the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline will be in March, approximately four years and three months before the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period, except that, for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline will be April 6, 2023;
- (c) the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window will be in April, approximately four years and two months before the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period, except that, for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window will open on April 24, 2023 and will close on May 8, 2023;
- (d) the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline will be 90 days after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline, approximately four years before the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period, except that, for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline will be June 20, 2023;
- (e) the New Capacity Qualification Deadline will be in June or July that is just under four years before the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period; and
- (f) the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period will begin in February approximately three years and four months before the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.1.11 Opt-Out for Resources Electing Multiple-Year Treatment.

Beginning in the qualification process for the ninth Forward Capacity Auction (for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2018), any resource that had elected in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to the ninth Forward Capacity Auction (pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7) to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which its New

Capacity Offer cleared may, by submitting a written notification to the ISO no later than the Existing Capacity Qualification Deadline (or, in the case of the ninth Forward Capacity Auction, no later than September 19, 2014), opt-out of the remaining years of the resource's multiple-year election. A decision to so opt-out shall be irrevocable. A resource choosing to so opt-out will participate in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions in the same manner as other Existing Capacity Resources.

III.13.2. Annual Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.2.1. Timing of Annual Forward Capacity Auctions.

Each Forward Capacity Auction will be conducted beginning on the first Monday in the February that is approximately three years and four months before the beginning of the associated Capacity Commitment Period (unless, no later than the immediately preceding December 1, an alternative date is announced by the ISO), or, where exigent circumstances prevent the start of the Forward Capacity Auction at that time, as soon as possible thereafter.

III.13.2.2. Amount of Capacity Cleared in Each Forward Capacity Auction.

The total amount of capacity cleared in each Forward Capacity Auction shall be determined using the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve and the Capacity Zone Demand Curves for the modeled Capacity Zones pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.3.

III.13.2.2.1. System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve.

The MRI Transition Period is the period from the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2020 through the earlier of:

- (i) the Forward Capacity Auction for which the amount of the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs) that is filed by the ISO with the Commission pursuant to Section III.12.3 for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction is greater than or equal to the sum of: 34,151 MW, and: (a) 722 MW (for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2020); (b) 375 MW (for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2021), or; (c) 150 MW (for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2022);
- (ii) the Forward Capacity Auction for which the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value, calculated pursuant to Section III.12.1.1, and the scaling factor

specified in Section III.13.2.2.4, specifies a quantity at \$7.03/kW-month in excess of the MW value determined under the applicable subsection (2)(b), (2)(c), or (2)(d), below, or;

- (iii) the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2022.

During the MRI Transition Period, the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall consist of the following three segments:

- (1) at prices above \$7.03/kW-month and below the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall specify a price for system capacity quantities based on the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value, calculated pursuant to Section III.12.1.1, and the scaling factor specified in Section III.13.2.2.4;
- (2) at prices below \$7.03/kW-month, the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall be linear between \$7.03/kW-month and \$0.00/kW-month and determined by the following quantities:
 - (a) At the price of \$0.00/kW-month, the quantity specified by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall be 1616 MW plus the MW value determined under the applicable provision in (b), (c), or (d) of this subsection.
 - (b) for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2020, at \$7.03/kW-month, the quantity shall be the lesser of:
 - 1. 35,437 MW; and
 - 2. 722 MW plus the quantity at which the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value and the scaling factor yield a price of \$7.03/kW-month;
 - (c) for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2021, at \$7.03/kW-month, the quantity shall be the lesser of:
 - 1. 35,090 MW; and
 - 2. 375 MW plus the quantity at which the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value and the scaling factor yield a price of \$7.03/kW-month;
 - (d) for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2022, at \$7.03/kW-month, the quantity shall be the lesser of:
 - 1. 34,865 MW; and

2. 150 MW plus the quantity at which the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value and the scaling factor yield a price of \$7.03/kW-month

(3) a price of \$7.03/kW-month for all quantities between those curves segments.

In addition to the foregoing, the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall not specify a price in excess of the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

Following the MRI Transition Period, the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall specify a price for system capacity quantities based on the product of the system-wide Marginal Reliability Impact value, calculated pursuant to Section III.12.1.1, and the scaling factor specified in Section III.13.2.2.4. For any system capacity quantity greater than 110% of the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs), the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall specify a price of zero. The System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall not specify a price in excess of the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

III.13.2.2.2. Import-Constrained Capacity Zone Demand Curves.

For each import-constrained Capacity Zone, the Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall specify a price for all Capacity Zone quantities based on the product of the import-constrained Capacity Zone's Marginal Reliability Impact value, calculated pursuant to Section III.12.2.1.3, and the scaling factor specified in Section III.13.2.2.4. The prices specified by an import-constrained Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall be non-negative. At all quantities greater than the truncation point, which is the amount of capacity for which the Capacity Zone Demand Curve specifies a price of \$0.01/kW-month, the Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall specify a price of zero. The Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall not specify a price in excess of the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

III.13.2.2.3. Export-Constrained Capacity Zone Demand Curves.

For each export-constrained Capacity Zone, the Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall specify a price for all Capacity Zone quantities based on the product of the export-constrained Capacity Zone's Marginal Reliability Impact value, calculated pursuant to Section III.12.2.2.1, and the scaling factor specified in Section III.13.2.2.4. The prices specified by an export-constrained Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall be non-positive. At all quantities less than the truncation point, which is the amount of capacity for which the Capacity Zone Demand Curve specifies a price of negative \$0.01/kW-month, the Capacity Zone Demand Curve shall specify a price of zero.

III.13.2.2.4. Capacity Demand Curve Scaling Factor.

The demand curve scaling factor shall be set at the value such that, at the quantity specified by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve at a price of Net CONE, the Loss of Load Expectation is 0.1 days per year.

III.13.2.3. Conduct of the Forward Capacity Auction.

The Forward Capacity Auction shall include a descending clock auction, which will determine, subject to the provisions of Section III.13.2.7, the Capacity Clearing Price for each Capacity Zone modeled in that Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.12.4, and the Capacity Clearing Price for certain offers from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.3(d). The Forward Capacity Auction shall determine the outcome of all offers and bids accepted during the qualification process and submitted during the auction. The descending clock auction shall be conducted as a series of rounds, which shall continue (for up to five consecutive Business Days, with up to eight rounds per day, absent extraordinary circumstances) until the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded for all modeled Capacity Zones in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.2.3.3. Each round of the Forward Capacity Auction shall consist of the following steps, which shall be completed simultaneously for each Capacity Zone included in the round:

III.13.2.3.1. Step 1: Announcement of Start-of-Round Price and End-of-Round Price.

For each round, the auctioneer shall announce a single Start-of-Round Price (the highest price associated with a round of the Forward Capacity Auction) and a single (lower) End-of-Round Price (the lowest price associated with a round of the Forward Capacity Auction). In the first round, the Start-of-Round Price shall equal the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for all modeled Capacity Zones. In each round after the first round, the Start-of-Round Price shall equal the End-of-Round Price from the previous round.

III.13.2.3.2. Step 2: Compilation of Offers and Bids.

The auctioneer shall compile all of the offers and bids for that round, as follows:

- (a) **Offers from New Generating Capacity Resources, New Import Capacity Resources, New Demand Capacity Resources, and New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.**

(i) The Project Sponsor for any New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by a single new External Resource and that is associated with an investment in transmission that increases New England's import capability, New Import Capacity Resource that is associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade, New Demand Capacity Resource, or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource accepted in the qualification process for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction may submit a New Capacity Offer indicating the quantity of capacity that the Project Sponsor would commit to provide from the resource during the Capacity Commitment Period at that round's prices. A New Capacity Offer shall be defined by the submission of one to five prices, each strictly less than the Start-of-Round Price but greater than or equal to the End-of-Round Price, and an associated quantity in the applicable Capacity Zone. Each price shall be expressed in units of dollars per kilowatt-month to an accuracy of at most three digits to the right of the decimal point, and each quantity shall be expressed in units of MWs to an accuracy of at most three digits to the right of the decimal point. A New Capacity Offer shall imply a supply curve indicating quantities offered at all of that round's prices, pursuant to the convention of Section III.13.2.3.2(a)(iii).

(ii) If the Project Sponsor of a New Generating Capacity Resource, New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by a single new External Resource and that is associated with an investment in transmission that increases New England's import capability, New Import Capacity Resource that is associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade, New Demand Capacity Resource, or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource elects to offer in a Forward Capacity Auction, the Project Sponsor must offer the resource's full FCA Qualified Capacity at the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price in the first round of the auction. A New Capacity Offer for a resource may in no event be for greater capacity than the resource's full FCA Qualified Capacity at any price. A New Capacity Offer for a resource may not be for less capacity than the resource's Rationing Minimum Limit at any price, except where the New Capacity Offer is for a capacity quantity of zero.

(iii) Let the Start-of-Round Price and End-of-Round Price for a given round be P_S and P_E , respectively. Let the m prices ($1 \leq m \leq 5$) submitted by a Project Sponsor for a modeled Capacity Zone be p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , where $P_S > p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_m \geq P_E$, and let the associated quantities submitted for a New Capacity Resource be q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m . Then the Project Sponsor's supply curve, for all prices strictly less than P_S but greater than or equal to P_E , shall be taken to be:

$$S(p) = \begin{cases} q_0, & \text{if } p > p_1, \\ q_1, & \text{if } p_2 < p \leq p_1, \\ q_2, & \text{if } p_3 < p \leq p_2, \\ \dots & \dots, \\ q_m, & \text{if } p \leq p_m. \end{cases}$$

where, in the first round, q_0 is the resource's full FCA Qualified Capacity and, in subsequent rounds, q_0 is the resource's quantity offered at the lowest price of the previous round.

(iv) The amount of capacity included in each New Capacity Offer at each price shall be included in the aggregate supply curves at that price as described in Section III.13.2.3.3. If the Internal Market Monitor has determined that a New Capacity Resource must use a New Resource Offer Floor Price pursuant to Section III.A.21.2.3, such New Capacity Resource may not include any capacity in a New Capacity Offer during the Forward Capacity Auction at any price below the resource's New Resource Offer Floor Price.

(v) Capacity associated with a New Import Capacity Resource (other than a New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by a single new External Resource and that is associated with an investment in transmission that increases New England's import capability or a New Import Capacity Resource that is associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade) shall be automatically included in the aggregate supply curves as described in Section III.13.2.3.3 at prices at or above the resource's offer prices (as established or modified pursuant to Section III.A.21.4) and shall be automatically removed from the aggregate supply curves at prices below the resource's offer prices (as established or modified pursuant to Section III.A.21.4), except under the following circumstances:

In any round of the Forward Capacity Auction in which prices are below the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold, the Project Sponsor for a New Import Capacity Resource (other than a New Import Capacity Resource that is backed by a single new External Resource and that is associated with an investment in transmission that increases New England's import capability or a New Import Capacity Resource that is associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade) with offer prices (as established or modified pursuant to Section III.A.21.4) that are less than the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold may submit a New Capacity Offer indicating the quantity of capacity that the Project Sponsor would commit to provide from the resource during the Capacity Commitment

Period at that round's prices. Such an offer shall be defined by the submission of one to five prices, each less than the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold (or the Start-of-Round Price, if lower than the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold) but greater than or equal to the End-of-Round Price, and a single quantity associated with each price. Such an offer shall be expressed in the same form as specified in Section III.13.2.3.2(a)(i) and shall imply a curve indicating quantities at all of that round's relevant prices, pursuant to the convention of Section III.13.2.3.2(a)(iii). The curve may not increase the quantity offered as the price decreases.

(b) **Bids from Existing Capacity Resources**

(i) Static De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, Retirement De-List Bids, and Export Bids from Existing Generating Capacity Resources, Existing Import Capacity Resources, Existing Demand Capacity Resources and Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources, as finalized in the qualification process or as otherwise directed by the Commission shall be automatically bid into the appropriate rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction, such that each such resource's FCA Qualified Capacity will be included in the aggregate supply curves as described in Section III.13.2.3.3 until any Static De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, Retirement D-List Bid, or Export Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.2.5.2, and is removed from the aggregate supply curves. In the case of a Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, or where a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid is subject to an election under Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a), the resource's FCA Qualified Capacity will be reduced by the quantity of the de-list bid (unless the resource was retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1) and the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid shall not be included in the Forward Capacity Auction. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids subject to an election under Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) or Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b) shall not be included in the Forward Capacity Auction and shall be treated according to Section III.13.2.3.2(b)(ii). In the case of a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid, if the Market Participant reduced the Internal Market Monitor-accepted bid pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d), then the reduced bid shall be used in place of the Internal Market Monitor-accepted bid. In the case of a Static De-List Bid, if the Market Participant revised the bid pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1, then the revised bid shall be used in place of the submitted bid; if the Market Participant withdrew the bid pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1, then the capacity associated with the withdrawn bid shall be entered into the auction pursuant to Section

III.13.2.3.2(c). If the amount of capacity associated with Export Bids for an interface exceeds the transfer limit of that interface (minus any accepted Administrative De-List Bids over that interface), then the set of Export Bids associated with that interface equal to the interface's transfer limit (minus any accepted Administrative De-List Bids over that interface) having the highest bid prices shall be included in the auction as described above; capacity for which Export Bids are not included in the auction as a result of this provision shall be entered into the auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(c).

(ii) For Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids, the ISO will enter a Proxy De-List Bid into the appropriate rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction in the following circumstances: (1) if the Lead Market Participant has elected pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) to retire the resource or portion thereof, the resource has not been retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, the price specified in the Commission-approved de-list bid is less than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, and the Internal Market Monitor has found a portfolio benefit pursuant to Section III.A.24; or (2) if the Lead Market Participant has elected conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), the resource has not been retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, and the price specified in the Commission-approved de-list bid is less than the price specified in the de-list bid submitted by the Lead Market Participant and less than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price. The Proxy De-List Bid shall be non-rationable and shall be equal in price and quantity to, and located in the same Capacity Zone as, the Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid, and shall be entered into the appropriate rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction such that the capacity associated with the Proxy De-List Bid will be included in the aggregate supply curves as described in Section III.13.2.3.3 until the Proxy De-List Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, as described in Section III.13.2.5.2, and is removed from the aggregate supply curves. If the Lead Market Participant has elected conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), the resource has not been retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1, and the Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid is equal to or greater than the de-list bid submitted by the Lead Market Participant, no Proxy De-List Bid shall be used and the Commission-approved de-list bid shall be entered in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(b)(i).

(iii) For purposes of this subsection (b), if an Internal Market Monitor-determined price has been established for a Static De-List Bid and the associated resource's capacity is pivotal

pursuant to Sections III.A.23.1 and III.A.23.2, then (unless otherwise directed by the Commission) the lower of the Internal Market Monitor-determined price and any revised bid that is submitted pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1 will be used in place of the initially submitted bid; provided, however, that if the bid was withdrawn pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.1, then the capacity associated with the withdrawn bid shall be entered into the auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2(c). If an Internal Market Monitor-determined price has been established for an Export Bid and the associated resource's capacity is pivotal pursuant to Sections III.A.23.1 and III.A.23.2, then the Internal Market Monitor-determined price (or price directed by the Commission) will be used in place of the submitted bid.

Any Static De-List Bid for ambient air conditions that has not been verified pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2.4 shall not be subject to the provisions of this subsection (b).

(c) **Existing Capacity Resources Without De-List or Export Bids and Self-Supplied FCA Resources.** Each Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, and Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources without a Static De-List Bid, a Permanent De-List Bid, a Retirement De-List Bid, an Export Bid or an Administrative Export De-List Bid in its Existing Capacity Qualification Package, and each existing Self-Supplied FCA Resource shall be automatically entered into each round of the Forward Capacity Auction at its FCA Qualified Capacity, such that the resource's FCA Qualified Capacity will be included in the aggregate supply curves as described in Section III.13.2.3.3, except where such resource, if permitted, submits an appropriate Dynamic De-List Bid, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(d). Each new Self-Supplied FCA Resource shall be automatically entered into each round of the Forward Capacity Auction at its designated self-supplied quantity, such that the resource's designated self-supply quantity will be included in the aggregate supply curves as described in Section III.13.2.3.3. If the Internal Market Monitor has determined that a new Self-Supplied FCA Resource must use a New Resource Offer Floor Price pursuant to Section III.A.21.2.3, the new resource's self-supplied quantity shall be entered into each round of the Forward Capacity Auction at prices at or above the New Resource Offer Floor Price.

(d) **Dynamic De-List Bids.** In any round of the Forward Capacity Auction in which prices are below the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold, any Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Import Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (but not any Self-Supplied FCA Resources) may submit a Dynamic De-List Bid at prices below the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold. Such a bid shall be defined by the submission of one to five prices,

each less than the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold (or the Start-of-Round Price, if lower than the Dynamic De-List Bid Threshold) but greater than or equal to the End-of-Round Price, and a single quantity associated with each price. Such a bid shall be expressed in the same form as specified in Section III.13.2.3.2(a)(i) and shall imply a curve indicating quantities at all of that round's relevant prices, pursuant to the convention of Section III.13.2.3.2(a)(iii). The curve may in no case increase the quantity offered as the price decreases. A dynamic De-List Bid may not offer less capacity than the resource's Rationing Minimum Limit at any price, except where the amount of capacity offered is zero. All Dynamic De-List Bids are subject to a reliability review as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5, and if not rejected for reliability reasons, shall be included in the round in the same manner as Static De-List Bids as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(b). Where a resource elected pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7 to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, the capacity associated with any resulting Capacity Supply Obligation may not be subject to a Dynamic De-List Bid in subsequent Forward Capacity Auctions for Capacity Commitment Periods for which the Project Sponsor elected to have the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price continue to apply. Where a Lead Market Participant submits any combination of Dynamic De-List Bid, Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, and Administrative Export De-List Bid for a single resource, none of the prices in a set of price-quantity pairs associated with a bid may be the same as any price in any other set of price-quantity pairs associated with another bid for the same resource.

(e) **Repowering.** Offers and bids associated with a resource participating in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 (resources previously counted as capacity resources) shall be addressed in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the provisions of this Section III.13.2.3.2(e). The Project Sponsor shall offer such a New Generating Capacity Resource into the Forward Capacity Auction in the same manner and pursuant to the same rules as other New Generating Capacity Resources, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(a). As long as any capacity is offered from the New Generating Capacity Resource, the amount of capacity offered is the amount that the auctioneer shall include in the aggregate supply curve at the relevant prices, and the quantity of capacity offered from the associated Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall not be included in the aggregate supply curve. If any portion of the New Generating Capacity Resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, the associated Existing Generating Capacity Resource shall be permanently de-listed as of the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. If at any price, no capacity is offered from the New Generating Capacity Resource, then the auctioneer shall include capacity from the associated Existing Generating Capacity Resource at that price, subject to any bids submitted and accepted in the

qualification process for that Existing Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.5. Bids submitted and accepted in the qualification process for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.5 shall only be entered into the Forward Capacity Auction after the associated New Generating Capacity Resource is fully withdrawn (that is, the Forward Capacity Auction reaches a price at which the resource's New Capacity Offer is zero capacity), and shall only then be subject to the reliability review described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.

(f) ~~[Reserved.] **Reserved. Conditional Qualified New Resources.** Offers associated with a resource participating in the Forward Capacity Auction as a Conditional Qualified New Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f) shall be addressed in the Forward Capacity Auction in accordance with the provisions of this Section III.13.2.3.2(f). The Project Sponsor shall offer such a Conditional Qualified New Resource into the Forward Capacity Auction in the same manner and pursuant to the same rules as other New Generating Capacity Resources, as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(a). An offer from at most one resource at a Conditional Qualified New Resource's location will be permitted to clear (receive a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) in the Forward Capacity Auction. As long as a positive quantity is offered at the End of Round Price in the final round of the Forward Capacity Auction by the resource having a higher queue priority at the Conditional Qualified New Resource's location, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f), then no capacity from the Conditional Qualified New Resource shall clear. If at any price greater than or equal to the End of Round Price in the final round of the Forward Capacity Auction, zero quantity is offered from the resource having higher queue priority at the Conditional Qualified New Resource's location, as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f), then the auctioneer shall consider capacity offered from the Conditional Qualified New Resource in the determination of clearing, including the application of Section III.13.2.7.~~

(g) **Mechanics.** Offers and bids that may be submitted during a round of the Forward Capacity Auction must be received between the starting time and ending time of the round, as announced by the auctioneer in advance. The ISO at its sole discretion may authorize a participant in the auction to complete or correct its submission after the ending time of a round, but only if the participant can demonstrate to the ISO's satisfaction that the participant was making reasonable efforts to complete a valid offer submission before the ending time of the round, and only if the ISO determines that allowing the completion or correction will not unreasonably disrupt the auction process. All decisions by the ISO concerning whether or not a participant may complete or correct a submission after the ending time of a round are final.

III.13.2.3.3. Step 3: Determination of the Outcome of Each Round.

The auctioneer shall use the offers and bids for the round as described in Section III.13.2.3.2 to determine the aggregate supply curves for the New England Control Area and for each modeled Capacity Zone included in the round.

The aggregate supply curve for the New England Control Area, the Total System Capacity, shall reflect at each price the sum of the following:

- (1) the amount of capacity offered in all Capacity Zones modeled as import-constrained Capacity Zones at that price (excluding capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources);
- (2) the amount of capacity offered in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone at that price (excluding capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources);
- (3) for each Capacity Zone modeled as an export-constrained Capacity Zone, the lesser of:
 - (i) the amount of capacity offered in the Capacity Zone at that price (including the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources for each interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area mapped to the export-constrained Capacity Zone up to that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits)), or;
 - (ii) the amount of capacity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at zero minus that price, and;
- (4) for each interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area mapped to an import-constrained Capacity Zone or the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone, the lesser of:
 - (i) that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits), or;
 - (ii) the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources.

In computing the Total System Capacity, capacity associated with any New Capacity Offer at any price greater than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price will not be included in the tally of total capacity at the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for that Capacity Zone. On the basis of these aggregate supply curves, the auctioneer shall determine the outcome of the round for each modeled Capacity Zone as follows:

(a) **Import-Constrained Capacity Zones.**

For a Capacity Zone modeled as an import-constrained Capacity Zone, if either of the following two conditions is met during the round:

- (1) the aggregate supply curve for the import-constrained Capacity Zone, adjusted as necessary in accordance with Section III.13.2.6 (Capacity Rationing Rule), equals or is less than the quantity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at the difference between the End-of-Round Price and the price specified by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve (at a quantity no less than Total System Capacity at the Start-of-Round Price), or;
- (2) the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone;

then the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Zone is concluded and such Capacity Zone will not be included in further rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction.

The Capacity Clearing Price for that Capacity Zone shall be set at the greater of: (1) the sum of the price specified by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at the amount of capacity equal to the total amount that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation in the import-constrained Capacity Zone, and the Capacity Clearing Price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone, or; (2) the highest price of any offer or bid for a resource in the Capacity Zone that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation, subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.

If neither of the two conditions above are met in the round, then that Capacity Zone will be included in the next round of the Forward Capacity Auction.

(b) **Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone.**

If the Total System Capacity at the End-of-Round Price, adjusted as necessary in accordance with Section III.13.2.6 (Capacity Rationing Rule), and adjusted to include the additional supply in the import-constrained Capacity Zone that may be cleared at a higher price, equals or is less than the amount of capacity determined by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve, then the Forward Capacity Auction

for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone is concluded and the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone will not be included in further rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction.

The Capacity Clearing Price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone shall be set at the highest price at which the Total System Capacity is less than or equal to the amount of capacity determined by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve, subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.

If the Forward Capacity Auction for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone is not concluded then the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone will be included in the next round of the Forward Capacity Auction, and the auctioneer shall publish the Total System Capacity at the End-of-Round Price, adjusted to include the additional supply in the import-constrained Capacity Zone that may be cleared at a higher price, less the amount of capacity determined by the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve at the End-of-Round Price.

(c) **Export-Constrained Capacity Zones.**

For a Capacity Zone modeled as an export-constrained Capacity Zone, if all of the following conditions are met during the round:

- (1) the aggregate supply curve for the export-constrained Capacity Zone, adjusted as necessary in accordance with Section III.13.2.6 (Capacity Rationing Rule), is equal to or less than the maximum amount of capacity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at a price of zero;
- (2) in the case of a nested Capacity Zone, the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded for the Capacity Zone within which the nested Capacity Zone is located, and;
- (3) the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone;

then the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Zone is concluded and such Capacity Zone will not be included in further rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction.

The Capacity Clearing Price for an export-constrained Capacity Zone that is not a nested export-constrained Capacity Zone shall be set at the greater of:

- (1) the sum of:
 - (i) the price specified by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at the amount of capacity equal to the total amount that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation in that Capacity Zone; and
 - (ii) the Capacity Clearing Price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone.
- or;

- (2) the highest price of any offer or bid for a resource in the Capacity Zone that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation, and subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.

The Capacity Clearing Price for a nested export-constrained Capacity Zone shall be set at the greater of:

- (1) the sum of:
 - (i) the price specified by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at the amount of capacity equal to the total amount that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation in that Capacity Zone; and
 - (ii) the Capacity Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone in which the nested Capacity Zone is located,
- or;
- (2) the highest price of any offer or bid for a resource in the Capacity Zone that is awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation, subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.

If all of the conditions above are not satisfied in the round, then the auctioneer shall publish the quantity of excess supply in the export-constrained Capacity Zone at the End-of-Round Price (the amount of capacity offered at the End-of-Round Price in the export-constrained Capacity Zone minus the maximum amount of capacity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve at a price of zero) and that Capacity Zone will be included in the next round of the Forward Capacity Auction.

(d) **Treatment of Import Capacity.** Where the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over an interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area is less than or equal to that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), then the capacity offers from those resources shall be treated as capacity offers in the modeled Capacity Zone associated with that interface. Where the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over an interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area is greater than that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), then the following provisions shall apply (separately for each such interface):

- (i) For purposes of determining which capacity offers from the New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over the interface shall clear and at what price, the offers over the interface shall be treated in the descending-clock auction as if they comprised a separately-modeled export-constrained capacity zone, with an aggregate supply

curve consisting of the offers from the New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over the interface.

(ii) The amount of capacity offered over the interface that will be included in the aggregate supply curve of the modeled Capacity Zone associated with the interface shall be the lesser of the following two quantities: the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over the interface; and the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF).

(iii) The Forward Capacity Auction for New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over the interface is concluded when the following two conditions are both satisfied: the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resource and Existing Import Capacity Resources over the interface is less than or equal to the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF); and the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded in the modeled Capacity Zone associated with the interface.

(e) **Treatment of Export Capacity.** Any Export Bid or any Administrative Export De-List Bid that is used to export capacity through an export interface connected to an import-constrained Capacity Zone from another Capacity Zone, or through an export interface connected to the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone from an export-constrained Capacity Zone in the Forward Capacity Auction will be modeled in the Capacity Zone where the export interface that is identified in the Existing Capacity Qualification Package is located. The Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid clears in the Capacity Zone where the Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid is modeled.

(i) Then the MW quantity equal to the relevant Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid from the resource associated with the Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid will be de-listed in the Capacity Zone where the resource is located. If the export interface is connected to an import-constrained Capacity Zone, the MW quantity procured will be in addition to the amount of capacity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curve for the import-constrained Capacity Zone.

- (ii) If the Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid does not clear, then the resource associated with the Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid will not be de-listed in the Capacity Zone where the resource is located.

III.13.2.3.4. Determination of Final Capacity Zones.

(a) For all Forward Capacity Auctions up to and including the sixth Forward Capacity Auction (for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2015), after the Forward Capacity Auction is concluded for all modeled Capacity Zones, the final set of distinct Capacity Zones that will be used for all purposes associated with the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, including for the purposes of reconfiguration auctions and Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, shall be those having distinct Capacity Clearing Prices as a result of constraints between modeled Capacity Zones binding in the running of the Forward Capacity Auction. Where a modeled constraint does not bind in the Forward Capacity Auction, and as a result adjacent modeled Capacity Zones clear at the same Capacity Clearing Price, those modeled Capacity Zones shall be a single Capacity Zone used for all purposes of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, including for the purposes of reconfiguration auctions and Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals.

(b) For all Forward Capacity Auctions beginning with the seventh Forward Capacity Auction (for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2016) the final set of distinct Capacity Zones that will be used for all purposes associated with the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, including for the purposes of reconfiguration auctions and Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, shall be those described in Section III.12.4.

III.13.2.4. Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price and the Cost of New Entry.

III.13.2.4.1 Calculation of Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, CONE, and Net CONE.

The Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price is max [1.6 multiplied by Net CONE, CONE]. References in this Section III.13 to the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price shall mean the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

CONE for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2025 is \$12.400/kW-month.

Net CONE for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2025 is \$7.468/kW-month.

The ISO shall recalculate CONE and Net CONE for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2030. Thereafter, CONE and Net CONE shall be recalculated no less often than once every four years. Whenever these values are recalculated, the ISO will review the results of the recalculation with stakeholders and the new values will be filed with the Commission prior to the Forward Capacity Auction in which the new value is to apply.

III.13.2.4.2 Interim Year Adjustments to CONE and Net CONE.

For years in which no full recalculation is performed pursuant to Section III.13.2.4.1, CONE and Net CONE will be adjusted for each Forward Capacity Auction with the following updates to the capital budgeting model used to calculate the CONE and Net CONE values set forth above in this Section III.13.2.4. The annual adjustment to CONE and Net CONE for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning June 1, 2028, shall use a cost of debt of 6.85% and a cost of equity of 13.80%. These values shall also be used for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2029.

- (1) Each line item associated with capital costs that is included in the capital budgeting model will be updated to reflect changes in the Bureau of Labor Statistics Producer Price Index for Machinery and Equipment: General Purpose Machinery and Equipment (WPU114).
- (2) For each line item in (1) above, the ISO shall calculate a multiplier that is equal to the average of values published during the most recent 12 month period available at the time of making the adjustment divided by the average of the most recent 12 month period available at the time of establishing the CONE and Net CONE values set forth in Section III.13.2.4.1. The value of each line item associated with capital costs in the capital budgeting model will be adjusted by the relevant multiplier.
- (3) The energy and ancillary services offset values in the capital budgeting model shall be adjusted by inputting to the capital budgeting model the Henry Hub natural gas futures prices, the Algonquin Citygates Basis natural gas futures prices and the Massachusetts Hub Day-Ahead Peak electricity prices, as published by ICE for the first five trading days in February, for each month of the Capacity Commitment Period to which the updated value will apply.

(4) The CONE and Net CONE values adjusted pursuant to this Section III.13.2.4.2 will be published on the ISO's web site.

(5) If any of the values required for the calculations described in this Section III.13.2.4.2 are unavailable, then comparable values, prices or sources shall be used.

III.13.2.5. Treatment of Specific Offer and Bid Types in the Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.2.5.1. Offers from New Generating Capacity Resources, New Import Capacity Resources, New Demand Capacity Resources, and New Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

A New Capacity Offer ~~(other than one from a Conditional Qualified New Resource)~~ clears (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) in the Forward Capacity Auction if the Capacity Clearing Price is greater than or equal to the price specified in the offer, except possibly as a result of the Capacity Rationing Rule described in Section III.13.2.6. ~~An offer from a Conditional Qualified New Resource clears (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) in the Forward Capacity Auction, except possibly as a result of the Capacity Rationing Rule described in Section III.13.2.6, if all of the following conditions are met: (i) the Capacity Clearing Price is greater than or equal to the price specified in the offer; (ii) capacity from that resource is considered in the determination of clearing as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(f); and (iii) such offer minimizes the costs for the associated Capacity Commitment Period, subject to Section III.13.2.7.7(e).~~

The amount of capacity that receives a Capacity Supply Obligation through the Forward Capacity Auction shall not exceed the quantity of capacity offered in the New Capacity Offer at the Capacity Clearing Price.

III.13.2.5.2. Bids and Offers from Existing Generating Capacity Resources, Existing Import Capacity Resources, Existing Demand Capacity Resources, and Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

III.13.2.5.2.1. Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids.

- (a) Except as provided in Section III.13.2.5.2.5, a Permanent De-List Bid, Retirement De-List Bid or Proxy De-List Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction (does not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation) if the Capacity Clearing Price is less than or equal to the price specified in the bid, except possibly as a result of the Capacity Rationing Rule described in Section III.13.2.6.
- (b) Unless the capacity has been retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, if all or part of a resource with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid does not clear in the Forward Capacity Auction (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation), the Lead Market Participant shall enter the uncleared portion of the bid into the qualification process for the following Forward Capacity Auction as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.
- (c) If the Capacity Clearing Price is greater than the price specified in a de-list bid submitted by a Lead Market Participant that elected conditional treatment for the de-list bid pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b), and there is an associated Proxy De-List Bid that does not clear (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation), the resource will receive a Capacity Supply Obligation at the Capacity Clearing Price.
- (d) The process by which the primary auction is cleared (but not the compilation of offers and bids pursuant to Sections III.13.2.3.1 and III.13.2.3.2) will be repeated after the substitution auction is completed if one of the following conditions is met: (1) if any Proxy De-List Bid entered as a result of a Lead Market Participant electing to retire pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) does not clear (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation) in the first run of the primary auction-clearing process and retains some portion of its Capacity Supply Obligation in the substitution auction; or (2) if any Proxy De-List Bid entered as a result of a Lead Market Participant electing conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b) does not clear (receives a Capacity Supply Obligation) in the first run of the primary auction-clearing process, the de-list bid submitted by the Lead Market Participant is at or above the Capacity Clearing Price, and the Proxy De-List Bid retains some portion of its Capacity Supply Obligation in the substitution auction. The second run of the primary auction-clearing process: (i) excludes all Proxy De-List Bids, (ii) includes the offers and bids of resources compiled pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.2 that did not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in the first run of the primary auction-clearing process, excluding the offers, or portion thereof, associated with resources that acquired a Capacity Supply Obligation in the substitution auction, and (iii) includes the capacity of resources, or portion thereof, that retain a Capacity Supply Obligation after the first run of the primary auction-clearing process and the substitution auction. The second run of the primary auction-clearing process shall not

affect the Capacity Clearing Price of the Forward Capacity Auction (which is established by the first run of the primary auction-clearing process).

(e) Resources (other than those still subject to a multi-year Capacity Commitment Period election as described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.2.4 and III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7) that receive a Capacity Supply Obligation as a result of the first run of the primary auction-clearing process shall be paid the Capacity Clearing Price during the associated Capacity Commitment Period. Where the second run of the primary auction-clearing process procures additional capacity, the resulting price, paid during the associated Capacity Commitment Period (and subsequent Capacity Commitment Periods, as elected pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7) to the additionally procured capacity, shall be equal to or greater than the adjusted price resulting from the first run of the primary auction-clearing process for that Capacity Zone.

III.13.2.5.2.2. Static De-List Bids and Export Bids.

Except as provided in Section III.13.2.5.2.5, a Static De-List Bid or an Export Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction (does not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) if the Capacity Clearing Price is less than or equal to the price specified in the bid, except possibly as a result of the Capacity Rationing Rule described in Section III.13.2.6.

III.13.2.5.2.3. Dynamic De-List Bids.

A Dynamic De-List Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction (does not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) if the Capacity Clearing Price is less than or equal to the price specified in the bid, except possibly as a result of the Capacity Rationing Rule described in Section III.13.2.6. If more Dynamic De-List Bids are submitted at a price than are needed to clear the market, such Dynamic De-List Bids shall be cleared pro-rata, but in no case less than a resource's Rationing Minimum Limit.

III.13.2.5.2.4. Administrative Export De-List Bids.

An Administrative Export De-List Bid clears in the Forward Capacity Auction (does not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation for the associated Capacity Commitment Period) regardless of the Capacity Clearing Price.

III.13.2.5.2.5. Reliability Review.

The ISO shall review each Retirement De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, Administrative Export De-List Bid, Dynamic De-List Bid, and substitution auction demand bid to determine whether the capacity associated with that bid is needed for reliability reasons during the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction; Proxy De-List Bids shall not be reviewed.

(a) The reliability review of de-list bids will be conducted in descending price order using the price as finalized during qualification or as otherwise directed by the Commission. De-list bids with the same price will be reviewed in the order that produces the least negative impact to reliability; where bids are the same price and provide the same impact to reliability, they will be reviewed based on their submission time. If de-list bids with the same price are from a single generating station, they will be reviewed in an order that seeks to provide (1) the least-cost solution under Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1(d) and (2) the minimum aggregate quantity required for reliability from the generating station. The reliability review of substitution auction demand bids that would otherwise clear will be conducted in order beginning with the resource whose cleared bids contribute the greatest amount to social surplus. The capacity associated with a bid shall be deemed needed for reliability reasons if the absence of the capacity would result in the violation of any NERC or NPCC criteria, or ISO New England System Rules. Bids shall only be rejected pursuant to this Section III.13.2.5.2.5 for the sole purpose of addressing a local reliability issue, and shall not be rejected solely on the basis that acceptance of the bid may result in the procurement of less capacity than the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs) or the Local Sourcing Requirement for a Capacity Zone.

(b) If a Retirement De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, Administrative Export De-List Bid, or Dynamic De-List Bid would otherwise clear in the Forward Capacity Auction, but the ISO has determined that some or all of the capacity associated with the de-list bid is needed for reliability reasons, then the de-list bid having capacity needed for reliability will not clear in the Forward Capacity Auction. If the ISO has determined that some or all of the capacity associated with a substitution auction demand bid that would otherwise clear is needed for reliability reasons, then the entire demand bid will not be further included in the substitution auction.

(c) The Lead Market Participant shall be notified that its bid did not clear for reliability reasons at the later of: (i) immediately after the end of the Forward Capacity Auction round in which the auction price reaches the price of the de-list bid; or (ii) as soon as practicable after the time at which the ISO has determined that the bid must be rejected for reliability reasons. In no event, however, shall a Lead Market

Participant be notified that a bid submitted pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.5 and accepted in the qualification process for an Existing Generating Capacity Resource did not clear for reliability reasons if the associated New Generating Capacity Resource remains in the Forward Capacity Auction. In such a case, the Lead Market Participant shall be notified that its bid did not clear for reliability reasons at the later of: (i) immediately after the end of the Forward Capacity Auction round in which the auction price reaches the price of the bid; (ii) immediately after the end of the Forward Capacity Auction round in which the associated New Generating Capacity Resource is fully withdrawn (that is, the Forward Capacity Auction reaches a price at which the resource's New Capacity Offer is zero capacity); or (iii) as soon as practicable after the time at which the ISO has determined that the bid must be rejected for reliability reasons.

(d) A resource that has a de-list bid rejected for reliability reasons shall be compensated pursuant to the terms set out in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 and shall have a Capacity Supply Obligation as described in Section III.13.6.1.

(e) The ISO shall review the results of each annual reconfiguration auction and determine whether the reliability need which caused the ISO to reject the de-list bid has been met through the annual reconfiguration auction. The ISO may also attempt to address the reliability concern through other reasonable means (including transmission enhancements).

(f) If the reliability need that caused the ISO to reject a de-list bid is met through a reconfiguration auction or other means, the resource shall retain its Capacity Supply Obligation through the end of the Capacity Commitment Period for which it was retained for reliability (provided that resources that have Permanent De-List Bids or Retirement De-List Bids rejected for reliability shall be permanently de-listed or retired as of the first day of the subsequent Capacity Commitment Period (or earlier if the resource sheds the entirety of the Capacity Supply Obligation as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a)(ii) or Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(b)(ii))).

(g) If a Permanent De-List Bid or a Retirement De-List Bid is rejected for reliability reasons, and the reliability need is not met through a reconfiguration auction or other means, that resource, or portion thereof, as applicable, is no longer eligible to participate as an Existing Capacity Resource in any reconfiguration auction, Forward Capacity Auction or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral for that and subsequent Capacity Commitment Periods. If the resource, or portion thereof, continues to be needed for

reliability reasons, it shall be counted as capacity in the Forward Capacity Auction and shall be compensated as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1.

(h) The ISO shall review with the Reliability Committee (i) the status of any prior rejected de-list bids reported to the Commission in an FCA results filing pursuant to Section 13.8.2, and (ii) the status of any Retirement De-List Bid or Permanent De-List Bid that has been rejected for reliability reasons and has elected to continue to operate, prior to the New Capacity Qualification Deadline in accordance with Section 4.1(c) of Attachment K of the ISO OATT.

If an identified reliability need results in the rejection of a Retirement De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, Export Bid, Administrative Export De-List Bid, Static De-List Bid, or Dynamic De-List Bid while executing an FCA, the ISO shall (i) review each specific reliability need with the Reliability Committee in accordance with the timing provided for in the ISO New England Operating Documents and, (ii) update the current system Needs Assessments pursuant to Section 4.1(c) of Attachment K of the ISO OATT. This review and update will follow ISO's filing of the FCA results with the Commission pursuant to Section 13.8.2.

III.13.2.5.2.5A Fuel Security Reliability Review

(a) This Section III.13.2.5.2.5A will remain in effect for the 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 Capacity Commitment Period, after which this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A will sunset.

(b) This Section III.13.2.5.2.5A will apply to (i) Retirement De-List Bids, (ii) substitution auction demand bids, and (iii) bilateral transactions and reconfiguration auctions demand bids submitted by an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that has been identified as being needed for fuel security during a Forward Capacity Auction. Terms set out in this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A will apply only for the period and resources described within this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A. Where the terms and conditions in this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A differ from terms otherwise set out in Section III.13, the terms of this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A will control for the period and circumstances described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5A.

(c) A fuel security reliability review for the Forward Capacity Market will be performed pursuant to Appendix L to Section III of the Tariff, and in accordance with the inputs and methodology set out to establish the fuel security reliability standard in Appendix I of Planning Procedure No. 10.

(d) For fuel security reliability reviews performed for the primary Forward Capacity Auction, the fuel security reliability review will be performed after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline and conducted in descending price order using the price as submitted in the Retirement De-List Bids. Bids with the same price will be reviewed in the order that produces the least negative impact to reliability. Where multiple bids have the same price and the retirement of the Existing Generating Capacity Resources would have the same impact to reliability, they will be reviewed based on their submission time. If bids with the same price are from a single generating station, they will be reviewed in an order that seeks to provide (1) the least-cost solution under Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1(d), and (2) the minimum aggregate quantity required for reliability from the generating station. An Existing Generating Capacity Resource may be needed for both fuel security and for transmission security pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5. The fuel security reliability review will be performed in advance of the reliability review for transmission security. Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource is needed for both fuel security reasons pursuant to this Section III.13.2.5.2.5A, and transmission security reliability reasons pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, the generator will be retained for fuel security for purposes of cost allocation.

(e) If an Existing Generating Capacity Resource is identified as being needed for fuel security reasons, and the reliability need is not met through a reconfiguration auction or other means, that resource, or portion thereof, as applicable may not participate in Annual Reconfiguration Auctions for the Capacity Commitment Period(s) for which it is needed for fuel security, or earlier 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 Capacity Commitment Periods. Such an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is identified as being needed for fuel security may participate in monthly bilateral transactions and monthly reconfiguration auctions, but may not submit monthly bilateral transactions for December, January or February, or demand bids for the December, January, or February monthly reconfiguration auctions for any period for which they have been identified as being needed for fuel security.

(f) Participants that have submitted a Retirement De-List Bid will be notified by ISO New England if their resource is needed for fuel security reliability reasons no later than 90 days after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. Participants that have submitted a substitution auction demand bid, and where the demand bid has been rejected for reliability reasons, will be notified after the relevant Forward Capacity Auction has been completed.

(g) Where a Retirement De-List Bid would otherwise clear in the Forward Capacity Auction, but the ISO has determined that some or all of the capacity associated with the de-list bid is needed for fuel security reliability reasons, the provisions of III.13.2.5.2.5(b) shall apply.

(h) Existing Generating Capacity Resources that have had their Retirement De-list Bid rejected for fuel security reliability reasons and that do not elect to unconditionally or conditionally retire shall be eligible for compensation pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1, except that the difference between payments based on resource de-list bids or cost-of-service compensation as detailed in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 and payments based on the Capacity Clearing Price for the Forward Capacity Market under this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 shall be allocated on a regional basis to Real Time Load Obligation, excluding Real-Time Load Obligation associated with Dispatchable Asset Related Demand Resources (DARD Pumps and other electric storage based DARDs) and Real-Time Load Obligation associated with Coordinated External Transactions, allocated and collected over a 12 month period. Resources that that are identified as needed for fuel security reliability reasons will have their capacity entered into the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to III.13.2.5.2.5(g) and III.13.2.3.2(b).

(i) Where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource elects a cost-of-service agreement pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 to address a fuel security reliability need, the term of such a cost-of-service agreement may not exceed two years, including renewal through evergreen provisions. A cost-of-service agreement entered into for the 2024/2025 Capacity Commitment Period shall be limited to a total duration of one year.

(j) The ISO shall perform an annual reevaluation of any Existing Generating Capacity Resources retained for reliability under this provision. If a resource associated with a Retirement De-List Bid that was rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to this section, is found to no longer be needed for fuel security, and is not needed for another reliability reason pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, the resource will be retired from the system as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a)(1). In no case will a resource retained for fuel security be retained for fuel security beyond June 1, 2025.

(k) The ISO will review Retirement De-List Bids rejected for fuel security reliability reasons with the Reliability Committee in the same manner as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5(h).

III.13.2.5.2.5.1. Compensation for Bids Rejected for Reliability Reasons.

(a) In cases where a Static De-List Bid, Export Bid, Administrative Export De-List Bid, Dynamic De-List Bid, partial Permanent De-List Bid, or partial Retirement De-List Bid has been rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to Sections III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 or III.13.2.5.2.5, the resource will be paid by the ISO in the same manner as all other capacity resources, except that payment shall be made on the basis of its de-list bid as accepted for the Forward Capacity Auction for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period instead of the Forward Capacity Market Clearing Price. Under this Section, accepted Dynamic De-List Bids filed with the Commission as part of the FCA results filing are subject to review and approval by the Commission pursuant to the “just and reasonable” standard of Section 205 of the Federal Power Act. If a resource with a partial Permanent De-List Bid or partial Retirement De-List Bid continues to be needed for reliability in Capacity Commitment Periods following the Capacity Commitment Period for which the partial Permanent De-List Bid or partial Retirement De-List Bid was rejected, payment will continue to be pursuant to this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1(a).

(b) In cases where a Permanent De-List Bid or a Retirement De-List Bid for the capacity of an entire resource has been rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 or III.13.2.5.2.5, the resource will be paid either (i) in the same manner as all other capacity resources, except that payment shall be made on the basis of its Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period instead of the Forward Capacity Market Clearing Price or (ii) under the terms of a cost-of-service agreement pursuant to Section III, Appendix I. Resources must notify the ISO of their election within six months after the ISO files the results of the relevant Forward Capacity Auction with the Commission. A resource that has had a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid rejected for reliability reasons and does not notify the ISO of its election as described in this paragraph will be paid on the basis of the resource’s Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid. Cost-of-service agreements must be filed with and approved by the Commission, and cost-of-service compensation may not commence until the Commission has approved the use of cost-of-service rates for the unit in question or has accepted the use of the cost-of-service rates subject to refund while the rate is reviewed. In no event will payment under the cost-of-service agreement start prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period for which the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid was submitted. If a resource continues to be needed for reliability in Capacity Commitment Periods following the Capacity Commitment Period for which the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid was rejected, payment will continue to be pursuant to this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1(b). Resources that elect payment based on the Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid or Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid may file with the Commission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act to update its

Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid if the unit is retained for reliability for a period longer than the Capacity Commitment Period for which the Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid was originally submitted.

(c) The difference between payments based on resource de-list bids or cost-of-service compensation as detailed in this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 and payments based on the market clearing price for the Forward Capacity Market under this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1 shall be allocated to Regional Network Load within the affected Reliability Region.

(d) **Compensation for Existing Generating Capacity Resources at Stations with Common Costs that are Retained for Reliability.** If a Static De-List Bid, Permanent De-List Bid, or Retirement De-List Bid from an Existing Generating Capacity Resource that is associated with a Station having Common Costs is rejected for reliability reasons, the Existing Generating Capacity Resource will be paid as follows: (i) if one or more Existing Generating Capacity Resources at the Station assume a Capacity Supply Obligation through the normal clearing of the Forward Capacity Auction and one or more Existing Generating Capacity Resources are retained for reliability, then the Existing Generating Capacity Resources retained for reliability will be paid the sum of the Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs for the assets comprising that Existing Generating Capacity Resource; or (ii) if no Existing Generating Capacity Resources at the Station assumes a Capacity Supply Obligation through the normal clearing of the Forward Capacity Auction and one or more Existing Generating Capacity Resources are retained for reliability, then each Existing Generating Capacity Resource retained for reliability will be paid the sum of the Asset-Specific Going Forward Costs for the assets associated with that Existing Generating Capacity Resource plus a portion of the Station Going Forward Common Costs (such that the full amount of Station Going Forward Common Costs are allocated to the Existing Generating Capacity Resources retained for reliability).

(e) If ISO-NE is a party to a cost-of-service agreement filed after January 1, 2019 that changes any resource performance-related obligations contained in Section III, Appendix I (provided that those obligations are different than the obligations of an Existing Generating Capacity Resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation), no later than 30 days after such agreement is filed with the Commission, ISO-NE shall provide to stakeholders quantitative and qualitative information on the need for, and the impacts of, the proposed changes.

III.13.2.5.2.5.2. Incremental Cost of Reliability Service From Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid Resources.

In cases where an Existing Generating Capacity Resource, Existing Demand Capacity Resource, or Existing Distributed Energy Capacity Resource has had a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid for the entire resource rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to Sections III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1 or III.13.2.5.2.5, does not elect to retire pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1(d), and must make a capital improvement to the unit to remain in operation in order to continue to operate to meet the reliability need identified by the ISO, the resource may make application to the Commission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act to receive just and reasonable compensation of the capital investment pursuant to the following:

- (a) **Notice to State Utility Commissions, the ISO and Stakeholder Committees of Expectation that a Capital Expense will be Necessary to Meet the Reliability Need Identified by the ISO:** A resource seeking to avail itself of the recovery mechanism provided in this Section must notify the state utility commissions in the states where rate payers will fund the capital improvement, the ISO, and the Participants Committee of its intent to make the capital expenditure and the need for the expenditure. This notification must be made at least 120 days prior to the resource making the capital expenditure.
- (b) **Required Showing Made to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission:** In order to receive just and reasonable compensation for a capital expenditure under this Section, a resource must file an explanation of need with the Commission that explains why the capital expenditure is necessary in order to meet the reliability need identified by the ISO. This showing must demonstrate that the expenditure is reasonably determined to be the least-cost commercially reasonable option consistent with Good Utility Practice to meet the reliability need identified by the ISO. If the resource elects cost-of-service treatment pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.1(b), the Incremental Cost of Reliability Service filing described in this Section must be made separately from and may be made in advance of the resource's cost-of-service filing.
- (c) **Allocation:** Costs of capital expenditures approved by the Commission under this provision shall be allocated to Regional Network Load within the affected Reliability Region.

III.13.2.5.2.5.3. Retirement and Permanent De-Listing of Resources.

- (a)(i) A resource, or portion thereof, will be retired coincident with the commencement of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, or earlier as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a)(ii), if the resource: (1)

has an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Retirement De-List Bid at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, even considering a full downward adjustment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d), and was not retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1; (2) submitted a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid, elected to retire pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a), and was not retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1; (3) elected conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b) for a Retirement De-List Bid with a submitted price at or above the Capacity Clearing Price and was not retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1; or (4) had a Commission-approved Retirement De-List Bid clear in the Forward Capacity Auction. In the case of a Retirement De-List Bid rejected for reliability, if the reliability need that resulted in the rejection for reliability is met, the resource, or portion thereof, will be retired coincident with the end of Capacity Supply Obligation (or earlier as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a)(ii)) unless the Commission directs that the obligation to retire be removed or the retirement date extended as part of an Incremental Cost of Reliability Service filing made pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.2. The interconnection rights, or relevant portion thereof, for the resource will terminate and the status of the resource, or portion thereof, will be converted to retired on the date of retirement, consistent with the provisions of Schedules 22 and 23 of the OATT.

(a)(ii) A resource, or portion thereof, that is to be retired pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(a)(i) may retire the resource, or portion thereof, earlier than the Capacity Commitment Period for which its Retirement De-List Bid was submitted if it is able to transfer the relevant Capacity Supply Obligation of the resource to another resource through one or more approved Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral transactions as described in Section III.13.5.1 or reconfiguration auctions as described in Section III.13.4.1. A resource, or portion thereof, electing to retire pursuant to this provision must notify the ISO in writing of its election to retire and the date of retirement. The interconnection rights, or relevant portion thereof, for the resource will terminate and the status of the resource, or portion thereof, will be converted to retired on the date of retirement, consistent with the provisions of Schedules 22 and 23 of the OATT.

(b)(i) A resource, or portion thereof, will be permanently de-listed from the Forward Capacity Market as of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, or earlier as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(b)(ii), if the resource: (1) has an Internal Market Monitor-accepted Permanent De-List Bid at or above the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price, even considering a full downward adjustment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d), and the resource was not retained for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1; (2) elected conditional treatment pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1(b) for a Permanent De-List Bid with a submitted price at or above the Capacity Clearing Price and was not retained for reliability pursuant to

Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5.1; or (3) had a Commission-approved Permanent De-List Bid clear in the Forward Capacity Auction. The CNR Capability interconnection rights, or relevant portion thereof, for the resource will be adjusted downward to reflect the Permanent De-List Bid, consistent with the provisions of Schedules 22 and 23 of the OATT. A resource that permanently de-lists pursuant to this Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(b)(i) is precluded from subsequent participation in the Forward Capacity Market unless it qualifies as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2.

(b)(ii) A resource, or portion thereof, that is to be permanently de-listed pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(b)(i) may be permanently de-listed earlier than the Capacity Commitment Period for which its Permanent De-List Bid was submitted if it is able to transfer the entire Capacity Supply Obligation of the resource to another resource through one or more approved Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral transactions as described in Section III.13.5.1 or reconfiguration auctions as described in Section III.13.4.

(c) A resource that has never been counted as a capacity resource may retire the asset by notifying the ISO in writing of its election to retire and the date of retirement. The date specified for retirement is subject to the limit for resource inactivity set out in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(d). The interconnection rights for the resource will terminate and the status of the resource will be converted to retired on the date of retirement.

(d) A resource that does not operate commercially for a period of three calendar years will be deemed by the ISO to be retired. The interconnection rights for the unit will terminate and the status of the unit will be converted to retired on the date of retirement. Where a generator has submitted an application to repower under Schedule 22 or 23 of the OATT, the current interconnection space will be maintained beyond the three years unless the application under Schedule 22 or 23 is withdrawn voluntarily or by the operation of those provisions. Where an application is withdrawn under Schedule 22 or 23, the three year period will be calculated from the last day of commercial operation of the resource.

III.13.2.6. Capacity Rationing Rule.

Except for Dynamic De-List Bids, Export Bids, and offers from New Import Capacity Resources that are subject to rationing pursuant to Section III.13.1.3.5.8 and Existing Import Capacity Resources that are subject to rationing pursuant to Section III.13.1.3.3.A, offers and bids in the Forward Capacity Auction must clear or not clear in whole, unless the offer or bid specifically indicates that it may be rationed. A resource may elect to be rationed to its Rationing Minimum Limit pursuant to Sections III.13.1.1.2.2.3

and III.13.1.2.1.2. Offers from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources will not be rationed where such rationing would violate any applicable physical minimum flow requirements on the associated interface. Export Bids may elect to be rationed generally, but regardless of such election will always be subject to potential rationing where the associated external interface binds. If more Dynamic De-List Bids are submitted at a price than are needed to clear the market, the bids shall be cleared pro-rata, subject to honoring the Rationing Minimum Limit of the resources. Where an offer or bid may be rationed, such rationing may not result in procuring an amount of capacity that is below the associated resource's Rationing Minimum Limit.

III.13.2.7. Determination of Capacity Clearing Prices.

The Capacity Clearing Price in each Capacity Zone shall be the price established by the descending clock auction as described in Section III.13.2.3, subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.7. The Capacity Clearing Price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone and the Capacity Clearing Price for each import-constrained Capacity Zone shall not exceed the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price. The Capacity Clearing Price for an export-constrained Capacity Zone shall not be less than zero.

III.13.2.7.1. Import-Constrained Capacity Zone Capacity Clearing Price Floor.

The Capacity Clearing Price in an import-constrained Capacity Zone shall not be lower than the Capacity Clearing Price in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone. If after the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted, the Capacity Clearing Price in an import-constrained Capacity Zone is less than the Capacity Clearing Price in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone, all resources clearing in the import-constrained Capacity Zone shall be paid based on the Capacity Clearing Price in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone during the associated Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.2.7.2. Export-Constrained Capacity Zone Capacity Clearing Price Ceiling.

The Capacity Clearing Price in an export-constrained Capacity Zone shall not be higher than the Capacity Clearing Price in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone.

The Capacity Clearing Price in a nested Capacity Zone shall not be higher than the Capacity Clearing Price in the Capacity Zone within which it is located.

III.13.2.7.3. [Reserved.]

III.13.2.7.3A. Treatment of Imports.

At the Capacity Clearing Price, if the amount of capacity offered from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources over an interface between an external Control Area and the New England Control Area is greater than that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF):

(a) the full amount of capacity offered at that price from Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with contracts listed in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) shall clear, unless that amount of capacity is greater than the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), in which case the capacity offered at that price from Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with contracts listed in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) shall be rationed such that the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF) is not exceeded; and

(b) if there is space remaining over the interface after the allocation described in subsection (a) above, then the capacity offered at that price from New Import Capacity Resources and Existing Import Capacity Resources other than Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with the contracts listed in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) will be rationed such that the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF) is not exceeded. If the capacity offered at that price by any single New Import Capacity Resource or Existing Import Capacity Resource that is not associated with the contracts listed in Section III.13.1.3.3.A(c) is greater than the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), then the capacity offered by that resource that is above the interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF) shall not be included in the rationing.

III.13.2.7.4. Effect of Capacity Rationing Rule on Capacity Clearing Price.

Where the requirement that offers and bids clear or not clear in whole (Section III.13.2.6) prohibits the descending clock auction in its normal progression from clearing one or more Capacity Zones at the precise amount of capacity determined by the Capacity Zone Demand Curves specified in Section III.13.2.2, then the auctioneer shall analyze the aggregate supply curve to determine cleared capacity offers and Capacity Clearing Prices that seek to maximize social surplus for the associated Capacity

Commitment Period. The clearing algorithm may result in offers below the Capacity Clearing Price not clearing, and in de-list bids below the Capacity Clearing Price clearing.

III.13.2.7.5. Effect of Decremental Repowerings on the Capacity Clearing Price.

Where the effect of accounting for certain repowering offers and bids (as described in Section III.13.2.3.2(e)) results in the auction not clearing at the lowest price for the required quantity of capacity, then the auctioneer will conduct additional auction rounds of the Forward Capacity Auction as necessary to minimize capacity costs.

III.13.2.7.6. Minimum Capacity Award.

Each offer (~~excluding offers from Conditional Qualified New Resources that do not satisfy the conditions specified in Sections III.13.2.5.1(i) (iii)~~) clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction shall be awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation at least as great as the amount of capacity offered at the End-of-Round Price in the final round of the Forward Capacity Auction. For Intermittent Power Resources, the Capacity Supply Obligation for months in the winter period (as described in Section III.13.1.5) shall be adjusted based on its winter Qualified Capacity as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.6 and Section III.13.1.2.2.2.

III.13.2.7.7. Tie-Breaking Rules.

Where the provisions in this Section III.13.2 for clearing the Forward Capacity Auction (system-wide or in a single Capacity Zone) result in a tie – that is, where two or more resources offer sufficient capacity at prices that would clear the auction at the same minimum costs – the auctioneer shall apply the following rules (in sequence, as necessary) to determine clearing:

- (a) [Reserved.]
- (b) If multiple projects may be rationed, they will be rationed proportionately.
- (c) ~~[Reserved.] Where clearing either the offer associated with a resource with a higher queue priority at a Conditional Qualified New Resource's location or the offer associated with the Conditional Qualified New Resource would result in equal costs, the offer associated with the resource with the higher queue priority shall clear.~~

(d) The offer associated with the Project Sponsor having the lower market share in the capacity auction (including Existing Capacity Resources) shall be cleared.

III.13.2.8. Capacity Substitution Auctions.

The final substitution auction shall take place for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028 Capacity Commitment Period, and no substitution auctions shall be conducted thereafter. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the provisions of Section III.12 of Market Rule 1 and Attachment K to the OATT addressing the manner in which Capacity Supply Obligations acquired or shed through the substitution auction are accounted for in the calculation of the Installed Capacity Requirement and related values and in carrying out the regional system planning process shall continue to have full force and effect.

III.13.2.8.1. Administration of Substitution Auctions.

Following the completion of the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction as provided for in Section III.13.2, the ISO shall conduct a substitution auction, using a static double auction to clear supply offers (offers to assume a Capacity Supply Obligation) and demand bids (bids to shed a Capacity Supply Obligation). Supply offers and demand bids will be modeled in the Capacity Zone where the associated resources are electrically interconnected.

III.13.2.8.1.1. Substitution Auction Clearing and Awards.

The substitution auction shall maximize total social surplus as specified by the demand bids and supply offers used in the auction. The maximization is constrained as follows:

- (i) By the external interface limits modeled in the primary auction-clearing process.
- (ii) Such that the net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is equal to zero.
- (iii) Such that, for each import-constrained Capacity Zone, if the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction is less than the zone threshold quantity specified below, then the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is equal to zero; otherwise, the sum of the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process and the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is greater than or equal to the zone threshold quantity specified below.

- (iv) Such that, for each export-constrained Capacity Zone, if the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction is greater than the zone threshold quantity specified below, then the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is equal to zero; otherwise, the sum of the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process and the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is less than or equal to the zone threshold quantity specified below.

In applying constraint (iii), the zone threshold quantity for an import-constrained Capacity Zone shall be equal to the sum of its Capacity Zone Demand Curve truncation point quantity specified in Section III.13.2.2.2 and the total quantity of any Export Bids and any Administrative Export De-List Bids for which the exporting resource is located outside the import-constrained Capacity Zone, that are used to export capacity across an external interface connected to the import-constrained Capacity Zone, and that cleared in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction.

In applying constraint (iv), the zone threshold quantity for an export-constrained Capacity Zone shall be equal to its Capacity Zone Demand Curve truncation point quantity specified in Section III.13.2.2.3 less the total quantity of any Export Bids and any Administrative Export De-List Bids for which the exporting resource is located in the export-constrained Capacity Zone, including any Export Bids and any Administrative Export De-List Bids in an associated nested export-constrained Capacity Zone, that are used to export capacity across an external interface connected to another Capacity Zone, and that cleared in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction.

In applying constraints (iii) and (iv), a zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction and net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction shall include the Capacity Supply Obligations of Import Capacity Resources at each external interface connected to the Capacity Zone.

In applying constraints (iii) and (iv), a zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction shall include the Capacity Supply Obligations awarded to Proxy De-List Bids within the zone, and the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction shall include the Capacity Supply Obligations shed from demand bids associated with Proxy De-List Bids within the zone.

In cases in which there are multiple clearing outcomes that would each maximize the substitution auction's objective, the following tie-breaking rules will apply in the following sequence: (i) non-rationable demand bids associated with Lead Market Participants having the largest total FCA Qualified Capacity of Existing Capacity Resources will be cleared first; and (ii) rationable supply offers will be cleared in proportion to their offer quantity.

For Intermittent Power Resources, other than those participating as the summer resource in a Composite FCM Transaction, the cleared award for supply offers and demand bids shall be adjusted for the months in the winter period (as described in Section III.13.1.5) using the ratio of the resource's cleared offer or bid amount divided by its FCA Qualified Capacity multiplied by its winter Qualified Capacity as determined pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.6 and Section III.13.1.2.2.2 after removing any portion of the resource's winter Qualified Capacity that is participating in a Composite FCM Transaction.

The cleared offer amount awarded to a Composite FCM Transaction in the substitution auction will be assigned to the summer and winter resources for their respective obligation months during the Capacity Commitment Period as described in Section III.13.1.5.

If, after the substitution auction, a resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation below its Economic Minimum Limit, it must meet the requirements of Section III.13.6.1.1.1.

III.13.2.8.1.2. Substitution Auction Pricing.

The substitution auction will specify clearing prices for Capacity Zones and external interfaces as follows.

For each import-constrained Capacity Zone, if the sum of the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction and the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is greater than its zone threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1, then supply offers and demand bids in the substitution auction in the import-constrained Capacity Zone shall be treated as offers and bids in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone for purposes of determining substitution auction clearing prices.

For each export-constrained Capacity Zone,

- (i) if the sum of the zone's total Capacity Supply Obligations, including Capacity Supply Obligations in a nested Capacity Zone, awarded in the primary auction-clearing process

of the Forward Capacity Auction and the zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction including net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations in the nested Capacity Zone is less than its zone threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1, then supply offers and demand bids in the substitution auction in the export-constrained Capacity Zone (excluding supply offers and demand bids in the nested Capacity Zone that are not treated as offers and bids in the export-constrained Capacity Zone pursuant to Section III.13.2.8.1.2(ii)) shall be treated as offers and bids in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone for purposes of determining substitution auction clearing prices.

- (ii) if the sum of a nested Capacity Zone's Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction and the nested Capacity Zone's net cleared Capacity Supply Obligations (total acquired less total shed) in the substitution auction is less than its zone threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1, then supply offers and demand bids in the substitution auction in the nested Capacity Zone shall be treated as offers and bids in the export-constrained Capacity Zone within which the nested Capacity Zone is located, for purposes of determining substitution auction clearing prices.

The substitution auction clearing prices for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone and for any constrained zones pooled with the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone for pricing purposes shall be determined by the price of the demand bid or supply offer that is marginal. If a demand bid associated with a Proxy De-List Bid is marginal, then the substitution auction clearing prices shall be set equal to the Capacity Clearing Prices.

The substitution auction clearing price for a constrained Capacity Zone that is not pooled with the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone for pricing purposes shall be determined by the price of the demand bid or supply offer associated with the separately-priced constrained Capacity Zone that is marginal. If a demand bid associated with a Proxy De-List Bid is marginal, then the substitution auction clearing price shall be set equal to the Capacity Clearing Price for the constrained Capacity Zone.

The substitution auction clearing price for a nested export-constrained Capacity Zone that is not pooled with the export-constrained Capacity Zone in which it is located for pricing purposes shall be determined by the price of the demand bid or supply offer that is marginal in the nested export-constrained Capacity Zone. If a demand bid associated with a Proxy De-List Bid is marginal, then the substitution auction

clearing price for the nested export-constrained Capacity Zone shall be equal to the Capacity Clearing Price for that nested export-constrained Capacity Zone.

If the net quantity of Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary Forward Capacity Auction and substitution auction over an interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area is less than that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), then supply offers and demand bids in the substitution auction at the interface shall be treated as offers and bids in the modeled Capacity Zone associated with that interface for purposes of determining substitution auction clearing prices.

If the net quantity of Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary Forward Capacity Auction and substitution auction over an interface between the New England Control Area and an external Control Area is equal to that interface's approved capacity transfer limit (net of tie benefits, or net of HQICC in the case of the Phase I/II HVDC-TF), then the substitution auction clearing price for that interface will be determined by the demand bid or supply offer that is marginal at that interface. If a cleared demand bid associated with a Proxy De-List Bid is marginal at the external interface, then the substitution auction clearing price for that interface shall be set equal to the Capacity Clearing Price for that interface.

The substitution auction clearing price for an import-constrained Capacity Zone where the total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary action-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction are greater than or equal to the zone's threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1 shall not be lower than the substitution auction clearing price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone.

The substitution auction clearing price for an export-constrained Capacity Zone that is not a nested export-constrained Capacity Zone, where the total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction are less than or equal to the zone's threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1 shall not exceed the substitution auction clearing price for the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone.

The substitution auction clearing price for a nested export-constrained Capacity Zone where the total Capacity Supply Obligations awarded in the primary auction-clearing process of the Forward Capacity Auction are less than or equal to the zone's threshold quantity specified in Section III.13.2.8.1.1 shall not exceed the substitution auction clearing price for the Capacity Zone within which it is located.

The substitution auction clearing price at an external interface shall not exceed the substitution auction clearing price in the Capacity Zone connected to the external interface.

If, pursuant to the rules specified above, the substitution auction clearing price for any Capacity Zone or external interface would exceed the Capacity Clearing Price for that location, the substitution auction clearing price for that location only is set equal to its Capacity Clearing Price.

The substitution auction clearing price for any Capacity Zone or external interface cannot be less than negative one multiplied by the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

III.13.2.8.2. Supply Offers in the Substitution Auction.

III.13.2.8.2.1. Supply Offers.

To participate as supply in the substitution auction, a Project Sponsor for a New Capacity Resource must meet the following criteria:

- (a) The Project Sponsor and the New Capacity Resource must meet all the requirements for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction specified in Section III.13.1.
- (b) The Project Sponsor must elect to have the resource participate in the substitution auction during the New Capacity Show of Interest Window. Pursuant to an election, the resource's total amount of FCA Qualified Capacity that qualifies as a New Capacity Resource will be obligated to participate in the substitution auction, including any capacity of a Renewable Technology Resource that was not qualified due to proration pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.10(a), and subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.8.2.
- (c) The Project Sponsor must certify that the New Capacity Resource is a Sponsored Policy Resource as part of the submission of the New Capacity Qualification Package.

Substitution auction supply offers are rationable.

A resource participating in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 (resources previously counted as capacity resources) is not eligible to

participate as supply in the substitution auction. A resource is not eligible to participate as supply in the substitution auction if it has submitted a demand bid for the substitution auction.

A Composite FCM Transaction comprised of a summer resource that is a Sponsored Policy Resource is eligible to participate as supply in the substitution auction.

~~A Conditional Qualified New Resource may participate in the substitution auction provided that the resource with which it has overlapping interconnection impacts: (i) did not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation, fully or partially, in the primary auction-clearing process, and: (ii) is not eligible to participate in the substitution auction. A resource having a higher priority in the queue than a Conditional Qualified New Resource with which it has overlapping interconnection impact may participate in the substitution auction provided that the Conditional Qualified New Resource did not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation, fully or partially, in the primary auction-clearing process.~~

III.13.2.8.2.2. Supply Offer Prices.

Project Sponsors must submit substitution auction supply offer prices no later than five Business Days after the deadline for submission of offers composed of separate resources.

A substitution auction supply offer must be in the form of a curve (with up to five price-quantity pairs). The curve may not decrease in quantity as the price increases. A supply offer price for the substitution auction may not be greater than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price or lower than negative one multiplied by the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

If the offer quantity does not equal the resource's FCA Qualified Capacity, the quantity for which no offer price was submitted will be assigned a price equal to the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

III.13.2.8.2.3. Supply Offers Entered into the Substitution Auction

Supply offers for resources that satisfy all of the criteria in Section III.13.2.8.2.1 to participate in the substitution auction may be adjusted prior to conducting the substitution auction-clearing process using the following adjustments:

- (a) Any portion of a resource's FCA Qualified Capacity that was cleared (received a Capacity Supply Obligation) in the primary auction-clearing process will be removed from the resource's substitution auction supply offer beginning with the lowest priced price-quantity pairs.

(b) After performing the adjustment specified in Section III.13.2.8.2.3(a), any price-quantity pairs in a resource's substitution auction supply offer with a price greater than the Capacity Clearing Price for the resource's Capacity Zone or external interface are removed from the offer.

III.13.2.8.3. Demand Bids in the Substitution Auction.

III.13.2.8.3.1. Demand Bids.

Market Participants with Existing Generating Capacity Resources or Existing Import Capacity Resources associated with External Elective Transmission Upgrades may elect to submit demand bids for the substitution auction for those resources by the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline. The election must specify the total amount of the resource's Qualified Capacity that will be associated with its demand bid.

A resource, including any portion of an existing resource that qualifies as a New Capacity Resource, must have achieved FCM Commercial Operation no later than seven days after the issuance by the ISO of the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(b) in order to participate as demand in the substitution auction.

Regardless of whether an election is made, a demand bid is required for any portion of a resource that is associated with a Retirement De-List Bid, provided that the entire resource has achieved FCM Commercial Operation no later than seven days after the issuance by the ISO of the qualification determination notification described in Section III.13.1.2.4(b).

A resource for which a demand bid election has been made cannot participate in a Composite FCM Transaction, cannot be designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource, and will not have incremental summer or winter capacity that does not span the entire Capacity Commitment Period subjected to the treatment specified in Section III.13.1.1.3.A.

Demand bids are non-rationable.

A demand bid will be entered into the substitution auction for the portion of the resource that receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in the primary auction-clearing process, subject to the other provisions of this Section III.13.2.8.3. A resource, or portion thereof, associated with a cleared demand bid shall be retired

from all New England Markets at the start of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction.

III.13.2.8.3.2. Demand Bid Prices.

Market Participants must submit substitution auction demand bid prices no later than five Business Days after the deadline for submission of offers composed of separate resources.

A substitution auction demand bid must be in the form of a curve (with up to five price-quantity pairs). The curve may not decrease in quantity as the price decreases. A demand bid price for the substitution auction may not be greater than the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price or lower than negative one multiplied by the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

If the bid quantity does not equal the total bid amount submitted by the Market Participant or required for a Retirement De-List Bid pursuant to Section III.13.2.8.3.1, the quantity for which no bid price was specified will be assigned a price equal to negative one multiplied by the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price.

For auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period that begins on or after June 1, 2023, Market Participants may elect either of the demand bid adjustment methods specified in Section III.13.2.8.3.3(b) for the resource by no later than five Business Days after the deadline for submission of offers composed of separate resources. If no such election is made, the adjustment applied shall be the method specified in Section III.13.2.8.3.3(b)(i).

III.13.2.8.3.3. Demand Bids Entered into the Substitution Auction.

If a resource is determined to be needed for reliability pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, then any demand bid associated with the resource will not be further included in the substitution auction.

Demand bids for resources that satisfy all of the criteria in Section III.13.2.8.3.1 to participate in the substitution auction will be adjusted prior to conducting the substitution auction-clearing process using the following adjustments:

(a) For the substitution auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2022, any portion of a resource's demand bid that exceeds its Capacity Supply Obligation awarded in

the primary auction-clearing process will be removed from the substitution auction demand bid beginning with the highest priced price-quantity pairs.

(b) For substitution auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period that begins on or after June 1, 2023, a resource's demand bid will be adjusted using one of the following methods as elected pursuant to Section III.13.2.8.3.2:

(i) The portion of a resource's capacity that did not receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in the primary auction-clearing process will be removed from the substitution auction demand bid beginning with the highest priced price-quantity pair.

(ii) Any portion of a resource's demand bid that exceeds its Capacity Supply Obligation awarded in the primary auction-clearing process will be removed from the substitution auction demand bid beginning with the lowest priced price-quantity pair.

(c) After performing the modification specified in Sections III.13.2.8.3.3(a) or III.13.2.8.3.3(b), any price-quantity pairs in a resource's substitution auction demand bid with a price greater than the Capacity Clearing Price for the resource's Capacity Zone or external interface will have its price reduced to the Capacity Clearing Price for the resource's Capacity Zone or external interface.

Except as provided in Section III.13.2.5.2.1(c), a rationable demand bid will be entered into the substitution auction on behalf of any Proxy De-List Bid associated with a Permanent De-List Bid or Retirement De-List Bid. The demand bid quantity will equal the portion of the Proxy De-List Bid that was not cleared (received a Capacity Supply Obligation) in the first run of the primary auction-clearing process. The demand bid will have priority to clear before non-rationable demand bids.

III.13.3. Critical Path Schedule Monitoring.

III.13.3.1. Resources Subject to Critical Path Schedule Monitoring.

III.13.3.1.1. New Resources Electing Critical Path Schedule Monitoring.

A Project Sponsor that submits a critical path schedule for a New Capacity Resource in the qualification process may request that the ISO monitor that resource's compliance with its critical path schedule in accordance with the provisions of this Section III.13.3. The ISO will monitor the New Capacity Resource's compliance from the time the ISO approves the request until the resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation, loses its Capacity Supply Obligation pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A, or withdraws from critical path schedule monitoring pursuant to Section III.13.3.6.

In addition, a Lead Market Participant with a New Import Capacity Resource backed by one or more existing External Resources seeking to qualify for Capacity Commitment Period(s) prior to the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction for which it is qualifying must request monitoring under this Section III.13.3.1.1.

A request under this Section III.13.3.1.1 must be made in writing no later than five Business Days after the deadline for submission of the FCM Deposit pursuant to Section III.13.1.9.1.

III.13.3.1.2. New Resources Clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction.

For each new resource required to submit a critical path schedule in the qualification process, including but not limited to a New Generating Capacity Resource (pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2), a New Import Capacity Resource backed by a new External Resource (pursuant to Section III.13.1.3.5), a New Demand Capacity Resource (pursuant to Section III.13.1.4), or New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (pursuant to Section III.13.1.4A), if capacity from that resource clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, then the ISO shall monitor that resource's compliance with its critical path schedule in accordance with the provisions of this Section III.13.3 (regardless of whether the Project Sponsor requested monitoring pursuant to Section III.13.3.1.1) from the time that the Forward Capacity Auction is conducted until the resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation, loses its Capacity Supply Obligation pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A, or withdraws from critical path schedule monitoring pursuant to Section III.13.3.6.

III.13.3.1.3. New Resources Not Offering or Not Clearing in the Forward Capacity Auction.

If no capacity from a new resource that was required to submit a critical path schedule in the qualification process clears in the Forward Capacity Auction, or if such a resource does not submit an offer in the Forward Capacity Auction, then the ISO shall not monitor that resource's compliance with its critical path schedule after the Forward Capacity Auction unless the Project Sponsor previously requested pursuant to Section III.13.3.1.1 that the ISO continue to monitor that resource's compliance with its critical path schedule. ~~However, if a New Generating Capacity Resource participated but did not clear in the Forward Capacity Auction either as: (i) a Conditional Qualified New Resource, or (ii) a New Generating Capacity Resource with a higher priority in the queue and overlapping interconnection impacts with a Conditional Qualified New Resource, the ISO will not continue to monitor that resource's compliance with its critical path schedule even if that resource requested critical path schedule monitoring pursuant to Section III.13.3.1.1.~~

III.13.3.2. Quarterly Critical Path Schedule Reports.

For each new resource that is being monitored for compliance with its critical path schedule, the Project Sponsor for that resource must provide a written critical path schedule report to the ISO no later than five Business Days after the end of each calendar quarter. If the Project Sponsor does not provide a written critical path schedule report to the ISO by the fifth Business Day after the end of the calendar quarter, then the ISO shall issue a notice thereof to the Project Sponsor. If the Project Sponsor fails to provide the critical path schedule report within five Business Days of issuance of that notice, then the resource will be subject to termination pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A. Each critical path schedule report shall include the following:

III.13.3.2.1. Updated Critical Path Schedule.

The critical path schedule report must include a complete updated version of the critical path schedule as described in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2, dated contemporaneously with the submission of the critical path schedule report. The updated critical path schedule should clearly indicate if the Project Sponsor is proposing to change any of the milestones or dates from the previously submitted version of the critical path schedule, and must include an explanation of any such proposed changes. In the critical path schedule report, the Project Sponsor should also explain in detail any proposed changes to the project design and the potential impact of such changes on the amount of capacity the resource will be able to provide.

III.13.3.2.2. Documentation of Milestones Achieved.

(a) For all new resources except for Demand Capacity Resources installed at multiple facilities and Demand Capacity Resources from a single facility with a demand reduction value of less than 5 MW (discussed in Section III.13.3.2.2(b)) and Distributed Energy Capacity Resources with all Retail Delivery Points and facilities at the point of interconnection having in the aggregate a demand reduction value and net injection capability of less than 5 MW (discussed in Section III.13.3.2.2(c)), for each critical path schedule milestone achieved since the submission of the previous critical path schedule report, the Project Sponsor must include in the critical path schedule report documentation demonstrating that the milestone has been achieved by the date indicated and as otherwise described in the critical path schedule, as follows:

(i) **Major Permits.** For each major permit described in the critical path schedule, the Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the permit was applied for and obtained as described in the critical path schedule. For permit applications, this documentation could include a dated copy of the permit application or cover letter requesting the permit. For approved permits, this documentation could include a dated copy of the approved permit or letter granting the permit from the permitting authority.

(ii) **Project Financing Closing.** The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the sources of financing identified in the critical path schedule have committed to provide the amount of financing described in the critical path schedule. This documentation could include copies of commitment letters from the sources of financing.

(iii) **Major Equipment Orders.** For each major component described in the critical path schedule, the Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the equipment was ordered as described in the critical path schedule. This documentation should include a copy of a dated confirmation of the order from the manufacturer or supplier. This documentation should confirm scheduled delivery dates consistent with milestone Section III.13.3.2.2(a)(vi).

(iv) **Substantial Site Construction.** The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the amount of money expended on construction activities occurring on the project site has exceeded 20 percent of the construction financing costs.

(v) **Major Equipment Delivery.** For each major component described in the critical path schedule, the Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the equipment was

delivered to the project site and received as preliminarily acceptable as described in the critical path schedule. This documentation should include a copy of a dated confirmation of delivery to the project site.

(vi) **Major Equipment Testing.** For each major component described in the critical path schedule, the Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the component was tested, including major systems testing as appropriate for the specific technology as described in the critical path schedule, and that the test results demonstrate the equipment's suitability to allow, in conjunction with other major components, subsequent operation of the project in accordance with the amount of capacity obligated from the resource in the Capacity Commitment Period in accordance with Good Utility Practice. This documentation could include a dated copy of the satisfactory test results.

(vii) **Commissioning.** The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the resource has demonstrated a level of performance equal to or greater than the amount of capacity obligated from the resource in the Capacity Commitment Period. This documentation should include a copy of a dated letter of confirmation from the applicable manufacturer, contractor, or installer.

(viii) **Commercial Operation.** The Project Sponsor is not required to provide documentation of Commercial Operation ~~(as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff)~~ to the ISO as part of the ISO's critical path schedule monitoring. The ISO shall confirm that the resource has achieved Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff) as described in the critical path schedule through the resource's compliance with the other relevant requirements of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff and the ISO New England System Rules.

(ix) **Transmission Upgrades.** ~~#The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that all interconnection facilities and upgrades identified for the project associated with the resource in a during the qualification process it was determined that transmission upgrades (including any upgrades identified in Transitional Cluster Study, Cluster Study, or Cluster Restudya re-study (conducted~~ pursuant to Section ~~3.2.1.37.5~~ of Schedule 22, Section ~~1.7.1.37.5~~ of Schedule 23, or Section ~~3.2.1.37.5~~ of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and

Services Tariff), or interconnection request or agreement under applicable state tariff, rules or procedures, are needed for the new resource to complete its interconnection, then the Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing that the transmission upgrades have been completed.

(b) For Demand Capacity Resources installed at multiple facilities and Demand Capacity Resources from a single facility with a demand reduction value of less than 5 MW, for each critical path schedule milestone achieved since the submission of the previous critical path schedule report, the Project Sponsor must include in the critical path schedule report documentation demonstrating that the milestone has been achieved by the date indicated and as otherwise described in the critical path schedule, as follows:

(i) **Substantial Project Completion.** The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing the total offered demand reduction value achieved as of target dates which are: (a) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value achieved on target date 1 occurring five weeks prior to the first Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Demand Capacity Resource supplier's capacity award was made; (b) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value achieved on target date 2 occurring five weeks prior to the second Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Demand Capacity Resource supplier's capacity award was made; and (c) target date 3 which is the date the resource is expected to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Demand Capacity Resource described in the Project Sponsor's New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value, which must be on or before the first day of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period and by which date 100 percent of the total demand reduction value must be complete.

(ii) **Additional Requirements.** For each customer and each prospective customer the Project Sponsor shall provide: name, location, MW amount, and description of stage of negotiation. If the customer's Asset has been registered with the ISO, then the Project Sponsor shall also provide the Asset identification number.

(c) For Distributed Energy Capacity Resources with all Retail Delivery Points and facilities at the point of interconnection having in the aggregate a demand reduction value and net injection capability of less than 5 MW, for each critical path schedule milestone achieved since the submission of the previous critical path schedule report, the Project Sponsor must include in the critical path schedule report

documentation demonstrating that the milestone has been achieved by the date indicated and as otherwise described in the critical path schedule, as follows:

(i) **Substantial Project Completion.** The Project Sponsor shall provide documentation showing the total offered demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved as of target dates which are: (a) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved on target date 1 occurring five weeks prior to the first Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource supplier's capacity award was made; (b) the cumulative percentage of total demand reduction value and net injection capability achieved on target date 2 occurring five weeks prior to the second Forward Capacity Auction after the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource supplier's capacity award was made; and (c) target date 3 which is the date the resource is expected to be ready to demonstrate to the ISO that the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource described in the Project Sponsor's New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value and net injection capability, which must be on or before the first day of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period and by which date 100 percent of the total demand reduction value and net injection capability must be complete.

(ii) **Additional Requirements.** For each customer and each prospective customer the Project Sponsor shall provide: name, location, MW amount, and description of stage of negotiation. If the customer's Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation has been registered with the ISO, then the Project Sponsor shall also provide the Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation identification number.

III.13.3.2.3. Additional Relevant Information.

The Project Sponsor must include in the critical path schedule report any other information regarding the status or progress of the project or any of the project milestones that might be relevant to the ISO's evaluation of the feasibility of the project being built in accordance with the critical path schedule or the feasibility that the project will achieve all its critical path schedule milestones no later than the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.3.2.4. Additional Information for Resources Previously Counted As Capacity.

For each resource participating in the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Sections III.13.1.1.1.2, III.13.1.1.1.3, or III.13.1.1.1.4, a New Demand Capacity Resource

pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.1, or a New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.4A and clearing in that auction, the Project Sponsor must provide information in the critical path schedule report demonstrating: (a) the shedding of the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.1.1.2.2.5(c); and (b) that the relevant cost threshold (described in Sections III.13.1.1.1.2, III.13.1.1.1.3, and III.13.1.1.1.4) is being met.

III.13.3.3. Failure to Meet Critical Path Schedule.

If the ISO determines that any critical path schedule milestone date has been missed, or if the Project Sponsor proposes a change to any milestone date in a quarterly critical path schedule report (as described in Section III.13.3.2.1), then the ISO shall consult with the Project Sponsor to determine the impact of the missed milestone or proposed revision, and shall determine a revised date for the milestone and for any other milestones affected by the change. If a milestone date is revised for any reason, the ISO may require the Project Sponsor to submit a written report to the ISO on the fifth Business Day of each month until the revised milestone is achieved detailing the progress toward meeting the revised milestone. If the Project Sponsor does not provide a written critical path schedule report to the ISO on the fifth Business Day of a month, then the ISO shall issue a notice thereof to the Project Sponsor. If the Project Sponsor fails to provide the critical path schedule report within five Business Days of issuance of that notice, then the resource will be subject to termination pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A. Such a monthly reporting requirement, if imposed, shall be in addition to the quarterly critical path schedule reports described in Section III.13.3.2.

III.13.3.4. Covering Capacity Supply Obligations.

(a) If a capacity supplier determines that a resource may not be able to demonstrate its ability to deliver the full amount of its Capacity Supply Obligation, the capacity supplier may take actions to cover all or part of the Capacity Supply Obligation for any portion of the Capacity Commitment Period, as follows:

(i) A capacity supplier may cover its Capacity Supply Obligation through reconfiguration auctions as described in Section III.13.4.

(ii) A capacity supplier may cover its Capacity Supply Obligation through one or more Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, subject to the satisfaction of the requirements in Section III.13.5.

(iii) A capacity supplier that has qualified a resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 may cover its Capacity Supply Obligation by electing, no later than ten Business Days prior to the offer and bid deadline for the third annual reconfiguration auction prior to the start of the applicable Capacity Commitment Period, to have the resource that was previously counted as a capacity resource cover the Capacity Supply Obligation of the New Generating Capacity Resource for up to two Capacity Commitment Periods. If an election is made to have the resource that was previously counted as a capacity resource cover the Capacity Supply Obligation of the New Generating Capacity Resource, the capacity supplier with the resource that was previously counted as a capacity resource shall be required to comply with the requirements set forth in Section III.13.6.1 so long as it continues to cover for the New Generating Capacity Resource.

(b) During a Capacity Commitment Period, a failure to cover charge will apply to any capacity resource that has not demonstrated the ability to deliver the full amount of its Capacity Supply Obligation by the end of an Obligation Month. The failure to cover charge is the difference between a resource's monthly Capacity Supply Obligation and its Maximum Demonstrated Output, multiplied by the Failure to Cover Charge Rate, where:

Maximum Demonstrated Output Period

Maximum Demonstrated Output Period is the period beginning six years prior to the start of the applicable Capacity Commitment Period and ending with the most recently completed calendar month in the Capacity Commitment Period, including all prior months in the Capacity Commitment Period.

Provided that, for a resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource and for which an election has been made to participate as a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2, and for which a cover election has been made pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(a)(iii), then: (1) the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period will be the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period of the resource that has been previously counted as capacity, and; (2) the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period of the New Generating Capacity Resource will begin on the earlier of: (i) the date that the resource that has previously been counted as a capacity resource began any outage as provided in Section III.13.1.1.1.2, and; (ii) the date that the New Generating Capacity Resource commenced Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff).

Failure to Cover Charge Rate

For Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022, the Failure to Cover Charge Rate for a Capacity Zone is the higher of the Capacity Clearing Price and the clearing price in any annual reconfiguration auction for that Capacity Commitment Period.

For Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2022, the Failure to Cover Charge Rate for a Capacity Zone is the price determined by a second clearing of the third annual reconfiguration auction prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period in which the aggregated zonal quantities of undemonstrated Capacity Supply Obligation, as of the completion of the third annual reconfiguration auction, and as determined pursuant to Section III.13.3.4 (b), are included as demand bids at the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for each applicable Capacity Zone.

Provided that, if an existing resource is covering for a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(a)(iii), then the undemonstrated Capacity Supply Obligation for the New Generating Capacity Resource is the difference between the existing resource's Maximum Demonstrated Output and the new resource's Capacity Supply Obligation.

Maximum Demonstrated Output

The Maximum Demonstrated Output is the sum of the highest output levels achieved by each Generator Asset associated with a Generating Capacity Resource, each Demand Response Asset associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resources, assets associated with a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource or On-Peak Demand Resource, and each Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation associated with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resources during the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period as specified below. The minimum Maximum Demonstrated Output for all assets is zero.

Provided that, if a resource that was previously counted as capacity is covering for a New Generating Capacity Resource pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(a)(iii), then the Maximum Demonstrated Output is the sum of the highest aggregate output level achieved by each asset associated with the resource that has previously been counted as capacity during the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period.

At the asset level, Maximum Demonstrated Output is calculated as follows:

Demand Response Assets associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resource: The Maximum Demonstrated Output for dates occurring prior to June 1, 2018 is the highest audit value in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses. The Maximum Demonstrated Output for dates occurring on or after to June 1, 2018 will be equal to the highest demand reduction calculated, pursuant to Section III.8.4, in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses for non-Net Supply.

Distributed Generation associated with a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource or an On-Peak Demand Resource: The Maximum Demonstrated Output is the highest hourly metered output in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period after the resource has completed testing and has achieved commercial operation, increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses for non-Net Supply.

Load Management associated with a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource or an On-Peak Demand Resource: The Maximum Demonstrated Output is the highest hourly demand reduction value in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses for non-Net Supply.

Energy Efficiency associated with a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource or an On-Peak Demand Resource: The Maximum Demonstrated Output is the highest reported monthly performance value in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses.

Generator Assets: The Maximum Demonstrated Output for dates occurring prior to March 1, 2017 is the highest hourly Revenue Quality Metering in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period beginning on or after Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff). The Maximum Demonstrated Output for dates occurring on or after March 1, 2017 is the highest Metered Quantity for Settlement in the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period beginning on or after Commercial Operation (as defined in Schedule 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff).

If a single Generator Asset is split into two or more new Generator Assets, the Maximum Demonstrated Output associated with the single Generation Asset will be prorated among the new assets based on their summer maximum net output. If multiple Generator Assets are consolidated to fewer assets, the Maximum Demonstrated Output of the Generator Assets that are being consolidated will be allocated to the consolidated assets based on the summer maximum net output.

Import Capacity Resources: For an Import Capacity Resource that is backed by external generation that has not achieved commercial operation at the time of qualification, in part or entirely, the Maximum Demonstrated Output is the highest revenue quality metered output for a five-minute or greater interval after the resource has completed testing and has achieved commercial operation. Provided that, the Maximum Demonstrated Output of an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade may be limited by the highest demonstrated capability of the Elective Transmission Upgrade after the Elective Transmission Upgrade has completed testing and has achieved commercial operation.

Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations associated with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource: The Maximum Demonstrated Output is the sum of the highest output levels achieved by each asset associated with the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource during the Maximum Demonstrated Output Period, pursuant to Section III.13.3.4.

III.13.3.4A Termination of Capacity Supply Obligations.

If a Project Sponsor fails to comply with the requirements of Sections III.13.3.2 or III.13.3.3, or if a Project Sponsor covers a Capacity Supply Obligation for two Capacity Commitment Periods, or if, as a result of milestone date revisions, the date by which a resource will have achieved all its critical path schedule milestones is more than two years after the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the resource first received a Capacity Supply Obligation, then the ISO, after consultation with the Project Sponsor, shall have the right, through a filing with the Commission, to terminate the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for any future Capacity Commitment Periods and the resource's right to any payments associated with that Capacity Supply Obligation in the Capacity Commitment Period, and to adjust the resource's qualified capacity for participation in the Forward Capacity Market; provided that, where a Project Sponsor voluntarily withdraws its resource from critical path schedule monitoring in accordance with Section III.13.3.6, no filing with the Commission shall be necessary to terminate the

resource's Capacity Supply Obligation. Upon Commission ruling, the Project Sponsor shall forfeit any financial assurance provided with respect to that Capacity Supply Obligation. If in these circumstances, however, the ISO does not take steps to terminate the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation and instead permits the Project Sponsor to continue to cover its Capacity Supply Obligation, such continuation shall be subject to the ISO's right to revoke that permission and to file with the Commission to terminate the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation, and subject to continued reporting by the Project Sponsor as described in this Section III.13.3.

If a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation that was acquired in a substitution auction at a negative price is withdrawn or terminated, the Project Sponsor shall remain obligated for any settlement charges associated with the terminated Capacity Supply Obligation for the Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.3.5. Termination of Interconnection Agreement.

If the ISO terminates, or files with the Commission to terminate, a resource's Capacity Supply Obligation as described in Section III.13.3.4A, the ISO shall have the right to terminate the Interconnection Agreement with that resource through a filing with the Commission and upon Commission ruling. If the Project Sponsor continues to cover all of its Capacity Supply Obligations while challenging such termination before the Commission, it shall retain its Queue Position.

III.13.3.6. Withdrawal from Critical Path Schedule Monitoring.

A Project Sponsor may withdraw its resource from critical path schedule monitoring by the ISO at any time by submitting a written request to the ISO. The ISO also may deem a resource withdrawn from critical path schedule monitoring if the Project Sponsor does not adhere to the requirements of this Section III.13.3. Any resource withdrawn from critical path schedule monitoring shall be subject to the provisions of Section III.13.3.4A.

III.13.3.7 Request to Defer Capacity Supply Obligation

A resource that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation and that is subject to critical path schedule monitoring by the ISO pursuant to this Section III.13.3 may seek to defer the applicability of its entire Capacity Supply Obligation by one year pursuant to the provisions of this Section III.13.3.7.

A Project Sponsor seeking such a deferral must notify the ISO in writing no later than the first Business Day in September of the year prior to the third annual reconfiguration auction for the Capacity Commitment Period in which the resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation. If, after consultation with

the Project Sponsor, the ISO determines that the absence of the capacity in the first Capacity Commitment Period in which the resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation, as well as in the subsequent Capacity Commitment Period, would result in the violation of any NERC or NPCC (or their successors) criteria or of the ISO New England System Rules, not solely that it may result in the procurement of less capacity than the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs) or the Local Sourcing Requirement for the Capacity Zone, then the ISO will review the specific reliability need with and seek feedback from the Reliability Committee and provide the Project Sponsor with a written determination to that effect within 30 days of the Project Sponsor's notification to the ISO.

If the ISO provides such a written determination, then the Project Sponsor may file with the Commission, no later than the first Business Day in November of the year prior to the third annual reconfiguration auction, a request to defer the applicability of its Capacity Supply Obligation by one year. Any such filing must include the ISO's written determination, and must also demonstrate that the deferral is critical to the resource's ability to achieve FCM Commercial Operation and that the reasons for the deferral are beyond the control of the Project Sponsor.

If the Commission approves the request, all of the rights, obligations, payments, and charges associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation described in Sections III.13.3.4(b), III.13.6 and III.13.7 shall only apply beginning one year after the start of the Capacity Commitment Period in which the resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section III.13, if the resource achieves FCM Commercial Operation prior to the deferred date, it will not be eligible to receive revenue in the Forward Capacity Market until the deferred date. Beginning on the deferred date, all of the rights, obligations, payments, and charges associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation shall apply, and the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price (indexed using the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs in effect as of December 31 of the year preceding the Capacity Commitment Period) associated with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource cleared as a new resource shall apply for the full duration of the Capacity Supply Obligation (including multi-year elections made pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 or Section III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7). A Project Sponsor will not take actions to cover the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the deferral period as described in Section III.13.3.4(a), but the other requirements of III.13.3, including all reporting requirements and the ISO's right to seek termination, shall continue to apply during the deferral period. Upon Commission approval of the deferral, the resource may not participate in any reconfiguration auctions or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals for any portion of the deferral period. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) 30 days after Commission approval of the request, the Project Sponsor shall be required to provide an

additional amount of financial assurance as described in Section VII.B.2.c of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section III.13, if any of the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation in the deferral period was shed in a reconfiguration auction or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral prior to Commission approval of the deferral request, then the resource's settlements shall be adjusted by the ISO to ensure that the resource does not receive any payments associated with that transaction in excess of the charges associated with that transaction; the resource will be responsible for any charges in excess of payments.

III.13.3.8. FCM Commercial Operation.

A resource (or portion thereof) achieves FCM Commercial Operation when (1) the ISO has determined that the resource (or portion thereof) has achieved all its critical path schedule milestones, including completion of any transmission upgrades necessary for the resource to obtain the requisite interconnection service; and (2) the ISO verifies the resource's (or a portion of the resource's) summer capacity rating (or, for a resource with winter capacity only, its winter capacity rating).

(a) For a Generating Capacity Resource (or portion thereof) that has achieved all its critical path schedule milestones, the ISO shall confirm FCM Commercial Operation as soon as practicable following the ISO's verification of the resource's summer capacity rating (or, for a resource with winter capacity only, its winter capacity rating), which may take place in any month of the year. The ISO shall verify the summer capacity rating of a Generating Capacity Resource that is an Intermittent Power Resource following no fewer than 30 consecutive calendar days of operation (for periods from October 1 through May 31, a Market Participant must request such verification).

(b) For a Demand Capacity Resource (or portion thereof) that has achieved all its critical path schedule milestones, the ISO shall confirm FCM Commercial Operation upon verifying that the Demand Capacity Resource described in the New Demand Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand reduction value, subject to the requirements of Section III.13.6.1.5.3(b).

(c) For an Import Capacity Resource (or portion thereof) that has achieved all its critical path schedule milestones, the ISO shall confirm FCM Commercial Operation upon demonstration that the Import Capacity Resource described in the New Capacity Qualification Package has achieved its full Qualified Capacity.

(d) For a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource (or portion thereof) that has achieved all its critical path schedule milestones, the ISO shall confirm FCM Commercial Operation upon verifying that the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource described in the New Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualification Package has achieved its full demand deviation value and net injection capability, subject to the requirements of Section III.13.6.1.7.3 and below.

(i) For facilities connected at a point of interconnection with net injection capability greater than or equal to 1 MW and less than 5 MW or facilities having a demand reduction value and net injection capability greater than 5 MW at a single Retail Delivery Point, these facilities shall map exactly to how the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource was qualified.

(ii) For facilities connected at a point of interconnection with net injection capability greater than or equal to 1 MW and less than 5 MW or facilities having a demand reduction value, to become fully commercial, the nameplate of each technology within the Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations mapped to the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource must be at least 70% of the expected nameplate of each technology used to support the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Qualified Capacity.

III.13.4. Reconfiguration Auctions.

For each Capacity Commitment Period, the ISO shall conduct annual and monthly reconfiguration auctions as described in this Section III.13.4. Reconfiguration auctions only permit the trading of Capacity Supply Obligations; load obligations are not traded in reconfiguration auctions. Each reconfiguration auction shall use a static double auction (respecting the interface limits and capacity requirements modeled as specified in Sections III.13.4.5 and III.13.4.7) to clear supply offers (i.e., offers to assume a Capacity Supply Obligation) and demand bids (i.e., bids to shed a Capacity Supply Obligation) for each Capacity Zone included in the reconfiguration auction. Supply offers and demand bids will be modeled in the Capacity Zone where the associated resources are electrically interconnected. Resources that are able to meet the requirements in other Capacity Zones shall be allowed to clear to meet such requirements, subject to the constraints modeled in the auction.

III.13.4.1. Capacity Zones Included in Reconfiguration Auctions.

Each reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period shall include each of, and only, the final Capacity Zones and external interfaces as determined through the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period, as described in Section III.13.2.3.4.

III.13.4.2. Participation in Reconfiguration Auctions.

Each supply offer and demand bid in a reconfiguration auction must be associated with a specific resource, and must satisfy the requirements of this Section III.13.4.2. All resource types may submit supply offers and demand bids in reconfiguration auctions. In accordance with Section III.A.9.2 of *Appendix A* of this Market Rule 1, supply offers and demand bids submitted for reconfiguration auctions shall not be subject to mitigation by the Internal Market Monitor. A supply offer or demand bid submitted for a reconfiguration auction shall not be limited by the associated resource's Economic Minimum Limit. Offers composed of separate resources may not participate in reconfiguration auctions. Participation in any reconfiguration auction is conditioned on full compliance with the applicable financial assurance requirements as provided in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy at the time of the offer and bid deadline. For annual reconfiguration auctions, the offer and bid deadline will be announced by the ISO no later than 30 days prior to that deadline. No later than 15 days before the offer and bid deadline for an annual reconfiguration auction, the ISO shall notify each resource of the amount of capacity that it may offer or bid in that auction, as calculated pursuant to this Section III.13.4.2. For monthly reconfiguration auctions, the offer and bid deadline will be announced by the ISO no later than 10 Business Days prior to that deadline. Upon issuance of the monthly bilateral results for the associated

Obligation Month, the ISO shall notify each resource of the amount of capacity that it may offer or bid in that monthly auction, as calculated pursuant to this Section III.13.4.2. For monthly reconfiguration auctions in which the most recently approved Winter Seasonal Claimed Capability established as of the fifth Business Day in June of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period is greater than the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity for the third annual reconfiguration auction, the ISO shall apply the greater of these two values to offer limits starting with the first monthly reconfiguration auction in the winter delivery period for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability.

III.13.4.2.1. Supply Offers.

Submission of supply offers in reconfiguration auctions shall be governed by this Section III.13.4.2.1. All supply offers in reconfiguration auctions shall be submitted by the Project Sponsor or Lead Market Participant, and shall specify the resource, the amount of capacity offered in MW, and the price, in dollars per kW/month. In no case may capacity associated with a Retirement De-List Bid or a Permanent De-List Bid that cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction, or a demand bid that cleared in a substitution auction, for a Capacity Commitment Period be offered in a reconfiguration auction for that, or any subsequent, Capacity Commitment Period, or any portion thereof. In no case may capacity associated with an Export Bid or an Administrative Export De-List Bid that cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period be offered in a reconfiguration auction for that Capacity Commitment Period, or any portion thereof.

III.13.4.2.1.1. Amount of Capacity That May Be Submitted in a Supply Offer in an Annual Reconfiguration Auction.

For each month of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the annual reconfiguration auction, the ISO shall calculate the difference between the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity or Winter ARA Qualified Capacity, as applicable, and the amount of capacity from that resource that is already subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for the month. The minimum of these 12 values shall be the amount of capacity up to which a resource may submit a supply offer in the annual reconfiguration auction.

III.13.4.2.1.2. Calculation of Summer ARA Qualified Capacity and Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1. First Annual Reconfiguration Auction and Second Annual Reconfiguration Auction.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1. Generating Capacity Resources Other than Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any relevant limitations identified in the interconnection review ~~overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable)(f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the higher of the resource's summer Qualified Capacity as calculated for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period and any summer Seasonal Claimed Capability values for summer periods completed after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period and before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.1(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2 and where the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review ~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) ~~Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f)~~:

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the higher of the resource's winter Qualified Capacity as calculated for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period and any winter Seasonal Claimed Capability values for winter periods completed after the Existing Capacity Retirement Deadline for the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period and before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.1.2(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 and where the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.2. Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.2.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any ~~limitations identified in the interconnection review relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, ~~III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable)~~ (f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.2.1(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii)

for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.2.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any ~~limitations identified in the interconnection review~~ ~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) (f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.1.2.2(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.3. Import Capacity Resources Backed By an External Control Area.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity and Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource shall be equal to its summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, respectively, as determined for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.3.1. Import Capacity Resources Backed by One or More External Resources.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity and Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource backed by one or more External Resources shall be the greater of:

(a) the summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, respectively, as determined for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period; and

(b) the amount of capacity available to back the import, if submitted by the Lead Market Participant and approved by the ISO by the fifth Business Day in October and, if submitted for a New Import Capacity Resource backed by one or more External Resources, also subject to the satisfaction of the requirements in Sections III.13.1.3.5.1(b), III.13.1.3.5.2, and III.13.3.1.1 and the relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.4. Demand Capacity Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.4.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource shall be determined as follows.

(a) For Demand Capacity Resources other than those composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity.

(ii) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (1) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (2) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (3) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

(b) For Demand Capacity Resources composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the lesser of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) The sum of the most recently-determined summer demand reduction values of the resource's installed Energy Efficiency measures (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list, or whose Measure Life will expire, prior to the start of the relevant Capacity

Commitment Period, and increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses) and any summer capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation that satisfies the criteria found in subsection (a)(ii) above.

(ii) The amount of summer capacity that qualified for the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource (excluding any capacity that is terminated or that will retire or permanently de-list prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period) that is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.4.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource shall be determined as follows.

(a) For Demand Capacity Resources other than those composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity.

(ii) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (1) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (2) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (3) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

(b) For Demand Capacity Resources composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the lesser of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) The sum of the most recently-determined winter demand reduction values of the resource's installed Energy Efficiency measures (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list, or whose Measure Life will expire, prior to the start of the winter period of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, and increased by average avoided peak transmission

and distribution losses) and any winter capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation that satisfies the criteria found in subsection (a)(ii) above.

(ii) The amount of winter capacity that qualified for the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource (excluding any capacity that is terminated or that will retire or permanently de-list prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period) that is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.5. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.5.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below:

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.1.5.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the first and second annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below:

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2. Third Annual Reconfiguration Auction.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.1. Generating Capacity Resources other than Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.1.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) (f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's summer Seasonal Claimed Capability value in effect after the most recently completed summer period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.2.1.1(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.1.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Generating Capacity Resource that is not an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as

applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) (f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the resource's winter Seasonal Claimed Capability value in effect after the most recently completed winter period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.2.1.2(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.2. Intermittent Power Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.2.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) (f):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of its most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity and its summer Seasonal Claimed Capability value in effect after the most recently completed summer period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.2.2.1(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its

critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.2.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Intermittent Power Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below, limited, as applicable, by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review ~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.3, III.13.1.1.2.3A, or III.13.1.1.2.3B (as applicable) (F):

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of its most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity and its winter Seasonal Claimed Capability value in effect after the most recently completed winter period. The amount of capacity described in this Section III.13.4.2.1.2.2.2.2(a) shall be zero, however, where the resource cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period as a new resource pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2 and the project has not achieved FCM Commercial Operation.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.3. Import Capacity Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.3.1 Import Capacity Resources Backed by an External Control Area.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource shall be equal to the lesser of its summer Qualified Capacity as determined for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period and the amount of capacity available to back the import, if submitted by the Lead Market Participant and approved by the ISO by the fifth Business Day in October. For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource shall be equal to the lesser of its winter Qualified Capacity as

determined for the Forward Capacity Auction for that Capacity Commitment Period and the amount of capacity available to back the import, if submitted by the Lead Market Participant and approved by the ISO by the fifth Business Day in October.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.3.2. Import Capacity Resources Backed by One or More External Resources.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity and Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of an Import Capacity Resource backed by one or more External Resources shall be the lesser of:

(a) the summer Qualified Capacity and winter Qualified Capacity, respectively, as determined by the most recent Forward Capacity Auction that does not reflect a change to the Import Capacity Resource applicable to that Capacity Commitment Period; and

(b) the amount of capacity available to back the import, if submitted by the Lead Market Participant and approved by the ISO by the fifth Business Day in October and, if submitted for a New Import Capacity Resource backed by one or more External Resources, also subject to the satisfaction of the requirements in Sections III.13.1.3.5.1(b), III.13.1.3.5.2, and III.13.3.1.1 and the relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.4. Demand Capacity Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.4.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource shall be determined as follows.

(a) For Demand Capacity Resources other than those composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of: (1) its most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity and (2) its summer Seasonal DR Audit value or summer Passive DR Audit value in effect at the time of qualification for the third annual reconfiguration auction.

- (ii) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (1) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (2) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (3) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.
- (b) For Demand Capacity Resources composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the lesser of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:
- (i) The sum of the most recently-determined summer demand reduction values of the resource's installed Energy Efficiency measures (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list, or whose Measure Life will expire, prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, and increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses) and any summer capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation that satisfies the criteria found in subsection (a)(ii) above.
 - (ii) The amount of summer capacity that qualified for the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period) provided that the resource is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.4.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource shall be determined as follows.

- (a) For Demand Capacity Resources other than those composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:
 - (i) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of: (1) its most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity and (2) its winter Seasonal DR Audit value or winter Passive DR Audit value in effect at the time of qualification for the third annual reconfiguration auction.

(ii) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (1) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (2) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (3) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

(b) For Demand Capacity Resources composed of Energy Efficiency measures, the lesser of the values determined pursuant to subsections (i) and (ii) below:

(i) The sum of the most recently-determined winter demand reduction values of the resource's installed Energy Efficiency measures (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list, or whose Measure Life will expire, prior to the start of the winter period of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period and increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses) and any winter capacity that has cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction and not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation that satisfies the criteria found in subsection (a)(ii) above.

(ii) The amount of winter capacity that qualified for the Forward Capacity Auction as a New Demand Capacity Resource (excluding any capacity that will retire or permanently de-list prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period) provided that the resource is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.5. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.5.1. Summer ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Summer ARA Qualified Capacity of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below:

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of: (i) its most recently-determined summer Qualified Capacity and (ii) its summer Seasonal DECR Audit value in effect at the time of qualification for the third annual reconfiguration auction.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.2.2.5.2. Winter ARA Qualified Capacity.

For the third annual reconfiguration auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period, the Winter ARA Qualified Capacity of a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource shall be the sum of the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below:

(a) For capacity that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the lesser of: (i) its most recently-determined winter Qualified Capacity and (ii) its winter Seasonal DECR Audit value in effect at the time of qualification for the third annual reconfiguration auction.

(b) Any amount of capacity that has not yet achieved FCM Commercial Operation but: (i) is being monitored by the ISO pursuant to the provisions of Section III.13.3; (ii) is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the start of the relevant Capacity Commitment Period; and (iii) for which the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor has met all relevant financial assurance requirements as described in Section III.13.1.9 and in the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy.

III.13.4.2.1.3. Adjustment for Significant Decreases in Capacity.

For each month of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the third annual reconfiguration auction, for each resource that has achieved FCM Commercial Operation, the ISO shall subtract the resource's Summer ARA Qualified Capacity or Winter ARA Qualified Capacity, as applicable, from the amount of capacity from the resource that is subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for the month. For the month associated with the greatest of these 12 values (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or before June 1, 2019) or the least of these 12 values (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2020), if the resource's Summer ARA Qualified Capacity or Winter ARA Qualified

Capacity (as applicable) is below the amount of capacity from that resource that is subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for that month by:

- (1) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or before June 1, 2019, more than the lesser of:
 - (i) 20 percent of the amount of capacity from that resource that is subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for that month or;
 - (ii) 40 MW;
- (2) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on June 1, 2020, June 1, 2021 and June 1, 2022, more than the lesser of:
 - (i) the greater of 20 percent of the amount of capacity from that resource that is subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for that month or two MW, or;
 - (ii) 40 MW;
- (3) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2023, more than the lesser of:
 - (i) the greater of 10 percent of the amount of capacity from that resource that is subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for that month or two MW, or;
 - (ii) 10 MW;

then the following provisions shall apply:

(a) The Lead Market Participant may submit a written plan to the ISO with any necessary supporting documentation describing the measures that will be taken and demonstrating that the resource will be able to provide an amount of capacity consistent with its total Capacity Supply Obligation for the Capacity Commitment Period by the start of all months in that Capacity Commitment Period in which the resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation. If submitted, such a plan must be received by the ISO no later than 10 Business Days after the ISO has notified the Lead Market Participant of its Summer ARA Qualified Capacity and Winter ARA Qualified Capacity for the third annual reconfiguration auction.

(b) If no such plan as described in Section III.13.4.2.1.3(a) is timely submitted to the ISO, or if such a plan is timely submitted but the ISO determines that the plan does not demonstrate that the resource will be able to provide the necessary amount of capacity by the start of all months in the Capacity Commitment Period in which the resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation, then the ISO shall enter a demand bid at the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price on behalf of the resource (with all payments, charges, rights, obligations, and other results associated with such bid applying to the resource as if the resource itself had submitted the bid) in the third annual reconfiguration auction in an amount equal to:

- (1) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2020, the greatest of the 12 monthly values determined pursuant to this Section III.13.4.2.1.3;
- (2) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on June 1, 2020, June 1, 2021 and June 1, 2022, where the Capacity Supply Obligation and Qualified Capacity values are those for the month in which the values as determined pursuant to Section III.13.4.2.1.3 vary the least, the greater of:
 - (i) the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation minus (Qualified Capacity divided by 0.8),
and;
 - (ii) the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation minus Qualified Capacity minus 40 MW;
- (3) for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2023, where the Capacity Supply Obligation and Qualified Capacity values are those for the month in which the values as determined pursuant to Section III.13.4.2.1.3 vary the least, the greater of:
 - (i) the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation minus (Qualified Capacity divided by 0.9),
and;
 - (ii) the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation minus Qualified Capacity minus 10 MW.

III.13.4.2.1.4. Amount of Capacity That May Be Submitted in a Supply Offer in a Monthly Reconfiguration Auction.

A resource may not submit a supply offer for a monthly reconfiguration auction unless it is expected to achieve FCM Commercial Operation prior to the end of the relevant Obligation Month, unless the resource has a negative Capacity Supply Obligation, in which case it may submit a supply offer for that reconfiguration auction in an amount up to the absolute value of its Capacity Supply Obligation. A resource may not submit a supply offer for a monthly reconfiguration auction if it is on an approved outage during that month. The amount of capacity up to which a resource may submit a supply offer in a monthly reconfiguration auction shall be the difference (but in no case less than zero) between the values determined pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) below:

- (a) The resource's Summer ARA Qualified Capacity or Winter ARA Qualified Capacity as adjusted pursuant to Section III.13.4.2, as applicable, for the auction month for the third annual reconfiguration auction for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period or, where the resource did not qualify for the third annual reconfiguration auction for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period, the quantity of MW either being monitored by the ISO in accordance with Section III.13.3 (provided that all applicable Financial Assurance requirements have been met and the resource is expected to achieve all its critical path schedule milestones prior to the end of the relevant Obligation Month in accordance with posted schedules) or the amount of capacity that achieved all its critical path schedule milestones after the third

annual reconfiguration qualification deadline; provided that the value determined pursuant to this subsection (a) shall be limited by the resource's CNR Capability and any limitations identified in the interconnection review~~relevant overlapping interconnection impacts as~~ described in Section III.13.1.1.2.3~~(f)~~ or, for a Demand Capacity Resource, the amount of Qualified Capacity for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period.

(b) The amount of capacity from that resource that is already subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation for that month.

III.13.4.2.1.5. ISO Review of Supply Offers.

Supply offers in reconfiguration auctions shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure the regional and local adequacy achieved through the Forward Capacity Auction and other reliability needs are maintained. The ISO's reviews will consider the location and operating and rating limitations of resources associated with cleared supply offers to ensure reliability standards will remain satisfied if the offer is accepted. The ISO shall reject supply offers that would otherwise clear in a reconfiguration auction that will result in a violation of any NERC or NPCC criteria, or ISO New England System Rules during the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the reconfiguration auction. The ISO's reliability reviews will assess such offers, beginning with the marginal resource, based on operable capacity needs while considering any approved or interim approved transmission outage information and any approved Generator Asset, Demand Response Resource, or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation outage information, and will include transmission security studies. Supply offers that cannot meet the applicable reliability needs will be rejected in their entirety and the resource will not be rejected in part. Rejected resources will not be further included in clearing the reconfiguration auction and the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor, as appropriate, shall be notified as soon as practicable after the reconfiguration auction of the rejection and of the reliability need prompting such rejection.

III.13.4.2.2. Demand Bids in Reconfiguration Auctions.

Submission of demand bids in reconfiguration auctions shall be governed by this Section III.13.4.2.2. All demand bids in reconfiguration auctions shall be submitted by the Project Sponsor or Lead Market Participant, and shall specify the amount of capacity bid in MW, and the price, in dollars per kW/month.

(a) To submit a demand bid in a reconfiguration auction, a resource must have a Capacity Supply Obligation for the Capacity Commitment Period (or portion thereof, as applicable) associated with that reconfiguration auction. Where capacity associated with a Self-Supplied FCA Resource that cleared in the

Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period is offered in a reconfiguration auction for that Capacity Commitment Period, or any portion thereof, a resource acquiring a Capacity Supply Obligation shall not as a result become a Self-Supplied FCA Resource.

(b) Each demand bid submitted to the ISO for reconfiguration auction shall be no greater than the amount of the resource's capacity that is already obligated for the Capacity Commitment Period (or portion thereof, as applicable) as of the offer and bid deadline for the reconfiguration auction.

(c) All demand bids in reconfiguration auctions shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure the regional and local adequacy achieved through the Forward Capacity Auction and other reliability needs are maintained. The ISO's reviews will consider the location and operating and rating limitations of resources associated with demand bids that would otherwise clear to ensure reliability standards will remain satisfied if the committed capacity is withdrawn. The ISO shall reject demand bids that would otherwise clear in a reconfiguration auction that will result in a violation of any NERC or NPCC criteria or ISO New England System Rules during the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the reconfiguration auction, provided that for annual reconfiguration auctions associated with a Capacity Commitment Period that begins on or after June 1, 2018, the ISO shall not reject a demand bid solely on the basis that acceptance of the demand bid may result in the procurement of less capacity than the Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs). For monthly reconfiguration auctions, the ISO shall obtain and consider information from the Local Control Center regarding whether the capacity associated with demand bids that would otherwise clear from resources with a Capacity Supply Obligation is needed for local system conditions. The ISO's reliability reviews will assess such bids, beginning with the marginal resource, based on operable capacity needs while considering any approved or interim approved transmission outage information and any approved Generator Asset, Demand Response Resource, or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation outage information, and will include transmission security studies. Where the applicable reliability needs cannot be met if a Demand Bid is cleared, such Demand Bids will be rejected in their entirety and the resource will not be rejected in part. Demand Bids from rejected resources will not be further included in clearing the reconfiguration auction, and the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor, as appropriate, shall be notified as soon as practicable after the reconfiguration auction of the rejection and of the reliability need prompting such rejection.

III.13.4.3. [Reserved.]

III.13.4.4. Clearing Offers and Bids in Reconfiguration Auctions.

All supply offers and demand bids may be cleared in whole or in part in all reconfiguration auctions. If after clearing, a resource has a Capacity Supply Obligation below its Economic Minimum Limit, it must meet the requirements of Section III.13.6.1.1.1.

III.13.4.5. Annual Reconfiguration Auctions.

Except as provided below, after the Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period, and before the start of that Capacity Commitment Period, the ISO shall conduct three annual reconfiguration auctions for capacity commitments covering the whole of that Capacity Commitment Period. For each annual reconfiguration auction, the capacity demand curves, New England Control Area and Capacity Zone capacity requirements and external interface limits, as updated pursuant to Section III.12, shall be modeled in the auction consistent with the Forward Capacity Auction for the associated Capacity Commitment Period. For purposes of the annual reconfiguration auctions, the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price used to define the System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve shall be the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price associated with the Forward Capacity Auction for the same Capacity Commitment Period addressed by the reconfiguration auction.

III.13.4.5.1. Timing of Annual Reconfiguration Auctions.

The first annual reconfiguration auction for the Capacity Commitment Period shall be held in the month of June that is approximately 24 months before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period. The second annual reconfiguration auction for the Capacity Commitment Period shall be held in the month of August that is approximately 10 months before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period. The third annual reconfiguration auction for the Capacity Commitment Period shall be held in the month of March that is approximately 3 months before the start of the Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.4.5.2. Acceleration of Annual Reconfiguration Auction.

If the difference between the forecasted Installed Capacity Requirement (net of HQICCs) for a Capacity Commitment Period and the amount of capacity obligated for that Capacity Commitment Period is sufficiently large, then the ISO may, upon reasonable notice to Market Participants, conduct an annual reconfiguration auction as much as six months earlier than its normally-scheduled time.

III.13.4.6. [Reserved.]

III.13.4.7. Monthly Reconfiguration Auctions.

Prior to each month in the Capacity Commitment Period, the ISO shall conduct a monthly reconfiguration auction for whole-month capacity commitments during that month. For each monthly reconfiguration auction for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning before June 1, 2020, the Local Sourcing Requirement and Maximum Capacity Limit applicable for each Capacity Zone and external interface limits, as updated pursuant to Section III.12, shall be modeled as constraints in the auction. For each monthly reconfiguration auction for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning or after June 1, 2020, the truncation points for import-constrained Capacity Zones and export-constrained Capacity Zones specified in Section III.13.2.2.2 and Section III.13.2.2.3, and external interface limits, as updated pursuant to Section III.12, shall be modeled as constraints in the auction. The System-Wide Capacity Demand Curve is not modeled in monthly reconfiguration auctions.

III.13.4.8. Adjustment to Capacity Supply Obligations.

For each supply offer that clears in a reconfiguration auction, the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period (or portion thereof, as applicable) shall be increased by the amount of capacity that clears. For each demand bid that clears in a reconfiguration auction, the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the relevant Capacity Commitment Period (or portion thereof, as applicable) shall be decreased by the amount of capacity that clears.

III.13.5. Bilateral Contracts in the Forward Capacity Market.

Market Participants shall be permitted to enter into Annual Reconfiguration Transactions, Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, Capacity Load Obligation Bilaterals and Capacity Performance Bilaterals in accordance with this Section III.13.5, with the ISO serving as Counterparty in each such transaction. Market Participants may not offset a Capacity Load Obligation with a Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.5.1. Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals.

Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals are available for monthly periods. The qualification of resources subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral is determined in the same manner as the qualification of resources is determined for reconfiguration auctions as specified in Section III.13.4.2.

A resource having a Capacity Supply Obligation seeking to shed that obligation (Capacity Transferring Resource) may enter into a bilateral transaction to transfer its Capacity Supply Obligation, in whole or in part (Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral), to a resource, or portion thereof, having Qualified Capacity for that Capacity Commitment Period that is not already obligated (Capacity Acquiring Resource), subject to the following limitations.

- (a) A Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral must be coterminous with a calendar month.
- (b) A Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral may not transfer a Capacity Supply Obligation amount that is greater than the monthly Capacity Supply Obligation of the Capacity Transferring Resource. A Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral may not transfer a Capacity Supply Obligation amount that is greater than the amount of unobligated Qualified Capacity (that is, Qualified Capacity as determined in the most recent Forward Capacity Auction or reconfiguration auction qualification process that is not subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation) of the Capacity Acquiring Resource during the month covered by the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, as determined in the qualification process for the most recent Forward Capacity Auction or annual reconfiguration auction prior to the submission of the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral to the ISO.
- (c) A Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral may not transfer a Capacity Supply Obligation to a Capacity Acquiring Resource where that Capacity Acquiring Resource's unobligated Qualified Capacity is unobligated as a result of an Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid that cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction.

(d) [Reserved.]

(e) [Reserved.]

(f) [Reserved.]

(g) [Reserved.]

(h) A resource, or a portion thereof, that has been designated as a Self-Supplied FCA Resource may transfer the self-supplied portion of its Capacity Supply Obligation by means of Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral. In such a case, however, the Capacity Acquiring Resource shall not become a Self-Supplied FCA Resource as a result of the transaction.

(i) A monthly Capacity Supply Obligation may not be acquired by any resource on an approved outage for the relevant Obligation Month.

(j) A resource that is not expected to achieve FCM Commercial Operation prior to the end of a given Obligation Month in accordance with posted schedules may not submit a transaction as a Capacity Acquiring Resource for that month, unless the resource has a negative Capacity Supply Obligation, in which case it may submit a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral in an amount up to the absolute value of its Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.5.1.1. Process for Approval of Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals.

III.13.5.1.1.1. Timing of Submission and Prior Notification to the ISO.

The Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor for either the Capacity Transferring Resource or the Capacity Acquiring Resource may submit a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral to the ISO in accordance with posted schedules. The ISO will issue a schedule of the submittal windows for Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals as soon as practicable after the issuance of Forward Capacity Auction results. A Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral must be confirmed by the party other than the party submitting the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral to the ISO no later than the end of the relevant submittal window.

III.13.5.1.1.2. Application.

The submission of a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral to the ISO shall include the following: (i) the resource identification number of the Capacity Transferring Resource; (ii) the amount of the Capacity Supply Obligation being transferred in MW amounts up to three decimal places; (iii) the term of the transaction; and (iv) the resource identification number of the Capacity Acquiring Resource. If the parties to a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral so choose, they may also submit a price, in \$/kW-month, to be used by the ISO in settling the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral. If no price is submitted, the ISO shall use a default price of \$0.00/kW-month.

III.13.5.1.1.3. ISO Review.

(a) The ISO shall review the information provided in support of the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, and shall reject the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral if any of the provisions of this Section III.13.5.1 are not met. For a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral submitted before the relevant submittal window opens, this review shall occur once the submittal window opens. For a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral submitted after the submittal window opens, this review shall occur upon submission.

(b) After the close of the relevant submittal window, each Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral shall be subject to a reliability review by the ISO to determine whether the transaction would result in a violation of any NERC or NPCC (or their successors) criteria, or ISO New England System Rules, during the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the transaction. Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals shall be reviewed by the ISO to ensure the regional and local adequacy achieved through the Forward Capacity Auction and other reliability needs are maintained. The ISO's review will consider the location and operating and rating limitations of resources associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral to ensure reliability standards will remain satisfied if the capacity associated with the Capacity Transferring Resource is withdrawn and the capacity associated with the Capacity Acquiring Resource is accepted. The ISO's reliability reviews will assess transactions based on operable capacity needs while considering any approved or interim approved transmission outage information and any approved Generator Asset, Demand Response Resource, or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation outage information, and will include transmission security studies. The ISO will review all confirmed Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals for each upcoming Obligation Month for reliability needs immediately preceding the monthly reconfiguration auction. The ISO shall obtain and consider information from the Local Control Center regarding whether the Capacity Supply Obligation of the Capacity Transferring Resource is needed for local system conditions and whether it is adequately replaced by the Acquiring Resource.

The ISO will approve or reject Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals based on the order in which they are confirmed. If multiple Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals are submitted between the same resources, they may be reviewed together as one transaction and the most recent confirmation time among the related transactions will be used to determine the review order of the grouped transaction. Transactions that cannot meet the applicable reliability needs will only be accepted or rejected in their entirety and the resources will not be accepted or rejected in part for purposes of that transaction. Where the ISO has determined that a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral must be rejected for reliability reasons the Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor, as appropriate, for the Capacity Transferring Resource and the Capacity Acquiring Resource shall be notified as soon as practicable of the rejection and of the reliability need prompting such rejection.

(c) Each Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral shall be subject to a financial assurance review by the ISO. If the Capacity Transferring Resource and the Capacity Acquiring Resource are not both in compliance with all applicable provisions of the ISO New England Financial Assurance Policy, including those regarding Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals, the ISO shall reject the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral.

III.13.5.1.1.4. Approval.

Upon approval of a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, the Capacity Supply Obligation of the Capacity Transferring Resource shall be reduced by the amount set forth in the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, and the Capacity Supply Obligation of the Capacity Acquiring Resource shall be increased by the amount set forth in the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral.

III.13.5.2. Capacity Load Obligations Bilaterals.

A Market Participant having a Capacity Load Obligation seeking to shed that obligation (“Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant”) may enter into a bilateral transaction to transfer all or a portion of its Capacity Load Obligation in a Capacity Zone (“Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral”) to any Market Participant seeking to acquire a Capacity Load Obligation (“Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant”). A Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral must be in whole calendar month increments, may not exceed one year in duration, and must begin and end within the same Capacity Commitment Period. A Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant will be permitted to transfer, and a Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant will be permitted to acquire, a Capacity Load Obligation if after entering into a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral and submitting related information to the ISO within the specified submittal time period, the ISO approves such Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral.

III.13.5.2.1. Process for Approval of Capacity Load Obligation Bilaterals.

III.13.5.2.1.1. Timing.

Either the Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant or the Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant may submit a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral to the ISO. All Capacity Load Obligation Bilaterals must be submitted to the ISO in accordance with resettlement provisions as described in ISO New England Manuals. However, to be included in the initial daily settlements of payments and charges associated with the Forward Capacity Market for the first month of the term of the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral, a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral must be submitted to the ISO no later than 12:00 pm on the first Business Day of that month (though a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral submitted at that time may be revised by the parties to the transaction throughout the resettlement process). A Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral must be confirmed by the party other than the party submitting the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral to the ISO no later than the same deadline that applies to submission of the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral.

III.13.5.2.1.2. Application.

The submission of a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral to the ISO shall include the following : (i) the amount of the Capacity Load Obligation being transferred in MW amounts up to three decimal places; (ii) the term of the transaction; (iii) identification of the Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant and the Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant; and (iv) the Capacity Zone in which the Capacity Load Obligation is being transferred is located.

III.13.5.2.1.3. ISO Review.

The ISO shall review the information provided in support of the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral and shall reject the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral if any of the provisions of this Section II.13.5.2 are not met.

III.13.5.2.1.4. Approval.

Upon approval of a Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral, the Capacity Load Obligation of the Capacity Load Obligation Transferring Participant in the Capacity Zone specified in the submission to the ISO shall be reduced by the amount set forth in the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral and the Capacity Load Obligation of the Capacity Load Obligation Acquiring Participant in the specified Capacity Zone shall be increased by the amount set forth in the Capacity Load Obligation Bilateral.

III.13.5.3. Capacity Performance Bilaterals.

A resource's Capacity Performance Score during a Capacity Scarcity Condition may be adjusted by entering into a Capacity Performance Bilateral as described in this Section III.13.5.3.

III.13.5.3.1. Eligibility.

If a resource has a Capacity Performance Score that is greater than zero in a five-minute interval that is subject to a Capacity Scarcity Condition, that resource may transfer all or some of that Capacity Performance Score to another resource for that same five-minute interval so long as both resources were subject to the same Capacity Scarcity Condition.

III.13.5.3.2. Submission of Capacity Performance Bilaterals.

The Lead Market Participant for a resource having a Capacity Performance Score that is greater than zero in a five-minute interval that is subject to a Capacity Scarcity Condition may submit a Capacity Performance Bilateral to the ISO assigning all or a portion of its Capacity Performance Score for that interval to another resource, subject to the eligibility requirements specified in Section III.13.5.3.1. The Capacity Performance Bilateral must be confirmed by the Lead Market Participant for the resource receiving the Capacity Performance Score.

III.13.5.3.2.1. Timing.

A Capacity Performance Bilateral must be submitted in accordance with resettlement provisions as described in ISO New England Manuals. However, to be included in the initial settlement of payments and charges associated with the Forward Capacity Market for the month associated with the Capacity Performance Bilateral, a Capacity Performance Bilateral must be submitted to the ISO no later than 12:00 pm on the second Business Day after the end of that month, or at such later deadline as specified by the ISO upon notice to Market Participants (though a Capacity Performance Bilateral may be revised by the parties to the transaction throughout the resettlement process).

III.13.5.3.2.2. Application.

The submission of a Capacity Performance Bilateral to the ISO shall include the following: (i) the resource identification number for the resource transferring its Capacity Performance Score; (ii) the resource identification number for the resource receiving the Capacity Performance Score; (iii) the MW amount of Capacity Performance Score being transferred; (iv) the specific five-minute interval or intervals for which the Capacity Performance Bilateral applies.

III.13.5.3.2.3. ISO Review.

The ISO shall review the information provided in submission of the Capacity Performance Bilateral, and shall reject the Capacity Performance Bilateral if any of the provisions of this Section III.13.5.3 are not met.

III.13.5.3.3. Effect of Capacity Performance Bilateral.

A Capacity Performance Bilateral does not affect in any way either party's Capacity Supply Obligation or the rights and obligations associated therewith. The sole effect of a Capacity Performance Bilateral is to modify the Capacity Performance Scores of the transferring and receiving resources for the Capacity Scarcity Conditions subject to the Capacity Performance Bilateral for purposes of calculating Capacity Performance Payments as described in Section III.13.7.2.

III.13.5.4 Annual Reconfiguration Transactions.

Annual Reconfiguration Transactions are available for annual reconfiguration auctions for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2020, except that Annual Reconfiguration Transactions are not available for the first annual reconfiguration auction for the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2020.

III.13.5.4.1 Timing of Submission.

The Lead Market Participant or Project Sponsor for either a Capacity Transferring Resource or a Capacity Acquiring Resource may submit an Annual Reconfiguration Transaction to the ISO in accordance with posted schedules. The ISO will issue a schedule of the submittal windows for Annual Reconfiguration Transactions as soon as practicable after the issuance of Forward Capacity Auction results. An Annual Reconfiguration Transaction must be confirmed by the party other than the party submitting the Annual Reconfiguration Transaction to the ISO no later than the end of the relevant submittal window.

III.13.5.4.2 Components of an Annual Reconfiguration Transaction.

The submission of an Annual Reconfiguration Transaction must include the following:

1. the resource identification number of the Capacity Transferring Resource;
2. the applicable Capacity Commitment Period;
- (3) the resource identification number of the Capacity Acquiring Resource, and;
3. a price (\$/kW-month), quantity (MW) and Capacity Zone, to be used in settling the Annual Reconfiguration Transaction.

The maximum quantity of an Annual Reconfiguration Transaction is the higher of:

- (1) the Capacity Transferring Resource's maximum demand bid quantity determined pursuant to Section III.13.4.2.2(b), less the quantity of any previously confirmed Annual Reconfiguration Transactions, and;
- (2) the Capacity Acquiring Resource's maximum supply offer quantity determined pursuant to Section III.13.4.2.1.1, less the quantity of any previously confirmed Annual Reconfiguration Transactions.

An Annual Reconfiguration Transaction may not be submitted unless the maximum demand bid quantity and maximum supply offer quantity are each greater than zero.

Each Annual Reconfiguration Transaction is limited to a single Capacity Acquiring Resource and a single Capacity Transferring Resource.

If any demand bid of a Capacity Transferring Resource or supply offer of a Capacity Acquiring Resource that is associated with an Annual Reconfiguration Transaction is rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to Section III.13.2.2(c) or Section III.13.4.2.1.5, respectively, the Annual Reconfiguration Transaction is cancelled.

III.13.5.4.3 Settlement of Annual Reconfiguration Transactions.

Annual Reconfiguration Transactions are settled on a daily basis during the applicable Capacity Commitment Period. The total of the daily payment amounts for the month is equal to the transaction quantity multiplied by the difference between the annual reconfiguration auction clearing price and the transaction price. If the payment amount is positive, payment is made to the Lead Market Participant with the Capacity Transferring Resource and charged to the Lead Market Participant with the Capacity Acquiring Resource. If the payment amount is negative, payment is made to the Lead Market Participant with the Capacity Acquiring Resource and charged to the Lead Market Participant with the Capacity Transferring Resource.

III.13.6. Rights and Obligations.

Resources assuming a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Forward Capacity Auction or resources assuming or shedding a Capacity Supply Obligation through a reconfiguration auction or a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral shall comply with this Section III.13.6 for each Capacity Commitment Period. In the event a resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation assumed through a Forward Capacity Auction, reconfiguration auction, or Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral can not be allowed to shed its Capacity Supply Obligation due to system reliability considerations, the resource shall maintain the Capacity Supply Obligation until the resource can be released from its Capacity Supply Obligation. No additional compensation shall be provided through the Forward Capacity Market if the resource fails to be released from its Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.1. Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

A resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation assumed through a Forward Capacity Auction, reconfiguration auction, or a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral shall comply with the requirements of this Section III.13.6.1 during the Capacity Commitment Period, or portion thereof, in which the Capacity Supply Obligation applies.

III.13.6.1.1. Generating Capacity Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

III.13.6.1.1.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

(a) A Generating Capacity Resource having a Capacity Supply Obligation shall be offered into both the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market at a MW amount equal to or greater than its Capacity Supply Obligation whenever the resource is physically available. If the resource is physically available at a level less than its Capacity Supply Obligation, however, the resource shall be offered into both the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market at that level. Day-Ahead Energy Market Supply Offers from such Generating Capacity Resources shall also meet one of the following requirements:

- (i) the sum of the Generating Capacity Resource's Notification Time plus Start-Up Time plus Minimum Run Time plus Minimum Down Time is less than or equal to 72 hours; or
- (ii) if the Generating Capacity Resource cannot meet the offer requirements in Section III.13.6.1.1.1(a)(i) due to physical design limits, then the resource shall be offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market at a MW amount equal to or greater than its Economic Minimum Limit at

a price of zero or shall be self-scheduled in the Day-Ahead Energy Market at a MW amount equal to or greater than the resource's Economic Minimum Limit.

(b) Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Generating Capacity Resource is a Settlement Only Resource, it may not submit Supply Offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.1.1.2. Requirement that Offers Reflect Accurate Generating Capacity Resource Operating Characteristics.

For each day, Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market offers for the listed portion of a resource must reflect the then-known unit-specific operating characteristics (taking into account, among other things, the physical design characteristics of the unit) consistent with Good Utility Practice.

Resources must re-declare to the ISO any changes to the offer parameters that occur in real time to reflect the known capability of the resource. A resource failing to comply with this requirement shall be subject to potential referral under Section III.A.19.

III.13.6.1.1.3. [Reserved.]

III.13.6.1.1.4. [Reserved.]

III.13.6.1.1.5. Additional Requirements for Generating Capacity Resources.

Generating Capacity Resources having a Capacity Supply Obligation are subject to the following additional requirements:

(a) auditing and rating requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures;

(b) Operating Data collection requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals and Market Rule 1 and the requirement to provide to the ISO, upon request and as soon as practicable, confirmation of gas volume schedules sufficient to deliver the energy scheduled for each Generating Capacity Resource using natural gas;

(c) outage requirements in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures (except that Settlement Only Resources are not subject to outage requirements),

provided, however, that the portion of a resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation is not subject to the forced re-scheduling provisions for outages in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures.

III.13.6.1.2. Import Capacity Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

III.13.6.1.2.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

A Market Participant with an Import Capacity Resource must offer one or more External Transactions to import energy in the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market for every hour of each Operating Day at the same external interface that, in total, equal the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation, except that:

- (i) the offer requirement does not apply to any hour in which any External Resource associated with an Import Capacity Resource is on an outage;
- (ii) the Day-Ahead Energy Market offer requirement does not apply to any hour in which the import transfer capability of the external interface is 0 MW, and;
- (iii) the Real-Time Energy Market offer requirement does not apply to Import Capacity Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations at an external interface for which Coordinated Transaction Scheduling is implemented.

Each External Transaction submitted in the Day-Ahead Energy Market must reference the associated Import Capacity Resource.

Each External Transaction submitted in the Real-Time Energy Market in accordance with Section III.1.10.7 must reference the associated Import Capacity Resource.

In all cases an Import Capacity Resource is subject to the provisions in Section III.13.7 for the entire Capacity Supply Obligation of the Import Capacity Resource.

III.13.6.1.2.2. Additional Requirements for Import Capacity Resources.

A Market Participant with an Import Capacity Resource that is associated with an External Resource must:

- (i) comply with all offer, outage scheduling and operating requirements applicable to capacity resources in the External Resource's native Control Area, and;
- (ii) notify the ISO of all outages impacting the Capacity Supply Obligation of the Import Capacity Resource in accordance with the outage notification requirements in ISO New England Operating Procedure No. 5.

III.13.6.1.3. Intermittent Power Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

III.13.6.1.3.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

(a) Market Participants with Intermittent Power Resources that are Dispatchable Resources and have a Capacity Supply Obligation are required to submit offers in the Day-Ahead Energy Market consistent with the Market Participant's expectation of the output of the resource in Real-Time. Market Participants with non-dispatchable Intermittent Power Resources with a Capacity Supply Obligation may submit, but are not required to submit, offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market. Market Participants are required to submit offers for Intermittent Power Resources with a Capacity Supply Obligation for use in the Real-Time Energy Market consistent with the characteristics of the resource. Day-Ahead projections of output shall be submitted as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals. For purposes of calculating Real-Time NCPC Charges, Intermittent Power Resources shall have a generation deviation of zero.

(b) Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Intermittent Power Resource that is a Settlement Only Resource may not submit Supply Offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.1.3.2. [Reserved.]

III.13.6.1.3.3. Additional Requirements for Intermittent Power Resources.

Intermittent Power Resources are subject to the following additional requirements:

- (a) auditing and rating requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals;
- (b) Operating Data collection requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals;

(c) complying with outage requirements as outlined in the ISO New England Operating Procedures and ISO New England Manuals (except that Intermittent Power Resources that are Settlement Only Resources need not comply with outage requirements).

III.13.6.1.4. [Reserved.]

III.13.6.1.5. Demand Capacity Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

III.13.6.1.5.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

(a) A Market Participant with an Active Demand Capacity Resource having a Capacity Supply Obligation shall submit Demand Reduction Offers for its Demand Response Resources into the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market in at least the MW amount described in this Section III.13.6.1.5.1; for purposes of the following comparisons, the portion of Demand Reduction Offers not associated with Net Supply shall be increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses. The sum of the Demand Reduction Offers must be equal to or greater than the Active Demand Capacity Resource's Capacity Supply Obligation whenever the Demand Response Resources are physically available. If the Demand Response Resources are physically available at a level less than the Active Demand Capacity Resource's Capacity Supply Obligation, the sum of the Demand Reduction Offers will equal that level and shall be offered into both the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market. Each Demand Reduction Offer from a Demand Response Resource made into the Day-Ahead Energy Market shall also meet the following requirement:

(i) the sum of the Demand Response Resource Notification Time plus Demand Response Resource Start-Up Time plus Minimum Reduction Time plus Minimum Time Between Reductions is less than or equal to 72 hours.

(b) Seasonal Peak Demand Resources and On-Peak Demand Resources may not submit Demand Reduction Offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.1.5.2. Requirement that Offers Reflect Accurate Demand Response Resource Operating Characteristics.

For each day, Demand Reduction Offers submitted into the Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market for a Demand Response Resource associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resource

must reflect the then-known operating characteristics of the resource. Consistent with Section III.1.10.9(d), Demand Response Resources must re-declare to the ISO any changes to offer parameters that occur in real time to reflect the operating characteristics of the resource. A resource failing to comply with this requirement shall be subject to potential referral under Section III.A.

III.13.6.1.5.3. Additional Requirements for Demand Capacity Resources.

- (a) A Market Participant may not associate an Asset with a non-commercial Demand Capacity Resource during a Capacity Commitment Period if the Asset can be associated with a commercial Demand Capacity Resource whose capability is less than its Capacity Supply Obligation during that Capacity Commitment Period.

- (b) An Energy Efficiency measure may be added to an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource (other than one consisting of Load Management or Distributed Generation) until two years after the start of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the resource first received a Capacity Supply Obligation; provided, however, that a resource that qualified for a Forward Capacity Auction associated with a Capacity Commitment Period beginning on or before June 1, 2024 may install Energy Efficiency measures until May 31, 2027. Once an Energy Efficiency measure has been associated with an On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, the measure may not be transferred to a different resource.

- (c) For purposes of confirming FCM Commercial Operation as described in Section III.13.3.8, the ISO shall use a summer Seasonal DR Audit value or summer Passive DR Audit value to verify the capacity rating of a Demand Capacity Resource with summer Qualified Capacity. A winter Seasonal DR Audit value or winter Passive DR Audit value may only be used to verify the winter commercial capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource. The summer and winter commercial capacity of a Demand Capacity Resource consisting of Energy Efficiency measures may be verified in any month of the year.

- (d) For Active Demand Capacity Resources, a summer Seasonal DR Audit value shall be established for use from April 1 through November 30 and a winter Seasonal DR Audit value shall be established for use from December 1 through March 31. The summer or winter Seasonal DR Audit value of an Active Demand Capacity Resource is equal to the sum of the like-season Seasonal DR Audit values of its constituent Demand Response Resources as determined pursuant to Section III.1.5.1.3.1. The Seasonal DR Audit value of an Active Demand Capacity Resource shall automatically update whenever a new

Seasonal DR Audit value is approved for a constituent Demand Response Resource or with changes to the makeup of the constituent Demand Response Resources.

(e) On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources shall in addition: (i) comply with the ISO's measurement and verification requirements pursuant to Section III.13.1.4.3 and the ISO New England Manuals; and (ii) comply with the auditing and rating requirements as detailed in Sections III.13.6.1.5.4 and III.13.6.1.5.5 and the ISO New England Manuals.

(f) Active Demand Capacity Resources shall in addition: (i) comply with the measurement and verification requirements and the Operating Data collection requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals and Market Rule 1, and with outage requirements in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures, provided, however, that the portion of a resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation is not subject to the forced re-scheduling provisions for outages in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures; and (ii) comply with the auditing and rating requirements as detailed in Section III.13.6.1.5.5 and the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.6.1.5.4. On-Peak Demand Resource and Seasonal Peak Demand Resource Auditing Requirements.

(a) A summer Passive DR Audit value and a winter Passive DR Audit value must be established for each On-Peak Demand Resource and Seasonal Peak Demand Resource in every Capacity Commitment Period during which the On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource has an annual or monthly Capacity Supply Obligation.

(b) Summer Passive DR Audit values shall be determined based on data for one or more months of the summer Passive DR Auditing Period (June through August). Winter Passive DR Audit values shall be determined based on data for one or more months of the winter Passive DR Auditing Period (December through January).

(c) Passive DR Audit values will be made available to the Market Participant within 20 Business Days following the end of the period for which the audit value is determined by the ISO.

(d) The audit value of an On-Peak Demand Resource is determined by evaluating the Average Hourly Output or Average Hourly Load Reduction of each Asset associated with the On-Peak Demand Resource during the Demand Resource On-Peak Hours.

(e) The audit value of a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource is determined by evaluating the Average Hourly Output or Average Hourly Load Reduction of each Asset associated with the Seasonal Peak Demand Resource during the Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours. If there are no Demand Resource Seasonal Peak Hours in a month during the Passive DR Auditing Period, performance during Demand Resource On-Peak Hours in that month may be used.

(f) Passive DR Audit values shall become effective one calendar day after being made available to the Market Participant and remain valid until the earlier of: (i) the next like-season Passive DR Audit value becomes effective or (ii) the end of the following Capability Demonstration Year.

(g) For On-Peak Demand Resources consisting of Energy Efficiency measures and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources consisting of Energy Efficiency measures, the ISO will calculate a summer Passive DR Audit value and a winter Passive DR Audit value in each month of the year. For all other On-Peak Demand Resources and Seasonal Peak Demand Resources, a Market Participant may request that a summer or winter Passive DR Audit value be determined based on data for, respectively, a summer or winter month outside of the Passive DR Auditing Periods. (For Demand Capacity Resources, summer months are April through November; all other months are winter months.) Such an audit shall not satisfy the Passive DR Audit requirement.

III.13.6.1.5.5. Additional Demand Capacity Resource Audits.

The ISO may perform additional audits for a Demand Capacity Resource to establish or verify the capability of the Demand Capacity Resource and its underlying assets and measures. This additional auditing may consist of two levels.

(a) Level 1 Audit: the ISO will establish the audit results by conducting a review of records of the Assets and measures to verify that the reported Assets and measures have been installed and are operational. The audit shall include, but is not limited to, reviewing project or program databases, invoices, installation reports, work orders, and field inspection reports. In addition, the audit may involve reviewing any independent inspections or evaluations conducted as part of program implementation and program evaluation.

(b) Level 2 Audit: the ISO will establish the audit results by initiating or conducting an on-site field audit to verify the installation and performance of the Assets and measures. Such an audit may include a random or select sample of facilities and measures.

A level 1 audit is not required to precede a level 2 audit. If the results of the audit indicate that the demand reduction capability of the Demand Capacity Resource is less than or greater than its most recent like-season Passive DR Audit value or Seasonal DR Audit value, then the Demand Capacity Resource's audit value shall be adjusted accordingly.

III.13.6.1.6. DNE Dispatchable Generator.

III.13.6.1.6.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

Beginning on June 1, 2019, Market Participants with DNE Dispatchable Generators with a Capacity Supply Obligation must submit offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market for the full amount of the resource's expected hourly physical capability as determined by the Market Participant. Market Participants with DNE Dispatchable Generators having a Capacity Supply Obligation must submit offers for the Real-Time Energy Market consistent with the characteristics of the resource. For purposes of calculating Real-Time NCPC Charges, DNE Dispatchable Generators shall have a generation deviation of zero.

III.13.6.1.7. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources with Capacity Supply Obligations.

III.13.6.1.7.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

(a) A Market Participant with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource having a Capacity Supply Obligation shall submit offers for its Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations into the Day- Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market in at least the MW amount described in this Section III.13.6.1.7.1; for purposes of the following comparisons, the portion of any Demand Reductions Offers or Baseline Deviation Offers not associated with Net Supply shall be increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses. The sum of the offers must be equal to or greater than the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's Capacity Supply Obligation whenever the Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations are physically available. If the Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations are physically available at a level less than the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's Capacity Supply Obligation, the sum of the offers will equal that level and shall be offered into both the Day-Ahead Energy Market and

Real-Time Energy Market. Each offer from a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation made into the Day- Ahead Energy Market shall also meet the following requirement:

- (i) the sum of the resource's notification time plus start-up time plus Minimum Run Time (or Minimum Deviation Time or Minimum Reduction Time) plus Minimum Down Time (or Minimum Time Between Deviations or Minimum Time Between Reductions) is less than or equal to 72 hours; or
- (b) Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Distributed Energy Capacity Resource comprises Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations, it is not obligated to submit Supply Offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market and may not submit Supply Offers into the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.1.7.2. Requirement that Offers Reflect Accurate Distributed Energy Capacity Resource Operating Characteristics.

For each day, Day-Ahead Energy Market and Real-Time Energy Market offers for the listed portion of a resource must reflect the then-known unit-specific operating characteristics (taking into account, among other things, the physical design characteristics of the unit) consistent with Good Utility Practice.

Resources must re-declare to the ISO any changes to the offer parameters that occur in real time to reflect the known capability of the resource.

III.13.6.1.7.3. Additional Requirements for Distributed Energy Capacity Resources.

Distributed Energy Capacity Resources having a Capacity Supply Obligation are subject to the following additional requirements:

(a) A Market Participant may not associate an Asset with a non-commercial Distributed Energy Capacity Resource during a Capacity Commitment Period if the Asset can be associated with a commercial Distributed Energy Capacity Resource whose capability is less than its Capacity Supply Obligation during that Capacity Commitment Period.

(b) Distributed Energy Capacity Resources shall comply with the Operating Data collection requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals and Market Rule 1, and with outage requirements in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures, provided, however, that the portion of a resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation is

not subject to the forced re-scheduling provisions for outages in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures.

(c) Distributed Energy Capacity Resources shall comply with the auditing and rating requirements as detailed in this Market Rule 1 and the ISO New England Manuals.

(d) For Distributed Energy Capacity Resources, the Seasonal DECR Audit Value shall be established pursuant to Section III.1.7.13.

III.13.6.2. Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation.

A resource that does not have any Capacity Supply Obligation shall comply with the requirements in this Section III.13.6.2, and shall not be subject to the requirements set forth in Section III.13.6.1 during the Capacity Commitment Period, or portion thereof, for which the resource has no Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.2.1. Generating Capacity Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.2.1.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

A Generating Capacity Resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation is not required to offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market. A Generating Capacity Resource that is a Settlement Only Resource may not offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.1.1.1. Day-Ahead Energy Market Participation.

A Generating Capacity Resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation may submit an offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market. If any portion of the offered energy clears in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, the entire Supply Offer, up to the Economic Maximum Limit offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market, will be subject to all of the rules and requirements applicable to that market for the operating day, including the obligation to follow ISO Dispatch Instructions. Such a resource that clears shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.1.1.2. Real-Time Energy Market Participation.

A Generating Capacity Resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation may submit an offer into the Real-Time Energy Market. If any portion of the offered energy clears in the Real-Time Energy Market,

the entire Supply Offer, up to the Economic Maximum Limit offered into the Real-Time Energy Market, will be subject to all of the rules and requirements applicable to that market for the Operating Day, including the obligation to follow ISO Dispatch Instructions. Such a resource shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.1.2. Additional Requirements for Generating Capacity Resources Having No Capacity Supply Obligation.

Generating Capacity Resources having no Capacity Supply Obligation are subject to the following additional requirements:

- (a) complying with the auditing and rating requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals;
- (b) complying with the Operating Data collection requirements detailed in the ISO New England Manuals; and
- (c) complying with outage requirements as outlined in the ISO New England Operating Procedures and ISO New England Manuals. Generating Capacity Resources having no Capacity Supply Obligation are not subject to the forced re-scheduling provisions for outages in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures.

III.13.6.2.2. Distributed Energy Capacity Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.2.2.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

A Market Participant with a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is not associated with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation is not required to submit offers for the resource into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market. A Market Participant with a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation is not permitted to submit an offer for that resource into the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.2.2. Day-Ahead Energy Market Participation.

A Market Participant with a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is not associated with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation may submit an offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market. If any portion of the offer clears in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, the entire

offer, up to the maximum capability offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market, will be subject to all of the rules and requirements applicable to that market for the Operating Day, including the obligation to follow Dispatch Instructions. Such a resource that clears shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market so long as it is not a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation.

III.13.6.2.2.3. Real-Time Energy Market Participation.

A Market Participant with a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is not associated with a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource with a Capacity Supply Obligation, that did not submit an offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or was offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market and did not clear, may submit an offer in the Real-Time Energy Market so long as the resource is not a Settlement Only Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation, and shall be subject to all of the requirements associated therewith. Such a resource shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.2.4. Additional Requirements for Distributed Energy Capacity Resources Having No Capacity Supply Obligation.

Distributed Energy Capacity Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation shall comply with the requirements in Section III.13.6.1.7.3.

III.13.6.2.3. Intermittent Power Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.2.3.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

An Intermittent Power Resource having no Capacity Supply Obligation is not required to offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market. An Intermittent Power Resource that is a Settlement Only Resource may not offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.3.2. Additional Requirements for Intermittent Power Resources.

Intermittent Power Resources are subject to the following additional requirements:

- (a) auditing and rating requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals; and
- (b) Operating Data collection requirements as detailed in the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.6.2.4. [Reserved.]

III.13.6.2.5. Demand Capacity Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation.

III.13.6.2.5.1. Energy Market Offer Requirements.

A Market Participant with a Demand Response Resource associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resource without a Capacity Supply Obligation is not required to offer Demand Reduction Offers for the Demand Response Resource into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

Seasonal Peak Demand Resources and On-Peak Demand Resources may not submit Demand Reduction Offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.5.1.1. Day-Ahead Energy Market Participation.

A Market Participant with a Demand Response Resource associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resource without a Capacity Supply Obligation may submit a Demand Reduction Offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market. If any portion of the Demand Reduction Offer clears in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, the entire Demand Reduction Offer, up to the Maximum Reduction offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market, will be subject to all of the rules and requirements applicable to that market for the Operating Day, including the obligation to follow Dispatch Instructions. Such a resource that clears shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.5.1.2. Real-Time Energy Market Participation.

A Market Participant with a Demand Response Resource associated with an Active Demand Capacity Resource without a Capacity Supply Obligation, that did not submit an offer into the Day-Ahead Energy Market or was offered into the Day-Ahead Energy Market and did not clear, may submit a Demand Reduction Offer in the Real-Time Energy Market and shall be subject to all of the requirements associated therewith. Such a resource shall be eligible for dispatch in the Real-Time Energy Market.

III.13.6.2.5.2. Additional Requirements for Demand Capacity Resources Having No Capacity Supply Obligation.

Demand Capacity Resources without a Capacity Supply Obligation are subject to the following additional requirements:

- (a) complying with Section III.13.6.1.5.3(a) and (b) and with the auditing and rating requirements described in Section III.13.6.1.5.5 and the ISO New England Manuals; and

(b) for Active Demand Capacity Resources, complying with the Operating Data collection requirements detailed in the ISO New England Manuals; and

(c) for Active Demand Capacity Resources, complying with outage requirements as outlined in the ISO New England Operating Procedures and ISO New England Manuals. Active Demand Capacity Resources having no Capacity Supply Obligation are not subject to the forced re-scheduling provisions for outages in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals and ISO New England Operating Procedures.

III.13.6.3. Exporting Resources.

A resource that is exporting capacity not subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation to an external Control Area shall comply with this Section III.13.6.3 and the ISO New England Manuals. Intermittent Power Resources and Demand Capacity Resources are not permitted to back a capacity export to an external Control Area. The portion of a resource without a Capacity Supply Obligation that will be used in Real-Time to support an External Transaction sale must comply with the energy market offer requirements of Section III.1.10.7.

III.13.6.4. ISO Requests for Energy.

The ISO may request that an Active Demand Capacity Resource, a Generating Capacity Resource, or a Distributed Energy Capacity Resource having capacity that is not subject to a Capacity Supply Obligation provide energy for reliability purposes in the Real-Time Energy Market, but such resource shall not be obligated under Section III.13 of this Tariff by such a request to provide energy from that capacity. If such resource does provide energy from that capacity, the resource shall be paid based on its most recent offer and is eligible for NCPC.

III.13.6.4.1. Real-Time High Operating Limit.

For purposes of facilitating ISO requests for energy under Section III.13.6.4, a Market Participant must report an up-to-date Real-Time High Operating Limit value at all times for a Generating Capacity Resource.

III.13.7. Performance, Payments and Charges in the FCM.

Revenue in the Forward Capacity Market for resources providing capacity shall be composed of Capacity Base Payments as described in Section III.13.7.1 and Capacity Performance Payments as described in Section III.13.7.2, adjusted as described in Section III.13.7.3 and Section III.13.7.4. Market Participants with a Capacity Load Obligation will be subject to charges as described in Section III.13.7.5.

In the event of a change in the Lead Market Participant for a resource that has a Capacity Supply Obligation, the Capacity Supply Obligation shall remain associated with the resource and the new Lead Market Participant for the resource shall be bound by all provisions of this Section III.13 arising from such Capacity Supply Obligation. The Lead Market Participant for the resource at the start of an Obligation Month shall be responsible for all payments and charges associated with that resource in that Obligation Month.

III.13.7.1. Capacity Base Payments.

Resources acquiring or shedding a Capacity Supply Obligation for the Obligation Month shall receive a Capacity Base Payment for the Obligation Month reflecting the payments and charges described in Section III.13.7.1.1.

III.13.7.1.1. Payments and Charges Reflecting Capacity Supply Obligations.

Each resource that has: (i) cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction, except for the portion of resources designated as Self-Supplied FCA Resources; (ii) cleared in a reconfiguration auction; or (iii) entered into a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral shall be entitled to a monthly payment or charge during the Capacity Commitment Period. Each monthly payment and charge listed in Section III.13.7.1.1 (a) through (d) below will be divided by the number of days in the month to derive a daily settlement value.

(a) **Forward Capacity Auction.** For a resource whose offer has cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction, the monthly capacity payment shall equal the product of its cleared capacity and the Capacity Clearing Price in the Capacity Zone in which the resource is located as adjusted by applicable indexing for resources with additional Capacity Commitment Period elections pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.2.4 in the manner described below. For a resource that has elected to have the Capacity Clearing Price and the Capacity Supply Obligation apply for more than one Capacity Commitment Period, payments associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation and Capacity Clearing Price (indexed using the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs in effect as of December 31 of the year preceding the Capacity Commitment Period) shall continue to apply after the Capacity Commitment Period associated

with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the offer clears, for up to six additional and consecutive Capacity Commitment Periods, in whole Capacity Commitment Period increments only.

(b) **Reconfiguration Auctions.** For a resource whose offer or bid has cleared in an annual or monthly reconfiguration auction, the monthly capacity payment or charge shall be equal to the product of its cleared capacity and the appropriate reconfiguration auction clearing price in the Capacity Zone in which the resource cleared.

(c) **Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals.** For resources that have acquired or shed a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral, the monthly capacity payment or charge shall be equal to the product of the Capacity Supply Obligation being assumed or shed and price associated with the Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral.

(d) **Substitution Auctions.** For a resource whose offer or bid has cleared in a substitution auction, the monthly capacity payment or charge shall be equal to the product of its cleared capacity and the substitution auction clearing price. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the monthly capacity charge for a demand bid cleared at a substitution auction clearing price above its bid price shall be calculated using its bid price.

III.13.7.1.3. Export Capacity.

If there are any Export Bids or Administrative Export De-List Bids from resources located in an export-constrained Capacity Zone or in the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone that have cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction and if the resource is exporting capacity at an export interface that is connected to an import-constrained Capacity Zone or the Rest-of-Pool Capacity Zone that is different than the Capacity Zone in which the resource is located, then charges and credits are applied as follows.

Charge Amount to Resource Exporting = [Capacity Clearing Price_{location of the interface} - Capacity Clearing Price_{location of the resource}] x Cleared MWs of Export Bid or Administrative Export De-List Bid]

Credit Amount to Capacity Load Obligations in the Capacity Zone where the export interface is located = [Capacity Clearing Price_{location of the interface} - Capacity Clearing Price_{location of the resource}] x Cleared MWs of Export Bid or Administrative Export De-list Bid]

Credits and charges to load in the applicable Capacity Zones, as set forth above, shall be allocated in proportion to each LSE's Capacity Load Obligation as calculated in Section III.13.7.5.2.

III.13.7.1.4. [Reserved.]

III.13.7.2 Capacity Performance Payments.

III.13.7.2.1 Definition of Capacity Scarcity Condition.

A Capacity Scarcity Condition shall exist in a Capacity Zone for any five-minute interval in which the Real-Time Reserve Clearing Price for that entire Capacity Zone is set based on the Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing for: (i) the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement; (ii) the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement; or (iii) the Zonal Reserve Requirement, each as described in Section III.2.7A(c); provided, however, that a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall not exist if the Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing results only because of resource ramping limitations that are not binding on the energy dispatch.

III.13.7.2.2 Calculation of Actual Capacity Provided During a Capacity Scarcity Condition.

For each five-minute interval in which a Capacity Scarcity Condition exists, the ISO shall calculate the Actual Capacity Provided by each resource, whether or not it has a Capacity Supply Obligation, in any Capacity Zone that is subject to the Capacity Scarcity Condition. For resources not having a Capacity Supply Obligation (including External Transactions), the Actual Capacity Provided shall be calculated using the provision below applicable to the resource type. Notwithstanding the specific provisions of this Section III.13.7.2.2, no resource shall have an Actual Capacity Provided that is less than zero.

(a) A Generating Capacity Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall be the sum of the resource's output during the interval plus the resource's Reserve Quantity For Settlement during the interval; provided, however, that if the resource's output was limited during the Capacity Scarcity Condition as a result of a transmission system limitation, then the resource's Actual Capacity Provided may not be greater than the sum of the resource's Desired Dispatch Point during the interval, plus the resource's Reserve Quantity For Settlement during the interval. Where the resource is associated with one or more External Transaction sales submitted in accordance with Section III.1.10.7(f), the resource will have its hourly Actual Capacity Provided reduced by the hourly integrated delivered MW for the External Transaction sale or sales.

(b) An Import Capacity Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition

shall be the net energy delivered during the interval in which the Capacity Scarcity Condition occurred. Where a single Market Participant owns more than one Import Capacity Resource, then the difference between the total net energy delivered from those resources and the total of the Capacity Supply Obligations of those resources shall be allocated to those resources pro rata.

(c) An On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall be the sum of the Actual Capacity Provided for each of its components, as determined below, where the MWhs of reduction, other than MWhs associated with Net Supply, are increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses.

- (i) For Energy Efficiency measures, the Actual Capacity Provided shall be zero.
- (ii) For Distributed Generation measures submitting meter data for the full 24 hour calendar day during which the Capacity Scarcity Condition occurs, the Actual Capacity Provided shall be equal to the submitted meter data, adjusted as necessary for the five-minute interval in which the Capacity Scarcity Condition occurs.
- (iii) For Load Management measures submitting meter data for the full 24 hour calendar day during which the Capacity Scarcity Condition occurs, the Actual Capacity Provided shall be equal to the submitted demand reduction data, adjusted as necessary for the five-minute interval in which the Capacity Scarcity Condition occurs.
- (iv) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section III.13.7.2.2(c), for any On-Peak Demand Resource or Seasonal Peak Demand Resource that fails to provide the data necessary for the ISO to determine the Actual Capacity Provided as described in this Section III.13.7.2.2(c), the Actual Capacity Provided shall be zero.

(d) An Active Demand Capacity Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall be the sum of the Actual Capacity Provided by its constituent Demand Response Resources during the Capacity Scarcity Condition.

- (i) A Demand Response Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall be: (1) the sum of the Real-Time demand reduction of its constituent Demand Response Assets (provided, however, that if the Demand Response Resource

was limited during the Capacity Scarcity Condition as a result of a transmission system limitation, then the sum of the Real-Time demand reduction of its constituent Demand Response Assets may not be greater than its Desired Dispatch Point during the interval), plus (2) the Demand Response Resource's Reserve Quantity For Settlement, where the MW quantity, other than the MW quantity associated with Net Supply, is increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses; provided, however, that a Demand Response Resource's Actual Capacity Provided shall not be less than zero.

- (ii) The Real-Time demand reduction of a Demand Response Asset shall be calculated as described in Section III.8.4, except that: (1) in the case of a Demand Response Asset that is on a forced or scheduled curtailment as described in Section III.8.3, a Real-Time demand reduction shall also be calculated for intervals in which the associated Demand Response Resource does not receive a non-zero Dispatch Instruction; (2) in the case of a Demand Response Asset that is on a forced or scheduled curtailment as described in Section III.8.3, the minuend in the calculation described in Section III.8.4 shall be the unadjusted Demand Response Baseline of the Demand Response Asset; and (3) the resulting MWhs of reduction, other than the MWhs associated with Net Supply, shall be increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses.

(e) A Distributed Energy Capacity Resource's Actual Capacity Provided during a Capacity Scarcity Condition shall be the sum of the Metered Quantity for Settlement and Reserve Quantity for Settlement of all the components of its constituent Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations; provided, however, that if the resource's output was limited during the Capacity Scarcity Condition as a result of a transmission system limitation, then the resource's Actual Capacity Provided may not be greater than the sum of the resource's Desired Dispatch Point during the interval, plus the resource's Reserve Quantity For Settlement during the interval. Based on the Real-Time operational coordination, the resource must follow any distribution system limitation and update its physical parameters accordingly. The Actual Capacity Provided cannot be less than zero.

- (i) The Real-Time demand reduction of a Demand Response Asset or Distributed Energy Resource associated with a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation shall be calculated as described in Section III.8.4, except that: (1) in the case of a Demand Response Asset or Distributed Energy Resource associated with a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is on a forced or scheduled

curtailment as described in Section III.8.3, a Real-Time demand reduction shall also be calculated for intervals in which the associated Demand Response Resource or Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation does not receive a non-zero Dispatch Instruction; (2) in the case of a Demand Response Asset or Distributed Energy Resource associated with a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation that is on a forced or scheduled curtailment as described in Section III.8.3, the minuend in the calculation described in Section III.8.4 shall be the unadjusted Demand Response Baseline of the Demand Response Asset or Distributed Energy Resource associated with a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation; and (3) the resulting MWhs of reduction, other than the MWhs associated with Net Supply, shall be increased by average avoided peak transmission and distribution losses.

III.13.7.2.3 Capacity Balancing Ratio.

For each five-minute interval in which a Capacity Scarcity Condition exists, the ISO shall calculate a Capacity Balancing Ratio using the following formula:

$$(\text{Load} + \text{Reserve Requirement}) / \text{Total Capacity Supply Obligation}$$

(a) If the Capacity Scarcity Condition is a result of a violation of the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement such that the associated system-wide Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing applies, then the terms used in the formula above shall be calculated as follows:

Load = the total amount of Actual Capacity Provided (excluding applicable Real-Time Reserve Designations) from all resources in the New England Control Area during the interval (with the Actual Capacity Provided of Energy Efficiency measures being zero, as specified in Section III.13.7.2.2(c)(i)).

Reserve Requirement = the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement during the interval.

Total Capacity Supply Obligation = the total amount of Capacity Supply Obligations in the New England Control Area during the interval, excluding the Capacity Supply Obligations associated with Energy Efficiency measures.

(b) If the Capacity Scarcity Condition is a result of a violation of the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement such that the associated system-wide Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing applies, then the terms used in the formula above shall be calculated as follows:

Load = the total amount of Actual Capacity Provided (excluding applicable Real-Time Reserve Designations) from all resources in the New England Control Area during the interval (with the Actual Capacity Provided of Energy Efficiency measures being zero, as specified in Section III.13.7.2.2(c)(i)).

Reserve Requirement = the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement during the interval.

Total Capacity Supply Obligation = the total amount of Capacity Supply Obligations in the New England Control Area during the interval, excluding the Capacity Supply Obligations associated with Energy Efficiency measures.

(c) If the Capacity Scarcity Condition is a result of a violation of the Zonal Reserve Requirement such that the associated Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing applies, then the terms used in the formula above shall be calculated as follows:

Load = the total amount of Actual Capacity Provided (excluding applicable Real-Time Reserve Designations) from all resources in the Capacity Zone during the interval plus the net amount of energy imported into the Capacity Zone from outside the New England Control Area during the interval (but not less than zero) (with the Actual Capacity Provided of Energy Efficiency measures being zero, as specified in Section III.13.7.2.2(c)(i)).

Reserve Requirement = the Zonal Reserve Requirement minus any reserve support coming into the Capacity Zone over the internal transmission interface.

Total Capacity Supply Obligation = the total amount of Capacity Supply Obligations in the Capacity Zone during the interval, excluding the Capacity Supply Obligations associated with Energy Efficiency measures.

(d) The following provisions shall be used to determine the applicable Capacity Balancing Ratio where more than one of the conditions described in subsections (a), (b), and (c) apply in a Capacity Zone.

(i) In any Capacity Zone subject to Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing associated with both the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement and the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement, but not the Zonal Reserve Requirement, the Capacity Balancing Ratio shall be calculated as described in Section III.13.7.2.3(a) for resources in that Capacity Zone.

(ii) In any Capacity Zone subject to Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing associated with both the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement and the Zonal Reserve Requirement, but not the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement, the Capacity Balancing Ratio for resources in that Capacity Zone shall be the higher of the Capacity Balancing Ratio calculated as described in Section III.13.7.2.3(b) and the Capacity Balancing Ratio calculated as described in Section III.13.7.2.3(c).

(iii) In any Capacity Zone subject to Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing associated with the Minimum Total Reserve Requirement and the Zonal Reserve Requirement (regardless of whether the Capacity Zone is also subject to Reserve Constraint Penalty Factor pricing associated with the Ten-Minute Reserve Requirement), the Capacity Balancing Ratio for resources in that Capacity Zone shall be the higher of the Capacity Balancing Ratio calculated as described in Section III.13.7.2.3(a) and the Capacity Balancing Ratio calculated as described in Section III.13.7.2.3(c).

III.13.7.2.4 Capacity Performance Score.

Each resource, whether or not it has a Capacity Supply Obligation, will be assigned a Capacity Performance Score for each five-minute interval in which a Capacity Scarcity Condition exists in the Capacity Zone in which the resource is located. A resource's Capacity Performance Score for the interval shall equal the resource's Actual Capacity Provided during the interval (with the Actual Capacity Provided of Energy Efficiency measures being zero, as specified in Section III.13.7.2.2(c)(i)) minus the product of the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation (which for this purpose shall not be less than zero) and the applicable Capacity Balancing Ratio; provided, however, that for an On-Peak Demand Resource or a Seasonal Peak Demand Resource, the Capacity Supply Obligation associated with any Energy Efficiency measures shall be excluded from the calculation of the resource's Capacity Performance Score. The resulting Capacity Performance Score may be positive, zero, or negative.

III.13.7.2.5 Capacity Performance Payment Rate.

For the three Capacity Commitment Periods beginning June 1, 2018 and ending May 31, 2021, the Capacity Performance Payment Rate shall be \$2000/MWh. For the three Capacity Commitment Periods beginning June 1, 2021 and ending May 31, 2024, the Capacity Performance Payment Rate shall be \$3500/MWh. For the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2024 and ending on May 31, 2025, the Capacity Performance Payment Rate shall be \$5455/MWh. For the Capacity Commitment Period beginning on June 1, 2025 and ending on May 31, 2026 and thereafter, the Capacity Performance Payment Rate shall be \$9337/MWh. The ISO shall review the Capacity Performance Payment Rate in the stakeholder process as needed and shall file with the Commission a new Capacity Performance Payment Rate if and as appropriate.

III.13.7.2.6 Calculation of Capacity Performance Payments.

For each resource, whether or not it has a Capacity Supply Obligation, the ISO shall calculate a Capacity Performance Payment for each five-minute interval in which a Capacity Scarcity Condition exists in the Capacity Zone in which the resource is located. A resource's Capacity Performance Payment for an interval shall equal the resource's Capacity Performance Score for the interval multiplied by the Capacity Performance Payment Rate. The resulting Capacity Performance Payment for an interval may be positive or negative.

III.13.7.3 Monthly Capacity Payment and Capacity Stop-Loss Mechanism.

Each resource's Monthly Capacity Payment for an Obligation Month, which may be positive or negative, shall be the sum of the resource's Capacity Base Payment for the Obligation Month plus the sum of the resource's Capacity Performance Payments for all five-minute intervals in the Obligation Month, except as provided in Section III.13.7.3.1 and Section III.13.7.3.2 below.

III.13.7.3.1 Monthly Stop-Loss.

If the sum of the resource's Capacity Performance Payments (excluding any Capacity Performance Payments associated with Actual Capacity Provided above the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation in any interval) for all five-minute intervals in the Obligation Month is negative, the amount subtracted from the resource's Capacity Base Payment for the Obligation Month will be limited to an amount equal to the product of the applicable Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price multiplied by the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the Obligation Month (or, in the case of a resource subject to a multi-year Capacity Commitment Period election made in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to the ninth Forward Capacity Auction as described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.2.4 and III.13.1.4.1.1.2.7, the amount subtracted from the resource's Capacity Base Payment for the Obligation Month will be limited to an amount equal to the

product of the applicable Capacity Clearing Price (indexed for inflation) multiplied by the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the Obligation Month).

III.13.7.3.2 Annual Stop-Loss.

(a) For each Obligation Month, the ISO shall calculate a stop-loss amount equal to:

$$\text{MaxCSO} \times [3 \text{ months} \times (\text{FCACP} - \text{FCASP}) - (12 \text{ months} \times \text{FCACP})]$$

Where:

MaxCSO = the resource's highest monthly Capacity Supply Obligation in the Capacity Commitment Period to date.

FCACP = the Capacity Clearing Price for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction.

FCASP = the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for the relevant Forward Capacity Auction.

(b) For each Obligation Month, the ISO shall calculate each resource's cumulative Capacity Performance Payments as the sum of the resource's Capacity Performance Payments for all months in the Capacity Commitment Period to date, with those monthly amounts limited as described in Section III.13.7.3.1.

(c) If the sum of the resource's Capacity Performance Payments (excluding any Capacity Performance Payments associated with Actual Capacity Provided above the resource's Capacity Supply Obligation in any interval) for all five-minute intervals in the Obligation Month is negative, the amount subtracted from the resource's Capacity Base Payment for the Obligation Month will be limited to an amount equal to the difference between the stop-loss amount calculated as described in Section III.13.7.3.2(a) and the resource's cumulative Capacity Performance Payments as described in Section III.13.7.3.2(b).

III.13.7.4 Allocation of Deficient or Excess Capacity Performance Payments.

For each type of Capacity Scarcity Condition as described in Section III.13.7.2.1 and for each Capacity Zone, the ISO shall allocate deficient or excess Capacity Performance Payments as described in

subsections (a) and (b) below. Where more than one type of Capacity Scarcity Condition applies, then the provisions below shall be applied in proportion to the duration of each type of Capacity Scarcity Condition.

(a) If the sum of all Capacity Performance Payments to all resources subject to the Capacity Scarcity Condition in the Capacity Zone in an Obligation Month is positive, the deficiency will be charged to resources in proportion to each such resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the Obligation Month, excluding any resources subject to the stop-loss mechanism described in Section III.13.7.3 for the Obligation Month and excluding any resource, or portion thereof, consisting of Energy Efficiency measures. If the charge described in this Section III.13.7.4(a) causes a resource to reach the stop-loss limit described in Section III.13.7.3, then the stop-loss cap described in Section III.13.7.3 will be applied to that resource, and the remaining deficiency will be further allocated to other resources in the same manner as described in this Section III.13.7.4(a).

(b) If the sum of all Capacity Performance Payments to all resources subject to the Capacity Scarcity Condition in the Capacity Zone in an Obligation Month is negative, the excess will be credited to all such resources (excluding any resource, or portion thereof, consisting of Energy Efficiency measures) in proportion to each resource's Capacity Supply Obligation for the Obligation Month. For a resource subject to the stop-loss mechanism described in Section III.13.7.3 for the Obligation Month, any such credit shall be reduced (though not to less than zero) by the amount not charged to the resource as a result of the application of the stop-loss mechanism described in Section III.13.7.3, and the remaining excess will be further allocated to other resources in the same manner as described in this Section III.13.7.4(b)

III.13.7.5. Charges to Market Participants with Capacity Load Obligations.

III.13.7.5.1. Calculation of Capacity Charges Prior to June 1, 2022.

The provisions in this subsection apply to charges associated with Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022. A load serving entity with a Capacity Load Obligation as of the end of the Obligation Month shall be subject to a charge equal to the product of: (a) its Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; and (b) the applicable Net Regional Clearing Price. The Net Regional Clearing Price is defined as the sum of the total payments as defined in Section III.13.7 paid to resources with Capacity Supply Obligations in the Capacity Zone (excluding any capacity payments and charges made for Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals and excluding any Capacity Performance Payments), and including any applicable export charges or credits as determined pursuant to Section III.13.7.1.3 divided

by the sum of all Capacity Supply Obligations (excluding (i) the quantity of capacity subject to Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals and (ii) the quantity of capacity clearing as Self-Supplied FCA Resources) assumed by resources in the zone. A load serving entity with a Capacity Load Obligation as of the end of the Obligation Month may also receive a failure to cover credit equal to the product of: (a) its Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone, and; (b) the sum of all failure to cover charges in the Capacity Zone calculated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(b), divided by total Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone.

III.13.7.5.1.1. Calculation of Capacity Charges On and After June 1, 2022.

The provisions in this subsection apply to charges associated with Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2022. For purposes of this Section III.13.7.5.1.1, Capacity Zone costs calculated for a Capacity Zone that contains a nested Capacity Zone shall exclude the Capacity Zone costs of the nested Capacity Zone. A Market Participant with a Capacity Load Obligation on any day of the Obligation Month shall be subject to the following charges and adjustments. Each charge and adjustment described in subsection (b) of Sections III.13.7.5.1.1.1 through III.13.7.5.1.1.9 will be divided by the number of days in the month to derive a daily settlement value.

III.13.7.5.1.1.1 Forward Capacity Auction Charge.

The FCA charge, for each Capacity Zone, is: (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) Capacity Zone FCA Costs divided by Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Capacity Zone FCA Costs, for each Capacity Zone, are the Total FCA Costs multiplied by the Zonal Peak Load Allocator and divided by the Total Peak Load Allocator.

Total FCA Costs are the sum of, for all Capacity Zones, (i) Capacity Supply Obligations in each zone (the total obligation awarded to or shed by resources in the Forward Capacity Auction process for the Obligation Month in the zone, excluding any obligations awarded to Intermittent Power Resources that are the basis for the Intermittent Power Resource Capacity Adjustment specified in Section III.13.7.5.1.1.6 and excluding any obligations procured in the Forward Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A) multiplied by the applicable clearing price from the auction in which the obligation was awarded to (or shed by) the resource, and (ii) the difference between the bid price and the substitution auction clearing price that was not included in the capacity charge pursuant to the second sentence of Section III.13.7.1.1(d).

Capacity Supply Obligations awarded to Proxy De-List Bids in the primary auction, or shed by demand bids entered into the substitution auction on behalf of a Proxy De-List Bid, are excluded from Total FCA Costs.

Zonal Peak Load Allocator is the Zonal Capacity Obligation multiplied by the zonal Capacity Clearing Price.

Total Peak Load Allocator is the sum of the Zonal Peak Load Allocators.

III.13.7.5.1.1.2 Annual Reconfiguration Auction Charge.

The total annual reconfiguration auction charge, for each Capacity Zone and each associated annual reconfiguration auction, is: (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) Capacity Zone Annual Reconfiguration Auction Costs divided by Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Capacity Zone Annual Reconfiguration Auction Costs, for each Capacity Zone, are the Total Annual Reconfiguration Costs multiplied by the Zonal Peak Load Allocator and divided by the Total Peak Load Allocator.

Total Annual Reconfiguration Auction Costs are the sum, for all Capacity Zones and each associated annual reconfiguration auction, of the product of the Capacity Supply Obligations acquired through the annual reconfiguration auction in each zone (adjusted for any obligations procured in the annual reconfiguration auction that are subsequently terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A) and the zonal annual reconfiguration auction clearing price, minus the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the product of the amount of any Capacity Supply Obligation shed through the annual reconfiguration auction in each zone and the applicable annual reconfiguration auction clearing price.

Zonal Peak Load Allocator is the Zonal Capacity Obligation multiplied by the zonal annual reconfiguration auction clearing price.

Total Peak Load Allocator is the sum of the Zonal Peak Load Allocators.

III.13.7.5.1.1.3. Monthly Reconfiguration Auction Charge.

The monthly reconfiguration auction charge is: (a) total Capacity Load Obligation for all Capacity Zones; multiplied by (b) Total Monthly Reconfiguration Auction Costs divided by Total Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Total Monthly Reconfiguration Auction Costs are the sum of, for all Capacity Zones, the product of Capacity Supply Obligations acquired through the monthly reconfiguration auction in each zone and the applicable monthly reconfiguration auction clearing price, minus the sum of, for all Capacity Zones, any Capacity Supply Obligations shed through the monthly reconfiguration auction in each zone and the applicable monthly reconfiguration auction clearing price.

Total Zonal Capacity Obligation is the total of the Zonal Capacity Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.1.1.4. HQICC Capacity Charge.

The HQICC capacity charge is: (a) total Capacity Load Obligation for all Capacity Zones; multiplied by (b) Total HQICC Credits divided by Total Capacity Load Obligation.

Where

Total HQICC credits are the product of HQICCs multiplied by the sum of the values calculated in Sections III.13.7.5.1.1.1(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.2(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.3(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.6(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.7(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.8(b), and III.13.7.5.1.1.9(b) in the Capacity Zone in which the HQ Phase I/II external node is located.

Total Capacity Load Obligation is the total Capacity Load Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.1.1.5. Self-Supply Adjustment.

The self-supply adjustment is: (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) the Self-Supply Variance divided by Total Capacity Load Obligation.

Where

Self-Supply Variance is the difference between foregone capacity payments and avoided capacity charges associated with designated self-supply quantities.

Foregone capacity payments to Self-Supplied FCA Resources are the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the product of the zonal Capacity Supply Obligation (excluding any obligations procured in the Forward Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A) designated as self-supply, multiplied by the applicable clearing price from the auction in which the obligation was awarded.

Avoided capacity charges are the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the product of any designated self-supply quantities multiplied by the sum of the values calculated in Sections III.13.7.5.1.1.1(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.2(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.3(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.6(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.7(b), III.13.7.5.1.1.8(b), and III.13.7.5.1.1.9(b) in the Capacity Zone associated with the designated self-supply quantity.

Total Capacity Load Obligation is the total Capacity Load Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.1.1.6. Intermittent Power Resource Capacity Adjustment.

The Intermittent Power Resource capacity adjustment in a winter season for the Obligation Months from October through May is: (a) total Capacity Load Obligation for all Capacity Zones; multiplied by (b) the Intermittent Power Resource Seasonal Variance divided by Total Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Intermittent Power Resource Seasonal Variance is the difference between the FCA payments for Intermittent Power Resource in the Obligation Month and the base FCA payments for Intermittent Power Resources.

FCA payments to Intermittent Power Resources are the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the product of the Capacity Supply Obligations awarded to or shed by Intermittent Power Resources in the Forward Capacity Auction process for the Obligation Month pursuant to Section III.13.2.7.6 or Section III.13.2.8.1.1 (excluding any obligations procured in the Forward Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A), multiplied by the applicable clearing price from the auction in which the obligation was awarded.

Base FCA payments for Intermittent Power Resources are the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the product of the FCA Qualified Capacity procured from or shed by Intermittent Power Resources in the Forward Capacity Auction process (excluding any obligations procured in the Forward

Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A), multiplied by the applicable clearing price from the auction in which the obligation was awarded.

Total Zonal Capacity Obligation is the total Zonal Capacity Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.1.1.7. Multi-Year Rate Election Adjustment.

For multi-year rate elections made in the primary Forward Capacity Auction for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2022, the multi-year rate election adjustment, for each Capacity Zone, is: (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) Zonal Multi-Year Rate Election Costs divided by Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Zonal Multi-Year Rate Election Costs is the sum, for each resource with a multi-year rate election in the Obligation Month, of the amount of Capacity Supply Obligation designated to receive the multi-year rate (excluding any obligations procured in the Forward Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A), multiplied by the difference in the applicable zonal Capacity Clearing Price for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource originally was awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation (indexed using the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs in effect as of December 31 of the year preceding the Capacity Commitment Period) and the applicable zonal Capacity Clearing Price for the current Capacity Commitment Period, multiplied by the Zonal Peak Load Allocator for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource originally was awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation and divided by the Total Peak Load Allocator for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource originally was awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation.

Zonal Peak Load Allocator is the Zonal Capacity Obligation multiplied by the zonal Capacity Clearing Price.

Total Peak Load Allocator is the sum of the Zonal Peak Load Allocators.

For multi-year rate elections made in the primary Forward Capacity Auction for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022, the multi-year rate election adjustment, for each Capacity Zone, is: (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) Zonal Multi-Year Rate Election Costs divided by Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Zonal Multi-Year Rate Election Costs is the sum in each Capacity Zone, for each resource with a multi-year rate election in the Obligation Month, of the amount of Capacity Supply Obligation designated to receive the multi-year rate (excluding any obligations procured in the Forward Capacity Auction that are terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A), multiplied by the difference in the applicable zonal Capacity Clearing Price for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the resource originally was awarded a Capacity Supply Obligation (indexed using the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs in effect as of December 31 of the year preceding the Capacity Commitment Period) and the applicable zonal Capacity Clearing Price for the current Capacity Commitment Period.

III.13.7.5.1.1.8 CTR Transmission Upgrade Charge.

The CTR transmission upgrade charge is: (a) the Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zones to which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity, multiplied by (b) Zonal CTR Transmission Upgrade Cost divided by Zonal Capacity Obligation.

Where

Zonal CTR Transmission Upgrade Cost for each Capacity Zone to which the interface limits the transfer of capacity is the amount calculated pursuant to Section III.13.7.5.4.4 (f), multiplied by the Zonal Capacity Obligation and divided by the sum of the Zonal Capacity Obligation for all Capacity Zones to which the interface limits the transfer of capacity.

III.13.7.5.1.1.9 CTR Pool-Planned Unit Charge.

The CTR Pool-Planned Unit charge is: (a) the total Capacity Load Obligation in all Capacity Zones less the amount of any CTRs specifically allocated pursuant to Section III.13.7.5.4.5, multiplied by (b) CTR Pool-Planned Unit Cost divided by Total Zonal Capacity Obligation less the amount of any CTRs specifically allocated pursuant to Section III.13.7.5.4.5.

Where

The CTR Pool-Planned Unit Cost for each Capacity Zone is the sum of the amounts calculated pursuant to Section III.13.7.5.4.5 (b).

Total Zonal Capacity Obligation is the total of the Zonal Capacity Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.1.1.10. Failure to Cover Charge Adjustment.

The failure to cover charge adjustment, for each Capacity Zone, is (a) Capacity Load Obligation in the Capacity Zone; multiplied by (b) Zonal Failure to Cover Charges divided by Total Capacity Load Obligation.

Where:

Zonal Failure to Cover Charges are the product of: (1) the sum, for all Capacity Zones, of the failure to cover charges calculated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4(b), and; (2) the Zonal Peak Load Allocator and divided by the Total Peak Load Allocator.

Zonal Peak Load Allocator is the Zonal Capacity Obligation multiplied by the zonal annual reconfiguration auction clearing price as determined pursuant to Section III.13.3.4.

Total Peak Load Allocator is the sum of the Zonal Peak Load Allocators.

Total Capacity Load Obligation is the total Capacity Load Obligation in all Capacity Zones.

III.13.7.5.2. Calculation of Capacity Load Obligation and Zonal Capacity Obligation.

The ISO shall assign each Market Participant a share of the Zonal Capacity Obligation prior to the commencement of each Obligation Month for each Capacity Zone established in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.4. The Zonal Capacity Obligation of a Capacity Zone that contains a nested Capacity Zone shall exclude the Zonal Capacity Obligation of the nested Capacity Zone.

Zonal Capacity Obligation for each month and Capacity Zone shall equal the product of: (i) the total of the system-wide Capacity Supply Obligations (excluding the quantity of capacity subject to Capacity Supply Obligation Bilaterals for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022 and excluding any additional obligations awarded to Intermittent Power Resources pursuant to Section III.13.2.7.6 that exceed the FCA Qualified Capacity procured in the Forward Capacity Auction for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2022) plus HQICCs; and (ii) the ratio of the sum of all load serving entities' annual coincident contributions to the system-wide annual peak load in that Capacity Zone from the calendar year two years prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022) and from the calendar year one year prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or

after June 1, 2022) to the system-wide sum of all load serving entities' annual coincident contributions to the system-wide annual peak load from the calendar year two years prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022) and from the calendar year one year prior to the start of the Capacity Commitment Period (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning on or after June 1, 2022).

The following loads are assigned a peak contribution of zero for the purposes of assigning obligations and tracking load shifts: load associated with the receipt of electricity from the grid by Storage DARDs for later injection of electricity back to the grid; when all load of a Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation participating as a Storage DARD is load associated with the receipt of electricity from the grid for later injection of electricity back to the grid; Station service load that is modeled as a discrete Load Asset and the Resource is complying with the maintenance scheduling procedures of the ISO; load that is modeled as a discrete Load Asset and is exclusively related to an Alternative Technology Regulation Resource following AGC Dispatch Instructions; and transmission losses associated with delivery of energy over the Control Area tie lines.

A Market Participant's share of Zonal Capacity Obligation for each day of the month and each Capacity Zone shall equal the product of: (i) the Capacity Zone's Zonal Capacity Obligation as calculated above and (ii) the ratio of the sum of the load serving entity's daily Coincident Peak Contributions, to the sum of all load serving entities' daily Coincident Peak Contributions in that Capacity Zone.

A Market Participant's Capacity Load Obligation shall be its share of Zonal Capacity Obligation for each day of the month and each Capacity Zone, adjusted as appropriate to account for any relevant Capacity Load Obligation Bilaterals, HQICCs, and Self-Supplied FCA Resource designations. A Capacity Load Obligation can be a positive or negative value.

A Market Participant's share of Zonal Capacity Obligation will not be reconstituted to include the demand reduction of a Demand Capacity Resource, Demand Response Resource, or a Demand Response Distributed Energy Resource Aggregation.

III.13.7.5.2.1. Charges Associated with Dispatchable Asset Related Demands.

Dispatchable Asset Related Demand resources will not receive Forward Capacity Market payments, but instead each Dispatchable Asset Related Demand resource will receive an adjustment to its share of the associated Coincident Peak Contribution based on the ability of the Dispatchable Asset Related Demand

resource to reduce consumption. The adjustment to a load serving entity's Coincident Peak Contribution resulting from Dispatchable Asset Related Demand resource reduction in consumption shall be based on the Nominated Consumption Limit submitted for the Dispatchable Asset Related Demand resource. The Nominated Consumption Limit value of each Dispatchable Asset Related Demand resource is subject to adjustment as further described in the ISO New England Manuals, including adjustments based on the results of Nominated Consumption Limit audits performed in accordance with the ISO New England Manuals.

III.13.7.5.3. Excess Revenues.

(a) For Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022, revenues collected from load serving entities in excess of revenues paid by the ISO to resources shall be paid by the ISO to the holders of Capacity Transfer Rights, as detailed in Section III.13.7.5.3.

(b) Any payment associated with a Capacity Supply Obligation Bilateral that was to accrue to a Capacity Acquiring Resource for a Capacity Supply Obligation that is terminated pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A shall instead be allocated to Market Participants based on their pro rata share of all Capacity Load Obligations in the Capacity Zone in which the terminated resource is located.

III.13.7.5.4. Capacity Transfer Rights.

III.13.7.5.4.1. Definition and Payments to Holders of Capacity Transfer Rights.

This subsection applies to Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022.

Capacity Transfer Rights are calculated for each internal interface associated with a Capacity Zone established in the Forward Capacity Auction (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.4). Based upon results of the Forward Capacity Auction and reconfiguration auctions, the total CTR fund will be calculated as the difference between the charges to load serving entities with Capacity Load Obligations and the payments to Capacity Resources as follows: The system-wide sum of the product of each Capacity Zone's Net Regional Clearing Price and absolute value of each Capacity Zone's Capacity Load Obligations, as calculated in Section III.13.7.5.1, minus the sum of the monthly capacity payments to Capacity Resources within each zone.

Each Capacity Zone established in the Forward Capacity Auction (as determined pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.4) will be assigned its portion of the CTR fund.

For CTRs resulting from an export constrained zone, the assignment will be calculated as the product of:

(i) the Net Regional Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone to which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity minus the Net Regional Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone from which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity; and (ii) the difference between the absolute value of the total Capacity Supply Obligations obtained in the exporting Capacity Zone, adjusted for Capacity Supply Obligations associated with Self-Supplied FCA Resources, and the absolute value of the total Capacity Load Obligations in the exporting Capacity Zone.

For CTRs resulting from an import constrained zone, the assignment will be calculated as the product of:

(i) the Net Regional Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone to which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity minus the Net Regional Clearing Price for the absolute value of the Capacity Zone from which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity; and (ii) the difference between absolute value of the total Capacity Load Obligations in the importing Capacity Zone and the total Capacity Supply Obligations obtained in the importing Capacity Zone, adjusted for Capacity Supply Obligations associated with Self-Supplied FCA Resources.

III.13.7.5.4.2. Allocation of Capacity Transfer Rights.

This subsection applies to Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022.

For Capacity Zones established in the Forward Capacity Auction as determined pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.4, the CTR fund shall be allocated among load serving entities using their Capacity Load Obligation (net of HQICCs) described in Section III.13.7.5.1. Market Participants with CTRs specifically allocated under Section III.13.7.5.3.6 will have their specifically allocated CTR MWs netted from their Capacity Load Obligation used to establish their share of the CTR fund.

(a) **Connecticut Import Interface.** The allocation of the CTR fund associated with the Connecticut Import Interface shall be made to load serving entities based on their Capacity Load Obligation in the Connecticut Capacity Zone.

(b) **NEMA/Boston Import Interface.** Except as provided in Section III.13.7.5.3.6 of Market Rule 1, the allocation of the CTR fund associated with the NEMA/Boston Import Interface shall be made to load serving entities based on their Capacity Load Obligation in the NEMA/Boston Capacity Zone.

III.13.7.5.4.3. Allocations of CTRs Resulting From Revised Capacity Zones.

This subsection applies to Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022.

The portion of the CTR fund associated with revised definitions of Capacity Zones shall be fully allocated to load serving entities after deducting the value of applicable CTRs that have been specifically allocated. Allocations of the CTR fund among load serving entities will be made using their Capacity Load Obligations (net of HQICCs) as described in Section III.13.7.5.3.1. Market Participants with CTRs specifically allocated under Section III.13.7.5.3.6 will have their specifically allocated CTR MWs netted from the Capacity Load Obligation used to establish their share of the CTR fund.

- (a) **Import Constraints.** The allocation of the CTR fund associated with newly defined import-constrained Capacity Zones restricting the transfer of capacity into a single adjacent import-constrained Capacity Zone shall be allocated to load serving entities with Capacity Load Obligations in that import-constrained Capacity Zone.
- (b) **Export Constraints.** The allocation of the CTR fund associated with newly defined export-constrained Capacity Zones shall be allocated to load serving entities with Capacity Load Obligations on the import-constrained side of the interface.

III.13.7.5.4.4. Specifically Allocated CTRs Associated with Transmission Upgrades.

- (a) A Market Participant that pays for transmission upgrades not funded through the Pool PTF Rate and which increase transfer capability across existing or potential Capacity Zone interfaces may request a specifically allocated CTR in an amount equal to the number of CTRs supported by that increase in transfer capability.
- (b) The allocation of additional CTRs created through generator interconnections completed after February 1, 2009 shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the ISO generator interconnection or planning standards. In the event the ISO interconnection or planning standards do not address this issue, the CTRs created shall be allocated in the same manner as described in Section III.13.7.5.4.2.
- (c) Specifically allocated CTRs shall expire when the Market Participant ceases to pay to support the transmission upgrades.

(d) CTRs resulting from transmission upgrades funded through the Pool PTF Rate shall not be specifically allocated but shall be allocated in the same manner as described in Section III.13.7.5.4.2.

(e) **Maine Export Interface.** Casco Bay shall receive specifically allocated CTRs of 325 MW across the Maine export interface for as long as Casco Bay continues to pay to support the transmission upgrades.

(f) The value of CTRs specifically allocated pursuant to this Section shall be calculated as the product of: (i) the Capacity Clearing Price to which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity minus the Capacity Clearing Price from which the applicable interface limits the transfer of capacity; and (ii) the MW quantity of the specifically allocated CTRs across the applicable interface. This value will be divided by the number of days in the month to derive a daily settlement value.

III.13.7.5.4.5. Specifically Allocated CTRs for Pool-Planned Units.

(a) In import-constrained Capacity Zones, in recognition of longstanding life of unit contracts, the municipal utility entitlement holder of a resource constructed as Pool-Planned Units shall receive for each Capacity Commitment Period an allocation of CTRs for each such unit that is the product of: (i) the MW quantity of capacity that the unit cleared in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period; and (ii) the municipal utility's ownership entitlements in such unit. The CTRs allocated pursuant to this Section may be designated as self-supply quantities as described in Section III.13.1.6. Municipal utility ownership entitlements are set as shown in the table below and are not transferrable.

	Millstone 3	Seabrook	Stonybrook GT 1A	Stonybrook GT 1B	Stonybrook GT 1C	Stonybrook 2A	Stonybrook 2B	Wyman 4
Danvers	0.2627%	1.1124%	8.4569%	8.4569%	8.4569%	11.5551%	11.5551%	0.0000%
Georgetown	0.0208%	0.0956%	0.7356%	0.7356%	0.7356%	1.0144%	1.0144%	0.0000%
Ipswich	0.0608%	0.1066%	0.2934%	0.2934%	0.2934%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Marblehead	0.1544%	0.1351%	2.6840%	2.6840%	2.6840%	1.5980%	1.5980%	0.2793%
Middleton	0.0440%	0.3282%	0.8776%	0.8776%	0.8776%	1.8916%	1.8916%	0.1012%
Peabody	0.2969%	1.1300%	13.0520%	13.0520%	13.0520%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Reading	0.4041%	0.6351%	14.4530%	14.4530%	14.4530%	19.5163%	19.5163%	0.0000%
Wakefield	0.2055%	0.3870%	3.9929%	3.9929%	3.9929%	6.3791%	6.3791%	0.4398%
Ashburnham	0.0307%	0.0652%	0.6922%	0.6922%	0.6922%	0.9285%	0.9285%	0.0000%
Boylston	0.0264%	0.0849%	0.5933%	0.5933%	0.5933%	0.9120%	0.9120%	0.0522%
Braintree	0.0000%	0.6134%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Groton	0.0254%	0.1288%	0.8034%	0.8034%	0.8034%	1.0832%	1.0832%	0.0000%
Hingham	0.1007%	0.4740%	3.9815%	3.9815%	3.9815%	5.3307%	5.3307%	0.0000%
Holden	0.0726%	0.3971%	2.2670%	2.2670%	2.2670%	3.1984%	3.1984%	0.0000%
Holyoke	0.3194%	0.3096%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	2.8342%	2.8342%	0.6882%
Hudson	0.1056%	1.6745%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.3395%
Hull	0.0380%	0.1650%	1.4848%	1.4848%	1.4848%	2.1793%	2.1793%	0.1262%
Littleton	0.0536%	0.1093%	1.5115%	1.5115%	1.5115%	3.0607%	3.0607%	0.1666%
Mansfield	0.1581%	0.7902%	5.0951%	5.0951%	5.0951%	7.2217%	7.2217%	0.0000%

Middleborough	0.1128%	0.5034%	2.0657%	2.0657%	2.0657%	4.9518%	4.9518%	0.1667%
North Attleborough	0.1744%	0.3781%	3.2277%	3.2277%	3.2277%	5.9838%	5.9838%	0.1666%
Pascoag	0.0000%	0.1068%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Paxton	0.0326%	0.0808%	0.6860%	0.6860%	0.6860%	0.9979%	0.9979%	0.0000%
Shrewsbury	0.2323%	0.5756%	3.9105%	3.9105%	3.9105%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.4168%
South Hadley	0.5755%	0.3412%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Sterling	0.0294%	0.2044%	0.7336%	0.7336%	0.7336%	1.1014%	1.1014%	0.0000%
Taunton	0.0000%	0.1003%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%
Templeton	0.0700%	0.1926%	1.3941%	1.3941%	1.3941%	2.3894%	2.3894%	0.0000%
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	0.0000%	0.0000%	2.2008%	2.2008%	2.2008%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0330%
West Boylston	0.0792%	0.1814%	1.2829%	1.2829%	1.2829%	2.3041%	2.3041%	0.0000%
Westfield	1.1131%	0.3645%	9.0452%	9.0452%	9.0452%	13.5684%	13.5684%	0.7257%

Allocation of CTRs as described in this Section shall expire on December 31, 2040. If a unit listed in the table above retires prior to December 31, 2040, however, allocation of CTRs for such unit shall expire upon retirement. In the event that the NEMA zone either becomes or is forecast to become a separate zone for Forward Capacity Auction purposes, National Grid agrees to discuss with Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (“MMWEC”) and Wellesley Municipal Light Plant, Reading Municipal Light Plant and Concord Municipal Light Plant (“WRC”) any proposal by National Grid to develop cost effective transmission improvements that would mitigate or alleviate the import constraints and to work cooperatively and in good faith with MMWEC and WRC regarding any such proposal. MMWEC and WRC agree to support any proposals advanced by National Grid in the regional system planning process to construct any such transmission improvements, provided that MMWEC and WRC determine that the proposed improvements are cost effective (without regard to CTRs) and will mitigate or alleviate the import constraints.

(b) For any CTRs specifically allocated pursuant to this Section that are not designated self-supply quantities for the Capacity Commitment Period, the municipal utility entitlement holder shall receive a credit reflecting the value of such remaining CTRs. The value of each remaining CTR shall be calculated as the product of: (i) the Capacity Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone where the load of the municipal utility entitlement holder is located minus the Capacity Clearing Price for the Capacity Zone in which the Pool-Planned Unit is located; and (ii) the remaining MW quantity. This value will be divided by the number of days in the month to derive a daily settlement value.

III.13.7.5.5. Forward Capacity Market Net Charge Amount.

The Forward Capacity Market net charge amount for each Market Participant as of the end of the Obligation Month shall be equal to the sum of: (a) its Capacity Load Obligation charges; (b) its revenues from any applicable specifically allocated CTRs; (c) its share of the CTR fund (for Capacity Commitment Periods beginning prior to June 1, 2022); and (d) any applicable export charges.

III.13.8. Reporting and Price Finality

III.13.8.1. Filing of Certain Determinations Made By the ISO Prior to the Forward Capacity Auction and Challenges Thereto.

(a) For each Forward Capacity Auction, no later than 90 days prior to the first day of the auction, the ISO shall make a filing with the Commission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act describing the Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids established pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.2, including any price adjustments made pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.3.1.5(d). The ISO will file the following information confidentially: the determinations made by the Internal Market Monitor with respect to each Permanent De-List Bid and Retirement De-List Bid, and supporting documentation for each such determination. The confidential filing shall indicate those resources that will permanently de-list or retire prior to the Forward Capacity Auction and those Permanent De-List Bids and Retirement De-List Bids for which a Lead Market Participant has made an election pursuant to Section III.13.1.2.4.1.

(b) The Forward Capacity Auction shall be conducted using the determinations as approved by the Commission (unless the Commission directs otherwise), and challenges to Capacity Clearing Prices resulting from the Forward Capacity Auction shall be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.8.2(c).

(c) For the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period), the informational filing required under this Section III.13.8.1(c) shall be submitted to the Commission on November 22, 2023. For each Forward Capacity Auction, no later than 90 days prior to the first day of the auction, the ISO shall make an informational filing with the Commission detailing the following determinations made by the ISO with respect to that Forward Capacity Auction, and providing supporting documentation for each such determination, provided, however, that the determinations in subsections (vi), (vii), (viii), and (ix) below shall be filed confidentially with the Commission in the informational filing, except determinations on which new resources have been rejected due to ~~overlapping interconnection~~ deliverability impacts (the determinations in subsections (vi), (vii), (viii), and (ix) shall be published by the ISO no later than 15 days after the Forward Capacity Auction, with the exception of bid price and offer price information and submitted Load-Side Relationship Certifications, which shall remain confidential):

(i) which Capacity Zones shall be modeled in the Forward Capacity Auction;

- (ii) the transmission interface limits as determined pursuant to Section III.12.5;
- (iii) which existing and proposed transmission lines the ISO determines will be in service by the start of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction;
- (iv) the expected amount of installed capacity in each modeled Capacity Zone during the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the Forward Capacity Auction, and the Local Sourcing Requirement for each modeled import-constrained Capacity Zone and the Maximum Capacity Limit for each modeled export-constrained Capacity Zone;
- (v) for each resource that submitted a Load-Side Relationship Certification, the following information: the resource technology type; which qualifying circumstance in Section III.A.21.1.3 was asserted in the Load-Side Relationship Certification; the relevant state policy asserted in the Load-Side Relationship Certification, if any; whether the ISO accepted or rejected the Load-Side Relationship Certification; and, consequently, whether the resource was subject to a review for the exercise of buyer-side market power;
- (vi) which new resources are accepted and rejected in the qualification process to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction;
- (vii) which new resources were not reviewed for an exercise of buyer-side market power because of one of the conditions described in Sections III.A.21.1.1, III.A.21.1.2, or III.A.21.1.3; the condition met by each such resource; and, for new resources that submitted a Load-Side Relationship Certification, the Load-Side Relationship Certification submitted by the resource;
- (viii) the Internal Market Monitor's determinations made as part of any buyer-side market power review conducted pursuant to Section III.A.21.2 and any New Resource Offer Floor Price determinations made pursuant to Section III.A.21.3 with regard to a new resource, and the basis for any such determinations; for the avoidance of doubt, any information employed by the Internal Market Monitor in making these determinations related to the potential impact of a New Capacity Resource's offer on Capacity Clearing Prices, including any such information filed by the ISO in response to a pleading filed with the Commission, shall be filed confidentially and

shall not be released to any entity, including to the Project Sponsor whose offer is the subject of dispute;

(ix) the Internal Market Monitor's determinations regarding offers or Static De-List Bids, Export Bids, and Administrative De-List Bids submitted during the qualification process made according to the provisions of this Section III.13, including an explanation of the Internal Market Monitor-determined prices established for any Static De-List Bids, Export Bids, and Administrative De-List Bids as described in Section III.13.1.2.3.2 based on the Internal Market Monitor review and the resource's net going forward costs, reasonable expectations about the resource's Capacity Performance Payments, reasonable risk premium assumptions, and reasonable opportunity costs as determined by the Internal Market Monitor. The filing shall identify to the extent possible the components of the bid which were accepted as justified, and shall also identify to the extent possible the components of the bid which were not justified and which resulted in the Internal Market Monitor establishing an Internal Market Monitor-determined price for the bid;

(x) which existing resources are qualified to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction (this information will include resource type, capacity zone, and qualified MW); and

(xi) aggregate MW from new resources qualified to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction and aggregate de-list bid amounts.

(d) Any comments or challenges to the determinations contained in the informational filing described in Section III.13.8.1(c) or in the qualification determination notifications described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.8, III.13.1.2.4(b), III.13.1.3.5.7, III.13.1.4.1.1.6, and III.13.1.4A.1.1.7 must be filed with the Commission no later than 15 days after the ISO's submission of the informational filing. If the Commission does not issue an order within 75 days after the ISO's submission of the informational filing (for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period) this period shall be 61 days) that directs otherwise, the determinations contained in the informational filing shall be used in conducting the Forward Capacity Auction, and challenges to Capacity Clearing Prices resulting from the Forward Capacity Auction shall be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.8.2(c). If within 75 days after the ISO's submission of the informational filing (for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028

Capacity Commitment Period) this period shall be 61 days), the Commission does issue an order modifying one or more of the ISO's determinations, then the Forward Capacity Auction shall be conducted no earlier than 15 days following that order (for the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (associated with the 2027-2028 Capacity Commitment Period) this period shall be 14 days) using the determinations as modified by the Commission (unless the Commission directs otherwise), and challenges to Capacity Clearing Prices resulting from the Forward Capacity Auction shall be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.8.2(c).

III.13.8.2. Filing of Forward Capacity Auction Results and Challenges Thereto.

(a) As soon as practicable after the Forward Capacity Auction is complete, the ISO shall file the results of that Forward Capacity Auction with the Commission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act, including the final set of Capacity Zones resulting from the auction, the Capacity Clearing Price in each of those Capacity Zones (and the Capacity Clearing Price associated with certain imports pursuant to Section III.13.2.3.3(d), if applicable), the substitution auction clearing prices and the total amount of payments associated with any demand bids cleared at a substitution auction clearing price above their demand bid prices, and a list of which resources received Capacity Supply Obligations in each Capacity Zone and the amount of those Capacity Supply Obligations. ~~Upon completion of the fourth and future auctions, such list of resources that receive Capacity Supply Obligation shall also specify which resources cleared as Conditional Qualified New Resources. Upon completion of the fourth and future auctions, the filing shall also list each Long Lead Time Facility, as defined in Schedule 22 or Schedule 25 of Section II of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff, that secured a Queue Position to participate as a New Generating Capacity Resource in the Forward Capacity Auction and each resource with lower queue priority that was selected in the Forward Capacity Auction subject to a Long Lead Time Facility with the higher queue priority.~~ The filing shall also enumerate de-list bids rejected for reliability reasons pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5, and the reasons for those rejections:

(b) The filing of Forward Capacity Auction results made pursuant to this Section III.13.8.2 shall also include documentation regarding the competitiveness of the Forward Capacity Auction, which may include a certification from the auctioneer and the ISO that: (i) all entities offering and bidding in the Forward Capacity Auction were properly qualified in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.1; and (ii) the Forward Capacity Auction was conducted in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13.

(c) Any objection to the Forward Capacity Auction results must be filed with the Commission within 45 days after the ISO's filing of the Forward Capacity Auction results. The filing of a timely objection with the Commission will be the exclusive means of challenging the Forward Capacity Auction results.

(d) Any change to the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff affecting the Forward Capacity Market or the Forward Capacity Auction that is filed after the results of a Forward Capacity Auction have been accepted or approved by the Commission shall not affect those Forward Capacity Auction results.

II.19 Study Procedures For Regional Network Service Requests

II.19.1 Notice of Need for ~~System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study Evaluation: After receiving a request for service, the ISO shall review the effect of the requested service on the reliability requirements to meet existing and pending obligations of any affected Transmission Owner(s) and on the obligations of the particular PTO(s) whose PTF facilities will be impacted by the proposed service and shall determine on a non-discriminatory basis whether ~~evaluation as part of a Cluster Study~~ System Impact Study is needed. ~~A description of the methodology for completing a Cluster Study~~ System Impact Study is provided in Attachment D to this OATT. If the ISO determines that ~~evaluation as part of a Cluster Study~~ System Impact Study is necessary to accommodate the requested service, it shall, as soon as practicable, so inform the Eligible Customer and any affected Transmission Owner(s), and so inform the PTO(s) if ~~a portion of the Cluster Study evaluation of an RNS request~~ the System Impact Study is to be performed by the PTO(s). If the likely result of the study is that a Direct Assignment Facility will be required, the study shall be performed by the affected PTO(s), subject to review by the ISO. ~~In such cases, the ISO shall within thirty (30) days of receipt of a Completed Application, tender a System Impact Study agreement in the form of Attachment I to this OATT, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, pursuant to which the Eligible Customer shall agree to reimburse the ISO and any affected Transmission Owner(s) for performing or participating in the required System Impact Study.~~ For a service request to remain a Completed Application, the Eligible Customer shall execute a ~~System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study Agreement and return it to the ISO during the next Cluster Request Window together with the study and Commercial Readiness deposits and technical data required of new requests for NR Interconnection Service by Schedule 22 (for requests greater than 20 MW) and Schedule 23 (for requests of up to 20 MW). If the Eligible Customer elects not to execute a ~~System Impact~~ Cluster Study Agreement, its Application shall be deemed withdrawn and its deposit (less the reasonable administrative costs incurred by the ISO and any affected Transmission Owner(s)) shall be returned with Interest.

~~II.19.2 System Impact Study Agreement and Cost Reimbursement:~~

- ~~(a) The System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study agreement, whether in the form detailed in Attachment I or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, will clearly specify the ISO's actual estimate of the actual cost, and time for completion of the System Impact Study. The actual charge shall not exceed the actual cost of the study. The System Impact Study shall rely, to the extent reasonably practicable, on existing transmission planning studies. The Eligible Customer will not be assessed a charge for such existing studies; however, the Eligible Customer will be responsible for charges associated with any modifications to existing planning studies that are reasonably necessary to evaluate the impact of the Eligible Customer's request for service on the PTF.

~~(b) If in response to multiple Eligible Customers requesting the service in relation to the same competitive solicitation, a single System Impact Study to accommodate the service, the costs of that study shall be prorated among the Eligible Customers.~~

~~(c) For System Impact Studies conducted on behalf of a Transmission Owner, the Transmission Owners on whose behalf the System Impact Study is conducted will record the cost of the System Impact Studies pursuant to Section II.8.5 of this OATT.~~

~~**II.19.3 System Impact Study Procedures:** Upon receipt of an executed System Impact Study agreement, the ISO and any affected Transmission Owners and indirectly affected MTOs or OTOs will use due diligence to complete the required System Impact Study within a sixty day period. The System Impact Study, if required, shall identify any system constraints, or the need for additional Direct Assignment Facilities or other facility additions or upgrades to provide the requested service. In the event that the ISO and the PTO designated to perform the study are unable to complete the required System Impact Study within such time period, the ISO shall so notify the Eligible Customer and provide an estimated completion date along with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required to complete the required studies and an estimate of any increase in cost which will result from the delay. A copy of the completed System Impact Study and related work papers shall be made available to the Eligible Customer as soon as the System Impact Study is complete. The ISO will use the same due diligence in completing the System Impact Study for an Eligible Customer as it uses when completing studies for the Transmission Owners. The ISO shall notify the Eligible Customer immediately upon completion of the System Impact Study if the New England Transmission System will be adequate to accommodate all or part of a request for service or that no costs are likely to be incurred for new transmission facilities or upgrades. In order for a request to remain a Completed Application, within fifteen (15) days of completion of the System Impact Study the Eligible Customer must execute a Transmission Service Agreement(s) or request the filing of an unexecuted Transmission Service Agreement(s), or the Application shall be deemed terminated and withdrawn.~~

~~**II.19.2 Facilities Study Procedures:** If a System Impact Study/Cluster Study indicates that additions or upgrades to the PTF are needed to supply the Eligible Customer's service or to mitigate indirect impacts on the MTF or OTF facilities, the Facilities Study Procedures in Schedules 22 and 23 will apply. ~~the ISO, within thirty (30) days of the completion of the System Impact Study/Cluster Study, shall tender to the Eligible Customer a Facilities Study agreement in the form of Attachment J to this OATT, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, which is to be entered into by the Eligible Customer and the ISO and, if deemed necessary by the ISO, by one or more affected PTO(s) and pursuant to which the Eligible Customer shall agree to reimburse the ISO and any affected PTO(s) for performing the required Facilities Study. For a service request to remain a Completed Application, the Eligible Customer shall execute the Facilities Study agreement and return it to the ISO within fifteen (15) days. If the Eligible Customer elects not to execute a Facilities Study agreement, its Application shall be deemed withdrawn and its deposit, if any (less the reasonable Administrative Costs incurred by the ISO and any affected entities), shall be~~~~

~~returned with Interest. Upon receipt of an executed Facilities Study agreement, the ISO and any affected PTO(s), will use reasonable efforts due diligence to complete the required Facilities Study within a sixty day period. If the ISO and any affected PTO(s) are unable to complete the Facilities Study in the allotted time period, the ISO shall notify the Eligible Customer and provide an estimate of the time needed to reach a final determination and any resulting increase in the cost, along with an explanation of the reasons that additional time is required to complete the study. When completed, the Facilities Study will include a good faith estimate of (i) the cost of Direct Assignment Facilities to be charged to the Eligible Customer, (ii) the Eligible Customer's appropriate share of the cost of any required Transmission Upgrades, and (iii) the time required to complete such construction and initiate the requested service. The Eligible Customer shall provide a letter of credit or other reasonable form of security acceptable to the affected PTO(s) or other entities that will be responsible for the construction of the new facilities or upgrades equivalent to the costs of new facilities or upgrades consistent with commercial practices as established by the Uniform Commercial Code. The Eligible Customer shall have thirty (30) days to execute a Transmission Service Agreement(s) or request the filing of an unexecuted Transmission Service Agreement(s) and provide the required letter of credit or other form of security or the request no longer will be a Completed Application and shall be deemed terminated and withdrawn. In addition to the foregoing, each Facilities Study shall, if requested by the Transmission Customer, contain a non-binding estimate from the ISO of the Incremental ARRs, if any, resulting from the construction of the new facilities. After completion of the transmission upgrade or expansion, the ISO shall determine the Incremental ARRs, if any, resulting from the upgrade or expansion. The Transmission Customer shall be responsible for the cost of any study required to determine the Incremental ARRs.~~

II.19.3 Penalties for Failure to Meet Study Deadlines:

~~Regional Network Service Requests that require evaluation as part of a eCluster sStudy shall be subject to the deadlines described in Sections 3.5.2.1, 3.5.2.2, and 3.5.2.3 of Schedule 22 and the penalty provisions described in Section 3.9 of Schedule 22. Sections 19.3 and 19.4 require the ISO to use due diligence to meet 60 day study completion deadlines for System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies.~~

- ~~(i) — The ISO is required to file a notice with the Commission in the event that more than twenty (20) percent of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies completed by the ISO in any two consecutive calendar quarters are not completed within the 60 day study completion deadlines. Such notice must be filed within thirty (30) days of the end of the calendar quarter triggering the notice requirement.~~
- ~~(ii) — For the purposes of calculating the percent of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies processed outside of the 60day study completion deadlines, the ISO shall consider all System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies that it completes during the calendar quarter. The percentage should be calculated by dividing the number of those studies which are completed on time by the total number of completed studies. The ISO may provide an explanation in its notification filing to~~

~~the Commission if it believes there are extenuating circumstances that prevented it from meeting the 60-day study completion deadlines.~~

- ~~(iii) — The ISO is subject to an operational penalty if it completes ten (10) percent or more of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies outside of the 60-day study completion deadlines for each of the two calendar quarters immediately following the quarter that triggered its notification filing to the Commission. The operational penalty will be assessed for each calendar quarter for which an operational penalty applies, starting with the calendar quarter immediately following the quarter that triggered the ISO's notification filing to the Commission. The operational penalty will continue to be assessed each quarter until the ISO completes at least ninety (90) percent of all System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies within the 60-day deadline.~~

~~For penalties assessed in accordance with subsection (iii) above, the penalty amount for each System Impact Study or Facilities Study shall be equal to \$500 for each day the ISO takes to complete that study beyond the 60-day deadline.~~

II.19.4 Clustering of Additional Provisions Regarding Regional Network Service Studies:

- ~~(a) Cluster Studies Request: The ISO, on its own initiative, or at the request of a group of Eligible Customers may consider studying specified requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster for the purpose of the System Impact Study and Facilities Study.~~
- ~~(b) Notice of Study Cluster: At the same time that the ISO informs the Eligible Customers that a System Impact Study or a Facilities Study is necessary to accommodate the requested Regional Network Service in accordance with Sections II.19.1 and II.19.4 of this OATT, the ISO will also notify the Eligible Customers, either in response to their joint request or on its own initiative that (i) studying specific multiple requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster may result in a more efficient study process or may result in a more efficient and economic construction of the new facilities or upgrades and (ii) it can reasonably accommodate the cluster study, in light of the complexity involved in studying multiple requests for service simultaneously and the time necessary to perform a cluster study, as specified in Sections II.19.3 and II.19.4 of this OATT. If an Eligible Customer chooses not to have its request for Regional Network Service studied as part of the cluster, it shall have ten (10) days from the date that the ISO notifies the Eligible Customer of its intent to study specific multiple requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster to inform the ISO of its determination to have its request studied separately.~~
- ~~(ae) Cluster Study Process and Procedures: For all Regional Network Service Requests that require Cluster Study evaluation, the Eligible eCustomer and the Tthe ISO shall follow the process and~~

procedures set forth in ~~See Schedule 22~~ tions II.19.1 through II.19.4 of this OATT with respect to the performance of the Cluster System Impact Study and the Facilities Study, except that:

~~(i) For clustered studies, a single study agreement either in the form detailed in Attachment I or Attachment J of this OATT, as applicable, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, will be tendered by the ISO to all Eligible Customers, which is to be entered into by all the Eligible Customers and the ISO and, if deemed necessary by the ISO, by one or more affected PTO(s), and pursuant to which the Eligible Customers shall agree to reimburse the ISO and affected PTO(s) for performing the required study. The costs of that study will be divided equally among the Eligible Customers, unless otherwise agreed to by the ISO and the Eligible Customers.~~

~~(ii) For clustered studies, the 60 day time periods for completion of the System Impact Study and the Facilities Study will commence on the date on which all Eligible Customers in the cluster have executed the applicable study agreement. If the ISO and any affected PTO(s) are unable to complete the applicable study in the allotted time period, the ISO shall notify the Eligible Customers and provide an estimate of the time needed to complete the study and an explanation of the reasons that additional time is required to complete the study.~~

(iii) In the event that ISO determines that additions or upgrades to the PTF, OTF, or MTF are required to accommodate the requests for Regional Network Service that are studied as part of a Celuster, the costs of the additions or upgrades ~~Transmission Upgrades~~ will be allocated to each Eligible Customer whose request was studied as part of the Celuster in the manner consistent with the means by which costs for Generating Facility related upgrades are allocated ~~described in~~ through Schedule 11 of the OATT, based on each Eligible Customer's share of the total megawatts of service requested, unless otherwise agreed to by the ISO and the Eligible Customers.

(iv) At the request of a Transmission Customer whose Regional Network Service request was studied as part of a eCeluster, the ISO shall provide a non-binding estimate of the Incremental ARRs, if any, resulting from the construction of new facilities based on the Transmission Customer's share of the costs of the new facilities. The Transmission Customer shall be responsible for the cost of any study required to determine the Incremental ARRs.

II.34 Study Procedures For Through or Out Service Requests

II.34.1 Notice of Need for ~~System Impact Study~~Cluster Study Evaluation: After receiving a request for Through or Out Service (a “Study Request”), the ISO will review the effect of the proposed service on the reliability requirements to meet existing and pending obligations of the Transmission Customers, and the obligations of any affected Transmission Owner(s) whose facilities will be impacted by the proposed service and determine on a non-discriminatory basis whether evaluation as part of a Cluster Study a System Impact Study is needed. ~~A description of the methodology for completing a System Impact Study~~Cluster Study is provided in Attachment D to this OATT. ~~After receiving a Request, the ISO will within thirty (30) days of receipt of a Study Request, tender a System Impact Study agreement in the form of Attachment I to this OATT, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, pursuant to which the Eligible Customer shall agree to reimburse the ISO and any affected Transmission Owners for performing or participating in the required System Impact Study.~~ Before a Study Request is evaluated, the Eligible Customer shall execute a Cluster Study Agreement and return it to the ISO during the next Cluster Request Window together with the study and Commercial Readiness deposits and technical data required for new requests for NR Interconnection Service by Schedule 22 (for requests greater than 20 MW) and Schedule 23 (for requests of up to 20 MW) of this OATT. If the Eligible Customer elects not to execute a ~~System Impact~~Cluster Study agreement, its request shall be deemed withdrawn and its deposit (less the reasonable administrative costs incurred by the ISO and any affected Transmission Owner(s) in connection with the Application), will be returned with Interest.

~~II.34.2 System Impact Study Agreement and Cost Reimbursement:~~

- ~~(i) — The System Impact Study agreement shall clearly specify the ISO’s estimate of the actual cost, and time for completion of the System Impact Study. The charge shall not exceed the actual cost of the study. The System Impact Study will rely, to the extent reasonably practicable, on existing transmission planning studies. The Eligible Customer shall not be assessed a charge for such existing studies; however, the Eligible Customer shall be responsible for charges associated with any modifications to existing planning studies that are reasonably necessary to evaluate the impact of the Eligible Customer’s request for service on the PTF and indirectly affected MTF or OTF.~~
- ~~(ii) — If in response to multiple Eligible Customers requesting a similar study in relation to the same competitive solicitation, a single System Impact Study is sufficient to accommodate the requests, the costs of that study will be equitably prorated among the Eligible Customers.~~
- ~~(iii) — For System Impact Studies conducted on behalf of a Transmission Owner, the Transmission Owner will record the cost of the System Impact Studies pursuant to Section II.8.5 to this OATT.~~

~~**II.34.3 System Impact Study Procedures:** Upon receipt of an executed System Impact Study agreement, the ISO and any affected Transmission Owners will use due diligence to complete the required System Impact Study within a sixty day period. The System Impact Study shall identify the need for additional Direct Assignment Facilities or facility additions or upgrades required to comply with the Eligible Customer's request. In the event that the required System Impact Study cannot be completed within such time period, the ISO will so notify the Eligible Customer and provide an estimated completion date along with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required to complete the required study and an estimate of any increase in cost which will result from the delay. A copy of the completed System Impact Study and related work papers shall be made available to the Eligible Customer as soon as the System Impact Study is complete. The ISO will use the same due diligence in completing the System Impact Study for an Eligible Customer that is not a Market Participant as it uses when completing studies for an Eligible Customer that is a Market Participant. The ISO will notify the Eligible Customer immediately upon completion of the System Impact Study.~~

~~**II.34.24 Facilities Study Procedures:** If aAfter a System ImpactCluster Study indicates that additions or upgrades to the PTF or indirectly affected MTF or OTF are needed to accommodate the Eligible Customer's Request, the Facilities Study Procedures in Schedules 22, 23, and 25 of this OATT will apply the ISO, within thirty (30) days of the completion of the System ImpactCluster Study, will tender to the Eligible Customer a Facilities Study agreement in the form of Attachment J to this OATT, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, which is to be entered into by the Eligible Customer and the ISO and, if deemed necessary by the ISO, by one or more PTO(s) and pursuant to which the Eligible Customer shall agree to reimburse the ISO and any affected PTO(s) or other entity designated by the ISO for performing any required Facilities Study. If the Eligible Customer wants the ISO to undertake the Facilities Study, the Eligible Customer shall execute the Facilities Study agreement and return it to the ISO within fifteen (15) days. If the Eligible Customer elects not to execute the Facilities Study agreement, its Study Request shall be deemed withdrawn and its deposit, if any (less the reasonable administrative costs incurred by the ISO and any affected entity in connection with the Application), will be returned with Interest. Upon receipt of an executed Facilities Study agreement, the ISO and any affected PTO(s) or other designated entity will use due diligence to cause the required Facilities Study to be completed within a sixty day period. If a Facilities Study cannot be completed in the allotted time period, the ISO will notify the Eligible Customer and provide an estimate of the time needed to reach a final determination and any resulting increase in the cost, along with an explanation of the reasons that additional time is required to complete the study. When completed, the Facilities Study shall include a good-faith estimate of (i) the cost of Direct Assignment Facilities to be charged to the Eligible Customer, or (ii) the Eligible Customer's appropriate share of the cost of any required upgrades, modifications or additions to the PTF, and (iii) the time required to complete such construction. The Eligible Customer shall provide a letter of credit or other reasonable form of security acceptable to the affected Transmission Owner(s) or other entities that will be responsible for the construction of the new facilities or upgrades equivalent to the costs of the new facilities or upgrades and consistent with relevant commercial practices, as established by the Uniform Commercial Code.~~

~~In addition to the foregoing, each Facilities Study shall, if requested by the Transmission Customer, contain a non-binding estimate from the ISO of the Incremental ARR's, if any, resulting from the construction of the new facilities. After completion of the transmission upgrade or expansion, the ISO shall determine the Incremental ARR's, if any, resulting from the upgrade or expansion. The Transmission Customer shall be responsible for the cost of any study required to determine the Incremental ARR's.~~

II.34.35 Facilities Study Modifications: Any change in design arising from inability to site or construct proposed facilities will require development of a revised good faith estimate. New good faith estimates also will be required in the event of new statutory or regulatory requirements that are effective before the completion of construction or other circumstances beyond the control of the affected Transmission Owners or other entities that are responsible for the construction of the new facilities or upgrades and that significantly affect the final cost of the new facilities or upgrades to be charged to the Eligible Customer pursuant to the provisions of this OATT.

II.34.46 Due Diligence in Completing New Facilities: The ISO will use due diligence to designate PTOs or other entities to add necessary facilities or upgrade the PTF, MTF or OTF within a reasonable time. A PTO or other entity will have no obligation to upgrade its existing or planned transmission system if doing so would impair system reliability or otherwise impair or degrade existing firm service. Nothing in this OATT shall be deemed to create an obligation to build upgrades that an entity does not otherwise have by contract, law or regulation.

~~**II.34.7 Expedited Procedures for New Facilities:** In lieu of the procedures set forth above, the Eligible Customer shall have the option to expedite the process by requesting the ISO to tender at one time, together with the results of required studies, an "Expedited Study Request" pursuant to which the Eligible Customer would agree to pay for all costs incurred pursuant to the terms of this OATT. In order to exercise this option, the Eligible Customer shall request in writing an Expedited Study Request covering all of the above specified items within thirty (30) days of receiving the results of the System Impact Cluster Study identifying the need for facility additions or upgrades and costs to be incurred in providing the requested service. While the ISO, on behalf of the PTO(s) or other entities that will be responsible for constructing the new facilities or upgrades, agrees to provide the Eligible Customer with its best estimate of the new facility costs and other charges that may be incurred, such estimate shall not be binding and the Eligible Customer shall agree in writing to pay for all costs incurred pursuant to the provisions of this OATT. The Eligible Customer shall execute and return such an Expedited Study Request within fifteen (15) days of its receipt or the Eligible Customer's request for service will cease to be a Completed Application and will be deemed terminated and withdrawn.~~

II.34.538 Penalties for Failure to Meet Study Deadlines: Through or Out Service Requests that require evaluation as part of a eCluster sStudy shall be subject to the deadlines described in Sections 3.5.2.1, 3.5.2.2, and 3.5.2.3 of Schedule 22 of this OATT and the penalty provisions described in Section 3.9 of Schedule 22 of this OATT.

II.34.64 Clustering of Additional Provisions Regarding Through or Out Service Studies:

- ~~— Cluster Studies Request: The ISO, on its own initiative, or at the request of a group of Eligible Customers may consider studying specified requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster for the purpose of the System Impact Study and Facilities Study.~~

- ~~— Notice of Study Cluster: At the same time that the ISO informs the Eligible Customers that a System Impact Study or a Facilities Study is necessary to accommodate the requested Regional Network Service in accordance with Sections II.19.1 and II.19.4 of this OATT, the ISO will also notify the Eligible Customers, either in response to their joint request or on its own initiative that (i) studying specific multiple requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster may result in a more efficient study process or may result in a more efficient and economic construction of the new facilities or upgrades and (ii) it can reasonably accommodate the cluster study, in light of the complexity involved in studying multiple requests for service simultaneously and the time necessary to perform a cluster study, as specified in Sections II.19.3 and II.19.4 of this OATT. If an Eligible Customer chooses not to have its request for Regional Network Service studied as part of the cluster, it shall have ten (10) days from the date that the ISO notifies the Eligible Customer of its intent to study specific multiple requests for Regional Network Service in a cluster to inform the ISO of its determination to have its request studied separately.~~

- (ae) Cluster Study Process and Procedures: For all Through or Out Service Requests that require Cluster Study evaluation, the Eligible eCustomer and the Tthe ISO shall follow the process and procedures set forth in SeeSchedule 22 of this OATT tions II.19.1 through II.19.4 of this OATT with respect to the performance of the Cluster System Impact Study and the Facilities Study, except that: (i) For clustered studies, a single study agreement either in the form detailed in Attachment I or Attachment J of this OATT, as applicable, or in any other form that is mutually agreed to, will be tendered by the ISO to all Eligible Customers, which is to be entered into by all the Eligible Customers and the ISO and, if deemed necessary by the ISO, by one or more affected PTO(s), and pursuant to which the Eligible Customers shall agree to reimburse the ISO and affected PTO(s) for performing the required study. The costs of that study will be divided equally among the Eligible Customers, unless otherwise agreed to by the ISO and the Eligible Customers.

~~(ii) For clustered studies, the 60-day time periods for completion of the System Impact Study and the Facilities Study will commence on the date on which all Eligible Customers in the cluster have executed the applicable study agreement. If the ISO and any affected PTO(s) are unable to complete the applicable study in the allotted time period, the ISO shall notify the Eligible Customers and provide an estimate of the time needed to complete the study and an explanation of the reasons that additional time is required to complete the study.~~

~~(iii) In the event that ISO determines that additions or upgrades to the PTF, OTF, or MTF- are required to accommodate the requests for Through or Out Service Service that are studied as part of a Celuster, the costs of the Transmission Upgrades additions or upgrades will be allocated to each Eligible Customer whose request was studied as part of the Celuster in a the manner consistent with the means by which costs for a that Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades Generating Facility related upgrades are allocated -described in in through Schedule 11 of the OATT.-based on each Eligible Customer's share of the total megawatts of service requested, unless otherwise agreed to by the ISO and the Eligible Customers.~~

~~Sections 34.3 and 34.4 require the ISO to use due diligence to meet 60-day study completion deadlines for System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies.~~

~~(i) — The ISO is required to file a notice with the Commission in the event that more than twenty (20) percent of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies completed by the ISO in any two consecutive calendar quarters are not completed within the 60-day study completion deadlines. Such notice must be filed within thirty (30) days of the end of the calendar quarter triggering the notice requirement.~~

~~(ii) — For the purposes of calculating the percent of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies processed outside of the 60-day study completion deadlines, the ISO shall consider all System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies that it completes during the calendar quarter. The percentage should be calculated by dividing the number of those studies which are completed on time by the total number of completed studies. The ISO may provide an explanation in its notification filing to the Commission if it believes there are extenuating circumstances that prevented it from meeting the 60-day study completion deadlines.~~

~~(iii) — The ISO is subject to an operational penalty if it completes ten (10) percent or more of System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies outside of the 60-day study completion deadlines for each of the two calendar quarters immediately following the quarter that triggered its notification filing to the Commission. The operational penalty will be assessed for each calendar quarter for which an operational penalty applies, starting with the calendar quarter immediately following the quarter that triggered the ISO's notification filing to the Commission. The operational penalty will continue to be assessed each quarter until the ISO completes at least ninety (90) percent of all System Impact Studies and Facilities Studies within the 60-day deadline.~~

~~(iv) — For penalties assessed in accordance with subsection (iii) above, the penalty amount for each System Impact Study or Facilities Study shall be equal to \$500 for each day the ISO takes to complete that study beyond the 60-day deadline.~~

II.48 Interconnection Service Capabilities

II.48.1 Establishing CNR Capability and CNI Capability

~~(a)~~ **(a) CNR Capability shall be established as follows:** Section 5.2.3 of Schedule 22 and Section 5.2.3
1.6.4.3 of Schedule 23 of this OATT describe the establishment of CNR Capability for a Generating Facility that was treated as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction. For a Generating Facility newly obtaining or increasing CNR Interconnection Service in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction ~~or through~~ Forward Capacity Market activities prior to September 1, 2024 ~~prior to the nineteenth Forward Capacity Auction, thereafter,~~ summer CNR Capability shall be established as the highest MW quantity of Capacity Supply Obligation obtained by the Generating Capacity Resource for the summer period and winter CNR Capability shall be established as the higher of (1) the highest MW quantity of Capacity Supply Obligation obtained by the associated Generating Capacity Resource for the winter period and (2) the Generating Facility's summer CNR Capability multiplied by the ratio of the Generating Capacity Resource's winter Qualified Capacity to summer Qualified Capacity for the auction in which the entry occurred. Subsequent to Commencing September 1, 2024, For the nineteenth Forward Capacity Auction and thereafter, the summer and winter CNR Capability for a Generating Facility shall be established as the amounts requested in the Generating Facility's Interconnection Request, for which all of the requirements in the Interconnection Procedures of the interconnection process have been completed, and which shall not exceed the

~~At the time of its establishment pursuant to the preceding paragraph, a Generating Facility's CNR Capability shall not exceed its~~ maximum net MW electrical output at the Point of Interconnection at an ambient temperature at or above 90 degrees F for summer and at or above 20 degrees F for winter. ~~;~~

Notwithstanding the requirements contained in this section, a Generating Facility that did not secure a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 1, 2024, may establish CNRIS through the process described in Section III.13.A.2 - Interim Reconfiguration Auction Qualification, conducted prior to January 1, 2025 provided that the Generating Facility (1) has a completed System Impact Study or Interconnection Agreement establishing NR Interconnection Service and (2) has a Commercial Operation Date prior to June 1, 2028.

(b) CNI Capability shall be established as follows: Summer and winter CNI Capability for an External ETU with CNI Interconnection Service pursuant to Schedule 25 of this OATT shall be established as

~~the amounts requested in the External ETU's Interconnection Request for which all of the requirements of the interconnection process have been completed shall be established as the total MW quantity of Capacity Supply Obligation(s) obtained by its associated New Import Capacity Resource(s) in the summer and winter periods, respectively.~~

Notwithstanding the requirements contained in this section, an External ETU that did secure a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 1, 2024, may establish CNI Interconnection Section through the process described in Section III.13.A.2 - Interim Reconfiguration Auction Qualification, conducted prior to January 1, 2025 provided that the External ETU (1) has a completed System Impact Study or Interconnection Agreement establishing NI Interconnection Service.

At the time of its establishment pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the CNI Capability shall not exceed the maximum net MW electrical capability at the Point of Interconnection and shall not exceed applicable seasonal equipment ratings determined pursuant to industry standards and consistent with the specifications described in ISO New England Planning and Operating Procedures.

II.48.2 Establishing NR Capability and NI Capability

- (a) NR Capability shall be established** in the manner described in Schedules 22 and 23 of this OATT. ~~SS as follows: Section 5.2.4 of Schedule 22 and Section 1.6.4.4 of Schedule 23 of this OATT describe the establishment of NR Capability for a Generating Facility that was treated as an Existing Generating Capacity Resource in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction. In all other cases, summer and winter NR Capability for a Generating Facility shall be established as the Generating Facility's maximum net MW electrical output at the Point of Interconnection at an ambient temperature at or above 50 degrees F for summer and at or above 0 degrees F for winter. A Generating Facility's summer and winter NR Capability shall be equal to or greater than its summer and winter CNR Capability, respectively.~~
- (b) NI Capability shall be established as follows:** For an External ETU with NI Interconnection Service pursuant to Schedule 25 of this OATT, summer and winter NI Capability shall be established as the maximum net MW electrical capability at the Point of Interconnection and shall not exceed applicable seasonal equipment ratings determined pursuant to industry standards and consistent with the specifications described in ISO New England Planning and Operating Procedures. An External ETU's

summer and winter NI Capability shall be equal to or greater than its summer and winter CNI Capability, respectively.

II.48.3 Reductions to CNR Capability and CNI Capability: CNR Capability and CNI Capability shall be reduced as follows upon partial or full exit from the Forward Capacity Market as a result of any of the following actions: (1) a voluntary or mandatory termination pursuant to Section III.13.3.4A of the Tariff results in a reduction to summer and winter CNR Capability (or summer and winter CNI Capability) equal to the respective reduction to summer and winter Qualified Capacity described in III.13.3.4A; ~~(2) the failure of the Import Capacity Resource(s) associated with an External ETU to offer into a Forward Capacity Auction in a MW quantity equal to the CNI Capability of the External ETU, as described in Section III.13.1.3 of the Tariff, results in a reduction to summer and winter CNI Capability equal to the respective reduction to summer and winter Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service described in Section III.13.1.3; (3)(2)~~ (3) a failure to operate commercially for a period of three calendar years resulting in retirement pursuant to Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(d) of the Tariff results in a reduction of summer and winter CNR Capability (or summer and winter CNI Capability) to zero; (4) a full exit from the Forward Capacity Market as the result of the operation of a Retirement De-List Bid or a Permanent De-List Bid, described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3 of the Tariff, and/or a substitution auction demand bid, described in Section III.13.2.8 of the Tariff, results in a reduction of summer and winter CNR Capability (or summer and winter CNI Capability) to zero; and a partial exit from the Forward Capacity Market as the result of the operation of a Retirement De-List Bid or a Permanent De-List Bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid results in a reduction of CNR Capability (or CNI Capability) as described below.

(a) Summer CNR/CNI Capability Following Partial Exit Resulting From De-List Bid and/or Substitution Auction Demand Bid: Following the partial permanent exit from the Forward Capacity Market of a Generating Capacity Resource (or an Import Capacity Resource associated with an External ETU) as a result of the operation of a de-list bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid, the summer CNR Capability of the associated Generating Facility (or the summer CNI Capability of the associated External ETU) shall be reduced to equal (1) the associated summer Qualified Capacity (or, where there is more than one Import Capacity Resource associated with an External ETU, the sum of the associated summer Qualified Capacities) for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the partial exit occurred minus (2) the MW quantity that exited the Forward Capacity Market.

(b) Winter CNR/CNI Capability Following Partial Exit Resulting From De-List Bid and/or Substitution Auction Demand Bid: Following the partial permanent exit from the Forward Capacity

Market of a Generating Capacity Resource (or an Import Capacity Resource associated with an External ETU) as a result of the operation of a de-list bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid, the winter CNR Capability of the associated Generating Facility (or the winter CNI Capability of the associated External ETU) shall be reduced to equal (1) the Generating Facility's summer CNR Capability (or the External ETU's summer CNI Capability) reduced as described in subsection (a) of this Section II.48.3 multiplied by (2) the ratio of the associated winter Qualified Capacity (or, where there is more than one Import Capacity Resource associated with an External ETU, the sum of the associated winter Qualified Capacities) to the associated summer Qualified Capacity (or, where there is more than one Import Capacity Resource associated with an External ETU, the sum of the associated summer Qualified Capacities) for the Forward Capacity Auction in which the partial exit occurred; provided that a different winter CNR Capability value may be established to account for winter capability remaining after the removal of summer capability if the ISO determines that engineering information submitted no later than 10 calendar days after the conclusion of the Forward Capacity Auction supports the use of the different value.

II.48.4 Reductions to NR Capability and NI Capability: NR Capability and NI Capability shall be reduced as follows for Generating Facilities and External ETUs as a result of any of the following actions:

(1) a partial or full voluntary retirement results in partial or full reduction of NR Capability or NI Capability; (2) a failure to operate commercially for a period of three calendar years (as described in Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3(d) of the Tariff) results in a reduction of NR Capability or NI Capability to zero; (3) a full retirement of a Generating Facility or an External ETU as the result of the operation of a Retirement De-List Bid or an unconditional Permanent De-List Bid (as described in Section III.13.1.2.4.1(a) and Section III.13.2.5.2.5.3 of the Tariff) and/or a substitution auction demand bid (as described in Section III.13.2.8 of the Tariff) results in a reduction of NR Capability or NI Capability to zero; and a partial retirement as the result of the operation of a Retirement De-List Bid or an unconditional Permanent De-List Bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid results in a reduction of NR Capability or NI Capability as described below.

(a) Summer NR/NI Capability Following Partial Retirement Resulting From De-List Bid and/or Substitution Auction Demand Bid: Following the partial retirement of a Generating Facility (or an External ETU) as a result of the operation of a de-list bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid, the summer NR Capability of the Generating Facility (or summer NI Capability of the External ETU) shall be reduced to equal (1) the Generating Facility's summer CNR Capability (or the External ETU's summer CNI Capability) reduced as described in subsection (a) of Section II.48.3 multiplied

by (2) the ratio of the Generating Facility's summer NR Capability (or the External ETU's summer NI Capability) prior to the Forward Capacity Auction to the Generating Facility's summer CNR Capability (or the External ETU's summer CNI Capability) prior to the Forward Capacity Auction.

- (b) Winter NR/NI Capability Following Partial Retirement Resulting From De-List Bid and/or Substitution Auction Demand Bid:** Following the partial retirement of a Generating Facility (or an External ETU) as a result of the operation of a de-list bid and/or a substitution auction demand bid, the winter NR Capability of the Generating Facility (or winter NI Capability of the External ETU) shall be reduced to equal (1) the Generating Facility's summer NR Capability (or the External ETU's summer NI Capability) reduced as described in subsection (a) of this Section II.48.4 multiplied by (2) the ratio of the Generating Facility's winter NR Capability (or the External ETU'S winter NI Capability) prior to the Forward Capacity Auction to the Generating Facility's summer NR Capability (or the External ETU'S summer NI Capability) prior to the Forward Capacity Auction; provided that a different winter NR Capability value may be established to account for winter capability remaining after the removal of summer capability if the ISO determines that engineering information submitted no later than 10 calendar days after the conclusion of the Forward Capacity Auction supports the use of the different value.

However, if the resulting winter NR Capability (or winter NI Capability) is less than the Generating Facility's winter CNR Capability (or External ETU's winter CNI Capability), the winter NR Capability (or winter NI Capability) will be set equal to the winter CNR Capability (or winter CNI Capability).

ATTACHMENT K
REGIONAL SYSTEM PLANNING PROCESS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Overview
 - 1.1 Enrollment
 - 1.2 A List of Entities Enrolled in the Planning Region

2. Planning Advisory Committee
 - 2.1 Establishment
 - 2.2 Role of Planning Advisory Committee
 - 2.3 Membership
 - 2.4 Procedures
 - (a) Notice of Meetings
 - (b) Frequency of Meetings
 - (c) Availability of Meeting Materials
 - (d) Access to Planning-Related Materials that Contain CEII
 - 2.5 Local System Planning Process

3. RSP: Principles, Scope, and Contents
 - 3.1 Description of RSP
 - 3.2 Baseline of RSP
 - 3.3 RSP Planning Horizon and Parameters
 - 3.4 Other RSP Principles
 - 3.5 Market Responses in RSP
 - 3.6 The RSP Project List
 - (a) Elements of the Project List
 - (b) Periodic Updating of RSP Project List
 - (c) Project List Updating Procedures and Criteria
 - (d) Posting of LSP Project Status

4. Procedures for the Conduct of Needs Assessments, Treatment of Market Responses and Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions
 - 4.1 Needs Assessments
 - (a) Triggers for Needs Assessments
 - (b) [RESERVED]
 - (c) Conduct of a Needs Assessment for Rejected De-List Bids
 - (d) Notice of Initiation of Needs Assessments
 - (e) Preparation of Needs Assessment
 - (f) Treatment of Market Responses in Needs Assessments
 - (g) Needs Assessment Support
 - (h) Input from the Planning Advisory Committee
 - (i) Publication of Needs Assessment and Response Thereto
 - (j) Requirements for Use of Solutions Studies Rather than Competitive Solution Process for Projects Based on Year of Need
 - 4.2 Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions in Solutions Studies, Where Competitive Solution Process of Section 4.3 Is Not Applicable
 - (a) Evaluation and Development of Regulated Transmission Solutions in Solutions Studies for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades
 - (b) Notice of Initiation of a Solutions Study
 - (c) Classification of Regulated Transmission Solutions as Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades or Reliability Transmission Upgrades
 - (d) Evaluation Factors Used for Identification of the Preferred Solution
 - (e) Identification of the Preferred Solution and Inclusion of Results of Solutions Studies for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades in the RSP
 - (f) Cancellation of a Solutions Study
 - 4.3 Competitive Solution Process for Reliability Transmission Upgrades and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades
 - (a) Initiating the Competitive Solution Process
 - (b) Use and Control of Right of Way
 - (c) Information Required for Phase One Proposals; Study Deposit; Timing

- (d) LSP Coordination
 - (e) Preliminary Review by ISO
 - (f) Proposal Deficiencies: Further Information
 - (g) Listing of Qualifying Phase One Proposals
 - (h) Information Required for Phase Two Solutions;
Identification and Reporting of Preliminary Preferred Phase Two Solution
 - (i) Reimbursement of Phase Two Solution Costs; Collection and Refund of ISO
Study Costs
 - (j) Selection of the Preferred Phase Two Solution
 - (k) Execution of Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement
 - (l) Failure to Proceed
 - (m) Cancellation of a Request for Proposal
- 4A. Public Policy Transmission Studies; Public Policy Transmission Upgrades
- 4A.1 NESCOE Requests for Public Policy Transmission Studies
 - 4A.1.1 Study of Federal Public Policy Requirements Not Identified by NESCOE; Local
Public Policy Requirements
 - 4A.2 Preparation for Conduct of Public Policy Transmission Studies; Stakeholder
Input
 - 4A.3 Public Policy Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input
 - (a) Conduct of Public Policy Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input
 - (b) Treatment of Market Solutions in Public Policy Transmission Studies
 - 4A.4 Response to Public Policy Transmission Studies
 - 4A.5 Use and Control of Right of Way
 - 4A.6 Stage One Proposals
 - (a) Information Required for Stage One Proposals
 - (b) LSP Coordination
 - (c) Preliminary Review by ISO
 - (d) Proposal Deficiencies; Further Information
 - (e) List of Qualifying Stage One Proposals
 - 4A.7 Reimbursement of Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solution Costs; Collection and
Refund of ISO Study Costs

- 4A.8 Information Required for Stage Two Solutions; Identification and Reporting of Preliminary Preferred Stage Two Solution
- 4A.9 Inclusion of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List; Milestone Schedules; Removal From RSP Project List
 - (a) Inclusion of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List
 - (b) Execution of Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement
 - (c) Failure to Proceed
- 4A.10 Cancellation of a Request for Proposal
- 4A.11 Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades
- 4B. Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors
 - 4B.1 Periodic Evaluation of Applications
 - 4B.2 Information To Be Submitted
 - 4B.3 Review of Qualifications
 - 4B.4 List of Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors
 - 4B.5 Annual Certification
- 5. Supply of Information and Data Required for Regional System Planning
- 6. Regional, Local and Interregional Coordination
 - 6.1 Regional Coordination
 - 6.2 Local Coordination
 - 6.3 Interregional Coordination
 - (a) Interregional Coordination and Cost Allocation Among ISO, New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (“NYISO”) and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C (“PJM”) Under Order No. 1000
 - (b) Other Interregional Assessments and Other Interregional Transmission Projects
- 7. Procedures for Development and Approval of the RSP
 - 7.1 Initiation of RSP
 - 7.2 Draft RSP; Public Meeting
 - 7.3 Action by the ISO Board of Directors on RSP; Request for Alternative Proposals

- (a) Action by ISO Board of Directors on RSP
 - (b) Requests for Alternative Proposals

- 8. Obligations of PTOs to Build; PTOs' Obligations, Conditions and Rights

- 9. Merchant Transmission Facilities
 - 9.1 General
 - 9.2 Operation and Integration
 - 9.3 Control and Coordination

- 10. Cost Responsibility for Transmission Upgrades

- 11. Allocation of ARR

- 12. Dispute Resolution Procedures
 - 12.1 Objective
 - 12.2 Confidential Information and CEII Protections
 - 12.3. Eligible Parties
 - 12.4 Scope
 - (a) Reviewable Determinations
 - (b) Material Adverse Impact
 - 12.5 Notice and Comment
 - 12.6 Dispute Resolution Procedures
 - (a) Resolution Through the Planning Advisory Committee
 - (b) Resolution Through Informal Negotiations
 - (c) Resolution Through Alternative Dispute Resolution
 - 12.7 Notice of Dispute Resolution Process Results

- 13. Rights Under The Federal Power Act

- 14. Annual Assessment of Transmission Transfer Capability

15. Procedures for the Conduct of Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades Regional Planning Study
 - 15.1 Notice of Initiation of Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study in Support of Cluster Studies under the Interconnection Procedures
 - 15.2 Preparation for Conduct of CRPS; Stakeholder Input
 - 15.3 Conduct of the CRPS
 - 15.4 Publication of the CRPS

16. Procedures for the Conduct of Longer-Term Transmission Studies
 - 16.1 Request for Longer-Term Transmission Studies
 - 16.2 Preparation for Conduct of the Longer-Term Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input
 - 16.3 Conduct of the Longer-Term Transmission Study; Stakeholder Input

17. Procedures for the Conduct of Economic Studies
 - 17.1 Overview
 - 17.2 Economic Study Reference Scenarios
 - (a) Benchmark Scenario
 - (b) Market Efficiency Needs Scenario
 - (c) Policy Scenario
 - (d) Stakeholder-Requested Scenario
 - 17.3 Frequency, Initiation, and Schedule
 - 17.4 Preparation of the Economic Study Reference Scenarios and Stakeholder Sensitivity Requests
 - 17.5 Market Efficiency Needs Assessment
 - 17.6 Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades
 - 17.7 Stakeholder Input on Study Results
 - 17.8 Economic Studies Requested by Individual Stakeholders
 - 17.9 Cost Recovery
 - 17.10 Coordination with PTOs

APPENDIX 2 – LIST OF ENTITIES ENROLLED IN THE TRANSMISSION PLANNING REGION

APPENDIX 3 – LIST OF QUALIFIED TRANSMISSION PROJECT SPONSORS

1. Overview

This Attachment describes the regional system planning process conducted by the ISO, as well as the coordination with transmission-owning entities in, or other entities interconnected to, the New England Transmission System and neighboring systems to ensure the reliability of the New England Transmission System and compliance with national and regional planning standards, criteria and procedures, while accounting for market performance, economic, environmental, and other considerations, as may be agreed upon from time to time. The New England Transmission System is comprised of PTF, Non-PTF, OTF and MTF within the New England Control Area that is under the ISO's operational authority or control pursuant to the ISO Tariff and/or various transmission operating agreements. This Attachment describes the regional system planning process for the PTF conducted by the ISO, and local system planning process conducted by the PTOs, pursuant to their responsibilities defined in the Tariff, the various transmission operating agreements and this Attachment. Additional details regarding the regional system planning process are also provided in the ISO New England Planning Procedures and ISO New England Operating Procedures, which are available on the ISO's website.

The ISO shall conduct the regional system planning process for the PTF in coordination with the transmission-owning entities in, or other entities interconnected to, the New England Transmission System and neighboring systems, consistent with the rights and obligations defined in the Tariff, applicable transmission operating agreements and this Attachment. As described in this Attachment's Section 6 and Appendix 1, entitled "Attachment K -Local System Planning Process", the PTOs are responsible for the Local System Planning ("LSP") process for the Non-PTF in the New England Transmission System. As also described in Section 6, and pursuant to the Tariff and/or transmission operating agreements, the OTOs and MTOs are required to participate in the ISO's regional system planning process for reliability purposes and to perform and/or support studies of the impact of regional system planning projects on their respective OTF and MTF.

The regional system planning process described in this Attachment provides for the ISO to undertake assessments of the needs of the PTF system on a systemwide or specific area basis. These assessments shall be referred to as Needs Assessments, as described in Section 4.1 of this Attachment. The ISO shall incorporate market responses that have met the criteria specified in Sections 4.1(f) and 4A.3(b) of this Attachment into the Needs Assessments, Public Policy Transmission Studies or the Regional System Plan ("RSP"), described below. Where market responses incorporated into the Needs Assessments or Public

Policy Transmission Studies do not eliminate or address the needs identified by the ISO in Needs Assessments, Public Policy Transmission Studies or the RSP, the ISO shall develop or evaluate, pursuant to Sections 4.2(b), 4.3, or 4A of this Attachment, as applicable, regulated transmission solutions proposed in response to the needs identified by the ISO.

Pursuant to Sections 3 and 7 of this Attachment, the ISO shall develop the RSP for approval by the ISO Board of Directors following stakeholder input through the Planning Advisory Committee established pursuant to Section 2 of this Attachment. The RSP is a compilation of the regional system planning process activities conducted by the ISO. The RSP shall address needs of the PTF system determined by the ISO through Needs Assessments initiated and updated on an ongoing basis by the ISO to: (i) account for changes in the PTF system conditions; (ii) ensure reliability of the PTF system; (iii) comply with national and regional planning standards, criteria and procedures; and (iv) account for market performance, economic, environmental and other considerations as may be agreed upon from time to time.

As more fully described in Section 3 of this Attachment, the RSP shall identify:

- (i) PTF system reliability and market efficiency needs,
- (ii) the requirements and characteristics of the types of resources that may satisfy PTF system reliability and market efficiency needs to provide stakeholders an opportunity to develop and propose efficient market responses to meet the needs identified in Needs Assessments;
- (iii) regulated transmission solutions to meet the needs identified in Needs Assessments where market responses do not address such needs or additional transmission infrastructure may be required to comply with national and regional planning standards, criteria and procedures or provide market efficiency benefits in accordance with Attachment N of this OATT; and
- (iv) those projects identified through the Public Policy procedures described in Section 4A of this Attachment K.

In addition, the RSP shall also provide information on a broad variety of power system requirements that serves as input for reviewing the design of the markets and the overall economic performance of the system. The RSP shall also describe the coordination of the ISO's regional system plans with regional, local and inter-area planning activities.

Pursuant to Section 3.6 of this Attachment, the ISO shall also develop, maintain and post on its website a cumulative list reflecting the regulated transmission solutions proposed in response to Needs Assessments (the "RSP Project List"). The RSP Project List shall be a cumulative representation of the regional transmission planning expansion efforts ongoing in New England.

1.1 Enrollment

For purposes of participating as a transmission provider in the New England transmission planning region pursuant to this Attachment K, and distinct from Transmission Providers as defined in Section I of this Tariff, an entity chooses to enroll by executing (or having already executed) a: (i) transmission operating agreement with the ISO, or (ii) a Market Participant Service Agreement coupled with a written notification to the ISO that the entity desires to be a transmission provider in the New England region. Such enrollment in the transmission planning region is not necessary to participate in the Planning Advisory Committee, which is open to any entity as described in Section 2.3 of this Attachment K.

1.2 A List of Entities Enrolled in the Planning Region

A list of entities enrolled in the transmission planning region as transmission providers as described in Section 1.1. above, is included as Appendix 2 of this Attachment K.

2. Planning Advisory Committee

2.1 Establishment

A Planning Advisory Committee shall be established by the ISO to perform the functions set forth in Section 2.2 of this Attachment. It shall have a Chair and Secretary, who shall be appointed by the chief executive officer of the ISO or his or her designee. Before appointing an individual to the position of the Chair or Secretary, the ISO shall notify the Planning Advisory Committee of the proposed assignment and, consistent with its personnel practices, provide any other information about the individual reasonably requested by the Planning Advisory Committee. The chief executive officer of the ISO or his or her designee shall consider the input of the members of the Planning Advisory Committee in selecting,

removing or replacing such officers. The Planning Advisory Committee shall be advisory only and shall have no formal voting protocol.

The ISO may form subcommittees that, at the discretion of the ISO, may report to the Planning Advisory Committee.

2.2 Role of Planning Advisory Committee

The Planning Advisory Committee may provide input and feedback to the ISO concerning the regional system planning process, including the development of and review of Needs Assessments, the conduct of Solutions Studies, the development of the RSP, and updates to the RSP Project List. Specifically, the Planning Advisory Committee serves to review and provide input and comment on: (i) the development of the RSP, (ii) assumptions for studies, (iii) the results of Needs Assessments, Solutions Studies, and competitive solutions developed pursuant to Section 4.3 of this Attachment, (iv) potential market responses to the needs identified by the ISO in a Needs Assessment or the RSP, (v) Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades Regional Planning Studies, and (vi) Longer-Term Transmission Studies. The Planning Advisory Committee, with the assistance of and in coordination with the ISO, serves also to identify and prioritize the Stakeholder-Requested Scenario and stakeholder-requested scenario sensitivities for Economic Studies to be performed by the ISO, and provides input and feedback to the ISO concerning the conduct of Economic Studies and Public Policy Transmission Studies, including the criteria and assumptions for such studies. Based on input and feedback related to the regional system planning process provided by the Planning Advisory Committee to the ISO, the ISO shall consult with the appropriate NEPOOL technical committees, including but not limited to, the Markets, Reliability and Transmission Committees, on issues and concerns identified by the Planning Advisory Committee as requiring further investigation and consideration of potential changes to ISO New England Operating Documents.

2.3 Membership

There are no membership requirements to become part of the Planning Advisory Committee. Meetings are open to members of any entity, including State regulators or agencies and NESCOE, subject to the Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (“CEII”) policy as further described in Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment. To be added to the Planning Advisory Committee email distribution list, an email address shall be provided to the Secretary of the Committee. Throughout this Attachment K, a member of the

Planning Advisory Committee refers to any individual, whether they attend Planning Advisory Committee meetings or are included on the email distribution list.

2.4 Procedures

(a) Notice of Meetings

Prior to the beginning of each year, the ISO shall list on the ISO Calendar, which is available on the ISO's website, the proposed meeting dates for the Planning Advisory Committee for each month of the year. Prior to a Planning Advisory Committee meeting, the ISO shall provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee by electronic email with the date, time, format for the meeting (i.e., in person or teleconference), and the purpose for the meeting.

(b) Frequency of Meetings

Meetings of the Planning Advisory Committee shall be held as frequently as necessary to serve the purposes stated in Section 2.2 of this Attachment and as further specified elsewhere in this Attachment, generally expected to be no less than four (4) times per year.

(c) Availability of Meeting Materials

The ISO shall post materials for Planning Advisory Committee meetings on the Planning Advisory Committee section on the ISO's website prior to meetings. The materials for the Planning Advisory Committee meetings shall be made available to the members of the Planning Advisory Committee subject to protections warranted by confidentiality requirements of the ISO New England Information Policy set forth in Attachment D of the ISO Tariff and Critical Energy Infrastructure Information ("CEII") policy as further described in Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment.

(d) Access to Planning-Related Materials that Contain CEII

CEII is defined as specific engineering, vulnerability, or detailed design information about proposed or existing critical infrastructure (physical or virtual) that:

- (i) Relates details about the production, generation, transportation, transmission, or distribution of energy;
- (ii) Could be useful to a person in planning an attack on critical infrastructure;
- (iii) Is exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552; and
- (iv) Does not simply give the location of critical infrastructure.

CEII pertains to existing and proposed system and assets, whether physical or virtual, the incapacity or destruction of which would negatively affect security, economic security, public health or safety, or any combination of those matters. CEII does not include information that is otherwise publicly available. Simplified maps and general information on engineering, vulnerability, or design that relate to production, generation, transportation, transmission or distribution of energy shall not constitute CEII.

Planning-related materials determined to be CEII will be posted on the ISO's password-protected website. To obtain access to planning-related materials determined to be CEII, the entity seeking to obtain such access must contact the ISO's Customer Service department. Authorized Market Participants or their representatives, such as consultants, are bound by the ISO New England Information Policy and will be able to access CEII materials through the ISO's password-protected website. State and federal governmental agency employees and their consultants will be able to access such materials through the ISO's password-protected website upon submittal of a signed non-disclosure agreement, which is available on the ISO's website. Personnel of the ERO, NPCC, other regional transmission organizations or independent system operators, and transmission owners from neighboring regions will be able to access CEII materials pursuant to governing agreements, rules and protocols. All external requests by other persons for planning-related materials determined to be CEII shall be recorded and tracked by ISO's Customer Services staff. Such requestors will be able to obtain access to CEII documents filed with the Commission pursuant to the Commission's regulations governing access to CEII. To the extent a requestor seeks access to planning-related material that is not filed with the Commission, such requestor shall comply with the requirements provided in the CEII procedures of the ISO, available on the ISO's website, prior to receiving access to CEII

information. Upon compliance with the ISO's CEII procedures, the ISO shall grant the requestor access to the planning-related CEII document through direct distribution or access to the ISO password-protected website.

2.5 Local System Planning Process

The LSP process described in Appendix 1 to this Attachment applies to the transmission system planning for the Non-PTF in the New England Transmission System. The PTOs will utilize interested members of the Planning Advisory Committee for advisory stakeholder input in the LSP process that will meet, as needed, at the conclusion of, or independent of, scheduled Planning Advisory Committee meetings. The LSP meeting agenda and meeting materials will be developed by representatives of the pertinent PTOs and PTO representatives will chair the LSP meeting. The ISO will post the LSP agenda and materials for LSP.

3. RSP: Principles, Scope, and Contents

3.1 Description of RSP

The ISO shall develop the RSP based on periodic comprehensive assessments (conducted not less than every third year) of the PTF systemwide needs to maintain the reliability of the New England Transmission System while accounting for market efficiency, economic, environmental, and other considerations, as agreed upon from time to time. The ISO shall update the RSP to reflect the results of ongoing Needs Assessments conducted pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Attachment. The RSP shall also account for projected improvements to the PTF that are needed to maintain system reliability in accordance with national and regional standards and the operation of efficient markets under a set of planning assumptions.

The RSP shall, among other things:

- (i) describe, in a consolidated manner, the assessment of the PTF system needs, the results of such assessments, and the projected improvements;
- (ii) provide the projected annual and peak demands for electric energy for a five-to ten-year horizon, the needs for resources over this period and how such resources are expected to be provided;

- (iii) specify the physical characteristics of the physical solutions that can meet the needs defined in the Needs Assessments and include information on market responses that can address them; and
- (iv) provide sufficient information to allow Market Participants to assess the quantity, general locations, operating characteristics and required availability criteria of the type of incremental supply or demand-side resources, or merchant transmission projects, that would satisfy the identified needs or that may serve to modify, offset or defer proposed regulated transmission upgrades.

The RSP shall also include a description of proposed regulated transmission solutions that, based on the Solutions Studies described in Section 4.2 of this Attachment and the competitive solution process described in Section 4.3 of this Attachment, meets the needs identified in the Needs Assessments. To this end, as further described in Section 3.6 below, the ISO shall develop and maintain a RSP Project List, a cumulative listing of proposed regulated transmission solutions classified, to the extent known, as Reliability Transmission Upgrades, Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades, and Public Policy Transmission Upgrades (which, for the foregoing types of upgrades, may include the portions of Interregional Transmission Projects located within the New England Control Area) and of External Transmission Projects. The RSP shall also provide reasons for any new regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades included in the RSP Project List, any change in status of a regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade in the RSP Project List, or for any removal of regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades from the RSP Project List that are known as of that time.

Each RSP shall be built upon the previous RSP.

3.2 Baseline of RSP

The RSP shall account for: (i) all projects that have met milestones, including market responses and regulated transmission solutions (e.g., planned demand-side projects, generation and transmission projects and Elective Transmission Upgrades) as determined by the ISO, in collaboration with the Planning Advisory Committee, pursuant to Sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4A of this Attachment; and (ii) the

requirements for system operation and restoration services, not including the development of a system operations or restoration plan, which is outside the scope of the regional system planning process.

3.3 RSP Planning Horizon and Parameters

The RSP shall be based on a five-to ten-year planning horizon, and reflect five-to ten-year capacity and load forecasts.

The RSP shall conform to: Good Utility Practice; applicable Commission compliance requirements related to the regional system planning process; applicable reliability principles, guidelines, criteria, rules, procedures and standards of the ERO, NPCC, and any of their successors; planning criteria adopted and/or developed by the ISO; Transmission Owner criteria, rules, standards, guides and policies developed by the Transmission Owner for its facilities consistent with the ISO planning criteria, the applicable criteria of the ERO and NPCC; local transmission planning criteria; and the ISO New England Planning Procedures and ISO New England Operating Procedures, as they may be amended from time to time (collectively, the “Planning and Reliability Criteria”).

The revisions to this Attachment K submitted to comply with FERC’s Order No. 1000 shall not apply to any Proposed or Planned project included in an RSP approved by the ISO Board of Directors (or in an RSP Project List update) prior to the May 18, 2015 effective date of the Order No. 1000 compliance filing of the ISO and the PTOs, unless the ISO is re-evaluating the solution design for such project as of that effective date, or subsequently determines that the solution design for such project requires re-evaluation.

3.4 Other RSP Principles

The RSP shall be designed and implemented to: (i) avoid unnecessary duplication of facilities; (ii) identify facilities that are necessary to meet Planning and Reliability Criteria; (iii) avoid the imposition of unreasonable costs upon any Transmission Owner, Transmission Customer or other user of a transmission facility; (iv) take into account the legal and contractual rights and obligations of the Transmission Owners and the transmission-related legal and contractual rights and obligations of any other entity; (v) provide for coordination with existing transmission systems and with appropriate inter-area and local expansion plans; and (vi) properly coordinate with market responses, including, but not limited to generation, merchant transmission and demand-side responses.

3.5 Market Responses in RSP

Market responses shall include investments in resources (e.g., demand-side projects, generation and distributed generation) and Elective Transmission Upgrades and shall be evaluated by the ISO, in consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee, pursuant to Sections 4.1(f), 4A.3(b), and 7 of this Attachment.

In developing the RSP, the ISO shall account for market responses: (i) proposed by Market Participants as addressing needs (and any critical time constraints for addressing such needs) identified in an RSP, Needs Assessment, or Public Policy Transmission Study; and (ii) that have proved to be viable by meeting the criteria specified in Section 4.1(f) or 4A.3(b) of this Attachment, as applicable.

Specifically, market responses that are identified to the ISO and are determined by the ISO, in consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee, to be sufficient to alleviate the need for a particular regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade, based on the criteria specified in the pertinent Needs Assessment or RSP, and are judged by the ISO to be achievable within the required time period, shall be reflected in the next RSP and/or in a new or updated Needs Assessment. That particular regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade may continue to be included in the appropriate category on the RSP Project List (as described in Section 3.6 below), subject to the ISO having the flexibility to indicate that the project should proceed at a later date or it may be removed if it is determined to be no longer needed. If the market response does not fully address the defined needs, or if additional transmission infrastructure is required to facilitate the efficient operation of the market, the RSP shall also include that particular regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade, subject to the ISO having the flexibility to indicate that the Transmission Upgrade or regulated transmission solution should proceed at a later date and be modified, if necessary.

3.6 The RSP Project List

(a) Elements of the RSP Project List

The RSP Project List shall identify regulated transmission solutions proposed in response to the needs identified in a RSP or Needs Assessments conducted pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Attachment, and shall identify Public Policy Transmission Upgrades identified pursuant to Section 4A of this Attachment. The RSP Project List shall identify the proposed regulated transmission solutions separately as a Reliability Transmission

Upgrade, a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade, or a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade.

With regard to Reliability Transmission Upgrades and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades, the following subcategories will be utilized to indicate the status of each proposed regulated transmission solution in the evaluation process. These subcategories include: (i) Proposed; (ii) Planned; (iii) Under Construction; and (iv) In-Service. A Public Policy Transmission Upgrade will be identified in the RSP Project List as (i) Proposed; (ii) Planned; (iii) Under Construction; or (iv) In-Service.

The regulated transmission solution subcategories are defined as follows:

(i) For purposes of Reliability Transmission Upgrades and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades, “Proposed” shall include a regulated transmission solution that (a) has been proposed in response to a specific need identified by the ISO in a Needs Assessment or the RSP and (b) has been evaluated or further defined and developed in a Solutions Study, as specified in Section 4.2(a) of this Attachment, or in the competitive solutions process specified in Section 4.3 of this Attachment, such that there is significant analysis that supports a determination by the ISO, as communicated to the Planning Advisory Committee, that the proposed regulated transmission solution would likely meet the need identified by the ISO in a Needs Assessment or the RSP, but has not received approval by the ISO under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff.

For purposes of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, “Proposed” means that the ISO has included the project in the RSP Project List pursuant to the procedures described in Section 4A of this Attachment K, but that the project has not yet been approved by the ISO under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff.

(ii) “Planned” shall include a Transmission Upgrade that has met the requirements for a Proposed project and has been approved by the ISO under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff.

(iii) “Under Construction” shall include a Transmission Upgrade that has received the approvals required under the Tariff and engineering and construction is underway.

(iv) “In Service” shall include a Transmission Upgrade that has been placed in commercial operation.

The RSP Project List shall also list External Transmission Projects for which cost allocation and, if applicable, operating agreements have been accepted by the Commission, and indicate whether such External Transmission Projects are proposed, under construction or in service.

Each Reliability Transmission Upgrade and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade shall be cross-referenced to the specific systemwide or area needs identified in a Needs Assessment or RSP. Each proposed Public Policy Transmission Upgrade shall be cross-referenced in the RSP Project List to a specific Public Policy Transmission Study.

For completeness, the RSP Project List shall also include Elective Transmission Upgrades and transmission facilities (as determined under the ISO interconnection process specified in this OATT) to be built to accommodate new generation, and Elective Transmission Upgrades that have satisfied the requirements of this OATT.

An Interregional Transmission Project developed pursuant to Section 6.3 of this Attachment K may displace a regional Reliability Transmission Upgrade or Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade on the RSP Project List where the ISO has determined that the Interregional Transmission Project is a more efficient or cost-effective solution. In the case of an Interregional Transmission Project that could meet the needs met by a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade, the associated Public Policy Transmission Upgrade may be removed from the RSP Project List in the circumstances described, and using the procedures specified, in Section 4A of Attachment K.

(b) Periodic Updating of RSP Project List

The RSP Project List will be updated by the ISO periodically by adding, removing or revising regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades in consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee and, as appropriate, the Reliability Committee.

Updating of the RSP Project List shall be considered an update of the RSP to be reflected in the next RSP, as appropriate, pursuant to Section 3.1 of this Attachment.

(c) RSP Project List Updating Procedures and Criteria

As part of the periodic updating of the RSP Project List, the ISO: (i) shall modify (in accordance with the provisions of this Attachment) regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades to reflect changes to the PTF system configurations, including ongoing investments by Market Participants or other stakeholders; (ii) may add to and classify accordingly, regulated transmission solutions; (iii) may remove from the RSP Project List regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades previously identified in the RSP Project List if the ISO determines that the need for the proposed regulated transmission solution or the approved Transmission Upgrade no longer exists or is no longer feasible; and (iv) may remove from the RSP Project List regulated transmission solutions or Transmission Upgrades that have been displaced by an Interregional Transmission Project in the circumstances described in Section 3.6(a) of this Attachment. With regard to (iii) above, this may include a removal of a regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade because a market response meeting the need reaches the maturity specified in Sections 4.1(f) or 4A.3(b) of this Attachment and has been determined, pursuant to Sections 4.1(f) or 4A.3(b) of this Attachment, to meet the need described in the pertinent Needs Assessment, Public Policy Transmission Study or RSP, as applicable. In doing so, the ISO shall consult with and consider the input from the Planning Advisory Committee and, as appropriate, the Reliability Committee. In addition, the ISO shall remove from the RSP Project List any Public Policy Transmission Upgrade if the ISO determines, with input from the Planning Advisory Committee, that the need to which the Public Policy Transmission Upgrade responds no longer exists.

If a regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade is removed from the RSP Project List by the ISO, the entity responsible for the construction of the regulated

transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade shall be reimbursed for any costs prudently incurred or prudently committed to be incurred (plus a reasonable return on investment at existing Commission-approved ROE levels) in connection with the planning, designing, engineering, siting, permitting, procuring and other preparation for construction, and/or construction of the regulated transmission solution or Transmission Upgrade proposed for removal from the RSP Project List. The provisions of Schedule 12, Schedule 13 and Schedule 14 of this OATT shall apply to any cost reimbursement under this Section. Prior to finalizing the RSP, the ISO shall provide the Planning Advisory Committee with written information explaining the reasons for any removal under this Section.

(d) Posting of LSP Project Status

Each PTO will be individually responsible for publicly posting and updating the status of its respective LSP and the transmission projects arising therefrom on its company website. The ISO's posting of the RSP Project Lists will include links to each PTO's specific LSP posting to be provided to the ISO by the PTOs.

4. Procedures for the Conduct of Needs Assessments, Treatment of Market Responses and Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions

4.1 Needs Assessments

The regional system planning process established in this Attachment K has three different processes. The reliability planning process established in this Attachment K shall apply to all transmission solutions adopted to resolve a reliability need. The market efficiency planning process established in this Attachment K shall apply to all transmission solutions adopted to resolve a market efficiency need. The public policy planning process established in this Attachment K shall apply to all transmission solutions adopted to resolve a public policy need.

As described further in Section 4.1(a) below, the planning process in Section 17 of this Attachment K shall be used to identify market efficiency issues and, along with Section 4.1(a), trigger market efficiency Needs Assessments. Market efficiency Needs Assessments shall be conducted pursuant to this Section 4.

For needs identified initially as reliability, market efficiency or public policy needs, the collateral benefits of potential solutions to those needs shall not change the planning process applicable to those identified needs; notwithstanding the foregoing, the ISO shall report its views as to whether a project or preferred solution may also satisfy identified reliability needs of the system as described in Section 4A.8 of this Attachment K. Sections 4.1 through 4.3 of this Attachment are not applicable to the planning of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, which is governed instead by Section 4A of this Attachment.

On a regular and ongoing basis, the ISO, in coordination with the PTOs and the Planning Advisory Committee, shall conduct assessments (i.e., Needs Assessments) of the adequacy of the PTF system, as a whole or in part, to maintain the reliability of such facilities (i.e., reliability Needs Assessment) and the operation of efficient wholesale electric markets in New England (i.e., market efficiency Needs Assessment). A Needs Assessment shall analyze whether the PTF in the New England Transmission System: (i) meet applicable reliability standards; (ii) have adequate transfer capability to support local, regional, and inter-regional reliability; (iii) support the efficient operation of the wholesale electric markets; (iv) are sufficient to integrate new resources and loads on an aggregate or regional basis; or (v) otherwise examine various aspects of its performance and capability. A Needs Assessment shall also identify: (i) the location and nature of any potential problems with respect to the PTF and (ii) situations that significantly affect the reliable and efficient operation of the PTF along with any critical time constraints for addressing the needs of the PTF to facilitate the development of market responses and to initiate the pursuit of regulated transmission solutions.

(a) Triggers for Needs Assessments

The ISO, in coordination with the PTOs and the Planning Advisory Committee, shall perform Needs Assessments, inter alia, as needed to:

- Assess compliance with reliability standards and criteria (including those established by the ISO, NERC, and NPCC) consistent with the long term needs of the system.
- Assess the adequacy of the transmission system capability, such as transfer capability, to support local, regional and interregional reliability.
- Assess the efficient operation of the wholesale electric market. (See Attachment N regarding the identification of market efficiency upgrades).

- Assess sufficiency of the system to integrate new resources and loads on an aggregate or regional basis as needed for the reliable and efficient operation of the system.
 - Analyze various aspects of system performance. (Including but not limited to, transient network analysis, small signal analysis, electromagnetic transients program analysis, or delta P analysis).
 - Examine short circuit performance of the system.
 - Assess the ability to efficiently operate and maintain the transmission system.
 - Address market efficiency issues.
 - Address system performance in consideration of de-list bids and cleared demand bids consistent with sections 4.1(c) and 4.1(f) of Attachment K.
 - Address system performance as otherwise deemed appropriate by the ISO.
- (b) **[RESERVED]**
- (c) **Conduct of a Needs Assessment for Rejected De-List Bids**
- (i) In the case of a rejected Static De-List Bid or Dynamic De-List Bid, the ISO may as warranted, with advisory input from the Reliability Committee, examine the unavailability of the resource(s) with the rejected bid as a sensitivity in a Needs Assessment, or examine the unavailability of the resource(s) in the base representation in a Needs Assessment. The ISO may as warranted, with advisory input from the Reliability Committee, initiate a Needs Assessment for the purpose of modeling rejected Static De-List Bids or Dynamic De-List Bids where the ISO believes that the initiation of such a study is warranted.
 - (ii) Prior to the start of each New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window, the ISO shall present to the Reliability Committee the status of any prior rejected Dynamic De-

List Bids, Static De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids or Retirement De-List Bids being studied in the regional system planning process.

(d) Notice of Initiation of Needs Assessments

Prior to its commencement, the ISO shall provide notice of the initiation of a Needs Assessment to the Planning Advisory Committee consistent with Section 2 of this Attachment.

(e) Preparation of Needs Assessment

Needs Assessments may examine resource adequacy, transmission adequacy, projected congestion levels and other relevant factors as may be agreed upon from time to time. Needs Assessments shall also consider the views, if any, of the Planning Advisory Committee, State regulators or agencies, NESCOE, the Market Advisor to the ISO Board of Directors, and the ISO Board of Directors. A corresponding assessment shall be performed by the PTOs to identify any needs relating to the Non-PTF transmission facilities (of whatever voltage) that could affect the provision of Regional Transmission Service over the PTF.

(f) Treatment of Market Responses in Needs Assessments

The ISO shall reflect proposed market responses in the regional system planning process. Market responses may include, but are not limited to, resources (e.g., demand-side projects and distributed generation), and Elective Transmission Upgrades.

In performing Needs Assessments, the ISO shall rely on certain resources to prevent the identification of system needs. Specifically, the ISO shall incorporate or update information regarding future resources, with the exception of imports across external tie lines, in Needs Assessments that have been proposed and (i) have cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Market Rule 1 of the ISO Tariff, (ii) have been selected in, and are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals, (iii) have a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, or (iv) have been forecast in the ISO's Forecast Report of Capacity, Energy, Loads and Transmission. The ISO shall also incorporate or update information regarding all existing resources, with the exception of imports across external tie lines, in Needs Assessments. Imports across future or existing external tie lines will not be relied upon unless such imports (i) have a Capacity Supply Obligation corresponding to the year of study, (ii) have

been selected in, and are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals, (iii) have a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, or (iv) may be represented by a minimum flow based on HQ Interconnection Capability Credits. The ISO will model out-of-service all submitted Retirement De-List Bids, submitted Permanent De-List Bids, and demand bids that have cleared in a substitution auction, and may model out-of-service rejected-for-reliability Static De-List Bids and rejected-for-reliability Dynamic De-List Bids from the most recent Forward Capacity Auction. With respect to having been selected in, and being contractually bound by a state-sponsored request for proposals, or having a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, demonstration of such contracts is accomplished through submittal for ISO review of an order or other similar authorization from the appropriate state regulatory agency, along with a copy of the contract, that together demonstrate the contractual requirements. These documents may be submitted by: the Project Sponsor; the state regulatory agency authorizing the contract; a transmission company that is a counterparty to the contract; or by a third-party organization representing the interests of the New England states regarding energy related issues, such as NESCOE. The ISO shall incorporate or update information regarding a proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade in a Needs Assessment at a time after the studies corresponding to the Elective Transmission Upgrade are completed (including receipt of approval under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff), a commercial operation date has been ascertained, and for which the certification has been accepted in accordance with Section III.12 of the Tariff. In the case where the Elective Transmission Upgrades are proposed in conjunction with the interconnection of a resource, these Elective Transmission Upgrades shall be considered at the same time as the proposed resource is considered in the Needs Assessment provided that the studies corresponding to the Elective Transmission Upgrade are completed (including receipt of approval under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff), a commercial operation date has been ascertained, and for which the certification has been accepted in accordance with Section III.12 of the Tariff.

(g) Needs Assessment Support

For the development of the Needs Assessments, the ISO will coordinate with the PTOs and the Planning Advisory Committee to support the ISO's performance of Needs Assessments. To facilitate this support, the ISO will post on its website the models, files, cases, contingencies, assumptions and other information used to perform Needs Assessments. The ISO may establish requirements that any PTO or member of the Planning Advisory Committee must satisfy in order

to access certain information used to perform Needs Assessments, due to ISO New England Information Policy and CEII constraints. The ISO may ask PTOs or Planning Advisory Committee members with special expertise to provide technical support or perform studies required to assess one or more potential needs that will be considered in the Needs Assessments process. These entities will provide, and the ISO will post on its website, the models, files, cases, contingencies, assumptions and other information used by those entities to perform studies. The ISO will post the draft results of any such Needs Assessment studies on its website. The ISO will convene meetings open to any representative of an entity that is a member of the Planning Advisory Committee to facilitate input on draft Needs Assessments studies and the inputs to those studies prior to the ISO's completion of a draft Needs Assessment report to be reviewed by the entire Planning Advisory Committee pursuant to Section 4.1(i) of this Attachment. All provisions of this subsection (g) relating to the provision and sharing of information shall be subject to the ISO-NE Information Policy.

(h) Input from the Planning Advisory Committee

Meetings of the Planning Advisory Committee shall be convened to identify additional considerations relating to a Needs Assessment that were not identified in support of initiating the assessment, and to provide input on the Needs Assessment's scope, assumptions and procedures, consistent with the responsibilities of the Planning Advisory Committee as set forth in Section 2.2 of this Attachment.

(i) Publication of Needs Assessment and Response Thereto

The ISO shall report the results of Needs Assessments to the Planning Advisory Committee, subject to CEII constraints. Needs Assessments containing CEII will be posted on the ISO's password-protected website consistent with Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment. Needs Assessments will identify high-level functional requirements and characteristics for regulated transmission solutions and market responses that can meet the needs described in the assessment. Where the ISO forecasts that a solution is needed to solve reliability criteria violations in three years or less from the completion of a Needs Assessment (unless the solution to the Needs Assessment will likely be a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade), and the requirements of Section 4.1(j) of this Attachment have been met or where there is only one Phase One Proposal submitted in response to a request for proposal issued under Sections 4.3(a) of this Attachment or

only one proposed solution that is selected to move on as a Phase Two Solution, the ISO will evaluate the adequacy of proposed regulated solutions by performing Solutions Studies, as described in Section 4.2 of this Attachment. Where the solution to a Needs Assessment will likely be a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade, or where the forecast year of need for a solution that is likely to be a Reliability Transmission Upgrade is more than three years from the completion of a Needs Assessment, the ISO will conduct a solution process based on a two-stage competitive solution process, as described in Section 4.3 of this Attachment.

(j) Requirements for Use of Solutions Studies Rather than Competitive Solution Process for Projects Based on Year of Need

The following requirements must be met in order for the ISO to use Solutions Studies in the circumstances described in Section 4.1(i) based on the solution's year of need:

- (i) The ISO shall separately identify and post on its website an explanation of the reliability criteria violations and system conditions that the region has a time-sensitive need to solve within three years of the completion of the relevant Needs Assessment. The explanation shall be in sufficient detail to allow stakeholders to understand the need and why it is time-sensitive.
- (ii) In deciding whether to utilize Solutions Studies, such that the regulated transmission solution will be developed through a process led by the ISO and built by the PTO(s), the ISO shall:
 - (A) Provide to the Planning Advisory Committee and post on its website a full and supported written description explaining the decision to designate a PTO as the entity responsible for construction and ownership of the reliability project, including an explanation of other transmission or non-transmission options that the region considered but concluded would not sufficiently address the immediate reliability need, and the circumstances that generated the reliability need and an explanation of why that reliability need was not identified earlier.
 - (B) Provide a 15-day period during which comments from stakeholders on the posted description may be sent to the ISO, which comments will be posted on the website, as well.

- (iii) The ISO shall maintain and post on its website a list of prior year designations of all projects in the limited category of transmission projects for which the PTO(s) was designated as the entity responsible for construction and ownership of the project following the performance of Solutions Studies. The list must include the project's need-by date and the date the PTO(s) actually energized the project, i.e., placed the project into service. The ISO shall file such list with the Commission as an informational filing in January of each calendar year covering the designations of the prior calendar year, when applicable.

4.2 Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions in Solutions Studies, Where Competitive Solution Process of Section 4.3 Is Not Applicable

The procedures described in this Section 4.2 shall be utilized for the evaluation of regulated transmission solutions for reliability and market efficiency needs where the requirements of Sections 4.1(i) and/or (j) of this Attachment are satisfied. Otherwise, the procedures of Section 4.3 shall be utilized for that purpose.

(a) Evaluation and Development of Regulated Transmission Solutions in Solutions Studies for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades

In the case of Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades, the ISO, in coordination with the proponents of regulated transmission solutions and other interested or affected stakeholders, shall conduct or participate in studies ("Solutions Studies") to evaluate whether proposed regulated transmission solutions meet the PTF system needs identified in Needs Assessments. The ISO, in coordination with affected stakeholders shall also identify regulated transmission projects for addressing the needs identified in Needs Assessments.

The ISO may form ISO-led targeted study groups to conduct Solutions Studies. Such study groups will include representatives of the proponents of regulated transmission solutions and other interested or affected stakeholders. Through this process, the ISO may identify the solutions for the region that offer the best combination of electrical performance, cost, future system expandability, and feasibility to meet a need identified in a Needs Assessment in the required

time frame. These solutions may differ from a transmission solution proposed by a transmission owner.

Proponents of regulated transmission proposals in response to Needs Assessments shall also identify any LSP plans that require coordination with their regulated transmission proposals addressing the PTF system needs.

(b) Notice of Initiation of a Solutions Study

The ISO shall provide notice of the initiation and scope of a Solutions Study to the Planning Advisory Committee.

(c) Classification of Regulated Transmission Solutions as Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades or Reliability Transmission Upgrades

As described in Section 3.1 and 3.6(a) of this Attachment, proposed regulated transmission solutions determined by the ISO, in consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee, to address needs identified in Needs Assessments shall be classified as a Reliability Transmission Upgrade and/or a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade pursuant to the standards set forth in Attachment N of this OATT.

(d) Evaluation Factors Used for Identification of the Preferred Solution

Factors to be considered during the evaluation process for identification of the preferred solution may include, but are not limited to, the following which are listed in no particular order:

- Installed cost;
- Life-cycle cost, including all costs associated with right of way acquisition, easements, and associated real estate;
- System performance;
- Cost cap or cost containment provisions;
- In-service date of the project or portion(s) thereof;
- Project constructability;
- Generation and transmission facility outages required during construction;
- Extreme contingency performance;
- Operational impacts;

- Incremental costs for potential resource retirements;
- Interface impacts;
- Future expandability;
- Consistency with Good Utility Practice;
- Potential siting/permitting issues or delays;
- Loss savings;
- Replacement of aging infrastructure;
- Environmental impact;
- Design standards; and
- Impact on NPCC Bulk Power System classification.

(e) Identification of the Preferred Solution and Inclusion of Results of Solutions Studies for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades in the RSP

The results of Solutions Studies related to Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades and Reliability Transmission Upgrades will be reported to the Planning Advisory Committee. After receiving feedback from the Planning Advisory Committee, the ISO will identify the preferred solution. The ISO will inform the appropriate Transmission Owners in writing regarding the identification of the preferred solution.

Once identified, the preferred solution, as appropriate, will be reflected (with an overview of why the solution is preferred) in the RSP and/or its Project List, as it is updated from time to time in accordance with this Attachment. Where external impacts of regional projects are identified through coordination by the ISO with neighboring entities, those impacts will be identified in the RSP. Costs associated with such impacts will be addressed as set forth in Schedule 15.

(f) Cancellation of a Solutions Study

The ISO may cancel a Solutions Study at any time. Such cancellation may be due to new or different assumptions which may change or eliminate the identified needs. Any costs associated with Solutions Study development shall be recovered pursuant to Section 3.6(c) of this Attachment.

4.3 Competitive Solution Process for Reliability Transmission Upgrades and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades

(a) Initiating the Competitive Solution Process

The ISO will publicly issue a request for proposal for which, pursuant to Section 4.1(i) of this Attachment, a competitive solution process will be utilized. The request for proposal will indicate that a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor may submit an individual or joint Phase One Proposal(s) offering a solution that addresses the identified needs or address a subset of those needs. In the case where a joint Phase One Proposal is submitted, all parties must be Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors. A Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor may propose a comprehensive solution to address the identified needs, or a subset thereof, that includes an upgrade(s) located on or connected to a PTO's existing transmission system where the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is not the PTO for the existing system element(s). In such cases, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor's proposed solution relating to the upgrade(s) of an existing transmission system element(s) must provide all data available to the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor as part of its response to the request for proposal. The Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is not required to procure agreements with the PTO for implementation of such upgrades as the PTO is required to implement the upgrade(s) in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement if the proposed solution is selected through the competitive process.

A PTO or PTOs identified by the ISO as the Backstop Transmission Solution provider(s) shall submit an individual or joint Phase One Proposal (if more than one PTO is identified) as a Backstop Transmission Solution to comprehensively address all of the needs identified in the request for proposal that would be solved by a project located within or connected to its/their existing electric system, and which it/they would therefore have an obligation to build under Schedule 3.09(a) of the TOA. Such PTOs may recover the costs of preparing the Backstop Transmission Solution in accordance with the mechanisms reflected in the OATT and the terms of the TOA.

A member of the Planning Advisory Committee that is not a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor but would like the ISO to consider a Phase One Proposal reflecting its concept for a project in response to a request for proposal (that is, a project that is "unsponsored") must, before

the deadline for the submission of Phase One Proposals, identify a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor willing to submit a corresponding Phase One Proposal and Phase Two Solution (and to develop and construct the project, if selected in the competitive solution process) in order for the unsponsored project to be submitted in response to an ISO solicitation in Phase One. Upon request by the pertinent Planning Advisory Committee member for assistance in identifying a sponsor, the ISO shall post on its website and distribute to the Planning Advisory Committee a notice that solicits expressions of interest by Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors for sponsorship of the member's conceptual project. All expressions of interest shall include a detailed explanation of why the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is best qualified to construct, own and operate the unsponsored project. If only one Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the ISO shall designate it as the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor. If more than one Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the Planning Advisory Committee member shall select the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor. In either case, the designated Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor shall thereafter comply with the requirements of this Attachment K and the ISO Tariff with respect to the project. If no Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the unsponsored project may not be submitted as a Phase One Proposal.

(b) Use and Control of Right of Way

Neither the submission of a project by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor nor the selection by the ISO of a project submitted by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor for inclusion in the RSP Project List shall alter a PTO's use and control of an existing right of way, the retention, modification, or transfer of which remain subject to the relevant law or regulation, including property or contractual rights, that granted the right-of-way. Nothing in the processes described in this Attachment K requires a PTO to relinquish any of its rights-of-way in order to permit a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor to develop, construct or own a project.

(c) Information Required for Phase One Proposals; Study Deposit; Timing
Phase One Proposals shall provide the following information:

- (i) a detailed description of the proposed solution, in the manner specified by the ISO, including an identification of the proposed route for the solution and technical details of the project, such as interconnection into the existing transmission system;
- (ii) a detailed explanation of the identified needs that are addressed, how the proposed solution addresses those identified needs, a description of those needs which have not been addressed, and a description of the impact of the Phase One Proposal on those needs which have not been addressed;
- (iii) the proposed schedule, including key high-level milestones, for development, siting, procurement of real estate rights, permitting, construction and completion of the proposed solution;
- (iv) right, title, and interest in rights of way, substations, and other property or facilities, if any, that would contribute to the proposed solution or the means and timeframe by which such would be obtained; and
- (v) the estimated installed costs of the proposed solution, including a high-level itemization of the components of the cost estimate and any cost containment or cost cap measures.

With each proposal, the submitting Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor must include payment of a \$100,000 study deposit per submitted Phase One Proposal to support the cost of Phase One Proposal and Phase Two Solution study work by the ISO. The study deposit of \$100,000 shall be applied towards the costs incurred by the ISO associated with the study of the Phase One Proposal and Phase Two Solution.

Phase One Proposals must be submitted by the deadline specified in the public posting by the ISO of the request for proposal described in Section 4.3(a) of this Attachment, which shall not be less than 60 days from the posting date of the request for proposal. The ISO may reject submittals which are insufficient or not adequately supported.

(d) LSP Coordination

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Phase One Proposals shall also identify any LSP plans that require coordination with their Phase One Proposals.

(e) Review of Phase One Proposals by ISO

If any identified need is only solved by the Backstop Transmission Solution, the ISO shall proceed under Section 4.2 of this Attachment, rather than pursuant to the procedures set forth in the remainder of this Section 4.3.

If all of the identified needs are solved by more than one Phase One Proposal, the ISO shall perform a review of each proposal to determine whether the proposed solution:

- (i) provides sufficient data and that the data is of sufficient quality to satisfy Section 4.3(c) of this Attachment;
- (ii) satisfies one or more of the needs as identified in Section 4.3(c)(ii);
- (iii) is technically practicable and indicates possession of, or an approach to acquiring, the necessary rights of way, property and facilities that will make the proposal reasonably feasible in the required timeframe; and
- (iv) is eligible to be constructed only by an existing PTO in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the TOA because the proposed solution is an upgrade to existing PTO facilities, or because the costs of the proposed solution are not eligible for regional cost allocation under the OATT and will be allocated only to the local customers of a PTO.

(f) Proposal Deficiencies; Further Information

If the ISO identifies any minor deficiencies in meeting the requirements of Section 4.3(e) in the information provided in connection with a proposed Phase One Proposal, the ISO will notify the submitting Phase One Proposal Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor and provide an opportunity for the sponsor to cure the deficiencies within the timeframe specified by the ISO. Upon request, Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Phase One Proposals shall provide the ISO with additional information reasonably necessary for the ISO's evaluation of the proposed

Phase One Proposals. This identification and notification will occur prior to the publication by the ISO of any Phase One Proposals. In providing information under this subsection (f), or in Phase Two Solutions, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor may not modify its project materially or submit a new project, but instead may clarify its Phase One Proposal. Phase Two Solutions reflecting a material modification to a Phase One Proposal or representing a new project will be rejected.

(g) Listing of Qualifying Phase One Proposals or Groups of Phase One Proposals

The ISO will provide the Planning Advisory Committee with, and post on the ISO's website, a listing of Phase One Proposals that meet the criteria of Section 4.3(e). The listing will contain Phase One Proposals, either individually or as a group, that solve all of the identified needs. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held thereafter in order to solicit stakeholder input on the listing, and the listed proposals. The ISO with input from the Planning Advisory Committee may exclude Phase One Proposals, from the list, and from consideration in Phase Two Solutions, based on a determination that the Phase One Proposal is not competitive with other Phase One Proposals, that have been submitted in terms of cost, electrical performance, future system expandability, or feasibility. Information on Phase One Proposals containing CEII will be posted on the ISO's protected website consistent with Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment. The ISO may amend its listing based on stakeholder input. The ISO shall post on its website an explanation of why it has determined to exclude a Phase One Proposal from consideration in the Phase Two Solution process.

(h) Information Required for Phase Two Solutions; Identification and Reporting of Preliminary Preferred Phase Two Solution

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Phase One Proposals reflected on the final listing developed pursuant to Section 4.3(g) of this Attachment shall provide the following information in their proposed Phase Two Solutions:

- (i) updates of the information provided in Phase One Proposals, or a certification that the information remains current and correct;
- (ii) list of required major Federal, State and local permits;

- (iii) description of construction sequencing, a conceptual plan for the anticipated transmission and generation outages necessary to construct the Phase Two Solution and their respective durations, and possible constraints;
- (iv) project schedule, with additional detail compared with Phase One Proposals, as specified by the ISO;
- (v) detailed cost component itemization and life-cycle cost including any clarifications to cost containment or cost cap measures that were not included as part of the Phase One Proposal;
- (vi) description of the financing being used;
- (vii) design and equipment standards to be used;
- (viii) description of the authority the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) has to acquire necessary rights of way;
- (ix) experience of the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) in acquiring rights of way;
- (x) status of acquisition of right, title, and interest in rights of way, substations, and other property or facilities, if any, that are necessary for the proposed Phase Two Solution;
- (xi) detailed explanation of project feasibility and potential constraints and challenges;
- (xii) description of the means by which the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) proposes to satisfy legal or regulatory requirements for siting, constructing, owning and operating transmission projects; and
- (xiii) detailed explanation of potential future expandability.

Phase Two Solutions must be submitted to the ISO by the deadline specified in the posting of the final listing (following stakeholder input) of Phase One Proposals described in Section 4.3(g). The deadline for submittal of Phase Two Solutions shall not be less than 60 days from the posting date of the final listing. The ISO may reject Phase Two Solution submittals which are insufficient or not adequately supported.

The ISO will identify the Phase Two Solution, individually or as a group, that offers the best combination of electrical performance, cost, future system expandability and feasibility to comprehensively address all of the needs in the required timeframe as the preliminary preferred Phase Two Solution in response to each request for proposal. The ISO will report the preliminary preferred Phase Two Solution, together with explanatory materials, to the Planning Advisory Committee and seek stakeholder input on the preliminary preferred Phase Two Solution.

The ISO will consider several factors during the evaluation process for identification of the preliminarily preferred Phase Two Solution. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the following which are listed in no particular order:

- Life-cycle cost, including all costs associated with right of way acquisition, easements, and associated real estate;
- System performance;
- Cost cap or cost containment provisions;
- In-service date of the project or portion(s) thereof;
- Project constructability;
- Generation and transmission facility outages required during construction;
- Extreme contingency performance;
- Operational impacts;
- Incremental costs for potential resource retirements;
- Interface impacts;
- Future expandability;
- Consistency with Good Utility Practice;
- Potential siting/permitting issues or delays;
- Loss savings;

- Replacement of aging infrastructure;
- Environmental impact;
- Design standards;
- Impact on NPCC Bulk Power System classification; and
- Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) capabilities.

(i) Reimbursement of Phase Two Solution Costs; Collection and Refund of ISO Study Costs

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors whose Phase One Proposals are listed pursuant to Section 4.3(g) for review as Phase Two Solutions shall be entitled to recover, pursuant to rates and appropriate financial arrangements set forth in the Tariff (and, as applicable, the TOA and NTDOA), all prudently incurred costs associated with developing a Phase Two Solution. PTOs shall be entitled to recover, pursuant to rates and appropriate financial arrangements set forth in the Tariff, all prudently incurred study costs and costs associated with developing any upgrades or modifications to such PTOs' existing facilities necessary to facilitate the development of a listed Phase One Proposal proposed by any other Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Any difference between a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor's study deposit and the actual cost of the Phase One Proposal and Phase Two Solution studies shall be paid by or refunded to the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor, as appropriate, with interest calculated in accordance with Section 35.19a(a)(2) of the FERC regulations. Any refund payment shall be accompanied by a detailed and itemized accounting of the actual study costs incurred. Any invoice to collect funds in addition to the deposit shall be accompanied by a detailed and itemized accounting of the actual study costs incurred. Any disputes arising from the study process shall be addressed under the dispute resolution process specified in Section I.6 of the ISO Tariff.

(j) Selection of the Preferred Phase Two Solution

Following receipt of stakeholder input, the ISO will identify the preferred Phase Two Solution, individually or as a group, (with an overview of why the solution is preferred) by a posting on its website. The ISO's identification will select the project that offers the best combination of electrical performance, cost, future system expandability and feasibility to meet the need in the required timeframe. The ISO will also notify the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) that

proposed the preferred Phase Two Solution that its project has been selected for development. The preferred Phase Two Solution may include an upgrade(s) located on or connected to a PTO's existing transmission system where the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is not the PTO for the existing system element(s). In such cases the ISO will notify the PTO that have upgrades required by the preferred Phase Two Solution to proceed in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement. Once the ISO has identified the preferred Phase Two Solution, any remaining Phase Two Solutions, along with the Backstop Transmission Solution, must stop all development. The ISO will include the project as a Reliability Transmission Upgrade or Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade, as appropriate, in the RSP and/or its Project List, as it is updated from time to time in accordance with this Attachment. Where external impacts of regional projects are identified through coordination by the ISO with neighboring entities, those impacts will be identified in the RSP. Costs associated with such impacts will be addressed as set forth in Schedule 15.

(k) Execution of Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement

Within 30 days of receiving notification pursuant to Section 4.3(j) of this Attachment, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor shall submit to the ISO its acceptance of responsibility to proceed with the preferred Phase Two Solution by execution of a Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement (Attachment P to the OATT). Within 30 days of receiving notification pursuant to Section 4.3(j) of this Attachment, each Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is part of the joint proposal shall submit to the ISO its acceptance of responsibility to proceed with the preferred Phase Two Solution by execution of a Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement (Attachment P to the OATT). Any cost cap or cost containment provisions shall be included in each Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement.

(l) Failure to Proceed

If the ISO finds, after consultation with a PTO Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s), that one or more of the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors is failing to pursue approvals or construction in a reasonably diligent fashion, the ISO will notify all Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors that one or more of the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors is failing to pursue approvals or construction in a reasonably diligent fashion. The Qualified Transmission

Project Sponsor(s) that is failing to pursue approvals or construction in a reasonably diligent fashion will have 60 days from the ISO's notification to reassign a portion or all of the preferred Phase Two Solution to another Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor in accordance with Section 8 of the Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement (Attachment P to the OATT). In the event that such reassignment does not occur within 60 days, the ISO shall require the applicable PTO(s) to execute the Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement and implement the Backstop Transmission Solution pursuant to Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement. In such cases the ISO shall prepare a report explaining why it has reassigned the project. If the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is failing or unable to proceed is a PTO, the report shall be consistent with the provisions of Section 1.1(e) of Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement, including the ISO's proposed course of action. If prepared with respect to a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is not a PTO, the report shall include a report from that sponsor. The ISO shall file its report (whether with respect to a PTO or non-PTO Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor) with the Commission.

(m) Cancellation of a Request for Proposal

The ISO may cancel a request for proposal at any time. Such cancellation may be due to new or different assumptions which may change or eliminate the identified needs. Any costs associated with solution development shall be recovered pursuant to Sections 3.6(c), 4.3(a) and 4.3(i) of this Attachment.

4A. Public Policy Transmission Studies; Public Policy Transmission Upgrades

4A.1 NESCOE Requests for Public Policy Transmission Studies

No less often than every three years, by January 15 of that year, the ISO will post a notice indicating that members of the Planning Advisory Committee may, no later than 45 days after the posting of the notice: (i) provide NESCOE, via the process described below, with input regarding state and federal Public Policy Requirements identified as driving transmission needs relating to the New England Transmission System, and regarding particular transmission needs driven by those Public Policy Requirements, and (ii) provide the ISO with input regarding local (e.g., municipal and county) Public Policy Requirements identified as driving transmission needs relating to the New England Transmission System, and regarding particular transmission needs driven by those Public Policy Requirements. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee may

be held for this purpose. Members of the Planning Advisory Committee shall direct all such input related to state, federal, and local Public Policy Requirements that drive transmission needs to the ISO and the ISO will post such input on the ISO's website. By no later than May 1 of that year, NESCOE may submit to the ISO in writing a request for a new Public Policy Transmission Study, or an update of a previously conducted study. The request will identify the Public Policy Requirements identified as driving transmission needs relating to the New England Transmission System, and may identify particular NESCOE-identified public policy-related transmission needs as well. Along with any such request, NESCOE will provide the ISO with a written explanation of which transmission needs driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements the ISO will evaluate for potential solutions in the regional planning process, including why other suggested transmission needs will not be evaluated. The ISO will post the NESCOE request and explanation on the ISO's website. If NESCOE does not provide that listing of identified transmission needs (which may consist of a NESCOE statement of its determination that no transmission needs are driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements identified during the stakeholder process) and that explanation (which may consist of a NESCOE explanation of why no transmission needs are driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements identified during the stakeholder process), the ISO will note on its website that a NESCOE listing and explanation have not been provided. In that circumstance, the ISO will determine subsequently (after opportunity for Planning Advisory Committee input), and post on its website an explanation of, which transmission needs driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements the ISO will evaluate in the regional planning process, including why other suggested transmission needs will not be evaluated.

4A.1.1 Study of Federal Public Policy Requirements Not Identified by NESCOE; Local Public Policy Requirements

If a stakeholder believes that a federal Public Policy Requirement that may drive transmission needs relating to the New England Transmission System has not been appropriately addressed by NESCOE, it may file with the ISO, no later than 15 days after the posting of NESCOE's explanation as described in Section 4A.1 of this Attachment, a written request that explains the stakeholder's reasoning and that seeks reconsideration by the ISO of NESCOE's position regarding that requirement. The ISO will post the stakeholder's written request on the ISO's website. Where the ISO agrees with a stated stakeholder position, or on its own finding, the ISO

may perform an evaluation under Sections 4A.2 through 4A.4 of this Attachment of a federal Public Policy Requirement not otherwise identified by NESCOE. The ISO will post on its website an explanation of those transmission needs driven by federal Public Policy Requirements not identified by NESCOE that will be evaluated for potential transmission solutions in the regional system planning process, and why other suggested transmission needs driven by federal Public Policy Requirements not identified by NESCOE will not be evaluated. In addition, the ISO will post on its website an explanation of those transmission needs driven by local Public Policy Requirements that will be evaluated for potential transmission solutions in the regional system planning process, and why other suggested transmission needs driven by local Public Policy Requirements will not be evaluated.

4A.2 Preparation for Conduct of Public Policy Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input

Upon receipt of the NESCOE request, or as the result of the ISO's consideration of a federal or local Public Policy Requirement pursuant to Section 4A.1.1, the ISO will prepare and post on its website a proposed scope for the Public Policy Transmission Study, and associated parameters and assumptions (including resource assumptions), and provide the foregoing to the Planning Advisory Committee by no later than September 1 of the request year. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit stakeholder input for consideration by the ISO on the study's scope, parameters and assumptions.

4A.3 Public Policy Transmission Studies

(a) Conduct of Public Policy Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input

With input from Planning Advisory Committee and potentially impacted PTOs, the ISO will perform the initial phase of the Public Policy Transmission Study to develop a rough estimate of the costs and benefits of high-level concepts that could meet transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements. The study's results will be posted on the ISO's website, and a meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit input on the results of the initial phase of the study, and the scope, parameters and assumptions (including resource assumptions) for any follow-on phase of the study. The ISO may – as a follow-on phase of the Public Policy Transmission Study – perform more detailed analysis and engineering work on the high-level concepts.

(b) Treatment of Market Solutions in Public Policy Transmission Studies

The ISO shall reflect proposed market responses in the Public Policy Transmission Study. Market responses may include, but are not limited to, resources (e.g., demand-side projects and distributed generation), Merchant Transmission Facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades.

In performing Public Policy Transmission Studies, the ISO shall rely on certain resources to prevent the identification of transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements. Specifically, the ISO shall incorporate in the Public Policy Transmission Study information regarding future resources, with the exception of imports across external tie lines, that have been proposed and (i) have cleared in a Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Market Rule 1 of the ISO Tariff, (ii) have been selected in, and are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals, (iii) have a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, or (iv) have been forecast in the ISO's Forecast Report of Capacity, Energy, Loads and Transmission. The ISO shall also incorporate or update information regarding all existing resources, with the exception of imports across external tie lines, in Public Policy Transmission Studies. Imports across future or existing external tie lines will not be relied upon unless such imports (i) have a Capacity Supply Obligation corresponding to the year of study, (ii) have been selected in, and are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals, (iii) have a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, or (iv) may be represented by a minimum flow based on HQ Interconnection Capability Credits. The ISO will model out-of-service all submitted Retirement De-List Bids, submitted Permanent De-List Bids, and demand bids that have cleared in a substitution auction, and may model out-of-service rejected-for-reliability Static De-List Bids and rejected-for-reliability Dynamic De-List Bids from the most recent Forward Capacity Auction. With respect to having been selected in, and being contractually bound by a state-sponsored request for proposals, or having a financially binding obligation pursuant to a contract, demonstration of such contracts is accomplished through submittal for ISO review of an order or other similar authorization from the appropriate state regulatory agency, along with a copy of the contract, that together demonstrate the contractual requirements. These documents may be submitted by: the Project Sponsor; the state regulatory agency authorizing the contract; a transmission company that is a counterparty to the contract; or by a third-party organization representing the interests of the New England states regarding energy related issues, such as NESCOE. The ISO shall incorporate information regarding a proposed Merchant Transmission

Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade in a Public Policy Transmission Study at a time after the studies corresponding to the Merchant Transmission Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade are completed (including receipt of approval under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff), and a commercial operation date has been ascertained, with the exception of Elective Transmission Upgrades that are proposed in conjunction with the interconnection of a resource, which shall be considered at the same time as the proposed resource is considered in the Public Policy Transmission Study.

4A.4 Response to Public Policy Transmission Studies

The results of the Public Policy Transmission Study will be provided to the Planning Advisory Committee and posted on the ISO's website, and a meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit input for the ISO on those results, including any updates from the states on any methods by which they are satisfying their respective Public Policy Requirements included in the Public Policy Transmission Study. The ISO's costs of performing the Public Policy Transmission Study described in Section 4A.3 will be collected by the ISO pursuant to Schedule 1 of Section IV.A of the Tariff. Any prudently incurred PTO costs for assistance requested by the ISO to support the Public Policy Transmission Study will be recovered by the applicable PTO(s) in accordance with Attachment F and Schedule 21 of the Tariff.

The ISO will evaluate the input from the Planning Advisory Committee and provide the results of the Public Policy Transmission Study to Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors for their use in preparing Stage One Proposals to develop, build and operate one or more projects consistent with the general design requirements identified by the ISO in the study.

4A.5 Use and Control of Right of Way

Neither the submission of a project by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor nor the selection by the ISO of a project submitted by a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor for inclusion in the RSP Project List shall alter a PTO's use and control of an existing right of way, the retention, modification, or transfer of which remain subject to the relevant law or regulation, including property or contractual rights, that granted the right-of-way. Nothing in the processes described in

this Attachment K requires a PTO to relinquish any of its rights-of-way in order to permit a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor to develop, construct or own a project.

4A.6 Stage One Proposals

(a) Information Required for Stage One Proposals

The ISO will publicly post on its website a request for proposal inviting, for each high-level general project concept identified by the ISO pursuant to Section 4A.3(a) above, Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors to submit (by the deadline specified in the request for proposal, which shall be not less than 60 days from the date of posting the request for proposal) an individual or joint Stage One Proposal. In the case where a joint Stage One Proposal is submitted, all parties must be Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors. The following information must be provided as part of the Stage one Proposal:

- (i) a detailed description of the proposed solution, in the manner specified by the ISO, including an identification of the proposed route for the solution and technical details of the project, such as interconnection into the existing transmission system;
- (ii) a detailed explanation of how the proposed solution addresses the identified need;
- (iii) the proposed schedule, including key high-level milestones, for development, siting, procurement of real estate rights, permitting, construction and completion of the proposed solution;
- (iv) right, title, and interest in rights of way, substations, and other property or facilities, if any, that would contribute to the proposed solution or the means and timeframe by which such would be obtained; and
- (v) the estimated installed costs of the proposed solution, including a high-level itemization of the components of the cost estimate, and any cost containment or cost cap measures.

A Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor may submit a proposed solution that includes an upgrade(s) located on or connected to a PTO's existing transmission system where the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is not the PTO for the existing system element(s). In such cases, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor's proposed solution relating to the upgrade(s) of an existing transmission system element(s) must provide all data available to the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor as part of its response to the request for proposal. The Qualified

Transmission Project Sponsor is not required to procure agreements with the PTO for implementation of such upgrades as the PTO is required to implement the upgrade(s) in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement if the proposed solution is selected through the competitive process.

A member of the Planning Advisory Committee that is not a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor but would like the ISO to consider a Stage One Proposal reflecting its concept for a project in response to a request for proposal (that is, a project that is “unsponsored”) must identify a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor willing to submit a corresponding Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solution (and to develop and construct the project, if selected in the competitive solution process) in order for the unsponsored project to be submitted in response to an ISO solicitation in Stage One Proposal. Upon request of the pertinent Planning Advisory Committee member for assistance in identifying a sponsor, the ISO shall post on its website and distribute to the Planning Advisory Committee a notice that solicits expressions of interest by Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors for sponsorship of the member’s conceptual project. All expressions of interest shall include a detailed explanation of why the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is best qualified to construct, own and operate the unsponsored project. If only one Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the ISO shall designate it as the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor. If more than one Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the Planning Advisory Committee member shall select the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor. In either case, the designated Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor shall thereafter comply with the requirements of this Attachment K and the ISO Tariff with respect to the project. If no Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor expresses interest, the unsponsored project may not be submitted as a Stage One Proposal.

With each proposal, the submitting Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor must include payment of a \$100,000 study deposit per submitted project to support the cost of Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solution study work by the ISO. The study deposit of \$100,000 shall be applied towards the costs incurred by the ISO associated with the study of the Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solution.

(b) LSP Coordination

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Stage One Proposals shall also identify any LSP plans that require coordination with their Stage One Proposals.

(c) Review of Stage One Proposals by ISO

Upon receipt of Stage One Proposals, the ISO shall perform a review of each proposal to determine whether the proposed solution:

- (i) provides sufficient data and that the data is of sufficient quality to satisfy Section 4A.6(a);
- (ii) satisfies the needs driven by Public Policy Requirements identified in the request for proposal, as reflected in the Public Policy Transmission Study;
- (iii) is technically practicable and indicates possession of, or an approach to acquiring, the necessary rights of way, property and facilities that will make the proposal reasonably feasible in the required timeframe; and;
- (iv) is eligible to be constructed only by an existing PTO in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the TOA because the proposed solution is an upgrade to existing PTO facilities or because the costs of the proposed solution are not eligible for regional cost allocation under the OATT and will be allocated only to the local customers of a PTO.

(d) Proposal Deficiencies; Further Information

If the ISO identifies any deficiencies (compared with the requirements of Section 4A.6(a)) in the information provided in connection with a proposed Stage One Proposal, the ISO will notify the Stage One Proposal Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor and provide an opportunity for the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor to cure the deficiencies within the timeframe specified by the ISO. Upon request, Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Stage One Proposals shall provide the ISO with additional information reasonably necessary for the ISO's evaluation of the proposed solutions. This identification and notification will occur prior to the publication by the ISO of any Stage One Proposals. In providing information under this subsection (d), or in Stage Two Solutions, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor may not modify its project materially or submit a new project, but instead may clarify its project. Stage Two Solutions reflecting a material modification to a Stage One Proposal or representing a new project will be rejected.

(e) List of Qualifying Stage One Proposals

The ISO will provide the Planning Advisory Committee with, and post on the ISO's website, a list of Stage One Proposals that meet the criteria of Section 4A.6(c). A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit input for the ISO on that list. The ISO shall also indicate whether any of the Stage One Proposals may also satisfy identified reliability needs of the system. The ISO with input from the Planning Advisory Committee may exclude Stage One Proposals from the list, and from consideration in Stage Two Solutions, based on a determination that the Stage One Proposal is not competitive with other Stage One Proposals that have been submitted in terms of cost, electrical performance, future system expandability, or feasibility. Information on Stage One Proposals containing CEII will be posted on the ISO's protected website consistent with Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment. The ISO may amend its listing based on stakeholder input.

4A.7 Reimbursement of Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solution Costs; Collection and Refund of ISO Study Costs

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors that are requested by NESCOE in writing or by one or more states' governors or regulatory authorities directly to submit a Stage One Proposal shall be entitled to recover, pursuant to rates and appropriate financial arrangements set forth in the Tariff and the TOA, their prudently incurred costs from the Regional Network Load of the states identified by NESCOE in the written communication as having made the request or from the Regional Network Load of the states that made the request directly. Stage One Proposal costs shall otherwise not be subject to recovery under the ISO Tariff.

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors whose projects are listed by the ISO pursuant to Section 4A.6(e) shall be entitled to recover, pursuant to rates and appropriate financial arrangements set forth in the Tariff and, as applicable, the TOA and NTDOA, all prudently incurred costs associated with developing a Stage Two Solution. PTOs shall be entitled to recover, pursuant to rates and appropriate financial arrangements set forth in the Tariff, all prudently incurred study costs and costs associated with developing any upgrades or modifications to such PTOs' existing facilities necessary to facilitate the development of a listed Stage Two Solution proposed by any other Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

Any difference between a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor's study deposit and the actual cost of the Stage One Proposal and Stage Two Solutions studies shall be paid by or refunded to the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor, as appropriate, with interest calculated in accordance with Section 35.19a(a)(2) of the FERC regulations. Any refund payment shall be accompanied by a detailed and itemized accounting of the actual study costs incurred. Any invoice to collect funds in addition to the deposit shall be accompanied by a detailed and itemized accounting of the actual study costs incurred. Any disputes arising from the study process shall be addressed under the dispute resolution process specified in Section I.6 of the Tariff.

4A.8 Information Required for Stage Two Solutions; Identification and Reporting of Preliminary Preferred Stage Two Solution

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors of Stage One Proposals listed pursuant to Section 4A.6(e) of this Attachment shall provide the following information in their proposed Stage Two Solutions:

- (i) updates of the information provided in Stage One Proposals, or a certification that the information remains current and correct;
- (ii) list of required major Federal, State and local permits;
- (iii) description of construction sequencing, a conceptual plan for the anticipated transmission and generation outages necessary to construct the Stage Two Solution and their respective durations, and possible constraints;
- (iv) project schedule, with additional detail compared with Stage One Proposals, as specified by the ISO;
- (v) detailed cost component itemization and life-cycle cost including any clarifications to cost containment or cost cap measures that were not included as part of the Stage One Proposal;
- (vi) description of the financing being used;

- (vii) design and equipment standards to be used;
- (viii) description of the authority the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) has to acquire necessary rights of way;
- (ix) experience of the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) in acquiring rights of way;
- (x) status of acquisition of right, title, and interest in rights of way, substations, and other property or facilities, if any, that are necessary for the proposed Stage Two Solution;
- (xi) detailed explanation of project feasibility and potential constraints and challenges;
- (xii) description of the means by which the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) proposes to satisfy legal or regulatory requirements for siting, constructing, owning and operating transmission projects; and
- (xiii) detailed explanation of potential future expandability.

Stage Two Solutions must be submitted to the ISO by the deadline specified in the posting of the final listing (following stakeholder input) of Stage One Proposals described in Section 4A.6(e). The deadline for submittal of Stage Two Solutions shall not be less than 60 days from the posting date of the final listing. The ISO may reject Stage Two Solution submittals which are insufficient or not adequately supported.

The ISO will consider several factors during the evaluation process for identification of the preliminarily preferred Stage Two Solution. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the following which are listed in no particular order:

- Life-cycle cost, including all costs associated with right of way acquisition, easements, and associated real estate;
- System performance;
- Cost cap or cost containment provisions;

- In-service date of the project or portion(s) thereof;
- Project constructability;
- Generation and transmission facility outages required during construction;
- Extreme contingency performance;
- Operational impacts;
- Incremental costs for potential resource retirements;
- Interface impacts;
- Future expandability;
- Consistency with Good Utility Practice;
- Potential siting/permitting issues or delays;
- Loss savings;
- Replacement of aging infrastructure;
- Environmental impact;
- Design standards;
- Impact on NPCC Bulk Power System classification; and
- Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor(s) capabilities

The ISO will report the preliminary preferred Stage Two Solution(s), along with its views as to whether the preliminary preferred solution(s) also satisfies identified reliability needs of the system, to the Planning Advisory Committee and seek stakeholder input on the preliminary preferred Stage Two Solution(s).

4A.9 Inclusion of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List; Milestone Schedules; Removal from RSP Project List

(a) Inclusion of Public Policy Transmission Upgrades in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List

Following receipt of stakeholder input, the ISO will identify the preferred Stage Two Solution (with an overview of why the solution is preferred) by a posting on its website. The ISO's identification will select the Stage Two Solution that best addresses the identified Public Policy Requirement while utilizing the best combination of electrical

performance, cost, future system expandability and feasibility to meet the need in the required timeframe. The ISO will also notify the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that proposed the preferred Stage Two Solution that its project has been selected for development, and include the project as a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade in the Regional System Plan and RSP Project List, as it is updated from time to time in accordance with this Attachment. The preferred Stage Two Solution may include an upgrade(s) located on or connected to a PTO's existing transmission system where the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor is not the PTO for the existing system element(s). In such cases the ISO will notify the PTO that have upgrades required by the preferred Stage Two Solution to proceed in accordance with Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement. Once the ISO has identified the preferred Stage Two Solution, any remaining Stage Two Solutions must stop all development. Where external impacts of regional Public Policy Transmission Upgrades are identified through coordination by the ISO with neighboring entities, those impacts will be identified in the RSP. Costs associated with such impacts will be addressed as set forth in Schedule 15.

(b) Execution of Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement

Within 30 days of its receiving notification pursuant to Section 4A.9(a) of this Attachment, the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor shall submit to the ISO its acceptance of responsibility to proceed with the preferred Stage Two Solution by execution of the Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement (Attachment P to the OATT). Within 30 days of receiving notification pursuant to Section 4A.9(a) of this Attachment, each Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is part of the joint proposal shall submit to the ISO its acceptance of responsibility to proceed with the preferred Stage Two Solution by execution of a Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement (Attachment P to the OATT). Any cost cap or cost containment provisions shall be included each Selected Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor Agreement.

(c) Failure to Proceed

If the ISO finds, after consultation with a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor, that the sponsor is failing to pursue approvals or construction in a reasonably diligent fashion,

or that one or more of the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors is unable to proceed with the project due to forces beyond its reasonable control, the ISO shall, after consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee, prepare a report, including a proposed course of action. If the Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is failing or unable to proceed is a PTO, the ISO shall, after consultation with the Planning Advisory Committee, prepare a report consistent with the provisions of Section 1.1(e) of Schedule 3.09(a) of the Transmission Operating Agreement, including the ISO's proposed course of action. The proposed course of action may include, for example, a consideration and selection of another Stage Two Proposal relating to the pertinent Public Policy Requirement, or the re-solicitation of Stage One Proposals to meet the pertinent Public Policy Requirement. If prepared with respect to a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor that is not a PTO, the report shall include a report from that sponsor. The ISO shall file its report (whether with respect to a PTO or a non-PTO Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor) with the Commission.

4A.10 Cancellation of a Request for Proposal

The ISO may cancel a request for proposal at any time. Such cancellation may be due to new or different assumptions which may change or eliminate the identified needs. Any costs associated with solutions development shall be recovered pursuant to Sections 3.6(c) and 4A.7 of this Attachment.

4A.11 Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades

The costs of Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrade(s) that are required in connection with the construction of a Public Policy Transmission Upgrade approved for inclusion in the Regional System Plan in accordance with Section 4A.9 shall be allocated in accordance with Schedule 21 of the ISO OATT.

4B. Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors

4B.1 Evaluation of Applications

The ISO will evaluate applications submitted by an entity that seeks to qualify as a sponsor of a proposed Reliability Transmission Upgrade, Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade or Public Policy Transmission Upgrade.

4B.2 Information To Be Submitted

The application to be submitted to the ISO by an entity desiring to be a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor will include the following information:

- (i) the current and expected capabilities of the applicant to finance and construct a Reliability Transmission Upgrade, Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade or Public Policy Transmission Upgrade and operate and maintain it for the life of the project;
- (ii) the financial resources of the applicant;
- (iii) the technical and engineering qualifications and experience of the applicant;
- (iv) if applicable, the previous record of the applicant regarding construction and maintenance of transmission facilities;
- (v) demonstrated capability of the applicant to adhere to construction, maintenance and operating Good Utility Practices, including the capability to respond to outages;
- (vi) the ability of the applicant to comply with all applicable reliability standards; and
- (vii) demonstrated ability of the applicant to meet development and completion schedules.

4B.3 Review of Qualifications

The ISO shall review each application for completeness. The ISO will notify each applicant within 30 calendar days of receipt of such application whether the application is complete, or identify any deficiencies in provision of the information required by Section 4B.2 of this Attachment. An applicant notified of deficiencies must provide any remedial information within 30 calendar days of the receipt of such notice. Thereafter, the ISO will determine whether the applicant is physically, technically, legally, and financially capable of constructing a Reliability Transmission Upgrade, Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade or Public Policy Transmission Upgrade in a timely and competent manner, and operating and maintaining the facilities consistent with Good Utility Practice and applicable reliability criteria for the life of the project, and use its best efforts to inform the applicant within 90 days from the date on which it has a completed application on file with the ISO whether it has met all of these criteria. A PTO determined by the ISO to meet all of these criteria will be deemed a Qualified Transmission

Project Sponsor. A non-PTO entity determined by the ISO to meet all of these criteria will, upon its execution of the Non-incumbent Transmission Developer Operating Agreement (in the form specified in Attachment O of the OATT) and the Market Participant Service Agreement, be deemed a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor.

4B.4 List of Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors

Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors are listed in Appendix 3 of this Attachment K.

4B.5 Annual Certification

Each Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor shall submit to the ISO annually a certification that the information initially submitted in response to Section 4B.2 of this Attachment K has not changed adversely in a material fashion, or (if a material adverse change has occurred in the intervening year) submit instead a new application for qualification as a project sponsor. In the latter case, the entity shall not be a Qualified Transmission Project Sponsor unless and until the ISO approves its new application.

5. Supply of Information and Data Required for Regional System Planning

The Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Transmission Customers, Market Participants and other entities requesting transmission or interconnection service or proposing the integration of facilities to PTF in the New England Transmission System or alternatives to such facilities, and stakeholders requesting a Needs Assessment pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Attachment, shall supply, as required by the Tariff, the Participants Agreement, MPSAs, applicable transmission operating agreements, and/or other existing agreements, protocols and procedures, or upon request by the ISO, and subject to required CEII and confidentiality protections as specified in Section 2.4 of this Attachment, any information (including cost estimates) and data that is reasonably required to prepare an RSP or perform a Needs Assessment, Solutions Study, or any other study performed under this Attachment K.

6. Regional, Local and Interregional Coordination

6.1 Regional Coordination

The ISO shall conduct the regional system planning process for the PTF in coordination with the transmission-owning entities in, or other entities interconnected to, the New England Transmission System consistent with the rights and obligations defined in the ISO OATT, applicable transmission

operating agreements or protocols, and/or this Attachment. Pursuant to Section II.49 of this OATT and Sections 3.02, 3.05 and 3.09 of the TOA, the ISO has Operating Authority or control over all PTF and Non-PTF within the New England Control Area, which are utilized for the provision of transmission service under this OATT. The ISO also has Operating Authority or control over the United States portions of the HVDC ties to Quebec and over Merchant Transmission Facilities and Other Transmission Facilities, pursuant to this OATT or applicable transmission operating agreements or protocols. The ISO, however, is not responsible for the planning of the Non-PTF, OTF and MTF. As provided in Section 6.2 and Appendix 1 of this Attachment, the PTOs are responsible for the planning of the Non-PTF and coordinating such planning efforts with the ISO. Pursuant to the OATT and/or applicable transmission operating agreements or protocols, the transmission owners of OTF and MTF are required to participate in the ISO's regional system planning process and perform and/or support studies of the impacts of regional system projects on their respective facilities.

6.2 Local Coordination

The regional system planning process shall be conducted and the RSP shall be developed in coordination with the local system plans of the PTOs. In accordance with the TOA and OATT provisions identified in Section 6.1 of this Attachment, the PTOs have responsibility for planning Non-PTF. The PTOs conduct planning of Non-PTF using the LSP process outlined in Section 2.5 and Appendix 1 of this Attachment, in coordination with the ISO, other entities interconnected with the New England Transmission System, Transmission Customers and stakeholders, and in accordance with the provisions in the TOA, the OATT and the Planning and Reliability Criteria. The openness and transparency of the LSP process is intended to be consistent with the regional system planning process.

6.3 Interregional Coordination

The regional system planning process shall be conducted and the RSP shall be developed in coordination with the similar plans of the surrounding ISOs/RTOs and Control Areas pursuant to the Northeastern Planning Protocol and other agreements with neighboring systems (including entities that are not Parties to the Northeastern Planning Protocol) and NPCC.

- (a) **Interregional Coordination and Cost Allocation Among ISO, New York Independent System Operator, Inc. ("NYISO") and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM") Under Order No. 1000**

Pursuant to Section 7 of the Northeastern Planning Protocol (which is posted on the web at www.iso-ne.com/static-assets/documents/2015/07/northeastern_protocol_dmeast.doc), the Joint ISO/RTO Planning Committee (“JIPC”) reviews regional needs and solutions identified in the regional planning processes of the ISO, NYISO and PJM in order to identify, with input from the Interregional Planning Stakeholder Advisory Committee (“IPSAC”), the potential for Interregional Transmission Projects that could meet regional needs more efficiently or cost-effectively than regional transmission projects. All members of the Planning Advisory Committee shall be considered IPSAC members. The JIPC will coordinate studies deemed necessary to allow the effective consideration by the regions, in the same general timeframe, of a proposed Interregional Transmission Project in comparison to regional transmission solutions. Any stakeholder may propose in the New England planning process, for evaluation under Section 4.2, 4.3, or 4A (as applicable) of Attachment K, an Interregional Transmission Project (or project concept) that may be more efficient or cost-effective than a regional transmission solution. If a proposed Interregional Transmission Project is approved in each region in which the project is located, the corresponding New England regional transmission project(s) will be displaced in the circumstances described in Section 3.6(a) of this Attachment, and the costs of the Interregional Transmission Project will be allocated among the regions based on the formula provided in Schedule 15 of this OATT, or in accordance with another funding arrangement filed with and accepted by the Commission. The amount of the costs of an Interregional Transmission Project allocated as the responsibility of New England pursuant to the methodology referenced in Section 6.3(a) of this Attachment shall be allocated within New England as specified in Schedule 15 of the ISO OATT.

(b) Other Interregional Assessments and Other Interregional Transmission Projects

Interregional system assessments and/or interregional system expansion planning studies may be performed periodically by the ISO with Planning Authorities who are not parties to the Northeastern Planning Protocol, or with the JIPC pursuant to Section 6 of the Northeastern Planning Protocol, or both. The ISO shall convene periodic meetings of the Planning Advisory Committee (which may be combined with meetings of the IPSAC), to provide input and feedback to the ISO concerning such assessments and studies. To the extent that an Interregional Transmission Project is agreed to by ISO and by another region (not a Party to the Northeastern Planning Protocol) in which a portion of the project is located, the related cost allocation and

operating agreements will be filed with the Commission (and, as applicable, with Canadian jurisdictional agencies) in accordance with existing filing rights.

7. Procedures for Development and Approval of the RSP

7.1 Initiation of RSP

No less often than once every three years, the ISO shall initiate an effort to develop its RSP and solicit input on regional system needs for the RSP from the Planning Advisory Committee. The Planning Advisory Committee shall meet to perform its respective functions in connection with the preparation of the RSP, as specified in Section 2 of this Attachment. The ISO shall issue the periodic planning reports that support the RSP, such as Needs Assessments, as those reports are completed.

7.2 Draft RSP; Public Meeting

The ISO shall provide a draft of the RSP to the Planning Advisory Committee and input from that Committee shall be received and considered in preparing and revising subsequent drafts. The ISO shall post the draft RSP and provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of a meeting to review the draft RSP as specified in Section 2.2 of this Attachment.

After the ISO has provided a draft of the RSP to the Planning Advisory Committee, the ISO shall issue a second draft of the RSP to be presented by the ISO staff to the ISO Board of Directors for approval. The draft RSP shall incorporate the results of any Needs Assessment, and corresponding Solutions Studies, performed since the last RSP was approved. A subcommittee of that Board shall hold a public meeting, at their discretion, to receive input directly and to discuss any proposed revisions to the RSP. The final recommended RSP shall be presented to the ISO Board of Directors and shall be acted on by the ISO Board of Directors within 60 days of receipt. The foregoing timeframes are subject to adjustment as determined by the ISO in coordination with the Planning Advisory Committee.

7.3 Action by the ISO Board of Directors on RSP; Request for Alternative Proposals

(a) Action by ISO Board of Directors on RSP

The ISO Board of Directors may approve the recommended draft RSP as submitted, modify the RSP or remand all or any portion of it back with guidance for development of a revised recommendation. The Board of Directors may consider the RSP in executive session, and shall consider in its deliberations the views of the subcommittee of the Board of Directors reflecting

the public meeting held pursuant to Section 7.2 of this Attachment. In considering whether to approve the draft RSP, the Board of Directors may, if it finds a proposed Reliability Benefit Upgrade not to be viable, or if no Reliability Benefit Upgrade has been proposed, direct the ISO staff to meet with the affected load serving entities and State entities in order to develop an interim solution. Should that effort fail, and as a last resort, the Board of Directors may direct the ISO to issue a Request For Alternative Proposal (“RFAP”), subject to the procedures described below, and may withhold approval of the draft RSP, or portions thereof, pending the results of that RFAP and any Commission action on any resulting jurisdictional contract or funding mechanism. The ISO shall provide a written explanation as to any subsequent changes or modification made in the final version of the RSP.

(b) Requests For Alternative Proposals

(i) The RFAP shall seek generation, demand-side and merchant transmission alternatives that can be implemented rapidly and provide substantial reliability benefits over the period solicited in the RFAP, and normally will focus on an interim (“gap”) solution until an identified Reliability Transmission Upgrade has been placed in-service. The ISO will file a proposed RFAP with the Commission for approval at least 60 days prior to its issuance. The filing shall explain why the issuance of an RFAP is necessary.

(ii) The ISO staff shall provide the Board of Directors and subject to confidentiality requirements, the Planning Advisory Committee with an analysis of the alternatives offered in response to the RFAP, and provide a recommendation together with a funding mechanism reflecting input from the Planning Advisory Committee.

(iii) The ISO may enter into contracts awarded pursuant to an RFAP process, and/or propose a funding mechanism. Bidders that are awarded contracts through the RFAP process shall file those contracts with the Commission for approval of the rates to be charged thereunder to the extent that such contracts are for services that are jurisdictional to the Commission. The ISO shall file related or separate funding mechanisms with the Commission as well. All other contracts entered into pursuant to an RFAP shall be filed with the Commission for informational purposes.

(iv) The Board of Directors will reflect the results of the RFAP process in the approved RSP.

8. Obligations of PTOs to Build; PTOs' Obligations, Conditions and Rights

In accordance with the TOA, PTOs designated by the ISO as the appropriate entities to construct and own or finance Transmission Upgrades included in the RSP shall construct and own or finance such facilities or enter into appropriate contracts to fulfill such obligations. In the event that a PTO: (i) does not construct or indicates in writing that it does not intend to construct a Transmission Upgrade included in the RSP; or (ii) demonstrates that it has failed (after making a good faith effort) to obtain necessary approvals or property rights under applicable law, the ISO shall promptly file with the Commission a report on the results of the planning process, which report shall include a report from the PTO responsible for the planning, design or construction of such Open Access Transmission Tariff Section II – Attachment K – Regional System Planning Process Transmission Upgrade, in order to permit the Commission to determine what action, if any, it should take.

In connection with regional system planning, the ISO will not propose to impose on any PTO obligations or conditions that are inconsistent with the explicit provisions of the TOA or deprive any PTO of any of the rights set forth in the TOA.

Subject to necessary approvals and compliance with Section 2.06 of the TOA, nothing in this OATT shall affect the right of any PTO to expand or modify its transmission facilities in the New England Transmission System on its own initiative or in response to an order of an appropriate regulatory authority. Such expansions or modifications shall conform with: (a) Good Utility Practice; (b) applicable reliability principles, guidelines, criteria, rules, procedures and standards of national, regional, and local reliability councils that may be in existence; and (c) the ISO and relevant PTO criteria, rules, standards, guides and policies. The ISO reserves its right to challenge the permitting of such expansions or modifications.

9. Merchant Transmission Facilities

9.1 General

Subject to compliance with the requirements of the Tariff and any other applicable requirements with respect to the interconnection of bulk power facilities with the New England Transmission System, any

entity shall have the right to propose and construct the addition of transmission facilities (“Merchant Transmission Facilities”), none of the costs of which shall be covered under the cost allocation provisions of this OATT. Any such Merchant Transmission Facilities shall be subject to the requirements of Section 9.2 of this Attachment. In performing studies in connection with the RSP, the prospect that proposed Merchant Transmission Facilities will be completed shall be accounted for as will the prospect that proposed generating units will be completed.

9.2 Operation and Integration

All Merchant Transmission Facilities shall be subject to: (i) an agreement to transfer to the ISO operational control authority over any facilities which constitute part of the Merchant Transmission Facilities that are to be integrated with, or that will affect, the New England Transmission System; and (ii) taking such other action as may be required to make the facility available for use as part of the New England Transmission System.

9.3 Control and Coordination

Until such time as a Merchant Transmission Owner has transferred operational control over its Merchant Transmission Facilities to the ISO pursuant to Section 9.2(i), all such Merchant Transmission Facilities shall be subject to the operational control, scheduling and maintenance coordination of the System Operator in accordance with the Tariff.

10. Cost Responsibility for Transmission Upgrades

The cost responsibility for each upgrade, modification or addition to the transmission system in New England that is included with the status of “Planned” in the RSP Project List as defined in Section 3.6 of this Attachment shall be determined in accordance with Schedule 12 of this OATT.

11. Allocation of ARRs

The allocation of ARRs in connection with Transmission Upgrades is addressed in Section III.C.8 of the Tariff.

12. Dispute Resolution Procedures

12.1 Objective

Section 12 of this Attachment sets forth a dispute resolution process (the “Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process”) through which regional transmission planning-related disputes may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

12.2 Confidential Information and CEII Protections

All information disclosed in the course of the Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process shall be subject to the protection of confidential information and CEII consistent with the ISO New England Information Policy and CEII policy.

12.3 Eligible Parties

Any member of the Planning Advisory Committee that has been adversely affected by a Reviewable Determination, defined in Section 12.4(a) of this Attachment, with respect to the regional system planning process described in this Attachment is eligible to raise its dispute, as appropriate, under this Dispute Resolution Process (“Disputing Party”).

12.4 Scope

In order to ensure that the regional transmission planning process set forth under this Attachment moves expeditiously forward, the scope of issues that may be subject to the Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process under this Section 12 shall be limited to certain key procedural and substantive decisions made by the ISO within its authority as specified in documents on file with the Commission. That is, decisions not subject to resolution within the jurisdiction of the Commission are not within the scope of the Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process. Examples of matters not within the scope of the Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process include planning to serve retail native load or state siting issues. Additionally, the Tariff already explicitly provides specific dispute resolution procedures for various matters. To this end, any matter regarding the review and approval of applications pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff, which is subject to the dispute resolution process under Section I.6 of the Tariff, shall not be within the scope of this Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process. Similarly, any matter regarding Transmission Cost Allocation shall be governed by the dispute resolution process under Schedule 12 of the OATT, and shall be outside the scope of this Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process.

(a) Reviewable Determinations

The determinations that may be subject to the Regional Planning Dispute Resolution Process under this Section 12 that include certain procedural and substantive challenges that may arise at limited designated key decision points in the regional transmission planning process for PTF. Procedural challenges will be limited to whether or not the steps taken up to a designated key decision point conform to the requirements set forth in this Attachment. Substantive challenges will be limited to whether or not a determination or conclusion rendered at a designated key decision point was supported by adequate basis in fact.

The designated key decision points for Reviewable Determinations shall be limited to the following:

- (i) Results of a Needs Assessment conducted and communicated by the ISO to the Planning Advisory Committee as specified in Section 4.1 of this Attachment;
- (ii) Updates to the RSP Project List, including adding, removing or revising regulated transmission solutions included thereunder, as presented at the Planning Advisory Committee and as specified in Section 3.6 of this Attachment;
- (iii) Results of Solutions Studies conducted and communicated by the ISO to the Planning Advisory Committee as specified in Section 4.2 of this Attachment;
- (iv) Consideration of market responses in Needs Assessments as specified in Section 4.1(f) of this Attachment;
- (v) Prioritization and substance of Stakeholder-Requested Scenarios to be conducted by the ISO in a given Economic Study cycle as specified in Section 17.2(d) of this Attachment;
and
- (vi) Prioritization of Economic Study scenario sensitivities to be performed in a given Economic Study cycle where the Planning Advisory Committee is not able to prioritize them as specified in Section 17.4 of this Attachment.

(b) Material Adverse Impact

In order to prevail in a challenge to a procedural-based Reviewable Determination, the Disputing Party must show that the alleged procedural error had a material adverse impact on the determination or conclusion. In order to prevail in a challenge to a substantive-based Reviewable Determination, the Disputing Party must show that either (i) the determination is based on incorrect data or assumptions or (ii) incorrect analysis was performed by the ISO, and (iii) as a result the ISO made an incorrect decision or determination.

12.5 Notice and Comment

A Disputing Party aggrieved by a Reviewable Determination shall have fifteen (15) calendar days upon learning of the Reviewable Determination following the ISO's presentation of such Reviewable Determination at the Planning Advisory Committee to request dispute resolution by giving notice to the ISO ("Request for Dispute Resolution"). A Request for Dispute Resolution shall be in writing and shall be addressed to the ISO's Chair of the Planning Advisory Committee and, as appropriate, the affected Transmission Owner. Within three (3) Business Days of the receipt by the ISO of a Request for Dispute Resolution, the ISO shall prepare and distribute to all members of the Planning Advisory Committee a notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution including, subject to the protection of Confidential Information and CEII, the specifics of the Request for Dispute Resolution and providing the name of an ISO representative to whom any comments may be sent. Any member of the Planning Advisory Committee may submit to the ISO's designated representative, on or before the tenth (10th) Business Day following the date the ISO distributes the notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution, written comments to the ISO with respect to the Request for Dispute Resolution. The party filing the Request for Dispute Resolution may respond to any such comments by submitting a written response to the ISO's designated representative and to the commenting party on or before the fifteenth (15th) Business Day following the date the ISO distributes the notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution. The ISO may, but is not required to, consider any written comments.

12.6 Dispute Resolution Procedures

(a) Resolution Through the Planning Advisory Committee

The Planning Advisory Committee shall discuss and resolve any dispute arising under this Attachment involving a Reviewable Determination, as defined in Section 12.4 of this Attachment, between and among the ISO, the Disputing Party, and, as appropriate, the affected Transmission

Owner (collectively, “Parties”) (excluding applications for rate changes or other changes to the Tariff, or to any Service Agreement entered into under the Tariff, which shall be presented directly to the Commission for resolution).

(b) Resolution Through Informal Negotiations

To the extent that the Planning Advisory Committee is not able to resolve a dispute arising under this Attachment involving a Reviewable Determination, as defined in Section 12.4 of this Attachment, between and among the ISO, the Disputing Party, and, as appropriate, the affected Transmission Owner, such dispute shall be the subject of good-faith negotiations among the Parties. Each Party shall designate a fully authorized senior representative for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable.

(c) Resolution Through Alternative Dispute Resolution

In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the dispute through informal negotiation within thirty (30) days, or such other period as the Parties may agree upon, by mutual agreement of the Parties, such dispute may be submitted to mediation or any other form of alternative dispute resolution upon the agreement of all Parties to participate in such mediation or other alternative dispute resolution process. Such form of alternative dispute resolution shall not include binding arbitration.

If a Party identifies exigent circumstances reasonably requiring expedited resolution of the dispute, such Party may file a Complaint with the Commission or seek other appropriate redress before a court of competent jurisdiction.

12.7 Notice of Dispute Resolution Process Results

Within three (3) Business Days following the resolution of a dispute pursuant to either Section 12.6(b) or Section 12.6(c) of this Attachment, the ISO shall distribute to the Planning Advisory Committee a document reflecting the resolution.

13. Rights Under The Federal Power Act

Nothing in this Attachment shall restrict the rights of any party to file a Complaint with the Commission under relevant provisions of the Federal Power Act.

14. Annual Assessment of Transmission Transfer Capability

Each year, the ISO shall issue the results of the annual assessment of transmission transfer capability, conducted pursuant to applicable NERC, NPCC and ISO New England standards and criteria and the identification of potential future transmission system weaknesses and limiting facilities that could impact the transmission system's ability to reliably transfer energy in the planning horizon. Each annual assessment will identify those portions of the New England system, along with the associated interface boundaries, that should be considered in the assessment of Capacity Zones to be modeled in the Forward Capacity Market pursuant to ISO Tariff Section III.12. This report will be posted on the ISO website. Each annual assessment will model out-of-service resources associated with the following bids, if the ISO determines the removal of the resource is likely to have an impact on the transmission transfer limits for the relevant period: Retirement De-List Bids, Permanent De-List Bids, demand bids submitted for the upcoming substitution auction, and rejected for reliability Static De-List Bids and rejected for reliability Dynamic De-List Bids from the most recent Forward Capacity Auction.

15. Procedures for the Conduct of Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades Regional Planning Study

The purpose of this Section 15 is to support the conduct of Interconnection Studies under the Interconnection Procedures set forth in Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Tariff. Other than Section 2 of this Attachment K regarding the responsibilities of the Planning Advisory Committee and this Section 15, none of the other provisions in this Attachment K apply to the conduct of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study or the results of the study.

15.1 Notice of Initiation of Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study in Support of Cluster Studies under the Interconnection Procedures.

Pursuant to Section 4.2.2 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5.3.2 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.2 of Schedule 25 of Section II of this Tariff, the ISO shall provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a ~~cluster for studying certain Interconnection Requests. The cluster study process, known as Clustering, shall consist of two phases. This notice shall trigger the first phase of Clustering, during which the ISO shall conduct a~~ Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade ("CETU") Regional Planning Study ("CRPS") (the cost of which will be recovered by the ISO pursuant to Schedule 1 of Section IV.A of the Tariff). The results of the CRPS will inform the Cluster Study and Transitional Cluster Study entry process and requirements for Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities and Elective

~~Transmission Upgrades that the System Operator determines need the CETU to meet the standards described interconnect to the Administered Transmission System In the second phase of Clustering, the ISO shall conduct Interconnection System Impact Studies and Interconnection Facilities Studies in clusters pursuant to in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Tariff.~~

15.2 Preparation for Conduct of CRPS; Stakeholder Input

The purpose of the CRPS shall be to identify the new transmission infrastructure and any associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources ~~that fall under the proposed in the Interconnection Requests for which the interconnection circumstances described conditions identified~~ in Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.5.3.1~~ 4.2.1 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff ~~have been triggered~~. The ISO will prepare and post on its website, consistent with Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment K, a proposed scope of the CRPS and associated parameters and assumptions, and provide the foregoing to the Planning Advisory Committee. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit stakeholder input for consideration by the ISO on the CRPS's scope, parameters and assumptions, consistent with the responsibilities of the Planning Advisory Committee as set forth in Section 2.2 of this Attachment. As part of the CRPS's scope, the ISO will describe the interconnection circumstances that it has identified pursuant to triggered the conditions in Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.2.1~~ ~~4.5.3.1~~ of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff. In addition, the ISO will identify, to the extent practicable: (i) the Interconnection Requests, to be referenced by Queue Position, that have experienced the interconnection circumstances described in Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 22, Section 4.2.1 ~~4.5.3.1~~ of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff and would need new transmission infrastructure to enable their interconnection ~~are expected to be eligible to participate in the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study~~, and (ii) the preliminary transmission upgrade concepts proposed to be considered in the CRPS. The preliminary transmission upgrade concepts may account for previously conducted transmission reinforcement studies and previously identified concepts for transmission upgrades in the relevant electrical area, including Elective Transmission Upgrades that have previously been submitted with Interconnection Requests pending in the interconnection queue prior to the initiation of the CRPS.

A member of the Planning Advisory Committee or an Interconnection Customer may make a written submission to the ISO, requesting that ~~the ISO consider the conduct of a CRPS~~ ~~Clustering be considered~~ for ~~certain described interconnection circumstances specific Interconnection Requests in the ISO New England interconnection queue~~. In response to such a request, the ISO will either develop a notice of initiation of a ~~CRPS cluster~~ pursuant to Section 15.1 of this Attachment K, or identify, in writing, to the Planning Advisory Committee why the ~~interconnection circumstances conditions described~~ in Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.2.1 1.5.3.1~~ of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff ~~are not present~~ ~~have not been triggered~~.

15.3 Conduct of the CRPS

The CRPS will consist of analyses performed under the conditions used in the conduct of a ~~Cluster n~~ ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Study under the Interconnection Procedures. The CRPS will consist of steady state thermal analysis, voltage and transient stability analysis, and, as appropriate, other analysis, such as weak-grid-related analyses. The ISO will use Reasonable Efforts to complete the CRPS within twelve (12) months from the notice ~~of the cluster initiation~~ to the Planning Advisory Committee. ~~If less than two (2) Interconnection Requests identified pursuant to Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5.3.1 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff remain in the interconnection queue prior to the completion of the CRPS, the ISO will terminate the CRPS.~~

15.4 Publication of the CRPS

The ISO shall post a draft report of the CRPS to the Planning Advisory Committee, consistent with Section 2.4(d) of this Attachment K, and a meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to discuss the results of the CRPS. A comment period will follow the Planning Advisory Committee meeting. The ISO will post on its website any comments received and the ISO's responses to those comments.

The CRPS report will provide:

- (i) a planning level description of the CETU(s) and a non-binding good faith order-of-magnitude estimate, developed by the applicable Transmission Owner(s), of the costs for the CETU(s);

- (ii) a list of other facilities that may be needed in addition to the CETU(s) and a non-binding good faith order-of-magnitude estimate, developed by the applicable Transmission Owner(s), of the costs for those facilities (the CRPS will not provide descriptions of expected Interconnection Facilities for specific Interconnection Requests in the cases where the Interconnection Facilities cannot be finalized until the actual Interconnection Requests that will be moving forward in the cluster are known); and
- (iii) the approximate megawatt quantity (or quantities if more than one level of megawatt injection was studied in the CRPS) of resources that could be interconnected in a manner that meets the Network Capability Interconnection Standard and the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard in accordance with Schedules 22, 23 and 25 of Section II of the Tariff; and,
- ~~(iv) a list of the Interconnection Requests, to be referenced by Queue Position, that at the sole discretion of the ISO are identified as eligible to participate in the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study that will be conducted by the ISO in accordance with Section 4.2.3 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5.3.3 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.3 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff. The list shall include the expected cost allocation for the eligible Interconnection Requests, calculated in accordance with Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.~~

The non-binding good faith order-of-magnitude estimates under Section 15.4(i)-(ii) of this Attachment will be developed by the applicable Transmission Owner(s), and the costs of developing such estimates shall be recovered in the same manner as the costs incurred by the ISO in conducting the CRPS as specified in Sections 3.3.1, 6.1 and 7.2 of Schedule 22, Section 3.3.1, 3.4.2, and Attachment 1 of Schedule 23, and Section 3.3.1, 6.1 and 7.2 of Schedule 25.

The final CRPS will be posted on the ISO's website~~The posting~~, consistent with Section 2.4 (d) of this Attachment K, of the final CRPS report on the ISO website will trigger the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5.3.3.1 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.3.1 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff. The Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Deadline shall be 30 days from the posting of the final CRPS report.

~~Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section 15, the final Maine Resource Integration Study shall be the first CRPS and will form the basis for the first Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study to be conducted in accordance with Section 4.2.3 of Schedule 22, Section 1.5.3.3 of Schedule 23, and Section 4.2.3 of Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff.~~

16. Procedures for the Conduct of Longer-Term Transmission Studies

This Section 16 sets forth the procedures for the ISO's conduct of Longer-Term Transmission Studies. Other than Section 2, regarding the responsibilities of the Planning Advisory Committee, Section 5, regarding the supply of information, and this Section 16 of this Attachment K, none of the other provisions in this Attachment K apply to the conduct of the Longer-Term Transmission Studies. These procedures supplement, and are not intended to replace, other study processes provided in this Attachment K.

16.1 Request for Longer-Term Transmission Studies

NESCOE may submit a request for the ISO to conduct a Longer-Term Transmission Study to identify high-level concepts of transmission infrastructure and, if requested, high-level cost estimates that could meet State-identified Requirements specified in the request based on state-identified scenarios and timeframes, which may extend beyond the five-to-ten year planning horizon. A request for a Longer-Term Transmission Study may be submitted to the ISO no earlier than six months from conclusion of the prior study. The Longer-Term Transmission Study request shall identify the State-identified Requirements that serve as the basis of the request; the proposed objectives of the study; and the scenarios and timeframe(s) proposed for use in the study.

16.2 Preparation for Conduct of the Longer-Term Transmission Studies; Stakeholder Input

Upon receipt of a request for a Longer-Term Transmission Study from NESCOE, the ISO will post the request on the ISO's website. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter for NESCOE to present the Longer-Term Transmission Study request. NESCOE will then provide the ISO written confirmation of the specific scenarios to be analyzed in the study, together with the specific information to facilitate the conduct of the study, including, but not limited to: assumptions, types and location of new resource development, location of new loads and load serving stations, and injection points or geographic zones. The ISO will then develop a scope of work that may be performed,

and post on the ISO's website the Longer-Term Transmission Study's proposed scope of work, associated parameters, and assumptions. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit stakeholder input on the study's scope, parameters, and assumptions. Members of the Planning Advisory Committee shall direct all such input related to the Longer-Term Transmission Study's scope, parameters, and assumptions to the ISO for consideration by the ISO and NESCOE, as applicable. Depending on the scope and objectives of a Longer-Term Transmission Study request, the ISO may request information to support consideration of new loads in the study. The ISO will provide the final scope of work for the Longer-Term Transmission Study to NESCOE for confirmation, and once written confirmation is received, will post the final scope of work on the ISO's website.

16.3 Conduct of the Longer-Term Transmission Study; Stakeholder Input

The ISO, in consultation with NESCOE, will perform the Longer-Term Transmission Study, supplemented by third-party consultants as necessary. The ISO may ask Participating Transmission Owners or Planning Advisory Committee members with special expertise to provide technical support or assist in the performance of the study. The study will consist of transmission system analysis to be performed under the conditions specified in the confirmed scope of work. If the ISO identifies a need to deviate from the final scope of work, the ISO will consult with NESCOE prior to incorporating the change. Once NESCOE provides written confirmation, the ISO will notify the Planning Advisory Committee of any changes. The study will assess the ability of the PTF to meet applicable planning criteria under the provided conditions.

The costs of the performance of the Longer-Term Transmission Study will be recovered pursuant to Schedule 1 of Section IV.A of the Tariff.

The ISO will post on the ISO's website the results of the Longer-Term Transmission Study. A meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee will be held promptly thereafter in order to solicit input on the study results. Members of the Planning Advisory Committee shall direct all such input related to the Longer-Term Transmission Study results to the ISO for consideration by the ISO and NESCOE, as applicable.

The ISO, in consultation with NESCOE, will prepare a Longer-Term Study report. The report will identify the overview of transmission system limitations and the high-level concepts of transmission infrastructure and, if requested, associated cost estimates, required to solve the longer-term issues identified in the study based on the state-identified scenarios and timeframe.

17. Procedures for the Conduct of Economic Studies

This Section 17 sets forth the procedures for the ISO's conduct of Economic Studies.

17.1 Overview

The Economic Study process shall be used to identify market efficiency issues on the PTF portion of the New England Transmission System and, as applicable, evaluate competitive solutions to alleviate identified market efficiency needs. The process will also provide information to facilitate the evaluation of economic and environmental impacts of New England regional policies, federal policies, and various resource technologies on satisfying future resource needs in the region.

17.2 Economic Study Reference Scenarios

The ISO shall develop and study the following four reference scenarios. The ISO shall consult with, and consider the input from, the Planning Advisory Committee on the scope, parameters, and assumptions used in modeling the scenarios described in this Section 17.2.

(a) Benchmark Scenario

The purpose and scope of the Benchmark Scenario is to improve the economic planning model and associated assumptions and criteria used in the other scenarios by comparing it against historical performance of the system in the previous year and adjusting the assumptions and model accordingly. This scenario will help identify any modeling issues in the base set of input data.

The initial economic planning model will use the existing base case model and data and may be adjusted based on historical performance and observations. Historical performance of the system includes recorded observations from the prior year to the beginning of the study cycle.

The study year shall be year N-1 and the simulation length shall be one year for the Benchmark Scenario.

Any identified market efficiency issues resulting from a Benchmark Scenario shall not be evaluated as a market efficiency need against the factors and metrics in Attachment N.

(b) Market Efficiency Needs Scenario

The purpose and scope of the Market Efficiency Needs Scenario is to identify market efficiency issues on the PTF portion of the New England Transmission System at the end of the ten-year planning horizon pursuant to Section 17.5 of this Attachment. Pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Attachment, the ISO shall conduct a market efficiency Needs Assessment to evaluate and determine whether market efficiency issues identified in a Market Efficiency Needs Scenario are market efficiency needs.

The model used for the Market Efficiency Needs Scenario shall be the updated base case from the Benchmark Scenario and forecasted out to the ten-year planning horizon year using assumptions and criteria in Section 4.1(f) of this Attachment.

The study year shall be year N+10 and the simulation length shall be one year for the Market Efficiency Needs Scenario.

(c) Policy Scenario

The purpose and scope of the Policy Scenario is to identify any potential market efficiency issues resulting from the New England states' energy policies and goals, among others (e.g., federal legislation, state legislation, or utility renewable portfolio standard targets). The policies and goals selected for the Policy Scenario shall be selected by the ISO and Planning Advisory Committee pursuant to Section 17.4 of this Attachment.

The model used for the Policy Scenario shall be the base case model resulting from the Benchmark Scenario and forecasted out to a year when relevant New England and other applicable energy policies and goals are in full effect.

The study year for the Policy Scenario shall be dependent on deadlines for achieving the New England region and other energy policies and goals. However, the study year will be at least ten years into the future and cover the deadlines for achieving all applicable goals and policies. The study simulation length shall be one year.

The results from studying a Policy Scenario shall be used for informational purposes only. Any identified market efficiency issues resulting from a Policy Scenario shall not be evaluated as a market efficiency need against the factors and metrics in Attachment N.

(d) Stakeholder-Requested Scenario

The purpose of the Stakeholder-Requested Scenario is to study a scenario with a region-wide scope that is requested by stakeholders and not covered by the other scenarios described in this Section 17.

The model used for the Stakeholder-Requested Scenario shall be the base case model resulting from the Benchmark Scenario and then forecasted out to a year with assumptions requested by the stakeholders and agreed upon by the ISO.

The study year shall be dependent on the requested scenario and the simulation length shall be one year.

The results from studying a Stakeholder-Requested Scenario shall be used for informational purposes only. Any identified market efficiency issues resulting from a Stakeholder-Requested Scenario shall not be evaluated as a market efficiency need against the factors and metrics in Attachment N.

17.3 Frequency, Initiation, and Schedule

The Economic Study process shall be conducted at least once every three years and at most once every two years. The process shall be initiated for the first time under this Section 17 in January 2024.

Each Economic Study cycle shall be initiated by the ISO providing the Planning Advisory Committee with notice that the ISO will be initiating the process for the Economic Study cycle. The ISO shall provide to the Planning Advisory Committee the schedule for the Economic Study cycle within three

months of initiating the process. The schedule shall include dates for the ISO's collection, and stakeholders' submission, of data to be used in the studies, the preparation of models, the completion of studies, and the issuance of study results. The schedule shall include a one-month period for stakeholders to submit proposals for the Stakeholder-Requested Scenario. If the Economic Study cycle and potential resulting competitive request for proposals process cannot be completed within the initial schedule, the ISO shall notify stakeholders of such, provide a revised estimated completion date, and provide an explanation of the reason or reasons why the additional time is required.

17.4 Preparation of the Economic Study Reference Scenarios and Stakeholder Sensitivity Requests

The ISO shall prepare and post on its website a proposed scope for the scenarios described in Section 17.2, and the associated parameters and assumptions. The ISO shall either provide the Planning Advisory Committee with notice that the ISO posted the information or send the information itself to the Planning Advisory Committee after it is posted. A Planning Advisory Committee meeting will be held thereafter to solicit stakeholder input for consideration by the ISO on the study's scope, parameters, and assumptions.

Following the analyses, runs, and presentation of the results of the Economic Study reference scenarios described in Section 17.2, stakeholders may request, and the ISO may propose, additional sensitivities to test the effect of a specific change to input assumptions. The sensitivities shall be limited to a single theme or category of changes to allow for better understanding of the causal effect of the change to the results. The ISO shall prioritize and list the sensitivities that can be completed during the Economic Study cycle taking into consideration the impact of the additional efforts on the ISO resources and other priorities.

Results from studies conducted with stakeholder-requested scenario sensitivities shall be used for information purposes only. Any identified market efficiency issues resulting from a study with a stakeholder-requested scenario sensitivity shall not be evaluated as a market efficiency need against the factors and metrics in Attachment N.

17.5 Market Efficiency Needs Assessment

The ISO shall use the Market Efficiency Needs Scenario and criteria in Attachment N to identify market efficiency issues on the PTF portion of the New England Transmission System and, as applicable, identify market efficiency needs on the PTF portion of the New England Transmission System.

All of the market efficiency issues and associated benefits of relieving those issues will be documented in a market efficiency Needs Assessment conducted pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Attachment.

Any market efficiency issues that meet the criteria in Attachment N will be identified as market efficiency needs, and a request for proposal or multiple requests for proposals will be issued to initiate the competitive solution process for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades to address the identified market efficiency need or needs pursuant to Section 4.3 of this Attachment.

17.6 Evaluation of Regulated Transmission Solutions for Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades

The process in Section 4.3 of this Attachment shall be used to solicit and evaluate competitive solutions for identified market efficiency needs.

17.7 Stakeholder Input on Study Results

After the results from the Economic Study reference scenarios described in Section 17.2 and stakeholder-requested scenario sensitivities described in Section 17.4 are available, the ISO shall provide such results to stakeholders at Planning Advisory Committee meetings and solicit feedback based on the results.

17.8 Economic Studies Requested by Individual Stakeholders

An individual stakeholder may request that the ISO conduct Economic Studies at the stakeholder's own expense to examine situations where potential regulated transmission solutions, market responses, or investments could result in (i) a net reduction in total production cost to supply system load based on the factors specified in Attachment N of this OATT, (ii) reduced congestion, or (iii) the integration of new resources or loads, or both, on an aggregate or regional basis. The scope, assumptions, and deliverables shall be agreed to by the ISO and the stakeholder requesting the study. The notice and schedule initiating the Economic Study process described in Section 17.3 shall include the dates for submitting requests for studies under this Section 17.8.

The ISO may hire a consultant to conduct the analysis, and the entity requesting the study shall be responsible for the ISO's costs for study administration, study analysis, and consultants used to perform the study.

The ISO shall provide an estimated cost and duration to each stakeholder that requests an Economic Study. Each stakeholder that requests a study under this Section 17.8 shall provide written confirmation with the ISO that the stakeholder would like the ISO to proceed with conducting the study after receiving the estimated cost and duration for the study it requested.

The results from studies conducted pursuant to this Section 17.8 shall be used for informational purposes only. Any identified market efficiency issues resulting from studies conducted pursuant to this Section 17.8 shall not be evaluated as a market efficiency need against the factors and metrics in Attachment N.

17.9 Cost Recovery

The costs of the Economic Study process described in Sections 17.1 through 17.7 shall be recovered by the ISO pursuant to Schedule 1 of Section IV.A of the Tariff. The costs of Economic Studies performed by the ISO under Section 17.8 of this Attachment shall be paid for by the stakeholder requesting the study.

17.10 Coordination with PTOs

The PTOs shall coordinate with the ISO in the performance of the Economic Study process pursuant to and as described in Section 5 of this Attachment.

ATTACHMENT K APPENDIX 1
ATTACHMENT K -LOCAL
LOCAL SYSTEM PLANNING PROCESS

APPENDIX 1
ATTACHMENT K -LOCAL
LOCAL SYSTEM PLANNING PROCESS

1. Local System Planning Process

1.1 General

In circumstances where transmission system planning for Non-Pool Transmission Facilities (“Non-PTF”)¹, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, is taking place in New England that is not incorporated into the RSP planning process, the following Local System Plan (“LSP”) process will be utilized for transmission planning purposes. The purpose of the LSP is to enable formal stakeholder input to planning for Non-PTF that is not incorporated into the RSP. The LSP shall ensure the opportunity for Planning Advisory Committee participation in the LSP process. The LSP will not be subject to approval by the ISO or the ISO Board under the RSP.

1.2 Planning Advisory Committee Review

The Planning Advisory Committee shall periodically provide input and feedback to the PTOs concerning the development of the LSP and the conduct of associated system enhancement and expansion studies. It is contemplated that LSP issues for identified local areas will be periodically addressed at the end of regularly scheduled Planning Advisory Committee meetings. Regular meetings of the Planning Advisory Committee shall be extended as necessary to serve the purposes of this section. Each PTO contemplating the addition of new Non-PTF will present its respective LSP to the Planning Advisory Committee not less than once per year. Not less than every three years, each PTO will post a notice as part of its LSP process indicating that members of the Planning Advisory Committee, NESCOE, or any state may provide the PTO with input regarding state and federal Public Policy Requirements identified as driving transmission needs relating to Non-PTF and regarding particular local transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements. The PTO will provide a written explanation, to be posted on the ISO website, of why suggested transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements will or will not be evaluated for potential solutions in the LSP planning process.

1.3 Role of the PTOs

¹ For absence of doubt, the PTOs clarify that Non-PTF is meant to include Category B and Local Area Facilities as defined by the TOA.

Each PTO will be responsible for administering the LSP process pertaining to its own Non-PTF, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, by presenting LSP information to the Planning Advisory Committee, developing an appropriate needs analysis and addressing LSP needs within its local area. In developing its LSP, each PTO will ensure comparable treatment of similarly situated customers or potential customers and will take into consideration data, comments and specific requests supplied by the Planning Advisory Committee, Transmission Customers and other stakeholders. To the extent that generation and/or demand resources are identified that could impact planning for Non-PTF, each PTO will take such resources into account when developing the LSP for its facilities, consistent with Good Utility Practice. Each PTO will also be responsible for addressing issues or concerns arising out of Planning Advisory Committee review of its proposed LSP and posting its LSP and the LSP Project List.

1.4 Description of LSP

The LSP shall describe the projected improvements to Non-PTF that are needed to maintain system reliability or as Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, and shall reflect the results of such reviews within the limited geographical areas that pertain to the LSP, as determined by each PTO (“LSP Needs Assessments”), and corresponding system planning and expansion studies. The LSP Needs Assessments will be coordinated with the RSP and include the information that the ISO-NE incorporates into the RSP plans, as applicable. The proponents of regulated transmission proposals in response to LSP Needs Assessments shall also identify any RSP plans that require coordination with their regulated transmission proposals addressing the Non-PTF system needs.

The LSP shall identify the planning process, criteria, data, and assumptions used to develop the LSP. To the extent the current LSP utilizes data, assumptions or criteria used by the ISO in the RSP, any such data, assumptions or criteria will also be identified in the LSP.

Each PTO shall consult with NESCOE and applicable states, local authorities and stakeholders to consider their views prior to including a Local Public Transmission Upgrade in its LSP, as described in Section 1.6.

Each PTO’s LSP will be made available on a website for review by the Planning Advisory Committee, Transmission Customers and other stakeholders, subject to the ISO New England Information Policy and

CEII restrictions or requirements. The ISO's posting of the RSP and the RSP Project List will include links to each PTO's specific LSP posting.

The LSP of a particular PTO shall be posted not less than 3 business days prior to its presentation by the PTO to the Planning Advisory Committee. The Planning Advisory Committee, Transmission Customers, and other stakeholders will have 30 days from the date of the PTO's presentation to the Planning Advisory Committee to provide any written comments for consideration by the PTO. The LSP shall specify the physical characteristics of the solutions that can meet the needs identified in the LSP. The LSP shall provide sufficient information to allow Market Participants to assess the quantity, general locations and operating characteristics of the type of incremental supply or demand-side resources, or merchant transmission projects, that would satisfy the identified needs or that may serve to modify, offset or defer proposed regulated transmission upgrades.

Each year's LSP shall be based upon the LSP completed in the prior year by either recertifying the results of the prior LSP or providing specific updates.

1.5 Economic Studies

To the extent that the ISO selects any Economic Studies pursuant to Section 4.1(b) of Attachment K or otherwise performs Economic Studies that will impact Non-PTF, the PTOs will coordinate with the ISO in the performance of such Economic Studies.

1.6 Public Policy Studies

As part of the LSP process, each PTO will evaluate potential transmission solutions on its Non-PTF system that are likely to be both efficient and cost-effective for meeting Public Policy Requirements.

1.6A Process to Identify Public Policy Requirements Driving Non-PTF Transmission Needs

Within six months of publication, each PTO will review the Public Policy Requirements posted by the ISO to determine and evaluate at a high level any public policy needs potentially driving transmission needs on their respective Non-PTF systems. Such evaluations will also include potential public policy needs suggested by third parties. Each PTO will review NESCOE's written explanation of which transmission needs driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements will be evaluated by the ISO and why other suggested transmission needs will not be evaluated. If NESCOE does not provide a listing

of identified transmission needs and explanation, each PTO will review the ISO's explanations of which transmission needs driven by state or federal Public Policy Requirements will be evaluated by the ISO and why other suggested transmission needs will not be evaluated. In addition, each PTO will review the ISO's explanation of which transmission needs driven by local Public Policy Requirements will be evaluated in the regional system planning process and why other suggested transmission needs driven by local Public Policy requirements will not be evaluated. Each PTO will then determine if any of the posted state, federal or local Public Policy Requirements are driving a need on its Non-PTF transmission system and will include the non-PTF needs in its local planning process.

As part of the local planning process, each PTO will list the identified transmission needs on its non-PTF transmission system driven by state, federal, or local Public Policy Requirements that will be evaluated, and provide an explanation of why any identified transmission needs will not be evaluated as part of its LSP. The list will be posted in the PTO's LSP and presented at the annual PAC meeting. The PTO will seek input at the PAC meeting from stakeholders about whether further study is warranted to identify solutions for local transmission system needs and seek recommendations about whether to proceed with such studies. A stakeholder may provide written input on the list within 30 days from the date of presentation for consideration by the PTO. Each PTO will then confirm, or modify if appropriate, its determination of which identified transmission needs on its non-PTF transmission system driven by state, federal, or local Public Policy Requirements will be evaluated and which will not be evaluated, and revise its annual LSP accordingly. If the potential Non-PTF transmission needs identified would affect the Non-PTF facilities of more than one PTO, the affected PTOs will coordinate their efforts with other affected PTOs, as necessary.

1.6B Procedure for Evaluating Potential Public Policy Solutions on the Non-PTF

Once it has been determined that a non-PTF need driven by state, federal or local Public Policy Requirements will be evaluated, each PTO will prepare a scope and associated assumptions as part of a Public Policy Local Transmission Study. For those needs where a scope is available, a PTO may present the proposed scope for the Public Policy Local Transmission Study within its LSP and as part of its LSP presentation described in Section 1.6A. A stakeholder may provide written input to the scope within 30 days after the LSP presentation for the PTO to consider.

Each PTO will schedule a follow-up PAC meeting presentation for additional stakeholder input within 4 months after the PTO's LSP presentation as described in Section 1.6A if the proposed scope for a Public

Policy Local Transmission Study was not included in its annual LSP presentation. Within 30 days after the follow-up meeting, a stakeholder may provide written input to the scope for the PTO to consider. Subsequently, the PTO will determine the study scope for the Public Policy Local Transmission Study and revise its annual LSP.

In preparation of a Public Policy Local Transmission Study that will be presented to the PAC as part of the LSP for the following year, the PTO will undertake the following: First, the PTO will perform the initial phase of the Public Policy Local Transmission Study to develop an estimate of costs and benefits and post its preliminary results on a website. Second, the PTO will use good faith efforts to contact stakeholders and the appropriate state and/or local authorities informing them of the posting, requesting input on whether further study is warranted to identify solutions for local transmission system needs, and seeking recommendations about whether to proceed with further planning and construction of a Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrade. Each PTO will then make a determination of whether further study is warranted to identify solutions for local transmission system needs, or will select its final solution, and revise its annual LSP accordingly. If the potential Non-PTF transmission needs identified would affect the Non-PTF facilities of more than one PTO, the affected PTOs will coordinate their efforts with other affected PTOs, as necessary. Results of a Public Policy Local Transmission Study will be provided to the PAC as part of the LSP for the following year.

2. Posting of LSP Project List

Each PTO shall develop, maintain and make available on a website, a cumulative listing of proposed regulated transmission solutions that may meet LSP needs (the “LSP Project List”). The LSP Project List will be updated at least annually. The LSP Project List shall also provide reasons for any new Non-PTF, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, any change in status of proposed Non-PTF, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, or any removal of proposed Non-PTF, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades, from the LSP Project List. Each PTO will be individually responsible for publicly posting and updating the status of its respective LSP and the transmission projects arising therefrom on a website in a format comparable to the manner in which RSP plans and projects are posted on the RSP Project List. The ISO’s posting of the RSP and RSP Project List will include links to each PTO’s specific LSP Project List.

3. Posting of Assumptions and Criteria

Each PTO will make available on a website the planning criteria and assumptions used in its current LSP. A link to each PTO's planning criteria and assumptions will be posted on the ISO website.

4. Cost Responsibility for Transmission Upgrades

The cost responsibility for each upgrade, modification or addition to the transmission system in New England that is included in the LSP Project List of this Appendix 1 shall be determined in accordance with Schedule 21 of this OATT.

5. LSP Dispute Resolution Procedures

5.1 Objective

Section 5 of this Appendix 1 sets forth an LSP dispute resolution process (the "LSP Dispute Resolution Process") through which LSP-related transmission planning-related disputes may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

5.2 Confidential Information and CEII Protections

All information disclosed in the course of the LSP Dispute Resolution Process shall be subject to the protection of confidential information and CEII consistent with the ISO New England Information Policy and CEII policy.

5.3 Eligible Parties

Any member of the Planning Advisory Committee that has been adversely affected by a PTO's Reviewable Determination with respect to the LSP transmission planning process described in this Appendix 1 is eligible to raise its dispute, as appropriate, under this LSP Dispute Resolution Process ("Disputing Party").

5.4 Scope

In order to ensure that the LSP transmission planning process set forth under this Appendix 1 moves expeditiously forward, the scope of issues that may be subject to the LSP Dispute Resolution Process under this Section 5 shall be limited to certain key procedural and substantive decisions made by the applicable PTO within its authority as specified in documents on file with the Commission. That is, decisions not subject to resolution within the jurisdiction of the Commission are not within the scope of

this LSP Dispute Resolution Process. Examples of matters not within the scope of the LSP Dispute Resolution Process include planning to serve retail native load or state siting issues. Additionally, the Tariff already explicitly provides specific dispute resolution procedures for various matters. To this end, any matter regarding the review and approval of applications pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff, which is subject to the dispute resolution process under Section I.6 of the Tariff, shall not be within the scope of this LSP Dispute Resolution Process. Similarly, any matter regarding Transmission Cost Allocation shall be governed by the dispute resolution process under Schedule 12 of the OATT, and shall be outside the scope of this LSP Dispute Resolution Process.

(a) Reviewable Determinations:

The LSP determinations made by the applicable PTO that may be subject to the LSP Dispute Resolution Process under this Section 5 ("Reviewable LSP Determination") shall include certain procedural and substantive challenges at designated key decision points during the LSP transmission planning process for Non-PTF, including Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrades ("Key LSP Decision Points"). Procedural challenges will be limited to whether or not the steps taken up to a Key LSP Decision Point conform to the requirements set forth in this Appendix 1. Substantive challenges will be limited to whether or not a determination or conclusion rendered at a Key LSP Decision Point was supported by adequate basis in fact. The Key LSP Decision Points shall be limited to the following:

- (i) Results of an LSP Needs Assessment conducted and communicated by a PTO to the Planning Advisory Committee as specified in this Appendix 1;
- (ii) Updates to the LSP Project List, including adding, removing or revising regulated Non-PTF transmission solutions included thereunder, as presented at the Planning Advisory Committee and as specified in this Appendix 1;
- (iii) Results of Non-PTF transmission solutions studies, including any Local Public Policy Transmission Upgrade studies, conducted and communicated by the PTO to the Planning Advisory Committee as specified in this Appendix 1; and

- (iv) Consideration of market responses in LSP Needs Assessments as specified in this Appendix 1.

(b) Material Adverse Impact

In order to prevail in a challenge to a procedural-based Reviewable LSP Determination, the Disputing Party must show that the alleged procedural error had a material adverse impact on the determination or conclusion made by the applicable PTO. In order to prevail in a challenge to a substantive-based Reviewable LSP Determination, the Disputing Party must show that either (i) the determination is based on incorrect data or assumptions or (ii) incorrect analysis was performed by the PTO, and (iii) as a result thereof, the PTO made an incorrect decision or determination.

5.5 Notice and Comment

A Disputing Party aggrieved by a PTO's Reviewable LSP Determination shall have fifteen (15) calendar days upon learning of the Reviewable LSP Determination following the PTO's presentation of such LSP Reviewable Determination at the Planning Advisory Committee to request dispute resolution by giving notice to the Applicable PTO ("Request for LSP Dispute Resolution").

A Request for LSP Dispute Resolution shall be in writing and shall be provided to the applicable PTO and, as appropriate, other affected Transmission Owners. Within three (3) Business Days of the receipt by a PTO of a Request for Dispute Resolution, the PTO, in coordination with the ISO, shall prepare and distribute to all members of the Planning Advisory Committee a notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution including, subject to the protection of Confidential Information and CEII, the specifics of the Request for Dispute Resolution and providing the name of a PTO representative to whom any comments may be sent. Any member of the Planning Advisory Committee may submit to the PTO's designated representative, on or before the tenth (10th) Business Day following the date the PTO distributes the notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution, written comments to the PTO with respect to the Request for Dispute Resolution. The Disputing Party filing the Request for Dispute Resolution may respond to any such comments by submitting a written response to the PTO's designated representative and to the commenting party on or before the fifteenth (15th) Business Day following the date the PTO distributes the notice of the Request for Dispute Resolution. The PTO may, but is not required to, consider any written comments.

5.6 Dispute Resolution Procedure

(a) Resolution Through the Planning Advisory Committee

The Planning Advisory Committee shall discuss and resolve any LSP related dispute arising under this Appendix 1 involving a Reviewable LSP Determination, as defined in Section 5.4 of this Appendix 1, between and among the applicable PTO, the Disputing Party, and, as appropriate, other affected Transmission Owners and the ISO (collectively, “Parties”) (excluding applications for rate changes or other changes to the Tariff, or to any Service Agreement entered into under the Tariff, which shall be presented directly to the Commission for resolution).

(b) Resolution Through Informal Negotiation

To the extent that the Planning Advisory Committee is not able to resolve a dispute arising under this Appendix 1 involving a Reviewable LSP Determination, as defined in Section 5.4 of this Appendix 1, between and among the Parties, such dispute shall be the subject of good-faith negotiations among the Parties. Each Party shall designate a fully authorized senior representative for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable.

(c) Resolution Through Alternative Dispute Resolution

In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the dispute through informal negotiations within thirty (30) days, or such other period as the Parties may agree upon, by mutual agreement of the Parties, such LSP related dispute may be submitted to mediation or any other form of alternative dispute resolution upon the agreement of all Parties to participate in such mediation or other alternative dispute resolution process. Such form of alternative dispute resolution shall not include binding arbitration.

If a Party identifies exigent circumstances reasonably requiring expedited resolution of the LSP related dispute, such Party may file a Complaint with the Commission or seek other appropriate redress before a court of competent jurisdiction

5.7 Notice of Results of Dispute Resolution

Within three (3) Business Days following the resolution of a dispute pursuant to either Section 5.6(b) or 5.6(c) of this Appendix 1, the PTO shall distribute to members of the Planning Advisory Committee a document reflecting the resolution.

5.8 Rights under the Federal Power Act:

Nothing in this Appendix 1 shall restrict the rights of any party to file a complaint with the Commission under relevant provisions of the Federal Power Act.

ATTACHMENT K APPENDIX 2
LIST OF ENTITIES ENROLLED IN THE TRANSMISSION PLANNING REGION
ENTITIES

APPENDIX 2

ATTACHMENT K

LIST OF ENTITIES ENROLLED IN THE TRANSMISSION PLANNING REGION

The entities listed in this Appendix 2 are those enrolled for the purpose of participating as a transmission provider in the New England transmission planning region pursuant to Attachment K as of the date the revisions to this Appendix 2 were filed with the Commission. The most current list of entities enrolled for the purpose of participating as a transmission provider in the New England transmission planning region pursuant to Attachment K is available on the ISO-NE website. This Appendix 2 will be updated to reflect any subsequent enrollments as part of unrelated OATT filings at the time ISO-NE undertakes such unrelated filings.

Town of Braintree Electric Light Department
Central Maine Power Company
The City of Chicopee Municipal Lighting Department
The City of Holyoke Gas and Electric Department
The Connecticut Light and Power Company
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative
Connecticut Transmission Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative
Cross-Sound Cable Company, LLC
Emera Maine
Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company
Green Mountain Power Corporation
Hudson Light & Power Department
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company
Maine Electric Power Company
Middleborough Gas and Electric Department
New England Electric Transmission Corporation
New England Energy Connection, LLC
New England Hydro-Transmission Corporation

New England Hydro-Transmission Electric Company Inc.

New England Power Company

New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc.

New Hampshire Transmission, LLC

Eversource Energy Service Company as agent for: The Connecticut Light and Power Company, NSTAR Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Western Massachusetts Electric Company

Norwood Municipal Light Department

NSTAR Electric Company

Public Service Company of New Hampshire

Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations

Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant

Town of Reading Municipal Light Department

The United Illuminating Company

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc.

Vermont Electric Transmission Company

Vermont Public Power Supply Authority

Vermont Transco LLC

Town of Wallingford CT Dept of Public Utilities – Electric Division

Western Massachusetts Electric Company

ATTACHMENT K APPENDIX 3

LIST OF QUALIFIED TRANSMISSION PROJECT SPONSORS

The entities listed in this Appendix 3 are those approved by ISO-NE as Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors as of the date the revisions to this Appendix 3 were filed with the Commission. The most current list of entities approved as Qualified Transmission Project Sponsors is available on the ISO-NE website. This Appendix 3 will be updated to reflect any subsequent enrollments as part of unrelated OATT filings at the time ISO-NE undertakes such unrelated filings.

Braintree Electric Light Department
Central Maine Power Company
City of Holyoke Gas and Electric Department
The Connecticut Light and Power Company
The Connecticut Transmission Municipal Electric Cooperative
Emera Maine
Eversource Energy Transmission Ventures, Inc.
Grid America Holdings, Inc.
Hudson Light and Power Department
Maine Electric Power Company
Middleboro Gas & Electric Department
New England Energy Connection, LLC
New England Power Company
New Hampshire Transmission, LLC
Norwood Municipal Light Department
NSTAR Electric Company
Public Service Company of New Hampshire
Taunton Municipal Light Plant
United Illuminating Company

Vermont Transco, LLC

Western Massachusetts Electric Company

SCHEDULE 11

GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION RELATED UPGRADE AND ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION INTERCONNECTION RELATED UPGRADE COSTS

(1) Classification of Generating Projects. The treatment for purposes of this OATT of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade costs with respect to the facilities needed for the interconnection of a particular new or modified generating unit project in accordance with Section II.47 of this OATT depends on whether the project is a Category A Project, a Category B Project or a Category C Project, as follows:

- (a) A Category A Project is one whose Generator Owner committed to pay for upgrade costs on or after October 1, 1998 and prior to October 29, 1998 and has filed a petition with the Commission requesting that the costs associated with the interconnection of its generation project be determined in accordance with Schedule 11 of this OATT, as evidenced either by the filing of an executed Transmission Service Agreement or by the filing of an unexecuted Transmission Service Agreement.
- (b) A Category B Project is any one whose Generator Owner committed to pay for upgrade costs on or after October 29, 1998 and prior to June 22, 1999, as evidenced either by the filing of an executed Transmission Service Agreement or by the filing of an unexecuted Transmission Service Agreement. To the extent not otherwise covered by the preceding sentence, a Category B Project includes any one (other than a Category A Project) on which the Generator Owner had expended at least \$5,000,000, including amounts due under irrevocable commitments, as of June 22, 1999. Category B Projects are those projects listed as Category A Projects in Section 1(a) of this Schedule 11, but no longer qualify as Category A Projects, that had expended at least \$5,000,000 (including amounts due under irrevocable commitments) as of June 22, 1999, as reasonably determined by the ISO, as well as the following projects:

Sithe, Mystic Station Expansion

Sithe Edgar Station Expansion, Fore River

Sithe, West Medway

PG&E, Generating Lake Road Generating

PDC, Milford Power
PDC, Meriden Power
Reliant Energy, Hope Rhode Island
IDC FPL, Bellingham
Constellation, Merrimack (Nickel Hill) Energy Project
SEI, Canal Re-powering
ANP, Bellingham
ANP, Blackstone
Cabot, Island End
Calpine, Westbrook Power
HQ, Bucksport
AES, Londonderry
ConEd, Newington
Mirant, Kendall Repowering Project

- (c) A Category C Project is any project which is not a Category A Project or a Category B Project.
- (2) Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs. Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs shall mean the cost of facilities constructed for sole use of the Generator Owner that are not PTF. One hundred percent of Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs shall be the responsibility of the Generator Owner whether the Generator Owner's project is a Category A Project, a Category B Project or a Category C Project.
- (3) Treatment of Category A Project Transmission Costs. The allocation of costs of Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades for Category A Projects will be determined as follows:
- (d) One-half of the Shared Amount (as defined below) of the capital cost of the PTF upgrade shall constitute Pool Supported PTF and be included in Annual Transmission Revenue Requirements under Attachment F to this OATT. The Generator Owner shall be obligated to pay, in addition to the Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs, the other half of the Shared Amount of the capital cost of the PTF upgrade and all of the capital costs in excess of the Shared Amount, and any applicable tax gross-up amounts, and such amounts to be paid by the Generator Owner shall not be included in Annual Transmission

Revenue Requirements under Attachment F to this OATT. Following completion of the construction or modification of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade, the Generator Owner shall be obligated to pay its pro rata share of all of the annual costs (including cost of capital, federal and state income taxes, O&M and A&G expenses, annual property taxes and other related costs) which are allocable to such upgrade, pursuant to the interconnection agreement with the individual PTO or its designee which is responsible for the construction or modification, and such agreement may be filed with the Commission by the PTO, either signed or unsigned, on its own or at the request of the Generator Owner.

- (e) In determining the cost responsibilities related to a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade to PTF, the ISO may determine that all or a portion of the proposed facilities exceed regional system, regulatory or other public requirements. In such a case, the ISO shall determine the amount of the excess costs of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade which shall be borne by the entity which is responsible for requiring such excess costs, and the excess costs shall not be included in the calculation of the Shared Amount.
- (f) The Shared Amount of the capital cost of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade of PTF shall be initially determined as of the time that the System Impact Study agreement is executed by all parties and the Generator Owner has paid the cost of the study (such initial determination to be based on the estimated cost of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade, subject to later adjustment as set forth below) subject to triuing up the KW element of the following formula upon completion of the Generator Interconnection Upgrade, and shall be the lesser of (1) the full actual capital cost of the Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade of PTF (excluding any costs which are determined to be excess costs in accordance with paragraph (b) above) or (2) the amount determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$P = (KW \times R \times 0.50) / C$$

in which:

P is the maximum amount to be shared;

KW in the case of a generating unit, is the actual demonstrated net capability of the new generating unit or increase in the capacity of an existing generating unit corrected to 50°F in kilowatts. If winter operating conditions are shown in the System Impact Study and/or application under Section 3.9 of Section I of the Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff to require additional transmission reinforcements beyond those reinforcements required for summer operating conditions, the net capability of the unit will be corrected to an ambient air temperature of 0°F;

R is the Pool PTF Rate in effect on the Compliance Effective Date, which is \$15.57 per kilowatt year, adjusted to reflect compliance with the April 5, 1999 Settlement Agreement, approved by the Commission by order dated July 30, 1999 in Docket Nos. OA97-237-000, et al.; and

C is the weighted average carrying charge factor of all of the PTOs which own PTF, determined, as of the Compliance Effective Date, in accordance with Attachment F to the OATT, which is 15.87 percent, adjusted to reflect compliance with the April 5, 1999 Settlement Agreement, approved by the Commission by order dated July 30, 1999 in Docket Nos. OA97-237-000, et al.

(g) All payments required hereunder shall be determined initially on an estimated basis, and then adjusted after the appropriate portion of the construction or modification costs has been reflected in OATT rates in the first adjustment of OATT rates after the upgrade has been placed in commercial operation.

(h) The provisions in this Section (3) with respect to allocation of costs for Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades of PTF for Category A projects are subject to further clarifications and/or modifications to reflect the outcome of proceedings in Commission Docket Nos. ER98-3853 (including any court appeals) and EL00-62-000, et al., and further Commission orders with respect thereto.

(4) Treatment of Category B Project Transmission Costs. The costs of Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades in connection with a Category B Project shall be allocated in the same way as Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades for Category A projects.

- (5) Treatment of Category C Project Transmission Costs. If a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade or an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Related Upgrade (collectively, “Upgrade”) ~~is identified under Clustering as is~~ required in order to satisfy the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard or the Network Capability Interconnection Standard (or its predecessor standard) (collectively, “Interconnection Standards”) in connection with a Category C Project, the Generator Owner or Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer (“ETU IC”), as applicable, shall be obligated to pay its share ~~all~~ of the cost of such Upgrade, including all Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs and any applicable tax gross-up amounts, to the extent such costs would not have been incurred but for the interconnection; provided that, if the ISO determines that a particular Upgrade provides benefits to the system as a whole as well as to particular parties, then the cost of such Upgrade shall be allocated in the same way as Reliability Transmission Upgrades, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

The cost responsibility for upgrades identified as being needed to support requests for Regional Transmission Service under Sections II.19 and II.34 of this OATT shall be determined and allocated to the requesting Transmission Customer in accordance with the rules below.

(i) Upgrades that are Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades (“CETU”)

If the Upgrade consists of ~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades, including~~ a Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade, that ~~was~~ is identified under a CRPS Clustering and ~~are~~ is not included in Direct Interconnection Transmission Costs, then the costs to be paid by each Generator Owner or ETU IC (that is not the ETU IC for an ETU that is taking the place of a CETU, or portion thereof, pursuant to Section ~~4.2.3.47.3~~ of Schedule 22, Section ~~4.5.3.3.3-7.34~~ of Schedule 23, or Section ~~4.2.3.47.3~~ of Schedule 25, Section II of the Tariff) with an Interconnection Request included in the Cluster that requires the CETU to meet the applicable Interconnection Standard ~~achieve interconnection to the Administered Transmission System~~ shall be the total costs of such Upgrade multiplied by the ratio of the Generator Owner or ETU IC’s respective distribution impact divided by the total distribution impact of all the Interconnection Requests in the entire eCluster that require the CETU based on the following distribution factor cost allocation methodology.

~~_____~~Distribution Factor Cost Allocation Methodology: The distribution factor is the measure of responsiveness or change in electrical loading on system facilities due to a change in electric power transfer from one part of the electric system to another, expressed in percent of the change in power transfer. The calculation of the distribution factor for ~~each of the eligible~~ Upgrades identified as a CETUs shall: (i) use the final Transitional Cluster Study case or final Cluster Study case, as applicable, ~~CSIS Study Case~~ for summer peak load conditions; (ii) use the pre-contingency condition (i.e., no contingencies will be modeled); and, (iii) be conducted using a transfer from the injection point associated with the respective Generator Owner or ETU IC's facility to New England Control Area load. The distribution impact of each Generator Owner or ETU IC with an Interconnection Request included in the eCluster that requires the CETUs shall be determined by multiplying the Generator Owner or ETU IC's respective distribution factor, as calculated above, by the Summer Network Resource Capability in the case of a Generating Facility or the absolute value of the higher of the requested bidirectional capability that results in a positive distribution factor in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade. The total distribution impact of all the Interconnection Requests in the eCluster that require the CETU ~~the entire cluster~~ shall be the sum of all of the individual distribution impacts for the Generator Owners and ETU ICs with Interconnection Requests included in the eCluster that require the CETU.

~~_____~~Where cost allocation for ~~an~~ CETU Upgrade identified as necessary for Interconnection Requests that require a CETU ~~identified under Clustering~~ cannot be determined using the distribution factor cost allocation methodology (e.g., a dynamic reactive device, or a switching station), each Generator Owner or ETU IC with an Interconnection Request included in the eCluster that requires the CETU to meet the applicable Interconnection Standard ~~interconnect to the Administered Transmission System~~ shall be obligated to pay the costs of such Upgrade based upon its pro rata megawatt share of the Interconnection Requests included in the eCluster study to be determined using the Summer Network Resource Capability in the case of a Generating Facility and the absolute value of the higher of the requested bidirectional capability in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade.

(i)(ii) Non-CETU Upgrades

(1) For Network Upgrades identified in the Transitional Cluster Study, a Cluster Study, or Cluster Restudy, the share of costs to be paid by each Generator Owner or ETU IC with an Interconnection Request included in the Cluster shall be as follows:

(a) Substation Network Upgrades, including switching stations, shall be allocated per capita to each Generating Facility or ETU that has a Point of Interconnection located at the same substation.

(b) System Network Upgrades shall be allocated based on the proportional impact of each individual Generating Facility or ETU in the Cluster Study on the need for a specific Network Upgrade, as determined by a proportional impact analysis conducted by the ISO in accordance with the method set forth in this Schedule 11 and the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

(i) -System Network Upgrades comprising new or upgrades to transmission lines shall be allocated to each Generator Owner or ETU IC that has a greater than three percent distribution impact (in the pre-upgrade case) for the most limiting contingency for each identified overload using a proportional impact based on: (a) the requested summer Network Resource Capability or Capacity Network Resource Capability in the case of a Generating Facility or the equivalent capability of an ETU and (b) the higher of the Network Resource case impact or the Capacity Network Resource impact. The distribution factor for the Network Resource case shall be calculated by transferring from the Generating Facility or ETU to New England load under the most limiting contingency condition, and for the Capacity Network Resource case, by transferring from the Generating Facility or ETU to the Load Zone under the most limiting contingency condition.

(ii) System Network Upgrades comprising reactive devices or any substation additions beyond the Point of Interconnection shall be allocated based on a proportional impact method and threshold, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

(iii2) Interconnection Facilities

(1) The costs of any needed Interconnection Facilities that are not part of a CETU in the Transitional Cluster Study, a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy will be directly assigned to each Generator Owner and ETU IC using such facilities. Where Generator Owners and ETU ICs in the Cluster agree to share Interconnection Facilities, the cost of such Interconnection Facilities shall be allocated based on the number of Generating Facilities and ETUs sharing use of such Interconnection Facilities on a per capita basis (i.e., on a per Generating Facility and ETU basis), unless the Generator Owners and ETU ICs mutually agree to a different cost sharing arrangement and communicate that arrangement in writing to ISO and applicable PTO(s).

Following completion of the construction or modification, the Generator Owner or ETU IC shall be obligated to pay ~~all (or, in the case of an Upgrade identified under Clustering, its share)~~ of the annual costs (including federal and state income taxes, O&M and A&G expenses, annual property taxes and other related costs) which are allocable to the Upgrade, pursuant to the interconnection agreement (or support agreement) with the individual PTO or its designee which is responsible for the construction or modification, and such agreement may be filed with the Commission by the PTO, either signed or unsigned, on its own or at the request of the Generator Owner or ETU IC.—

~~A Generator Owner with a Generating Facility or ETU IC with an Elective Transmission Upgrade that achieves Commercial Operation within ten years of the In Service Date of a Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (to be referred to as a “Late Comer Project”) shall reimburse the entities (i.e., Generator Owner or ETU IC) that have contributed to the costs of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade by the amount of said entities’ corresponding reduction in Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade costs based on the comparison of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade cost allocation with and without the added Late Comer Project, if the Late Comer Project:— (i) interconnects directly to the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade, (ii) connects to a substation where the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade terminates, or (iii) (a) is greater than five megawatt and is greater than one percent of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade normal rating, and (b) (1) has an impact on the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade that is greater than five percent of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade normal rating or (2) has a distribution factor on the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade that is greater than or equal to 20 percent using the distribution factor methodology~~

~~described above. A Generator Owner or ETU IC that has contributed to the costs of the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade shall have the payments associated with the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade adjusted based on the depreciation schedule that is being used for the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade.~~

- (6) Treatment of Elective Transmission Upgrades for Generating Units. If a Generator Owner has requested an Elective Transmission Upgrade pursuant to Section II.47 of this OATT in connection with a new or materially changed generation unit, the Generator Owner shall be subject to the cost, credit assurance and contract obligations set forth in Section II.47 of this OATT and Schedule 12 to this OATT for Elective Transmission Upgrades.
- (7) Contract and Credit Requirements. If a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade or an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Related Upgrade (collectively, "Upgrade") is required, the Generator Owner or Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Customer ("ETU IC") requesting such upgrade, at the request of the PTO or its designee responsible for effecting the construction or modification, shall be obligated to pay to the PTO or its designee responsible for effecting the Upgrade an amount equal to its share of the estimated cost of the construction at one time or in monthly or other periodic installments, including, without limitation, all costs associated with acquiring land, rights of way easements, purchasing equipment and materials, installing, constructing, interconnecting, and testing the facilities; O&M and engineering costs; all related overheads; and any and all associated taxes and government fees. In addition to, or in lieu of said payment, the affected PTO or its designee may require the Generator Owner or ETU IC to provide, as security for its obligation to pay any unfunded balance of the construction costs, a letter of credit or other reasonable form of security acceptable to the PTO or its designee that will be responsible for the construction equivalent to the cost of the upgrade including taxes and consistent with relevant commercial practices, as established by the Uniform Commercial Code. As soon as reasonably practical, but in any event within 180 days after completion of the construction or modifications, or as otherwise mutually agreed, the PTO or its designee responsible for the construction or modification will determine the difference, if any, between the estimated cost already paid by the Generator Owner or ETU IC to the PTO or its designee responsible for the construction or modification and its share of the actual cost of the construction or modification, and will either receive from the Generator Owner or ETU IC, with Interest (if the sum paid is insufficient) or pay to the Generator Owner or ETU IC, with Interest (if the sum paid is surplus) the difference; provided that if, at the time such determination is made, items of

construction that remain to be completed and/or some construction costs have not been invoiced and paid, the PTO or its designee responsible for the construction or modification shall continue to be entitled to recover from the Generator Owner or ETU IC the Generator Owner or ETU IC's share of the costs of such remaining items and may retain a reserve to cover such items.

Furthermore, the PTO shall release any letter of credit or other security instrument received by the PTO, up to the amount allowed to be recovered through the PTO's Annual Transmission Revenue Requirement for Category A and B Projects, no later than sixty (60) days after the later of the reflection of such costs in the regional rates and the commercial operation of the Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade addition or modification. To the extent Upgrades, or any portion thereof, are completed in a calendar year, PTO will use their best efforts to reflect such facilities in their Annual Transmission Revenue Requirements calculated on the basis of that year. That portion of the construction or modification costs or deposit paid by the Generator Owner or ETU IC may, by mutual agreement of the PTO and the Generator Owner or ETU IC, either be retained by the PTO, or be refunded to the Generator Owner or ETU IC upon the Generator Owner or ETU IC executing a contract with the PTO obligating the Generator Owner or ETU IC to pay the PTO the ongoing transmission revenue requirement associated with its share of the Upgrade, including but not limited to cost of capital, federal and state income taxes, O&M and A&G costs, annual property taxes and all other related costs, and providing the PTO with an irrevocable letter of credit or other form of security acceptable to the PTO. In the event the Generator Owner or ETU IC's portion of the construction or modification costs is retained by the PTO or its designee in accordance with the preceding sentence, the Generator Owner or ETU IC will be obligated (i) to pay the federal and state income taxes required to be paid by the PTO with respect to the retained amount, and (ii) to pay annually its percentage of the O&M and A&G costs, annual property taxes and all other related costs, except for those costs required to be paid under (i) or any costs that are retained by the PTO in accordance with the interconnection agreement. If the Generator Owner or ETU IC for whatever reason goes out of business, or otherwise abandons its Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade project and the Upgrade has already been partially or completely constructed, the Generator Owner or ETU IC shall be responsible for all of the unrecovered ongoing costs of the upgrade that would not have been incurred but for the proposed generation or ETU project. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the PTO or its designee responsible for the construction or modification and the Generator Owner or ETU IC from negotiating other methods for providing financial security associated with the cost of an upgrade deemed acceptable to the PTO or other entity. Subject to the foregoing, the interconnection and support agreements for an Upgrade may specify the basis

for continued support of such upgrade in the event of the cancellation of the project due to a failure to obtain regulatory approvals or permits or required rights of way or other property, or action to terminate the project before its completion for whatever reason and any other matters.

Interest payable hereunder shall be calculated in accordance with Section II.8.3 of the OATT.

APPENDIX 6
LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION
AGREEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS [TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

Article 1	Definitions
Article 2	Effective Date, Term and Termination
Article 3	Regulatory Filings
Article 4	Scope of Service
Article 5	Interconnection Facilities Engineering, Procurement, and Construction
Article 6	Testing and Inspection
Article 7	Metering
Article 8	Communications
Article 9	Operations
Article 10	Maintenance
Article 11	Performance Obligation
Article 12	Invoice
Article 13	Emergencies
Article 14	Regulatory Requirements and Governing Law
Article 15	Notices
Article 16	Force Majeure
Article 17	Default
Article 18	Indemnity, Consequential Damages and Insurance
Article 19	Assignment
Article 20	Severability
Article 21	Comparability
Article 22	Confidentiality
Article 23	Environmental Releases
Article 24	Information Requirements
Article 25	Information Access and Audit Rights
Article 26	Subcontractors
Article 27	Disputes

Article 28	Representations, Warranties and Covenants
Article 29	Omitted
Article 30	Miscellaneous

THIS STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

(“Agreement”) is made and entered into this ____ day of _____ 20__, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ (“Interconnection Customer” with a Large Generating Facility), ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Under this Agreement, the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, System Operator is the central dispatching agency provided for under the Transmission Operating Agreement (“TOA”) which has responsibility for the operation of the New England Control Area from the System Operator control center and the administration of the Tariff; and

WHEREAS, Interconnecting Transmission Owner is the owner or possessor of an interest in the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer intends to own, lease and/or control and operate the Generating Facility identified as a Large Generating Facility in Appendix C to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have agreed to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of interconnecting the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, it is agreed:

When used in this Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, terms with initial capitalization that are not defined in Article 1 shall have the meanings specified in the Article in which they are used.

ARTICLE 1. DEFINITIONS

The definitions contained in this Article 1 and those definitions embedded in an Article of this Agreement are intended to apply in the context of the generator interconnection process provided for in Schedule 22 (and its appendices). To the extent that the definitions herein are different than those contained in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff, the definitions provided below shall control only for purposes of generator interconnections under Schedule 22. Capitalized terms in Schedule 22 that are not defined in this Article 1 shall have the meanings specified in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff.

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnections~~shall mean any electric system that is within the Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned transmission facilities, or any other electric system that is not within the Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.~~

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this LGIP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area ~~Transmission System~~ that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an Affected System Interconnection Customer in System Operator's interconnection queue relative to System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system outside the New England Control Area that have an impact on the New England System Operator's Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this LGIP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this LGIP that is made between System Operator and Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an External Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this LGIP.

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Party.

At-Risk Expenditure shall mean money expended for the development of the Generating Facility that cannot be recouped if the Interconnection Customer were to withdraw the Interconnection Request for the Generating Facility. At-Risk Expenditure may include, but is not limited to, money expended on: (i) costs of federal, state, local, regional and town permits, (ii) Site Control, (iii) site-specific design and surveys, (iv) construction activities, and (v) non-refundable deposits for major equipment components. For purposes of this definition, At-Risk Expenditure shall not include costs associated with the Interconnection Studies.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3 of the Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”).

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability data bases used for the Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect

on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources or Elective Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Resource (“CNR”) shall mean that portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard.

Capacity Network Resource Capability (“CNR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (“CNR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Large Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s CNR Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt amount of CNR Capability. CNR Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is

identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section 2 of the Tariff ~~to accommodate the Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered~~. The CETU shall be considered part of a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

~~CETU~~ **Cluster Participation Deposit** shall a Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in Section 4.2 ~~mean the initial and additional deposit due under Sections 4.2.3.2.2 and 4.2.4.4.~~

~~Cluster Entry Deadline~~ shall ~~mean the deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1.~~

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this LGIP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby ~~one or more a group of~~ Interconnection Requests ~~are~~ studied together ~~instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this LGIP for the purpose of conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study and Interconnection Facilities Study and for the purpose of determining cost responsibility for upgrades identified through the Clustering provisions.~~

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity for sale, excluding electricity generated during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date of a unit shall mean the date on which the Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Appendix E to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 7.5, and 8.1 of this LGIP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Contingent Facilities shall mean those unbuilt Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or a transmission project that is planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this LGIP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 17 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Generating Facility and render the transmission service necessary to effect Interconnection Customer's wholesale sale of electricity in interstate commerce. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions; provided that Interconnection Customer is not obligated by the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement to possess black start capability.

Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a *et seq.*

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility shall mean Interconnection Customer's device for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in the Interconnection Request, but shall not include the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

Initial Synchronization Date shall mean the date upon which the Generating Facility is initially synchronized and upon which Trial Operation begins.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to obtain back feed power.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean a Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest in, or a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer that is not a Participating Transmission Owner that is constructing, a portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System under ~~this LGIP the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Appendix A of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, that are located between the Generating Facility and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities ~~may be sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission~~ are sole use facilities.

Interconnection Facilities shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as identified in the Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy or Cluster Interconnection

System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of ~~this LGIP the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of the ~~LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~ for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of ~~an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of the LGIP.~~

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study** shall mean a preliminary evaluation of the system impact and cost of interconnecting the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System, the scope of which is described in Section 6 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 2 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

Interconnection Request (a) shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to the ~~LGIP Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System as either a CNR or a NR; (ii) make a Material Modification to a proposed Generating Facility with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the energy capability or capacity capability of an existing Generating Facility; (iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; (v) commence participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; or (vi) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect a Qualifying Facility (as defined by the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the regulations thereto), where the Qualifying Facility's owner intent is to sell 100% of the Qualifying Facility's output to its interconnected electric utility.

Interconnection Service shall mean the service provided by System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability and/or capacity capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection, pursuant to the terms of the ~~LGIA Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement~~ and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study, the Cluster Study, the Cluster Restudy, the Surplus Interconnection Service System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in the LGIP~~the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~
~~Interconnection Study shall not include a CNR Group Study.~~

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: Affected System Study Agreement, Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to the LGIP~~the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study** shall mean an engineering study that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection on the safety and reliability of the Administered Transmission System and any other Affected System, the scope of which is described in Section 7 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures. The study shall identify and detail the system impacts that would result if the Generating Facility were interconnected without project modifications or system modifications, focusing on Adverse System Impacts, or to study potential impacts, including but not limited to those identified in the Scoping Meeting as described in the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 3 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

Internal Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Internal Affected System shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

Large Generating Facility shall mean a Generating Facility having a maximum gross capability at or above zero degrees F of more than 20 MW.

LGIA Deposit shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed LGIA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the LGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this LGIP.

~~**Long Lead Time Facility** (“**Long Lead Facility**”) shall mean a Generating Facility or an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, respectively, that has, as applicable, elected or requested long lead time treatment and met the eligibility criteria and requirements specified in Schedule 22 or Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff.~~

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party’s performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) to the Interconnection Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer that either require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (*i.e.*, an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days) on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with an equal or later queue ~~Queue Position~~ priority date; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Initial Synchronization Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control; ~~or (iv) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.4 of the LGIP, a withdrawal of a request for Long Lead Facility treatment; or (v) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.6 of the LGIP, an election to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated.~~

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed at the Generating Facility pursuant to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement at the metering points, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this LGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this LGIP that is made among Interconnection Transmission Owner, System Operator and

multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard (“NC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Resource (“NR”) shall mean the portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Network Capability Interconnection Standard.

Network Resource Capability (“NR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Resource Interconnection Service (“NR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s NR Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the NR Capability requested pursuant to Section 3.1 of the LGIP. NR Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of the ~~LGIP Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~ for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the System Operator in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Provisional Interconnection Service shall mean Network Resource Interconnection Service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection on a limited and temporary basis, pursuant to the terms of the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement shall mean the Interconnection Agreement for Provisional Interconnection Service established between the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and the Interconnection Customer. This agreement shall take the form of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, modified for provisional purposes.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission-service and notification of requests for interconnection to other electric systems, as notified by the other electric systems, that impact the Administered Transmission System. References to a “higher-queued” Interconnection Request shall mean one that has been received by the System Operator (and placed in queue order) earlier than another Interconnection Request, which is referred to as “lower-queued.”

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of ~~the~~ System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, to exchange information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this LGIP, and analyzing such information ~~to analyze such information, and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection.~~

Site Control shall mean the exclusive right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility over the term of expected operation of the Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by documentation establishing documentation reasonably demonstrating: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for which new interconnection is sought; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid

written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property. System Operator will maintain acreage requirements for each Generating Facility type on its OASIS or public website.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are not part of an Internal Affected System that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the System Operator's Proportional Impact Method. The System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement. ~~If the~~ System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer disagree about whether a particular Network Upgrade is a Stand Alone Network Upgrade, ~~the~~ System Operator must provide the Interconnection Customer a written technical explanation outlining why the System Operator does not consider the Network Upgrade to be a Stand Alone Network Upgrade within 15 days of its determination.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement ("LGIA") shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility, that is included in this Schedule 22 to the Tariff.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility that are included in this Schedule 22 to the Tariff.

Surplus Interconnection Service shall mean a form of Interconnection Service that allows an Interconnection Customer to use any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Agreement for an ~~existing~~ Generating Facility that has achieved Commercial Operation,

such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized the total amount of Interconnection Service at the same Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections ~~6.2~~ and 7.3 of this LGIP.

Substation Network Upgrade shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Generating Facility and (2) the Generating Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Generating Facility prior to Commercial Operation.

Unused Capability shall mean: (i) in the case of NR Interconnection Service at an ~~an existing, commercial~~ Generating Facility with an executed Interconnection Agreement, the MW quantity as determined by the Original Interconnection Customer (as defined in Section 3.3 of the LGIP), not to exceed the ~~existing, commercial~~ Generating Facility's NR Interconnection Service as specified in its Interconnection Agreement; and (ii) in the case of CNR Interconnection Service at an existing, commercial Generating Facility, for Summer, the Summer CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Summer Qualified Capacity, and for Winter, the Winter CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Winter Qualified Capacity.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by System Operator to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this LGIP.

ARTICLE 2. EFFECTIVE DATE, TERM AND TERMINATION

2.1 Effective Date. This LGIA shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly and jointly file this LGIA with the Commission upon execution in accordance with Section 11.3 of the LGIP and Article 3.1, if required.

2.2 Term of Agreement. This LGIA, subject to the provisions of Article 2.3, and by mutual agreement of the Parties, shall remain in effect for a period of _____ years from the Effective Date (*term to be specified in individual Agreement, but in no case should the term be less than ten (10) years from the Effective Date or such other longer period as the Interconnection Customer may request*) and shall be automatically renewed for each successive one-year period thereafter.

2.3 Termination Procedures.

2.3.1 Written Notice. This LGIA may be terminated by the Interconnection Customer, subject to continuing obligations of this LGIA and the Tariff, after giving the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ninety (90) Calendar Days advance written notice, or by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner notifying the Commission after a Generating Facility retires pursuant to the Tariff, provided that if an Interconnection Customer exercises its right to terminate on ninety (90) Calendar Days, any reconnection would be treated as a new interconnection request; or this LGIA may be terminated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator by notifying the Commission after the Generating Facility permanently ceases Commercial Operation.

2.3.2 Default. Each Party may terminate this LGIA in accordance with Article 17. Notwithstanding Articles 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, no termination shall become effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination, including the filing, if applicable, with the Commission of a notice of termination of this LGIA, which notice has been accepted for filing by the Commission. Termination of the LGIA shall not supersede or alter any requirements for deactivation or retirement of a generating unit under ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

2.4 Termination Costs. If a Party elects to terminate this LGIA pursuant to Article 2.3 above, each Party shall pay all costs incurred (including any cancellation costs relating to orders or contracts for Interconnection Facilities and equipment) or charges assessed by the other Party(ies), as of the date of such Party's(ies') receipt of such notice of termination, that are the responsibility of such Party(ies) under this LGIA. In the event of termination by a Party, all Parties shall use commercially Reasonable Efforts to mitigate the costs, damages and charges arising as a consequence of termination. Upon termination of this LGIA, unless otherwise ordered or approved by the Commission:

2.4.1 With respect to any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades to the extent covered by this LGIA, that have not yet been constructed or installed, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall to the extent possible and with Interconnection Customer's authorization

cancel any pending orders of, or return, any materials or equipment for, or contracts for construction of, such facilities; provided that in the event Interconnection Customer elects not to authorize such cancellation, Interconnection Customer shall assume all payment obligations with respect to such materials, equipment, and contracts, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall deliver such material and equipment, and, if necessary, and to the extent possible, assign such contracts, to Interconnection Customer as soon as practicable, at Interconnection Customer's expense. To the extent that Interconnection Customer has already paid Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all such costs of materials or equipment not taken by Interconnection Customer, either (i) in the case of overpayment, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund such amounts to Interconnection Customer, less any costs, including penalties incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to cancel any pending orders of or return such materials, equipment, or contracts, or (ii) in the case of underpayment, Interconnection Customer shall promptly pay such amounts still due plus any costs, including penalties incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to cancel any pending orders of or return such materials, equipment, or contracts.

If an Interconnection Customer terminates this LGIA, it shall be responsible for all costs incurred in association with that Interconnection Customer's interconnection, including any cancellation costs relating to orders or contracts for Interconnection Facilities and equipment, and other expenses including any Network Upgrades for which the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has incurred expenses and has not been reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer.

- 2.4.2** Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such materials, equipment, or facilities that Interconnection Customer chooses not to accept delivery of, in which case Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such materials, equipment, or facilities.
- 2.4.3** With respect to any portion of the Interconnection Facilities, and any other facilities already installed or constructed pursuant to the terms of this LGIA, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs associated with the removal, relocation or other disposition or retirement of such materials, equipment, or facilities.

2.5 Disconnection. Upon termination of this LGIA, Interconnection Service shall terminate and, the Parties will take all appropriate steps to disconnect the Large Generating Facility from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. All costs required to effectuate such disconnection shall be borne by the terminating Party, unless such termination resulted from a non-terminating Party's Default of this LGIA or such non-terminating Party otherwise is responsible for these costs under this LGIA.

2.6 Survival. This LGIA shall continue in effect after termination to the extent necessary to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this LGIA; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this LGIA was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party(ies) pursuant to this LGIA or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

ARTICLE 3. REGULATORY FILINGS

3.1 Filing. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file this LGIA (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required, in accordance with Section 11.3 of the LGIP. Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 22. If the Interconnection Customer has executed this LGIA, or any amendment thereto, the Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

ARTICLE 4. SCOPE OF SERVICE

4.1 Interconnection Product Options. Interconnection Customer has selected the following (checked) type(s) of Interconnection Service:

Check: ___ NR for NR Interconnection Service (NR Capability Only)

___ CNR for CNR Interconnection Service (CNR Capability and NR Capability)

4.1.1 Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (CNR Interconnection Service).

4.1.1.1 The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Large Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which all other Capacity Network Resources are interconnected under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to be designated as a Capacity Network Resource, to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the net CNR Capability, or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, on the same basis as all other existing Capacity Network Resources, and to be studied as a Capacity Network Resource on the assumption that such a designation will occur.

4.1.2 Network Resource Interconnection Service (NR Interconnection Service).

4.1.2.1 The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Large Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which all other Network Resources are interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard. NR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the gross and net NR Capability or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff.

Notwithstanding the above, the portion of a Large Generating Facility that has been designated as a Network Resource interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be a capacity resource under Section III.13 of the Tariff, unless pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service.

- 4.2 Provision of Service.** System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Interconnection Service for the Large Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection.
- 4.3 Performance Standards.** Each Party shall perform all of its obligations under this LGIA in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations, the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and Good Utility Practice, and to the extent a Party is required or prevented or limited in taking any action by such requirements and standards, such Party shall not be deemed to be in Breach of this LGIA for its compliance therewith. If such Party is the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then that Party shall amend the LGIA and System Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, shall submit the amendment to the Commission for approval.
- 4.4 No Transmission Delivery Service.** The execution of this LGIA does not constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service, or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.
- 4.5 Transmission Delivery Service Implications.** CNR Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service allow the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to be designated by any Network Customer under the Tariff on the New England Transmission System as a Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource, up to the net CNR Capability or NR Capability, respectively, on the same basis as all other existing Capacity Network Resources and Network Resources interconnected to the New England Transmission System, and to be studied as a Capacity Network Resource or a Network Resource on the assumption that such a designation will occur. Although CNR Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service do not convey a reservation of

transmission service, any Network Customer can utilize its network service under the Tariff to obtain delivery of capability from the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility in the same manner as it accesses Capacity Network Resources and Network Resources. A Large Generating Facility receiving CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service may also be used to provide Ancillary Services, in accordance with the Tariff and Market Rule 1, after technical studies and/or periodic analyses are performed with respect to the Large Generating Facility's ability to provide any applicable Ancillary Services, provided that such studies and analyses have been or would be required in connection with the provision of such Ancillary Services by any existing Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource. However, if an Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility has not been designated as a Capacity Network Resource or as a Network Resource by any load, it cannot be required to provide Ancillary Services except to the extent such requirements extend to all Generating Facilities that are similarly situated.

CNR Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service do not necessarily provide the Interconnection Customer with the capability to physically deliver the output of its Large Generating Facility to any particular load on the New England Transmission System without incurring congestion costs. In the event of transmission constraints on the New England Transmission System, the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility shall be subject to the applicable congestion management procedures for the New England Transmission System in the same manner as other Capacity Network Resources or Network Resources.

There is no requirement either at the time of study or interconnection, or at any point in the future, that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility be designated as a Capacity Network Resource or as a Network Resource by a Network Service Customer under the Tariff or that the Interconnection Customer identify a specific buyer (or sink). To the extent a Network Customer does designate the Large Generating Facility as either a Capacity Network Resource or a Network Resource, it must do so pursuant to the Tariff.

Once an Interconnection Customer satisfies the requirements for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service, as long as the Large Generating Facility has not been deemed to be retired, any future transmission service request for delivery from the Large Generating Facility on the New England Transmission System of any amount of capacity capability and/or energy capability will not require that any additional studies be performed or that any further upgrades associated with such Large Generating Facility be undertaken, regardless of whether or not such Large Generating Facility is ever designated by a Network Customer as a Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource, and regardless of changes in ownership of the Large Generating Facility. To the extent the Interconnection Customer enters into an arrangement for long-term transmission service for deliveries from the Large Generating Facility outside the New England Transmission System, or if the unit has been deemed to be retired, such request may require additional studies and upgrades in order for Interconnecting Transmission Owner to grant such request.

- 4.6 Interconnection Customer Provided Services.** The services provided by Interconnection Customer under this LGIA are set forth in Article 9.6 and Article 13.4. Interconnection Customer shall be paid for such services in accordance with Article 11.6.

**ARTICLE 5. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES ENGINEERING,
PROCUREMENT, AND CONSTRUCTION**

5.1 Options. Unless otherwise mutually agreed to between the Parties, Interconnection Customer shall specify the In-Service Date, Initial Synchronization Date, and Commercial Operation Date as specified in the Interconnection Request or as subsequently revised pursuant to Section 4.4 of the LGIP; and select either the Standard Option or Alternate Option set forth below, and such dates and selected option shall be set forth in Appendix B (Milestones). At the same time, Interconnection Customer shall indicate whether it elects to exercise the Option to Build set forth in Article 5.1.3 below. If the dates designated by Interconnection Customer are not acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall so notify Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days. Upon receipt of the notification that Interconnection Customer's designated dates are not acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner within thirty (30) Calendar Days whether it elects to exercise the Option to Build if it has not already elected to exercise the Option to Build. In accordance with Section 8 of the LGIP and unless otherwise mutually agreed, the Alternate Option is not an available option if the Interconnection Customer waived the Interconnection Facilities Study.

5.1.1 Standard Option. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, and construct the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, using Reasonable Efforts to complete the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades by the dates set forth in Appendix B (Milestones). The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, and Applicable Laws and Regulations. In the event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably expects that it will not be able to complete the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades by the specified dates, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer and shall undertake Reasonable Efforts to meet the earliest dates thereafter.

- 5.1.2 Alternate Option.** If the dates designated by Interconnection Customer are acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall so notify Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities by the designated dates. If Interconnecting Transmission Owner subsequently fails to complete Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities by the In-Service Date, to the extent necessary to provide back feed power; or fails to complete Network Upgrades by the Initial Synchronization Date to the extent necessary to allow for Trial Operation at full power output, unless other arrangements are made by the Parties for such Trial Operation; or fails to complete the Network Upgrades by the Commercial Operation Date, as such dates are reflected in Appendix B (Milestones); Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall pay Interconnection Customer liquidated damages in accordance with Article 5.3, Liquidated Damages, provided, however, the dates designated by Interconnection Customer shall be extended day for day for each day that the applicable System Operator refuses to grant clearances to install equipment.
- 5.1.3 Option to Build.** Interconnection Customer shall have the option to assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of new Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades on the dates specified in Article 5.1.2. The System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify such Stand Alone Network Upgrades in Appendix A to the LGIA. Except for Stand Alone Network Upgrades, Interconnection Customer shall have no right to construct Network Upgrades under this option.
- 5.1.4 Negotiated Option.** If the dates designated by Interconnection Customer are not acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Parties shall in good faith attempt to negotiate terms and conditions (including revision of the specified dates and liquidated damages, the provision of incentives, or the procurement and construction of all facilities other than the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades if the Interconnection Customer elects to exercise the Option to Build under Article 5.1.3). If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on such

terms and conditions, then, pursuant to Article 5.1.1 (Standard Option), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of all facilities other than the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades if the Interconnection Customer elects to exercise the Option to Build.

5.2 General Conditions Applicable to Option to Build. If Interconnection Customer assumes responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades,

(1) ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer shall commit in the LGIA to a schedule for the completion of, and provide the System Operator evidence of proceeding with: (a) engineering and design of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, (b) procurement of necessary equipment and ordering of long lead time material, and (c) construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

(2) ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer shall engineer, procure equipment, and construct the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades (or portions thereof) using Good Utility Practice and using standards and specifications provided in advance by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner;

(3) Interconnection Customer's engineering, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall comply with all requirements of law to which Interconnecting Transmission Owner would be subject in the engineering, procurement or construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

(4) Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall review and approve the engineering design, equipment acceptance tests, and the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

- (5) ~~p~~Prior to commencement of construction, Interconnection Customer shall provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner any changes to the schedule for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades reflected in Appendix B (Milestones), and shall promptly respond to requests for information from Interconnecting Transmission Owner;
- (6) ~~a~~At any time during construction, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to gain unrestricted access to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades and to conduct inspections of the same;
- (7) ~~a~~At any time during construction, should any phase of the engineering, equipment procurement, or construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades not meet the standards and specifications provided by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to remedy deficiencies in that portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;
- (8) ~~t~~The Interconnection Customer shall indemnify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for claims arising from the Interconnection Customer's construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades under the terms and procedures applicable to Article 18.1 (Indemnity);
- (9) ~~t~~The Interconnection Customer shall transfer control of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner prior to the In-Service Date;
- (10) Unless Parties otherwise agree, Interconnection Customer shall transfer ownership of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to Interconnecting Transmission Owner prior to the In-Service Date;
- (11) Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall approve and accept for operation and maintenance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand

Alone Network Upgrades to the extent engineered, procured, and constructed in accordance with this Article 5.2;

(12) Interconnection Customer shall deliver to Interconnecting Transmission Owner “as built” drawings, information, and any other documents that are reasonably required by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to assure that the Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades are built to the standards and specifications required by Interconnecting Transmission Owner; and

(13) Interconnection Customer shall pay Interconnecting Transmission Owner the agreed upon amount of [\$ PLACEHOLDER] for Interconnecting Transmission Owner to execute responsibilities enumerated to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Article 5.2. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Interconnection Customer for this total amount to be divided on a monthly basis pursuant to Article 12.

5.3 Liquidated Damages. The actual damages to the Interconnection Customer, in the event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not completed by the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer and accepted by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to subparagraphs 5.1.2 or 5.1.4, above, may include Interconnection Customer’s fixed operation and maintenance costs and lost opportunity costs. Such actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time. Because of such uncertainty, any liquidated damages paid by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the Interconnection Customer in the event that Interconnecting Transmission Owner does not complete any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades by the applicable dates, shall be an amount equal to ½ of 1 percent per day of the actual cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, in the aggregate, for which Interconnecting Transmission Owner has assumed responsibility to design, procure and construct.

However, in no event shall the total liquidated damages exceed 20 percent of the actual cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has assumed responsibility to design, procure, and construct. The foregoing payments will be made by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner

to the Interconnection Customer as just compensation for the damages caused to the Interconnection Customer, which actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time, and as reasonable liquidated damages, but not as a penalty or a method to secure performance of this LGIA. Liquidated damages, when the Parties agree to them, are the exclusive remedy for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's failure to meet its schedule.

No liquidated damages shall be paid to Interconnection Customer if: (1) Interconnection Customer is not ready to commence use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to take the delivery of power for the Large Generating Facility's Trial Operation or to export power from the Large Generating Facility on the specified dates, unless the Interconnection Customer would have been able to commence use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to take the delivery of power for Large Generating Facility's Trial Operation or to export power from the Large Generating Facility, but for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's delay; (2) the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's failure to meet the specified dates is the result of the action or inaction of the Interconnection Customer or any other Interconnection Customer who has entered into an LGIA with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any cause beyond Interconnecting Transmission Owner's reasonable control or reasonable ability to cure, including, but not limited to, actions by the System Operator that cause delays and/or delays in licensing, permitting or consents where the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has pursued such licenses, permits or consents in good faith; (3) the Interconnection Customer has assumed responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades; or (4) the Parties have otherwise agreed.

5.4 Power System Stabilizers. If a Power System Stabilizer is required to be installed on the Large Generating Facility for the purpose of maintaining system stability, the Interconnection Customer shall procure, install, maintain and operate Power System Stabilizers in accordance with the guidelines and procedures established by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and consistent with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to reasonably establish minimum acceptable settings for any installed Power System Stabilizers, subject to the design and operating limitations of the Large Generating

Facility. If the Large Generating Facility's Power System Stabilizers are removed from service or not capable of automatic operation, the Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or their designated representative. The requirements of this paragraph shall not apply to non-synchronous power production equipment.

5.5 Equipment Procurement. If responsibility for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades is to be borne by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall commence design of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades and procure necessary equipment as soon as practicable after all of the following conditions are satisfied, unless the Parties otherwise agree in writing:

5.5.1 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed the Facilities Study pursuant to the Facilities Study Agreement;

5.5.2 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received written authorization to proceed with design and procurement from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Appendix B (Milestones); and

5.5.3 The Interconnection Customer has provided security to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones).

5.6 Construction Commencement. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall commence construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which it is responsible as soon as practicable after the following additional conditions are satisfied:

5.6.1 Approval of the appropriate Governmental Authority has been obtained for any facilities requiring regulatory approval;

- 5.6.2** Necessary real property rights and rights-of-way have been obtained, to the extent required for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades;
- 5.6.3** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received written authorization to proceed with construction from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Appendix B (Milestones); and
- 5.6.4** The Interconnection Customer has provided security to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones).
- 5.7 Work Progress.** The Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep each Party informed, by written quarterly progress reports, as to the progress of their respective design, procurement and construction efforts in order to meet the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones). Any Party may also, at any other time, request a written progress report from the other Parties. If, at any time, the Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will not be required until after the specified In-Service Date, the Interconnection Customer, upon the System Operator's approval that the change in the In-Service Date will not constitute a Material Modification pursuant to Section 4.4 of the LGIP, will provide written notice to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner of such later date upon which the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will be required.
- 5.8 Information Exchange.** As soon as reasonably practicable after the Effective Date, the Parties shall exchange information regarding the design and compatibility of the Parties' Interconnection Facilities and compatibility of the Interconnection Facilities with the New England Transmission System, and shall work diligently and in good faith to make any necessary design changes.
- 5.9 Other Interconnection Options.**
- 5.9.1 Limited Operation.** If any of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not reasonably expected to be

completed prior to the Commercial Operation Date of the Large Generating Facility, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, upon the request and at the expense of Interconnection Customer, perform operating studies on a timely basis to determine the extent to which the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may operate prior to the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards, Good Utility Practice, and this LGIA. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall permit Interconnection Customer to operate the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the results of such studies.

5.9.2 Provisional Interconnection Service. Upon the request of Interconnection Customer, and prior to completion of requisite Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, or System Protection Facilities, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may execute a Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement or Interconnection Customer may request the filing of an unexecuted Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement with the Interconnection Customer for Provisional Interconnection Service at the discretion of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner based upon an evaluation that will consider the results of available studies. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall determine, through available studies or additional studies as necessary, whether stability, short circuit, thermal, and/or voltage issues would arise if Interconnection Customer interconnects without modifications to the Large Generating Facility or the New England Transmission System. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall determine whether any Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, or System Protection Facilities that are necessary to meet the requirements of NERC, or any applicable Regional Entity for the interconnection of a new, modified and/or expanded Large Generating Facility are in place prior to the commencement of Interconnection Service from the Large Generating Facility. Where available studies indicate that such Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and/or System Protection Facilities that are required for the interconnection of a new, modified and/or expanded Large Generating Facility are not

currently in place, System Operator will perform a study, at the Interconnection Customer's expense, to confirm the facilities that are required for Provisional Interconnection Service. The maximum permissible output of the Large Generating Facility in the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement shall be studied and updated each time the conditions assumed in the studies supporting the Provisional Interconnection Service change. Provisional Interconnection Service is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position and associated cost and upgrade responsibilities. Interconnection Customer assumes all risk and liabilities with respect to changes between the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement and the Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, including changes in output limits and Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and/or System Protection Facilities cost responsibilities.

5.10 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities ("ICIF"). Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, design, procure, construct, own and install the ICIF, as set forth in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades).

5.10.1 Large Generating Facility Specifications. Interconnection Customer shall submit initial specifications for the ICIF, including System Protection Facilities, to Interconnecting Transmission Owner at least one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date; and final specifications for review and comment at least ninety (90) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall review such specifications to ensure that the ICIF are compatible with the technical specifications, operational control, and safety requirements of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and comment on such specifications within thirty (30) Calendar Days of Interconnection Customer's submission. All specifications provided hereunder shall be deemed confidential.

5.10.2 Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Review. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's review of Interconnection Customer's final specifications shall not be construed as confirming, endorsing, or providing a warranty as to the design, fitness, safety, durability or reliability of the Large Generating Facility, or the ICIF. Interconnection Customer shall make such changes to the ICIF as may reasonably be required by

Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in accordance with Good Utility Practice, to ensure that the ICIF are compatible with the technical specifications, operational control, and safety requirements of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

5.10.3 ICIF Construction. The ICIF shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Parties agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Interconnection Customer shall deliver to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner “as-built” drawings, information and documents for the ICIF, such as: a one-line diagram, a site plan showing the Large Generating Facility and the ICIF, plan and elevation drawings showing the layout of the ICIF, a relay functional diagram, relaying AC and DC schematic wiring diagrams and relay settings for all facilities associated with the Interconnection Customer’s step-up transformers, the facilities connecting the Large Generating Facility to the step-up transformers and the ICIF, and the impedances (determined by factory tests) for the associated step-up transformers and the Large Generating Facilities. The Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner specifications for the excitation system, automatic voltage regulator, Large Generating Facility control and protection settings, transformer tap settings, and communications, if applicable.

5.11 Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities Construction. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Upon request, within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Parties agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall deliver to the Interconnection Customer the following “as-built” drawings, information and documents for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities. The appropriate drawings and relay diagrams shall be included in Appendix A of this LGIA.

The System Operator will obtain operational control of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades upon completion of such facilities pursuant to the TOA.

- 5.12 Access Rights.** Upon reasonable notice and supervision by a Party, and subject to any required or necessary regulatory approvals, a Party (“Granting Party”) shall furnish at the incremental cost to another Party (“Access Party”) any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements with respect to lands owned or controlled by the Granting Party, its agents if allowed under the applicable agency agreement, that are necessary to enable the Access Party solely to obtain ingress and egress to construct, operate, maintain, repair, test (or witness testing), inspect, replace or remove facilities and equipment to: (i) interconnect the Large Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System; (ii) operate and maintain the Large Generating Facility, the Interconnection Facilities and the New England Transmission System; and (iii) disconnect or remove the Access Party’s facilities and equipment upon termination of this LGIA. In exercising such licenses, rights of way and easements, the Access Party shall not unreasonably disrupt or interfere with normal operation of the Granting Party’s business and shall adhere to the safety rules and procedures established in advance, as may be changed from time to time, by the Granting Party and provided to the Access Party.
- 5.13 Lands of Other Property Owners.** If any part of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at Interconnection Customer’s expense use Reasonable Efforts, including use of its eminent domain authority, and to the extent consistent with state law, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace or remove the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades upon such property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be obligated to exercise eminent domain authority in a manner inconsistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations or when an Interconnection Customer is authorized under Applicable Laws and Regulations to exercise eminent domain on its own behalf.
- 5.14 Permits.** System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate with each other in good faith in obtaining all permits, licenses, and authorizations that are necessary to accomplish the interconnection in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations. With respect to this paragraph, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide

permitting assistance to the Interconnection Customer comparable to that provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's own, or an Affiliate's generation.

5.15 Early Construction of Base Case Facilities. Interconnection Customer may request Interconnecting Transmission Owner to construct, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall construct, using Reasonable Efforts to accommodate Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date, all or any portion of any Network Upgrades required for Interconnection Customer to be interconnected to the Administered Transmission System, which are included in the Base Case of the Facilities Study for the Interconnection Customer, and which also are required to be constructed for another Interconnection Customer, but where such construction is not scheduled to be completed in time to achieve Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date. The Interconnection Customer shall reimburse the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for all costs incurred related to early construction to the extent such costs are not recovered from other Interconnection Customers included in the base case.

5.16 Suspension. Interconnection Customer reserves the right, upon written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, to suspend ~~at any time~~ all work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades required under this LGIA at any time with the condition that the New England Transmission System shall be left in a safe and reliable condition in accordance with Good Utility Practice and the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's safety and reliability criteria. In such event, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner (i) has incurred pursuant to this LGIA prior to the suspension and (ii) incurs in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of the New England Transmission System during such suspension and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation or suspension of material, equipment and labor contracts which Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; provided, however, that prior to canceling or suspending any such material, equipment or labor contract, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Interconnection Customer's authorization to do so. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Interconnection Customer for such costs pursuant to Article 12 and shall use due diligence to minimize its costs. In the event

Interconnection Customer suspends work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this LGIA pursuant to this Article 5.16, and has not requested Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this LGIA on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this LGIA shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, if no effective date is specified. A suspension under this Article 5.16 does not automatically permit an extension of the In-Service Date, the Initial Synchronization Date or the Commercial Operation Date. A request for extension of such dates is subject to Section 4.4.5 of the LGIP. Notwithstanding the extensions permitted under Section 4.4.5 of the LGIP, the three-year period shall in no way result in an extension of the In-Service Date, the Initial Synchronization Date or the Commercial Operation Date that exceeds seven (7) years from the date of the Interconnection Request; otherwise, this LGIA shall be deemed terminated.

5.17 Taxes.

5.17.1 Payments Not Taxable. The Parties intend that all payments or property transfers made by any Party for the installation of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades shall be non-taxable, either as contributions to capital, or as an advance, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws and shall not be taxable as contributions in aid of construction or otherwise under the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws.

5.17.2 Representations and Covenants. In accordance with IRS Notice 2001-82 and IRS Notice 88-129, Interconnection Customer represents and covenants that (i) ownership of the electricity generated at the Large Generating Facility will pass to another party prior to the transmission of the electricity on the New England Transmission System, (ii) for income tax purposes, the amount of any payments and the cost of any property transferred to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will be capitalized by Interconnection Customer as an intangible asset and recovered using the straight-line method over a useful life of twenty (20) years, and (iii) any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission

Owner's Interconnection Facilities that is a "dual-use intertie," within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, is reasonably expected to carry only a de minimis amount of electricity in the direction of the Large Generating Facility. For this purpose, "de minimis amount" means no more than 5 percent of the total power flows in both directions, calculated in accordance with the "5 percent test" set forth in IRS Notice 88-129. This is not intended to be an exclusive list of the relevant conditions that must be met to conform to IRS requirements for non-taxable treatment.

At Interconnecting Transmission Owner's request, Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner with a report from an independent engineer confirming its representation in clause (iii), above. Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents and covenants that the cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities paid for by Interconnection Customer will have no net effect on the base upon which rates are determined.

5.17.3 Indemnification for the Cost Consequences of Current Tax Liability Imposed Upon Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Notwithstanding Article 5.17.1, Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner as the result of payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this LGIA, as well as any interest and penalties, other than interest and penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability in the amounts it charges Interconnection Customer under this LGIA unless (i) Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation or (ii) any Governmental Authority directs Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation; provided, however, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner may require Interconnection Customer to provide security, in a form reasonably acceptable to

Interconnecting Transmission Owner (such as a parental guarantee or a letter of credit), in an amount equal to the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17. Interconnection Customer shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with Article 5.17.4, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten year testing period, and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the IRS, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article 5.17.

5.17.4 Tax Gross-Up Amount. Interconnection Customer's liability for the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17 shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis. Except as may otherwise be agreed to by the parties, this means that Interconnection Customer will pay Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in addition to the amount paid for the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, an amount equal to (1) the current taxes imposed on Interconnecting Transmission Owner ("Current Taxes") on the excess of (a) the gross income realized by Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this LGIA (without regard to any payments under this Article 5.17) (the "Gross Income Amount") over (b) the present value of future tax deductions for depreciation that will be available as a result of such payments or property transfers (the "Present Value Depreciation Amount"), plus (2) an additional amount sufficient to permit the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to receive and retain, after the payment of all Current Taxes, an amount equal to the net amount described in clause (1). For this purpose, (i) Current Taxes shall be computed based on Interconnecting Transmission Owner composite federal and state tax rates at the time the payments or property transfers are received and Interconnecting Transmission Owner will be treated as being subject to tax at the highest marginal rates in effect at that time (the "Current Tax Rate"), and (ii) the Present Value Depreciation Amount shall be computed by discounting Interconnecting Transmission Owner's anticipated tax depreciation

deductions as a result of such payments or property transfers by Interconnecting Transmission Owner current weighted average cost of capital. Thus, the formula for calculating Interconnection Customer's liability to Transmission Owner pursuant to this Article 5.17.4 can be expressed as follows: $(\text{Current Tax Rate} \times (\text{Gross Income Amount} - \text{Present Value of Tax Depreciation})) / (1 - \text{Current Tax Rate})$. Interconnection Customer's estimated tax liability in the event taxes are imposed shall be stated in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades).

5.17.5 Private Letter Ruling or Change or Clarification of Law. At Interconnection Customer's request and expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the IRS a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this LGIA are subject to federal income taxation. Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling, and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of Interconnection Customer's knowledge. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Interconnection Customer fully informed of the status of such request for a private letter ruling and shall execute either a privacy act waiver or a limited power of attorney, in a form acceptable to the IRS, that authorizes Interconnection Customer to participate in all discussions with the IRS regarding such request for a private letter ruling. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall allow Interconnection Customer to attend all meetings with IRS officials about the request and shall permit Interconnection Customer to prepare the initial drafts of any follow-up letters in connection with the request.

5.17.6 Subsequent Taxable Events. If, within ten (10) years from the date on which the relevant Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are placed in service, (i) Interconnection Customer Breaches the covenant contained in Article 5.17.2, (ii) a "disqualification event" occurs within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, or (iii) this LGIA terminates and Interconnecting Transmission Owner retains ownership of the

Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall pay a tax gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed on Interconnecting Transmission Owner, calculated using the methodology described in Article 5.17.4 and in accordance with IRS Notice 90-60.

5.17.7 Contests. In the event any Governmental Authority determines that Interconnecting Transmission Owner's receipt of payments or property constitutes income that is subject to taxation, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Interconnection Customer, in writing, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notification of such determination by a Governmental Authority. Upon the timely written request by Interconnection Customer and at Interconnection Customer's sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. Upon Interconnection Customer's written request and sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may file a claim for refund with respect to any taxes paid under this Article 5.17, whether or not it has received such a determination. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the selection of counsel and compromise or settlement of the claim, but Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Interconnection Customer informed, shall consider in good faith suggestions from Interconnection Customer about the conduct of the contest, and shall reasonably permit Interconnection Customer or an Interconnection Customer representative to attend contest proceedings.

Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest. At any time during the contest, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may agree to a settlement either with Interconnection Customer's consent or after obtaining written advice from nationally-recognized tax counsel, selected by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, but reasonably acceptable to Interconnection Customer, that the proposed settlement represents a reasonable settlement given the hazards of litigation. Interconnection Customer's obligation shall be based on the amount of the settlement agreed to by Interconnection Customer, or if a higher amount, so much of the settlement that is supported by the

written advice from nationally recognized tax counsel selected under the terms of the preceding sentence. The settlement amount shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis to cover any related cost consequences of the current tax liability. Any settlement without Interconnection Customer's consent or such written advice will relieve Interconnection Customer from any obligation to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax at issue in the contest.

5.17.8 Refund. In the event that (a) a private letter ruling is issued to Interconnecting Transmission Owner which holds that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this LGIA is not subject to federal income taxation, (b) any legislative change or administrative announcement, notice, ruling or other determination makes it reasonably clear to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in good faith that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this LGIA is not taxable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, (c) any abatement, appeal, protest, or other contest results in a determination that any payments or transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner are not subject to federal income tax, or (d) if Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives a refund from any taxing authority for any overpayment of tax attributable to any payment or property transfer made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this LGIA, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to Interconnection Customer the following:

(i) any payment made by Interconnection Customer under this Article 5.17 for taxes that is attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, together with interest thereon,

(ii) interest on any amounts paid by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes which Interconnecting Transmission Owner did not submit to the taxing authority, interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in the Commission's regulations at 18 CFR §35.19a(a)(2)(iii) from the date payment was made by Interconnection

Customer to the date Interconnecting Transmission Owner refunds such payment to Interconnection Customer, and

(iii) with respect to any such taxes paid by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, any refund or credit Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or to which it may be entitled from any Governmental Authority, interest (or that portion thereof attributable to the payment described in clause (i), above) owed to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such overpayment of taxes (including any reduction in interest otherwise payable by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to any Governmental Authority resulting from an offset or credit); provided, however, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner will remit such amount promptly to Interconnection Customer only after and to the extent that Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received a tax refund, credit or offset from any Governmental Authority for any applicable overpayment of income tax related to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

The intent of this provision is to leave Parties, to the extent practicable, in the event that no taxes are due with respect to any payment for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades hereunder, in the same position they would have been in had no such tax payments been made.

5.17.9 Taxes Other Than Income Taxes. Upon the timely request by Interconnection Customer, and at Interconnection Customer's sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this LGIA. Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such

taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

5.18 Tax Status. Each Party shall cooperate with the others to maintain the other Party's(ies') tax status. Nothing in this LGIA is intended to adversely affect any Interconnecting Transmission Owner's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, Local Furnishing Bonds.

5.19 Modification.

5.19.1 General. Either Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may undertake modifications to its facilities. If a Party plans to undertake a modification that reasonably may be expected to affect the other Party's facilities, the facilities of any Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties, or the New England Transmission System, that Party shall provide to the other Parties and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party: (i) sufficient information regarding such modification so that the other Party(ies) may evaluate the potential impact of such modification prior to commencement of the work; and (ii) such information as may be required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Such information shall be deemed to be confidential hereunder and shall include information concerning the timing of such modifications and whether such modifications are expected to interrupt the flow of electricity from the Large Generating Facility. The Party desiring to perform such work shall provide the relevant drawings, plans, and specifications to the other Party(ies) at least ninety (90) Calendar Days in advance of the commencement of the work or such shorter period upon which the Parties may agree, which agreement shall not unreasonably be withheld, conditioned or delayed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no Party shall be obligated to proceed with a modification that would constitute a Material

Modification and therefore require an Interconnection Request under the LGIP, except as provided under and pursuant to the LGIP.

In the case of Large Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facility modifications that do not require Interconnection Customer to submit an Interconnection Request, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide, within thirty (30) Calendar Days (or such other time as the Parties may agree), an estimate of any additional modifications to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades necessitated by such Interconnection Customer modification and a good faith estimate of the costs thereof.

5.19.2 Standards. Any additions, modifications, or replacements made to a Party's facilities shall be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with this LGIA and Good Utility Practice.

5.19.3 Modification Costs. Interconnection Customer shall not be directly assigned for the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements that Interconnecting Transmission Owner makes to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System to facilitate the interconnection of a third party to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System, or to provide transmission service to a third party under the Tariff, except as provided for under the Tariff or any other applicable tariff. Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements to the Large Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities that may be necessary to maintain or upgrade such Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards or Good Utility Practice.

ARTICLE 6. TESTING AND INSPECTION

6.1 Pre-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications. Prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall test Interconnecting Transmission

Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades and Interconnection Customer shall test the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to ensure their safe and reliable operation. Similar testing may be required after initial operation. Each Party shall make any modifications to its facilities that are found to be necessary as a result of such testing. Interconnection Customer shall bear the cost of all such testing and modifications. Interconnection Customer shall generate test energy at the Large Generating Facility only if it has arranged for the delivery of such test energy.

- 6.2 Post-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications.** Each Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at its own expense perform routine inspection and testing of its facilities and equipment in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, as may be necessary to ensure the continued interconnection of the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System in a safe and reliable manner. The Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each shall have the right, upon advance written notice, to require reasonable additional testing of the other Party's(ies') facilities, at the requesting Party's expense, as may be in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The System Operator shall also have the right to require reasonable additional testing of the other Party's (ies') facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 6.3 Right to Observe Testing.** Each Party shall notify the System Operator and other Party(ies) in advance of its performance of tests of its Interconnection Facilities. The other Party(ies) has the right, at its own expense, to observe such testing.
- 6.4 Right to Inspect.** Each Party shall have the right, but shall have no obligation to: (i) observe the other Party's(ies') tests and/or inspection of any of its System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment, including Power System Stabilizers; (ii) review the settings of the other Party's(ies') System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment; and (iii) review the other Party's(ies') maintenance records relative to the Interconnection Facilities, the System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment. Each Party may exercise these rights from time to time as it deems necessary upon reasonable notice to the other Parties. The exercise or

non-exercise by a Party of any such rights shall not be construed as an endorsement or confirmation of any element or condition of the Interconnection Facilities or the System Protection Facilities or other protective equipment or the operation thereof, or as a warranty as to the fitness, safety, desirability, or reliability of same. Any information that a Party obtains through the exercise of any of its rights under this Article 6.4 shall be governed by Article 22.

ARTICLE 7. METERING

- 7.1 General.** Each Party shall comply with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding metering. Interconnection Customer shall bear all reasonable documented costs associated with the purchase, installation, operation, testing and maintenance of the Metering Equipment. Unless the System Operator otherwise agrees, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for installing and maintaining compatible metering and communications equipment to accurately account for the capacity and energy being transmitted under this Tariff and to communicate the information to the System Operator. Unless otherwise agreed, such equipment shall remain the property of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.
- 7.2 Check Meters.** Interconnection Customer, at its option and expense, may install and operate, on its premises and on its side of the Point of Interconnection, one or more check meters to check Interconnecting Transmission Owner's meters. Such check meters shall be for check purposes only and shall not be used for the measurement of power flows for purposes of this LGIA, except as provided in Article 7.4 below. The check meters shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection and examination by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its designee. The installation, operation and maintenance thereof shall be performed entirely by Interconnection Customer in accordance with Good Utility Practice.
- 7.3 Standards.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall install, calibrate, and test revenue quality Metering Equipment in accordance with applicable ANSI standards and the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 7.4 Testing of Metering Equipment.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall inspect and test all Interconnecting Transmission Owner-owned Metering Equipment upon installation and thereafter

as specified in the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall give reasonable notice of the time when any inspection or test shall take place, and Interconnection Customer may have representatives present at the test or inspection. If at any time Metering Equipment is found to be inaccurate or defective, it shall be adjusted, repaired or replaced at Interconnection Customer's expense, in order to provide accurate metering. If Metering Equipment fails to register, or if the measurement made by Metering Equipment during a test varies by more than the values specified within ISO New England Operating Documents, or successor documents, from the measurement made by the standard meter used in the test, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall adjust the measurements, in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

- 7.5 Metering Data.** At Interconnection Customer's expense, metered data shall be telemetered to one or more locations designated by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The hourly integrated metering, established in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, used to transmit Megawatt hour ("MWh") per hour data by electronic means and the Watt-hour meters equipped with kilowatt-hour ("kwh") or MWh registers to be read at month's end shall be the official measurement of the amount of energy delivered from the Large Generating Facility to the Point of Interconnection. Instantaneous metering is required for all Generators in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 8. COMMUNICATIONS

- 8.1 Interconnection Customer Obligations.** Interconnection Customer shall maintain satisfactory operating communications with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 8.2 Remote Terminal Unit.** Prior to the Initial Synchronization Date of the Large Generating Facility, a Remote Terminal Unit, or equivalent data collection and transfer equipment acceptable to the Parties, shall be installed by Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner at Interconnection Customer's expense, to gather accumulated and instantaneous data to

be telemetered to the location(s) designated by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner through use of a dedicated point-to-point data circuit(s). The communication protocol for the data circuit(s) shall be specified by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. All information required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, or successor documents, must be telemetered directly to the location(s) specified by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Each Party will promptly advise the other Party(ies) if it detects or otherwise learns of any metering, telemetry or communications equipment errors or malfunctions that require the attention and/or correction by the other Party(ies). The Party owning such equipment shall correct such error or malfunction as soon as reasonably feasible.

- 8.3 No Annexation.** Any and all equipment placed on the premises of a Party shall be and remain the property of the Party providing such equipment regardless of the mode and manner of annexation or attachment to real property, unless otherwise mutually agreed by the Parties.

ARTICLE 9. OPERATIONS

- 9.1 General.** Each Party shall comply with applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding operations. Each Party shall provide to the other Party(ies) all information that may reasonably be required by the other Party(ies) to comply with Applicable Laws and Regulations and Applicable Reliability Standards.
- 9.2 Control Area Notification.** Before Initial Synchronization Date, the Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents. If the Interconnection Customer elects to have the Large Generating Facility dispatched and operated from a remote Control Area other than the Control Area in which the Large Generating Facility is physically located, and if permitted to do so by the relevant transmission tariffs and ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents, all necessary arrangements, including but not limited to those set forth in Article 7 and Article 8 of this LGIA, and remote Control Area generator interchange agreements, if applicable, and the appropriate measures under such agreements, shall be executed and

implemented prior to the placement of the Large Generating Facility in the other Control Area for dispatch and operations.

9.3 Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator Obligations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall cause the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to be operated, maintained and controlled in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA and ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator may provide operating instructions to Interconnection Customer consistent with this LGIA, ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's operating protocols and procedures as they may change from time to time. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator will consider changes to their operating protocols and procedures proposed by Interconnection Customer.

9.4 Interconnection Customer Obligations. Interconnection Customer shall at its own expense operate, maintain and control the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA and ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.5 Start-Up and Synchronization. The Interconnection Customer is responsible for the proper start-up and synchronization of the Large Generating Facility to the New England Transmission System in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6 Reactive Power and Primary Frequency Response.

9.6.1 Power Factor Design Criteria.

9.6.1.1 Synchronous Generation. Interconnection Customer shall design the Large Generating Facility and all generating units comprising the Large Generating Facility, as applicable, to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous

rated power output at the Point of Interconnection with dynamic reactive capability over the power factor range of 0.95 leading to 0.95 lagging, unless the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner has established different requirements that apply to all synchronous (and non-wind non-synchronous generators as specified in Appendix G, Section A.ii.4 to the LGIA) generators in the Control Area on a comparable basis and in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6.1.2 Non-Synchronous Generation. The power factor design criteria requirements applicable to non-synchronous- Generating Facilities shall be as specified in in Appendix G to the LGIA. The Low Voltage Ride-Through Capability requirements applicable to wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities shall be as specified in Appendix G to the LGIA.

9.6.2 Voltage Schedules. Once the Interconnection Customer has synchronized the Large Generating Facility to the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Large Generating Facility at the direction of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding voltage schedules in accordance with such requirements.

9.6.2.1 Voltage Regulators. The Interconnection Customer must keep and maintain a voltage regulator on all generating units comprising a Large Generating Facility in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. All Interconnection Customers that have, or are required to have, automatic voltage regulation shall normally operate the Large Generating Facility with its voltage regulators in automatic operation.

It is the responsibility of the Interconnection Customer to maintain the voltage regulator in good operating condition and promptly report to the System Operator

and Interconnecting Transmission Owner any problems that could cause interference with its proper operation.

9.6.2.2 System Protection. The Interconnection Customer shall install and maintain protection systems in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6.3 Payment for Reactive Power.

Interconnection Customers shall be compensated for Reactive Power service in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Section II of the Tariff.

9.6.4 Primary Frequency Response.

Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection System_Impact Study or Cluster Study that commenced on or after May 15, 2018 shall ensure the primary frequency response capability of its Large Generating Facility by installing, maintaining, and operating a functioning governor or equivalent controls. The term “functioning governor or equivalent controls” as used herein shall mean the required hardware and/or software that provides frequency responsive real power control with the ability to sense changes in system frequency and autonomously adjust the Large Generating Facility’s real power output in accordance with the droop and deadband parameters and in the direction needed to correct frequency deviations. Interconnection Customer is required to install a governor or equivalent controls with the capability of operating: (1) with a maximum 5 percent droop and ± 0.036 Hz deadband; or (2) in accordance with the relevant droop, deadband, and timely and sustained response settings from an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for equivalent or more stringent parameters. The droop characteristic shall be: (1) based on the nameplate capacity of the Large Generating Facility, and shall be linear in the range of frequencies between 59 to 61 Hz that are outside of the deadband parameter; or (2) based on an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for an equivalent or more stringent parameter. The deadband parameter shall be: the range of frequencies above and below nominal (60 Hz) in which the governor or equivalent controls is not expected to adjust the Large Generating Facility’s real power output in response to frequency deviations. The deadband shall be

implemented: (1) without a step to the droop curve, that is, once the frequency deviation exceeds the deadband parameter, the expected change in the Large Generating Facility's real power output in response to frequency deviations shall start from zero and then increase (for under-frequency deviations) or decrease (for over-frequency deviations) linearly in proportion to the magnitude of the frequency deviation; or (2) in accordance with an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for an equivalent or more stringent parameter. Interconnection Customer shall notify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the primary frequency response capability of the Large Generating Facility has been tested and confirmed during commissioning. Once Interconnection Customer has synchronized the Large Generating Facility with the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Large Generating Facility consistent with the provisions specified in Articles 9.6.4.1 and 9.6.4.2 of this Agreement. The primary frequency response requirements contained herein shall apply to both synchronous and non-synchronous Large Generating Facilities.

9.6.4.1 Governor or Equivalent Controls. Whenever the Large Generating Facility is operated in parallel with the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Large Generating Facility with its governor or equivalent controls in service and responsive to frequency. Interconnection Customer shall: (1) in coordination with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, set the deadband parameter to: (1) a maximum of ± 0.036 Hz and set the droop parameter to a maximum of 5 percent; or (2) implement the relevant droop and deadband settings from an approved NERC Reliability Standard that provides for equivalent or more stringent parameters. Interconnection Customer shall be required to provide the status and settings of the governor or equivalent controls to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request. If Interconnection Customer needs to operate the Large Generating Facility with its governor or equivalent controls not in service, Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and provide both with the following information: (1) the operating status of the governor or equivalent controls (i.e., whether it is currently out of service or when it will be taken out of service); (2) the reasons for removing the governor or equivalent controls from service; and

(3) a reasonable estimate of when the governor or equivalent controls will be returned to service. Interconnection Customer shall make Reasonable Efforts to return its governor or equivalent controls into service as soon as practicable. Interconnection Customer shall make Reasonable Efforts to keep outages of the Large Generating Facility's governor or equivalent controls to a minimum whenever the Large Generating Facility is operated in parallel with the New England Transmission System.

9.6.4.2 Timely and Sustained Response. Interconnection Customer shall ensure that the Large Generating Facility's real power response to sustained frequency deviations outside of the deadband setting is automatically provided and shall begin immediately after frequency deviates outside of the deadband, and to the extent the Large Generating Facility has operating capability in the direction needed to correct the frequency deviation. Interconnection Customer shall not block or otherwise inhibit the ability of the governor or equivalent controls to respond and shall ensure that the response is not inhibited, except under certain operational constraints including, but not limited to, ambient temperature limitations, physical energy limitations, outages of mechanical equipment, or regulatory requirements. The Large Generating Facility shall sustain the real power response at least until system frequency returns to a value within the deadband setting of the governor or equivalent controls. A Commission-approved Reliability Standard with equivalent or more stringent requirements shall supersede the above requirements.

9.6.4.3 Exemptions. Large Generating Facilities that are regulated by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission shall be exempt from Articles 9.6.4, 9.6.4.1, and 9.6.4.2 of this Agreement. Large Generating Facilities that are behind the meter generation that is sized-to-load (i.e., the thermal load and the generation are near-balanced in real-time operation and the generation is primarily controlled to maintain the unique thermal, chemical, or mechanical output necessary for the operating requirements of its host facility) shall be required to install primary frequency response capability in accordance with the droop and deadband capability requirements specified in Article 9.6.4, but shall be

otherwise exempt from the operating requirements in Articles 9.6.4, 9.6.4.1, 9.6.4.2, and 9.6.4.4 of this Agreement.

9.6.4.4 Electric Storage Resources. Interconnection Customer interconnecting a Large Generating Facility that ~~is an~~contains an electric storage resource shall establish an operating range in Appendix C of its LGIA that specifies a minimum state of charge and a maximum state of charge between which the electric storage resource will be required to provide primary frequency response consistent with the conditions set forth in Articles 9.6.4, 9.6.4.1, 9.6.4.2, and 9.6.4.3 of this Agreement. Appendix C shall specify whether the operating range is static or dynamic, and shall consider (1) the expected magnitude of frequency deviations in the interconnection; (2) the expected duration that system frequency will remain outside of the deadband parameter in the interconnection; (3) the expected incidence of frequency deviations outside of the deadband parameter in the interconnection; (4) the physical capabilities of the electric storage resource; (5) operational limitations of the electric storage resource due to manufacturer specifications; and (6) any other relevant factors agreed to by System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer. If the operating range is dynamic, then Appendix C must establish how frequently the operating range will be reevaluated and the factors that may be considered during its reevaluation.

Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is required to provide timely and sustained primary frequency response consistent with Article 9.6.4.2 of this Agreement when it is online and dispatched to inject electricity to the New England Transmission System and/or receive electricity from the New England Transmission System. This excludes circumstances when the electric storage resource is not dispatched to inject electricity to the New England Transmission System and/or dispatched to receive electricity from the New England Transmission System. If Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is charging at the time of a frequency deviation outside of its deadband parameter, it is to increase (for over-frequency deviations) or decrease (for under-frequency deviations) the rate at which it is charging in accordance with its droop parameter. Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is not required to change

from charging to discharging, or vice versa, unless the response necessitated by the droop and deadband settings requires it to do so and it is technically capable of making such a transition.

9.7 Outages and Interruptions.

9.7.1 Outages.

9.7.1.1 Outage Authority and Coordination. The System Operator shall have the authority to coordinate facility outages in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Each Party may in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, in coordination with the other Party(ies), remove from service any of its respective Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades that may impact the other Party's(ies') facilities as necessary to perform maintenance or testing or to install or replace equipment, subject to the oversight of System Operator in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.1.2 Outage Schedules. Outage scheduling, and any related compensation, shall be in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.2 Interruption of Service. In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may require Interconnection Customer to interrupt or reduce deliveries of electricity if such delivery of electricity could adversely affect System Operator's or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's ability to perform such activities as are necessary to safely and reliably operate and maintain the New England Transmission System.

9.7.3 Ride Through Capability and Performance Under Frequency and Over Frequency Conditions. Interconnection Customer shall implement under-frequency and over-frequency relay set points for the Large Generating Facility as required by the applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Large Generating Facility response to frequency deviations of pre-determined magnitudes, both under-frequency and over-frequency deviations, shall be studied and coordinated with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnection Customer shall also implement under-voltage and over-voltage relay set points, or equivalent electronic controls, as required by NERC to ensure voltage “ride through” capability of the Transmission System. The term “ride through” as used herein shall mean the ability of a Large Generating Facility to stay connected to and synchronized with the New England Transmission System during system disturbances within a range of under-frequency, over-frequency, under-voltage, and over-voltage conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other Generating Facilities in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. For abnormal frequency conditions and voltage conditions within the “no trip zone” defined by Reliability Standard PRC-024-3 or successor mandatory ride through reliability standards, the non-synchronous Large Generating Facility must ensure that, within any physical limitations of the Large Generating Facility, its control and protection settings are configured or set to (1) continue active power production during disturbance and post disturbance periods at pre-disturbance levels, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (2) minimize reductions in active power and remain within dynamic voltage and current limits, if reactive power priority mode is enabled, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (3) not artificially limit dynamic reactive power capability during disturbances; and (4) return to pre-disturbance active power levels without artificial ramp rate limits if active power is reduced, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response.

9.7.4 System Protection and Other Control Requirements.

9.7.4.1 System Protection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, install, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities as a part of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall install at Interconnection Customer's expense, in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, any System Protection Facilities that may be required on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System as a result of the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

9.7.4.2 Each Party's protection facilities shall be designed and coordinated with other systems in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.4.3 Each Party shall be responsible for protection of its facilities consistent with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.4.4 Each Party's protective relay design shall allow for tests required in Article 6.

9.7.4.5 Each Party will test, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.5 Requirements for Protection. In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and compliance with Good Utility Practice , Interconnection Customer shall provide, install, own, and maintain relays, circuit breakers and all other devices necessary to remove any fault contribution of the Large Generating Facility to any short circuit occurring on the New England Transmission System not otherwise isolated by Interconnecting Transmission

Owner's equipment, such that the removal of the fault contribution shall be coordinated with the protective requirements of the New England Transmission System. Such protective equipment shall include, without limitation, a disconnecting device or switch with load-interrupting capability located between the Large Generating Facility and the New England Transmission System at a site selected upon mutual agreement (not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed) of the Parties. Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for protection of the Large Generating Facility and Interconnection Customer's other equipment from such conditions as negative sequence currents, over- or under-frequency, sudden load rejection, over- or under-voltage, and generator loss-of-field. Interconnection Customer shall be solely responsible to disconnect the Large Generating Facility and Interconnection Customer's other equipment if conditions on the New England Transmission System could adversely affect the Large Generating Facility.

9.7.6 Power Quality. A Party's facilities shall not cause excessive voltage flicker nor introduce excessive distortion to the sinusoidal voltage or current waves as defined by ANSI Standard C84.1-1989, in accordance with IEEE Standard 519, or any applicable superseding electric industry standard.

9.8 Switching and Tagging Rules. Each Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with a copy of its switching and tagging rules that are applicable to the other Party's activities. Such switching and tagging rules shall be developed on a non-discriminatory basis. The Parties shall comply with applicable switching and tagging rules, as amended from time to time, in obtaining clearances for work or for switching operations on equipment.

9.9 Use of Interconnection Facilities by Third Parties.

9.9.1 Purpose of Interconnection Facilities. Except as may be required by Applicable Laws and Regulations, or as otherwise agreed to among the Parties, the Interconnection Facilities shall be constructed for the sole purpose of interconnecting the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and shall be used for no other purpose.

9.9.2 Third Party Users. If required by Applicable Laws and Regulations or if the Parties mutually agree, such agreement not to be unreasonably withheld, to allow one or more third parties to use the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, or any part thereof, Interconnection Customer will be entitled to compensation for the capital expenses it incurred in connection with the Interconnection Facilities based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, all third party users, and Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually agreed-upon methodology. In addition, cost responsibility for ongoing costs, including operation and maintenance costs associated with the Interconnection Facilities, will be allocated between Interconnection Customer and any third party users based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, all third party users, and Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually agreed-upon methodology. If the issue of such compensation or allocation cannot be resolved through such negotiations, it shall be submitted to the Commission for resolution.

9.10 Disturbance Analysis Data Exchange. The Parties will cooperate with one another in the analysis of disturbances to either the Large Generating Facility or the New England Transmission System by gathering and providing access to any information relating to any disturbance, including information from oscillography, protective relay targets, breaker operations and sequence of events records, and any disturbance information required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 10. MAINTENANCE

10.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Customer Obligations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall each maintain that portion of its respective facilities that are part of the New England Transmission System and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

10.2 Operating and Maintenance Expenses. Subject to the provisions herein addressing the use of facilities by others, and except for operations and maintenance expenses associated with modifications made for providing interconnection or transmission service to a third party and such third party pays for such expenses, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable expenses including overheads, associated with: (1) owning, operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities; and (2) operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Stand Alone Network Upgrades, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades.

ARTICLE 11. PERFORMANCE OBLIGATION

11.1 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades) at its sole expense.

11.2 Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades) at the sole expense of the Interconnection Customer.

11.3 Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, and own the Network Upgrades, and to the extent provided by Article 5.1, Stand Alone Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades). The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs related to Distribution Upgrades. Unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the capital for the Network Upgrades, they shall be solely funded by the Interconnection Customer.

11.4 Cost Allocation; Compensation; Rights; Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems.

11.4.1 Cost Allocation. Cost allocation of Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.

11.4.2 Compensation. Any compensation due to the Interconnection Customer for increases in transfer capability to the PTF resulting from its Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade shall be determined in accordance with Sections II and III of the Tariff.

11.4.3 Rights. Notwithstanding any other provision of this LGIA, nothing herein shall be construed as relinquishing or foreclosing any rights, including but not limited to firm transmission rights, capacity rights, transmission congestion rights, or transmission credits, that the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to, now or in the future, under any other agreement or tariff as a result of, or otherwise associated with, the transmission capacity, if any, created by the Network Upgrades.

11.4.4 Special Provisions for Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems. The Interconnection Customer shall enter into separate related facilities agreements to address any upgrades to the Affected System(s) or Internal Affected System(s) that are necessary for safe and reliable interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.

11.5 Provision of Security. At least thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the commencement of the procurement, installation, or construction of a discrete portion of an Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades, Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Section 7 of Schedule 11 of the Tariff. In addition:

11.5.1 The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount.

11.5.2 The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

11.5.3 The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

11.6 Interconnection Customer Compensation. If System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner requests or directs Interconnection Customer to provide a service pursuant to Articles 9.6.3 (Payment for Reactive Power), or 13.4.1 of this LGIA, Interconnection Customer shall be compensated pursuant to the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

11.6.1 Interconnection Customer Compensation for Actions During Emergency Condition. Interconnection Customer shall be compensated for its provision of real and reactive power and other Emergency Condition services that Interconnection Customer provides to support the New England Transmission System during an Emergency Condition in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 12. INVOICE

12.1 General. Each Party shall submit to the other Party(ies), on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party(ies) under this LGIA, including interest payments or credits, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

12.2 Final Invoice. Within six months after completion of the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades and

shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund to Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice. Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner any amount by which the actual payment by Interconnection Customer for estimated costs falls short of the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

12.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified in Appendix F. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by any Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims the other Party(ies) may have under this LGIA.

12.4 Disputes. In the event of a billing dispute between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to provide Interconnection Service under this LGIA as long as Interconnection Customer: (i) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (ii) pays to Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements for continuation of service, then Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 17. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to the other Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accord with the methodology set forth in the Commission's Regulations at 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

ARTICLE 13. EMERGENCIES

13.1 Obligations. Each Party shall comply with the Emergency Condition procedures of the System Operator in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

13.2 Notice. Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator as applicable shall notify Interconnection Customer and System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner as applicable, promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System that may reasonably be expected to affect Interconnection Customer's operation of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities that may reasonably be expected to affect the New England Transmission System or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. To the extent information is known, the notification shall describe the Emergency Condition, the extent of the damage or deficiency, the expected effect on the operation of Interconnection Customer's or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and operations, its anticipated duration and the corrective action taken and/or to be taken. The initial notice shall be followed as soon as practicable with written notice.

13.3 Immediate Action. Unless, in Interconnection Customer's reasonable judgment, immediate action is required, Interconnection Customer shall obtain the consent of Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, such consent to not be unreasonably withheld, prior to performing any manual switching operations at the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in response to an Emergency Condition either declared by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the System Operator or otherwise regarding the New England Transmission System.

13.4 System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Authority.

13.4.1 General. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may take whatever actions or inactions with regard to the New England Transmission System or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities it deems necessary

during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the New England Transmission System or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, on the basis of technical considerations, require the Large Generating Facility to mitigate an Emergency Condition by taking actions necessary and limited in scope to remedy the Emergency Condition, including, but not limited to, directing Interconnection Customer to shut-down, start-up, increase or decrease the real or reactive power output of the Large Generating Facility; implementing a reduction or disconnection pursuant to Article 13.4.2; directing the Interconnection Customer to assist with black start (if available) or restoration efforts; or altering the outage schedules of the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall comply with all of System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's operating instructions concerning Large Generating Facility real power and reactive power output within the manufacturer's design limitations of the Large Generating Facility's equipment that is in service and physically available for operation at the time, in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations.

13.4.2 Reduction and Disconnection. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may reduce Interconnection Service or disconnect the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities when such reduction or disconnection is necessary in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. These rights are separate and distinct from any right of curtailment of the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to the Tariff. When the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner can schedule the reduction or disconnection in advance, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Interconnection Customer of the reasons, timing and expected duration of the reduction

or disconnection. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents to schedule the reduction or disconnection during periods of least impact to the Interconnection Customer and the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Any reduction or disconnection shall continue only for so long as reasonably necessary in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The Parties shall cooperate with each other to restore the Large Generating Facility, the Interconnection Facilities, and the New England Transmission System to their normal operating state as soon as practicable in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

- 13.5 Interconnection Customer Authority.** In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents and the LGIA and the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer may take whatever actions or inactions with regard to the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service. Interconnection Customer shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the New England Transmission System and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to assist Interconnection Customer in such actions.
- 13.6 Limited Liability.** Except as otherwise provided in Article 11.6.1 of this LGIA, a Party shall not be liable to another Party for any action it takes in responding to an Emergency Condition so long as such action is made in good faith and in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 14. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND GOVERNING LAW

14.1 Regulatory Requirements. Each Party's obligations under this LGIA shall be subject to its receipt of any required approval or certificate from one or more Governmental Authorities in the form and substance satisfactory to the applying Party, or the Party making any required filings with, or providing notice to, such Governmental Authorities, and the expiration of any time period associated therewith. Each Party shall in good faith seek and use its Reasonable Efforts to obtain such other approvals. Nothing in this LGIA shall require Interconnection Customer to take any action that could result in its inability to obtain, or its loss of, status or exemption under the Federal Power Act or the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended. To the extent that a condition arises that could result in Interconnection Customer's inability to obtain, or its loss of, status or exemption under the Federal Power Act, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, or the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, the Parties shall engage in good faith negotiations to address the condition so that such result will not occur and so that this LGIA can be performed.

14.2 Governing Law.

14.2.1 The validity, interpretation and performance of this LGIA and each of its provisions shall be governed by the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to its conflicts of law principles.

14.2.2 This LGIA is subject to all Applicable Laws and Regulations.

14.2.3 Each Party expressly reserves the right to seek changes in, appeal, or otherwise contest any laws, orders, rules, or regulations of a Governmental Authority.

ARTICLE 15. NOTICES

15.1 General. Unless otherwise provided in this LGIA, any notice, demand or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another Party and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party shall be effective when delivered and may be so given, tendered or delivered, by recognized national courier, or by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for delivery by certified or registered

mail, addressed to the Party, or personally delivered to the Party, at the address set out in Appendix F (Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings).

A Party may change the notice information in this LGIA by giving five (5) Business Days written notice prior to the effective date of the change.

15.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses set out in Appendix F.

15.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another Party and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out in Appendix F.

15.4 Operations and Maintenance Notice. Each Party shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the identity of the person(s) that it designates as the point(s) of contact with respect to the implementation of Articles 9 and 10.

ARTICLE 16. FORCE MAJEURE

16.1 Force Majeure.

16.1.1 Economic hardship is not considered a Force Majeure event.

16.1.2 A Party shall not be considered to be in Default with respect to any obligation hereunder (including obligations under Article 4), other than the obligation to pay money when due, if prevented from fulfilling such obligation by Force Majeure. A Party unable to fulfill any obligation hereunder (other than an obligation to pay money when due) by reason of Force Majeure shall give notice and the full particulars of such Force Majeure to the other Party(ies) in writing or by telephone as soon as reasonably possible after the occurrence of the cause relied upon. Telephone notices given pursuant to this Article shall be confirmed in writing as soon as reasonably possible and shall specifically state full particulars of the Force Majeure, the time and date when the Force Majeure occurred and when the Force Majeure is reasonably expected to cease. The Party affected shall

exercise due diligence to remove such disability with reasonable dispatch, but shall not be required to accede or agree to any provision not satisfactory to it in order to settle and terminate a strike or other labor disturbance.

ARTICLE 17. DEFAULT

17.1 Default.

17.1.1 General. No Breach shall exist where such failure to discharge an obligation (other than the payment of money) is the result of Force Majeure as defined in this LGIA or the result of an act or omission of the other Party(ies). Upon a Breach, the non-Breaching Party shall give written notice of such Breach to the breaching Party. Except as provided in Article 17.1.2, the Breaching Party shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the Breach notice within which to cure such Breach; provided however, if such Breach is not capable of cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days, the Breaching Party shall commence such cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days after notice and continuously and diligently complete such cure within ninety (90) Calendar Days from receipt of the Breach notice; and, if cured within such time, the Breach specified in such notice shall cease to exist.

17.1.2 Right to Terminate. If a Breach is not cured as provided in this Article, or if a Breach is not capable of being cured within the period provided for herein, the non-Breaching Party(ies) shall have the right to terminate this LGIA by written notice at any time until cure occurs, and be relieved of any further obligation hereunder and, whether or not those Parties terminate this LGIA, to recover from the Breaching Party all amounts due hereunder, plus all other damages and remedies to which they are entitled at law or in equity. The provisions of this Article will survive termination of this LGIA.

ARTICLE 18. INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES AND INSURANCE

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement (“TOA”) or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Tariff apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnection Customer and between the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer.

18.1 Indemnity. Each Party shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save the other Party(ies) harmless from any and all damages, losses, claims, including claims and actions relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from the other Party’s(ies’) action or inactions of their obligations under this LGIA on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by an indemnified Party.

18.1.1 Indemnified Person. If an Indemnified Person is entitled to indemnification under this Article 18 as a result of a claim by a third party, and the Indemnifying Party fails, after notice and reasonable opportunity to proceed under Article 18.1, to assume the defense of such claim, such Indemnified Person may at the expense of the Indemnifying Party contest, settle or consent to the entry of any judgment with respect to, or pay in full, such claim.

18.1.2 Indemnifying Party. If an Indemnifying Party is obligated to indemnify and hold any Indemnified Person harmless under this Article 18, the amount owing to the Indemnified Person shall be the amount of such Indemnified Person’s actual Loss, net of any insurance or other recovery.

18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures. Promptly after receipt by an Indemnified Person of any claim or notice of the commencement of any action or administrative or legal proceeding or investigation as to which the indemnity provided for in Article 18.1 may apply, the Indemnified Person shall notify the Indemnifying Party of such fact. Any failure of or

delay in such notification shall not affect a Party's indemnification obligation unless such failure or delay is materially prejudicial to the Indemnifying Party.

The Indemnifying Party shall have the right to assume the defense thereof with counsel designated by such Indemnifying Party and reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Person. If the defendants in any such action include one or more Indemnified Persons and the Indemnifying Party and if the Indemnified Person reasonably concludes that there may be legal defenses available to it and/or other Indemnified Persons which are different from or additional to those available to the Indemnifying Party, the Indemnified Person shall have the right to select separate counsel to assert such legal defenses and to otherwise participate in the defense of such action on its own behalf. In such instances, the Indemnifying Party shall only be required to pay the fees and expenses of one additional attorney to represent an Indemnified Person or Indemnified Persons having such differing or additional legal defenses.

The Indemnified Person shall be entitled, at its expense, to participate in any such action, suit or proceeding, the defense of which has been assumed by the Indemnifying Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Indemnifying Party (i) shall not be entitled to assume and control the defense of any such action, suit or proceedings if and to the extent that, in the opinion of the Indemnified Person and its counsel, such action, suit or proceeding involves the potential imposition of criminal liability on the Indemnified Person, or there exists a conflict or adversity of interest between the Indemnified Person and the Indemnifying Party, in which event the Indemnifying Party shall pay the reasonable expenses of the Indemnified Person, and (ii) shall not settle or consent to the entry of any judgment in any action, suit or proceeding without the consent of the Indemnified Person, which shall not be reasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

18.2 Consequential Damages. Other than the Liquidated Damages heretofore described, in no event shall a Party be liable under any provision of this LGIA for any losses, damages, costs or expenses for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages, including but not limited to loss of profit or revenue, loss of the use of equipment, cost of capital, cost of temporary equipment or services, whether based in whole or in part in contract, in tort, including negligence, strict liability, or any other theory of liability; provided, however, that damages for

which a Party may be liable to the other Party under another agreement will not be considered to be special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages hereunder.

18.3 Insurance. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer shall, at their own expense, maintain in force throughout the period of this LGIA, and until released by the other Party(ies), the following minimum insurance coverages, with insurers authorized to do business in the state where the Point of Interconnection is located:

18.3.1 Employers' Liability and Workers' Compensation Insurance providing statutory benefits in accordance with the laws and regulations of the state in which the Point of Interconnection is located.

18.3.2 Commercial General Liability Insurance including premises and operations, personal injury, broad form property damage, broad form blanket contractual liability coverage (including coverage for the contractual indemnification) products and completed operations coverage, coverage for explosion, collapse and underground hazards, independent contractors coverage, coverage for pollution to the extent normally available and punitive damages to the extent normally available and a cross liability endorsement, with minimum limits of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence/One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) aggregate combined single limit for personal injury, bodily injury, including death, and property damage.

18.3.3 Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance for coverage of owned and non-owned and hired vehicles, trailers or semi-trailers designed for travel on public roads, with a minimum, combined single limit of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury, including death, and property damage.

18.3.4 Excess Public Liability Insurance over and above the Employers' Liability Commercial General Liability and Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance coverage, with a minimum combined single limit of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) per occurrence/Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) aggregate.

- 18.3.5** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall name the other Party(ies), its parent, associated and Affiliate companies and their respective directors, officers, agents, servants and employees (“Other Party Group”) as additional insured. All policies shall contain provisions whereby the insurers waive all rights of subrogation in accordance with the provisions of this LGIA against the Other Party Group and provide thirty (30) Calendar Days advance written notice to the Other Party Group prior to anniversary date of cancellation or any material change in coverage or condition.
- 18.3.6** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall contain provisions that specify that the policies are primary and shall apply to such extent without consideration for other policies separately carried and shall state that each insured is provided coverage as though a separate policy had been issued to each, except the insurer’s liability shall not be increased beyond the amount for which the insurer would have been liable had only one insured been covered. Each Party shall be responsible for its respective deductibles or retentions.
- 18.3.7** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies, if written on a Claims First Made Basis, shall be maintained in full force and effect for two (2) years after termination of this LGIA, which coverage may be in the form of tail coverage or extended reporting period coverage if agreed by the Parties.
- 18.3.8** The requirements contained herein as to the types and limits of all insurance to be maintained by the Parties are not intended to and shall not in any manner, limit or qualify the liabilities and obligations assumed by the Parties under this LGIA.
- 18.3.9** Within ten (10) days following execution of this LGIA, and as soon as practicable after the end of each fiscal year or at the renewal of the insurance policy and in any event within ninety (90) days thereafter, each Party shall provide certification of all insurance required in this LGIA, executed by each insurer or by an authorized representative of each insurer.

18.3.10 Notwithstanding the foregoing, each Party may self-insure to meet the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8 to the extent it maintains a self-insurance program, provided that such Party's senior secured debt is rated at investment grade, or better, by Standard & Poor's and that its self-insurance program meets the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8. For any period of time that a Party's senior secured debt is unrated by Standard & Poor's or is rated at less than investment grade by Standard & Poor's, such Party shall comply with the insurance requirements applicable to it under Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.9. In the event that a Party is permitted to self-insure pursuant to this Article, it shall notify the other Party(ies) that it meets the requirements to self-insure and that its self-insurance program meets the minimum insurance requirements in a manner consistent with that specified in Article 18.3.9.

18.3.11 The Parties agree to report to each other in writing as soon as practical all accidents or occurrences resulting in injuries to any person, including death, and any property damage arising out of this LGIA.

ARTICLE 19. ASSIGNMENT

19.1 Assignment. This LGIA may be assigned by any Party only with the written consent of the other Parties; provided that the Parties may assign this LGIA without the consent of the other Parties to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this LGIA; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this LGIA, without the consent of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Facility, provided that the Interconnection Customer will promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s),

including providing the Interconnecting Transmission Owner with proof that it meets the requirements of Articles 11.5 and 18.3. Any attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this LGIA shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

ARTICLE 20. SEVERABILITY

- 20.1 Severability.** If any provision in this LGIA is finally determined to be invalid, void or unenforceable by any court or other Governmental Authority having jurisdiction, such determination shall not invalidate, void or make unenforceable any other provision, agreement or covenant of this LGIA; provided that if the Interconnection Customer (or any third party, but only if such third party is not acting at the direction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner) seeks and obtains such a final determination with respect to any provision of the Alternate Option (Article 5.1.2), or the Negotiated Option (Article 5.1.4), then none of these provisions shall thereafter have any force or effect and the Parties' rights and obligations shall be governed solely by the Standard Option (Article 5.1.1).

ARTICLE 21. COMPARABILITY

- 21.1 Comparability.** The Parties will comply with all applicable comparability and code of conduct laws, rules and regulations, as amended from time to time.

ARTICLE 22. CONFIDENTIALITY

- 22.1 Confidentiality.** Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information governed by the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by a Party to another prior to the execution of this LGIA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by a Party, the other Party(ies) shall provide, in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

22.1.1 Term. During the term of this LGIA, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this LGIA, except as otherwise provided in this Article 22, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information.

22.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this LGIA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 22.1.7 of the LGIA, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this LGIA. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Party(ies) that it no longer is confidential.

22.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. A Party shall not release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of

Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, consultants, or to parties who may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this LGIA, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 22 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 22.

22.1.4 Rights. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Party(ies). The disclosure by each Party to the other Party(ies) of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by a Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

22.1.5 No Warranties. By providing Confidential Information, a Party does not make any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, a Party does not obligate itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Party(ies) nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

22.1.6 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party(ies) under this LGIA or its regulatory requirements.

22.1.7 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Governmental Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires a Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Party(ies) may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms

of this LGIA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

22.1.8 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this LGIA for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Calendar Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party(ies), use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the other Party(ies)) or return to the other Party(ies), without retaining copies thereof, any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the other Party(ies).

22.1.9 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's(ies') Breach of its obligations under this Article 22. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Party(ies) shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 22, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Parties shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 22, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 22.

22.1.10 Disclosure to the Commission, its Staff, or a State. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 22 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR. section 1b.20, if the Commission or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this LGIA, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information

to the Commission or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) to this LGIA prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to the LGIA when it is notified by the Commission or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

22.1.11 Subject to the exception in Article 22.1.10, any information that a Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial or financial information under this LGIA (“Confidential Information”) shall not be disclosed by the other Party(ies) to any person not employed or retained by the other Party(ies), except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party(ies), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this LGIA or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or ISO or to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of the other Parties’ Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party(ies) in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party(ies) in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

ARTICLE 23. ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES

- 23.1** Each Party shall notify the other Party(ies), first orally and then in writing, of the release of any Hazardous Substances, any asbestos or lead abatement activities, or any type of remediation activities related to the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Facilities, each of which may reasonably be expected to affect the other Party(ies). The notifying Party shall: (i) provide the notice as soon as practicable, provided such Party makes a good faith effort to provide the notice no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such Party becomes aware of the occurrence; and (ii) promptly furnish to the other Party(ies) copies of any publicly available reports filed with any Governmental Authorities addressing such events.

ARTICLE 24. INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

- 24.1 Information Acquisition.** Subject to any applicable confidentiality restrictions, including, but not limited to, codes of conduct, each Party shall submit specific information regarding the electrical characteristics of their respective facilities to each other as described below and in accordance with Applicable Reliability Standards.
- 24.2 Information Submission by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.** The initial information submission by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date and shall include information necessary to allow the Interconnection Customer to select equipment and meet any system protection and stability requirements, unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the Parties. On a monthly basis Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Interconnection Customer a status report on the construction and installation of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, including, but not limited to, the following information: (1) progress to date; (2) a description of the activities since the last report; (3) a description of the action items for the next period; and (4) the delivery status of equipment ordered.
- 24.3 Updated Information Submission by Interconnection Customer.** The updated information submission by the Interconnection Customer, including manufacturer information, shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date. Interconnection Customer shall submit a completed copy of the Large Generating Facility data

requirements contained in Appendix 1 to the LGIP. It shall also include any additional information provided to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator for the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study, Interconnection System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study, Transitional Cluster Study, and Interconnection Facilities Study. Information in this submission shall be the most current Large Generating Facility design or expected performance data. Information submitted for stability models shall be compatible with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator standard models. If there is no compatible model, the Interconnection Customer will work with a consultant mutually agreed to by the Parties to develop and supply a standard model and associated information.

If the Interconnection Customer's data is different from what was originally provided to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to the Interconnection Study Agreement between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer, then the System Operator will review it and conduct appropriate studies, as needed, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, to determine the impact on the New England Transmission System based on the actual data submitted pursuant to this Article 24.3. The Interconnection Customer shall not begin Trial Operation until such studies are completed.

24.4 Information Supplementation. Prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Parties shall supplement their information submissions described above in this Article 24 with any and all "as-built" Large Generating Facility information and "as-tested" performance information that differs from the initial submissions or, alternatively, written confirmation that no such differences exist. The Interconnection Customer shall conduct tests on the Large Generating Facility as required by Good Utility Practice such as an open circuit "step voltage" test on the Large Generating Facility to verify proper operation of the Large Generating Facility's automatic voltage regulator.

Unless otherwise agreed, the test conditions shall include: (1) Large Generating Facility at synchronous speed; (2) automatic voltage regulator on and in voltage control mode; and (3) a five percent change in Large Generating Facility terminal voltage initiated by a change in the voltage regulators reference voltage. Interconnection Customer shall provide validated test recordings showing the responses of Large Generating Facility terminal and field voltages. In the event that direct recordings of these voltages is impractical, recordings of other voltages or currents that mirror the response of the Large Generating Facility's terminal or field voltage are acceptable if

information necessary to translate these alternate quantities to actual Large Generating Facility terminal or field voltages is provided. Large Generating Facility testing shall be conducted and results provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for each individual generating unit in a station.

The Interconnection Customer shall provide the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator with any information changes due to proposed equipment replacement, repair, or adjustment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and System Operator with any information changes due to proposed equipment replacement, repair or adjustment in the directly connected substation or any adjacent Interconnecting Transmission Owner-owned substation that may affect the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities equipment ratings, protection or operating requirements. The Parties shall provide such information in accordance with Article 5.19 of this Agreement.

ARTICLE 25. INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

- 25.1 Information Access.** Each Party (the “disclosing Party”) shall make available to the other Parties information that is in the possession of the disclosing Party and is necessary in order for the other Party(ies) to: (i) verify the costs incurred by the disclosing Party for which the other Party(ies) are responsible under this LGIA; and (ii) carry out its obligations and responsibilities under this LGIA. The Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 25.1 and to enforce their rights under this LGIA.
- 25.2 Reporting of Non-Force Majeure Events.** Each Party (the “notifying Party”) shall notify the other Party(ies) when the notifying Party becomes aware of its inability to comply with the provisions of this LGIA for a reason other than a Force Majeure event. The Parties agree to cooperate with each other and provide necessary information regarding such inability to comply, including the date, duration, reason for the inability to comply, and corrective actions taken or planned to be taken with respect to such inability to comply. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notification, cooperation or information provided under this Article shall not entitle the Party receiving such notification to allege a cause for anticipatory Breach of this LGIA.

25.3 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 22 of this LGIA, each Party shall have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to the other Party(ies), to audit at its own expense the other Party's(ies') accounts and records pertaining to a Party's performance or a Party's satisfaction of obligations under this LGIA. Such audit rights shall include audits of the other Party's(ies') costs, calculation of invoiced amounts, the efforts to allocate responsibility for the provision of reactive support to the New England Transmission System, the efforts to allocate responsibility for interruption or reduction of generation on the New England Transmission System, and each Party's actions in an Emergency Condition. Any audit authorized by this Article shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to each Party's performance and satisfaction of obligations under this LGIA. Each Party shall keep such accounts and records for a period equivalent to the audit rights periods described in Article 25.4.

25.4 Audit Rights Periods.

25.4.1 Audit Rights Period for Construction-Related Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades shall be subject to audit for a period of twenty-four (24) months following Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 12.2.

25.4.2 Audit Rights Period for All Other Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to a Party's performance or satisfaction of all obligations under this LGIA other than those described in Article 25.4.1 shall be subject to audit as follows: (i) for an audit relating to cost obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four (24) months after the auditing Party's receipt of an invoice giving rise to such cost obligations; and (ii) for an audit relating to all other obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four (24) months after the event for which the audit is sought.

25.5 Audit Results. If an audit by a Party determines that an overpayment or an underpayment has occurred, a notice of such overpayment or underpayment shall be given to the other Party(ies) together with those records from the audit which support such determination.

ARTICLE 26. SUBCONTRACTORS

26.1 General. Nothing in this LGIA shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of any subcontractor as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this LGIA; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this LGIA in providing such services and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party(ies) for the performance of such subcontractor.

26.2 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this LGIA. The hiring Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party(ies) for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor the hiring Party hires as if no subcontract had been made; provided, however, that in no event shall the Interconnecting Transmission Owner be liable for the actions or inactions of the Interconnection Customer or its subcontractors with respect to obligations of the Interconnection Customer under Article 5 of this LGIA. Any applicable obligation imposed by this LGIA upon the hiring Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

26.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 26 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 27. DISPUTES

27.1 Submission. In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with this LGIA or its performance, such Party (the "disputing Party") shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim ("Notice of Dispute"). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other

Party's(ies') receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this LGIA.

27.2 External Arbitration Procedures. Any arbitration initiated under this LGIA shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association ("Arbitration Rules") and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Article 27, the terms of this Article 27 shall prevail

27.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons therefore. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of this LGIA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of this Agreement in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

27.4 Costs. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three member panel; or (2) a pro rata share of the cost of a single arbitrator chosen by the Parties.

ARTICLE 28. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS

28.1 General. Each Party makes the following representations, warranties and covenants:

28.1.1 Good Standing. Such Party is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state in which it is organized, formed, or incorporated, as applicable; that it is qualified to do business in the state or states in which the Large Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades owned by such Party, as applicable, are located; and that it has the corporate power and authority to own its properties, to carry on its business as now being conducted and to enter into this LGIA and carry out the transactions contemplated hereby and perform and carry out all covenants and obligations on its part to be performed under and pursuant to this LGIA.

28.1.2 Authority. Such Party has the right, power and authority to enter into this LGIA, to become a Party hereto and to perform its obligations hereunder. This LGIA is a legal, valid and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable against such Party in accordance with its terms, except as the enforceability thereof may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and by general equitable principles (regardless of whether enforceability is sought in a proceeding in equity or at law).

28.1.3 No Conflict. The execution, delivery and performance of this LGIA does not violate or conflict with the organizational or formation documents, or bylaws or operating agreement, of such Party, or any judgment, license, permit, order, material agreement or instrument applicable to or binding upon such Party or any of its assets.

28.1.4 Consent and Approval. Such Party has sought or obtained, or, in accordance with this LGIA will seek or obtain, each consent, approval, authorization, order, or acceptance by

any Governmental Authority in connection with the execution, delivery and performance of this LGIA, and it will provide to any Governmental Authority notice of any actions under this LGIA that are required by Applicable Laws and Regulations.

ARTICLE 29. [OMITTED]

ARTICLE 30. MISCELLANEOUS

- 30.1 Binding Effect.** This LGIA and the rights and obligations hereof shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- 30.2 Conflicts.** In the event of a conflict between the body of this LGIA and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this LGIA shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- 30.3 Rules of Interpretation.** This LGIA, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this LGIA, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this LGIA), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document, instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any Applicable Laws and Regulations means such Applicable Laws and Regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article of this LGIA or such Appendix of this LGIA, or such Section of the LGIP or such Appendix of the LGIP, as the case may be; (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this LGIA as a whole and not to any particular Article or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any

period of time, “from” means “from and including”, “to” means “to but excluding” and “through” means “through and including”.

30.4 Entire Agreement. Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, this LGIA, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this LGIA. Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, any applicable tariffs, related facilities agreements, or successor documents, there are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party’s compliance with its obligations under this LGIA.

30.5 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This LGIA is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.

30.6 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this LGIA to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this LGIA will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by a Party of its rights with respect to this LGIA shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, or duty of this LGIA. Termination or Default of this LGIA for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer’s legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Any waiver of this LGIA shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

30.7 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles of this LGIA have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this LGIA.

- 30.8 Multiple Counterparts.** This LGIA may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- 30.9 Amendment.** The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this LGIA by a written instrument duly executed by the Parties.
- 30.10 Modification by the Parties.** The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this LGIA by a written instrument duly executed by all of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this LGIA upon satisfaction of all Applicable Laws and Regulations.
- 30.11 Reservation of Rights.** Consistent with Section 11.3 of the LGIP, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall have the right to make unilateral filings with the Commission to modify this LGIA with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with the Commission to modify this LGIA pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Parties and to participate fully in any proceeding before the Commission in which such modifications may be considered. In the event of disagreement on terms and conditions of the LGIA related to the costs of upgrades to such Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities, the anticipated schedule for the construction of such upgrades, any financial obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets, then the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on such terms and conditions. Nothing in this LGIA shall limit the rights of the Parties or of the Commission under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

30.12 No Partnership. This LGIA shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, the other Parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this LGIA in triplicate originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original effective Agreement between the Parties.

ISO New England Inc. (System Operator)

By:

Title:

Date:

***[Insert Name of]* (Interconnecting Transmission Owner)**

By:

Title:

Date:

***[Insert name of]* (Interconnection Customer)**

By:

Title:

Date:

APPENDICES TO LGIA

- Appendix A Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades
- Appendix B Milestones
- Appendix C Interconnection Details
- Appendix D Security Arrangements Details
- Appendix E Commercial Operation Date
- Appendix F Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings
- Appendix G Interconnection Requirements for a Wind Generating Plant

APPENDIX A TO LGIA

Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades

1. Interconnection Facilities:

- a. **Point of Interconnection and Point of Change of Ownership.** The Point of Interconnection shall be at the point where *[insert description of location]*. See Appendix A-*[insert]*, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

The Point of Change of Ownership shall be at the point where *[insert description of location]*. See Appendix A-~~*[insert]*~~, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

If not located at the Point of Interconnection, the metering point(s) shall be located at: *[insert location]*.

- b. **Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities (including metering equipment).** The Interconnection Customer shall construct *[insert Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities]*. See Appendix A-*[insert]*, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.
- c. **Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities (including metering equipment).** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall construct *[insert Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades]*. See Appendix ~~A~~-*[insert]*, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

2. Network Upgrades:

- a. **Stand Alone Network Upgrades.** *[insert Stand Alone Network Upgrades]*.

~~b. Substation Network UpgradesOther Network Upgrades. [*insert SubstationOther Network Upgrades, including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades*].~~

~~c. System Network Upgrades [*insert System Network Upgrades, including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades*].~~

~~3. Distribution Upgrades. [*insert Distribution Upgrades*]~~

~~4.3.~~

~~5. Affected System and/or or Internal Affected System Upgrades. [*insert Affected System Upgrades*]~~

~~6.4.~~

~~7. Long Lead Facility-Related Upgrades.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility is associated with a Long Lead Facility, in accordance with Section 3.2.3 of the LGIP. Pursuant to Section 4.1 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the following upgrades in the event that the Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13.1 of the Tariff:~~

~~*{insert list of upgrades}*~~

~~If the Interconnection Customer fails to cause these upgrades to be in service prior to the commencement of the Long Lead Facility's Capacity Commitment Period, the Interconnection Customer shall be deemed to be in Breach of this LGIA in accordance with Article 17.1, and the System Operator will initiate all necessary steps to terminate this LGIA, in accordance with Article 2.3.~~

~~8.5. Contingent Facilities: [*insert list of Contingent Facilities*]~~

9.6. Post-Forward Capacity Auction Re-study Upgrade Obligations. [*insert any change in upgrade obligations that result from re-study conducted post receiving a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Forward Capacity Auction.*]

APPENDIX B TO LGIA

Milestones

1. Selected Option Pursuant to Article 5.1: Interconnection Customer selects the *[insert]*.

Options as described in Articles 5.1.*[insert]*, 5.1.*[insert]*, and 5.1.*[insert]* shall not apply to this LGIA.

2. Milestones and Other Requirements for all Large Generating Facilities: The description and entries listed in the following table establish the required Milestones in accordance with the provisions of the LGIP and this LGIA. The referenced section of the LGIP or article of the LGIA should be reviewed by each Party to understand the requirements of each milestone.

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	LGIP/LGIA Reference
1	Provide evidence of 100% continued Site Control to System Operator, or \$250,000 non-refundable deposit to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	Upon Execution of the LGIA Within 15 BD of final LGIA receipt	§ 11.3.1.1 of LGIP
2	Provide evidence of one or more milestones specified in § 11.3 of LGIP	Interconnection Customer	Upon Execution of the LGIA Within 15 BD of final LGIA receipt	§ 11.3.1.2 of LGIP
3	Commit to a schedule for payment of upgrades	Interconnection Customer	Within 15 BD of final LGIA receipt	§ 11.3.1.2 of LGIP
4	LGIA Deposit Provide either (1) evidence of Major Permits or (2) refundable deposit to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	Simultaneously with LGIA Execution, or within ten (10) Business Days after the	§ 11.3.1. 1 <u>2</u> of LGIP

			<u>Interconnection Customer request that the LGIA be filed unexecuted if (1) Within 15 BD of final LGIA receipt or if (2) At time of LGIA execution</u>	
5	Provide certificate of insurance	Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 10 Calendar Days of execution of LGIA	§ 18.3.9 of LGIA
6	Provide siting approval for Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of LGIP
7A	Receive Governmental Authority approval for any facilities requiring regulatory approval	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed, as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.1 of LGIA
7B	Obtain necessary real property rights and rights-of-way for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed, as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.2 of LGIA

7C	Provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner written authorization to proceed with design, equipment procurement and construction	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.5.2 and § 5.6.3 of LGIA
7D	Provide System Operator evidence of proceeding with design, equipment procurement, and construction	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
7E	Provide quarterly written progress reports	Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	15 Calendar Days after the end of each quarter beginning the quarter that includes the date for Milestone 7C and ending when the entire Large Generating Facility and all required Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades are in place	§ 5.7 of LGIA
8	Provision of Security to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to Section 11.5 of LGIA	Interconnection Customer	At least 30 Calendar Days prior to design, procurement and construction	§§ 5.5.3 and 5.6.4 of LGIA

9	Provision of Security Associated with Tax Liability to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to Section 5.17.3 of LGIA	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.17.3 of LGIA
10A	Commit to the ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of LGIP
10B	Commit to ordering of long lead time material for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
11A	Provide initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	180 Calendar Days prior to Initial Synchronization Date	§ 5.10.1 of LGIA § 7.5 of LGIP
11B	Provide comments on initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of LGIA § 7.5 of LGIP

	Customer's Interconnection Facilities			
11C	Provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 LGIA
11D	Provide to Interconnection Customer comments on initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
12A	Provide final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	90 Calendar Days prior to Initial Synchronization Date	§ 5.10.1 of LGIA § 7.5 of LGIP

12B	Provide comments on final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of LGIA § 7.5 of LGIP
12C	Provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner final design, engineering and specification for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
12D	Provide to Interconnection Customer comments on final design, engineering and specification of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
13A	Deliver to Interconnecting Transmission Owner "as built" drawings, information and documents regarding	Interconnection Customer	Within 120 Calendar Days of Commercial Operation date	§ 5.10.3 of LGIA

	Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities			
13B	Deliver to Interconnecting Transmission Owner "as built" drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
14	Provide protective relay settings to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for coordination and verification	Interconnection Customer	At least 90 Calendar Days prior to Initial Synchronization Date	§§ 5.10.1 of LGIA
15A	Commencement of construction of Interconnection Facilities	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6 of LGIA
15B	Commencement of construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA

16	Submit updated data “as purchased”	Interconnection Customer	-No later than 180 Calendar Days prior to Initial Synchronization Date	§ 24.3 of LGIA
17	In Service Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.43.24 and 4.4.5 of LGIP, § 5.1 of LGIA
18	Initial Synchronization Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.4. 12 , 4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5 of LGIP
19	Submit supplemental and/or updated data – “as built/as-tested”	Interconnection Customer	Prior to Commercial Operation Date	§ 24.4 of LGIA
20	Commercial Operation Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.4. 12 , 4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5 of LGIP
21A	Deliver to Interconnection Customer “as built” drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If requested, within 120 Calendar Days after Commercial Operation Date	§ 5.11 of LGIA
21B	Deliver to Interconnecting Transmission Owner “as built” drawings,	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA

	information and documents regarding Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer			
21C	Approve and accept for operation and maintenance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.2 of LGIA
22	Provide Interconnection Customer final cost invoices	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 6 months of completion of construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades	§ 12.2 of LGIA
23	Transfer control of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network	Interconnection Customer	Prior to In-Service Date	§ 5.2 of LGIA

	Upgrades to Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer			
24	Transfer ownership of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be constructed by the Interconnection Customer	Interconnection Customer	Prior to In-Service Date	§ 5.2 of LGIA
<u>25</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer with qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate 100% Site Control by or the LGIA may be terminated per Article 17 (Default) of this LGIA and the Interconnection Customer may be subject to Withdrawal Penalties per Section 3.7.1.1 of the Transmission Provider's LGIP (Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty).</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer</u>	<u>180 days from the effective date of this LGIA</u>	

3. Milestones Applicable Solely for CNR Interconnection Service ~~and Long Lead Facility~~

Treatment. In addition to the Milestones above, for projects that achieve a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 2, 2024, the following Milestones apply to Interconnection Customers requesting CNR Interconnection Service ~~and/or Long Lead Facility Treatment~~:

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	LGIP/LGIA Reference
1	If Long Lead Facility, all dates by which Critical Path Schedule upgrades will be submitted to System Operator (end date for New Capacity Show of Interest Submission)	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.3 of LGIP
2	If Long Lead Facility, dates by which Long Lead Facility Deposits will be provided to System Operator (each deadline for which New Generating Capacity Resource would be required to provide financial assurance under § III.13.1.9 of the Tariff)	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.3 of LGIP
3	If Long Lead Facility, Capacity Commitment Period (not to exceed the Commercial Operation Date)	Interconnection Customer		§ 1 and 3.2 of LGIP
4	Submit necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Generating Facility's requested Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of LGIP
5	Participate in a CNR Group Study	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of LGIP

6	Qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of LGIP
7	Complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request based on the results of the Forward Capacity Auction or Reconfiguration Auction or bilateral transaction through which the Interconnection Customer received a Capacity Supply Obligation	System Operator		§ 3.2.1.3 of LGIP

|

APPENDIX C TO LGIA

Interconnection Details

1. Description of Interconnection:

Interconnection Customer shall install a [insert] MW Large Generating Facility, rated at [insert] MW gross and [insert] MW net, with all studies performed at or below these outputs. The Large Generating Facility is comprised of [insert] units in a [insert description of facility type - combined cycle, wind farm, etc.] rated at: [insert] MW each, and will located at [insert location].

The Large Generating Facility shall receive:

Network Resource Interconnection Service for the NR Capability at a level not to exceed [insert gross and net] MW for Summer, and [insert gross and net] MW for Winter.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service for: (i) the NR Capability at a level not to exceed [insert gross and net at or above 50 degrees F] MW for Summer and [insert gross and net at or above 0 degrees F] MW for Winter; and (ii) the CNR Capability at [insert net] MW for Summer and [insert net] MW for Winter, which shall not exceed [insert the maximum net MW electrical output of the Generating Facility at an ambient temperature at or above 90 degrees F for summer and at or above 20 degrees F for winter].

2. Detailed Description of Generating Facility and Generator Step-Up Transformer, if applicable:

Generator Data	
Number of Generators	
Manufacturer	
Model	
Designation of Generator(s)	
Excitation System Manufacturer	
Excitation System Model	

Voltage Regulator Manufacturer	
Voltage Regulator Model	
Generator Ratings	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 90 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 50 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 20 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above zero Degrees F	
Station Service Load For Each Unit	
Overexcited Reactive Power at Rated MVA and Rated Power Factor	
Underexcited Reactive Power at Rated MVA and Rated Power Factor	
<u>Maximum Charging Load (MW) at Point of Interconnection</u>	
<u>Will BESS be charged from the Administered Transmission System? (Yes/No)</u>	
<u>Primary Frequency Response Operating Range</u>	
<u>Minimum State of Charge</u>	
<u>Maximum State of Charge</u>	
Generator Short Circuit and Stability Data	
Generator MVA rating	
Generator AC Resistance	
Subtransient Reactance (saturated)	
Subtransient Reactance (unsaturated)	
Transient Reactance (saturated)	

Negative sequence reactance	
Transformer Data	
Number of units	
Self Cooled Rating	
Maximum Rating	
Winding Connection (LV/LV/HV)	
Fixed Taps	
Z1 primary to secondary at self cooled rating	
Z1 primary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Z1 secondary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Positive Sequence X/R ratio primary to secondary	
Z0 primary to secondary at self cooled rating	
Z0 primary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Z0 secondary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Zero Sequence X/R ratio primary to tertiary	

3. Other Description of Interconnection Plan and Facilities:

[Insert any other description relating to the Generating Facility, including, but not limited to switchyard, protection equipment, step-up transformer to the extent not described in Appendix A.]

APPENDIX D TO LGIA

Security Arrangements Details

Infrastructure security of the New England Transmission System equipment and operations and control hardware and software is essential to ensure day-to-day New England Transmission System reliability and operational security. The Commission will expect System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, market participants, and Interconnection Customers interconnected to the New England Transmission System to comply with the recommendations offered by the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee and, eventually, best practice recommendations from NERC. All public utilities will be expected to meet basic standards for system infrastructure and operational security, including physical, operational, and cyber-security practices.

APPENDIX E TO LGIA

Commercial Operation Date

This Appendix E is a part of the LGIA between System Operator Interconnecting, Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer.

[Date]

[Interconnecting Transmission Owner; Address]

[to be supplied]

Generator Interconnections
Transmission Planning Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Re: _____ Large Generating Facility

Dear _____:

On [Date] [Interconnection Customer] has completed Trial Operation of Unit No. _____. This letter confirms that [Interconnection Customer] commenced commercial operation of Unit No. _____ at the Large Generating Facility, effective as of [Date plus one day].

Thank you.

[Signature]

[Interconnection Customer Representative]

APPENDIX F TO LGIA

Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings Notices:

System Operator:

Generator Interconnections
Transmission Planning Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

With copy to:

Billing Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:

[To be supplied.]

Billings and Payments:

System Operator:

Generator Interconnections
Transmission Planning Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

With copy to:

Billing Department

ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:

[To be supplied.]

Alternative Forms of Delivery of Notices (telephone, facsimile or email):

System Operator:

Facsimile: (413) 540-4203

E-mail: geninterconn@iso-ne.com

With copy to:

Facsimile: (413) 535-4024

E-mail: billingdept@iso-ne.com

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:

[To be supplied.]

DUNS Numbers:

Interconnection Customer: [To be supplied]

Interconnecting Transmission Owner: [To be supplied]

APPENDIX G TO LGIA

Interconnection Requirements For A Wind and Inverter-Based Generating Facilities

Appendix G sets forth requirements and provisions specific to wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities. All other requirements of this LGIA continue to apply to wind and inverter-based Generating Facility interconnections.

A. Technical Standards Applicable to Wind and Inverter-Based Generating Facility

i. ~~Low Voltage Ride-Through (LVRT) Capability~~

~~Wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities shall be able to remain online during voltage disturbances up to the time periods and associated voltage levels set forth in the standard below. The LVRT standard provides for a transition period standard and a post-transition period standard.~~

~~Transition Period LVRT Standard~~

~~The transition period standard applies to wind-generating plants subject to FERC Order 661 that have either: (i) interconnection agreements signed and filed with the Commission, filed with the Commission in unexecuted form, or filed with the Commission as non-conforming agreements between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2006, with a scheduled in-service date no later than December 31, 2007, or (ii) wind-generating turbines subject to a wind turbine procurement contract executed prior to December 31, 2005, for delivery through 2007.~~

- ~~1. Wind-generating plants are required to remain in service during three-phase faults with normal clearing (which is a time period of approximately 4–9 cycles) and single-line-to-ground faults with delayed clearing, and subsequent post-fault voltage recovery to pre-fault voltage unless clearing the fault effectively disconnects the generator from the system. The clearing time requirement for a three-phase fault will be specific to the wind-generating plant substation location, as determined by and documented by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The maximum clearing time the wind-generating plant shall be required to withstand for a three-phase fault shall be 9 cycles at a voltage~~

~~as low as 0.15 p.u., as measured at the high side of the wind-generating plant step-up transformer (i.e. the transformer that steps the voltage up to the transmission interconnection voltage or “GSU”), after which, if the fault remains following the location-specific normal clearing time for three-phase faults, the wind-generating plant may disconnect from the transmission system.~~

- ~~2. This requirement does not apply to faults that would occur between the wind generator terminals and the high side of the GSU or to faults that would result in a voltage lower than 0.15 per unit on the high side of the GSU serving the facility.~~
- ~~3. Wind-generating plants may be tripped after the fault period if this action is intended as part of a special protection system.~~
- ~~4. Wind-generating plants may meet the LVRT requirements of this standard by the performance of the generators or by installing additional equipment (e.g., Static VAR Compensator, etc.) within the wind-generating plant or by a combination of generator performance and additional equipment.~~
- ~~5. Existing individual wind-generator units that are, or have been, interconnected to the network at the same location at the effective date of the Appendix G LVRT Standard are exempt from meeting the Appendix G LVRT Standard for the remaining life of the existing generation equipment. Existing individual wind-generator units that are replaced are required to meet the Appendix G LVRT Standard.~~

Post-transition Period LVRT Standard

~~All wind-Generating Facilities subject to FERC Order No. 661 and not covered by the transition period described above, as well as inverter-based Generating Facilities must meet the following requirements:~~

- ~~1. Wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities are required to remain in service during three-phase faults with normal clearing (which is a time period of~~

~~approximately 4—9 cycles) and single line to ground faults with delayed clearing, and subsequent post fault voltage recovery to prefault voltage unless clearing the fault effectively disconnects the generator from the system. The clearing time requirement for a three phase fault will be specific to the wind or inverter based Generating Facility substation location, as determined by and documented by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The maximum clearing time the wind or inverter based Generating Facility shall be required to withstand for a three phase fault shall be 9 cycles after which, if the fault remains following the location specific normal clearing time for three phase faults, the wind or inverter based Generating Facility may disconnect from the transmission system. Wind and inverter based Generating Facilities shall remain interconnected during such a fault on the transmission system for a voltage level as low as zero volts, as measured at the high voltage side of the wind or inverter based Generating Facility GSU.~~

- ~~2. This requirement does not apply to faults that would occur between the wind or inverter based Generating Facility terminals and the high side of the GSU.~~
- ~~3. Wind and inverter based Generating Facilities may be tripped after the fault period if this action is intended as part of a special protection system.~~
- ~~4. Wind and inverter based Generating Facilities may meet the LVRT requirements of this standard by the performance of the generators or by installing additional equipment (e.g., Static VAR Compensator) within the wind or inverter based Generating Facility or by a combination of generator performance and additional equipment.~~
- ~~5. Existing individual wind generator units that are, or have been, interconnected to the network at the same location at the effective date of the Appendix G LVRT Standard are exempt from meeting the Appendix G LVRT Standard for the remaining life of the existing generation equipment. Existing individual wind generator units that are replaced are required to meet the Appendix G LVRT Standard.~~

ii. Power Factor Design Criteria (Reactive Power)

SECTION 1. A newly interconnecting non-synchronous Generating Facility, and any subsequent modifications thereto, for which the Interconnection System Impact Study or Cluster Study commences after October 5, 2016 shall maintain dynamic reactive capability over the power factor range of 0.95 leading to 0.95 lagging, at continuous rated power output, measured at the high-side of the station transformer or at the Point of the Interconnection if there is no station transformer. This power factor range standard shall be dynamic and can be met using, for example, power electronics designed to supply this level of reactive capability (taking into account any limitations due to voltage level, real power output, etc.) or fixed and switched capacitors (provided the use of such capacitors is solely for the purpose of offsetting collector system losses and is found to meet all of the requirements specified in the Interconnection System Impact Study), or a combination of the two.

SECTION 2. A wind Generating Facility, and any subsequent modifications thereto, for which the Interconnection System Impact Study commenced after April 17, 2016 but before October 5, 2016 shall maintain dynamic reactive capability over the power factor range of 0.95 leading to 0.95 lagging, at continuous rated power output, measured at the high-side of the station transformer or at the Point of Interconnection if there is no station transformer.

SECTION 3. A wind Generating Facility, and any subsequent modifications thereto, for which the Interconnection System Impact Study commenced before April 17, 2016 shall maintain a power factor within the range of 0.95 leading to 0.95 lagging, measured at the Point of Interconnection as defined in this LGIA, if the Interconnection System Impact Study shows that such a requirement is necessary to ensure safety or reliability. For a wind Generating Facility for which the Interconnection System Impact Study commences before April 17, 2016, the power factor range standard can be met by using, for example, power electronics designed to supply this level of reactive capability (taking into account any limitations due to voltage level, real power output, etc.) or fixed and switched capacitors if agreed to by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a combination of the two.

SECTION 4. A non-wind non-synchronous Generating Facility, and any subsequent modifications thereto, for which the Interconnection System Impact Study commenced before October 5, 2016 shall meet the power factor requirements of Article 9.6.1.1 of the LGIA.

SECTION 5. The Interconnection Customer shall not disable power factor equipment while the wind Generating Facility is in operation.

SECTION 6. Wind Generating Facilities shall also be able to provide sufficient additional dynamic voltage support in lieu of the power system stabilizer and automatic voltage regulation at the generator excitation system if the Interconnection System Impact Study shows this to be required for system safety or reliability.

iii. Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Capability

Wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities shall provide SCADA capability to transmit data and receive instructions from the System Operator and Local Control Center to protect system reliability. The System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the wind or inverter-based Generating Facility Interconnection Customer shall determine what SCADA information is essential for the proposed wind or inverter-based Generating Facility, taking into account the size of the plant and its characteristics, location, and importance in maintaining generation resource adequacy and transmission system reliability in its area.

APPENDIX 7

~~INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES FOR WIND GENERATION~~

~~Appendix 7 sets forth procedures specific to a wind-generating plant. All other requirements of this LGIP continue to apply to wind-generating plant interconnections.~~

~~A. Special Procedures Applicable to Wind-Generating Plants~~

~~The wind-generating plant Interconnection Customer, in completing the Interconnection Request required by Section 3.4 of this LGIP, may provide to the System Operator a set of preliminary electrical design specifications depicting the wind-generating plant as a single equivalent generator. Upon satisfying these and other applicable Interconnection Request conditions, the wind-generating plant may enter the queue and receive the base case data as provided for in this LGIP.~~

~~No later than six months after submitting an Interconnection Request completed in this manner, the wind-generating plant Interconnection Customer must submit completed detailed electrical design specifications and other data (including collector system layout data) needed to allow the System Operator to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

SCHEDULE 22

LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS [TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS.

 2.1 Application of Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

 2.2 Comparability

 2.3 Base Case Data

 2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service

 2.5 Time Requirements

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS

 3.1 General

 3.2 Type of Interconnection Services and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment

 3.3 Utilization of Surplus Interconnection Service

 3.4 Valid Interconnection Request

 3.5 OASIS Posting

 3.6 Coordination with Affected Systems

 3.7 Withdrawal

 3.8 Identification of Contingent Facilities

SECTION 4. QUEUE POSITION

 4.1 General

 4.2 Clustering

 4.3 Transferability of Queue Position

 4.4 Modifications

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION

 5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests

 5.2 Grandfathering

 5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

 6.1 Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement

 6.2 Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study

 6.3 Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures

 6.4 Re-Study

SECTION 7. ~~INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY~~ CLUSTER STUDY

- 7.1 ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study Agreement
- 7.2 Execution of ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study Agreement
- 7.3 Scope of ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study
- 7.4 ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study Procedures
- 7.5 Meeting with Parties
- 7.6 Re-Study
- 7.7 Operational Readiness
- SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
 - 8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement
 - 8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study
 - 8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures
 - 8.4 Meeting with Parties
 - 8.5 Re-Study
- SECTION 9. ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT (“E&P”) AGREEMENT
- SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY
 - 10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement
 - 10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study
 - 10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures
 - 10.4 Meeting with Parties
 - 10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study
- SECTION 11. STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (LGIA)
 - 11.1 Tender
 - 11.2 Negotiation
 - 11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of LGIA
 - 11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities
- SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES
 - 12.1 Schedule
 - 12.2 Construction Sequencing
- SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS
 - 13.1 Confidentiality
 - 13.2 Delegation of Responsibility
 - 13.3 Obligation for Study Costs

- 13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies
- 13.5 Disputes
- 13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds

APPENDICES TO LGIP

APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

APPENDIX 2 CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 4 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 5 LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 6 TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 7 TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 8 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 9 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 10 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 11 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 12 INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES FOR A WIND GENERATING PLANT

~~APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST~~

~~APPENDIX 2 INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT~~

~~APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT~~

~~APPENDIX 4 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT~~

~~APPENDIX 5 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT~~

~~APPENDIX 6 LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT~~

~~APPENDIX 7 INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES FOR WIND GENERATION~~

SECTION I. DEFINITIONS

The definitions contained in this section are intended to apply in the context of the generator interconnection process provided for in this Schedule 22 (and its appendices). To the extent that the definitions herein are different than those contained in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff, the definitions provided below shall control only for purposes of generator interconnections under this Schedule 22. Capitalized terms in Schedule 22 that are not defined in this Section I shall have the meanings specified in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff.

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

~~**Affected System** shall mean any electric system that is within the Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned transmission facilities, or any other electric system that is not within the Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnectio~~

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this LGIP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to New England Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than New England-Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an Affected System Interconnection Customer in ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator's interconnection queue relative to ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system ~~other than Transmission Provider's Transmission System~~outside the New England Control Area that have an impact on the New England-System Operator~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this LGIP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this LGIP that is made between System Operator and Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this LGIP.

~~**Affected Party** shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.~~

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties.

At-Risk Expenditure shall mean money expended for the development of the Generating Facility that cannot be recouped if the Interconnection Customer were to withdraw the Interconnection Request for the Generating Facility. At-Risk Expenditure may include, but is not limited to, money expended on: (i) costs of federal, state, local, regional and town permits, (ii) Site Control, (iii) site-specific design and surveys, (iv) construction activities, and (v) non-refundable deposits for major equipment components. For purposes of this definition, At-Risk Expenditure shall not include costs associated with the Interconnection Studies.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3.

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability data bases used for the Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources or Elective Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Resource (“CNR”) shall mean that portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard.

Capacity Network Resource Capability (“CNR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (“CNR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Large Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s CNR Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt amount of CNR Capability. CNR Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff. The CETU shall be considered part of a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

~~Cluster Entry Deadline shall mean the deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1.~~

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~ **CETU Participation Deposit** shall mean a Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in the initial and additional deposit due under Sections 4.2.3.2.2 and 4.2.4.4.

~~Cluster Entry Deadline shall mean the deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1.~~

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this LGIP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby ~~one or more a group of~~ Interconnection Requests ~~is are~~ studied together, ~~instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this LGIP for the purpose of conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study and Interconnection Facilities Study and for the purpose of determining cost responsibility for upgrades identified through the Clustering provisions.~~

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity for sale, excluding electricity generated during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date of a unit shall mean the date on which the Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Appendix E to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 5.1.1.3, 7.5, and 8.1 of this LGIP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Contingent Facilities shall mean those unbuilt Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or a transmission project that is planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this LGIP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 17 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Generating Facility and render the transmission service necessary to effect

Interconnection Customer's wholesale sale of electricity in interstate commerce. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions; provided that Interconnection Customer is not obligated by the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement to possess black start capability.

Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or

any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility shall mean Interconnection Customer's device(s) for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in the Interconnection Request, but shall not include ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

Initial Synchronization Date shall mean the date upon which the Generating Facility is initially synchronized and upon which Trial Operation begins.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to obtain back feed power.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean a Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest in, or a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer that is not a Participating Transmission Owner, that is constructing, a portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point

of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System under ~~this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Appendix A of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, that are located between the Generating Facility and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities ~~may be~~ sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission.

Interconnection Facilities shall mean ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of

facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as identified in the Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, -or the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of this LGIP.

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study** shall mean a preliminary evaluation of the system impact and cost of interconnecting the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System, the scope of which is described in Section 6 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 2 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

Interconnection Request shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System as either a CNR or a NR; (ii) make a Material Modification to a proposed Generating Facility with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the energy capability or capacity capability of an existing Generation Facility; (iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; (v) commence participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; or (vi) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service for all or part of a Generating Facility's capability. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect a Qualifying Facility (as defined by the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and

the regulations thereto), where the Qualifying Facility's owner intent is to sell 100% of the Qualifying Facility's output to its interconnected electric utility.

Interconnection Service shall mean the service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability and/or capacity capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection, pursuant to the terms of the ~~Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement~~ LGIA and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study, the Cluster~~ Interconnection System Impact Study, ~~Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study, the Cluster Study, the Cluster Restudy, the Surplus Interconnection Service System Impact Study,~~ the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures. ~~Interconnection Study shall not include a CNR Group Study.~~

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, the Affected System Study Agreement, Cluster Study Interconnection Agreement, Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement,~~ the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to this LGIPe Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study** shall mean an engineering study that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection on the safety and reliability of the Administered Transmission System and any other Affected System, the scope of which is described in Section 7 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures. The study shall identify and detail the system impacts that would result if the Generating Facility were interconnected without project modifications or system modifications, focusing on Adverse System Impacts, or to study potential impacts, including but not limited to those identified in the Scoping Meeting as described in the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 3 of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

Internal Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Internal Affected System shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

Large Generating Facility shall mean a Generating Facility having a maximum gross capability at or above zero degrees F of more than 20 MW.

LGIA Deposit shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed LGIA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the LGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this LGIP.

~~**Long Lead Time Facility (“Long Lead Facility”)** shall mean a Generating Facility or an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, respectively, that has, as applicable, elected or requested long lead time treatment and met the eligibility criteria and requirements specified in Schedule 22 or Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff, respectively.~~

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party’s performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean: (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) to the Interconnection

Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer, that either require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (*i.e.*, an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days)-on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with ~~an equal or later queue priority date~~Queue Position; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; or (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Initial Synchronization Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control; ~~(iv) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.4, a withdrawal of a request for Long Lead Facility treatment; or (v) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.6, an election to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated.~~

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed at the Generating Facility pursuant to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement at the metering points, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this LGIP that is made among ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System-~~Transmission Provider's Transmission System.~~

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this LGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator~~Transmission Provider~~ and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard ("NC Interconnection Standard") shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility

seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Resource (“NR”) shall mean the portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Network Capability Interconnection Standard.

Network Resource Capability (“NR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Resource Interconnection Service (“NR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s NR Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the NR Capability requested pursuant to Section 3.1 of this LGIP. NR Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of this ~~LGIP Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures~~ for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the {System Operator} in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Provisional Interconnection Service shall mean Network Resource Interconnection Service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection on a limited and temporary basis, pursuant to the terms of the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement shall mean the Interconnection Agreement for Provisional Interconnection Service established between the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and the Interconnection Customer. This agreement shall take the form of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, modified for provisional purposes.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests

for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission service ~~and notification of requests for interconnection to other electric systems, as notified by the other electric systems, that impact the Administered Transmission System. References to a “higher-queued” Interconnection Request shall mean one that has been received by System Operator (and placed in queue order) earlier than another Interconnection Request, which is referred to as “lower-queued.”~~

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of ~~the~~ System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, ~~to~~ exchange information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this LGIP, and to analyzing ~~e~~ such information, ~~and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection.~~

Site Control shall mean the exclusive right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility over the term of expected operation of the Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by ~~documentation establishing reasonably demonstrating~~: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for which new interconnection is sought; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property. System Operator will maintain acreage requirements for each Generating Facility type on its OASIS or public website.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are not part of an -Internal Affected System that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the {System Operator's} Proportional Impact Method. ~~The~~ System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement. If ~~the~~ System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer disagree about whether a particular Network Upgrade is a Stand Alone Network Upgrade, ~~the~~ System Operator must provide the Interconnection Customer a written technical explanation outlining why ~~the~~ System Operator does not consider the Network Upgrade to be a Stand Alone Network Upgrade within 15 days of its determination.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”) shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility, that is included in this Schedule 22 to the Tariff.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”) shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility that are included in this Schedule 22 to the Tariff.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections 7.3 and 7.5 of this LGIP.

Substation Network Upgrade shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions, and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

Surplus Interconnection Service shall mean a form of Interconnection Service that allows an Interconnection Customer to use any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Agreement for an ~~existing~~ Generating Facility ~~that has achieved Commercial~~ ~~Operation~~, such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized the total amount of

Interconnection Service at the same Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Generating Facility and (2) the Generating Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“Transitional CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3A of the Tariff and Section 5.1.1.3 of this LGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Generating Facility prior to Commercial Operation.

Unused Capability shall mean: (i) in the case of NR Interconnection Service at ~~a an existing, commercial~~ Generating Facility with an executed Interconnection Agreement, the MW quantity as determined by the Original Interconnection Customer (as defined in Section 3.3 of the LGIP), not to exceed the ~~existing, commercial~~ Generating Facility's NR Interconnection Service as specified in its Interconnection Agreement; and (ii) in the case of CNR Interconnection Service at an existing, commercial Generating Facility, for Summer, the Summer CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Summer Qualified Capacity, and for Winter, the Winter CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Winter Qualified Capacity.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by {System Operator} to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from {System Operator's} interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this LGIP.

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS.

2.1 Application of Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

The LGIP and LGIA shall apply to Interconnection Requests pertaining to Large Generating Facilities. Except as expressly provided in the LGIP and LGIA, nothing in the LGIP or LGIA shall be construed to limit the authority or obligations that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator, as applicable, has with regard to ISO New England Operating Documents.

2.2 Comparability.

The System Operator shall receive, process and analyze all Interconnection Requests in a timely manner as set forth in this LGIP. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~will use the same Reasonable Efforts in~~ shall processing and analyzing Interconnection Requests from all Interconnection Customers, regardless of whether the Generating Facilities are owned by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, its subsidiaries or Affiliates, or others.

2.3 Base Case Data.

System Operator shall maintain Base Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases, including all underlying assumptions, and contingency lists on a secured location on the System Operator's website. For the purposes of this provision, Base Case Data may include the electromagnetic transient network

model that does not include proprietary electromagnetic transient equipment models. System Operator shall provide access to such information located on a secured location on the System Operator's website, subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating disclosure or confidentiality of such information. System Operator shall maintain network models and underlying assumptions on a secured location on the System Operator's website. Such network models and underlying assumptions should reasonably represent those used during the most recent Interconnection Study and be representative of current system conditions as of the most recent Interconnection Study. The databases and lists addressed in this Section 2.3, hereinafter referred to as Base Cases, shall include all generation projects and transmission projects that are proposed for the New England Transmission System and any Affected System or Internal Affected System and for which a transmission expansion plan has been submitted and approved by the applicable authority and which, in the sole judgment of the System Operator, may have an impact on the Interconnection Request. The Base Cases shall also include generation projects that are not participating in the System Operator's interconnection process, but are expected to achieve approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff within 90 days from the date of the creation of the Base Cases and for which steady state, short circuit, ~~and~~ stability and electromagnetic transient network models for the generation projects and any associated system upgrades have been provided to the System Operator. The Interconnection Customer, where applicable, shall provide Base Case Data to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to facilitate required Interconnection Studies.

System Operator shall provide a link to the secured location on its website that contains the information required under this Section 2.3 on System Operator's OASIS site. System Operator is permitted to require that Interconnection Customers or their third party consultants, OASIS site users, and users of the secured location on System Operator's website sign a confidentiality agreement before the release of information governed by Section 13.1 or the ISO New England Information Policy, or the release of any other information that is commercially sensitive or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information.

2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service.

Nothing in this LGIP shall constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.

2.5 Time Requirements.

Parties that must perform a specific obligation under a provision of the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedure or Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement within a specified time period shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete such obligation within the applicable time period. A Party may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion and within the time period set forth by the applicable procedure or agreement, request that the relevant Party consent to a mutually agreeable alternative time schedule, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS.

3.1 General.

To initiate an Interconnection Request, an Interconnection Customer must comply with all of the requirements set forth in Section 3.4.1. The Interconnection Customer shall submit a separate Interconnection Request for each site ~~and may submit multiple Interconnection Requests for a single site. The Interconnection Customer must comply with the requirements specified in Section 3.4.1 for each Interconnection Request even when more than one request is submitted for a single site. Where multiple Generating Facilities share a site, Interconnection Customer(s) may submit separate Interconnection Requests or a single Interconnection Request.~~

Within three (3) Business Days after ~~the close of the Cluster Request Window~~ its receipt of a valid Interconnection Request, System Operator shall submit a copy of all valid ~~the~~ Interconnection Requests received to Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s).

At Interconnection Customer's option, System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, will identify alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configurations at ~~the~~ Scoping Meeting within the Cluster Engagement Window to evaluate in this process and attempt to eliminate alternatives in a reasonable fashion given resources and information available. Interconnection Customer will select the definitive Point(s) of Interconnection to be studied no later than the execution of the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement if the Interconnection Customer elects not to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study~~ Cluster Study Agreement. For purposes of

Celustering of Interconnection Requests, System Operator, in its sole discretion, may propose changes to the requested Point of Interconnection to facilitate efficient interconnection of Interconnection Customers at common Point(s) of Interconnection. System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in writing of any intended changes to the requested Point of Interconnection within the Customer Cluster Engagement Window, and the Point of Interconnection shall only change upon mutual agreement of the involved parties.

System Operator shall consider requests for Interconnection Service below the Large Generating Facility capability. An Interconnection Customer that submits an Interconnection Request for Interconnection Service below the Large Generating Facility capability shall include in the Interconnection Request the proposed control technologies to restrict the Large Generating Facility's output to the requested Interconnection Service levels. These requests for Interconnection Service shall be studied at the level of Interconnection Service requested for purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and associated costs, and the requests shall be studied at the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility's output and the safety and reliability of the system, with the study costs borne by the Interconnection Customer.

Interconnection Customers may be subject to additional control technologies as well as testing and validation of those technologies consistent with Article 6 of the LGIA. The necessary control technologies and protection systems shall be established in Appendix C of the executed, or requested to be filed unexecuted, LGIA.

System Operator shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Commercial Readiness Deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this LGIP must be (a) delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer, (b) through the provision and maintenance of an irrevocable letter of credit in a form and from a financial institution acceptable to System Operator and included on the List of Eligible Letter of Credit Issuers, as described on the System Operator's public website, or (c) a combination thereof.- Each letter of credit must specify the

Interconnection Request to which it corresponds. All -costs associated with obtaining a letter of credit shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer. In the event that System Operator identifies an administrative deficiency with a submitted letter of credit, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days to cure the deficiency.

If the System Operator removes the financial institution from the list, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days from the date on which System Operator provides notice of such removal to replace the letter of credit with a letter of credit from a financial institution on the list. The System Operator may extend this cure period in its sole discretion. Failure to cure a deficiency within the periods prescribed in this Section 3.1 shall result in the withdrawal of the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.7 of the LGIP without further opportunity to cure.

All other deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this LGIP must be paid in cash and delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer within the period specified in the respective provision.

A deposit will not be considered received until it is in the System Operator's bank account or, in the case of a letter of credit provided as a Commercial Readiness Deposit, the letter of credit is accepted by System Operator. ~~Deposits that must be submitted to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be submitted in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

3.2 Type of Interconnection Services ~~and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment~~

At the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, the Interconnection Customer must request either CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service, as described in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 below. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain CNR Interconnection Service shall obtain NR Interconnection Service up to the NR Capability upon completion of all requirements for NR Interconnection Service, including all necessary upgrades. Upon completion of all requirements for the CNR Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer shall also receive CNR Interconnection Service for CNR Capability. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain NR Interconnection Service shall receive NR Interconnection Service for the Interconnection Customer's NR Capability.

~~At the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, the Interconnection Customer may also request Long Lead Facility treatment in accordance with Section 3.2.3.~~

3.2.1 Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service

3.2.1.1 The Product.

The System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Large Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which CNRs are interconnected under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to be designated as a CNR, and to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the CNR Capability or as otherwise provided in the Tariff, on the same basis as existing CNRs, and to be studied as a CNR on the assumption that such a designation will occur.

3.2.1.2 The Studies.

All Interconnection Studies for CNR Interconnection Service shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the unit. For Interconnection Requests seeking to achieve CNR Capability by obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024, the CNR Group Study ~~ForThe CNR Group Study for CNR Interconnection Service~~ shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other CNRs and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. For all other Interconnection Requests, the intra-zonal deliverability analysis shall be performed as part of the Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study. The System Operator, in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and as appropriate the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.1.3 Milestones for CNR Interconnection Service.

In addition to the requirements set forth in this LGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that seeks to achieve CNR Capability by obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024 shall complete the following milestones prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service for the CNR Capability, such milestones to be specified in Appendix B of the LGIA, as either completed or to be completed: (i) submit the necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Generating Facility's requested Commercial Operation Date (except as modified pursuant to Sections 3.2.3 or 4.4 of this LGIP), in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13 of the Tariff; (ii) participate in a CNR Group Study for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the requested Generating Facility's Commercial Operation Date; (iii) qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff; and (iv) complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study and CNR Group Study after the Forward Capacity Auction, Reconfiguration Auction, or bilateral transaction through which the Interconnection Customer received a Capacity Supply Obligation to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. The re-study shall include those CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests with a higher Queue Position that cleared and shall exclude any upgrades that are no longer necessary as a result of existing capacity that will be retired as of the start of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the resource has received a Capacity Supply Obligation. With respect to (iv) above, if an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed, the Interconnection Study associated with the Interconnection Study Agreement shall include the necessary analysis that would otherwise have been performed in a re-study. If the original Interconnection Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Study Agreement. If an LGIA has been either executed or filed with the Commission in unexecuted form, then the last Interconnection Study completed for the Interconnection Customer under this LGIP shall be subject to re-study. The Appendices to the LGIA shall be amended (pursuant to Article 30 of the LGIA) to reflect CNR Capability and the results of the re-study.

After September 1, 2024, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this LGIP prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service. All other Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that seek to achieve CNR Capability after September 1, 2024 shall complete the requirements in this LGIP prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service. The Interconnection

Customer shall complete the intra-zonal deliverability assessment by electing to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, Transitional Cluster Study, or submit a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service during the applicable Cluster Entry Window to participate and complete a Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Customer with a valid Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that has a completed Interconnection System Impact Study as of May 1, 2024, but that has not received a Capacity Supply Obligation through the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction or an earlier auction may: 1) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff or 2) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the Transitional Cluster Study. Notwithstanding any other provision of the Tariff, an Interconnection Customer may seek to participate in both the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff and the Transitional Cluster Study simultaneously. If the Interconnection Customer achieves CNR Interconnection Service through Section III.13.1.1.2A, it may withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study without penalty and be refunded any remaining study deposits associated with the Transitional Cluster Study. If the Interconnection Customer does not enter, or complete, the process described in either Section III.13.1.1.2A or the Transitional Cluster Study, the System Operator shall reduce the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request to NR Interconnection Service.

~~with Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service LGIAs LGIAs completed CNR Interconnection Service S 3.2.1.3 nterconnection tudiesal according to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP~~

3.2.2 Network Resource Interconnection Service

3.2.2.1 The Product.

The System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Large Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which Network Resources are interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard. NR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the provisions of Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the net NR Capability or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, on the same basis as other Network Resources.

Notwithstanding the above, the portion of a Large Generating Facility that has been designated as a Network Resource interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be a capacity resource under Section III.13 of the Tariff, except pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service.

3.2.2.2 The Studies.

The Interconnection Studies for an Network Resource shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the unit, in accordance with the NC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The System Operator, in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and as appropriate the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.2.3 Milestones for NR Interconnection Service.

An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for NR Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this LGIP prior to receiving NR Interconnection Service.

~~3.2.3 Long Lead Time Facility Treatment~~**3.2.3.1 Treatment of Long Lead Facilities.**

~~Long Lead Facilities receive the treatment described herein in connection with the associated request of the Interconnection Customer for CNR Interconnection Service for its Large Generating Facility or CNI Interconnection Service for its External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility. Long Lead Facility treatment provides for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility or controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU, after the completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study, to be modeled in the Base Cases for the next CNR Group Study to determine whether the Long Lead Facility would have qualified or enabled the qualification of an Import Capacity Resource to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with that CNR Group Study, in accordance with Section III.13.1.2 of the Tariff, but for the Long Lead Facility's development cycle (which shall include development of required transmission upgrades). If the Long Lead Facility is deemed to qualify or have enabled an associated~~

~~Import Capacity Resource to qualify, the Long Lead Facility shall be included in the re-study pursuant to Section 3.2.1.3(iv) in order to determine the facilities and upgrades that would be necessary in order to accommodate the Interconnection Request of the Long Lead Facility, and for which costs the Interconnection Customer must be responsible. In order to maintain Long Lead Facility status, the Interconnection Customer must commit to the completion of these facilities and upgrades in time to allow the Long Lead Facility to achieve its Commercial Operation Date by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. In addition, the Long Lead Facility will be treated as a New Generating Capacity Resource in the case of a Generating Facility or as if an Import Capacity Resource associated with the Long Lead Facility cleared in the case of an External ETU for the sole purpose of inclusion of the Long Lead Facility in the CNR Group Studies for the Forward Capacity Auctions that precede the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period by which the Long Lead Facility is expected to have achieved Commercial Operation. If an earlier-queued Generating Facility seeking CNR Interconnection Service or an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is seeking CNI Interconnection Service obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to or simultaneous with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Long Lead Facility or its contractual counterparty in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation, the Long Lead Facility will be re-studied in order to determine whether any additional facilities and upgrades to those identified prior to the CNR Group Study must be completed, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, prior to its Commercial Operation Date. A Long Lead Facility's cost responsibility for the facilities necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request shall not be impacted by a Generating Facility or an External ETU with a Queue Position lower than the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty in the case of an External ETU that clears in a Forward Capacity Auction, in accordance with Section III.13.2 of the Tariff, prior to the clearance of the Long Lead Facility.~~

~~3.2.3.2 Request for Long Lead Facility Treatment.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service for its proposed Generating Facility or CNI Interconnection Service for its proposed controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU, which the Interconnection Customer projects to have a development cycle that would not be completed until after the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for the Long Lead Facility is made) may elect or request Long Lead Facility treatment in the following manner:~~

~~(a) — An Interconnection Customer proposing a Generating Facility or a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU with a requested CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service equal to or greater than 100 MW may elect Long Lead Facility treatment at the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section 3.2.3.3.~~

~~(b) — An Interconnection Customer proposing a Generating Facility or a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU with a requested CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service under 100 MW may request Long Lead Facility treatment by submitting a written request to the System Operator for its review and approval, explaining why the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU cannot achieve Commercial Operation by the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for Long Lead Facility treatment is made), together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section 3.2.3.3. In reviewing the request, the System Operator shall evaluate the feasibility of the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU achieving Commercial Operation to meet an earlier Capacity Commitment Period based on the information provided in the request and the critical path schedule submitted pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3, in a manner similar to that performed under Section III.13.3.2 of the Tariff. Within forty five (45) Business Days after its receipt of the request for Long Lead Facility treatment, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer in writing whether the request has been granted or denied. If the System Operator determines that the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU can achieve a Commercial Operation Date prior to the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction, the Interconnection Customer's request shall be denied. The dispute resolution provisions of the LGIP in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETU IP for an External ETU are not available for disputes or claims associated with the ISO's determination to deny an Interconnection Customer's request for Long Lead Facility treatment.~~

~~(c) — An Interconnection Customer that did not request Long Lead Facility treatment at the time the Interconnection Request was submitted, may thereafter submit a request for treatment as a Long Lead Facility, together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section~~

~~3.2.3.3 and, if applicable, a request for an extension of the Commercial Operation Date specified in the Interconnection Request in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. A request for Long Lead Facility treatment that is submitted after the initial Interconnection Request will not be eligible to participate in any Forward Capacity Auction prior to the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the extended Commercial Operation Date. The Long Lead Facility will be modeled in the Base Cases for the CNR Study Group associated with the near term Forward Capacity Auction unless that CNR Study Group is underway, in which case the Long Lead Facility will be modeled in the next CNR Study Group.~~

~~**3.2.3.3 Critical Path Schedule and Deposits for Long Lead Facility Treatment.**~~

~~At the time an Interconnection Customer submits an election or request for Long Lead Facility treatment, the Interconnection Customer must submit, together with the request:~~

~~(1) **Critical Path Schedule.** A critical path schedule, in writing, for the Long Lead Facility (with a development cycle that would not be completed until after the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for the Long Lead Facility is made) that meets the requirements set forth in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2 of the Tariff. The Interconnection Customer must submit annually, in writing, an updated critical path schedule to the System Operator by the closing deadline of each New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window that precedes the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period by which the Long Lead Facility is expected to have achieved Commercial Operation, prior to the inclusion of the Long Lead Facility in the Base Case for the CNR Group Study associated with the corresponding New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. With its annual update, for each critical path schedule milestone achieved since the submission of the previous critical path schedule update, the Interconnection Customer must include in the critical path update documentation demonstrating that the milestone has been achieved by the date indicated and as otherwise described in the critical path schedule.~~

~~(2) **Long Lead Facility Deposits.**~~

~~(a) **Deposits.** In addition to the deposits required elsewhere in the LGIP in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETU-IP for External ETU, at the time of its request for Long Lead Facility treatment, in accordance with Section 3.2.3.3, and by each deadline for which a New~~

~~Generating Capacity Resource is required to provide financial assurance under Section III.13.1.9.1 of the Tariff, the Interconnection Customer must provide a separate deposit in the amount of $0.25 * (\text{Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price } (\$/\text{kW-mo}) / 2) * \text{requested CNR Capability or CNI Capability}$. For each calculation of the deposit, the System Operator shall use the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price in effect for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction at the time of that calculation, pursuant to Section III.13.2.4 of the Tariff, or the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for the previous Forward Capacity Auction in the case where the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price in effect for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction has not yet been calculated. The total amount of deposits shall not exceed the Non-Commercial Capacity Financial Assurance Amount that the Long Lead Facility would be required to provide if the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty cleared in the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction, in accordance with Section III.13.1.9.1 of the Tariff. The Long Lead Facility deposits will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7) (i) if the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Scoping Meeting or of the completion of the System Impact Study (including restudy of the System Impact Study), pursuant to Section 7, or (ii) once the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty clears in a Forward Capacity Auction.~~

~~**(b) Reductions.** Ten (10) percent of the Long Lead Facility deposits collected pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a) shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request (except as provided in Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a)) after the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty fails to qualify or qualifies and fails to clear in the Forward Capacity Auction that follows the first Forward Capacity Auction for which the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty could qualify based on the Commercial Operation Date specified in the initial critical path schedule for the Long Lead Facility. An additional five (5) percent of the Long Lead Facility deposits collected pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a) shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request (except as provided in Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a)) following each subsequent Forward Capacity Auction in which the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty fails to qualify or qualifies and fails to clear such Forward Capacity Auction, not to exceed the maximum period allowed under Sections 3.4.1, 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. The non-refundable portions of the deposits shall be credited to the revenue requirements under Schedule 1 of Section IV of the Tariff.~~

~~3.2.3.4 Withdrawal and Refunds After Expenditures for Upgrades.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer that provides documentation in the critical path schedule update to be submitted in accordance with Section 3.2.3.3(1), showing expenditures of the required amounts for upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies for the Long Lead Facility, may submit a withdrawal of the Interconnection Request for the Long Lead Facility, in accordance with Section 3.7, at any time up to thirty (30) Calendar Days, after the Long Lead Facility's or its counterparty's failure to clear in any Forward Capacity Auction. In such instance, the Interconnection Customer shall receive a refund from the System Operator of the Long Lead Facility deposits (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7) as adjusted pursuant to 3.2.3.3(2), if appropriate, and from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner a refund of the payments for the upgrades that exceed the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws only its election or request for Long Lead Facility treatment, such withdrawal will be considered a Material Modification and the Long Lead Facility will lose its Queue Position unless its withdrawal occurs within one of the thirty (30) day periods described in Section 3.2.3.3(2) of the LGIP in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETU IP for an External ETU.~~

~~3.2.3.5 Additional Requirements to Maintain Long Lead Facility Treatment.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Long Lead Facility must begin payment as required by the transmission expenditure schedule for the transmission upgrade costs that have been identified in the pertinent Interconnection Studies. The Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service shall be deemed withdrawn under Section 3.7 if the Interconnection Customer fails to comply with the requirements for Long Lead Facility treatment, including the milestones specified in Section 3.2.1.4. In this circumstance, the conditions specified in an Interconnection Agreement for a Generating Facility seeking CNR Interconnection Service or External ETU seeking CNI Interconnection Service that had an Interconnection Request of a Queue Position lower than the Long Lead Facility, but cleared (in the case of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, the Import Capacity Resource) in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to the Long Lead Facility, shall be removed.~~

~~3.2.3.6 Participation in Earlier Forward Capacity Auctions.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Long Lead Facility may, without loss of Queue Position, elect to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated, but only if the election to accelerate is made to the System Operator in writing within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Scoping Meeting or within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the completion of the System Impact Study (but before the~~

~~Long Lead Facility and the results of the associated System Impact Study are incorporated into the Base Cases). Otherwise, such an election shall be considered a Material Modification.~~

3.3 Utilization of Surplus Interconnection Service.

Surplus Interconnection Service allows an existing Interconnection Customer ~~whose Generating Facility is already interconnected to the Administered Transmission System and is in Commercial Operation~~ to utilize or transfer Surplus Interconnection Service at the ~~existing~~ Generating Facility's ~~existing~~ Point of Interconnection once Interconnection Customer has executed the LGIA or requested that the Interconnection Agreement be filed unexecuted. For purposes of Surplus Interconnection Service, the existing Interconnection Customer is referred to as the "Original Interconnection Customer," and the entity requesting Surplus Interconnection Service is referred to as the "Surplus Interconnection Customer." The Original Interconnection Customer or, with written consent of the Original Interconnection Customer, one of its affiliates shall have priority to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service. If the Original Interconnection Customer or one of its affiliates does not exercise this priority, then the Surplus Interconnection Service may be utilized by a third party of the Original Interconnection Customer's choosing and with the Original Interconnection Customer's written consent.

Surplus Interconnection Service may be available for any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. If the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility is for CNR Interconnection Service, any Surplus Interconnection Service may be for existing CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service. If the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility is for NR Interconnection Service, any Surplus Interconnection Service shall be for NR Interconnection Service. Surplus Interconnection Service is not applicable when a new Interconnection Request for Interconnection Service or Network Upgrades would be required to implement the proposed change to the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. Surplus Interconnection Service cannot be used to replace a retiring or to repower an existing Generating Facility. ~~Surplus Interconnection Service is also not available for a retirement or repowering of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.~~

The Original Interconnection Customer shall specify the amount of Unused Capability that is available for use by the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. The total output

of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility plus the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility behind the same Point of Interconnection shall be limited to the maximum total amount of Interconnection Service granted to the Original Interconnection Customer as established in the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. –Control technology to restrict the total output of the Original Interconnection Customer's and Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facilities shall be required in the case where the sum of the maximum output of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility plus the maximum output of the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility exceeds the total amount of Interconnection Service established in the Original Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Agreement. Surplus Interconnection Service shall only be available at the existing Point of Interconnection of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.

3.3.1 Surplus Interconnection Service Request

An Original Interconnection Customer or, with the consent of the Original Interconnection Customer, its affiliate or a third party of the Original Interconnection Customer's choosing may request Surplus Interconnection Service by submitting to the System Operator a completed Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application in the form contained in Attachment C to Appendix 1 of the LGIP. The Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application shall be accompanied by the Original Interconnection Customer's written consent for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's use of Unused Capability for Surplus Interconnection Service, and the technical data called for in the form.

Studies for Surplus Interconnection Service may consist of reactive power, short circuit/fault duty, stability analyses, and/or other appropriate studies. Steady-state (thermal/voltage) analyses may be performed as necessary to ensure that all required reliability conditions are studied. The study shall consider the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the total output of the Original Interconnection Customer's and Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facilities. If the Surplus Interconnection Service was not studied under off-peak conditions, off-peak steady state analyses shall be performed to the required level necessary to demonstrate reliable operation of the Surplus Interconnection Service. If the original ~~Interconnection S~~system ~~i~~Impact ~~s~~Study report or Cluster Study Report is not available for Surplus Interconnection Service, both off-peak and peak

analysis may need to be performed for the existing Generating Facility associated with the request for Surplus Interconnection Service. The reactive power, short circuit/fault duty, stability, and steady-state analyses for Surplus Interconnection Service will identify any additional Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades necessary. Any analyses shall be performed at the Surplus Interconnection Customer's expense.

System Operator shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in System Operator's Planning Procedures.

The Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility shall be replaced by a new agreement among the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Original Interconnection Customer, and Surplus Interconnection Customer. The agreement shall be in the form of the most currently effective LGIA, modified to reflect the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the amount of, and the terms for the use of, the Surplus Interconnection Service. The agreement shall be developed and negotiated in accordance with Section 11 of the LGIP, at the Surplus Interconnection Customer's expense.

3.4 Valid Interconnection Request.

3.4.1 Cluster Request Window.

System Operator shall accept Interconnection Requests during a forty-five (45) Calendar Day period (the Cluster Request Window). The initial Cluster Request Window shall open for Interconnection Requests sixty (60) Calendar Days after the conclusion of the three hundred sixty (360) day transition process set out in Section 5.1 of this LGIP. All subsequent Cluster Request Windows shall open sixty (60) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Results Meeting, or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate). System Operator shall provide notice via posting on its public website at least thirty (30) Calendar Days, prior to each respective Cluster Request Window opening.

3.4.1.1 Study Deposits.

Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator, during a Cluster Request Window, an Interconnection Request in the form of Appendix 1 to this LGIP, a potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$50,000, and a refundable study deposit of \$250,000 (for new requests for NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service) or \$100,000 (for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service). System Operator shall apply the initial deposit toward the costs incurred by the System Operator associated with the Interconnection Request, the cost of developing the study agreements and their attachments, and the cost of developing the LGIA. The study deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Cluster Study.

3.4.21 Initiating an Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Customer seeking to join a Cluster shall submit its Interconnection Request to ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator within, and no later than the close of, the Cluster Request Window. Interconnection Requests submitted outside of the Cluster Request Window will not be considered. To initiate and establish a valid Interconnection Request, Interconnection Customer must submit all of the following to the System Operator in the manner specified in Appendix 1 Interconnection Request to this LGIP:

- (i) ~~(i)~~ a potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$50,000, ~~agreement.~~
- (ii) ~~(ii)~~ a completed application in the form of Appendix 1 and all information required under its Attachments,
- (iii) ~~(iii)~~ all information and deposits required under this Section 3.4 and
- (iv) ~~(iv) in the case of a request for CNR Interconnection Service,~~ in the case of a request for CNR Interconnection Service a demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) site control;
- (v) ~~and, in the case of NR Interconnection Service, a demonstration of no less than one-hundred percent (100%) -Site Control or-~~ (1) a signed affidavit from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a

- minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of \$2,000,000. Interconnection Requests from multiple Interconnection Customers for multiple Generating Facilities that share a site must include a contract or other agreement that allows for shared land use;
- (vi) Generating Facility capability (MW) (and requested Interconnection Service level if the requested Interconnection Service is less than the Generating Facility capability),
 - (vii) a Commercial Readiness Deposit equal to two times the study deposit described in Section 3.4.1.1 of this LGIP in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash. This Commercial Readiness Deposit is refunded to Interconnection Customer according to Section 3.7 of this LGIP.
 - (viii) a Point of Interconnection, and;
 - (ix) whether the Interconnection Request shall be studied for NR Interconnection Service or for CNR Interconnection Service, consistent with Section 3.2 of this LGIP. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNR Interconnection Service to NR Interconnection Service if the System Operator identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NR Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NR Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.

An Interconnection Customer that submits a deposit in lieu of Site Control due to demonstrated regulatory limitations must demonstrate that it is taking identifiable steps to secure the necessary regulatory approvals from the applicable federal, state, and/or tribal entities before execution of the Cluster Study Agreement. Such deposit will be held by System Operator until Interconnection Customer provides the required Site Control demonstration for its Generating Facility in the Cluster Study Process. Interconnection Customers facing qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control within one-hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days of the effective date of the LGIA.

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iv-v) of this LGIP. If

System Operator determines, based on Interconnection Customer's information, that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall give Interconnection Customer ten (10) Business Days to demonstrate satisfaction with the applicable requirement subject to System Operator's approval. Absent such, System Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP without further opportunity to cure.

~~, in the case of a request for NR Interconnection Service, demonstration of Site Control or a posting of an additional deposit of \$10,00~~

~~0. Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property. The portions of the deposit of \$50,000 that have not been applied as provided in this Section 3.4.24 shall be refundable if (i) the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, within ten (10) Business Days of the Scoping Meeting, (ii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, (iii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS requests, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in relative order with any other Interconnection Request also requesting to be re-queued pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in which case the unused balance of the deposit of \$50,000 (and any study related deposits, if any have been submitted) shall be applied toward the re-queued Interconnection Request's initial deposit of \$50,000 and any remaining balance will be refunded, or (iv) if the Interconnection Customer executes an LGIA or where the Interconnection Request is withdrawn by the Interconnection Customer within ten (10) Business Days of the Cluster Scoping Meeting. Otherwise, any unused balance of the deposit of \$50,000 shall be non-refundable and applied on a pro-rata basis to offset costs incurred by Interconnection Customers with lower Queue Positions that are subject to re-study, as determined by the System Operator in accordance with the provisions of this LGIP, as a result of the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request within the same Cluster a higher Queue Position.~~

~~The deposit of \$50,000 shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the System Operator associated with the Interconnection Request and Long Lead Facility treatment, as well as, the costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and/or the Interconnection System Impact Study, including the cost of developing the study agreements and their attachments, and the cost of developing the LGIA. For Interconnection~~

~~Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit of \$50,000 also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. If, in the case of a request for NR Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer demonstrates Site Control within the cure period specified in Section 3.4.3 after submitting its Interconnection Request, the additional deposit of \$10,000 shall be refundable; otherwise, that deposit shall be applied as provided in Section 3.1, including, toward the costs of any Interconnection Studies pursuant to the Interconnection Request, the cost of developing the study agreement(s) and associated attachment(s), and the cost of developing the LGIA. For Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. The portions of the deposit of \$10,000 that have not been applied as provided in this Section 3.4.1 also shall be refundable if (i) the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, within ten (10) Business Days of the Scoping Meeting, (ii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, (iii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS requests, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in which case the unused balance of the deposit shall be applied toward the re-queued Interconnection Request in lieu of Site Control for NR Interconnection Service, or (iv) if the Interconnection Customer executes an LGIA.~~

The expected Initial Synchronization Date of the new Large Generating Facility, of the increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility, or of the implementation of the Material Modification to the existing Generating Facility shall not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator, unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates that such time required to actively engineer, permit and construct the new Large Generating Facility or increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility or implement the Material Modification to the existing Generating Facility will take longer than the seven year period. Upon such demonstration, the Initial Synchronization Date may succeed the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator by a period of greater than seven (7) years so long as the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner agree, such agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld.

3.4.32 Acknowledgment of Interconnection Request.

System Operator shall acknowledge receipt of the Interconnection Request within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the request and attach a copy of the received Interconnection Request to the acknowledgement. ~~With the System Operator's acknowledgement of a valid Interconnection Request, the System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 or an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 3.~~

3.4.43 Deficiencies in Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Request will not be considered to be a valid Interconnection Request until all items in Section 3.4.42 of this LGIP have been received by the System Operator. If an Interconnection Request fails to meet the requirements set forth in Section 3.4.42 of this LGIP, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the initial Interconnection Request of the reasons for such failure and that the Interconnection Request does not constitute a valid request. Interconnection Customer shall provide the System Operator the additional requested information needed to constitute a valid request within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of such notice but no later than the close of the Cluster Request Window.

3.4.5 Customer Engagement Window.

~~Upon the close of each Cluster Request Window, System Operator Transmission Provider shall open a sixty (60) Calendar Day period (Customer Engagement Window). During the Customer Engagement Window, Transmission Provider System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.~~
~~interested Interconnection Customers. Notwithstanding the preceding requirements and upon written consent of all Interconnection Customers within the Cluster, Transmission Provider System Operator may shorten the Customer Engagement Window and begin the Cluster Study. Within ten (10) Business Days of the opening of the Customer Engagement Window, Transmission Provider System Operator shall post~~

on its OASIS a list of Interconnection Requests for that Cluster. The list shall identify, for each anonymized Interconnection Request: (1) the requested amount of Interconnection Service; (2) the location by county and state; (3) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (4) the projected In-Service Date; (5) the type of Interconnection Service requested; and (6) the type of Generating Facility or Facilities to be constructed, including fuel types, such as coal, natural gas, solar, or wind. The System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ must ensure that project information is anonymized and does not reveal the identity or commercial information of ~~Interconnection~~ eCustomers with submitted requests. During the Customer Engagement Window, ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding, updated good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for completing the Cluster Study and a Cluster Study Agreement to be executed prior to the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

At the end of the Customer Engagement Window, all Interconnection Requests deemed valid that have executed a Cluster Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 to this LGIP shall be included in the Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Requests not deemed valid at the close of the Customer Engagement Window shall be deemed withdrawn (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this LGIP) by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator, the initial deposit shall be forfeited to the System Operator~~Transmission Provider~~, and the ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator shall return the study deposit and Commercial Readiness Deposit to Interconnection Customer. Immediately following the Customer Engagement Window, ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator shall initiate the Cluster Study described in Section 7 of this LGIP.

3.4.64 Cluster Study Scoping Meetings.

During the Customer Engagement Window~~Within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of a valid Interconnection Request~~, System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting ~~Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties,~~ shall establish a date agreeable to ~~Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party~~ as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, ~~for a Scoping Meeting, and such date shall be no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the valid Interconnection Request, unless otherwise mutually agreed upon by the Parties.~~

The purpose of the Scoping Meeting shall be (i) to discuss ~~the estimated timeline for completing all applicable Interconnection Studies, and~~ alternative interconnection options, (ii) to exchange pertinent information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would reasonably be expected to impact such interconnection options, (iii) to discuss Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this LGIP, as applicable; (iv) to analyze such information, ~~(iv) to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection,~~ and (v) to discuss any other information necessary to facilitate the administration of the Interconnection Procedures. ~~A PSCAD model is required for all wind and inverter based Large Generating Facilities. If a PSCAD model is required for other Large Generating Facility types, the Parties shall discuss this at the Scoping Meeting. If the Interconnection Customer provided the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) with the Interconnection Request, the Parties shall discuss the detailed project design at the Scoping Meeting.~~

The Parties will bring to the meeting such technical data, including, but not limited to: (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii) information regarding general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting. The Parties will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting. On the basis of the meeting, Interconnection Customer(s) shall designate its Point of Interconnection, ~~pursuant to Section 6.1,~~ and one or more available alternative Point(s) of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be sufficient to accomplish its purpose.

If the Cluster Study Scoping Meeting consists of more than one Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall issue, no later than fifteen (15) Business Days after the commencement of the Customer Engagement Window, and Interconnection Customer shall execute a non-disclosure agreement in the form specified by System Operator prior to a group of Cluster Study Scoping Meeting, which will provide for confidentiality of identifying commercially sensitive information pertaining to any other Interconnection Customers.

~~Unless the Interconnection Request has been identified to be included in a CRPS or eligible for inclusion in a CSIS, within five (5) Business Days following the Scoping Meeting Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator, in writing, (i) of its election to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study or the Interconnection System Impact Study; (ii) if electing to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study, which of the alternate study scopes is being selected pursuant to Section 6.2; and (iii) the Point of~~

~~Interconnection and any reasonable alternative Point(s) of Interconnection for inclusion in the attachment to the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or the Point of Interconnection for inclusion in the attachment to the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement if the Interconnection Customer elects not to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Stud~~

3.5 OASIS Posting.

3.5.1 OASIS Posting.

The System Operator will maintain on its OASIS a list of all Interconnection Requests in its Control Area. The list will identify, for each Interconnection Request: (i) the maximum summer and winter megawatt electrical output; (ii) the location by county and state; (iii) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (iv) the projected Initial Synchronization Date; (v) the status of the Interconnection Request, including Queue Position; (vi) the type of Interconnection Service being requested (i.e., CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service); and (vii) the availability of any studies related to the Interconnection Request; (viii) the date of the Interconnection Request; (ix) the type of Generating Facility to be constructed ~~(combined cycle, base load or combustion turbine and fuel type)~~; and (x) for Interconnection Requests that have not resulted in a completed interconnection, an explanation as to why it was not completed. Except in the case of an Affiliate, the list will not disclose the identity of the Interconnection Customer until the Interconnection Customer executes an LGIA or requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file an unexecuted LGIA with the Commission. Before participating in a Scoping Meeting with an Interconnection Customer that is also an Affiliate, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall post on OASIS an advance notice of its intent to do so. The System Operator shall post to its OASIS site any deviations from the study timelines set forth herein. Interconnection Study reports and Optional Interconnection Study reports shall be posted to the System Operator's OASIS site subsequent to the meeting between the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer to discuss the applicable study results. The System Operator shall also post any known deviations in the Large Generating Facility's Initial Synchronization Date.

3.5.2 Requirements to Post Interconnection Study Metrics

The System Operator will maintain on its website summary statistics related to processing Interconnection Studies pursuant to Interconnection Requests, updated quarterly. If the System Operator posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on the System Operator's OASIS site. For each calendar quarter, the System Operator must calculate

and post the information detailed in Sections 3.5.2.1 through 3.5.2.4 of this LGIP.

3.5.2.1 Interconnection Cluster Study Feasibility Studies Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had ~~Interconnection Feasibility Cluster~~ Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had ~~Interconnection Feasibility Cluster~~ Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than two hundred and seventy (270) ninety (90) Calendar Days after receipt ~~the close of the Customer Engagement Window by System Operator of the Interconnection Customer's executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement,~~

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete ~~Interconnection Feasibility Cluster~~ Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed ~~a Cluster Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreements~~ received by System Operator more than two hundred and seventy (270) ninety (90) Calendar Days before the reporting quarter end,

(D) Mean time (in days), ~~Interconnection Feasibility Cluster~~ Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the ~~date when System Operator received the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement commencement of the Cluster Study~~ to the date when System Operator provided the completed ~~Cluster Interconnection Feasibility Study Report~~ to the Interconnection Customer,

(E) Mean time (in days), Cluster Studies were completed for the System Operator's Administered Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster Request Window to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Study Report to Interconnection Customer.

(F) Percentage of ~~Interconnection Feasibility Cluster~~ Studies exceeding two hundred and seventy (270) ninety (90) Calendar Days to complete this reporting quarter, calculated as the sum of

3.5.2.1(B) plus 3.5.2.1(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.1(A) plus 3.5.2.1(C).

3.5.2.2 ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster Restudies~~ Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had ~~Interconnection System Impact S~~Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had ~~Interconnection System Impact S~~Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than ~~two hundred and seventyninety (90270)~~ Calendar Days after ~~receipt by~~ System Operator notifies of the Interconnection Customer's in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this LGIP-executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement,

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete ~~Cluster Restudies System Impact Studies~~ where such System Operator notified Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this LGIP ~~Interconnection Requests had executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreements received by System Operator~~ more than ~~nintyninetytwo hundred and seventy (90270)~~ Calendar Days before the reporting quarter end,

(D) Mean time (in days), ~~Cluster Restudies Interconnection System Impact Studies~~ completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the date when System Operator notifies Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this LGIP ~~received the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement~~ to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Restudy Interconnection System Impact Study to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer,

(E) Mean time (in days), Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster Request Window to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Restudy Report to Interconnection Customer.

(F) Percentage of Cluster Restudies ~~Interconnection System Impact Studies~~ exceeding ~~nintyninetytwo hundred and seventy~~ (90270) Calendar Days to complete this reporting quarter, calculated as the sum of 3.5.2.2(B) plus 3.5.2.2(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.2(A) plus 3.5.2.2(C).

3.5.2.3 Interconnection Facilities Studies Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than ninety (90) Calendar Days for no more than +/- 20 percent cost estimate or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days for +/- 10 percent cost estimate after receipt by System Operator of the Interconnection Customer's executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement,

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete Interconnection Facilities Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed Interconnection Facilities Studies Agreement received by System Operator more than ninety (90) Calendar Days for no more than +/- 20 percent cost estimate or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days for +/- 10 percent cost estimate before the reporting quarter end,

(D) Mean time (in days), for Interconnection Facilities Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, calculated from the date when System Operator received the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the date when System Operator provided the completed Interconnection Facilities Study to the Interconnection Customer,

(E) Mean time (in days), Interconnection Facilities Study completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster

Request Window to the date when System Operator provided the completed Interconnection Facilities Study to Interconnection Customer.

(EF) Percentage of delayed Interconnection Facilities Studies this reporting quarter, calculated as the sum of 3.5.2.3(B) plus 3.5.2.3(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.3(A) plus 3.5.2.3(C).

3.5.2.4 Interconnection Requests Withdrawn from Interconnection Queue.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of any Interconnection Studies or execution of any Interconnection Study Agreements,

(C) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of a ~~Cluster n Interconnection System Impact~~-Study,

(D) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of an Interconnection Facility Study,

(E) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue after execution of an LGIA or Interconnection Customer requests the filing of an unexecuted LGIA,

(F) Mean time (in days), for all withdrawn Interconnection Requests, from the date when the request was determined to be valid to when System Operator received the request to withdraw from the queue.

3.5.3 System Operator is required to post on its website the measures in paragraph 3.5.2.1(A) through paragraph 3.5.2.4(F) for each calendar quarter within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter. System Operator will keep the quarterly measures posted on its website for three

calendar years with the first required report to be the first quarter of 2020. If System Operator retains this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on System Operator's OASIS site.

3.5.4 In the event that any of the values calculated in paragraphs 3.5.2.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E) exceeds 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters, System Operator will have to comply with the measures below for the next four consecutive calendar quarters and must continue reporting this information until System Operator reports four consecutive calendar quarters without the values calculated in 3.5.2.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E) exceeding 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters:

(i) System Operator must submit a report to the Commission describing the reason for each Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, or individual Interconnection Facilities Study ~~study or group of clustered studies~~ pursuant to one or more an Interconnection Request(s) that exceeded its deadline (*i.e.*, 45270, 90 or 180 days) for completion ~~(excluding any allowance for Reasonable Efforts)~~. System Operator must describe the reasons for each study delay and any steps taken to remedy these specific issues and, if applicable, prevent such delays in the future. The report must be filed at the Commission within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter.

(ii) System Operator shall aggregate the total number of employee hours and third party consultant hours expended towards Interconnection Studies for its Administered Transmission System that quarter and post on its website. If System Operator posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on System Operator's OASIS site. This information is to be posted within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter.

3.6 Coordination with Internal Affected Systems.

The System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Internal Affected Systems with Internal Affected Parties and, if possible, include those results (if available) in its applicable Interconnection Study within the time frame specified in this LGIP. The System Operator will include such Internal Affected Parties in all meetings held with the Interconnection Customer as required by this LGIP. The Interconnection Customer will cooperate with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. The Interconnection

Customer shall be responsible for the costs associated with the studies or portions of studies associated with the Internal Affected Systems, including costs associated with the requirements of Section I.3.9 of the Tariff. Payment and refunds associated with the costs of such studies will be coordinated between the Interconnection Customer and the Internal Affected Party(ies) unless such costs are included in the costs of the Interconnection Study, in which case, the Internal Affected Party(ies) shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the respective Interconnection Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection Studies.

The System Operator shall seek the cooperation of all Internal Affected Parties in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. Nothing in the foregoing is intended to authorize the Interconnection Customer to receive interconnection, related facilities or other services on an Internal Affected System, and provision of such services must be handled through separate arrangements with Internal Affected Party(ies).

3.6A Coordination with Affected Systems Outside New England Control Area.

System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems with Affected System Operators. Interconnection Customer will cooperate with System Operator and Affected System Operator in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems.

An Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the New England Control Area- whose system may be impacted by a proposed interconnection on an Affected System shall cooperate with the System Operator and Affected System to whom a proposed interconnection has been requested in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's portion of the New England Transmission System.

3.6A.1 Initial Notification.

System Operator must notify Affected System Operator of a potential Affected System impact caused by an Interconnection Request within ten (10) Business Days of the completion of the Cluster Study or, if the

potential Affected System impact is only determined in the Cluster Restudy, the completion of the Cluster Restudy.

At the time of initial notification, System Operator must provide Interconnection Customer with a list of potential Affected Systems, along with relevant contact information.

3.7 Withdrawal.

The Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice of such withdrawal to System Operator, which System Operator will transmit to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties. In addition, if the Interconnection Customer fails to adhere to all requirements of this LGIP, except as provided in Section 13.5 (Disputes), the System Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn and shall provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer of the deemed withdrawal and an explanation of the reasons for such deemed withdrawal. Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this LGIP, upon receipt of such written notice, the Interconnection Customer shall have fifteen (15) Business Days in which to either respond with information or actions that cure the deficiency or to notify the System Operator of its intent to pursue Dispute Resolution, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

Withdrawal shall result in the loss of the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position. If an Interconnection Customer disputes the withdrawal and loss of its Queue Position, then during Dispute Resolution, the System Operator may eliminate the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request from the queue until such time that the outcome of Dispute Resolution would restore its Queue Position. An Interconnection Customer that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request shall pay to System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Parties all costs prudently incurred with respect to that Interconnection Request prior to System Operator's receipt of notice described above. The Interconnection Customer must pay all monies due before it is allowed to obtain any Interconnection Study data or results.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn by System Operator under Section 3.7 of this LGIP, ~~The~~ System Operator shall (i) update the OASIS Queue Position posting; and (ii) impose the Withdrawal Penalty described in Section 3.7.1 of this LGIP. Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this LGIP, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission

Owner shall ~~arrange to refund to the~~ Interconnection Customer any refundable portion of ~~the refundable portion of~~ Interconnection Customer's study deposit or study payments that exceeds the costs incurred, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations, or arrange to charge to the Interconnection Customer any amount of such costs incurred that exceed the Interconnection Customer's deposit or study payments, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund any portion of the Commercial Readiness Deposit not applied to the Withdrawal Penalty and, if applicable, the deposit in lieu of Site Control. In the event of such withdrawal, System Operator, subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 of this LGIP and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information, shall provide, at Interconnection Customer's request, all information developed for any completed study conducted up to the date of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request.

3.7.1 Withdrawal Penalty.

Interconnection Customer shall be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty if it withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn, or the Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation unless: (1) the withdrawal does not have a material impact on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Request with an equal or lower Queue Position; (2) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's most recent Cluster Restudy Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than twenty-five percent (25%) compared to costs identified in Interconnection Customer's preceding Cluster Study Report or Cluster Restudy Report; or (3) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Study Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than one hundred percent (100%) compared to costs identified in the Cluster Study Report.

3.7.1.1 Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn prior to the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer shall not be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty. If Interconnection Customer withdraws, is deemed withdrawn, or otherwise does

not reach Commercial Operation at any point after the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty will be the greater of: (1) the Interconnection Customer's study deposit required under Section 3.4.1.1 of this LGIP; or (2) as follows in (a)–(d):

(a) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Study or after receipt of a Cluster Study Report, but prior to commencement of the Cluster Restudy or Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged two (2) times its actual allocated cost of all studies performed for Interconnection Customers in the Cluster up until that point in the interconnection study process.

(b) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Restudy or after receipt of any applicable restudy reports issued pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIP, but prior to commencement of the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged five percent (5%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(c) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Interconnection Facilities Study, after receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study Report issued pursuant to Section 8.3 of this LGIP, or after receipt of the draft LGIA but before Interconnection Customer has executed an LGIA or has requested that its LGIA be filed unexecuted, and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this LGIP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, LGIA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility), Interconnection Customer shall be charged ten percent (10%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(d) If Interconnection Customer has executed an LGIA or has requested that its LGIA be filed unexecuted and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this LGIP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, LGIA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility) and subsequently withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty shall be twenty percent (20%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

3.7.1.2 Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty.

3.7.1.2.1 Initial Distribution of Withdrawal Penalties Prior to Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

For a single cluster, System Operator shall hold all Withdrawal Penalty funds until all Interconnection Customers in that Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an LGIA; or (3) requested an LGIA to be filed unexecuted. Any Withdrawal Penalty funds collected from the Cluster shall first be used to fund studies conducted under the Cluster Study Process for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that have executed the LGIA or requested the LGIA to be filed unexecuted. Next, after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs in the same Cluster, System Operator will apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases, for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster, in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers that shared an obligation with the remaining Interconnection Customers to fund a Network Upgrade, as described in more detail in Sections 3.7.1.2.3 and 3.7.1.2.4.

Withdrawal Penalty funds shall first be applied as a refund to invoiced study costs for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that did not withdraw within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such Interconnection Customers executing their LGIA or requesting to have their LGIA filed unexecuted. Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty funds within one specific Cluster Study for study costs shall not exceed the total actual Cluster Study costs. Withdrawal Penalty funds applied to study costs shall be allocated within the same Cluster to Interconnection Customers in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this LGIP for allocating the costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. System Operator shall post the balance of Withdrawal Penalty funds held by System Operator but not yet dispersed on its OASIS site and update this posting on a quarterly basis.

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its LGIA, System Operator shall first apply such Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to any restudy costs required due to the Interconnection Customer's withdrawal as a credit to as-yet-to be invoiced study costs to be charged to the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this LGIP for allocating the

costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty funds for such restudy costs shall not exceed the total actual restudy costs.

3.7.1.2.2 Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the same Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs, System Operator will determine if the withdrawn Interconnection Customers, at any point in the Cluster Study Process, shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrades with any remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster based on the Cluster Study Report, Cluster Restudy Report(s), Interconnection Facilities Study Report, and any subsequent issued restudy report issued for the Cluster.

In section 3.7.1.2 of this LGIP, shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades refers to the cost of Network Upgrades still needed for the same Cluster for which an Interconnection Customer, prior to withdrawing its Interconnection Request, shared the obligation to fund along with Interconnection Customers that have executed an LGIA, or requested the LGIA to be filed unexecuted.

If System Operator's assessment determines that there are no shared cost assignments for any Network Upgrades in the same Cluster for the withdrawn Interconnection Customer, or determines that the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's withdrawal did not cause a net increase in the shared cost assignment for any remaining Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster, System Operator will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customer(s). Such remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds will be returned to withdrawn Interconnection Customers based on the proportion of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement required under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this LGIP). System Operator must make such disbursement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the date on which all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an LGIA; or (3) requested an LGIA to be filed unexecuted. For the withdrawn Interconnection Customers that System Operator determines have caused a net increase in the shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster under subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), System Operator will determine each such withdrawn Interconnection Customers' Withdrawal Penalty funds remaining balance that will be applied

toward net increases in Network Upgrade shared costs calculated under subsections 3.7.1.2.3(a) and 3.7.1.2.3(b) based on each such withdrawn Interconnection Customer's proportional contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the same Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement requirement under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this LGIP).

If the System Operator's assessment determines that there are shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades in the same Cluster, System Operator will calculate the remaining Interconnection Customers' net increase in cost assignment for Network Upgrades due to a shared cost assignment for Network Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer and distribute Withdrawal Penalty funds as described in Section 3.7.1.2.3, depending on whether the withdrawal occurred before the withdrawing Interconnection Customer executed the LGIA (or filed unexecuted), as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), or after such execution (or filing unexecuted) of an LGIA, as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b).

As discussed in subsection 3.7.1.2.4, System Operator will amend executed (or filed unexecuted) LGIAs of the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster to apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers on Interconnection Customers remaining in the same Cluster that had a shared cost assignment for Network Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customers.

3.7.1.2.3 Impact Calculations

3.7.1.2.3(a) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals During the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws before it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its LGIA, the System Operator will distribute in the following manner the Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment for a Network Upgrade with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer.

To calculate the reduction in the remaining Interconnection Customers' net increase in Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA, the

System Operator will determine the financial impact of a withdrawing Interconnection Customer on other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that shared an obligation to fund the same Network Upgrade(s). System Operator shall calculate this financial impact once all the Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster either: (1) have withdrawn or have been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an LGIA; or (3) request an LGIA to be filed unexecuted. System Operator will perform the financial impact calculation using the following steps.

First, System Operator must determine which withdrawn Interconnection Customers shared an obligation to fund Network Upgrades with Interconnection Customers from the same Cluster that have LGIAs that are executed or have been requested to be filed unexecuted. Next, System Operator shall perform the calculation of the financial impact of a withdrawal on another Interconnection Request in the same Cluster by performing a comparison of the Network Upgrade cost estimates between each of the following:

- (1) Cluster Study phase to Cluster Restudy phase (if Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (2) Cluster Restudy phase to Facilities Study phase (if a Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (3) Cluster Study phase to Facilities Study phase (if no Cluster Restudy was performed);
- (4) Facilities Study phase to any subsequent restudy that was performed before the execution or filing of an unexecuted LGIA;
- (5) the restudy to the executed, or filed unexecuted, LGIA (if a restudy was performed after the Facilities Study phase and before the execution or filing of an unexecuted LGIA).

If, based on the above calculations, System Operator determines:

- (i) that the costs assigned to an Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster for Network Upgrades that a withdrawn Interconnection Customer shared cost assignment for increased between any two studies, and

(ii) after the impacted Interconnection Customer's LGIA was executed or filed unexecuted, the Interconnection Customer's cost assignment for the relevant Network Upgrade is greater than it was prior to the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster that shared cost assignment for the Network Upgrade,

then System Operator shall apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs in the amount of the financial impact by reducing, in the same Cluster, the remaining Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA.

If System Operator determines that more than one Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster was financially impacted by the same withdrawn Interconnection Customer, System Operator will apply the relevant withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs to reduce the financial impact to each Interconnection Customer based on each Interconnection Customer's proportional share of the financial impact, as determined by either the proportional impact method if it is a System Network Upgrade or on a per capita basis if it is a Substation Network Upgrade, as described under Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP.

3.7.1.2.3(b) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals in the Same Cluster After the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its LGIA, System Operator will distribute in the following manner the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer for one or more Network Upgrades.

System Operator will determine the financial impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster within 30 calendar days after the withdrawal occurs. The System Operator will determine that financial impact by comparing the Network Upgrade cost funding obligations the Interconnection Customers shared with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer before the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer and after the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer. If that comparison indicates an increase in Network Upgrade costs for an Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall

apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to the increased costs each impacted Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster experienced associated with such Network Upgrade(s) in proportion to each Interconnection Customer's increased cost assignment, as determined by System Operator.

3.7.1.2.4 Amending LGIA to Apply Reductions to Interconnection Customer's Assigned Network Upgrade Costs and Associated Financial Security Requirement with Respect to Withdrawals in the Same Cluster

Within thirty (30) Calendar Days of all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster having: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an LGIA; or (3) requested an LGIA to be filed unexecuted, System Operator must perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a) of this LGIP and provide such Interconnection Customers with an amended LGIA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA, due from the Interconnection Customer to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Where an Interconnection Customer executes the LGIA (or requests the filing of an unexecuted LGIA) and is later withdrawn or its LGIA is terminated, System Operator must, within thirty (-30) Calendar Days of such withdrawal or termination, perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b) of this LGIP and provide such Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster with an amended LGIA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA, due from the Interconnection Customer to ~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Any repayment by ~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~ ~~System Operator~~ to Interconnection Customer under Article 11.34 of the pro forma LGIA of amounts advanced for Network Upgrades after the Generating Facility achieves Commercial Operation shall be limited to the Interconnection Customer's total amount of Network Upgrade costs paid and associated financial security provided to ~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~ ~~System Operator~~ under Article 11.5 of the pro forma LGIA.

3.7.1.2.5 Final Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty Funds

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, as appropriate, will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster net of the amount of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds applied to study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers.

3.8 Identification of Contingent Facilities.

System Operator shall identify Contingent Facilities before the execution of the LGIA by reviewing the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or the list of transmission projects planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System to identify those upgrades that are not yet in service but upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing. Planned or proposed upgrades will be identified as Contingent Facilities for an Interconnection Request if the absence of those upgrades would cause additional Adverse System Impacts to be identified in the ~~System Impact Cluster~~ Study, using the same conditions as those used in the ~~System Impact Cluster S~~ Study. The thresholds for identification of Adverse System Impact for the purpose of identifying Contingent Facilities will be as follows: (i) an increase in the flow in an element by at least two percent of the element's rating and that causes that flow to exceed that element's appropriate thermal rating by more than two percent where the appropriate thermal rating is the normal rating with all lines in service and the long time emergency or short time emergency rating after a contingency; (ii) a change of at least one percent in a voltage that causes a voltage level that is higher or lower than the appropriate high or low rating by more than one percent; (iii) an increase of at least a one percent change in the short circuit current experienced by an element and that causes a short circuit stress that is higher than an element's interrupting or withstand capability; or (iv) the introduction of a violation of stability criteria. Contingent Facilities that are identified during the evaluation of the Interconnection Request shall be documented in the ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study report or the LGIA for the Large Generating Facility. System Operator shall also provide, upon request of the Interconnection Customer, the estimated Interconnection Facility and/or Network

Upgrade costs and estimated in-service completion time for each identified Contingent Facilities when this information is readily available and not commercially sensitive.

3.9 Penalties for Failure to Meet Study Deadlines.

(1) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be subject to a penalty if it fails to complete a Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, Interconnection Facilities Study, or Affected Systems Study by the applicable deadline set forth in this LGIP. The responsibilities of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the conduct of such studies are set forth in the Transmission Operating Agreement, and ISO New England Planning Procedures. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for each late Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, and Interconnection Facilities Study on a pro rata basis per Interconnection Request to all Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from System Operator's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for a late Affected Systems Study on a pro rata basis per interconnection request to all Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant Affected System Study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from the host transmission provider's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. Except as provided below, the study delay penalty for each late study shall be distributed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed.

(2) For penalties assessed in accordance with this Section, the penalty amount will be equal to: \$1,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this LGIP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Restudies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this LGIP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Affected System Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this LGIP; and \$2,500 per Business Day for delays of Interconnection Facilities Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this LGIP. The total amount of a penalty assessed under this Section shall not exceed: (a) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit(s) received for all of the Interconnection Requests in the Cluster for Cluster Studies and Cluster Restudies; (b) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit received for the single Interconnection Request in the study for Facilities Studies; and (c) one hundred percent (100%) of the study deposit(s) that System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner collects for conducting the Affected System Study.

(3) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may appeal to the Commission any penalties imposed under this Section. Any such appeal must be filed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed. While an appeal to the Commission is pending, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall remain liable for the penalty, but need not distribute the penalty until forty-five (45) Calendar Days after (1) the deadline for filing a rehearing request has ended, if no requests for rehearing of the appeal have been filed, or (2) the date that any requests for rehearing of the Commission's decision on the appeal are no longer pending before the Commission. The Commission may excuse System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner from penalties under this Section for good cause.

(4) No penalty will be assessed under this Section where a study is delayed by ten (10) Business Days or less. If the study is delayed by more than ten (10) Business Days, the penalty amount will be calculated from the first Business Day the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner misses the applicable study deadline.

(5) If (a) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner needs to extend the deadline for a particular study subject to penalties under this Section and (b) all Interconnection Customers or Affected System Interconnection Customers included in the relevant study mutually agree to such an extension, the deadline for that study shall be extended thirty (30) Business Days from the original deadline. In such a scenario, no penalty will be assessed for System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner missing the original deadline.

(6) No penalties shall be assessed until the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after the Commission-approved effective date of System Operator's filing made in compliance with the Final Rule in Docket No. RM22-14-000.

(7) System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain on its OASIS or its public website summary statistics related to penalties assessed under this Section, updated quarterly. For each calendar quarter, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must calculate and post (1) the total amount of penalties assessed under this Section during the previous reporting quarter and (2) the highest penalty assessed under this Section paid to a single Interconnection Customer or Affected System Interconnection Customer during the previous reporting quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must post on their respective OASIS or website these penalty amounts for each

calendar quarter within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the end of the calendar quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain the quarterly measures posted on their respective OASIS or website for three (3) calendar years with the first required posting to be the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after System Operator -transitions to the Cluster Study Process.

SECTION 4. ~~QUEUE POSITION~~INTERCONNECTION REQUEST EVALUATION PROCESS.

4.1 ~~General~~Queue Position.

4.1.1 Assignment of Queue Position.

System Operator shall assign a Queue Position based upon the date and time of receipt of the valid Interconnection Request ~~provided all items required pursuant to the provisions of Section 3.4 of this LGIP are received. A higher Queue Position assigned to an Interconnection Request is one that has been placed “earlier” in the queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is assigned a lower Queue Position. All requests studied in a single Cluster Study shall be considered equally queued. Interconnection Customers that are part of a Cluster Studys initiated earlier in time than an instant Cluster Study shall be considered to have a higher Queue Position than Interconnection Customers that are part of Cluster Studiess initiated later than an instant Cluster Study.~~

~~;~~ ~~provided that, if the sole reason an Interconnection Request is not valid is the lack of required information on the application form in Appendix 1 to this LGIP, and Interconnection Customer provides such information in accordance with Section 3.4.3, then System Operator shall assign Interconnection Customer a Queue Position based on the date the application form was originally submitted. Except as otherwise provided in this Section 4.1, the Queue Position of each Interconnection Request will be used to determine: (i) the order of performing the Interconnection Studies; (ii) the order in which Interconnection Requests will be eligible for inclusion in the CSIS and CFAC; (iii) the order in which Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service will be included in the CNR Group Study; and (iv) the cost responsibility for the facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. A higher queued Interconnection Request is one that has been placed “earlier” in the queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is lower queued.~~

Any ongoing A-CSIS or-and CFACs as of May 1, 2024 shall include the Interconnection Requests that were identified as eligible to participate in the CSIS and CFAC and met the associated requirements for inclusion in said studies in accordance with Section 4.2 of this LGIP. ~~An~~ Interconnection Requests included in such a CSIS or CFAC-cluster shall consider a higher queued Interconnection Request not included in the cluster. A lower queued Interconnection Request that is not included in such a CSIS or CFAC shall consider all of the higher queued Interconnection Requests that are part of the such a CSIS or CFAC

4.1.1 Considerations Related to Order of Interconnection Requests in the CNR Group Study Achieving CNR Interconnection Service

Participation in a CNR Group Study ~~was required shall be a prerequisite~~ to achieve CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service prior to September 1, 2024. ~~The CNR Group Study (to be conducted in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff) shall include all Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service that have an associated New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window for the purpose of qualification for participation in the same Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period, in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2 of the Tariff, as well as Long Lead Facilities in accordance with Section 3.2.3. Where a CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with a lower Queue Position is associated with a New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted for qualification to participate in a particular Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period and another CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position is not associated with a New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted for qualification until a subsequent Forward Capacity Auction, the CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with the lower Queue Position will be included in the CNR Group Study prior to the CNR Interconnection Service or the CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with the higher Queue Position.~~

~~However, where an Interconnection Customer with a CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request submits a New Capacity Show of Interest Form for qualification to participate in a particular Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period and identifies in that New Capacity Show of Interest Form one or more Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for an Internal~~

~~ETU that is not already included in the network model pursuant to Section III.12 of the Tariff for the particular Forward Capacity Auction, the CNR Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study at the lowest of the CNR Interconnection Request's or its associated Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for the Internal ETU's Queue Position. Where multiple Interconnection Customers' CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests are associated with the same lower Queue Position for an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU in the CNR Group Study, the CNR Interconnection Request's Queue Position will be used as the tie breaker to dictate the relative order in which the CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Generating Facility or that is associated with an Import Capacity Resource in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is treated as a Conditional Qualified New Resource, in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f) of the Tariff, may be responsible for the facilities and upgrades associated with an overlapping CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request having a higher Queue Position if the Conditional Qualified New Resource obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13.2.5 of the Tariff.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a lower queued CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Generating Facility or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for an Elective Transmission Upgrade that has achieved Commercial Operation and obtained CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively, may be responsible for additional facilities and upgrades if the related higher queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and obtains CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively. In such circumstance, Appendix A to the Interconnection Agreement for the lower queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request shall specify the facilities and upgrades for which the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible if the higher queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and obtains CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively.~~

After September 1, 2024, the Transitional Cluster Study, Transitional CNR Group Study or Cluster Study processes shall be the only means for Generating Facilities subject to the Interconnection Procedures to achieve CNR Interconnection Service.

~~Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in Base Case for the Transitional Cluster Study or a Cluster Study the ~~CNR Group Study~~ in order of submission/approval (~~the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and~~ the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates) provided that such Section I.3.9 approval was received at least ninety (90) Calendar Days after the formation of the Base Case consistent with Section 2.3 of this LGIP. ~~Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in the CNR Group Study in order of submission/approval (the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates).~~ Interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates that have not yet received the System Operator's approval for their Proposed Plan Applications under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff at the commencement of the CNR Group Study shall be included in the CNR Group Study after all Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and all interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff have been included in the CNR Group Study in order of submission to the Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates.~~

4.2 ~~Clustering~~ **General Study Process.**

~~Clustering~~-Interconnection Studies performed using clustering within the Cluster Study Process shall be conducted in such a manner to ensure the efficient implementation of the applicable Regional System Plan in light of the New England Transmission System's capabilities for the time period under study and consistent with Good Utility Practice.

The System Operator may use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process. ~~If the System Operator elects to use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process, System Operator must publish the criteria used to define and determine subgroups on its OASIS or public website prior to the opening of a Cluster Request Window, study an Interconnection Request serially to the extent warranted by Good Utility Practice based upon the electrical remoteness of the proposed Large Generating Facility.~~

4.2.1 ~~Triggers for CRPS~~ **Studying Interconnection Requests in Clusters.**

~~The System Operator, at its~~ At its discretion, may initiate a CRPS pursuant to Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, when it identifies any of the following interconnection circumstances: ~~have been in previous interconnection studies, or where the ISO has been notified the likelihood in the future of Interconnection Requests will be studied in clusters for the purpose of the Interconnection System Impact Study and the Interconnection Facilities Study when the combination of the following circumstances is present in the interconnection queue:~~ (a) there are

- (1) the withdrawal from the Cluster Study Process of two (2) or more Interconnection Requests for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area; or
- (2) where procurements are underway for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area;

and, none of the resources described in (1) or (2) above will be able to interconnect to the Administered Transmission System without the use of common significant new transmission line infrastructure rated at or above 115 kV AC or HVDC.

System Operator may also initiate a CRPS in an electrical part of the New England Control Area where System Operator previously identified the need for a CETU to interconnect new resources.
~~two (2) or more Interconnection Requests without completed Interconnection System Impact Studies in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area based on the requested Point of~~

~~Interconnection, and (b) the System Operator determined that none of the Interconnection Requests identified in (a) of this Section 4.2.1 will be able to interconnect, either individually or on a cluster basis, without the use of common significant new transmission line infrastructure rated at or above 115 kV AC or HVDC.~~

4.2.2 Notice of Initiation of CRPS Cluster Studies.

When the ~~System Operator identifies the interconnection circumstances in combination of the triggers specified in~~ Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP ~~are present in the interconnection queue~~, the System Operator will provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a ~~CRPS~~ cluster for studying certain ~~Interconnection Requests under the Regional System Planning Process~~ in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff. ~~The System Operator will perform a CRPS to identify the CETU and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources for which the interconnection circumstances described in Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP were identified, consistent with Section 15.2 of Attachment K. The results of the CRPS performed under Attachment K will inform the Cluster entry process and requirements for Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities that need the CETU to meet the interconnection standards in Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of the OATT. The System Operator will provide notice to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Request identified as needing the CETU to meet the interconnection standards prior to the Cluster Scoping Meeting.~~

~~The System Operator also will provide notice to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP, and at the time the System Operator notifies the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a cluster, all study work for these Interconnection Requests will be suspended and they will proceed under Section 4.2 of this LGIP. The System Operator will conduct Clustering in two phases. In the first phase, the System Operator will perform a CRPS to identify the CETU and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources proposed in the Interconnection Requests considered in Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP, consistent with Section 15.2 of Attachment K. In the second phase, the System Operator will conduct a CSIS and a CFAC to study the Interconnection Requests identified through the CRPS that have elected to participate in the CSIS together with the identified CETU and associated system upgrades, in accordance with this LGIP.~~

~~Within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the System Operator's notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of the use of Clustering for studying certain Interconnection Requests under the Regional System Planning Process in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff,~~

~~Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in Section 4.2.1 shall submit the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable), to support the conduct of the CRPS.~~

4.2.3 Entry Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

Cluster Interconnection

4.2.3.1 Cluster Entry Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

4.2.3.1.1 CRPS Completed Prior to Transitional Cluster Study For a CRPS that was completed prior to the start of the Transitional Cluster Study ~~and for which a CSIS has not commenced, all~~ Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report, by Queue Position as assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this LGIP, shall be eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster Study under Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP. By the deadline to return the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster Study must, in writing:

- (1) withdraw the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7; or
- (2) request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2, (except for the Commercial Readiness Deposit), and submit to the System Operator the initial CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this LGIP. Such deposit shall be in cash.

If, by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, Interconnection Customer fails to withdraw its Interconnection Request or request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study and meet the requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1, then the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure. If Interconnection Customer elects option (2) above and does not meet all of the ~~CSIS~~ entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1 by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of that date without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of an otherwise incomplete Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements submission, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

4.2.3.1.2 CRPS Initiated After the Transitional Cluster Study All Interconnection Requests that, based on the a final CRPS report that the System Operator has completed pursuant to Attachment K after the Transitional Cluster Study, reasonably expect to, or have been notified by System Operator that they need, the CETU and associated system upgrades identified in that final CRPS report must request to be included in the Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2 (with the exception of the Commercial Readiness Deposit), and submit to the System Operator the CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this LGIP. Such deposit shall be in cash. If Interconnection Customer does not meet all of the entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.2 by the close of the Cluster Request Window, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of the incomplete Interconnection Request, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

Where a CRPS under Attachment K, has not been completed prior to the opening of a Cluster Entry Window, Interconnection Requests in the electrical part of the system subject to the CRPS will be eligible to participate in the next Cluster Study following completion of the CRPS.

4.2.3.2. System Impact Study.

~~4.2.3.1 Notice of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Deadline.~~

~~At the same time the System Operator issues the final CRPS report to the Planning Advisory Committee in accordance with Section 15.4 of Attachment K, the System Operator will provide notice of the entry deadline for the CSIS (the “Cluster Entry Deadline”) to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to participate in the CSIS. The Cluster Entry Deadline shall be thirty (30) Calendar Days from the posting of the final CRPS report.~~

~~4.2.3.2 Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Requirements.~~

~~All Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report, by Queue Position as assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this LGIP, shall be eligible to be studied together in the CSIS.~~

~~4.2.3.2.1 Cluster Entry Deadline Election. By the Cluster Entry Deadline, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to be studied in the CSIS must, in writing:~~

~~withdraw the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7;~~

~~request that the System Operator re-assign the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request a new Queue Position at the bottom of the queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline in relative order with any other Interconnection Requests requesting to be re-queued under this Section 4.2.3.2.1; or~~

~~request to be included in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2.2.~~

~~If, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, Interconnection Customer fails to withdraw its Interconnection Request, request to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, or request to be included in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements, then the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If Interconnection Customer elects option (iii) and does not meet all of the CSIS entry requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2.2 by the Cluster Entry Deadline, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If an initial Cluster Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of the incomplete CSIS entry requirements submission, the initial Cluster Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.~~

~~4.2.3.2.2 CSIS Entry Requirements. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to be studied in the CSIS that elects option (iii) under Section 4.2.3.2.1 must meet the following CSIS entry requirements in order to be included in the CSIS:~~

~~(1) Cluster System Impact Study Application. By the Cluster Entry Deadline, Interconnection Customer must submit to the System Operator a completed Cluster System Impact Study Application in the form specified in Appendix 1, Attachment A-2 of this LGIP, requesting the inclusion of the Interconnection Request in the CSIS;~~

~~(2) System Impact Study Agreement, Study Deposit, Technical Data, and Site Control.~~

~~If an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement has been executed prior to the issuance of the final CRPS report identifying the Interconnection Request as eligible for inclusion in a CSIS, such agreement shall terminate upon execution of a new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement in accordance with this Section 4.2.3.2.2, and any unused balance of the study deposit associated with the terminated agreement shall be applied toward the study deposit associated with the new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.~~

~~Within fifteen (15) Business Days following the Cluster Entry Deadline, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner will provide to Interconnection Customer an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, including a non-binding good faith estimate of the costs and timeframe for commencing and completing the CSIS. The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to the System Operator no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt along with continued demonstration of Site Control, the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable), and a refundable study deposit, to the extent that any additional study deposit is required, in accordance with Section 7.2 of this L~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit for CETU Eligible Interconnection Requests~~the CSIS. By the close of the Cluster Entry DeadlineRequest Window, Interconnection Customer ~~also~~ must submit to the System Operator, for a CETU eligible project, an initial Cluster Participation D deposit equal to five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CRPS report. If the System Operator subsequently identifies that an Internal ETU has met the requirements to take the place of a CETU, or portion thereof, pursuant to Section-s 5.1.1.2 and 7.34.2.3.4 of this LGIP, the initial CETU~~uster~~ Participation Deposit will be reduced to exclude the costs associated with the CETU, or portion thereof, that is being replaced by the Internal ETU, and the Interconnection Customer shall be refunded the corresponding amount. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The ~~initial CETU Participation Deposit~~ initial Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit~~ will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP) to Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that met the cluster entry requirements~~CETU Participation Deposit~~ cluster entry requirements: (i) if the CETU SIS is initially undersubscribed by more

than ten (10) percent of the quantity of megawatts that the CETU developed through the CRPS was designed to enable and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, before the ~~Cluster Study~~CSIS starts, (ii) if the ~~CETUSIS~~ is initially oversubscribed as described in Section 4.2.3.3-2 of this LGIP (e.g., the CETU developed through the CRPS is designed to enable 1,000 MW and more than 1,000 MW meet the ~~CSIS-Cluster Study or Transitional Cluster Study~~ entry requirements ~~by the Cluster Entry Deadline~~), in which case the ~~CETU Participation Deposit Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposits -will be refunded to Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests corresponding to the oversubscribed megawatt quantities, ~~or~~ (iii) if the cost estimates for the CETU and the associated system upgrades provided in the final CRPS report for the entire cluster have increased by twenty-five (25) percent or more when compared to the cost estimates provided in the draft ~~Transitional Cluster Study Report, draft Cluster Study~~CSIS Rreport, or the draft ~~Facilities Study~~CFAC Rreport and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft ~~Transitional Cluster Study Report, draft CSIS-Cluster Study R~~report or the draft ~~CFAC-Facilities Study~~ report in accordance with Sections 7.35 and 8.3 of this LGIP, respectively, (iv) ~~if at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this LGIP, or (v) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue.~~ (iv) ~~if less than two (2) Interconnection Requests included in the CSIS or CFAC remain in the interconnection queue during the CSIS or CFAC, as applicable, in which case, the CSIS or the CFAC terminates and the remaining Interconnection Request proceeds in serial queue order, (v) at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this LGIP, (vi) if no Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the cluster executes an Interconnection Agreement and provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this LGIP, or (vii) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue.~~

Otherwise, the ~~CETU eligible project deposit initial Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit -shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue at any time after the ~~Customer Engagement Window~~Cluster Entry Deadline. The non-refundable ~~CETU Participation Deposit initial Cluster Participation Deposits~~ shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology

contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.2.3.3 CETU Filling and Oversubscription

For purposes of the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area that the System Operator previously identified as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and that met the Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements by the Cluster Request Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS report as potentially enabled by the CETU. The Interconnection Requests will be included Transitional Cluster Study in queue order, based on the Queue Positions assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this LGIP, relative to other eligible Interconnection Requests. In the event that the CETU is filled and lower queued Interconnection Requests remain, such requests shall be withdrawn by System Operator, any all remaining deposits will be refunded, and System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.

For Cluster Studies, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area submitted during the next Cluster Request Window following the publication of the final CRPS report that the System Operator determines need the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and meet the Cluster Study entry requirements by the close of the Cluster Entry Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS as potentially enabled by the CETU. If the Interconnection Requests identified by the System Operator as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report that elect to enter the Cluster Study exceed the quantity of megawatts identified as potentially enabled by the CETU in the final CRPS report, the System Operator shall fill the CETU first with Interconnection Requests that have been selected in, or are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals. In the event that the CETU is filled and additional Interconnection Requests are not able to be included, such requests will not proceed into the Cluster Study, all deposits will be refunded, and System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.

~~**4.2.3.3.3 Cluster Backfilling Upon Withdrawal.** Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that is included in the CSIS, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS, in queue order, with later-queued Interconnection Requests consistent with the methodology used to fill the original CSIS as specified in Section 4.2.3.3.1 of this LGIP. The System Operator will notify all Interconnection Customers with~~

~~Interconnection Requests identified by the System Operator as eligible for backfilling that the respective Large Generating Facility (or part thereof) proposed in the Interconnection Request is eligible to participate in the CSIS, and the Interconnection Customer shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of System Operator's notice to withdraw its Interconnection Request, request to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, or accept the inclusion of the Interconnection Request (or part thereof, in which case the Interconnection Customer shall modify the Interconnection Request to reflect the appropriate reduction) in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements, consistent with Section 4.2.3.2 of this LGIP. If the Interconnection Customer does not make one of these three elections and complete the associated requirements by the thirtieth Calendar Day, the System Operator shall automatically withdraw the Interconnection Request from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure and consider other later queued Interconnection Requests.~~

~~**4.2.3.4 Scope of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.** Except as otherwise provided in this Section 4.2.3.4, the CSIS study shall be conducted in accordance with Sections 7.3, and 7.4 and 7.5 of this LGIP. The Study Case developed for the CSIS shall also include the CETU and associated system upgrades identified in the final CRPS report. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the CSIS, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated in the Cluster Application Form or with the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU. The CSIS shall evaluate the proposed interconnections to the New England Transmission System under the NC Interconnection Standard consistent with Section 3.2.2 of this LGIP and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CSIS shall consist of the analysis specified in Section 7.3 of this LGIP except for analysis associated with an Interconnection Feasibility Study or a preliminary, non-binding, analysis. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request being studied as part of the CSIS cannot elect to have the Interconnection Feasibility Study or a preliminary, non-binding, analysis performed as part of the CSIS.~~

~~**4.2.3.5. Restudy of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.** In addition to the circumstances specified in Section 7.6 of this LGIP, a re-study of the CSIS is required due to the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CSIS. Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection~~

~~Request that had been included in the CSIS, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS with eligible Interconnection Requests pursuant to Section 4.2.3.3.3. A re-study will be conducted to determine if there are any changes in the upgrades identified during the CSIS with the exception of the CETU identified in the final CRPS report, which shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) considered in the final CRPS report.~~

4.2.4. Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

The following provisions shall only apply to Interconnection Customers that executed a CFAC prior to the effective date of this LGIP.

Notwithstanding any other provision in this LGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS will not be eligible to waive the, or request a separate, CFAC. All Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS shall be studied together in the CFAC for the purpose of implementing the conclusions of the CSIS with respect to non-sole use facilities.

4.2.4.1 Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study Entry Requirements. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that was included in a completed CSIS shall execute an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator, together with the required technical data and refundable deposit for the Interconnection Facilities Study as specified in Section 8.1 of this LGIP.

4.2.4.2. Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study. The CFAC will be conducted in accordance with Sections 8.2 and 8.3 of this LGIP based on a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate.

4.2.4.3 Re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study. In addition to the circumstances specified in Section 8.5 of this LGIP, a re-study of the CFAC is required due to the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CFAC. ~~Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS with eligible Interconnection Requests pursuant to Section 4.2.3.3.3.~~ A re-study of the CSIS and CFAC will be conducted to determine if there are any changes in the upgrades identified during the CSIS and CFAC with the exception of the CETU identified

in the final CRPS report, which shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) considered in the final CRPS report.

4.2.4.4 Additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the final CFAC report in accordance with Section 8.3 of this LGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC shall submit to the System Operator an additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit equal to five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CFAC report. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit provided under this Section 4.2.4 will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP) to the Interconnection Customer that submitted the additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit ~~if (i) at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this LGIP or (ii) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue.~~

Otherwise, the additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue. The non-refundable additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.3 Transferability of Queue Position.

An Interconnection Customer may transfer its Queue Position to another entity only if such entity acquires the specific Generating Facility identified in the Interconnection Request and the Point of Interconnection does not change. The Interconnection Customer must notify the System Operator, in

writing, of any transfers of Queue Position and must provide the System Operator with the transferee's contact information, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

4.4 Modifications.

The Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in writing, modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request, including its attachments. The Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position if the modifications are in accordance with Sections 4.4.1, ~~or~~ 4.4.4, ~~or~~ 7.5 of this LGIP, or are determined not to be Material Modifications pursuant to Section 4.4.2 of this LGIP. The System Operator will notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and, when System Operator deems it appropriate in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, it will notify any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party of such modifications.

~~A new Interconnection Request shall be required to~~A request to: (1) increase the energy capability or capacity capability output of a Generating Facility above that specified in an Interconnection Request, an existing Interconnection Agreement (whether executed or filed in unexecuted form with the Commission), or as established pursuant to Section 5.2 of this LGIP ~~shall require a new Interconnection Request for the incremental increase to be submitted during a future Customer Entry Window and such Interconnection Request will receive the lowest Queue Position available at that time for the purposes of cost allocation and study analysis; or and~~ (2) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service, at any time, ~~shall require a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service and such Interconnection Request to be submitted during a future Customer Request Window will receive the lowest Queue Position available at that time for the purposes of cost allocation and study analysis.~~ Notwithstanding the foregoing, for Interconnection Requests deemed valid prior to June 1, 2020, the following timeout rules shall apply: (1) an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service has until the Forward Capacity Auction for which the associated Capacity Commitment Period begins less than seven (7) years (or the years agreed to pursuant to Section 3.4.1 or Section 4.4.5) from the date of the original Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service to clear the entire megawatt amount for which CNR Interconnection Service was requested; and, (2) a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service will be required for the Generating Facility to participate in any subsequent auctions. ~~The foregoing timeout rules shall not apply to Interconnection Requests deemed valid after May 31, 2020.~~

During the course of the Interconnection Studies, ~~either~~ the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, may identify changes to the planned interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes do not constitute a Material Modification and are acceptable to the Parties, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall modify the Point of Interconnection ~~prior to the completion of a executed Cluster Study Agreement and/or configuration in accordance with such changes and proceed with any re-studies necessary to do so in accordance with Section 6.4, Section 7.6 and Section 8.5 as applicable~~ and Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position.

4.4.1 Prior to the ~~return commencement~~ of the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study Cluster Study Agreement or~~ Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, modifications permitted under this Section shall include specifically: (a) a decrease of up to 60 percent of electrical output (MW) of the proposed Large Generating Facility, through either (1) a decrease in facility size or (2) a decrease in Interconnection Service level (consistent with the process described in Section 3.1 of this LGIP) accomplished by applying System Operator-approved injection-limiting equipment proposed by the Interconnection Customer and subject to review in the Interconnection System Impact Study; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Large Generating Facility technology or the Large Generating Facility step-up transformer impedance characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration. ~~Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Interconnection Customer may decrease the electrical output of a proposed Large Generating Facility after the Cluster Entry Deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1 of this LGIP; however, the requesting Interconnection Customer remains responsible for costs corresponding to the megawatt quantity requested as of the Cluster Entry Deadline.~~

4.4.2 Prior to making any modification other than those specifically permitted by Sections 4.4.1, ~~and~~ 4.4.4, or 7.5 of this LGIP, Interconnection Customer may first request that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner evaluate whether such modification is a Material Modification. In response to Interconnection Customer's request, the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and

confidentiality requirements, shall evaluate, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, the proposed modifications prior to making them and the System Operator will inform the Interconnection Customer in writing of whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification. Any change to the Point of Interconnection, except those deemed acceptable under Sections 3.1.2 or 4.4.1, 6.1, 7.2 or so allowed elsewhere, shall constitute a Material Modification. The Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

~~4.4.3.1~~ Interconnection Customer may request, and System Operator shall evaluate, the addition to the Interconnection Request of a Generating Facility with the same Point of Interconnection indicated in the initial Interconnection Request, if the addition of the Generating Facility does not increase the requested Interconnection Service level. System Operator must evaluate such modifications prior to deeming them a Material Modification, but only if Interconnection Customer submits them prior to the return of the executed Facilities Study Agreement by Interconnection Customer to System Operator. Interconnection Customers requesting that such a modification be evaluated must demonstrate the required Site Control at the time such request is made.

~~4.4.43~~ Upon receipt of Interconnection Customer's request for modification that does not constitute a Material Modification and therefore is permitted under this Section 4.4, the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall commence and perform any necessary additional studies as soon as practicable, but in no event shall the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or Affected Party or Internal Affected Party commence such studies later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receiving notice of Interconnection Customer's request. Any additional studies resulting from such modification shall be done at Interconnection Customer's cost. - Any such request for modification of the Interconnection Request must be accompanied by any resulting updates to the models described in Attachment A to the Appendix 1 of this LGIP.

~~4.4.54~~ Extensions of less than three (3) cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date of the Large Generating Facility to which the Interconnection Request relates are not material and should be handled through construction sequencing, provided that the extension(s) do not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request was received by the

System Operator. For purposes of this section, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the initial Interconnection Request shall be used to calculate the permissible extension prior to Interconnection Customer executing an LGIA or requesting that the LGIA be filed unexecuted. After an LGIA is executed or requested to be filed unexecuted, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the LGIA shall be used to calculate the permissible extension. Each cumulative extensions may not exceed three years including both extensions requested after execution of the LGIA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted LGIA by System Operator and those requested prior to execution of the LGIA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted LGIA by System Operator.

4.4.65 Extensions of three (3) or more cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date of the Large Generating Facility to which the Interconnection Request relates or any extension of a duration that results in the Initial Synchronization Date exceeding the date the Interconnection Request was received by the System Operator by seven (7) or more years is a Material Modification unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates to the System Operator due diligence, including At-Risk Expenditures, in pursuit of permitting, licensing and construction of the Large Generating Facility to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date provided in the Interconnection Request. Such demonstration shall be based on evidence to be provided by the Interconnection Customer of accomplishments in permitting, licensing, and construction in an effort to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date provided in this Interconnection Request. Such evidence may include filed documents, records of public hearings, governmental agency findings, documentation of actual construction progress or documentation acceptable to the System Operator showing At-Risk Expenditure made previously, including the previous four (4) months. If the evidence demonstrates that the Interconnection Customer did not undertake reasonable efforts to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date specified in the Interconnection Request, or demonstrates that reasonable efforts were not undertaken until four (4) months prior to the request for extension, the request for extension shall constitute a Material Modification. The Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed Material Modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION.

5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests, Procedures for Transitioning to the Cluster Study Process

5.1.1 ~~Any Interconnection Customer assigned a Queue Position prior to March 19, 2020, shall retain that Queue Position subject to Section 4.4 of the LGIP. Any Interconnection Customer assigned a Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this LGIP) shall retain that Queue Position subject to the requirements in Sections 5.1.1.1 and 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP. Any Interconnection Customer that fails to meet the entry requirements shall have its Interconnection Request deemed withdrawn by System Operator pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP without further opportunity to cure. In such case, System Operator shall not assess the Interconnection Customer any Withdrawal Penalty.~~

~~Any Interconnection Customer that has received a final Interconnection Facilities Study Report before the commencement of the studies under the transition process set forth in this section shall be tendered an LGIA pursuant to Section 11 of this LGIP, and shall not be required to enter this transition process.~~

~~System Operator shall not accept Interconnection Requests submitted after the thirty (30) Calendar Day period described in this section until the first Cluster Request Window opens.~~

5.1.1.1 Transitional Serial Study.~~If an Interconnection Study Agreement has not been executed prior to March 19, 2020, then such Interconnection Study, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies, shall be processed in accordance with the version of this LGIP in effect on March 19, 2020 (or as revised thereafter).~~ ~~An Interconnection Customer that has been tendered an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement (other than a CFAC Agreement) as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this LGIP) may opt to proceed with an Interconnection Facilities Study or proceed directly to LGIA negotiations. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 8 to this LGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP. System Operator shall proceed with the Interconnection Facilities Study, provided that the Interconnection Customer: (1) meets each of the following requirements; and (2) executes the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection~~

Request shall be deemed withdrawn without further opportunity to cure and without penalty. System Operator must commence the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this LGIP.

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

(1) A deposit equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the costs identified for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades in Interconnection Customer's system impact study report. If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the deposit shall be trued up to actual costs once they are known and applied to future construction costs described in Interconnection Customer's eventual LGIA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 12.2 of the pro forma LGIA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, System Operator shall refund the remaining deposit after the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled. The deposit shall be in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this LGIP.

(2) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed Generating Facility.

(3) A study deposit in the amount of the greater of \$250,000 (for new NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service requests), \$100,000 (for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service or changes from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service) or estimated study costs

Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator shall conduct each Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study and issue the associated Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities

Study Report within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP.

After System Operator issues each Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this LGIP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty shall be imposed on Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this LGIP).

5.1.1.2 Transitional Cluster Study

~~If an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed prior to March 19, 2020 and is actively under study, such Interconnection Study shall be completed in accordance with the terms of such agreement. If an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed prior to March 19, 2020, but the Interconnection Study has not commenced, such Interconnection Study shall be completed, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies shall be processed, in accordance with the version of the LGIP in effect on March 19, 2020. Interconnection Studies for Interconnection Requests seeking to interconnect into the Northern and Western Maine parts of the New England Control Area that do not have a completed Interconnection System Impact Study by November 1, 2017 shall be included in the Maine Resource Integration Study, which shall be the first CRPS. The Interconnection Customers identified in the Maine Resource Integration Study as eligible to participate in the associated Cluster System Impact Study shall make one of the elections and complete the associated requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this LGIP within thirty (30) Calendar Days from the later of November 1, 2017 or the issuance of the final Maine Resource Integration Study report. If the Interconnection Customer does not make one of the elections and complete the associated requirements by the thirtieth Calendar Day, the System Operator shall automatically withdraw the Interconnection Request from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure. An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024~~insert filing date (the filing date of this LGIP) may opt to proceed with a Transitional Cluster Study. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this LGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP. System Operator shall proceed with the Transitional Cluster Study that includes each Interconnection Customer that: (1) meets each of the following

requirements listed as (1) – (543) in this section; and (2) executes the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP. All Interconnection Requests that enter the Transitional Cluster Study shall be considered to have an equal Queue Position that is lower than Interconnection Customer(s) proceeding with Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn without penalty and with no further opportunity to cure. System Operator must commence the Transitional Cluster Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. All identified Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrade costs shall be allocated according to Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP. Transitional Cluster Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this LGIP. Interconnection Customers for which the System Operator projects to complete the system impact studies between May 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024, shall be tendered a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this LGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP. However, if the Interconnection Customer accepts the results of its system impact study on or before July 1, 2024, the System Operator shall not include the Interconnection Request in the Transitional Cluster Study and instead tender a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section 11 of this LGIP, and refund any deposits associated with participation in the Transitional Cluster Study.

Notwithstanding any other provision, an Interconnection Customer with a valid Queue Position prior to May 1, 2024 that includes a Commercial Operation Date earlier than March 31, 2028, may make a one-time extension to its requested Commercial Operation Date upon entry into the Transitional Cluster Study, where any such extension shall not result in a Commercial Operation Date later than March 31, 2028

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement:

- (1) A selection of either Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNR Interconnection Service to NR Interconnection Service if the System Operator identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing

conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NR Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NR Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.

(2) A deposit of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) for Interconnection Requests seeking NR Interconnection Service -or CNR Interconnection Service, and one million (\$1,000,000) for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests ~~and are~~ seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service. The deposit shall be ~~in~~ the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this LGIP.- If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the deposit shall be reconciled with and applied towards future construction costs described in the LGIA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 12.2 of the pro forma LGIA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, System Operator must refund the remaining deposit once the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled.

(3) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed Generating Facility.

(4) A study deposit in the amount of \$250,000- for Interconnection Requests seeking NR Interconnection or CNR Interconnection Service, and one hundred thousand (\$100,000) for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service. Any unused balance of the study deposit associated with the Interconnection Request shall be applied toward the study deposit associated with the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement.

(5) All technical data required under Appendix 1, Attachment A and Attachment A-1 (if applicable) of this LGIP to the extent the Interconnection Customer has not already provided such data.

System Operator shall conduct the Transitional Cluster Study and issue both an associated interim Transitional Cluster Study Report and an associated final Transitional Cluster Study Report. The Study Case for the Transitional Cluster Study shall include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS Report prior to the opening of the Transitional Cluster Study, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Transitional Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU.

The interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of Contingent Facilities
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and
- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

In addition to the information provided in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades required to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System that resolve issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

The interim and final Transitional Cluster Study Reports shall be issued within three hundred (300) and three hundred sixty (360) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this LGIP, respectively, and shall be posted on System Operator's OASIS consistent with the posting of other study results pursuant to Section 3.5.1 of this LGIP. Interconnection Customer shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days to comment on the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, once it has been received.

After System Operator issues the final Transitional Cluster Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this LGIP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty will be imposed on Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this LGIP).

5.1.1.3 Transitional CNR Group Study

In accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3A, System Operator shall conduct a Transitional CNR Group Study following the effective date of this LGIP. An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 may participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and consistent with Section II.48 of the Tariff, achieve CNR Interconnection Service. Any Interconnection Customer seeking to establish CNR Interconnection Service through this study must (1) have a valid Interconnection Request seeking CNR Interconnection Service, (2) submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form to participate in the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process, (3) have not secured a Capacity Supply Obligation -prior to September 1, 2024 , (4) have a completed System Impact Study or Interconnection Agreement establishing NR Interconnection Service, and 5) have a Commercial Operation Date prior to June 1, 2028.

System Operator shall conduct the study by performing an overlapping impacts analysis in the manner used for CNR Group Studies conducted prior to the effective date of this LGIP and as described in ISO Section III.13.1.1.2.3A and the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The Transitional CNR Group Study shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission/approval (the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates). Interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates that have not yet received the System Operator's approval for their Proposed Plan Applications under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff at the commencement of the Transitional CNR Group Study shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study after all Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and all interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff have been included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission to the Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates.

Where an Interconnection Customer with a CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request submits a Show of Interest Form to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and identifies in that Show of Interest Form that one or more Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for an Internal ETU (with a completed Interconnection System Impact Study), that is not already included in the network model pursuant to Section III.12 of the Tariff supports its deliverability, the CNR or CNI Interconnection Request will be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study at the lowest of the CNR

or CNI Interconnection Request's or its associated Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for the Internal ETU's Queue Position. Where multiple Interconnection Customers' CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests are associated with the same lower Queue Position for an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU in the CNR Group Study, the CNR Interconnection Request's Queue Position will be used as the tie breaker to dictate the relative order in which the CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study.

Any Interconnection Customer seeking to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study that receives a qualification determination notification under Section III.13.1.1.2.8 of the Tariff, must provide, a Commercial Readiness Deposit- of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, cash, or a combination thereof prior to the opening of the window to elect critical path schedule monitoring. Such deposit shall be refunded to the Interconnection Customer: (a) upon the Generating Facility achieving Commercial Operation on or before June 1, 2028; or (b) within sixty (60) Business Days of the date that the Interconnection Customer secures a Capacity Supply Obligation in a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction, where such auction was held prior to June 1, 2028. If the Interconnection Customer (a) withdraws the Interconnection Request from the queue or (b) neither achieves Commercial Operation nor secures a Capacity Supply Obligation through a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction prior to June 1, 2028, System Operator shall refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request shall be reduced to NR Interconnection Service.

~~[Need to add rules address: completion of ongoing clusters, and transition for capacity requests]~~

~~**5.1.2**—Transition Period. To the extent necessary, the System Operator, Interconnection Customers with an outstanding Interconnection Request (i.e., an Interconnection Request for which an LGIA has neither been executed nor submitted to the Commission for approval prior to March 19, 2020), Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Parties, shall transition to proceeding under the version of the LGIP in effect as of March 19, 2020 (or as revised thereafter) within a reasonable period of time not to exceed sixty (60) Calendar Days. The use of the term “outstanding Interconnection Request” herein shall mean any Interconnection Request, on March 19, 2020: (i) that has been submitted, together with the required deposit and attachments, but not yet accepted by the System Operator; (ii) where the related LGIA has not yet been submitted to the Commission for approval in executed or unexecuted form, (iii)~~

where the relevant Interconnection Study Agreements have not yet been executed, or (iv) where any of the relevant Interconnection Studies are in process but not yet completed. Any Interconnection Customer with an outstanding Interconnection Request as of the effective date of this LGIP may request a reasonable extension of the next applicable deadline if necessary to avoid undue hardship or prejudice to its Interconnection Request. A reasonable extension, not to exceed sixty (60) Calendar Days, shall be granted by the System Operator to the extent consistent with the intent and process provided for under this LGIP.

~~5.1.3—One-Time Election for CNR Interconnection Service at Queue Position Assigned Prior to February 1, 2009.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with an outstanding Interconnection Request will be eligible to make a one-time election to be considered for CNR Interconnection Service at the Queue Position assigned prior to February 1, 2009. The Interconnection Customer's one-time election must be made by the end of the New Generating Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window for the fourth Forward Capacity Auction. The Interconnection Customer's one-time election may also include a request for Long Lead Facility Treatment, which shall be subject to review pursuant to Section 3.2.3, and, if applicable, a request for a change of the Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5.~~

~~Interconnection Customers requesting CNR Interconnection Service will be required to comply with the requirements for CNR Interconnection Service set forth in Section 3.2.1. Interconnection Customers requesting CNR Interconnection Service that have not received a completed Interconnection System Impact Study may request a preliminary, non-binding, analysis of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the fourth Forward Capacity Auction—the prompt or near-term auction—pursuant to Sections 6.3 or 7.3, whichever is applicable.~~

~~5.1.4 Interconnection Requests for Distribution Facilities Submitted Prior to August 28, 2022~~

~~Interconnection Customers: (i) with Interconnection Requests for distribution facilities that were subject to the Tariff prior to August 28, 2022; (ii) that submitted the Interconnection Request to the System Operator prior to August 28, 2022; and (iii) that have not completed the applicable interconnection process under a state tariff, rules or procedures shall complete the System Operator's interconnection process in Schedule 22 of Section II of the Tariff. Interconnection Customers: (i) with Interconnection Requests for distribution facilities that were subject to the Tariff prior to August 28, 2022; (ii) that had already completed the applicable interconnection process under a state tariff, rules or procedures; and (iii) that subsequently submitted an Interconnection Request to the System Operator prior to August 28, 2022~~

~~may either complete the System Operator's interconnection process in Schedule 22 of Section II of the Tariff or withdraw the Interconnection Request submitted to the System Operator.~~

5.2 Grandfathering.

5.2.1 An Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility that is interconnected pursuant to an Interconnection Agreement executed or submitted to the Commission for approval prior to February 1, 2009, will maintain its status as a Network Resource with Network Resource Interconnection Service eligible to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the requirements of Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the megawatt amount specified in the Interconnection Agreement, subject to the Interconnection Customer satisfying all requirements set forth in the Interconnection Agreement and this LGIP. If the Generating Facility does not meet the criteria set forth in Section 5.2.3 of this LGIP, the Interconnection Customer will be eligible to make a one-time election, pursuant to Section 5.1.3, for Capacity Network Resource treatment without submitting a new Interconnection Request; however, the Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with the requirements for CNR Interconnection Service set forth in Section 3.2.1. Upon completion of the requirements to obtain CNR Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Agreement shall be amended to conform to the LGIA in Appendix 6 of this LGIP.

5.2.2 An Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility governed by an Interconnection Agreement either executed or filed with the Commission in unexecuted form prior to August 1, 2008, shall maintain the Queue Position assigned as of August 1, 2008, and be eligible to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the requirements in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, as in effect as of August 1, 2008, so long as the Interconnection Customer complies with all of the requirements specified in the Interconnection Agreement, including achieving the milestones associated with At-Risk Expenditures, subject to Section 4.4 of this LGIP.

5.2.3 All resources that are treated as Existing Generating Capacity Resources in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13 of the Tariff shall receive treatment as a CNR and obtain CNR Interconnection Service, in accordance with this LGIP, up to the CNR Capability of the resource. The grandfathered CNR Capability for these resources shall be equal to the megawatt amount established pursuant to the following hierarchy:

(a) First, the megawatt amount specified in an Interconnection Agreement (whether executed or filed in unexecuted form with the Commission).

(b) Second, in the absence of an Interconnection Agreement with a specified megawatt amount, the megawatt amount specified in an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision).

(c) Third, in the absence of an Interconnection Agreement and an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) with a specified megawatt amount, as determined by the System Operator based on documented historic capability of the Generating Facility.

Where a resource has both an Interconnection Agreement and an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision), the lower megawatt amount will govern until the resource completes the applicable process(es) under the Tariff for obtaining the higher megawatt amount. The absence of an Interconnection Agreement or an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) specifying a megawatt amount shall be confirmed by an affidavit executed by a corporate officer of the resource attesting that the resource does not have an Interconnection Agreement and/or an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) that specifies a megawatt amount.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) specifies a megawatt amount at an ambient temperature consistent with the definition of CNR Capability, the grandfathered CNR Capability shall be equal to that amount.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) does not specify an ambient temperature, the megawatt amount will be deemed to be at the value consistent with the definition of CNR Capability.

Where the implementation of this Section 5.2.3 results in a CNR Capability that is different than previously had been identified, the revised CNR Capability will be applied commencing with the next Forward Capacity Auction qualification process (after the revised CNR Capability value is identified), which is initiated by the closing deadline of the Show of Interest Submission Window in accordance with

Section III.13 of the Tariff. The revised CNR Capability will continue to govern until the resource completes the applicable process(es) for obtaining the higher megawatt amount.

5.2.4 All resources that are treated as Existing Generating Capacity Resources in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13 of the Tariff shall receive treatment as a NR and obtain NR Interconnection Service, in accordance with this LGIP, up to the NR Capability of the resource. The grandfathered NR Capability shall be determined pursuant to the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) of a resource for which a temperature-adjustment curve is used for the claimed capability verification, as set forth in the ISO New England Manuals, specifies a megawatt amount at an ambient temperature, the grandfathered NR Capability shall be equal to a temperature-adjusted value consistent with the definition of NR Capability.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) does not specify an ambient temperature, the megawatt amount will be deemed to be at the value consistent with the definition of NR Capability.

5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

If the System Operator transfers operational control of the New England Transmission System to a successor System Operator during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, the System Operator shall transfer to the successor System Operator any amount of the deposit or payment with interest thereon that exceeds the cost that it incurred to evaluate the request for interconnection. Any difference between such net amount and the deposit or payment required by this LGIP shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. The System Operator shall coordinate with the successor System Operator to complete any Interconnection Study, as appropriate, that the System Operator has begun but has not completed.

If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner transfers ownership of its transmission facilities to a successor transmission owner during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, and System Operator in conjunction with Interconnecting Transmission Owner has tendered a draft LGIA to the Interconnection Customer but the Interconnection Customer has not either executed the LGIA or

requested the filing of an unexecuted LGIA with the Commission, unless otherwise provided, the Interconnection Customer must complete negotiations with the successor transmission owner.

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION INFORMATION ACCESS~~FEASIBILITY STUDY~~.

6.1 ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement~~Publicly Posted Interconnection Information.

System Operator shall maintain and make publicly available: (1) an interactive visual representation of the estimated incremental injection capacity (in megawatts) available at each point of interconnection on the Administered Transmission System under N-1 conditions, and (2) a table of metrics concerning the estimated impact of a potential Generating Facility on the Administered Transmission System based on a user-specified addition of a particular number of megawatts at a particular voltage level at a particular point of interconnection. At a minimum, for each transmission facility impacted by the user-specified megawatt addition, the following information will be provided in the table: (1) the distribution factor; (2) the megawatt impact (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Generating Facility and the distribution factor); (3) the percentage impact on each impacted transmission facility (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Generating Facility and the facility rating); (4) the percentage of power flow on each impacted transmission facility before the injection of the proposed project; (5) the percentage power flow on each impacted transmission facility after the injection of the proposed Generating Facility. These metrics must be calculated based on the power flow model of the Administered Transmission System with the transfer simulated from each point of interconnection to the whole Administered Transmission System footprint (to approximate Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service), and with the incremental capacity at each point of interconnection decremented by the existing and queued Generating Facilities (based on the existing or requested interconnection service limit of the generation). These metrics must be updated within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the completion of each Cluster Study and Cluster Restudy. This information must be publicly posted, without a password or a fee. The website will define all underlying assumptions, including the name of the most recent Cluster Study or Restudy used in the Base Case.

~~Except as otherwise provided in Section 4.2.3.4 of this LGIP, within five (5) Business Days following the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's receipt from the Interconnection Customer of its election to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the designation of the Point(s) of Interconnection, and the scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study to be performed pursuant to Section 3.4.4, System Operator shall tender to Interconnection Customer the Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

~~Agreement, which includes a good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study. The Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall specify that Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). No later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, (a) the Interconnection Customer shall execute and deliver the agreement to System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, (b) the Interconnection Customer shall also deliver the refundable deposit for the Interconnection Feasibility Study to the System Operator, and (c) the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) or Attachment B, depending on the scope selected pursuant to Section 3.4.4. The deposit for the study shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). For Interconnection Requests that were identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, prior to the effective date of this LGIP, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3.~~

~~In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study that have been incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner on the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the development of the study agreement and its attachment(s). Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the Interconnection Feasibility Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold any amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

~~On or before the return of the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) or Attachment B, depending on the scope elected pursuant to Section 3.4.1. If the Interconnection Customer does not provide all such technical data when it delivers the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and the Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or deposit.~~

~~If the Interconnection Feasibility Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and acceptable to the Parties, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to Section 6.4 as applicable. For the purpose of this Section 6.1, if the Parties cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then Interconnection Customer may direct that one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, as specified pursuant to Section 3.4.4, shall be the substitute.~~

6.2 — Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study.

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall preliminarily evaluate the feasibility of the proposed interconnection to the Administered Transmission System with available data and information.~~

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consider the Base Case as well as all generating facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades (and with respect to (iii), any identified Network Upgrades) that, on the date the Interconnection Feasibility Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the New England Transmission System; (ii) are interconnected to Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the New England Transmission System and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; and (iv) have no Queue Position but have executed an Interconnection Agreement or requested that an~~

~~unexecuted Interconnection Agreement be filed with the Commission (the “Study Case” for the Interconnection Feasibility Study). If the Reasonable Efforts timeframe for the completion of the Interconnection Feasibility Study does not overlap with the timeframe for the overlapping interconnection impacts analysis conducted for qualification in the Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff, then an Interconnection Customer with a CNR Interconnection Request may also request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study include a preliminary, non-binding, analysis to identify potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer’s Generating Facility to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff, based on a limited set of assumptions to be specified by the Interconnection Customer and reflected in Attachment A to the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.~~

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consist of a limited power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis. The Interconnection Feasibility Study report will provide (i) the study findings; and (ii) a preliminary description of a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless the Interconnection Customer waives such cost estimate) and the time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Large Generating Facility as identified within the scope of the analysis performed as part of the study.~~

~~Alternatively, the Interconnection Customer may provide the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) with the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study consist of limited thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability analysis, or electromagnetic transient analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Large Generating Facility’s interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting. In this case, the Interconnection Feasibility Study report will provide (i) the study findings; and, (ii) a preliminary description of and a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless the Interconnection Customer waives such cost) and the time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Large Generating Facility as identified within the scope of the analysis performed as part of the study.~~

~~To the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 6.2, the Interconnection Feasibility Study report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be~~

necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.

6.3 — Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures.

The System Operator in coordination with Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when it performs the study. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study no later than ninety (90) Calendar Days after System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner receive the fully executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, study deposit and required technical data in accordance with Section 6.1. At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. If the System Operator is unable to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study within that time period, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, the System Operator with input from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant Study Case power flow and short circuit databases that have been developed for the Interconnection Feasibility Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

System Operator shall study the Interconnection Request at the level of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer for purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, and at the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility's output and the safety or reliability of the system.

6.3.1 — Meeting with Parties.

~~Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Interconnection Feasibility Study report to the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~6.4 — Re-Study.~~

~~If re-study of the Interconnection Feasibility Study is required due to (i) a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher queued project subject to Section 4.4, (iii) a re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 6.1, (iv) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff, or (v) a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing. Each re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each re-study shall take not longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the re-study commences. Any cost of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection Feasibility Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall have the option to waive the re-study and elect to have the re-study performed as part of its Interconnection System Impact Study. The Interconnection Customer shall provide written notice of the waiver and election of moving directly to the Interconnection System Impact Study within five (5) Business Days of receiving notice from the System Operator of the required re-study.~~

~~SECTION 7. INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT CLUSTER STUDY.~~

~~7.1 Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement.~~

~~No Within later than five (5) Business Days after the close of a Cluster Request Window, following the Interconnection Feasibility Study results meeting, or subsequent to the Scoping Meeting within five (5) Business Days if the Interconnection Customer did not pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the~~

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall ~~tender provide to each~~ Interconnection Customer ~~that submitted a valid Interconnection Request a Cluster the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 of this LGIP, which includes a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for commencing and completing the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~ The ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study Agreement shall ~~require provide that the~~ Interconnection Customer ~~to shall~~ compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the LGIA, ~~pursuant to Section 13.3 of this LGIP. The specifications, assumptions, or other provisions in the appendices of the Cluster Study Agreement provided pursuant to Section 7.1 of this LGIP shall be subject to change by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner following the conclusion of the Scoping Meeting.~~

7.2 Execution of ~~the Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study Agreement.

~~The~~ Interconnection Customer shall execute the ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study Agreement and deliver the executed ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study Agreement to the System Operator no later than ~~thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt the close of the Customer Engagement Window~~ along with a demonstration of Site Control and the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable), and the ~~Interconnection Customer shall also deliver a refundable deposit.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property. The deposit for the study shall be: (i) the greater of 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study or \$250,000; or (ii) the lower of 100 percent of the estimated costs of the study or \$50,000, if the Interconnection Customer can provide: (1) evidence of applications for all Major Permits, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff, required in support of the Interconnection Request or written certification that Major Permits are not required, or (2) evidence acceptable to the System Operator of At-Risk Expenditures (excluding Interconnection Study costs) totaling at least the amounts of money described in (i) above; or (iii) the lower of 100 percent of the estimated costs of the study or \$50,000, if the Interconnection Request is for a modification to an existing Large Generating Facility that does not increase the energy capability or capacity capability of the Large Generating Facility.~~

~~The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the LGIA. For Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3.~~

In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the estimated costs of ~~Interconnection System Impact~~the Cluster Study that ~~have been~~are expected to be incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the ~~System Impact~~Cluster Study, including the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the LGIA. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study. Costs of Cluster Studies shall be allocated to all Interconnection Customers on a 50% per capita, and 50% per MW basis. In the case of Clustering, CSIS costs that are associated with an individual Interconnection Request assessed within the CSIS will be charged directly to that Interconnection Customer. CSIS costs that are associated with the CSIS as a whole will be divided equally, on a per project basis, among the Interconnection Customers in the cluster. If the Interconnection Customer elects the deposit described in (ii) above, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection System Impact Study on each month. The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

~~On or before the return of the executed Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall provide~~

~~the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable); provided that if a PSCAD model for a non-wind or non-inverter based Large Generating Facility was determined to be needed at the Scoping Meeting, then the Interconnection Customer shall have ninety (90) Calendar Days from the execution of the System Impact Study Agreement to provide the PSCAD model. If at any time during the Cluster Study, including during the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator determines that Interconnection Customer is required to provide additional technical data, or that the data provided is incomplete or contains errors, System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and when it delivers the Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and the Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement or required deposits. Study dDeposit. Ineed to add here that fFailure to provide all required informationexecuted study, deposit or cure the deficiency within this periodspecified time will result in automatic withdrawal of the Interconnection RequestIR from the queue -or use the FERC pro forma language “.” “(without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this LGIP).” }~~

~~If the Interconnection System Impact Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting or the Interconnection Feasibility Study, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and acceptable to each Party, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to Section 7.6 as applicable. For the purpose of this Section 7.2, if the Parties cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then Interconnection Customer may direct that one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement if Interconnection Customer pursued the Interconnection Feasibility Study, as specified pursuant to Section 3.4.4, shall be the substitute.~~

7.3 Scope of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.

The Interconnection System ImpactCluster Study shall evaluate the impact of the proposed interconnection on the reliability and operation of the New England Transmission System. The Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study will consider the Base Case as well as all generating facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Network Upgrades

associated with such higher queued interconnection) that, on the date the ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the New England Transmission System; (ii) are interconnected to Affected System or Internal Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the New England Transmission System and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; and (iv) have no Queue Position but have executed an Interconnection Agreement or requested that an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement be filed with the Commission (the “Study Case” for the ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study). The Study Case shall also include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS report prior to the opening of the Cluster Request Window, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from a Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the Customer Engagement Window that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU. An Interconnection Customer with a CNR Interconnection Request may also request that the Interconnection System Impact Study include a preliminary, non-binding, analysis to identify potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer’s Generating Facility to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff, based on a limited set of assumptions to be specified by the Interconnection Customer and reflected in Attachment A to the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.

For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Cluster Study shall consider the level of Interconnection Service requested by Interconnection Customers in the Cluster. However, the Cluster Study shall consider the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility’s output and the safety and reliability of the system.

The ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, such as electromagnetic transient analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis and any other analyses that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the results of which are documented in a single Cluster Study Report, as applicable. Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s) and Internal Affected Systems (if applicable) shall provide to System Operator, within thirty (30) days of a request, and for purposes of inclusion in the Cluster Study Report, non-binding good faith estimates of cost responsibility for required upgrades, and a non-binding good faith estimated times to construct such upgrades.

At the conclusion of the Cluster Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue a Cluster Study Report. The ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study Report will state the assumptions upon which it is based, state the results of the analyses, and provide the requirements or potential impediments to providing the requested interconnection service, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. ~~For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Interconnection System Impact Study shall consider the level of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer. However, the Interconnection System Impact Study shall consider the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility's output and the safety and reliability of the system.~~ The ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study report will provide (i) a list of Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades facilities that are required to reliably interconnect the Generating Facilities in that Cluster Study as a result of the Interconnection Request and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility; (ii) a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct; (iii) a protection assessment to determine the required protection upgrades; and may provide (iv) an evaluation of the siting of the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades; and (v) identification of the likely permitting and siting process including easements and environment work. The Cluster Report shall identify each Interconnection Customer's estimated allocated costs for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades pursuant to the method in Section 4.2.1 of this LGIP described in Schedule 11, Section II of the Tariff. System Operator shall hold an open stakeholder meeting pursuant to Section 7.4 of this LGIP. To the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 7.3, the Interconnection System Impact Study report will also provide a list of potential upgrades

~~that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

~~For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Cluster Study shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. These requests for Interconnection Service also may be subject to other studies at the full Generating Facility Capacity Generating Facility Capability to ensure safety and reliability of the system, with the study costs borne by Interconnection Customer. use operating assumptions (i.e., whether the interconnecting Generating Facility will or will not charge at peak load) that reflect the proposed charging behavior of a Generating Facility that includes at least one electric storage resource as requested by Interconnection Customer, unless System Operator determines that Good Utility Practice, including Applicable Reliability Standards, otherwise requires the use of different operating assumptions. System Operator may require the inclusion of control technologies sufficient to limit the operation of the Generating Facility per the operating assumptions as set forth in the Interconnection Request and to respond to dispatch instructions by Transmission Provider. As determined by Transmission Provider, Interconnection Customer may be subject to testing and validation of those control technologies consistent with Article 6 of the LGIA.~~

~~The Cluster Study shall evaluate the use of static synchronous compensators, static VAR compensators, advanced power flow control devices, transmission switching, synchronous condensers, voltage source converters, advanced conductors, and tower lifting. System Operator shall determine, in the manner described in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, whether the above technologies should be used, consistent with Good Utility Practice and other applicable regulatory requirements. System Operator shall include an explanation of the results of the System Operator's evaluation for each technology in the Cluster Study Report.~~

7.4 ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study Procedures.

The System Operator shall coordinate the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with any Affected Party ~~or Internal Affected Party~~ as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, that is affected by the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.6 ~~of this LGIP~~ ~~above~~.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when it performs the Cluster sStudy. Interconnection Requests for a Cluster Study may be submitted only within the Cluster Request Window and ~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall initiate the Cluster Study process pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIP.

~~The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to~~ complete the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study within two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days of the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

Within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously issuing finishing a Cluster Study Report to each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster and posting such report on OASIS, the System Operator shall convene a Cluster Study Report Meeting. after the receipt of the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, study deposit, demonstration of Site Control, if Site Control is required, and required technical data in accordance with Section 7.2. If System Operator uses Clustering, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to deliver a completed Interconnection System Impact Study within the times specified in this Section 7.4.

At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study. If the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study within the time period, the System Operator shall notify ~~the~~ Interconnection Customers and provide an estimated start date if the study has not commenced and completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customers all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant Study Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases that have been developed for the ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customers. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customers.

~~Except in the case of a CSIS, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer when the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence within sixty five (65) Calendar Days. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request being studied serially will be permitted to update the technical data provided in Appendix 1 and Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable), and submit modifications to that technical data to the System Operator no later than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date that the System Operator notified the Interconnection Customer that the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence. Such modifications will not be deemed Material Modifications provided they meet the requirements of Section 4.4.1 of this LGIP. Where sufficient time has elapsed since the initial Scoping Meeting, within ten (10) Business Days after notifying the Interconnection Customer that the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence, the System Operator may convene a second Scoping Meeting for the purpose of providing updated information to the Interconnection Customer in preparation for the submittal of updates to the technical data.~~

7.5 Cluster Study Restudies.

(1) Within twenty (20) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, Interconnection Customer must provide the following:

- (a) Demonstration of continued Site Control pursuant to Section 3.4.2(iii) of this LGIP; and
- (b) An additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to System Operator five percent (5%) of the Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this LGIP. System Operator shall refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP.

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iii) of this LGIP. Upon System Operator determining that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customer. Within ten (10) Business Days of such notification, Interconnection Customer must demonstrate compliance with the applicable

requirement subject to System Operator's approval, not to be unreasonably withheld. Absent such demonstration, System Operator shall deem the subject Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this LGIP).

At the same time that Interconnection Customer submits the information required under this Section 7.5(1)(a) and (b), an Interconnection Customer may also request a decrease in the size of the Large Generating Facility, provided that the Cluster Study identified that the Large Generating Facility proposed in the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request does not share any Network Upgrades with a Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in a separate Interconnection Request. If System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is required under this Section 7.5 of this LGIP, within ten (10) Business Days of that determination Interconnection Customer shall provide all required updated modeling and data associated with the requested decrease in the size of the Large Generating Facility for use in the Cluster Restudy. If the System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is not required, the Interconnection Customer's request to decrease the size of the Large Generating Facility shall constitute a Material Modification pursuant to Section 4 of this LGIP.

(2) If no Interconnection Customer withdraws from the Cluster after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required.

(3) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraw from the Cluster or are deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP, {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} shall determine if a Cluster Restudy is necessary within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting. If {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} determine a Cluster Restudy is not necessary, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required and System Operator shall provide an updated Cluster Study Report within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination.

(4) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraws from the Cluster or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP, and {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} determine a Cluster Restudy is necessary as a result, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster and post on OASIS that a Cluster Restudy is required within thirty (30) Calendar

Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting. {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} shall continue with such restudies until {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner }determine that no further restudies are required. If an Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP during the Interconnection Facilities Study, or after other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have executed LGIAs, or requested that unexecuted LGIAs be filed, and {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} determines a Cluster Restudy is necessary, the Cluster shall be restudied.

(5) The scope of any Cluster Restudy shall be consistent with the scope of an initial Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7.3 of this LGIP. {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} shall complete the Cluster Restudy within ~~ninetyone hundred fifty~~ (90-50) Calendar Days of the System Operator informing the Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that restudy is needed. The results of the Cluster Restudy shall be combined into a single report (Cluster Restudy Report). System Operator shall hold a meeting with the Interconnection Customers in the Cluster, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator (Cluster Restudy Report Meeting) within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously furnishing the Cluster Restudy Report to each Interconnection Customer in the Cluster Restudy and publishing the Cluster Restudy Report on OASIS.

If additional restudies are required, Interconnection Customer and {System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} shall follow the procedures of this Section 7.5 of this LGIP until such time that {=System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner} determine that no further restudies are required. System Operator shall notify each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster when no further restudies are required.

Meeting with Parties.

Within ~~ten (10) Business Days~~ of providing an Interconnection System Impact Study report to Interconnection Customer, the System Operator shall convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, to discuss the results of the Interconnection System Impact St

Within ~~twenty en (2010) Calendar~~Business Days following the Cluster Study Results Meeting, or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate) study results meeting, the Interconnection Customer shall

provide to the System Operator written notice that it will either pursue the Interconnection Facilities Study or waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and elect an expedited interconnection.

Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, the option to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study is not available for Interconnection Customers that share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy unless each Interconnection Customer is responsible for the same Network Upgrades resulting from a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, all such Interconnection Customers agrees in writing to waiver the Interconnection Facilities Study. In a case where Interconnection Customers share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy and do not agree to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, such study shall be performed at a level of +/- 20 percent%. Once the Interconnection Customer notifies the System Operator of its election, such election is not subject to change. If the Interconnection Customer elects to pursue the Facilities Study it must proceed with the study. If the Interconnection Customer waives the Facilities Study, it shall commit to the following milestones in the LGIA: (i) Siting approval for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities; (ii) Engineering of Interconnection Facilities approved by Interconnecting Transmission Owner; (iii) Ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and system upgrades; (iv) Initial Synchronization Date; and (v) Commercial Operation Date.

~~Within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Interconnection Customer receiving the Interconnection System Impact Study report, the Interconnection Customer shall provide written comments on the report or written notice that it has no comments on the report. The System Operator shall issue a final Interconnection System Impact Study report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving the Interconnection Customer's notice that it will not provide commen~~**7.6 — Re-Study.**

~~If re-study of the Interconnection System Impact Study is required due to (i) a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher queued project subject to Section 4.4, (iii) re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 7.2, (iv) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff, or (v) a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing.~~

~~Each re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the re-study commences. Any cost of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection System Impact Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.~~

7.7 Operational Readiness.

The System Operator shall, as close to the Interconnection Customer's actual Synchronization Date as reasonably possible, ensure that operational analysis, including current stability analyses, power flow analyses, and any other analyses deemed necessary by the System Operator, are performed, and that procedures are developed or updated to address the operation of the New England Transmission System with the addition of the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. The operational analysis will also include tests of system performance with selected facilities out of service. Such studies shall be performed at the expense of the Interconnection Customer.

The System Operator is not obligated to perform the operational analyses described in this Section 7.7 if, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, the System Operator in consultation with Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System is remote and speculative.

SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY.

8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Except as otherwise provided in Section [4.2.4](#) and [7.54.2.4](#) of this LGIP, the Interconnection Customer may waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and instead elect expedited interconnection, which means that the Interconnection Customer may enter into E&P Agreements under Section [13.79](#) if it had not already done so, and shall enter into an LGIA in accordance with the requirements specified in Section 11.

If the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study, the Interconnection Customer, subject to the specific terms of the E&P Agreements, assumes all risks and shall pay all costs associated with equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work covered by the Interconnection Facilities Study as described in Section 8.2 below.

The System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 4 to this LGIP ~~simultaneously~~ thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, unless System Operator determines that Cluster Restudy is required, in which case, System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the ~~or with the delivery of the Cluster Study Report or Cluster ResStudy Report Meeting. Interconnection System Impact Study to the Interconnection Customer.~~

~~The Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall provide that the~~ Interconnection Customer shall compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the LGIA. Within ~~five~~ three (3) Business Days following the Cluster Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report Meeting if applicable, Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with requirements specified in Section 8.3 of this LGIP. The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator within thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt, together with:

(1) any required technical data;

(2) demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control or demonstration of a regulatory limitation and applicable deposit in lieu of Site Control provided to the System Operator in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of this LGIP;

(3) an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the {System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner} to ten percent (10%) of Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, if applicable, in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this LGIP. In the case of a CETU-enabled Interconnection Request, such deposit shall be made in cash.

~~{System Operator/} shall~~ Owner shall refund the Commercial Readiness Deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP.

~~the required technical data and the refundable deposit for the Interconnection Facilities Study.~~ In accordance with Section 8.3, the Interconnection Customer shall specify in Attachment A to the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement whether it wants no more than a +/- 20 percent or a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report. The deposit for the study shall be ~~either: (i) the greater of twenty-five percent of the estimated cost of the study or \$250,000; or (ii) the greater of 100 percent of one month's estimated study cost or \$100,000, if the Interconnection Customer can provide: (1) evidence of applications for all Major Permits, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2 of the Tariff, required in support of the Interconnection Request, or provide certification that Major Permits are not required or (2) evidence acceptable to the System Operator of At Risk Expenditures (excluding Interconnection Study costs) totaling at least the amounts of money in (i) above, not including the same At Risk Expenditures demonstrated with the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, if applicable; or (iii) the greater of 100 percent of one month's estimated study cost or \$100,000, if the Interconnection Request is for a modification to an existing Large Generating Facility that does not increase the energy capability or capacity capability of the Large Generating Facility.~~

Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Studies that will be, or have been incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Facilities Study, the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the LGIA.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection Facilities Study. ~~In~~ the case of Clustering For a CFAC that began before May 31, 2024, costs that are associated with an individual Interconnection Request assessed within the CFAC will be charged directly to that Interconnection Customer. CFAC costs that are associated with the CFAC as a whole will be divided equally, on a per-project basis, among the Interconnection Customers in the cluster. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the

Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study.

The Interconnection Facilities Study shall ~~be specify specific to each Interconnection Request and performed on an individual, i.e., non-clustered basis. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall specify and provide a non-binding and~~ estimate ~~the-of the~~ cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study Cluster Study Report (and any associated restudies)~~ in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Interconnection Facility~~ies~~ to the Administered Transmission System. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify the electrical switching configuration of the connection equipment, including, without limitation: the transformer, switchgear, meters, and other station equipment; the nature and estimated cost of any Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to accomplish the interconnection; and an estimate of the time required to complete the construction and installation of such facilities. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify any potential control technology for ~~the Large Generating Facility if the Interconnection Customer has~~(1) ~~requests for requested~~ Interconnection Service at a level that is lower than the nameplate capability of the facility, ~~and/or (2) or for Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, where study of the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), was done using net shoulder system load as defined in ISO New England's Planning Procedures. requests to study a Generating Facility that includes at least one electric storage resource using operating assumptions (i.e., whether the Interconnecting Generating Facility will or will not charge at peak load) that reflects its proposed charging behavior, as requested by Interconnection Customer, unless System Operator determined that Good Utility Practice, including Applicable Reliability Standards, otherwise require the use of different operating assumptions.~~ The scope and cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall include completion of any engineering work limited to what is reasonably required to (i) estimate such aforementioned cost to the accuracy specified by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Section 8.3, (ii) identify, configurations of required facilities and (iii) identify time requirements for construction and installation of required facilities.

8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures.

The System Operator shall coordinate the Interconnection Facilities Study with Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, pursuant to Section 3.6 ~~above of this LGIP~~. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall ~~use Reasonable Efforts to~~ complete the study and the System Operator shall issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, within the following number of days after receipt of an executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement: ninety (90) Calendar Days, with no more than a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report; or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days, if the Interconnection Customer requests a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate. Such cost estimates either individually or in the aggregate will be provided in the final study report. ~~If the System Operator uses Clustering, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to deliver a completed Interconnection Facilities Study within the times specified in this Section 8.3.~~

At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Facilities Study. If the System Operator is unable to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study ~~R~~report within the time required, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

The Interconnection Customer and appropriate Affected Parties and Internal Affected Parties may, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft Interconnection Facilities Study ~~R~~Report, provide

written comments to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, which the System Operator shall include in the final Interconnection Facilities Study Report. The System Operator shall issue the final Interconnection Facilities Study Report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving Interconnection Customer's statement that it will not provide comments. The System Operator may reasonably extend such fifteen-day period upon notice to the Interconnection Customer if the Interconnection Customer's comments require the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform additional analyses or make other significant modifications prior to the issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Report. Upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, or any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation, with workpapers, and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Interconnection Facilities Study. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 of this LGIP and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

8.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing a draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report to Interconnection Customer, the System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Interconnection Facilities Study.

8.5 Re-Study.

If ~~re~~Re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study is required due to (i) a higher or equally queued project withdrawing from dropping out of the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher or equally queued project subject to Section 4.4 of this LGIP, ~~(iii) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff~~, or (iv) a modification to a transmission project included in

the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing. Each ~~re~~Re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each ~~re~~Re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date ~~of notice~~~~the re-study commences~~. Except as provided in Section 3.7 of this LGIP in the case of withdrawing Interconnection Customer, Any cost of Re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection Facilities Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the Re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Section 9 Affected System Study.

9.1 Applicability.

This Section 9 outlines the duties of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner when they receive notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System.

9.2 Response to Initial Notification

When System Operator receives notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System, System Operator must respond in writing within twenty (20) Business Days whether it intends to conduct an Affected System Study.

By fifteen (15) Business Days after the System Operator responds with its affirmative intent to conduct an Affected System Study, System Operator shall share with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and the schedule to complete the Affected System Study.

9.3 Affected System Queue Position.

System Operator must assign an Affected System Queue Position to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) that require(s) an Affected System Study. Such Affected System Queue Position shall be

assigned based upon the date of execution of the Affected System Study Agreement. Relative to the System Operator's Interconnection Customers, this Affected System Queue Position shall be higher-queued than any Cluster that has not yet received its Cluster Study Report and shall be lower-queued than any Cluster that has already received its Cluster Study Report. Consistent with Section 9.7 of this LGIP, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall study the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) via Clustering, and all Affected System Interconnection Customers studied in the same Cluster under Section 9.7 shall be equally queued. For Affected System Interconnection Customers that are equally queued, the Affected System Queue Position shall have no bearing on the assignment of Affected System Network Upgrades identified in the applicable Affected System Study. The costs of the Affected System Network Upgrades shall be allocated among the Affected System Interconnection Customers in accordance with Section 9.9 of this LGIP.

9.4 Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Unless otherwise agreed, System Operator shall provide to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 9 or Appendix 10 to this LGIP, as applicable, within ten (10) Business Days of System Operator sharing the schedule for the Affected System Study per Section 9.2 of this LGIP.

Upon Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' receipt of the Affected System Study Report, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall compensate System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Any invoices for the Affected System Study shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of the study. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall pay any excess costs beyond the already-paid Affected System Study deposit or be reimbursed for any costs collected over the actual cost of the Affected System Study within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice thereof. If Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) fail to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, it shall lose its Affected System Queue Position. System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider of such failure to pay.

9.5 Execution of Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement to System Operator, and provide the Affected System Study deposit within ten (10) Business Days of receipt.

If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not provide all required technical data when it delivers the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, System Operator shall notify the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer, as well as the host transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement and the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice: provided, however, that such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or deposit for the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement. If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not cure the deficiency or fails to execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or provide the deposit, the Affected System Interconnection Customer shall lose its Affected System Queue Position.

9.6 Scope of Affected System Study.

The Affected System Study shall evaluate the impact that any Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's transmission system will have on the reliability of the New England Transmission System. The Affected System Study shall consider the Base Case as well as all Generating Facilities (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Affected System Network Upgrades associated with such higher-queued Interconnection Request) that, on the date the Affected System Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected the New England Transmission System; (ii) are directly interconnected to another transmission provider's transmission system and may have an impact on Affected System Interconnection Customer's interconnection request; (iii) have a pending higher-queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to Transmission Provider's Transmission System; and (iv) have no queue position but have executed an LGIA or requested that an unexecuted LGIA be filed with FERC. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner has no obligation to study impacts of Affected System Interconnection Customers of which it is not notified.

The Affected System Study shall consist of a power flow, stability, and short circuit analysis. The Affected System Study will: state the assumptions upon which it is based; state the results of the analyses; and provide the potential impediments to Affected System Interconnection Customer's receipt of interconnection service on its host transmission provider's transmission system, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. For purposes of determining necessary Affected System Network Upgrades, the Affected System Study shall consider the level of interconnection service requested in megawatts by Affected System Interconnection Customer, unless otherwise required to study the full generating facility capacity due to safety or reliability concerns. The Affected System Study shall provide a list of facilities that are required as a result of Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's system, a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility, and a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct. The Affected System Study may consist of a system impact study, a facilities study, or some combination thereof.

9.7 Affected System Study Procedures.

System Operator shall use Clustering in conducting the Affected System Study and shall use existing studies to the extent practicable, when multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers that are part of a single Cluster may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the Affected System Study and provide the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the host transmission provider with whom interconnection has been requested within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days after the receipt of the Affected System Study Agreement and deposit.

At the request of Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer as to the status of the Affected System Study. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Affected System Study within the requisite time period, it shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), as well as the transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, and shall provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner do not meet the deadlines in this section, System Operator and Interconnecting

Transmission Owner shall be subject to the financial penalties as described in Section 3.9 of this LGIP. Upon request, ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) with all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant power flow, short circuit and stability databases for the Affected System Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Section 13.1 of this LGIP.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must study an Affected System Interconnection Customer using the Network Resource Interconnection Service ~~Energy Resource Interconnection Service~~ modeling standard used for Interconnection Requests on the New England Transmission System, regardless of the level of interconnection service that Affected System Interconnection Customer is seeking from the host transmission provider with whom it seeks to interconnect.

9.8 Results Meeting.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall meet to discuss the results of the Affected System Study.

9.9 Affected System Cost Allocation.

System Operator shall allocate Affected System Network Upgrade costs identified during the Affected System Study to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) using a proportional impact method, consistent with Schedule 11 of the OATT.

9.10 Tender of Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement.

~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall tender to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, as applicable, in the form of Appendix 11 or 12 to this LGIP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of System Operator providing the Affected System Study Report. Within ten (10) Business Days of the receipt of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) must execute the agreement or request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC. System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall execute the agreement or file the agreement unexecuted within five (5) Business Days after receiving direction from Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to execute the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, or failure to request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC, shall result in the loss of its Affected System Queue Position.

9.11 Restudy.

If restudy of the Affected System Study is required, System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) in writing within thirty (30) Calendar Days of discovery of the need for restudy. Such restudy shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date of notice. Any cost of restudy shall be borne by the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) being restudied.

~~SECTION 9. ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT ("E&P") AGREEMENT.~~

~~Prior to executing an LGIA, an Interconnection Customer may request, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected Party shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the LGIP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position or Initial Synchronization Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer, including a deposit of 100 percent of the estimated engineering and study costs, and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter~~

~~described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or an E&P Agreement is terminated by any Party, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected Party that is a party to an E&P Agreement may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or relevant Affected Party shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.~~

SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY.

10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

On or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study ~~R~~Report and no later than five (5) Business Days after the study results meeting to review the report, the Interconnection Customer may request in writing, and the System Operator in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform, an Optional Interconnection Study. The request shall describe the assumptions that the Interconnection Customer wishes the System Operator to study within the scope described in Section 10.2. Within five (5) Business Days after receipt of a request for an Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer an Optional Interconnection Study Agreement in the form of Appendix ~~4~~5.

The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall: (i) specify the technical data that the Interconnection Customer must provide for each phase of the Optional Interconnection Study, (ii) specify the Interconnection Customer's assumptions as to which Interconnection Requests with earlier queue priority dates will be excluded from the Optional Interconnection Study case, and (iii) specify the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimate of the cost of the Optional Interconnection Study. To the extent known by the System Operator, such estimate shall include any costs expected to be incurred by any Affected System or Internal Affected System whose participation is necessary to complete the Optional Interconnection Study. The Optional Interconnection Study

Agreement shall specify that Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). Notwithstanding the above, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required as a result of an Optional Interconnection Study request to conduct any additional Interconnection Studies with respect to any other Interconnection Request.

The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement within ten (10) Business Days of receipt and deliver the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the required technical data and the refundable deposit for the Optional Interconnection Study to the System Operator. The deposit for the study shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the costs of the Optional Interconnection Study that have been, or will be incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Optional Interconnection Study and the study agreement and its attachments(s). The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposits until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will also identify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the results of the Optional Interconnection Study. The System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to coordinate the study with any Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems that may be affected by the types of Interconnection Services that are being studied. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis, and any other analyses that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures.

The executed Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the prepayment, and technical and other data called for therein must be provided to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner within ten (10) Business Days of the Interconnection Customer receipt of the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within a mutually agreed-upon time period specified within the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. If the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within such time period, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation and workpapers and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Optional Interconnection Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

10.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Optional Interconnection Study report to Interconnection Customer, System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Optional Interconnection Study.

10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study.

If the LGIA for a Large Generating Facility is based on the results of an Optional Interconnection Study, the LGIA shall reflect the conditions studied and any obligations that may involve: (i) additional studies if such conditions change, (ii) operational limits, or (iii) financial support for transmission upgrades.

SECTION 11. STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (LGIA).

11.1 Tender.

Interconnection Customer shall tender comments or provide notice, in writing, to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Interconnection Customer has no comments on the draft Interconnection Facilities Study ~~Report or on the draft Cluster Study Report (or associated restudies) Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Customer waived the Interconnection Facilities Study,~~ within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the report. Except as provided in the E&P Agreement or any mutual agreement by the entities that would be Parties to the LGIA, the System Operator shall initiate the development of the LGIA process within fifteen (15) Calendar Days after the comments are submitted or waived, or within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of notifying System Operator that it will waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, by tendering to the Interconnection Customer a draft LGIA, together with draft appendices completed by the System Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the extent practicable. The draft LGIA shall be in the form of the System Operator's Commission-approved standard form LGIA, which is in Appendix 6 to Schedule 22. The Interconnection Customer shall return the Interconnection Customer specific information required to complete the form of LGIA, including the appendices, in Appendix 6 of Schedule 22 that the Interconnection Customer is willing to execute within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft from the System Operator, unless (1) the sixty (60) Calendar Day negotiation period under Section 11.2 of this LGIP has commenced, or (2) LGIA execution, or filing unexecuted, has been delayed to await the Affected System Study Report pursuant to Section 11.2.1 of this LGIP.

11.2 Negotiation.

Notwithstanding Section 11.1, at the request of the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall begin negotiations with the Interconnection Customer concerning the appendices to the LGIA at any time after ~~after Interconnection Customer executes the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement~~ the Interconnection Facilities Study is complete or after the

~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study and/or Cluster Restudy is complete if the Interconnection Customer intends to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study. In the event that the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study and proceeds directly from the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy to LGIA negotiation, the Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~the~~ an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the System Operator to ten percent (10%), as ~~additional 5% Commercial Readiness Deposit~~ required by Section 8.1 of this LGIP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Cluster Study Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report meeting (as applicable). The System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate concerning any disputed provisions of the appendices to the draft LGIA for not more than sixty (60) Calendar Days after tender by the System Operator of the draft LGIA pursuant to Section 11. If the Interconnection Customer determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination of the negotiations at any time after tender of the draft LGIA pursuant to Section 11.1 and request submission of the unexecuted LGIA with the Commission or initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5. If the Interconnection Customer requests termination of the negotiations, but within sixty (60) Calendar Days thereafter fails to request either the filing of the unexecuted LGIA or initiate Dispute Resolution, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, if the Interconnection Customer has not executed the LGIA, requested filing of an unexecuted LGIA, or initiated Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5 within sixty (60) Calendar Days of tender of by the System Operator of the draft LGIA pursuant to Section 11.1, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a final LGIA within fifteen (15) Business Days after the mutually agreed completion of the negotiation process.

11.2.1 Delay in LGIA Execution, or Filing Unexecuted, to Await Affected System Study Report.

If Interconnection Customer has not received its Affected System Study Report from the Affected System Operator prior to the date that it would be required to execute its LGIA (or request that its LGIA be filed unexecuted) pursuant to Section 11.1 of this LGIP, System Operator shall, upon request of Interconnection Customer, extend this deadline to thirty (30) Calendar Days after Interconnection Customer's receipt of the Affected System Study Report. If Interconnection Customer, after delaying LGIA execution, or requesting unexecuted filing, to await Affected System Study Results, decides to proceed to LGIA execution, or request unexecuted filing, without those results, it may notify System Operator of its intent to proceed with LGIA execution (or request that its LGIA be filed unexecuted)

pursuant to Section 11.1 of this LGIP. If System Operator determines that further delay to the LGIA execution date would cause a material impact on the cost or timing of an equal- or lower-queued interconnection customer, System Operator must notify Interconnection Customer of such impacts and set the deadline to execute the LGIA (or request that the LGIA be filed unexecuted) to thirty (30) Calendar Days after such notice is provided.

11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of LGIA.

11.3.1 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer.

11.3.1.1 Site Control and Commercial Readiness Deposit LGIA Deposit. Simultaneously with submitting the executed LGIA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner file the LGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final LGIA, the Interconnection Customer shall provide (A) to the System Operator demonstration of continued Site Control pursuant to Section 8.1(2) of this LGIP; and, reasonable evidence of continued Site Control, or (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the LGIA Deposit equal to twenty percent (20%) of Interconnection Customer's estimated Network Upgrade costs identified in the draft LGIA minus the total amount of Commercial Readiness Deposit that Interconnection Customer has provided to the System Operator for its Interconnection Request. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use LGIA Deposits as (or as a portion of) the Interconnection Customer's security required under Article 11.5 of the LGIA. Interconnection Customer may not request to suspend its LGIA under Article 5.16 of the LGIA until Interconnection Customer has provided (A+) to the System Operator and (B2) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If Interconnection Customer fails to provide (A+) and (B2) within the thirty (30) Calendar Days allowed for returning the executed LGIA and appendices under Section 11.1 of this LGIP, or within ten (10) Business Days after Interconnection Customer requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner file the LGIA unexecuted at the Commission as allowed in this Section 11.3 of this LGIP, the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP, posting of \$250,000, non-refundable additional security, which shall be applied toward future construction costs. Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it

~~has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property.~~

11.3.1.2 Development Milestones. ~~Simultaneously with submitting the executed LGIA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner file the LGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final LGIA, the~~ Interconnection Customer also shall provide to the System Operator reasonable evidence that one or more of the following milestones in the development of the Large Generating Facility, to be elected by the Interconnection Customer, has been achieved ~~(unless such milestone is inapplicable due to the characteristics of the Generating Facility):~~ (i) the execution of a contract for the supply or transportation of fuel to the Large Generating Facility; (ii) the execution of a contract for the supply of cooling water to the Large Generating Facility; (iii) execution of a contract for the engineering for, procurement of major equipment for, or construction of, the Large Generating Facility; (iv) execution of a contract ~~(or comparable evidence)~~ for the sale of electric energy or capacity from the Large Generating Facility; (v) application for an air, water, or land use permit.

At the same time, the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that was not studied using Clustering shall commit to a schedule for the payment of upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement, ~~and either: (A) provide evidence of approvals for all Major Permits, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff, or (B) provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in the form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, a refundable deposit of twenty (20) percent of the total costs for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement, unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's expenditure schedule for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades calls for an initial payment of greater than twenty (20) percent of the total upgrade costs, in which case the scheduled initial payment must instead be made within the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final LGIA. If the Interconnection Customer selects option (B) above, it shall also commit in the LGIA to the achievement of: (i) milestones for the completion of Major Permit approvals, and (ii) in the case of a CNR Interconnection Request, milestones to align the LGIA with the fulfillment of terms outlined in Section III.13 of the Tariff for participation in the Forward Capacity Market.~~

Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final LGIA, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request studied using ~~the CSIS and CFAC processes where such studies were triggered~~

~~prior to the effective date of this LGIP-Clustering~~ that provided the additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposit in accordance with Section 4.2.4.4 shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in cash, a potentially non-refundable deposit of twenty (20) percent of the total costs for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades, including any CETUs, identified in the CFAC, unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's expenditure schedule for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades calls for an initial payment of greater than twenty (20) percent of the total upgrade costs, in which case the scheduled initial payment must instead be made within the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final LGIA. If the Interconnection Customer does not submit this deposit (or make the initial payment) by the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final LGIA, the Interconnection Request shall be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure, and the Interconnection Customer's initial and additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposits shall become non-refundable. The non-refundable initial and additional ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~CETU Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster at time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation. If an Interconnection Request is withdrawn after the Interconnection Customer's payment of twenty (20) percent of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then the payment shall be used to offset the costs of the CETU. Any unspent payments of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner will be refunded to the respective Interconnection Customers that executed the Interconnection Agreement and provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the twenty (20) percent deposit (or initial payment) if all the associated Interconnection Requests are withdrawn from the interconnection queue and the associated Interconnection Agreements are terminated.

11.3.2 Execution and Filing of LGIA. Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final LGIA, (i) the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall execute three (3) originals of the tendered LGIA and return them to the System Operator, who will send an original to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer; or (ii) the Interconnection Customer shall request in writing that the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file with the Commission an LGIA in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the executed originals of the tendered LGIA (if it does not conform with a Commission-approved standard form of interconnection agreement) or the request to file an unexecuted LGIA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in accordance with

Section 11.3.3 or Section 11.3.4, as appropriate, shall jointly file the LGIA with the Commission, together with its explanation of any matters as to which the System Operator, Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to the Interconnection Customer under the LGIA. An unexecuted LGIA should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Request. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted LGIA, they may proceed pending Commission action.

With respect to the interconnection of an Interconnection Customer under Schedule 22, the LGIA shall be a three-party agreement among the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the System Operator and the Interconnection Customer. If Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and Interconnection Customer agree to the terms and conditions of a specific LGIA, or any amendments to such an LGIA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file the executed LGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act. To the extent the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and Interconnection Customer cannot agree to proposed variations from the standard form of LGIA in Appendix 6 or cannot otherwise agree to the terms and conditions of the LGIA for such Large Generating Unit, or any amendments to such an LGIA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted LGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall identify the areas of disagreement in such filing, provided that, in the event of disagreement on terms and conditions of the LGIA related to the costs of upgrades to such Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities, the anticipated schedule for the construction of such upgrades, any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets, then the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on such terms and conditions.

11.3.3 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner, acting on its own or jointly with the System Operator, may initiate a filing to amend this LGIP and the standard form of LGIA in Appendix 6 under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall include in such filing the views of System Operator, provided that the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner

or the Interconnection Customer(s), and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets.

11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities.

If the Interconnection Customer executes the final LGIA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform their respective obligations in accordance with the terms of the LGIA, subject to modification by the Commission. Upon submission of an unexecuted LGIA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly comply with the unexecuted LGIA, subject to modification by the Commission.

SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES.

12.1 Schedule.

The Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall negotiate in good faith concerning a schedule for the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades.

12.2 Construction Sequencing.

12.2.1 General. In general, the Initial Synchronization Date of an Interconnection Customer seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System will determine the sequence of construction of Network Upgrades.

12.2.2 Advance Construction of Network Upgrades that are an Obligation of an Entity other than the Interconnection Customer. An Interconnection Customer with an executed or unexecuted, but filed with the Commission, LGIA, in order to maintain its Initial Synchronization Date, may request that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Internal Affected Party -advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) were assumed in the Interconnection Studies for such Interconnection Customer, (ii) are necessary to support such Initial Synchronization Date, and (iii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to a contractual obligation of an entity other than the Interconnection Customer that is seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System, in time to support such Initial Synchronization Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission

Owner or appropriate Internal Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party; (i) any associated expediting costs and (ii) the cost of such Network Upgrades.

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will refund to the Interconnection Customer both the expediting costs and the cost of Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the LGIA. Consequently, the entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be obligated to pay only that portion of the costs of the Network Upgrades that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party has not refunded to the Interconnection Customer. Payment by that entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be due on the date that it would have been due had there been no request for advance construction. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party shall forward to the Interconnection Customer the amount paid by the entity with a contractual obligation to construct the Network Upgrades as payment in full for the outstanding balance owed to the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party then shall refund to that entity the amount that it paid for the Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the LGIA.

12.2.3 Advancing Construction of Network Upgrades that are Part of the Regional System Plan of the System Operator. An Interconnection Customer with an LGIA, in order to maintain its Initial Synchronization Date, may request that Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Internal Affected Party advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) are necessary to support such Initial Synchronization Date and (ii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to the Regional System Plan, in time to support such Initial Synchronization Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party any associated expediting costs.

12.2.4 Amended ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study. A ~~n-Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study Report will be amended to determine the facilities necessary to support the requested Initial Synchronization Date. This amended ~~study-report~~ will include those transmission and Large Generating

Facilities that are expected to be in service on or before the requested Initial Synchronization Date. The LGIA will also be amended to reflect the results of the ~~Amended Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study and any changes in obligations, including financial support, of the Parties.

SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS.

13.1 Confidentiality.

Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information treated as confidential under the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by any of the Parties to the others prior to the execution of an LGIA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by any Party, the other Party(ies) shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

13.1.1 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of the LGIA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Section 13.1.6, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding

establishing rights and obligations under the LGIA. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Parties that it no longer is confidential.

13.1.2 Release of Confidential Information. A Party shall not release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), employees, consultants, or to parties who may be or considering providing financing to or equity participation with Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with these procedures, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Section 13.1 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Section 13.1.

13.1.3 Rights. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Party(ies). The disclosure by each Party to the other Party(ies) of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by any Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

13.1.4 No Warranties. By providing Confidential Information, a Party does not make any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, a Party does not obligate itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Party(ies) nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

13.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party(ies) under these procedures or its regulatory requirements.

13.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires a Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential

Information, that Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Party(ies) may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of the LGIA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

13.1.7 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's(ies') Breach of its obligations under this Section 13.1. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Party(ies) shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Section 13.1, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Section 13.1, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Section 13.1.

13.1.8 Disclosure to the Commission, its Staff, or a State. Notwithstanding anything in this Section 13.1 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR section 1b.20, if the Commission or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the LGIP, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR ~~s~~ Section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to the LGIA when it is notified by the Commission or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a

confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner, consistent with applicable state rules, regulations and Section 13.1.

13.1.9 Subject to the exception in Section 13.1.8 of this LGIP, any information that a Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial or financial information (“Confidential Information”) shall not be disclosed by the other Party(ies) to any person not employed or retained by the other Party(ies), except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party(ies), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this LGIP or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or ISO or to a subregional, regional or national reliability organization or planning group. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of the other Party’s(ies’) Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party(ies) in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party(ies) in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

13.1.10 This provision shall not apply to any information that was or is hereafter in the public domain (except as a result of a Breach of this provision).

13.1.11 The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Interconnection Customer’s election, destroy, in a confidential manner, or return the Confidential Information provided at the time when Confidential Information is no longer needed.

13.2 Delegation of Responsibility.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party may use the services of subcontractors as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this LGIP. The Party using the services of a subcontractor shall remain primarily liable to the Interconnection Customer for the performance of such subcontractors and compliance with its obligations

of this LGIP. The subcontractor shall keep all information provided confidential and shall use such information solely for the performance of such obligation for which it was provided and no other purpose.

13.3 Obligation for Study Costs.

In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request prior to the commencement of the Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer must pay System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner the actual costs of processing its Interconnection Request. In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws after the commencement of the Cluster Study, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge, and the Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual costs of the Interconnection Studies.

Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the applicable Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded, except as otherwise provided herein, to the Interconnection Customers or offset against the cost of any future Interconnection Studies associated with the applicable ~~Interconnection Request~~ Cluster prior to beginning of any such future Interconnection Studies. Any invoices for Interconnection Studies shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of each Interconnection Study. The Interconnection Customers shall pay any such undisputed costs within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice therefore. If an Interconnection Customer fails to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn from the Cluster Study Process and will be subject to Withdrawal Penalties pursuant to Section 3.7 of this LGIP. ~~The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be obligated to perform or continue to perform any studies unless the Interconnection Customer has paid all undisputed amounts in compliance herewith.~~

13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies.

If (i) at the time of the signing of an Interconnection Study Agreement there is disagreement as to the estimated time to complete an Interconnection Study, (ii) the Interconnection Customer receives notice pursuant to Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 that the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner will not complete an Interconnection Study within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, or (iii) the Interconnection Customer receives neither the Interconnection Study nor a notice under Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, then the Interconnection Customer may request, which request will not be unreasonably denied, that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner utilize a third party consultant reasonably acceptable to the System

Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party, to perform such Interconnection Study under the direction of the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner as applicable. At other times, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also utilize a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, either in response to a general request of the Interconnection Customer, or on its own volition.

In all cases, use of a third party consultant shall be in accord with Article 26 of the LGIA (Subcontractors) and limited to situations where the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that doing so will help maintain or accelerate the study process for the Interconnection Customer's pending Interconnection Request and not interfere with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's progress on Interconnection Studies for other pending Interconnection Requests. In cases where the Interconnection Customer requests use of a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, the Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate all of the pertinent terms and conditions, including reimbursement arrangements and the estimated study completion date and study review deadline. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey all workpapers, data bases, study results and all other supporting documentation prepared to date with respect to the Interconnection Request as soon as soon as practicable upon the Interconnection Customer's request subject to the confidentiality provision in Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. In any case, such third party contract may be entered into with the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, or Interconnecting Transmission Owner at the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's discretion. In the case of (iii) the Interconnection Customer maintains its right to submit a claim to Dispute Resolution to recover the costs of such third party study. Such third party consultant shall be required to comply with this LGIP, Article 26 of the LGIA (Subcontractors), and the relevant Tariff procedures and protocols as would apply if the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner were to conduct the Interconnection Study and shall use the information provided to it solely for purposes of performing such services and for no other purposes.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate with such third party consultant and Interconnection Customer to complete and issue the Interconnection Study in the shortest reasonable time.

13.5 Disputes.

13.5.1 Submission. In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with the LGIA, the LGIP, or their performance, such Party (the “Disputing Party”) shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim (“Notice of Dispute”). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party’s(ies’) receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, after thirty (30) Calendar Days, then (i) in the case of disputes arising out of or in conjunction with the LGIA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted LGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission in accordance with Section 11.3.4, or (ii) in the case of disputes arising out of or in connection with any other matter regarding the administration of the LGIP, the System Operator may terminate the Interconnection Request and the Interconnection Customer may seek relief pursuant to Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. Each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this Schedule 22.

13.5.2 External Arbitration Procedures. Any arbitration initiated under these procedures shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (“Arbitration Rules”) and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Section 13, the terms of this Section 13 shall prevail.

13.5.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons for such decision. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of the LGIA and LGIP and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the LGIA and LGIP in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

13.5.4 Costs. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three-member panel and one-third of any associated arbitration costs; or (2) one-third the cost of the single arbitrator jointly chosen by the Parties and one-third of any associated arbitration costs.

13.5.5 Non-binding Dispute Resolution Procedures. If a Party has submitted a Notice of Dispute pursuant to Section 13.5.1, and the Parties are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within the thirty (30) Calendar Days provided in that section, and the Parties cannot reach mutual agreement to pursue the Section 13.5 arbitration process, a Party may request that the other Parties engage in Non-binding Dispute Resolution pursuant to this Section 13.5.5 by providing written notice to the other Parties (“Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution”). Conversely, either Party may file a Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution pursuant to this Section 13.5.5 without first seeking mutual agreement to pursue the Section 13.5 arbitration process. The process in Section 13.5.5 shall serve as an alternative to, and not a replacement of, the Section 13.5 arbitration process. Pursuant to this process, System Operator must within 30 days of receipt of the Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution appoint a neutral decision-maker that is an independent subcontractor that shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with the Parties. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the decision-maker shall render a decision within sixty (60) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and reasons therefore. This decision-maker shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of

the LGIP and LGIA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the LGIP and LGIA in any manner. The result reached in this process is not binding, but, unless otherwise agreed, the Parties may cite the record and decision in the non-binding dispute resolution process in future dispute resolution processes, including in a Section 13.5 arbitration, or in a Federal Power Act Section 206 complaint. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the process and the cost of the decision-maker shall be divided equally among each Party to the dispute.

13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds.

13.6.1 Facilities Financed by Local Furnishing Bonds. This provision is applicable only to interconnections associated with facilities financed for the local furnishing of electric energy with tax-exempt bonds, as described in Section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code ("local furnishing bonds"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this LGIA and LGIP, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to provide Interconnection Service to the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this LGIA and LGIP if the provision of such Interconnection Service would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service.

13.6.2 Alternative Procedures for Requesting Interconnection Service. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that the provision of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance its facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service, it shall advise the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notice of the Interconnection Request. The Interconnection Customer thereafter may renew its Interconnection Request using the process specified in the Tariff.

~~SECTION 9~~ 13.7 Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement, ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT ("E&P") AGREEMENT.

Prior to executing an LGIA, an Interconnection Customer may request, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that

authorizes the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the LGIP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position or Initial Synchronization Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer, including a deposit of 100 percent of the estimated engineering and study costs, and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.

The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or an E&P Agreement is terminated by any Party, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected Party or Internal Affected Party that is a party to an E&P Agreement may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or relevant Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.

APPENDICES TO LGIP [TO BE UPDATED PRIOR TO FILING]

APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

APPENDIX 2 ~~INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY CLUSTER~~ STUDY AGREEMENT

~~APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT~~

APPENDIX ~~4~~3 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX ~~5~~4 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX ~~6~~5 LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 6 TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 7 TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 8 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 9 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 10 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 11 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 12 INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES FOR A WIND GENERATING PLANT

APPENDIX 1
INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this request to interconnect its Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System under Schedule 22- - Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”) of the ISO New England Inc. Open Access Transmission Tariff (the “Tariff”). Capitalized terms have the meanings specified in the Tariff.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Proposed Project Name: _____

1. This Interconnection Request is for (check one):

- _____ A proposed new Large Generating Facility
- _____ An increase in the generating capacity or a modification that has the potential to be a Material Modification of an existing Generating Facility
- _____ Commencement of participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility
- _____ A change from Network Resource Interconnection Service to Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service

2. The types of Interconnection Service requested:

- _____ Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability only)
- _____ Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability and capacity capability)

Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to Network Resource Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service testing

~~If Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service, does Interconnection Customer request Long Lead Facility treatment? Check: ___ Yes or ___ No~~

~~If yes, provide, together with this Interconnection Request, the Long Lead Facility deposit and other required information as specified in Section 3.2.3 of the LGIP, including (if the Large Generating Facility will be less than 100 MW) a justification for Long Lead Facility treatment.~~

~~3. This Interconnection Customer requests (check one, selection is not required as part of the initial Interconnection Request):~~

~~_____ An Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

~~_____ An Interconnection System Impact Study~~

~~(The Interconnection Customer shall select either option and may revise any earlier selection up to within five (5) Business Days following the Scoping Meeting.)~~

34. The Interconnection Customer shall provide the following information:

Address or Location of the Facility (including Town/City, County and State):

~~Approximate location of the proposed~~Requested Point of Interconnection:

Type of Generating Facility to be Constructed: _____

Will the Generating Facility include electric storage capacity? Yes ___ No ___

Will the electric storage device charge from the Administered Transmission System? Yes No

If yes, describe the electric storage device and specifications to include aggregate charging capability measured at the POI and the associated aggregate reactive capability measured at the high side of the main transformer:

Primary frequency response operating range for electric storage resources:

Generating Facility Fuel Type:

Generating Facility Capacity (MW):

Temperatures ¹	Maximum Gross MW Electrical Output ²	Maximum Net MW Electrical Output ³	Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection ⁴
At or above 90 degrees F			
At or above 50 degrees F			
At or above 20 degrees F			
At or above 0 degrees F			

Requested ~~capacity (in MW) of~~ Interconnection Service (in MW) ~~(if lower than the Generating Facility Capacity):~~

Temperatures¹ <u>Service Level⁵</u>	Requested Gross MW Electrical Output²	Requested Net MW Electrical Output³	Requested Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection ⁴

CNR Capability Summer At or above 90 degrees F			
NR Capability Summer At or above 50 degrees F			
CNR Capability Winter At or above 20 degrees F			
NR Capability Winter At or above 0 degrees F			

Notes:

¹ In each row, insert all values corresponding to the given temperature, or a temperature greater than the given temperature, at which aggregate maximum gross output of the Generating Facility would be the highest. For example, if the aggregate maximum gross Generating Facility output occurs at 12 degrees F, all values in the “At or above 0 degrees F” row shall correspond to the 12 degrees F operating condition.

² Measured at the terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable, for each generating unit comprising the Generating Facility.

³ Measured at the terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable, for each generating unit comprising the Generating Facility less any station service at each generating unit’s terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable.

⁴ Measured at the Interconnection Customer’s proposed Point of Interconnection. The values correspond to the requested levels of Interconnection Service pursuant to Section 3.1 of the LGIP. The values account for any station service, losses incurred in Interconnection Facilities, station or generator step up transformers, and any other auxiliary systems. After the Interconnection Request is deemed valid, any increases to these values shall be subject to a new, separate Interconnection Request.

⁵ As described in Section II.48.1 for CNR Capability and II.48.2 for NR Capability.

General description of the equipment configuration, including any proposed control technologies to restrict the Large Generating Facility’s output to the requested Interconnection Service levels, if applicable (# of units and GSUs):

Requested Commercial Operations Date:

Requested Initial Synchronization Date:

Requested In-Service Date:

Evidence of Site Control (check one):

~~_____ 90% exclusive Site Control in Interconnection Customer's name is provided
herewith, as required.~~

~~_____ For a request for CNR Interconnection Service: 100% exclusive Site Control in
Interconnection Customer's name is provided herewith.~~

~~_____ For a request for NR Interconnection Service: 100% exclusive Site Control in
Interconnection Customer's name is provided herewith; or in lieu of evidence of Site
Control,~~

~~_____ a \$10,000/MW deposit subject to a minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of
\$2,000,000 is provided (refundable within the cure period as described in Section 3.4.3
of the LGIP), and,~~

~~_____ a signed affidavit from an officer of the company indicating that Site Control
is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations, and~~

~~_____ documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects
of such regulatory limitations~~

~~_____ If for Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service, Site Control is provided
herewith, as required.~~

~~_____ If for Network Resource Interconnection Service: (Check one)~~

~~___ Is provided herewith~~

~~___ In lieu of evidence of Site Control, a \$10,000 deposit is provided (refundable
within the cure period as described in Section 3.4.3 of the LGIP).~~

_____ Site Control is not provided because the proposed modification is to the
Interconnection Customer's existing Large Generating Facility and, by checking
this option, the Interconnection Customer certifies that it has Site Control and that
the proposed modification does not require additional real property.

~~The technical data specified within the applicable attachment to this form (check one):~~

~~_____ Is included with the submittal of this Interconnection Request form~~

~~_____ Will be provided on or before the execution and return of the Feasibility Study Agreement (Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) or Attachment B, depending on the scope of the study) or the System Impact Study Agreement (Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable)), as applicable~~

The ISO will post the Project Information on the ISO web site under “~~New Interconnections~~ Service” and the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT OASIS.

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Company Name: _____

ISO Customer ID# (If available): _____

(Interconnection Customer)

Company Address: PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State- ZIP: _____

Company Representative: Name: _____

Title: _____

Company Representative's Company and Address (if different from above):

Company Name: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ **FAX:** _____ **email:** _____

This Interconnection Request is submitted by:

Authorized Signature: _____

Name (type or print): _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

In order for an Interconnection Request to be considered a valid request, it must include:

- (a) Be accompanied by all ~~required deposits~~ Interconnection Request deposit of \$50,000.00 that is provided electronically and ~~which~~ may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.1~~2~~ of the LGIP;*
- (b) Required Cluster Study Deposit and that may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of the LGIP that is provided electronically;*
- (~~a~~)(c) Commercial Readiness Deposit and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of the LGIP;*
- (~~b~~)(d) For CNR Interconnection Service, upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.4.2 (iv) For Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service, include documentation demonstrating Site Control. If for Network Resource Interconnection Service, upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.4.2 (iv) or (1) a signed affidavit from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of \$2,000,000. demonstrate Site Control or post an additional deposit of \$10,000.00. If the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for Network Resource Interconnection Service demonstrates Site Control within the cure period specified in Section 3.4.1 of the LGIP, the additional deposit of \$10,000.00 shall be refundable (An Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control for an Interconnection Request*

for a modification to its existing Large Generating Facility where the Interconnection Customer has certified that it has Site Control and that the proposed modification does not require additional real property). Interconnection Requests from multiple Interconnection Customers for multiple Generating Facilities that share a site must include a contract or other agreement that allows for shared land use;

~~(e)~~(e) Include a detailed map, such as a map of the quality produced by the U.S. Geological Survey, which clearly indicates the site of the new facility and pertinent surrounding structures; and

~~(d)~~(f) Include all information required on the Interconnection Request form and attachments thereto;
and

~~(e)~~ Include the deposit and all information required for Long Lead Facility treatment, if such treatment is requested in accordance with Section 3.2.3 of the LGIP.

The Interconnection Request and attachments thereto must be submitted to the System Operator via the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT, a web-based application for submitting, tracking and viewing Interconnection Requests available on the ISO New England website.

Attachment A ~~(page 1) to Appendix 1~~ To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For Cluster Study
Interconnection System Impact Study

The technical data required below must be inputted directly into IRTT and submitted no later than the date of execution of the System Impact Study Agreement with the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.4.27.2 of the LGIP.

LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA

UNIT RATINGS

Kva	°F	Voltage
Power Factor		
Speed (RPM)		Connection (e.g. Wye)
Short Circuit Ratio		Frequency, Hertz
Stator Amperes at Rated Kva		Field Volts
Max Turbine MW	°F	

Primary frequency response operating range for electric storage resources:

Minimum State of Charge:

Maximum State of Charge:

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 90° OR ABOVE

Gross Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Lagging (MVAR)
Net Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Leading (MVAR)
Station Service (MW)	Station Service (MVAR)
Temperature (°F)	

Attachment A (page 2)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 50° OR ABOVE

Gross Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Lagging (MVAR)
Net Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Leading (MVAR)
Station Service (MW)	Station Service (MVAR)
Temperature (°F)	

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 20° OR ABOVE

Gross Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Lagging (MVAR)
Net Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Leading (MVAR)
Station Service (MW)	Station Service (MVAR)
Temperature (°F)	

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 0° OR ABOVE

Gross Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Lagging (MVAR)
Net Unit Rating (MW)	Gross Leading (MVAR)
Station Service (MW)	Station Service (MVAR)
Temperature (°F)	

COMBINED TURBINE-GENERATOR-EXCITER INERTIA DATA

Inertia Constant, H	=	kW sec/kVA
Moment-of-Inertia, WR ²	=	lb. ft. ²

~~Attachment A (page 3)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study~~

REACTANCE DATA (PER UNIT-RATED KVA)

	DIRECT AXIS	QUADRATURE AXIS
Synchronous – saturated	X _{dv}	X _{qv}
Synchronous – unsaturated	X _{di}	X _{qi}
Transient – saturated	X' _{dv}	X' _{qv}
Transient – unsaturated	X' _{di}	X' _{qi}
Subtransient – saturated	X'' _{dv}	X'' _{qv}
Subtransient – unsaturated	X'' _{di}	X'' _{qi}
Negative Sequence – saturated	X _{2v}	
Negative Sequence – unsaturated	X _{2i}	

FIELD TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Zero Sequence – saturated	X _{0v}	
Zero Sequence – unsaturated	X _{0i}	
Leakage Reactance	X _{lm}	
Open Circuit	T' _{do}	T' _{do}
Three-Phase Short Circuit Transient	T' _{d3}	T' _q
Line to Line Short Circuit Transient	T' _{d2}	
Line to Neutral Short Circuit Transient	T' _{d1}	
Short Circuit Subtransient	T'' _d	T'' _q
Open Circuit Subtransient	T'' _{do}	T'' _{qo}

ARMATURE TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Three Phase Short Circuit	T _{a3}
Line to Line Short Circuit	T _{a2}
Line to Neutral Short Circuit	T _{a1}

NOTE: If requested information is not applicable, indicate by marking “N/A.”

MW CAPABILITY AND PLANT CONFIGURATION
LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA
ARMATURE WINDING RESISTANCE DATA (PER UNIT)

Positive	R1	
Negative	R2	
Zero	R0	
Rotor Short Time Thermal Capacity I^2t	=	
Field Current at Rated kVA, Armature Voltage and PF	=	amps
Field Current at Rated kVA and Armature Voltage, 0 PF	\equiv	amps
Three Phase Armature Winding Capacitance	=	microfarad
Field Winding Resistance	=	ohms °C
Armature Winding Resistance (Per Phase)	=	ohms °C

CURVES

As applicable, Provide Saturation, Vee, ~~Reactive Capability~~, Capacity Temperature Correction curves. ~~A Reactive Capability is required for all Large Generating Facilities.~~ As applicable, ~~D~~esignate normal and emergency Hydrogen Pressure operating range for multiple curves.

MODELS FOR NON-SYNCHRONOUS GENERATORS

Models that meet the requirements of ~~PP5-6~~ISO New England Planning Procedures:

1. an appropriately parameterized library RMS positive sequence dynamics model, including model block diagram of the inverter control and plant control systems, that corresponds to Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility, and,
2. a validated user-defined model where one exists for the equipment (i.e. where the manufacturer attests that a library model may fully capture the behavior of the equipment). The user model will only be used for the fuller understanding of equipment behavior and will not be used to finalize the upgrade requirements in the Cluster Study and will not be added to base cases going forward.
3. A validated electromagnetic transient model

Interconnection Customer must also demonstrate that the model is validated by providing evidence that the equipment behavior is consistent with the model behavior (e.g., an attestation from Interconnection

Customer that the model accurately represents the entire Small Generating Facility; attestations from each equipment manufacturer that the user defined model accurately represents the component of the Small Generating Facility; or test data).

~~Attachment A (page 6)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study~~

EXCITATION SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of excitation system and power system stabilizer (“PSS”) for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding excitation system and PSS constants for use in the model.

GOVERNOR SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of governor system for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding governor system constants for use in the model.

WIND AND INVERTER-BASED GENERATORS

A completed Attachment A-1 Supplementary Wind and Inverter-Based Generating Facility Form to this Attachment A, must be supplied for all Interconnection Requests for wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities.

MODEL REQUIREMENTS

For all Generating Facility types: A completed, fully functioning, public (*i.e.*, non-proprietary, non-confidential) Siemens PTI’s (“PSSE”) power flow model or other compatible formats, such as IEEE and General Electric Company Power Systems Load Flow (“PSLF”) data sheet , must be supplied with this Attachment A. If additional public data sheets are more appropriate to the proposed device then they shall be provided and discussed at the Scoping Meeting. For all Interconnection Studies commencing after January 1, 2017, all power flow models must be standard library models in PSS/E or applicable applications. After January 1, 2017, user-models will not be accepted.

~~Attachment A (page 7)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study~~

A PSCAD model for all wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities and any Interconnection Facilities such as HVDC or auxiliary dynamic reactive devices must be supplied with this Attachment A. If a PSCAD model is deemed required for other Generating Facility types ~~at the Scoping Meeting~~, such PSCAD model must be provided to the System Operator with this Appendix 1? Attachment A and A-1 within ninety (90) Calendar Days of the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. A benchmarking analysis, consistent with the requirements in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, ~~confirming acceptable performance of the PSS/E model in comparison to the PSCAD model,~~ and shall be provided ~~at the time PSCAD model is submitted~~ with this Attachment A and A-1.

Attachment A (page 8)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study

INDUCTION GENERATORS:

- (* Field Volts:
- (* Field Amperes:
- (* Motoring Power (kW):
- (* Neutral Grounding Resistor (If Applicable):
- (* I_2^2t or K (Heating Time Constant):
- (* Rotor Resistance:
- (* Stator Resistance:
- (* Stator Reactance:
- (* Rotor Reactance:
- (* Magnetizing Reactance:
- (* Short Circuit Reactance:
- (* Exciting Current:
- (* Temperature Rise:
- (* Frame Size:
- (* Design Letter:
- (* Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load):
- (* Reactive Power Required In Vars (Full Load):
- (* Total Rotating Inertia, H: Per Unit on KVA Base

Note: Please consult System Operator prior to submitting the Interconnection Request to determine if the information designated by (*) is required.

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this Attachment A to the Interconnection Request is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment A-1 ~~(page 1)~~
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter-Based
Generating Facility Form

**SUPPLEMENTARY WIND AND INVERTER-BASED GENERATING FACILITY AND
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES DATA FORM**

A. Attach a Geographic Map Demonstrating the Project Layout and its Interconnection to the Power Grid. (Specify the name of the attachment here)

B. Attach a Bus-Breaker Based One-line Diagram (The diagram should include each of the individual unit generators, generator number, HVDC rating and terminal voltage.) (Specify the name of the attachment here)

1. Collection system detail impedance sheet

If a collector system is used, attach a collector system data sheet in accordance with the one-line diagram attached above. The data sheet should include: the type, length Z_0 , Z_1 and Xc/B of each circuit (feeder and collector string).

Specify the name of the attachment here: _____

2. Collection system aggregate (equivalent) model data sheet

Attach an aggregate (equivalent) collection system data sheet. The data table should include: the type, length, Z_0 , Z_1 and Xc/B of the equivalent circuits (feeders and collector strings).

Specify the name of the attachment here: _____

~~Attachment A-1 (page 2)
 To Attachment A of Appendix 1
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter-Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

C. Summary of the Unit Models in the wind or inverter-based ~~G~~generating ~~F~~Facility– (List all different unit models in the facility)

Manufacturer Model	Type of this WTG* (if applicable)	Generator Unit Numbers in the field	Number(s) of these Units	Maximum Output of this Unit (MW)	Total MW

- * Type 1 – Cage rotor induction generators
- Type 2 – Induction generators with variable rotor resistance
- Type 3 – Doubly-fed asynchronous generators with rotor-side converter
- Type 4 – Full-power converter interface

Repeat the following sections from 4 to 12 for each different unit model.

~~Attachment A-1 (page 3)
 To Attachment A of Appendix 1
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

D. Unit Detail Information

Unit Manufacturer Model	
Terminal Voltage	
Rating of Each Unit (MVA)	
Maximum Gross Electrical Output (MW)	
Minimum Gross Electrical Output (MW)	
Lagging Reactive Power Limit at Rated Real Power Output (MVAR)	
Leading Reactive Power Limit at Rated Real Power Output (MVAR)	
Lagging Reactive Power Limit at Zero Real Power Output (MVAR)	
Leading Reactive Power Limit at Zero Real Power Output (MVAR)	
Station Service Load (MW, MVAR)	
Minimum short circuit ratio (SCR) requirement by manufacturer	
On which bus the minimum SCR is required by manufacturer	
What voltage level the minimum SCR is required by manufacturer	
Positive sequence Xsource	
Zero sequence Xsource	

~~Attachment A-1 (page 4)
 To Attachment A of Appendix 1
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

E. Unit GSU – _____

Nameplate rating (MVA)	
Total number of the GSUs	
Voltages, generator side/system side	
Winding connections, low voltage/high voltage	
Available tap positions on high voltage side	
Available tap positions on low voltage side	
Will the GSU operate as an LTC?	
Desired voltage control range if LTC	
Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time) if LTC	
Desired tap position if applicable	
Impedance, Z1, X/R ratio	
Impedance, Z0, X/R ratio	

F. Low Voltage Ride Through (LVRT) – _____ (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

Does each Unit have LVRT capability?

Yes__ No__

If yes, please provide:

1. Unit LVRT mode activation and release condition:

When operating at maximum real power, what is the Unit terminal voltage for LVRT mode activation? _____

~~Attachment A-1 (page 5)
 To Attachment A of Appendix 1
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

When operating at maximum real power, what is the Unit terminal voltage for releasing LVRT mode after it is activated? _____

If there is different LVRT activation and release logic, please state here _____

2. A wind or other inverter-based generating facility technical manual from the manufacturer including description of LVRT functionality:

Attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

3. Does the wind or other inverter-based generating facility technical manual attached above include a reactive power capability curve?

Yes__ No__

If no, attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

G. Low Voltage Protection (considering LVRT functionality)

(Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

Low Voltage Setting (pu)	Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)

*Add more rows in the table as needed

H. High Voltage Protection - _____(Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

~~Attachment A-1 (page 6)~~
~~To Attachment A of Appendix 1~~
~~Supplementary Wind~~
~~and Inverter-Based~~
~~Generating Facility Form~~

High Voltage Setting (pu)	Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)

*Add more rows in the table as needed

I. Low Frequency Protection - _____(Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

Low Frequency Setting (Hz)	Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)

*Add more rows in the table as needed

J. High Frequency Protection - _____(Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

High Frequency Setting (Hz)	Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)

*Add more rows in the table as needed

Please make sure the settings in sections 7 through 10 comply with NERC and NPCC standards for generator protection relays.

~~Attachment A-1 (page 7)
 To Attachment A of Appendix 1
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

K. Unit Reactive Power Control - ____ (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

1. What are the options for the Unit reactive power control (check all available)?

- ___ Control the voltage at the Unit terminal
- ___ Control constant power factor at the Unit terminal
- ___ Control constant power factor at the low side of the station main transformer
- ___ Control constant power factor at the high side of the station main transformer
- ___ Control voltage at the low side of the station main transformer
- ___ Control voltage at the high side of the station main transformer
- ___ Other options. Please describe if select others _____

2. In all the control options selected above, please list the options in which the Unit is able to control its terminal voltage to prevent low/high voltage tripping.

3. What is the desired control mode from the selected options above? Specify the control plan in this mode. For example: control voltage at which bus to what schedule.

~~WIND~~ ~~OR INVERTER-BASED~~ ~~inverter-based~~ **GENERATING FACILITY** ~~generating~~
facility **AND INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES MODELS** ~~Model~~ **MODELS**

(All model files provided under this section ~~12~~ should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England and must be a standard library model in PSS/E)

~~Attachment A-1 (page 8)
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter Based
Generating Facility Form~~

A. Power flow model

1. _A *.RAW file including **aggregated/equivalent** wind or inverter-based generating facility and HVDC, if applicable, power flow model with appropriate parameters and settings.

*Attach the *.RAW file and specify the name of the attachment(s) here:*

2. _A *.RAW file including **detailed** wind or inverter-based ~~g~~Generating ~~f~~Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, power flow model with appropriate parameters and settings. *(Optional)*

*Attach the *.RAW file and specify the name of the attachment(s) here:*

B. Dynamic simulation model(s)

(Please note that the dynamic model(s) must match the aggregated/equivalent power flow model(s) provided above. Attach the following information for each of the models.)

1. Wind or inverter-based ~~g~~Generating ~~f~~Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, Model(s) _____ (Please Specify the Manufacturer Model(s))

2. A compiled PSS/E dynamic model for the ~~turbines~~ Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if necessary (a *.LIB or *.OBJ file)

*Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file(s) and specify the name(s) of the attachment(s) here:*

~~Attachment A-1 (page 9)
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter Based
Generating Facility Form~~

3. A dynamic data file with appropriate parameters and settings for the ~~turbines~~ Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, (typically a *.DYR file)

*Attach the *.DYR file(s) and specify the name(s) of the attachment(s) here:*

4. PSS/E wind or inverter-based ~~g~~Generating ~~f~~Facility model user manual for the ~~WTG~~Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities

Attach and specify the name of the attachment here:

Repeat the above sections ~~from 6 to 12~~ for each different wind or inverter-based generating facility model.

C. Power Plant Controller

~~Will the~~For wind or inverter-based ~~g~~Generating ~~f~~Facility ~~be equipped with power plant controller, which has~~will PPC have the ability to centrally control the output of the units?

Yes__ No__

~~If yes, please provide:~~

1. Manufacturer model of the power plant controller

2. What are the reactive power control strategy options of the power plant controller?
3. Which of the control options stated above is being used in current operation?

~~Attachment A-1 (page 10)~~
~~To Attachment A of Appendix 1~~
~~Supplementary Wind~~
~~and Inverter Based~~
~~Generating Facility Form~~

4. Is the power plant controller able to control the unit terminal voltages to prevent low/high voltage tripping?

Yes__ No__

Please provide the park controller technical manual from the manufacturer

Attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

D. Station Transformer

Transformer Name	
Nameplate ratings (MVA)	
Total number of the main transformer(s)	
Voltage, High/Low/Tertiary (kV)	
Winding connections, High/Low Tertiary	
Available tap positions on high voltage side	
Available tap positions on low voltage side	
Will the transformer operate as a LTC?	
Desired voltage control range if LTC	

~~Attachment A-1 (page 11)
 To Attachment A of Appendix I
 Supplementary Wind
 and Inverter Based
 Generating Facility Form~~

Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time) if LTC		
Desired tap position if applicable		
Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time)		
Impedance Z_1 , X/R ratio	Z_{1H-L}	X/R
	Z_{1H-T}	X/R
	Z_{1T-L}	X/R
Impedance Z_0 , X/R ratio	Z_{0H-L}	X/R
	Z_{0H-T}	X/R
	Z_{0T-L}	X/R

E. Dynamic Simulation Model for the Power Plant Controller(s) ~~(if applicable)~~

(All model files provided under this section ~~15~~ should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England and must be a standard library model in PSS/E)

1. A compiled PSS/E dynamic model for the power plant controller(s) (a *.LIB or *.OBJ file)

*Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file and specify the name of the attachment here:*

2. A dynamic data file with appropriate parameters and settings for the power plant controller(s) (typically a *.DYR file).

~~*Please set the parameters in accordance with the currently used control mode.*~~

*Attach the *.DYZ file and specify the name of the attachment here:*

~~*Attachment A-1 (page 12)
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter Based
Generating Facility Form*~~

-
3. PSS/E model user manual for the power plant controller(s)

Attach the manual and specify the name of the attachment or specify the name of the attachment here: _____

F. Capacitors and Reactors

Please provide necessary modeling data for all the capacitors and reactors ~~belong to the~~ that are part of the Interconnection Facilities facility, including: size, basic electrical parameters, connecting bus, switched or fixed, etc.

G. Dynamic Device(s)

(All model files provided under this section 17 should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England standard library models in PSS/E or applicable applications.)

1. ~~Provide~~ necessary modeling data file for all the dynamic devices belong to the facility.

Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file and specify the name of the attachment here:

2. ~~17.2~~—A dynamic data file containing the parameters for the units (typically a *.DYZ file).

Set the parameters in accordance with the desired control mode.

Attach the *.DYZ file and specify the name of the attachment here:

~~Attachment A-1 (page 13)
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter Based
Generating Facility Form~~

H. Collection System/Transformer Tap-Setting Design

Attach a collection system/transformer tap-setting design calculations, consistent with the requirements in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, that identify the calculations to support the proposed tap settings for the unit step-up transformers and the station step-up transformers.

Attached the design document and specify the name of the attachment here:

~~I. Additional Information~~

~~Are there any special features available to be implemented to the wind or inverter based generating facility? Such as weak grid interconnection solutions, etc.~~

~~Specify the available features here:~~

~~Insert the technical manual for each of the features listed above as objects (display as icons) or specify the name of the attachment here:~~

~~J.I. Provide PSCAD Model and Documentation for the wind or inverter-based Generating Facility, the Power Plant Controller(s) and Other Dynamic Devices or HVDC for the wind or inverter based generating facility.~~

~~ISO will determine how much PSCAD work is needed from the wind or inverter based generating facility based on its interconnection system conditions.~~

Attachment A-2
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Cluster System Impact Study
Application Form

CLUSTER SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY APPLICATION FORM

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this form to request the inclusion of the Interconnection Request for its Large Generating Facility in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study pursuant to Section 4.2.3.~~2.2~~ of this LGIP.

To be included in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the following must be submitted together with this form to the System Operator by the Cluster Entry Deadline:

1. Project Information:

a. Project Name: _____

(a) Queue Position: _____

(b) Is the Interconnection Request contractually associated with an Interconnection Request for an Elective Transmission Upgrade? Yes ____ No ____

If yes, identify Queue Position of the associated Interconnection Request and provide evidence of the contractual commitment. Queue Position No.: _____

2. Initial ~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~ CETU Participation Deposit as specified in Section 4.2.3.~~2.2~~

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this form is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment B (page 1)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

~~The technical data required below must be submitted no later than the date of execution of the Feasibility Study Agreement pursuant to Section 6.1 of the LGIP.~~

~~Complete all fields. If field is not applicable, state "N/A".~~

~~A. LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA~~

~~(Aggregated data for all units at the Generating Facility)~~

	0°F	50°F
1. Total gross Generating Facility rated real power output (MW)		
2. Total gross lagging reactive capability of generator(s) at rated output (MVAR)		
3. Total gross leading reactive capability of generator(s) at rated output (MVAR)		
4. Total station service load (MW)		
5. Total station service load (MVAR)		

Attachment B (page 2)
 To Appendix 1
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study

B. INDIVIDUAL GENERATING UNIT DATA

(Repeat the relevant table for each distinct type of generating unit utilized at the facility)

(Greatest unit rating at ambient temperature of 50°F or above)

Synchronous Generators	
1. Generating unit manufacturer	
2. Generating unit model	
3. Number of generating units	
4. Generating unit gross rated real power output (MW)	
5. Generating unit gross lagging reactive capability at rated output (MVAR)	
6. Generating unit gross leading reactive capability at rated output (MVAR)	
7. Generator rated MVA	
8. Station service (MW)	
9. Station service (MVAR)	
10. Net generator output (MW)	
11. Net generator output (MVAR)	
12. Nominal terminal voltage (kV)	
13. Rated power factor (%)	
14. Direct axis, positive sequence, sub-transient reactance on generator base in per unit, X''_{d+} (Unsaturated)	
15. Positive sequence, generator AC resistance on generator base in per unit, R_a	

~~Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

Wind Turbine Generators	
1. Wind turbine manufacturer	
2. Wind turbine model	
3. Number of wind turbines	
4. Wind turbine type (1/2/3/4)	
5. Wind turbine unit rated output (MW)	
6. Wind turbine unit gross lagging reactive capability at rated output and at nominal terminal voltage (MVAR)	
7. Wind turbine unit gross leading reactive capability at rated output and at nominal terminal voltage (MVAR)	
8. Wind turbine converter rated MVA (Type 3 & 4)	
9. Nominal terminal voltage (kV)	
10. Rated power factor (%)	
11. Direct axis, positive sequence, sub-transient reactance on generator base, X''_{dv} (Unsaturated) in per unit	
12. Positive sequence, generator AC resistance on generator base in per unit, R_a	

~~Attachment B (page 4)
 To Appendix 1
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

Non-Wind Inverter-Based Generators	
1. Inverter manufacturer	
2. Inverter model	
3. Number of inverters	
4. Inverter unit rated output (MW)	
5. Inverter unit gross lagging reactive capability at rated output and at nominal terminal voltage (MVAR)	
6. Inverter unit gross leading reactive capability at rated output and at nominal terminal voltage (MVAR)	
7. Inverter rated MVA	
8. Nominal terminal voltage (kV)	
9. Rated power factor (%)	
Additional Data for Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)	
10. Maximum charging power (MW)	
11. Will BESS be charged from the Administered Transmission System? (Yes/No)	

Attachment B (page 5)
 To Appendix 1
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study

C. GENERATOR SHORT CIRCUIT DATA

(Repeat the relevant table for each distinct type of generating unit utilized at the facility)

Synchronous Generator(s)		
MVA base for data		
kV base for data		
	R (per unit)*	X (direct axis, saturated) (per unit)*
1. Subtransient		
2. Transient		
3. Synchronous		
4. Negative Sequence		
5. Zero Sequence		
6. Connection (delta, grounded WYE, ungrounded WYE, impedance grounded)		
7.a. Ground resistance if impedance grounded (per unit)		
7.b. Ground reactance if impedance grounded (per unit)		

——* Provide impedance in per unit on the generator MVA base

**Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study**

Inverter-Based Resources (including Type 3 & 4 Wind Turbine)	
Full load current magnitude (Amps) per inverter	
Instantaneous controlled fault current magnitude (Amps) per inverter	

D. TRANSFORMER RATINGS DATA

(Repeat the table for each distinct type of station generator step-up transformer utilized at the facility)

Station generator step-up transformer (Station Transformer)			
Number of Station Transformer(s)			
	Self-cooled	Maximum nameplate	
Capacity (kVA)			
	Generator side	System side	Tertiary
Voltage ratio (kV)			
	Low voltage	High voltage	Tertiary voltage
Winding connections (Delta or Wye)			
	Tap settings		
Fixed taps available		Present tap setting	

Interconnection Feasibility Study

(Repeat the table for each distinct type of generating unit step-up transformer utilized at the facility)

Generating unit step-up transformer (GSU) (Wind turbine and inverter based Generating Facilities)			
Number of GSU(s)			
	Self-cooled	Maximum nameplate	
Capacity (kVA)			
	Generator side	System side	Tertiary
Voltage ratio (kV)			
	Low voltage	High voltage	Tertiary voltage
Winding Connections (Delta or Wye)			
	Tap settings		
Fixed taps available		Present tap setting	

E. TRANSFORMER IMPEDANCE DATA

(Repeat the table for each distinct type of GSU transformer and station transformer on self-cooled kVA rating)

2-Winding Transformer			
Data For (Check One)	GSU	Station Transformer	
MVA Base for Data			
	R (p.u.)	X (p.u.)	X/R
Positive Sequence			
Zero Sequence			

3-Winding Transformer				
Data For (Check One)	GSU	Station Transformer		
MVA Base for Data				
	R (p.u.)	X (p.u.)	X/R	Positive Sequence
High Side-Low Side				
High Side Tertiary				
Low Side Tertiary				Zero Sequence
High Side-Low Side				
High Side Tertiary				
Low Side Tertiary				

~~F. COLLECTOR SYSTEM EQUIVALENCE IMPEDANCE DATA FOR WIND/PHOTOVOLTAIC PLANTS~~

~~(Provide data below in per unit on 100 MVA and nominal line voltage (kV) base. Do not include Station Transformer impedance)~~

1. Nominal voltage (kV)		
2. Positive sequence resistance (R1), reactance (X1)		
3. Zero sequence resistance (R0), reactance (X0)		
4. Total branch charging susceptance, B		

~~Attachment B (page 9)
 To Appendix I
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

~~G. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES TIE LINE DATA~~

~~(Provide data below in per unit on 100 MVA and nominal line voltage (kV) base)~~

~~(Only list data for lines that are to be added by the generation developer)~~

1. Nominal Voltage (kV)	
------------------------------------	--

2. Line termination points (The proposed line will connect point 2.a with point 2.b)	2.a.	
	2.b.	
3. Positive sequence resistance (R1), reactance (X1)		
4. Zero sequence resistance (R0), reactance (X0)		
5. Total branch charging susceptance, B		

In addition, provide the following data:

~~13. Reactive capability curve~~

~~14. For synchronous generator(s)~~

~~15. A complete Siemens PTI (“PSSE”) format steady state power flow model of the Generating Facility (including Interconnection Facilities tie line, if applicable)~~

~~16. A short circuit model of the Generating Facility (including Interconnection Facilities tie line, if applicable) in ASPEN OneLiner (.OLR) format~~

~~15. For collector based Generating Facilities~~

~~15.1 A complete Siemens PTI (“PSSE”) format steady state power flow single machine equivalent model shall be used for each major feeder branch of the Generating Facility as described in Planning Procedure 5-6 (Interconnection Planning Procedure for Generation and Elective Transmission Upgrades)~~

~~15.2 A single machine equivalent short circuit model of the Generating Facility (including Interconnection Facilities tie line, if applicable) in ASPEN OneLiner (.OLR) format~~

Attachment B (page 10)
 To Appendix 1
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study

Applicant Signature

~~I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this Attachment B to the Interconnection Request is true and accurate.~~

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment C (page 2)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____ E-mail: _____

Original Interconnection Customer Company Name: _____

ISO Customer ID# (If available): _____

Company Address:

PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Company Representative: Name: _____

Title: _____

Company Representative's Company and Address (if different from above):

Company Name: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Attachment C (page 3)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____ email: _____

PROJECT INFORMATION

Description of the Original Interconnection Customer's ~~existing, commercial~~ Large Generating Facility:

Description of the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Select Type of Interconnection Service for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

CNR Interconnection Service

NR Interconnection Service

Specify the amount of Unused Capability at the corresponding CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service available for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Attachment C (page 4)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Requested Commercial Operations Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Requested Initial Synchronization Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Requested In-Service Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

To request Surplus Interconnection Service, the Surplus Interconnection Customer shall provide the following, together with this Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application:

- 11 The Original Interconnection Customer's written consent for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to use Unused Capability associated with Interconnection Service established under the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility, together with a copy of that Interconnection Agreement;
- 12 A detailed description of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and their respective Interconnection Facilities and existing Point of Interconnection and Point of Change of Ownership, together with a completed Attachment A and Attachment A-1, as applicable, to Appendix 1 of this LGIP, including a site electrical one-line diagram reflecting both the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the proposed Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and a plot plan; and
- 13 Site Control for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.

Attachment C (page 5)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical and non-technical information necessary from the Original Interconnection Customer or the Surplus Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary to facilitate their review of the Surplus Interconnection Service request.

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this form is true and accurate.

Authorized Signature: _____

Name (type or print): _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX 2

INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT

~~THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”~~

RECITALS

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform an Interconnection Feasibility Study to assess the feasibility of interconnecting the proposed Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System, and any Affected Systems.~~

~~NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:~~

~~1.0 — When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission approved Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).~~

~~2.0 — Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Feasibility Study consistent with Section 6.0 of the LGIP in accordance with the Tariff.~~

~~3.0 — The scope of the Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.~~

~~4.0 — The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be based on the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) or Attachment B to the Interconnection Request, as may be modified as the result of the Scoping Meeting. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and as designated in accordance with Section 3.4.4 of the LGIP. If, after the designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.4.4 of the LGIP, Interconnection Customer modifies its Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 4.4, the time to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study may be extended.~~

~~5.0 — The Interconnection Feasibility Study report shall provide the following information depending on whether the Feasibility Study consisted of (a) a limited power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis, or (b) limited thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability analysis, or electromagnetic transient analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Large Generating Facility's interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting:~~

~~— If the study consisted of a limited power flow, including thermal analysis, voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis, preliminary identification of: (1) any circuit breaker or other facility short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection; (2) any thermal overload of any transmission facility or system voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection; (3) Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Large Generating~~

~~Facility, together with a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless the Interconnection Customer waives such cost estimate) and the time to construct such facilities as identified within the scope of the analysis performed; or~~

~~— If the study consisted of limited thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability analysis, or electromagnetic transient analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Large Generating Facility's interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting: (1) the study findings; and (2) preliminary description of and a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless Interconnection Customer waives such cost) and the time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Large Generating Facility as identified within the scope of the analysis performed as part of the study.~~

~~In accordance with the LGIP, in performing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with each other and Affected Parties, and shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.~~

~~6.0 — The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and the development of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and its attachment(s). Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement is [insert date].~~

~~The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection Feasibility Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.~~

~~Upon receipt of the Interconnection Feasibility Study System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.~~

~~7.0 — Miscellaneous.~~

~~7.1 — Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.~~

~~7.2 — Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Feasibility Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Feasibility Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Feasibility Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the content of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.~~

~~7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.~~

~~7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.~~

~~7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or an Interconnecting Transmission~~

~~Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or an Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.~~

~~7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

- ~~7.4 — Third Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.~~
- ~~7.5 — Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Feasibility Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.~~
- ~~7.6 — Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.~~
- ~~7.7 — Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.~~
- ~~7.8 — Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.~~
- ~~7.9 — Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.~~
- ~~7.10 — Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.~~

~~7.11 — Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.~~

~~7.12 — No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.~~

~~7.13 — Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.~~

~~7.14 — Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.~~

~~IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.~~

~~System Operator _____ Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~

~~By: _____ By:~~

~~Title: _____ Title:~~

~~Date: _____ Date:~~

~~{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}~~

By:

Title:

Date:

~~Attachment A to
Appendix 2
Interconnection Feasibility
Study Agreement~~

~~**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY**~~

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study will be based upon the information set forth in the
Interconnection Request and agreed upon in the Scoping Meeting held on _____:~~

~~Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.~~

~~Designation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration.~~

~~{Above assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to be
provided by Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner}~~

|

APPENDIX 32

~~INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT~~CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System;

~~**WHEREAS**, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have completed an Interconnection Feasibility Study (the “Feasibility Study”) and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer (This recital is to be omitted if Interconnection Customer has elected to forego the Interconnection Feasibility Study); and~~

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform a ~~Cluster~~Cluster ~~Interconnection System~~ Study to assess the impact of interconnecting the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System, and any Internal Affected Systems.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Large Generator Interconnection Procedure (“LGIP”).
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed a ~~Cluster n Interconnection System Impact~~ Study consistent with Section 7.0 of the LGIP in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study will be based upon ~~the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study unless Interconnection Customer did not pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study, and~~ the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in Attachment A (and Attachment A-1 as applicable) to the Interconnection Request, subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the LGIP. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study. ~~If Interconnection Customer modifies its designated Point of Interconnection, Interconnection Request, or the technical information provided therein is modified, the time to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study may be extended.~~
- 5.0 The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study ~~R~~report shall provide the following information:
- identification of any circuit breaker or other facility short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
 - identification of any thermal overload of any transmission facility or system voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
 - initial review of grounding requirements and electric system protection;
 - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;

- identification of Contingent Facilities
- description and non-binding, good faith estimated cost of and the time to construct the facilities required to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and to address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues; and
- ~~to the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 7.4 of the LGIP, the report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to achieve CNRIS service qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

6.0 ~~The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to:~~

- i. ~~the greater of 100 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study or \$250,000;~~
~~or~~
 - ii. ~~the lower of 100 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study or \$50,000, if the Interconnection Customer is providing herewith either:~~
 - ~~(a) evidence of applications for all Major Permits, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff, required in support of the Interconnection Request, or provide certification that Major Permits are not required or~~
 - ~~(b) evidence acceptable to the System Operator of At Risk Expenditures (excluding study costs) totaling at least the amounts of money described in (i) above.~~
- ~~or~~

~~iii — the lower of 100 percent of the estimated costs of the study or \$50,000 if the Interconnection Request is for a modification to an existing Large Generating Facility that does not increase the energy capability or capacity capability of the Large Generating Facility.~~

The ~~Cluster Study Deposit deposit~~ shall be applied toward the cost of the ~~Interconnection System ImpactCluster~~ Study and the development of this ~~Interconnection System ImpactCluster~~ Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the LGIA. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the times of commencement and completion of the ~~Interconnection System ImpactCluster~~ Study is [insert dates].

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection System Impact Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____.

Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the ~~Interconnection System ImpactCluster~~ Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.

Upon receipt of the ~~Interconnection System ImpactCluster~~ Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection System Impact Study.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection System Impact Study each month.

Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.

In accordance with the LGIP, in performing the ~~Interconnection System Impact StudyCluster Study~~, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with Internal Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study, the content of the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study, or the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any

obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

- 7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, an Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or

profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

By:

By:

Title:

Title:

Date:

Date:

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A

To Appendix ~~32~~

~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~

Study Agreement

**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
~~INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT CLUSTER~~ STUDY**

The ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request ~~results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study unless Interconnection Customer did not pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study,~~ subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the LGIP, and the following assumptions:

Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.

~~Designation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration. [Above assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to be provided by Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner]~~

APPENDIX 43

INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated ; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have completed ~~an a~~ ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study (~~the “System Impact Study”~~) and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform an Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8.0 of the LGIP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A and the data provided in Attachment B to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study report (i) shall provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and (ii) shall address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~ Cluster Study.
- 5.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a Commercial Readiness Deposit per Section 8.1 of this LGIP to enter the Interconnection Facilities Study and a deposit equal to:
 - ~~i. the greater of 25 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study or \$250,000, or~~
 - ~~ii. the greater of 100 percent of the estimated monthly cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement or \$100,000, if the Interconnection Customer can provide either:~~
 - ~~(a) evidence of application for all Major Permits, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2(a) of the Tariff, required in support of the Interconnection Request, or provide certification that Major Permits are not required or~~
 - ~~(b) evidence acceptable to the System Operator of At Risk Expenditures (excluding Interconnection Study costs) totaling at least the amount of the money in (i) above, not including the At Risk Expenditures~~

~~demonstrated with the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, if applicable.~~

~~or~~

~~iii. — the greater of 100 percent of one month's estimated study cost or \$100,000, if the Interconnection Request is for a modification to an existing Large Generating Facility that does not increase the energy capability or capacity capability of the Large Generating Facility~~

The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study and the development of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the LGIA. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A.

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____.

Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.

Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.

In accordance with the LGIP, in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall coordinate with Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

6.0 Miscellaneous.

- 6.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.
- 6.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.
- 6.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.
- 6.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to

make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

- 6.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the

foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

- 6.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.
- 6.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 6.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a

term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

- 6.6 **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 6.7 **Severability.** In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 6.8 **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 6.9 **Amendment.** No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 6.10 **Survival.** All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 6.11 **Independent Contractor.** Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 6.12 **No Implied Waivers.** The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or

relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

6.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

6.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

By:

By:

Title:

Title:

Date:

Date:

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A
To Appendix 43
Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

**INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SCHEDULE ELECTION FOR CONDUCTING THE
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY**

Interconnection Customer elects (check one):

- b. +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.
- c. +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study Rreport to the Interconnection Customer within the following number of days after ~~of~~ receipt of an executed copy of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

- ninety (90) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the report, or
- one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the report.

Attachment B (page 1)

Appendix 43

Interconnection Facilities

Study Agreement

**DATA FORM TO BE PROVIDED BY INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER
WITH THE
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT**

Provide location plan and simplified one-line diagram of the plant and station facilities. For staged projects, please indicate future generation, transmission circuits, etc.

One set of metering is required for each generation connection to the new ring bus or existing New England Transmission System station. Number of generation connections:

On the one line indicate the generation capacity attached at each metering location. (Maximum load on Current Transformer/Power Transformer (“CT/PT”))

On the one line indicate the location of auxiliary power. (Minimum load on CT/PT) Amps

Will an alternate source of auxiliary power be available during CT/PT maintenance?

Yes _____ No _____

Will a transfer bus on the generation side of the metering require that each meter set be designed for the total plant generation? Yes _____ No _____

(Please indicate on one line).

What type of control system or Power Line Carrier (“PLC”) will be located at the Interconnection Customer’s Large Generating Facility?

What protocol does the control system or PLC use?

Attachment B (page 2)

Appendix 43

Interconnection Facilities

Study Agreement

Please provide a 7.5-minute quadrangle of the site. Sketch the plant, station, transmission line, and property line.

Physical dimensions of the proposed interconnection station:

Bus length from generation to interconnection station:

Line length from interconnection station to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission line.

Tower number observed in the field. (Painted on tower leg)*

Number of third party easements required for transmission lines*:

* To be completed in coordination with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Is the Large Generating Facility in Interconnecting Transmission Owner's service area?

Yes _____ No _____ Local provider:

Please provide proposed schedule dates:

Begin Construction Date:

Generator step-up transformer Date:

Receives back feed power Date

Generation Testing Date:

Commercial Operation Date:

APPENDIX 54

OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to establish an interconnection to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has submitted to System Operator an Interconnection Request; and

WHEREAS, on or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study results, Interconnection Customer has further requested that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner prepare an Optional Interconnection Study.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Large Generator Interconnection

Procedures (“LGIP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).

- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Optional Interconnection Study consistent with Section 10.0 of the LGIP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Optional Interconnection Study shall be performed solely for informational purposes.
- 5.0 The Optional Interconnection Study report shall provide a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A to this Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will identify Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A.

In accordance with the LGIP, in performing the Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall coordinate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Parties and Internal Affected Parties, and shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.
- 6.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s and System Operator’s good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Optional Interconnection Study is [insert date].

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Optional Interconnection Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator’s estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s estimated cost of \$_____.

Any difference between the initial payment and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon receipt of the Optional Interconnection Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Optional Interconnection Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study, the content of the Optional Interconnection Study, or the conclusions of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission

Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

- 7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owners under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 **Third-Party Beneficiaries.** Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Optional Interconnection Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 **Term and Termination.** This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Optional Interconnection Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 **Severability.** In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 **Amendment.** No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 **Survival.** All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.

- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instances; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

By:

By:

Title:

Title:

Date:

Date:

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A

Appendix ~~54~~

Optional Interconnection

Study Agreement

**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING
THE OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY**

[To be completed by Interconnection Customer consistent with Section 10 of the LGIP.]

APPENDIX 76 to LGIP
TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”), and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____;

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility with the ~~New England~~ Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to perform a “Transitional Cluster Study,” which combines the Cluster Study and Interconnection Facilities Study, in a single cluster study, followed by any needed restudies, to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to physically and electrically connect the Large Generating Facility to ~~Transmission Provider’s~~ the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has a valid Queue Position as of the {~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects, and System Operator shall cause to be performed, a Transitional Cluster Study, and Interconnection Customer elects that System Operator study the Large Generating Facility’s request for.

Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability only)

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability and capacity capability)

Interconnection Customers seeking to complete studies for CNRIS for Interconnection Requests for which NRIS milestones have already been completed shall check this box and fill in the table below

<u>Service Level</u>	<u>Requested Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection</u>
<u>CNR Capability Summer</u>	
<u>CNR Capability Winter</u>	

Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to Network Resource Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service testing.

3.0 The Transitional Cluster Study shall be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request. System Operator reserves the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Transitional Cluster Study and Interconnection Customer shall provide such data as quickly as reasonable.

4.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP, the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the information below:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;

— identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;

-

- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and

- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

5.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall: (1) provide all the information included in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report; (2) provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Transmission System; and (3) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

— Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

6.0

7.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit for the performance of Interconnection Studies. Interconnection Customer shall provide additional study deposits in the form described in Section 5.1.1.2XX. System Operator may invoice for additional costs as appropriate such that Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual

costs of the Transitional Cluster Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, in accordance with the provisions of Section 13.3 of this LGIP.

Miscellaneous.

8.0

8.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

8.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Transitional Cluster Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study , the content of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study , or the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study . Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

8.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

8.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any

obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

8.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or

profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

8.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

- 8.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Transitional Cluster Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 8.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 8.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 8.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 8.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 8.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 8.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 8.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

8.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

8.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~The Transitional Cluster Study Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability and assignment, that reflect best practices in the electric industry, and that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of this LGIP and the LGIA.~~

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title:

Date:

APPENDIX 8 to LGIP

TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Large Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility with the ~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s~~ Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to continue processing its Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the final ~~interconnection system impact study~~ Cluster Study (from the previously effective serial study process) in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator has provided an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the Interconnection Customer on or before {~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8 of this LGIP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement, which shall be the same assumptions as the previous Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement executed by the Interconnection Customer.
- 4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall: (1) provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and (2) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the most recently published Cluster Study Report.
- 5.0 Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A, and shall be no later than 150 Calendar Days after {System Operator~~Transmission Provider~~ to insert effective date accepted on compliance}.
- 6.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit of _____ dollars (\$) for the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 7.0 Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study results, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 8.0 Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.
- 9.0 Miscellaneous.
- 9.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the

information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

9.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

9.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

9.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

9.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

9.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy

filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 9.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 9.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 9.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 9.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed

severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

9.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

9.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

9.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.

9.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

9.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

9.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

9.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

The Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability and assignment, that reflect best practices in the electric industry, and that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of this LGIP and this LGIA.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their
duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Attachment A to Appendix 8
Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE TRANSITIONAL SERIAL
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY

{Assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

APPENDIX 9 to LGIP
TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator). ~~Affected System Interconnection Customer and System Operator~~~~Transmission Provider~~ each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, ~~Affected System Interconnection Customer~~ is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by ~~Affected System Interconnection Customer~~ to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England ~~Transmission Provider’s~~ Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, ~~Affected System Interconnection Customer~~ desires to interconnect the {generating facility} with {name of host transmission provider}’s transmission system;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.
- 2.0 System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customer to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this LGIP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.

4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customer and {name of host transmission provider}. System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.

5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
- non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on the New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facility} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
- description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.

6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of _____ for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the

information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy

filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 -Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability, and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional~~

~~practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of the LGIP.~~

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

~~{Insert name of Transmission Provider}~~ISO New England Inc.

By: _____ By: _____

Title: _____ Title: _____

Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 9
Two-Party Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customer and System
Operator-Transmission Provider}

APPENDIX 10 to LGIP
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and among _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (System Operator~~Transmission Provider~~). Affected System Interconnection Customers and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as the “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England~~Transmission Provider’s~~ Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} with {name of host transmission provider}’s transmission system;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.

- 2.0 System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customers to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this LGIP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customers and {name of host transmission provider}. System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customers as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.
- 5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:
- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
 - identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
 - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
 - non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on the New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facilities} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
 - description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.
- 6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customers shall each provide a deposit of for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customers, System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customers, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.

7.0 Miscellaneous

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to

perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission

Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities (“Losses”) by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~ Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has

breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a

third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability, and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of the LGIP.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Transmission Provider ISO New England Inc.}

By: _____ By: _____

Title: _____ Title: _____

Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 10
Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customers and System
Operator-Transmission Provider}

APPENDIX 11 TO LGIP

TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~). Affected System Interconnection Customer and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customer to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England ~~Transmission Provider’s~~ Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the {generating facility} to {name of host transmission provider}’s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of the New England ~~Transmission Provider’s~~ Transmission System to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer has requested, and ~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1

DEFINITIONS

-
When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.

ARTICLE 2

TERM OF AGREEMENT

-
2.1 Effective Date. This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

-
2.2 Term.

-
2.2.1 General. This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) ~~the final repayment, where applicable, by System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner Transmission Provider of the amount funded by Affected System Interconnection Customer for Transmission Provider's design, procurement, construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) provided in Appendix A;~~ (2) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (23) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (24) Affected System Interconnection Customer terminates this Agreement after providing ~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner Transmission Provider~~ with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customer has no outstanding contractual obligations to ~~Transmission Provider Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~ under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if (1) the commercial operation date for the {generating facility} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host transmission provider} or (2) the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~.

-
2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in

Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, the non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider may not terminate this Agreement if Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates Transmission Provider within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer by Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider for any such damages, including costs and expenses, incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider as a result of such Default.

-

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by either Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer due to a Default by System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment to System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider of all amounts then due and payable for construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of the New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System. Transmission Provider/Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs.

-

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Transmission Provider shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such

modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

-

2.3 Filing. ~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~Transmission Provider~~ shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. If Affected System Interconnection Customer has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by ~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~Transmission Provider~~ needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

-

2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

-

2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration.

-

ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

-

3.1 Construction.

-

3.1.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure, construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall

pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

-

3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

-

3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer must provide to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of its request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider's Transmission System~~New England Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of contracts and orders for material which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid;- and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Affected System Interconnection Customer's authorization. Affected

System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

-

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customer has suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customer suspends work by Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and has not requested Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

-

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customer requests that ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customer to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

-

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer, to suspend, at any time, work by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner due to Default by ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer. ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~ (as set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of either a ~~Breach that Affected System Interconnection Customer is unable to cure-pursuant to Article 5~~ or a ~~Default pursuant to Article 5~~. Any form of suspension by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

-

3.1.3 Construction Status. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall keep ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner. If, at any time, ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer determines that the completion of the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~ will not be required until after the specified in-service date, ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer will provide written notice to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner of such later date upon which the completion of the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~ would be required. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~ accordingly.

-

3.1.4 Timely Completion. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~ in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the ~~Affected System Network Upgrade(s)~~, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall promptly notify ~~Affected System Interconnection~~ Customer. In such circumstances, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with ~~Affected System~~

Interconnection Customer to evaluate the alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customer. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customer to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Article 8. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customer authorizes such actions, such authorization to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the Affected System Interconnection Customer funds costs associated therewith in advance.

-
3.2 Interconnection Costs.

-
3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Unless ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be ~~initially~~ funded by Affected System Interconnection Customer.

—
3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customer or ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way,

and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

-
3.2.2 Repayment.

=
3.2.2.1 Repayment. Consistent with Articles 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 of the Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner's pro forma LGIA, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a cash repayment by Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount paid to Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner, if any, for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), including any tax gross-up or other tax related payments associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and not refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 3.3.1 or otherwise. The Parties may mutually agree to a repayment schedule, to be outlined in Appendix A, not to exceed twenty (20) years from the commercial operation date, for the complete repayment for all applicable costs associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 CFR 35.19 a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) through the date on which Affected System Interconnection Customer receives a repayment of such payment pursuant to this subparagraph. Interest shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customer has suspended construction pursuant to Article 3.1.2. Affected System Interconnection Customer may assign such repayment rights to any person.

=
3.2.2.2 Impact of Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation. If the Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another generating facility is later constructed and makes use of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall at that time reimburse Affected System Interconnection Customer for the amounts advanced for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Before any such reimbursement can occur, Affected System Interconnection Customer (or the entity that ultimately constructs the generating facility, if different), is responsible for identifying the entity to which the reimbursement must be made.

-
3.3 Taxes.

-

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customer for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

-

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by Affected System Interconnection Customer and at Affected

System Interconnection Customer's expense, shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ shall cooperate and consult in good faith with Affected System Interconnection Customer regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall not be required to pay ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which Affected System Interconnection Customer has already made payment to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ shall promptly refund to Affected System Interconnection Customer any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ receives or which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ may be entitled with respect to such payment. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ with credit assurances sufficient to meet Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

-
To the extent that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax

purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be reimbursed to Affected System Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by Affected System Interconnection Customer, and at Affected System Interconnection Customer's sole expense, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which Affected System Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be

deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4

SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

-

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable

to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

-

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Party, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

-

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

-

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

-

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as Affected System Interconnection Customer:

(1) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

ARTICLE 5

BREACH, CURE AND DEFAULT

-

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

-

(a) Failure to pay any amount when due;

-

(b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;

-

(c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party's attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or

-

(d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

-

5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, the Party not in Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

-
5.3.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the “Cure Period”) which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days.

-
5.3.2 In the event the Breaching Party fails to cure within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Article 6.2 of this Agreement or take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

-
5.4 **Rights in the Event of Default.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of a Default, the non-Defaulting Party shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

-
ARTICLE 6
TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

-
6.1 **Expiration of Term.** Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties’ obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

-
6.2 **Termination.** In addition to the termination provisions set forth in Article 2.2, a Party may terminate this Agreement upon the Default of the other Party in accordance with Article 5.2.2 of this Agreement. Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, the termination of this Agreement by the non-Defaulting Party shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

-
6.3 **Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.**

-
6.3.1 ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~ **Obligations.** Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner~~:

-

(a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

-

(b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,

-

(c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider's Transmission System~~ New England Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

-

6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any costs incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

-

6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such Affected System Network Upgrade(s) not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, ~~Transmission Provider~~ System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer such

facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment for such facilities.

-

6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve either Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

-

ARTICLE 7
SUBCONTRACTORS

-

7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party for the performance of such subcontractor.

-

7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

-

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

-

7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8

CONFIDENTIALITY

-

8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Party prior to the execution of this Agreement.

-

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

-

Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

-

8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

-

8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party.

who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

-

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

-

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

-

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

-

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires either Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential

Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

-

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

-

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. Neither Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

-

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated

as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

-

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as the ~~Transmission Provider~~ ~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

-

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

-

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Party information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Party for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties

shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

-

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period of twenty-four (24) months following ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customer at its expense shall have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

-

ARTICLE 10

NOTICES

-

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

-

To ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

-

10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

-

10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

-

To ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

-

-

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to Affected System Interconnection Customer under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

=

ARTICLE 11
MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the- Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. ~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.~~

11.3.3 Indemnification. ~~Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors,~~

officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.4 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.57 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.68 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.97- Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.810 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.911 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of

such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.1012 **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.1113 **Due Authorization.** Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement. This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of this LGIP.

-

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

-
-

Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner

{Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-
-

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-
-

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE

-
This Appendix A is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner.

-
1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner.

{description}

-
1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

-
1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

-
1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

-
Table 1: ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

<u>MILESTONE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>DATE</u>	<u>DATE</u>

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-

-

Note: Construction schedule assumes that ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customer and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

-

1.4 Payment Schedule.

-

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payments and Security.

-

{description}

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customer’s payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

-

Table 2: Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
	-	-

-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

-

-

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner's obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

Attachment B to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

-
This Appendix B is a part of the Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer in substantially the form following:

-
{Date}

-
{Affected System Interconnection Customer Address}

-
Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-
Dear {Name or Title}:

-
This letter is sent pursuant to the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between {~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner} and {Affected System Interconnection Customer}, dated _____, 20__.

-
On {Date}, ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's {description of generating facility}. ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

-
Thank you.

-
{Signature}

{Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Representative}

Attachment C to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~ ~~System Operator~~ ~~Interconnecting~~ Transmission Owner.

-

Exhibit A1

~~Transmission Provider~~ ~~System Operator~~ ~~Interconnecting~~ Transmission Owner Site Map

-

Exhibit A2

Site Plan

-

Exhibit A3

Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

-

Exhibit A4

Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

-

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Facilities to Be Constructed by Transmission Provider System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner</u>	<u>Estimate in Dollars</u>
-	-	-	-
-	-	<u>Total:</u>	-

|

APPENDIX 12 TO LGIP

MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and among _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~). Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~ each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host ~~transmission provider~~~~System Operator~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~}, dated _____, for which {name of host ~~transmission provider~~~~System Operator~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~} found impacts on ~~Transmission Provider’s Transmission System~~~~New England Transmission System~~; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} to {name of host ~~transmission provider~~~~System Operator~~~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~}’s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of ~~Transmission Provider’s Transmission System~~~~New England Transmission System~~ to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers have requested, and ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITIONS

-
When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.

ARTICLE 2 TERM OF AGREEMENT

-
2.1 **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

-
2.2 **Term.**

-
2.2.1 **General.** This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) the final repayment, where applicable, by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount funded by Affected System Interconnection Customers for ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) provided in Appendix A; (2) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (3) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (4) Affected System Interconnection Customers terminate this Agreement after providing ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customers have no outstanding contractual obligations to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting

Transmission Owner under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if the commercial operation date(s) for the {generating facilities} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host ~~transmission provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner} or the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, each non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner may not terminate this Agreement if an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any such damages, including costs and expenses incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of such Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Default by one or more Affected System Interconnection Customers shall not provide the other Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), either individually or in concert, with the right to terminate the entire Agreement. The non-Defaulting Party/Parties may, individually or in concert, initiate the removal of an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is a Defaulting Party from this Agreement. ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not terminate this Agreement or the participation of any Affected System Interconnection Customer without provision being made for ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be fully reimbursed for all of its costs incurred under this Agreement.

-

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by a Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) due to a Default by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer whose participation in this Agreement is terminated shall be responsible for the payment to ~~Transmission~~

~~ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner of all amounts then due and payable for construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of ~~Transmission Provider's Transmission System~~New England Transmission System. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs. The cost responsibility of other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted, as necessary, based on the payments by an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is terminated from the Agreement.

-

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

-

2.3 Filing. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customers may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer that has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, shall reasonably cooperate with ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide

any information reasonably requested by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~
Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

-
2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

-
2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement or termination of the participation in this Agreement of an Affected System Interconnection Customer, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of its proportionate share of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration. The cost responsibility of the other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted as necessary.

-
ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

-
3.1 Construction.

-
3.1.1 ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner Obligations. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure, construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is

inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

-

3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

-

3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers must jointly provide to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of their request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider's Transmission System~~New England Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of contracts and orders for material which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Affected System Interconnection Customers' authorization. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customers' failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

-

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation

of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customers pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customers suspend work by Affected System Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and have not requested Affected System Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

-

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customers request that Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customers to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

-

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers, to suspend, at any time, work by Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner due to a Default by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Defaulting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the

construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (as set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Any form of suspension by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

-

3.1.3 Construction Status. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Affected System Interconnection Customers advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement, and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. An Affected System Interconnection Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If, at any time, an Affected System Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will not be required until after the specified in-service date, such Affected System Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to all other Parties of such later date for which the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) would be required. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) accordingly, but only if agreed to by all other Affected System Interconnection Customers.

-

3.1.4 Timely Completion. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly notify all other Parties. In such circumstances, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate the alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customers. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customers all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent

with Article 8. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at any Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customers jointly authorize such actions, such authorizations to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by a given Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) funds the costs associated therewith in advance, or all Affected System Interconnection Customers agree in advance to fund such costs based on such other allocation method as they may adopt.

-

3.2 Interconnection Costs.

-

3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Except as expressly otherwise agreed, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be collectively responsible for these costs, based on their proportionate share of cost responsibility, as provided in Appendix A. Unless ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be initially funded by the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer.

—

3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customers or ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customers' expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way, and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

-

3.2.2 Repayment.

-

3.2.2.1 Repayment. Consistent with articles 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 of the ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's pro forma LGIA, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a cash repayment by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount each Affected System Interconnection Customer paid to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, if any, for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), including any tax gross-up or other tax-related payments associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and not refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 3.3.1 or otherwise. The Parties may mutually agree to a repayment schedule, to be outlined in Appendix A, not to exceed twenty (20) years from the commercial operation date, for the complete repayment for all applicable costs associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 CFR 35.19 a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) through the date on which Affected System Interconnection Customers receive a repayment of such payment pursuant to this subparagraph. Interest shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction pursuant to Article 3.1.2.1. Affected System Interconnection Customers may assign such repayment rights to any person.

-

3.2.2.2 Impact of Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation. If an Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another generating facility is later constructed and makes use of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at that time reimburse such Affected System Interconnection Customers for the portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) it funded. Before any such reimbursement can occur, Affected System Interconnection Customer (or the entity that ultimately constructs the generating facility, if different), is responsible for identifying the entity to which the reimbursement must be made.

-

3.3 Taxes.

-

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network

Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customers for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall reimburse ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

-

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customers with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and at the expense of such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the

prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall cooperate and consult in good faith with the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall not be required to pay ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) has already made payment to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner receives or to which ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner may be entitled with respect to such payment. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner with credit assurances sufficient to meet each Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

-

To the extent that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting~~ Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by each Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be reimbursed to such Affected System Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided such Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At the request and expense of any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer desiring such a request will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of such Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner and such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

-

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), and at such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' sole expense, ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for which such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) may be required to reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) who requested the action shall pay to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. The requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection

Customer(s) to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) will be responsible for all taxes, interest, and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4

SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

-

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for each Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner, at each Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit, or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from such Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably

acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

-

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Parties, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to another Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

-

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

-

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable each Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to each Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

-

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as each Affected System Interconnection

Customer: (1) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If any Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to such Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

ARTICLE 5

BREACH, CURE, AND DEFAULT

-

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

-

(a) Failure to pay any amount when due;

-

(b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;

-

(c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party's attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or

-

(d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

-

5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, any Party aggrieved by the Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

-

5.2.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the “Cure Period”) which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days. If an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Breaching Party and the Breach results from a failure to provide payments or security under Article 4.1 of this Agreement, the other Affected System Interconnection Customers, either individually or in concert, may cure the Breach by paying the amounts owed or by providing adequate security, without waiver of contribution rights against the breaching Affected System Interconnection Customer. Such cure for the Breach of an Affected System Interconnection Customer is subject to the reasonable consent of ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also cure such Breach by funding the proportionate share of the Affected System Network Upgrade costs related to the Breach of Affected System Interconnection Customer. ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner must notify all Parties that it will exercise this option within thirty (30) Calendar Days of notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has failed to provide payments or security under Article 4.1.

-

5.2.2 In the event the Breach is not cured within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Parties may (1) act in concert to amend the Agreement to remove an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is in Default from this Agreement for cause and to make other changes as necessary, or (2) either in concert or individually take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

5.3 **Rights in the Event of Default.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of Default, the non-Defaulting Parties shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

-

ARTICLE 6

TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

-

6.1 **Expiration of Term.** Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties’ obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

-

6.2 **Termination and Removal.** Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, termination of this Agreement, as to a given Affected System Interconnection Customer or in its

entirety, shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

-

6.3 Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.

-

6.3.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations.

Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

(a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

-

(b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,

-

(c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission SystemNew England Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

-

6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner for its share of any costs incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for its share of the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

-

6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of

such Affected System Network Upgrade(s) not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, and one or more of Affected System Interconnection Customers wish to purchase such facilities, ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) such facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' payment for such facilities.

-
6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve any Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof, to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

-
ARTICLE 7
SUBCONTRACTORS

-
7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Parties for the performance of such subcontractor.

-
7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this

Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Parties for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

-

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

-

7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8 **CONFIDENTIALITY**

-

8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Parties prior to the execution of this Agreement.

-

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

-

Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

-

8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII,

each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

-

8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

-

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

-

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

-

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

-

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires any Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

-

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

-

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for another Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the Breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for

indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

-

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Parties to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Parties to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

-

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and

cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

-

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

-

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Parties information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Parties for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

-

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period of twenty-four (24) months following ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customers may, jointly or individually, at the expense of the requesting Party(ies), during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

-

ARTICLE 10

NOTICES

-

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

-

To ~~Transmission ProviderSystem Operator~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-
To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

-
10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

-
10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile, or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

-
To ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner:

-
To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge

to Affected System Interconnection Customers under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by ~~Transmission Provider~~System Operator Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customers' generating facilities. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

-
-

ARTICLE 11 MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force

Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

11.3.3 Indemnification. ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their

respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities (“Losses”) by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of ~~Interconnection Customer~~Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.46 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.57 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.68 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.79 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.810 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.911 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.1012 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.1113 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

-

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

-
-

Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner

{Transmission ProviderSystem OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-
-

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-
-

Project No. _____

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-
-

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

**AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE, AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE**

-
This Appendix A is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner.

-
1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner.

-
{description}

1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

-
1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

-
1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

-
Table 3: ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

<u>MILESTONE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>END</u>
<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>DATE</u>	<u>DATE</u>

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-

-

Note: Construction schedule assumes that ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customers and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

-

1.4 Payment Schedule.

-

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payments and Security.

{description}

-

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customers' payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

Table 4: Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

-

-

* Affected System Interconnection Customers’ proportionate responsibility for each payment is as follows:

Affected System Interconnection Customer 1 ____ . %

Affected System Interconnection Customer 2 ____ . %

Affected System Interconnection Customer N ____ . %

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customers’ payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to ~~Transmission Provider~~System OperatorInterconnecting Transmission Owner’s obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

|

Attachment B to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

-
This Appendix B is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers in substantially the form following:

-
{Date}

-
{Affected System Interconnection Customers Addresses}

-
Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-
Dear {Name or Title}:

-
This letter is sent pursuant to the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among { Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ } and {Affected System Interconnection Customers}, dated _____, 20__.

-
On {Date}, Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facilities. Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~~~System Operator~~ confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

-
Thank you.

-
{Signature}

{ Interconnecting Transmission OwnerTransmission ProviderSystem Operator Representative }

Attachment C to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner
Transmission Provider.

-

Exhibit A1

Transmission Provider Site Map

-

Exhibit A2

Site Plan

-

Exhibit A3

Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

-

Exhibit A4

Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

-

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Facilities to Be Constructed by Transmission Provider</u>	<u>Estimate in Dollars</u>
-	-	-	-
-	-	<u>Total:</u>	-



**STANDARD SMALL GENERATOR
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (SGIA)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Article. 1. Scope and Limitations of Agreement

- 1.1 Applicability
- 1.2 Purpose
- 1.3 No Agreement to Purchase or Deliver Power
- 1.4 Limitations
- 1.5 Responsibilities of the Parties
- 1.6 Parallel Operation Obligations
- 1.7 Metering
- 1.8 Reactive Power and Primary Frequency Response
- 1.9 Capitalized Term
- 1.10 Scope of Service

Article. 2. Inspection, Testing, Authorization, and Right of Access

- 2.1 Equipment Testing and Inspection
- 2.2 Authorization Required Prior to Parallel Operation
- 2.3 Right of Access

Article. 3. Effective Date, Term, Termination, and Disconnection

- 3.1 Effective Date
- 3.2 Term of Agreement
- 3.3 Termination
- 3.4 Temporary Disconnection
 - 3.4.1 Emergency Conditions
 - 3.4.2 Routine Maintenance, Construction, and Repair
 - 3.4.3 Forced Outages
 - 3.4.4 Adverse Operating Effects
 - 3.4.5 Modification of the Small Generating Facility
 - 3.4.6 Reconnection

Article. 4. Cost Responsibility for Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades

- 4.1 Interconnection Facilities
- 4.2 Distribution Upgrades

Article. 5. Cost Responsibility for Network Upgrades

- 5.1 Applicability
- 5.2 Network Upgrades

5.3 Special Provisions for Affected Systems

5.4 Rights Under Other Agreements

Article.6. Billing, Payment, Milestones, and Financial Security

6.1 Billing and Payment Procedures and Final Accounting

6.2 Milestones

6.3 Financial Security Arrangements

Article. 7. Assignment, Liability, Indemnity, Force Majeure, Consequential Damages, and Default

7.1 Assignment

7.2 Limitation of Liability

7.3 Indemnity

7.4 Consequential Damages

7.5 Force Majeure

7.6 Default

Article. 8. Insurance Requirements

8.1 General Liability

8.2 Insurer Requirements and Endorsements

8.3 Evidence of Insurance

8.4 Self Insurance

8.5 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Insurance

Article. 9. Confidentiality

Article. 10. Disputes

Article. 11. Taxes

Article. 12. Miscellaneous

12.1 Governing Law, Regulatory Authority, and Rules

12.2 Amendment

12.3 No Third-Party Beneficiaries

12.4 Waiver

12.5 Entire Agreement

12.6 Multiple Counterparts

12.7 No Partnership

12.8 Severability

12.9 Security Arrangements

12.10 Environmental Releases

12.11 Subcontractors

12.12 Reservation of Rights

Article. 13. Notices

- 13.1 General
- 13.2 Billing and Payment
- 13.3 Alternative Forms of Notice
- 13.4 Designated Operating Representative
- 13.5 Changes to the Notice Information

Article. 14. Signatures

Attachments to SGIA

Attachment 1 – Glossary of Terms

Attachment 2 – Description and Costs of the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, and Metering Equipment

Attachment 3 – One-line Diagram Depicting the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, Metering Equipment, and Upgrades

Attachment 4 – Milestones

Attachment 5 – Additional Operating Requirements for the New England Transmission System and Affected Systems Needed to Support the Interconnection Customer's Needs

Attachment 6 – Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Description of its Upgrades and Best Estimate of Upgrade Costs

Attachment 7 – Commercial Operation Date

THIS STANDARD SMALL GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT ("Agreement")

is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20__, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ ("Interconnection Customer" with a Small Generating Facility), ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware ("System Operator"), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ ("Interconnecting Transmission Owner"). Under this Agreement the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

In consideration of the mutual covenants set forth herein, the Parties agree as follows

Article 1. Scope and Limitations of Agreement

1.1 Applicability:

This Agreement shall be used for all Interconnection Requests submitted under the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (SGIP) ~~except for those submitted under the 10 kW Inverter Process contained in SGIP Attachment 5.~~

1.2 Purpose

This Agreement governs the terms and conditions under which the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility will interconnect with, and operate in parallel with, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities that are part of the Administered Transmission System.

1.3 No Agreement to Purchase or Deliver Power

This Agreement does not constitute an agreement to purchase or deliver the Interconnection Customer's power. The purchase or delivery of power and other services that the Interconnection Customer may require will be covered under separate agreements, if any. The Interconnection Customer will be responsible for separately making all necessary arrangements (including scheduling) for delivery of electricity with the applicable Party.

1.4 Limitations

Nothing in this Agreement is intended to affect any other agreement between the Parties.

1.5 Responsibilities of the Parties

- 1.5.1 The Parties shall perform all obligations of this Agreement in accordance with all Applicable Laws and Regulations, Operating Requirements, and Good Utility Practice.
- 1.5.2 The Interconnection Customer shall construct, interconnect, operate and maintain its Small Generating Facility and construct, operate, and maintain its Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the applicable manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule, and in accordance with this Agreement, and with Good Utility Practice.
- 1.5.3 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall construct, operate, and maintain its transmission facilities and Interconnection Facilities in accordance with this Agreement, and with Good Utility Practice.
- 1.5.4 The Interconnection Customer agrees to construct its facilities or systems in accordance with applicable specifications that meet or exceed those provided by the National Electrical Safety Code, the American National Standards Institute, IEEE, Underwriter's Laboratory, and Operating Requirements in effect at the time of construction and other applicable national and state codes and standards. The Interconnection Customer agrees to design, install, maintain, and operate its Small Generating Facility so as to reasonably minimize the likelihood of a disturbance adversely affecting or impairing the system or equipment of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the New England Transmission System and any Affected Systems.
- 1.5.5 Each Party shall operate, maintain, repair, and inspect, and shall be fully responsible for the facilities that it now or subsequently may own unless otherwise specified in the Attachments to this Agreement. Each Party shall be responsible for the safe installation, maintenance, repair and condition of their respective lines and appurtenances on their respective sides of the point of change of ownership. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, shall provide Interconnection

Facilities that adequately protect the New England Transmission System [or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities], personnel, and other persons from damage and injury. The allocation of responsibility for the design, installation, operation, maintenance and ownership of Interconnection Facilities shall be delineated in the Attachments to this Agreement.

- 1.5.6 The System Operator, with input from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, shall coordinate with all Affected Systems to support the interconnection.
- 1.5.7 The Interconnection Customer shall ensure "frequency ride through" capability and "voltage ride through" capability of its Small Generating Facility. The Interconnection Customer shall enable these capabilities such that its Small Generating Facility shall not disconnect automatically or instantaneously from the system or equipment of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the New England Transmission System and any Affected Systems for a defined under-frequency or over-frequency condition, or an under-voltage or over-voltage condition, as tested pursuant to Article 2.1 of this Agreement. The defined conditions shall be in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other generating facilities in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. The Small Generating Facility's protective equipment settings shall comply with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's automatic load-shed program. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall review the protective equipment settings to confirm compliance with the automatic load-shed program. The term "ride through" as used herein shall mean the ability of a Small Generating Facility to stay connected to and synchronized with the system or equipment of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the New England Transmission System and any Affected Systems during system disturbances within a range of conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other generating facilities in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. The term "frequency ride through" as used herein shall mean the ability of a Small Generating Facility to stay connected to and synchronized with the system or equipment of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the New England Transmission System and any Affected Systems during system disturbances within a range of under-frequency and over-frequency conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards

and guidelines that are applied to other generating facilities in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. The term “voltage ride through” as used herein shall mean the ability of a Small Generating Facility to stay connected to and synchronized with the system or equipment of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the New England Transmission System and any Affected Systems during system disturbances within a range of under-voltage and over-voltage conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other generating facilities in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. For abnormal frequency conditions and voltage conditions within the “no trip zone” defined by Reliability Standard PRC-024-3 or successor mandatory ride through Applicable Reliability Standards, the non-synchronous Small Generating Facility must ensure that, within any physical limitations of the Small Generating Facility, its control and protection settings are configured or set to (1) continue active power production during disturbance and post disturbance periods at pre-disturbance levels unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (2) minimize reductions in active power and remain within dynamic voltage and current limits, if reactive power priority mode is enabled, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (3) not artificially limit dynamic reactive power capability during disturbances; and (4) return to pre-disturbance active power levels without artificial ramp rate limits if active power is reduced, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response.

1.6 Parallel Operation Obligations: Limited Operation: Provisional Interconnection Service

1.6.1 Parallel Operation Obligations. Once the Small Generating Facility has been authorized to commence parallel operation, the Interconnection Customer shall abide by all rules and procedures pertaining to the parallel operation of the Small Generating Facility in the applicable control area, including, but not limited to the ISO New England Operating Documents, and the Operating Requirements set forth in Attachment 5 of this Agreement.

1.6.2 Limited Operation. If any of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not reasonably expected to be completed prior to the Commercial Operation Date of the Small Generating Facility, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, upon the request and at the expense of Interconnection Customer, perform operating studies on a

timely basis to determine the extent to which the Small Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may operate prior to the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards, Good Utility Practice, and this SGIA. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall permit Interconnection Customer to operate the Small Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the results of such studies.

1.6.3 Provisional Interconnection Service. Upon the request of Interconnection Customer, and prior to completion of requisite Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, or System Protection Facilities, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may execute a Provisional Small Generator Interconnection Agreement or Interconnection Customer may request the filing of an unexecuted Provisional Small Generator Interconnection Agreement with the Interconnection Customer for Provisional Interconnection Service at the discretion of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner based upon an evaluation that will consider the results of available studies. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall determine, through available studies or additional studies as necessary, whether stability, short circuit, thermal, and/or voltage issues would arise if Interconnection Customer interconnects without modifications to the Small Generating Facility or the New England Transmission System. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall determine whether any Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, or System Protection Facilities that are necessary to meet the requirements of NERC, or any applicable Regional Entity for the interconnection of a new, modified and/or expanded Small Generating Facility are in place prior to the commencement of Interconnection Service from the Small Generating Facility. Where available studies indicate that such Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and/or System Protection Facilities that are required for the interconnection of a new, modified and/or expanded Small Generating Facility are not currently in place, System Operator will perform a study, at the Interconnection Customer's expense, to confirm the facilities that are required for Provisional Interconnection Service. The maximum permissible output of the Small Generating Facility in the Provisional Small Generator Interconnection Agreement shall be studied and updated each time the conditions assumed in the studies supporting the Provisional Interconnection Service change. Provisional Interconnection Service is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position and associated cost and upgrade responsibilities. Interconnection Customer assumes all risk and liabilities with respect to changes between the Provisional Small Generator Interconnection Agreement and the Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, including changes in output limits and

Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades, and/or System Protection Facilities cost responsibilities.

1.7 Metering

The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's reasonable and necessary cost for the purchase, installation, operation, maintenance, testing, repair, and replacement of metering and data acquisition equipment specified in Attachment 2 of this Agreement. The Interconnection Customer's metering (and data acquisition, as required) equipment shall conform to applicable industry rules and Operating Requirements. Any metering necessitated by the use of the Small Generating Facility shall be installed at the Interconnection Customer's expense in accordance with Commission, state, or local regulatory requirements and with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

1.8 Reactive Power and Primary Frequency Response

1.8.1 Power Factor Design Criteria

1.8.1.1 Synchronous Generation. The Interconnection Customer shall design its Small Generating Facility to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous rated power output at the Point of Interconnection with dynamic reactive capability over the power factor range of 0.95 leading to 0.95 lagging, unless the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner has established different requirements that apply to all similarly situated synchronous (and non-wind non-synchronous generators as specified in Appendix G, Section A.ii.4, to the LGIA) generators on a comparable basis and in accordance with Operating Requirements.

1.8.1.2 Non-Synchronous Generation. Generating Facilities shall be subject to the power factor design criteria specified in Appendix G to the LGIA. Wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities shall be subject to the Low Voltage Ride-Through Capability requirements specified in Appendix G to the LGIA.

1.8.2 Interconnection Customers shall be compensated for reactive power service in accordance with Schedule 2 of [Section II of](#) the Tariff.

1.8.3 Primary Frequency Response

Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection System Impact Study that commenced before May 15, 2018 is obligated to provide and maintain a functioning governor on all generating units comprising the Small Generating Facility in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection System Impact Study that commenced on or after May 15, 2018 shall ensure the primary frequency response capability of its Small Generating Facility by installing, maintaining, and operating a functioning governor or equivalent controls. The term “functioning governor or equivalent controls” as used herein shall mean the required hardware and/or software that provides frequency responsive real power control with the ability to sense changes in system frequency and autonomously adjust the Small Generating Facility’s real power output in accordance with the droop and deadband parameters and in the direction needed to correct frequency deviations. Interconnection Customer is required to install a governor or equivalent controls with the capability of operating: (1) with a maximum 5 percent droop and ± 0.036 Hz deadband; or (2) in accordance with the relevant droop, deadband, and timely and sustained response settings from an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for equivalent or more stringent parameters. The droop characteristic shall be: (1) based on the nameplate capacity of the Small Generating Facility, and shall be linear in the range of frequencies between 59 to 61 Hz that are outside of the deadband parameter; or (2) based on an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for an equivalent or more stringent parameter. The deadband parameter shall be: the range of frequencies above and below nominal (60 Hz) in which the governor or equivalent controls is not expected to adjust the Small Generating Facility’s real power output in response to frequency deviations. The deadband shall be implemented: (1) without a step to the droop curve, that is, once the frequency deviation exceeds the deadband parameter, the expected change in the Small Generating Facility’s real power output in response to frequency deviations shall start from zero and then increase (for under-frequency deviations) or decrease (for over-frequency deviations) linearly in proportion to the magnitude of the frequency deviation; or (2) in accordance with an approved NERC Reliability Standard providing for an equivalent or more

stringent parameter. Interconnection Customer shall notify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the primary frequency response capability of the Small Generating Facility has been tested and confirmed during commissioning. ~~Commissioning tests of the Interconnection Customer's installed equipment shall be performed pursuant to applicable codes and standards. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must be given at least five (5) Business Days written notice, or as otherwise mutually agreed to by the Parties, of the tests and may be present to witness the commissioning tests.~~ Once Interconnection Customer has synchronized the Small Generating Facility with the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Small Generating Facility consistent with the provisions specified in Articles 1.8.3.1 and 1.8.3.2 of this Agreement. The primary frequency response requirements contained herein shall apply to both synchronous and non-synchronous Small Generating Facilities.

1.8.3.1 Governor or Equivalent Controls. Whenever the Small Generating Facility is operated in parallel with the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Small Generating Facility with its governor or equivalent controls in service and responsive to frequency. Interconnection Customer shall: (1) in coordination with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, set the deadband parameter to: (1) a maximum of ± 0.036 Hz and set the droop parameter to a maximum of 5 percent; or (2) implement the relevant droop and deadband settings from an approved NERC Reliability Standard that provides for equivalent or more stringent parameters. Interconnection Customer shall be required to provide the status and settings of the governor or equivalent controls to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request. If Interconnection Customer needs to operate the Small Generating Facility with its governor or equivalent controls not in service, Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and provide both with the following information: (1) the operating status of the governor or equivalent controls (i.e., whether it is currently out of service or when it will be taken out of service); (2) the reasons for removing the governor or equivalent controls from service; and (3) a reasonable estimate of when the governor or equivalent controls will be returned to service. Interconnection Customer shall make Reasonable Efforts to

return its governor or equivalent controls into service as soon as practicable. Interconnection Customer shall make Reasonable Efforts to keep outages of the Small Generating Facility's governor or equivalent controls to a minimum whenever the Small Generating Facility is operated in parallel with the New England Transmission System.

1.8.3.2 Timely and Sustained Response. Interconnection Customer shall ensure that the Small Generating Facility's real power response to sustained frequency deviations outside of the deadband setting is automatically provided and shall begin immediately after frequency deviates outside of the deadband, and to the extent the Small Generating Facility has operating capability in the direction needed to correct the frequency deviation. Interconnection Customer shall not block or otherwise inhibit the ability of the governor or equivalent controls to respond and shall ensure that the response is not inhibited, except under certain operational constraints including, but not limited to, ambient temperature limitations, physical energy limitations, outages of mechanical equipment, or regulatory requirements. The Small Generating Facility shall sustain the real power response at least until system frequency returns to a value within the deadband setting of the governor or equivalent controls. A Commission-approved Reliability Standard with equivalent or more stringent requirements shall supersede the above requirements.

1.8.3.3 Exemptions. Small Generating Facilities that are regulated by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission shall be exempt from Articles 1.8.3, 1.8.3.1, and 1.8.3.2 of this Agreement. Small Generating Facilities that are behind the meter generation that is sized-to-load (i.e., the thermal load and the generation are near-balanced in real-time operation and the generation is primarily controlled to maintain the unique thermal, chemical, or mechanical output necessary for the operating requirements of its host facility) shall be required to install primary frequency response capability in accordance with the droop and deadband capability requirements specified in Article 1.8.3, but shall be otherwise exempt from the operating requirements in Articles 1.8.3, 1.8.3.1, 1.8.3.2, and 1.8.3.4 of this Agreement.

1.8.3.4 Electric Storage Resources. Interconnection Customer interconnecting a Small Generating Facility that contains an ~~is~~ an electric storage resource shall establish an operating range in Attachment 5 of its SGIA that specifies a minimum state of charge and a maximum state of charge between which the electric storage resource will be required to provide primary frequency response consistent with the conditions set forth in Articles 1.8.3, 1.8.3.1, 1.8.3.2 and 1.8.3.3 of this Agreement. Attachment 5 shall specify whether the operating range is static or dynamic, and shall consider: (1) the expected magnitude of frequency deviations in the interconnection; (2) the expected duration that system frequency will remain outside of the deadband parameter in the interconnection; (3) the expected incidence of frequency deviations outside of the deadband parameter in the interconnection; (4) the physical capabilities of the electric storage resource; (5) operational limitations of the electric storage resource due to manufacturer specifications; and (6) any other relevant factors agreed to by System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer. If the operating range is dynamic, then Attachment 5 must establish how frequently the operating range will be reevaluated and the factors that may be considered during its reevaluation.

Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is required to provide timely and sustained primary frequency response consistent with Article 1.8.3.2 of this Agreement when it is online and dispatched to inject electricity to the New England Transmission System and/or receive electricity from the New England Transmission System. This excludes circumstances when the electric storage resource is not dispatched to inject electricity to the New England Transmission System and/or dispatched to receive electricity from the New England Transmission System. If Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is charging at the time of a frequency deviation outside of its deadband parameter, it is to increase (for over-frequency deviations) or decrease (for under-frequency deviations) the rate at which it is charging in accordance with its droop parameter. Interconnection Customer's electric storage resource is not required to change from charging to discharging, or vice versa, unless the response necessitated by the droop and deadband settings requires it to do so and it is technically capable of making such a transition.

1.9 Capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings specified in the Glossary of Terms in Attachment 1 or the body of this Agreement. Capitalized terms in Schedule 23 that are not defined in the Glossary of Terms shall have the meanings specified in Sections I.2.2. of the Tariff.

1.10 Scope of Service

1. 10.1 Interconnection Product Options. Interconnection Customer has selected the following (checked) type of Interconnection Service:

NR for NR Interconnection Service (NR Capability Only)

CNR for CNR Interconnection Service (NR Capability and CNR Capability)

1.10.1.1 Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (CNR Interconnection Service)

- a. The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Small Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which all other CNRs are interconnected under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility to be designated as a CNR to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the net CNR Capability, or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, on the same basis as all other existing Capacity Network Resources, and to be studied as a Capacity Network Resource on the assumption that such a designation will occur.

1.10.1.2 Network Resource Interconnection Service (NR Interconnection Service).

- a. The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Small Generating Facility in a

manner comparable to that in which all other Network Resources are interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard.

NR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule, Section III of the Tariff, up to the gross and net NR Capability or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff. Notwithstanding the above, the portion of a Small Generating Facility that has been designated as a Network Resource interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be a capacity resource under Section III.13 of the Tariff, except pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service.

- 1.10.1.3 Provision of Service. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Interconnection Service for the Small Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection.
- 1.10.1.4 Performance Standards. Each Party shall perform all of its obligations under this SGIA in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations, the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and Good Utility Practice, and to the extent a Party is required or prevented or limited in taking any action by such requirements and standards, such Party shall not be deemed to be in Breach of this SGIA for its compliance therewith. If such Party is the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then that Party shall amend the SGIA and System Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, shall submit the amendment to the Commission for approval.
- 1.10.1.5 No Transmission Service Delivery. The execution of this SGIA does not constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service, or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not

convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.

- 1.10.1.6 Transmission Delivery Service Implications. CNR Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service allow the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility to be designated by any Network Customer under the Tariff on the New England Transmission System as a Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource, up to the net CNR Capability or NR Capability, respectively, on the same basis as all other existing Capacity Network Resources and Network Resources interconnected to the New England Transmission System, and to be studied as a Capacity Network Resource or a Network Resource on the assumption that such a designation will occur. Although CNR Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service do not convey a reservation of transmission service, any Network Customer can utilize its network service under the Tariff to obtain delivery of capability from the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility in the same manner as it accesses Capacity Network Resources and Network Resources. A Small Generating Facility receiving CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service may also be used to provide Ancillary Services, in accordance with the Tariff and Market Rule 1, after technical studies and/or periodic analyses are performed with respect to the Small Generating Facility's ability to provide any applicable Ancillary Services, provided that such studies and analyses have been or would be required in connection with the provision of such Ancillary Services by any existing Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource. However, if an Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility has not been designated as a Capacity Network Resource or as a Network Resource by any load, it cannot be required to provide Ancillary Services except to the extent such requirements extend to all Generating Facilities that are similarly situated.

CNR Network Interconnection Service and NR Interconnection Service do not necessarily provide the Interconnection Customer with the capability to physically deliver the output of its Small Generating Facility to any particular

load on the New England Transmission System without incurring congestion costs. In the event of transmission constraints on the New England Transmission System, the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility shall be subject to the applicable congestion management procedures for the New England Transmission System in the same manner as other Capacity Network Resources or Network Resources.

There is no requirement either at the time of study or interconnection, or at any point in the future, that the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility be designated as a Capacity Network Resource or as a Network Resource by a Network Customer under the Tariff or that the Interconnection Customer identify a specific buyer (or sink). To the extent a Network Customer does designate the Small Generating Facility as either a Capacity Network Resource or a Network Resource, it must do so pursuant to the Tariff.

Once an Interconnection Customer satisfies the requirements for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service, as long as the Small Generating Facility has not been deemed to be retired, any future transmission service request for delivery from the Small Generating Facility on the New England Transmission System of any amount of capacity capability and/or energy capability will not require that any additional studies be performed or that any further upgrades associated with such Small Generating Facility be undertaken, regardless of whether or not such Small Generating Facility is ever designated by a Network Customer as a Capacity Network Resource or Network Resource and regardless of changes in ownership of the Small Generating Facility. To the extent the Interconnection Customer enters into an arrangement for long-term transmission service for deliveries from the Small Generating Facility outside the New England Transmission System, or if the unit has been deemed to be retired, such request may require additional studies and upgrades in order for Interconnecting Transmission Owner to grant such request.

Article 2. Inspection, Testing, Authorization, and Right of Access

2.1 Equipment Testing and Inspection

2.1.1. The Interconnection Customer shall test and inspect its Small Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities prior to interconnection. The Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner of such activities no fewer than five Business Days (or as may be agreed to by the Parties) prior to such testing and inspection. Testing and inspection shall occur on a Business Day. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its own expense, send qualified personnel to the Small Generating Facility site to inspect the interconnection and observe the testing. The Interconnection Customer shall provide the Interconnecting Transmission Owner a written test report when such testing and inspection is completed.

2.1.2 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and the System Operator written acknowledgment that it has received the Interconnection Customer's written test report. Such written acknowledgment shall not be deemed to be or construed as any representation, assurance, guarantee, or warranty by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the safety, durability, suitability, or reliability of the Small Generating Facility or any associated control, protective, and safety devices owned or controlled by the Interconnection Customer or the quality of power produced by the Small Generating Facility.

2.1.3 Commissioning tests of the Interconnection Customer's installed equipment shall be performed pursuant to applicable codes and standards. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must be given at least five (5) Business Days written notice, or as otherwise mutually agreed to by the Parties, of the tests and may be present to witness the commissioning tests.

2.2 Authorization Required Prior to Parallel Operation

2.2.1 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner [and System Operator] shall use Reasonable Efforts to list applicable parallel operation requirements in Attachment 5 of this Agreement. Additionally, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify the Interconnection Customer of any changes to these requirements as soon as they are known. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall make Reasonable Efforts to cooperate with the Interconnection Customer in meeting

requirements necessary for the Interconnection Customer to commence parallel operations by the in-service date.

2.2.2 The Interconnection Customer shall not operate its Small Generating Facility in parallel with the New England Transmission System [or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities] without prior written authorization of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The Transmission Provider will provide such authorization once the Transmission Provider receives notification that the Interconnection Customer has complied with all applicable parallel operation requirements. Such authorization shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed.

2.3 Right of Access

2.3.1 Upon reasonable notice, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may send a qualified person to the premises of the Interconnection Customer at or immediately before the time the Small Generating Facility first produces energy to inspect the interconnection, and observe the commissioning of the Small Generating Facility (including any required testing), startup, and operation for a period of up to three Business Days after initial start-up of the unit. In addition, the Interconnection Customer shall notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner at least five Business Days prior to conducting any on-site verification testing of the Small Generating Facility.

2.3.2 Following the initial inspection process described above, at reasonable hours, and upon reasonable notice, or at any time without notice in the event of an emergency or hazardous condition, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have access to the Interconnection Customer's premises for any reasonable purpose in connection with the performance of the obligations imposed on it by this Agreement or if necessary to meet its legal obligation to provide service to its customers.

2.3.3 Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs associated with following this article.

Article 3. Effective Date, Term, Termination, and Disconnection

3.1 Effective Date

This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly file this Agreement with the Commission upon execution, if required.

3.2 Term of Agreement

This Agreement shall become effective on the Effective Date and by mutual agreement of the Parties shall remain in effect for a period of ____ years, (Term to be specified in individual Agreements, but in no case should the term be less than ten years from the Effective Date or such other longer period as the Interconnection Customer may request) and shall be automatically renewed for each successive one-year period thereafter, unless terminated earlier in accordance with article 3.3 of this Agreement.

3.3 Termination

No termination shall become effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination, including the filing with the Commission of a notice of termination of this Agreement (if required), which notice has been accepted for filing by the Commission.

3.3.1 The Interconnection Customer may terminate this Agreement at any time by giving the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner 20 Business Days written notice.

3.3.2 Each Party may terminate this Agreement after Default pursuant to article 7.6.

3.3.3 Upon termination of this Agreement, the Small Generating Facility will be disconnected from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. All costs required to effectuate such disconnection shall be borne by the terminating Party, unless such termination resulted from the non-terminating Party's Default of this SGIA or such non-terminating Party otherwise is responsible for these costs under this SGIA.

3.3.4 The termination of this Agreement shall not relieve any Party of its liabilities and obligations, owed or continuing at the time of the termination.

3.3.5 The provisions of this article shall survive termination or expiration of this Agreement.

3.4 Temporary Disconnection

Temporary disconnection shall continue only for so long as reasonably necessary under Good Utility Practice.

3.4.1 Emergency Conditions

“Emergency Condition” shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of the Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Small Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may immediately suspend interconnection service and temporarily disconnect the Small Generating Facility in accordance with applicable provisions of the Operating Requirements. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify the Interconnection Customer promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that may reasonably be expected to affect the Interconnection Customer's operation of the Small Generating Facility. The Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that may reasonably be expected to affect the New England Transmission System or any Affected Systems. To the extent information is known, the notification shall describe the Emergency Condition, the extent of the damage or deficiency, the expected effect on the

operation of the Parties' facilities and operations, its anticipated duration, and the necessary corrective action.

3.4.2 Routine Maintenance, Construction, and Repair

3.4.2.1 Outage Authority and Coordination. The System Operator shall have the authority to coordinate facility outages in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Each Party may in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, in coordination with the other Party(ies), remove from service any of its respective Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades that may impact the other Party's(ies') facilities as necessary to perform maintenance or testing or to install or replace equipment, subject to the oversight of System Operator in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

3.4.2.2 Outage Schedules. Outage scheduling, and any related compensation, shall be in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

3.4.2.3 Interruption of Service. In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may require Interconnection Customer to interrupt or reduce deliveries of electricity if such delivery of electricity could adversely affect System Operator's or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's ability to perform such activities as are necessary to safely and reliably operate and maintain the New England Transmission System.

3.4.3 Forced Outages

During any forced outage, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner [and the System Operator] may suspend interconnection service to effect immediate repairs on the New

England Transmission System. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to provide the Interconnection Customer with prior notice. If prior notice is not given, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, upon request, provide the Interconnection Customer written documentation after the fact explaining the circumstances of the disconnection.

3.4.4 Adverse Operating Effects

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify the Interconnection Customer and the System Operator as soon as practicable if, based on Good Utility Practice, operation of the Small Generating Facility may cause disruption or deterioration of service to other customers served from the same electric system, or if operating the Small Generating Facility could cause damage to the New England Transmission System or Affected Systems. Supporting documentation used to reach the decision to disconnect shall be provided to the Interconnection Customer upon request. If, after notice, the Interconnection Customer fails to remedy the adverse operating effect within a reasonable time, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may disconnect the Small Generating Facility. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and the System Operator with five Business Day notice of such disconnection, unless the provisions of article 3.4.1 apply.

3.4.5 Modification of the Small Generating Facility

The Interconnection Customer must receive written authorization from: (1) the Interconnecting Transmission Owner before making any change to the Small Generating Facility that may have a material impact on the safety or reliability of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities; and (2) the System Operator before making any change to the Small Generating Facility that may have a material impact on the safety or reliability of the New England Transmission System. Such authorization shall not be unreasonably withheld. Modifications shall be done in accordance with Good Utility Practice. If the Interconnection Customer makes such modification without the System Operator's or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's, as appropriate, prior written authorization, the latter shall have the right to temporarily disconnect the Small Generating Facility.

3.4.6 Reconnection

The Parties shall cooperate with each other to restore the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, and the New England Transmission System to their normal operating state as soon as reasonably practicable following a temporary disconnection.

Article 4. Cost Responsibility for Interconnection Facilities and Distribution Upgrades

4.1 Interconnection Facilities

4.1.1 The Interconnection Customer shall pay for the cost of the Interconnection Facilities itemized in Attachment 2 of this Agreement. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide a best estimate cost, including overheads, for the purchase and construction of its Interconnection Facilities and provide a detailed itemization of such costs. ~~Costs associated with Interconnection Facilities may be shared with other entities that may benefit from such facilities by agreement of the Interconnection Customer, such other entities, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

4.1.2 The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for its share of all reasonable expenses, including overheads, associated with (1) owning, operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing its own Interconnection Facilities, and (2) operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

4.2 Distribution Upgrades

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, and own the Distribution Upgrades described in Attachment 6 of this Agreement. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer agree, the Interconnection Customer may construct Distribution Upgrades that are located on land owned by the Interconnection Customer. The actual cost of the Distribution Upgrades, including overheads, shall be directly assigned to the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for its share of all reasonable expenses, associated with operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing such

Distribution Upgrades, except to the extent that a retail tariff of, or an agreement with, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its distribution company affiliate, if appropriate, provides otherwise.

Article 5. Cost Responsibility for Network Upgrades

5.1 Applicability

No portion of this article 5 shall apply unless the interconnection of the Small Generating Facility requires Network Upgrades, including Stand Alone Network Upgrades.

5.2 Network Upgrades

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, and own the Network Upgrades described in Attachment 6 of this Agreement. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer agree, the Interconnection Customer may construct Network Upgrades that are located on land owned by the Interconnection Customer. Unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to pay for Network Upgrades, the actual cost of the Network Upgrades, including overheads, shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer.

5.2.1.1 Cost Allocation. Cost allocation of Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.

5.2.1.2 Compensation. Any compensation due to the Interconnection Customer for increases in transfer capability to the PTF resulting from its Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade shall be determined in accordance with Sections II and III of the Tariff.

5.3 Special Provisions for Affected Systems

The Interconnection Customer shall enter into separate related facilities agreements to address any upgrades to the Affected System(s) or Internal Affected Systems that are necessary for safe and reliable interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility.

5.4 Rights Under Other Agreements

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, nothing herein shall be construed as relinquishing or foreclosing any rights, including but not limited to firm transmission rights, capacity rights, transmission congestion rights, or transmission credits, that the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to, now or in the future, under any other agreement or tariff as a result of, or otherwise associated with, the transmission capacity, if any, created by the Network Upgrades.

Article 6. Billing, Payment, Milestones, and Financial Security

6.1 Billing and Payment Procedures and Final Accounting

6.1.1 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall bill the Interconnection Customer for the design, engineering, construction, and procurement costs of Interconnection Facilities and Upgrades contemplated by this Agreement on a monthly basis, or as otherwise agreed by the Parties. The Interconnection Customer shall pay each bill within 30 calendar days of receipt, or as otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

6.1.2 Within three months of completing the construction and installation of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and/or Upgrades described in the Attachments to this Agreement, the Transmission Provider shall provide the Interconnection Customer with a final accounting report of any difference between (1) the Interconnection Customer's cost responsibility for the actual cost of such facilities or Upgrades, and (2) the Interconnection Customer's previous aggregate payments to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such facilities or Upgrades. If the Interconnection Customer's cost responsibility exceeds its previous aggregate payments, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice the Interconnection Customer for the amount due and the Interconnection Customer shall make payment to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner within 30 calendar days. If the Interconnection Customer's previous aggregate payments exceed its cost responsibility under this Agreement, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund to the Interconnection Customer an amount equal to the difference within 30 calendar days of the final accounting report.

6.2 Milestones

The Parties shall agree on milestones for which each Party is responsible and list them in Attachment 4 of this Agreement. A Party's obligations under this provision may be extended by agreement. If a Party anticipates that it will be unable to meet a milestone for any reason other than a Force Majeure Event, it shall immediately notify the other Party(ies) of the reason(s) for not meeting the milestone and (1) propose the earliest reasonable alternate date by which it can attain this and future milestones, and (2) requesting appropriate amendments to Attachment 4. The Party affected by the failure to meet a milestone shall not unreasonably withhold agreement to such an amendment unless (1) it will suffer significant uncompensated economic or operational harm from the delay, (2) attainment of the same milestone has previously been delayed, or (3) it has reason to believe that the delay in meeting the milestone is intentional or unwarranted notwithstanding the circumstances explained by the Party proposing the amendment.

6.3 Financial Security Arrangements

At least 20 Business Days prior to the commencement of the design, procurement, installation, or construction of a discrete portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Interconnecting Transmission Owner a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Section 7 of Schedule 11 of the Tariff. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, designing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Upgrades. In addition:

- 6.3.1 The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from the Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount.
 - 11.2.1 The letter of credit or surety bond must be issued by a financial institution or insurer reasonably acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

Article 7. Assignment, Liability, Indemnity, Force Majeure, Consequential Damages, and Default

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement (“TOA”) or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnection Transmission Owner and the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Tariff apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnection Customer and between the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer.

7.1 Assignment

This Agreement may be assigned by a Party upon 15 Business Days prior written notice and opportunity to object by the other Parties; provided that:

- 7.1.1 The Parties may assign this Agreement without the consent of the other Parties to any affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this Agreement, provided that the Interconnection Customer promptly notifies the other Parties of any such assignment.
- 7.1.2 The Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this Agreement, without the consent of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the System Operator, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Small Generating Facility, provided that the Interconnection Customer will promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator of any such assignment.
- 7.1.3 Any attempted assignment that violates this article is void and ineffective. Assignment shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. An assignee is responsible for meeting the same financial, credit, and insurance obligations as the Interconnection Customer. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

7.2 Limitation of Liability

Each Party's liability to the other Party(ies) for any loss, cost, claim, injury, liability, or expense, including reasonable attorney's fees, relating to or arising from any act or omission in its performance of this Agreement, shall be limited to the amount of direct damage actually incurred. In no event shall a Party be liable to another Party for any indirect, special, consequential, or punitive damages, except as authorized by this Agreement.

7.3 Indemnity

7.3.1 This provision protects each Party from liability incurred to third parties as a result of carrying out the provisions of this Agreement. Liability under this provision is exempt from the general limitations on liability found in article 7.2.

7.3.2 Each Party shall at all times indemnify, defend, and hold the other Parties harmless from, any and all damages, losses, claims, including claims and actions relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from the other Party's(ies') action or failure to meet its obligations under this Agreement on behalf of the indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the indemnified Party.

7.3.3 If an indemnified person is entitled to indemnification under this article as a result of a claim by a third party, and the indemnifying Party fails, after notice and reasonable opportunity to proceed under this article, to assume the defense of such claim, such indemnified person may at the expense of the indemnifying Party contest, settle or consent to the entry of any judgment with respect to, or pay in full, such claim.

7.3.4 If an indemnifying Party is obligated to indemnify and hold any indemnified person harmless under this article, the amount owing to the indemnified person shall be the amount of such indemnified person's actual loss, net of any insurance or other recovery.

7.3.5 Promptly after receipt by an indemnified person of any claim or notice of the commencement of any action or administrative or legal proceeding or investigation as to which the indemnity provided for in this article may apply, the indemnified person shall notify the indemnifying Party of such fact. Any failure of or delay in such notification shall not affect a Party's indemnification obligation unless such failure or delay is materially prejudicial to the indemnifying Party.

7.4 Consequential Damages

Other than as expressly provided for in this Agreement, in no event shall a Party be liable under any provision of this Agreement for any losses, damages, costs or expenses for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages, including but not limited to loss of profit or revenue, loss of the use of equipment, cost of capital, cost of temporary equipment or services, whether based in whole or in part in contract, in tort, including negligence, strict liability, or any other theory of liability; provided, however, that damages for which a Party may be liable to another Party under another agreement will not be considered to be special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages hereunder.

7.5 Force Majeure

7.5.1 As used in this article, a Force Majeure Event shall mean "any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure Event does not include an act of negligence or intentional wrongdoing."

7.5.2 If a Force Majeure Event prevents a Party from fulfilling any obligations under this Agreement, the Party affected by the Force Majeure Event (~~Affected Party~~) shall promptly notify the other Party(ies), either in writing or via the telephone, of the existence of the Force Majeure Event. The notification must specify in reasonable detail the circumstances of the Force Majeure Event, its expected duration, and the steps that the ~~Affected Party~~ affected is taking to mitigate the effects of the event on its performance. The ~~Affected Party~~ affected shall keep the other Party(ies) informed on a

continuing basis of developments relating to the Force Majeure Event until the event ends. The ~~Affected~~ Party affected will be entitled to suspend or modify its performance of obligations under this Agreement (other than the obligation to make payments) only to the extent that the effect of the Force Majeure Event cannot be mitigated by the use of Reasonable Efforts. The ~~Affected~~ Party affected will use Reasonable Efforts to resume its performance as soon as possible.

7.6 Default

7.6.1 No Default shall exist where such failure to discharge an obligation (other than the payment of money) is the result of a Force Majeure Event as defined in this Agreement or the result of an act or omission of the other Party(ies). Upon a Default, the non-defaulting Party shall give written notice of such Default to the defaulting Party. Except as provided in article 7.6.2, the defaulting Party shall have 60 calendar days from receipt of the Default notice within which to cure such Default; provided however, if such Default is not capable of cure within 60 calendar days, the defaulting Party shall commence such cure within 20 calendar days after notice and continuously and diligently complete such cure within six months from receipt of the Default notice; and, if cured within such time, the Default specified in such notice shall cease to exist.

7.6.2 If a Default is not cured as provided in this article, or if a Default is not capable of being cured within the period provided for herein, the non-defaulting Party(ies) shall have the right to terminate this Agreement by written notice at any time until cure occurs, and be relieved of any further obligation hereunder and, whether or not those Parties terminate this Agreement, to recover from the defaulting Party all amounts due hereunder, plus all other damages and remedies to which it is entitled at law or in equity. The provisions of this article will survive termination of this Agreement.

Article 8. **Insurance Requirements**

8.1 General Liability

The Interconnection Customer shall, at its own expense, maintain in force general liability insurance without any exclusion for liabilities related to the interconnection undertaken pursuant

to this Agreement. The amount of such insurance shall be sufficient to insure against all reasonably foreseeable direct liabilities given the size and nature of the generating equipment being interconnected, the interconnection itself, and the characteristics of the system to which the interconnection is made. The Interconnection Customer shall obtain additional insurance only if necessary as a function of owning and operating a generating facility. Such insurance shall be obtained from an insurance provider authorized to do business in the State where the interconnection is located. Certification that such insurance is in effect shall be provided upon request of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, except that the Interconnection Customer shall show proof of insurance to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner no later than ten Business Days prior to the anticipated commercial operation date. An Interconnection Customer of sufficient credit-worthiness may propose to self-insure for such liabilities, and such a proposal shall not be unreasonably rejected.

8.2 Insurer Requirements and Endorsements

All required insurance shall be carried by reputable insurers qualified to underwrite insurance in the state where the interconnection is located having a Best Rating of “A-”. In addition, all insurance shall, (a) include Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator as additional insureds; (b) contain a severability of interest clause or cross-liability clause; (c) provide that Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall not incur liability to the insurance carrier for payment of premium for such insurance; and (d) provide for thirty (30) calendar days’ written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator prior to cancellation, termination, or material change of such insurance; provided that to the extent the Interconnection Customer is satisfying the requirements of subpart (d) of this paragraph by means of a presently existing insurance policy, the Interconnection Customer shall only be required to make good faith efforts to satisfy that requirement and will assume the responsibility for notifying the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator as required above.

If the requirement of clause (a) in the paragraph above prevents Interconnection Customer from obtaining the insurance required without added cost or due to written refusal by the insurance carrier, then upon Interconnection Customer’s written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, the requirements of clause (a) shall be waived.

8.3 Evidence of Insurance

Evidence of the insurance required shall state that coverage provided is primary and is not in excess to or contributing with any insurance or self-insurance maintained by Interconnection Customer.

The Interconnection Customer is responsible for providing the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator with evidence of insurance in compliance with this Tariff on an annual basis.

Prior to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner commencing work on Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall have its insurer furnish to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator certificates of insurance evidencing the insurance coverage required above. The Interconnection Customer shall notify and send to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator a certificate of insurance for any policy written on a "claims-made" basis. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator may at their discretion require the Interconnection Customer to maintain tail coverage for three years on all policies written on a "claims-made" basis.

8.4 Self Insurance

If Interconnection Customer is a company with a self-insurance program established in accordance with commercially acceptable risk management practices, Interconnection Customer may comply with the following in lieu of the above requirements as reasonably approved by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator:

- 1) Interconnection Customer shall provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the Date of Initial Operation, evidence of such program to self-insure to a level of coverage equivalent to that required.
- 2) If Interconnection Customer ceases to self-insure to the standards required hereunder, or if Interconnection Customer is unable to provide continuing evidence of Interconnection Customer's financial ability to self-insure, Interconnection Customer agrees to promptly obtain the coverage required under Article 8.1.

8.5 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Insurance

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner agrees to maintain general liability insurance or self-insurance consistent with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's commercial practice. Such insurance or self-insurance shall not exclude coverage for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's liabilities undertaken pursuant to this Agreement.

Article 9. Confidentiality

9.1 Confidential Information shall include without limitation, all information governed by the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, and any confidential and/or proprietary information provided by a Party to the another Party that is clearly marked or otherwise designated "Confidential." For purposes of this Agreement all design, operating specifications, and metering data provided by the Interconnection Customer shall be deemed Confidential Information regardless of whether it is clearly marked or otherwise designated as such.

9.2 Confidential Information does not include information previously in the public domain, required to be publicly submitted or divulged by Governmental Authorities (after notice to the other Party(ies) and after exhausting any opportunity to oppose such publication or release), or necessary to be divulged in an action to enforce this Agreement. Each Party receiving Confidential Information shall hold such information in confidence and shall not disclose it to any third party nor to the public without the prior written authorization from the Party providing that information, except to fulfill obligations under this Agreement, or to fulfill legal or regulatory requirements.

9.2.1 Each Party shall employ at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information obtained from the other Party(ies) as it employs to protect its own Confidential Information.

9.2.2 Each Party is entitled to equitable relief, by injunction or otherwise, to enforce its rights under this provision to prevent the release of Confidential Information without bond or

proof of damages, and may seek other remedies available at law or in equity for breach of this provision.

- 9.3 Notwithstanding anything in this article to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR § 1b.20, if the Commission, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission, the Party may, consistent with 18 CFR § 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to this Agreement when it is notified by the Commission that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR § 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

Article 10. Disputes

10.1 Submission. In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with this LGIA or its performance, such Party (the “disputing Party”) shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim (“Notice of Dispute”). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party’s(ies’) receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this SGIA.

10.2 External Arbitration Procedures. Any arbitration initiated under this SGIA shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single

arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (“Arbitration Rules”) and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Article 10, the terms of this Article 10 shall prevail

10.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons therefore. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of this SGIA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of this Agreement in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

10.4 Costs. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three member panel; or (2) a pro rata share of the cost of a single arbitrator chosen by the Parties.

~~10.1—The Parties agree to attempt to resolve all disputes arising out of the interconnection process according to the provisions of this article.~~

~~10.2—In the event of a dispute, a Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with a written Notice of Dispute. Such Notice shall describe in detail the nature of the dispute.~~

~~10.3—If the dispute has not been resolved within two Business Days after receipt of the Notice, any Party may contact the Commission’s Dispute Resolution Service (DRS) for assistance in resolving the dispute.~~

~~10.4—The DRS will assist the Parties in either resolving their dispute or in selecting an appropriate dispute resolution venue (e.g., mediation, settlement judge, early neutral evaluation, or technical expert) to assist the Parties in resolving their dispute. DRS can be reached at 1-877-337-2237 or via the internet at <http://www.ferc.gov/legal/adr.asp>.~~

~~10.5—Each Party agrees to conduct all negotiations in good faith and will be responsible for its pro rata share of any costs paid to neutral third parties.~~

~~10.6—If no Party elects to seek assistance from the DRS, or if the attempted dispute resolution fails, then each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or law consistent with the terms of this Agreement.~~

Article 11. Taxes

11.1 The Parties agree to follow all applicable tax laws and regulations, consistent with Commission policy and Internal Revenue Service requirements.

11.2 Each Party shall cooperate with the other to maintain the other Party's(ies’) tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s tax exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds.

Article 12. Miscellaneous

12.1 Governing Law, Regulatory Authority, and Rules

The validity, interpretation and enforcement of this Agreement and each of its provisions shall be governed by the laws of the state of _____ (where the Point of Interconnection is

located), without regard to its conflicts of law principles. This Agreement is subject to all Applicable Laws and Regulations. Each Party expressly reserves the right to seek changes in, appeal, or otherwise contest any laws, orders, or regulations of a Governmental Authority.

12.2 Amendment

The Parties may amend this Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by the Parties, or under article 12.12 of this Agreement.

12.3 No Third-Party Beneficiaries

This Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and where permitted, their assigns.

12.4 Waiver

The failure of a Party to this Agreement to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

12.4.1 Any waiver at any time by a Party of its rights with respect to this Agreement shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this Agreement. Termination or default of this Agreement for any reason by Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Any waiver of this Agreement shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

12.5 Entire Agreement

Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, this Agreement, including all Attachments, constitutes the entire agreement

between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement. Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, there are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, either Party's compliance with its obligations under this Agreement.

12.6 Multiple Counterparts

This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.

12.7 No Partnership

This Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, the other Parties.

12.8 Severability

If any provision or portion of this Agreement shall for any reason be held or adjudged to be invalid or illegal or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Authority, (1) such portion or provision shall be deemed separate and independent, (2) the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to restore insofar as practicable the benefits to each Party that were affected by such ruling, and (3) the remainder of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

12.9 Security Arrangements

Infrastructure security of the New England Transmission System equipment and operations and control hardware and software is essential to ensure day-to-day reliability and operational security. The Commission expects the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owners,

market participants, and Interconnection Customers interconnected to the New England Transmission System to comply with the recommendations offered by the President's Critical Infrastructure Protection Board and, eventually, best practice recommendations from the electric reliability authority. All public utilities are expected to meet basic standards for system infrastructure and operational security, including physical, operational, and cyber-security practices.

12.10 Environmental Releases

Each Party shall notify the other Party(ies), first orally and then in writing, of the release of any hazardous substances, any asbestos or lead abatement activities, or any type of remediation activities related to the Small Generating Facility or the Interconnection Facilities, each of which may reasonably be expected to affect the other Party(ies). The notifying Party shall (1) provide the notice as soon as practicable, provided such Party makes a good faith effort to provide the notice no later than 24 hours after such Party becomes aware of the occurrence, and (2) promptly furnish to the other Party(ies) copies of any publicly available reports filed with any governmental authorities addressing such events.

12.11 Subcontractors

Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of any subcontractor as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party(ies) for the performance of such subcontractor.

12.11.1 The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. The hiring Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party(ies) for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor the hiring Party hires as if no subcontract had been made; provided, however, that in no event shall the Interconnecting Transmission Owner be liable for the actions or inactions of the Interconnection Customer or its subcontractors with respect to obligations of the Interconnection Customer under this Agreement. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement

upon the hiring Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

12.11.2 The obligations under this article will not be limited in any way by any limitation of subcontractor's insurance.

12.12 Reservation of Rights

Consistent with Section 4.8 of Schedule 23, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the System Operator shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with the Commission to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, and the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with the Commission to modify this Agreement under any applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party(ies) and to participate fully in any proceeding before the Commission in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of the Commission under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise agree as provided herein.

Article 13. Notices

13.1 General

Unless otherwise provided in this Agreement, any written notice, demand, or request required or authorized in connection with this Agreement ("Notice") shall be deemed properly given if delivered in person, delivered by recognized national carrier service, or sent by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the person specified below:

If to the Interconnection Customer:

[To be supplied]

If to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

[To be supplied]

If to the System Operator:

ISO New England Inc.
Attention: Generation Interconnection, Transmission Planning Department
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841
Phone: _____ Fax: 413-540-4203

With a copy to:

Billing Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

13.2 Billing and Payment

Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses set out below:

Interconnection Customer: [To be supplied]

Interconnecting Transmission Owner[To be supplied]

System Operator: ISO New England Inc.

Attention: Generation Interconnection, Transmission Planning Department
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841
Phone: _____ Fax: 413-540-4203

With a copy to:

Billing Department
ISO New England Inc.

One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

13.3 Alternative Forms of Notice

Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party(ies) and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or e-mail to the telephone numbers and e-mail addresses set out below:

If to the Interconnection Customer:

Phone: _____ Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

If to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

Phone: _____ Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

If to the System Operator:

Phone: _____ Fax: 413-540-4203

E-mail: geninterconn@iso-ne.com

With a copy to:

Billing Department

Facsimile: (413) 535-4024

E-mail: billingdept@iso-ne.com

13.4 Designated Operating Representative

The Parties may also designate operating representatives to conduct the communications which may be necessary or convenient for the administration of this Agreement. This person will also serve as the point of contact with respect to operations and maintenance of the Party's facilities.

Interconnection Customer's Operating Representative:

[To be supplied]

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Operating Representative:

[To be supplied]

System Operator's Operating Representative:

ISO New England Inc.

Attention: Generation Interconnection, Transmission Planning Department

One Sullivan Road

Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Phone: _____ Fax: (413) 540-4203

E-mail: geninterconn@iso-ne.com

DUNS Numbers:

Interconnection Customer: [To be supplied]

Interconnecting Transmission Owner: [To be supplied]

13.5 Changes to the Notice Information

A Party may change this information by giving five Business Days written notice prior to the effective date of the change.

Article 14. Signatures

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their respective duly authorized representatives.

[Insert name of] (Interconnecting Transmission Owner)

Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

[Insert name of] (Interconnection Customer)

Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

ISO New England Inc. (System Operator)

Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

ATTACHMENTS TO SGIA

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| Attachment 1 | Glossary of Terms |
| Attachment 2 | Description and Costs of the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, and Metering Equipment |
| Attachment 3 | One-line Diagram Depicting the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, Metering Equipment and Upgrades |
| Attachment 4 | Milestones |
| Attachment 5 | Additional Operating Requirements for the New England Transmission System and Affected Systems Needed to Support the Interconnection Customer's Needs |
| Attachment 6 | Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Description of its Upgrades, and Best Estimates of Upgrade Costs |
| Attachment 7 | Commercial Operation Date |

Attachment 1

Glossary of Terms

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this SGIP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to New England Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than New England-Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an ~~External~~ Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an ~~External~~ Affected System Interconnection Customer in System Operator's interconnection queue relative to System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of ~~External~~ Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system outside the New England Control Area

that have an impact on the New England System Operator's Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this SGIP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this SGIP that is made between System Operator and ~~External~~ Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an ~~External~~ Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this SGIP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an ~~External~~ Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this SGIP.

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties.

At-Risk Expenditure shall mean money expended for the development of the Generating Facility that cannot be recouped if the Interconnection Customer were to withdraw the Interconnection Request for the Generating Facility. At-Risk Expenditure may include, but is not limited to, money expended on: (i) costs of federal, state, local, regional and town permits, (ii) Site Control, (iii) site-specific design and surveys, (iv) construction activities, and (v) non-refundable deposits for major equipment components. For purposes of this definition, At-Risk Expenditure shall not include costs associated with the Interconnection Studies.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3.

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability data bases used for the Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources or Elective Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Resource (“CNR”) shall mean that portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard.

Capacity Network Resource Capability (“CNR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (“CNR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Small Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s CNR Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt amount of CNR Capability. CNR Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff . The CETU shall be considered part of a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

CETU Participation Deposit shall mean a Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in Section 4.2.

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this SGIP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this SGIP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby one or more Interconnection Requests are studied together, instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this SGIP.

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity for sale, excluding electricity generated during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date of a unit shall mean the date on which the Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Attachment 7 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 5.1.1.3, 7.5, and 8.1 of this SGIP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Contingent Facilities shall mean those unbuilt Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or a transmission project that is planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this SGIP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 7.6 of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Generating Facility and render the transmission service necessary to effect Interconnection Customer's wholesale sale of electricity in interstate commerce. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions; provided that Interconnection Customer is not obligated by the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement to possess black start capability.

Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order,

regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility shall mean Interconnection Customer's device(s) for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in the Interconnection Request, but shall not include Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

Initial Synchronization Date shall mean the date upon which the Generating Facility is initially synchronized and upon which Trial Operation begins.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to obtain back feed power.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean a Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest, or a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer that is not a Participating Transmission Owner that is constructing, a portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point

of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System under this SGIP.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Attachment 2 of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, that are located between the Generating Facility and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may be sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission.

Interconnection Facilities shall mean Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network

Upgrades as identified in the Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, or the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of this SGIP.

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of this SGIP for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of this SGIP.

Interconnection Request shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to this SGIP, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System as either a CNR or a NR; (ii) make a Material Modification to a proposed Generating Facility with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the energy capability or capacity capability of an existing Generation Facility; (iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; (v) commence participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; or (vi) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service for all or part of a Generating Facility's capability. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect a Qualifying Facility (as defined by the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the regulations thereto), where the Qualifying Facility's owner intent is to sell 100% of the Qualifying Facility's output to its interconnected electric utility.

Interconnection Service shall mean the service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability and/or capacity capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection, pursuant to the terms of the SGIA and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study the Cluster Restudy, the Surplus Interconnection Service System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in this SGIP.

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: the Affected System Study Agreement, Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to this SGIP.

Internal Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Internal Affected System shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned ~~transmission~~ facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

Small Generating Facility shall mean a Generating Facility having a maximum gross capability at or above zero degrees F of more 20 MW or less.

SGIA Deposit shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed SGIA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the SGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this SGIP.

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party's performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean: (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) to the Interconnection Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer, that either

require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (i.e., an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days) on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with an equal or later Queue Position; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; or (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Initial Synchronization Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control.

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed at the Generating Facility pursuant to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement at the metering points, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this SGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this SGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this SGIP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard ("NC Interconnection Standard") shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network

Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Resource (“NR”) shall mean the portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Network Capability Interconnection Standard.

Network Resource Capability (“NR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Resource Interconnection Service (“NR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s NR Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the NR Capability requested pursuant to Section 3.1 of this SGIP. NR Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of this SGIP for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point, as set forth in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the System Operator in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Provisional Interconnection Service shall mean Network Resource Interconnection Service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection on a limited and temporary basis, pursuant to the terms of the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement shall mean the Interconnection Agreement for Provisional Interconnection Service established between the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and the Interconnection Customer. This agreement shall take the form of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, modified for provisional purposes.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission service.

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent

with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, exchanging information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this SGIP, and analyzing such information.

Site Control shall mean the exclusive ~~land~~-right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility over the term of expected operation of the Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by documentation establishing: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for which new interconnection is sought; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property. System Operator will maintain acreage requirements for each Generating Facility type on its OASIS or public website.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are not part of an Internal Affected System that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the System Operator's Proportional Impact Method. System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small

Generator Interconnection Agreement. If System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer disagree about whether a particular Network Upgrade is a Stand Alone Network Upgrade, System Operator must provide the Interconnection Customer a written technical explanation outlining why System Operator does not consider the Network Upgrade to be a Stand Alone Network Upgrade within 15 days of its determination.

Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement (“SGIA”) shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Small Generating Facility, that is included in this Schedule 23 to the Tariff.

Standard Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SGIP”) shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Small Generating Facility that are included in this Schedule 23 to the Tariff.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections ~~6.2~~ 7.3 and 7.5 of this SGIP.

Substation Network Upgrade shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions, and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

Surplus Interconnection Service shall mean a form of Interconnection Service that allows an Interconnection Customer to use any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Agreement for an ~~existing~~ Generating Facility, such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized the total amount of Interconnection Service at the same Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Generating Facility and (2) the Generating Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“Transitional CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3A of the Tariff and Section 5.1.1.3 of this SGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this SGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this SGIP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Generating Facility prior to Commercial Operation.

Unused Capability shall mean: (i) in the case of NR Interconnection Service at a Generating Facility with an executed Interconnection Agreement, the MW quantity as determined by the Original Interconnection Customer (as defined in Section 3.3 of the SGIP), not to exceed the Generating Facility’s NR Interconnection Service as specified in its Interconnection Agreement; and (ii) in the case of CNR Interconnection Service at an existing, commercial Generating Facility, for Summer, the Summer CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Summer Qualified Capacity, and for Winter, the Winter CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Winter Qualified Capacity.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by System Operator to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from System Operator’s interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this SGIP.

Attachment 2

**Description and Costs of the Small Generating Facility,
Interconnection Facilities, and Metering Equipment**

Equipment, including the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, and metering equipment shall be itemized and identified as being owned by the Interconnection Customer or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner will provide a best estimate itemized cost, including overheads, of its Interconnection Facilities and metering equipment, and a best estimate itemized cost of the annual operation and maintenance expenses associated with its Interconnection Facilities and metering equipment.

I. DESCRIPTION OF MAJOR COMPONENTS

A. Small Generating Facility

(1) Description of Small Generating Facility.

[insert]

(2) The Small Generating Facility shall receive:

___ Network Resource Interconnection Service for the NR Capability at a level not to exceed [insert gross and net at or above 50 degrees F] MW for Summer, and [insert gross and net at or above 0 degrees F] MW for Winter.

___ Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service for: (a)(i) the NR Capability at a level not to exceed [insert gross and net at or above 50 degrees F] MW for Summer and [insert gross and net at or above 0 degrees F] MW for Winter; and (ii) the CNR Capability at [insert net] MW for Summer and [insert net] MW for Winter, which shall not exceed [insert the maximum net MW electrical output of the Generating Facility at an ambient temperature at or above 90 degrees F for summer and at or above 20 degrees F for winter].

- (3) Detailed Description of Small Generating Facility and Generator Step-Up Transformer, if applicable:

Generator Data	
Number of Generators	
Manufacturer	
Model	
Designation of Generator(s)	
Excitation System Manufacturer	
Excitation System Model	
Voltage Regulator Manufacturer	
Voltage Regulator Model	
Generator Ratings	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 90 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 50 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above 20 Degrees F	
Greatest Unit Gross and Net MW Output at Ambient Temperature at or above zero Degrees F	
Station Service Load For Each Unit	
Overexcited Reactive Power at Rated MVA and Rated Power Factor	
Underexcited Reactive Power at Rated MVA and Rated Power Factor	

Generator Short Circuit and Stability Data	
Generator MVA rating	
Generator AC Resistance	
Subtransient Reactance (saturated)	
Subtransient Reactance (unsaturated)	
Transient Reactance (saturated)	
Negative sequence reactance	
Transformer Data	
Number of units	
Self Cooled Rating	
Maximum Rating	
Winding Connection (LV/LV/HV)	
Fixed Taps	
Z1 primary to secondary at self cooled rating	
Z1 primary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Z1 secondary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Positive Sequence X/R ratio primary to secondary	
Z0 primary to secondary at self cooled rating	
Z0 primary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Z0 secondary to tertiary at self cooled rating	
Zero Sequence X/R ratio primary to tertiary	

B. Interconnection Facilities

[insert]

C. Metering Equipment

[insert]

D. Other Components

[insert]

II. INTERCONNECTION EQUIPMENT OWNERSHIP, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

A. Point of Change of Ownership; Point of Interconnection

[insert]

B. Description of Responsibilities

[insert]

III. PRICING ESTIMATES

A. Interconnection Facilities

[insert]

B. Metering Equipment

[insert]

C. Operation and Maintenance

[insert]

Attachment 3

**One-line Diagram Depicting the Small Generating Facility, Interconnection
Facilities, Metering Equipment, and Upgrades**

[insert]

Attachment 4

Milestones

- 1) **Milestones and Other Requirements:** The description and entries listed in the following table establish the required Milestones in accordance with the provisions of the SGIP and this SGIA. The referenced section of the SGIP or article of the SGIA should be reviewed to understand the requirements of each milestone.

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	SGIP/SGIA Reference
1	Submit updated data “as purchased”	Interconnection Customer	No later than 180 Calendar Days prior to Initial Synchronization Date	
2	Submit supplemental and/or updated data “as built/as-tested”	Interconnection Customer	Prior to Commercial Operation Date	
3	Provide quarterly written progress reports	Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	15 Calendar Days after the end of each quarter beginning the quarter that includes the date for Milestone #3 below and ending when the entire Small Generating Facility and all	

			required Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades are in place	
4	Deliver to Transmission Owner “as built” drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facility	Interconnection Customer	If requested, within 120 Calendar Days after Commercial Operation date	

2) Milestones Applicable If Facilities Study Has Been Waived by Interconnection Customer:

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	SGIP/SGIA Reference
1	Siting approval for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities	Interconnection Customer	As agreed to by the Parties	SGIP § <u>7.5</u> <u>3.4.5(i)</u>
2	Engineering of Interconnection Facilities approved by Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	As agreed to by the Parties	SGIP § <u>7.53.4.5(ii)</u>
3	Commit to the ordering of long lead time material	Interconnection Customer	As agreed to by the Parties	SGIP § <u>5.23.4.5(iii)</u>

	for Interconnection Facilities and system upgrades			
4	In-Service Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	
5	Initial Synchronization Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	SGIP § 3.4.4.2 , <u>4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5.4.5(iv)</u>
6	Commercial Operation Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	SGIP § 3.4.4.2 , <u>4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5.4.5(v)</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>Provide evidence of 100% Site Control to System Operator</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer</u>	<u>Upon Execution of the LGIA</u>	<u>§ 11.3.1.1 of SGIP</u>
<u>87</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer with qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate 100% Site Control by or the LGIA may be terminated per Article 17 (Default) of this LGIA and the Interconnection</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer</u>	<u>180 days from the effective date of this LGIA</u>	

	<p><u>Customer may be subject to Withdrawal Penalties per Section 3.7.1.1 of the Transmission Provider’s LGIP (Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty).</u></p>			
--	--	--	--	--

3) **Milestones Applicable Solely for CNR Interconnection Service.** In addition to the Milestones above, for projects that achieve a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 1, 2024, the following Milestones apply to Interconnection Customers requesting CNR Interconnection Service:

Item #	Milestone	Responsible Party	Date	SGIP/SGIA Reference
1	Submit necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Generating Facility’s requested Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		<u>§ 3.2.1.31.7.1.3(i) of SGIP</u>
2	Participate in a CNR Group Study	Interconnection Customer; System Operator		<u>§ 3.2.1.3 of SGIP1.7.1.3(ii)</u>
3	Qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		<u>§ 3.2.1.3 of SGIP1.7.1.3(iii)</u>
4	Complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request based on the	System Operator		<u>§ 3.2.1.3 of SGIP1.7.1.3(iv)</u>

	results of the Forward Capacity Auction, Reconfiguration Auction or bilateral transaction through which the Interconnection Customer received a Capacity Supply Obligation			
--	--	--	--	--

Attachment 5

**Additional Operating Requirements for the
New England Transmission System and Affected Systems Needed to Support
the Interconnection Customer's Needs**

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also provide requirements that must be met by the Interconnection Customer prior to initiating parallel operation with the New England Transmission System.

I. OPERATING REQUIREMENTS

[Insert]

Attachment 6

**Interconnecting Transmission Owner's
Description of its Upgrades
and Best Estimate of Upgrade Costs**

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall describe Upgrades and provide an itemized best estimate of the cost, including overheads, of the Upgrades and annual operation and maintenance expenses associated with such Upgrades. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall functionalize Upgrade costs and annual expenses as either transmission or distribution related.

I. DESCRIPTION OF UPGRADES

A. Distribution Upgrades

[Insert]

B. Network Upgrades

[Insert]

(1) Stand Alone Network Upgrades

(2) Other Network Upgrades

C. Affected System and/or Internal Affected System Upgrades

[Insert]

D. Contingent Facilities: [insert list of Contingent Facilities] ~~Contingency Upgrades~~

~~(1) Long Lead Facility Related Upgrades. The Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility is associated with a Long Lead Facility, in accordance with Section 3.2.3 of the LGIP. Pursuant to Section 4.1 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the following upgrades in the event that the Long Lead Facility~~

~~achieves Commercial Operation and obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13.1 of the Tariff:~~

~~*[insert list of upgrades]*~~

~~If the Interconnection Customer fails to cause these upgrades to be in service prior to the commencement of the Long Lead Facility's Capacity Commitment Period, the Interconnection Customer shall be deemed to be in Breach of this SGIA in accordance with Article 7, and the System Operator will initiate all necessary steps to terminate this SGIA, in accordance with Article 3.~~

(12) Other Contingency Upgrades. [*e.g.*, list of upgrades associated with higher queued Interconnection Requests with SGIA's prior to this SGIA and any other contingency upgrades that the Parties may deem necessary for the interconnection of the Small Generating Facility.]

E. Post-Forward Capacity Auction Re-study Upgrade Obligations.

[Insert any changes in upgrade obligations that result from re-study conducted post receiving a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with the Tariff.]

Attachment 7

Commercial Operation Date

This Attachment 7 is a part of the SGIA between System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer.

[Date]

[Interconnecting Transmission Owner; Address]

Generator Interconnections
Transmission Planning Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Re: _____ Small Generating Facility

Dear _____:

On [Date] [Interconnection Customer] has completed Trial Operation of Unit No. ____.
This letter confirms that [Interconnection Customer] commenced commercial operation of Unit No. ____ at the Small Generating Facility, effective as of [Date plus one day].

Thank you.

[Signature]

[Interconnection Customer Representative]

SCHEDULE 23

SMALL GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS [TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS.

2.1 Application of Standard Small Generator Interconnection Procedures.

2.2 Comparability

2.3 Base Case Data

2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service

2.5 Time Requirements

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS

3.1 General

3.2 Type of Interconnection Services and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment

3.3 Utilization of Surplus Interconnection Service

3.4 Valid Interconnection Request

3.5 OASIS Posting

3.6 Coordination with Affected Systems

3.7 Withdrawal

3.8 Identification of Contingent Facilities

SECTION 4. QUEUE POSITION

4.1 General

4.2 Clustering

4.3 Transferability of Queue Position

4.4 Modifications

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION

5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests

5.2 Grandfathering

5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

6.1 Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement

6.2 Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study

6.3 Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures

6.4 Re-Study

SECTION 7. INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY

7.1 Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement

7.2 Execution of Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement

7.3 Scope of Interconnection System Impact Study

7.4 Interconnection System Impact Study Procedures

7.5 Meeting with Parties

7.6 Re-Study

7.7 Operational Readiness

SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY

8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement

8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study

8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures

8.4 Meeting with Parties

8.5 Re-Study

SECTION 9. ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT (“E&P”) AGREEMENT

SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY

10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement

10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study

10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures

10.4 Meeting with Parties

10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study

SECTION 11. STANDARD SMALL GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (SGIA)

11.1 Tender

11.2 Negotiation

11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of SGIA

11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities

SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER

INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES

12.1 Schedule

12.2 Construction Sequencing

SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS

13.1 Confidentiality

13.2 Delegation of Responsibility

13.3 Obligation for Study Costs

13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies

13.5 Disputes

13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds

APPENDICES TO SGIP [TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

APPENDIX 2 INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 4 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 5 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 6 LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

SECTION I. DEFINITIONS

The definitions contained in this section are intended to apply in the context of the generator interconnection process provided for in this Schedule 23 (and its appendices). To the extent that the definitions herein are different than those contained in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff, the definitions provided below shall control only for purposes of generator interconnections under this Schedule 23. Capitalized terms in Schedule 23 that are not defined in this Section I shall have the meanings specified in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff.

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this SGIP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to New England Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than New England-Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an Affected System Interconnection Customer in System Operator's interconnection queue relative to System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system outside the New England Control Area that have an impact on the New England-Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this SGIP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this SGIP that is made between System Operator and Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this SGIP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this SGIP.

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties.

At-Risk Expenditure shall mean money expended for the development of the Generating Facility that cannot be recouped if the Interconnection Customer were to withdraw the Interconnection Request for the Generating Facility. At-Risk Expenditure may include, but is not limited to, money expended on: (i) costs of federal, state, local, regional and town permits, (ii) Site Control, (iii) site-specific design and

surveys, (iv) construction activities, and (v) non-refundable deposits for major equipment components.
For purposes of this definition, At-Risk Expenditure shall not include costs associated with the
Interconnection Studies.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3.

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability data bases used for the
Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission
Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in
accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the
Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection
Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the
criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking
Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking
Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect
on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including
protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility
seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade
seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal
deliverability by avoidance of the redispach of other Capacity Network Resources or Elective
Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO
New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Resource (“CNR”) shall mean that portion of a Generating Facility that is
interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Capacity Capability Interconnection
Standard.

Capacity Network Resource Capability (“CNR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (“CNR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Small Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s CNR Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt amount of CNR Capability. CNR Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff . The CETU shall be considered part of a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

CETU Participation Deposit shall mean a Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in Sections 4.2.

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this SGIP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this SGIP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this SGIP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby one or more Interconnection Requests are studied together, instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this SGIP.

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity for sale, excluding electricity generated during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date of a unit shall mean the date on which the Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Attachment 7 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 5.1.1.3, 7.5, and 8.1 of this SGIP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Contingent Facilities shall mean those unbuilt Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or a transmission project that is planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this SGIP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 7.6 of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Generating Facility and render the transmission service necessary to effect Interconnection Customer's wholesale sale of electricity in interstate commerce. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions; provided that Interconnection Customer is not obligated by the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement to possess black start capability.

Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility shall mean Interconnection Customer's device(s) for the production and/or storage for later injection of electricity identified in the Interconnection Request, but shall not include Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

Initial Synchronization Date shall mean the date upon which the Generating Facility is initially synchronized and upon which Trial Operation begins.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to obtain back feed power.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean a Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest, or a Non-Incumbent Transmission Developer that is not a Participating Transmission Owner that is constructing, a portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System under this SGIP.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Attachment 2 of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, that are located between the Generating Facility and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may be sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission.

Interconnection Facilities shall mean Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as identified in the Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, or the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of this SGIP.

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of this SGIP for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of this SGIP.

Interconnection Request shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to this SGIP, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System as either a CNR or a NR; (ii) make a Material Modification to a proposed Generating Facility with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the energy capability or capacity capability of an existing Generation Facility; (iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; (v) commence participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; or (vi) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service for all or part of a Generating Facility's capability. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect a Qualifying Facility (as defined by the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the regulations thereto), where the Qualifying Facility's owner intent is to sell 100% of the Qualifying Facility's output to its interconnected electric utility.

Interconnection Service shall mean the service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability and/or capacity capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection, pursuant to the terms of the SGIA and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study the Cluster Restudy, the Surplus Interconnection Service System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in this SGIP.

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: the Affected System Study Agreement, Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to this SGIP.

Internal Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Internal Affected System shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

Small Generating Facility shall mean a Generating Facility having a maximum gross capability at or above zero degrees F of more 20 MW or less.

SGIA Deposit shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed SGIA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the SGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this SGIP.

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party's performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean: (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A (and Attachment A-1, if applicable) to the Interconnection Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer, that either require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (i.e., an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days) on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with an equal or later Queue Position; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Generating Facility, including its Interconnection Facilities, that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; or (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Initial Synchronization Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control.

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed at the Generating Facility pursuant to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement at the metering points, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics. Any metering necessitated by the use of the Small Generating Facility shall be installed at the Interconnection Customer's expense in accordance with Commission, state, or local regulatory requirements and with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this SGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this SGIP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this SGIP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard (“NC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Resource (“NR”) shall mean the portion of a Generating Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System under the Network Capability Interconnection Standard.

Network Resource Capability (“NR Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NR Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Resource Interconnection Service (“NR Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s NR Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the NR Capability requested pursuant to Section 3.1 of this SGIP. NR Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of this SGIP for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point, as set forth in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the System Operator in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Provisional Interconnection Service shall mean Network Resource Interconnection Service provided by the System Operator, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and enabling the receipt of electric energy capability from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection on a limited and temporary basis, pursuant to the terms of the Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff.

Provisional Large Generator Interconnection Agreement shall mean the Interconnection Agreement for Provisional Interconnection Service established between the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and the Interconnection Customer. This agreement shall take the form of the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, modified for provisional purposes.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission service.

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, exchanging information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this SGIP, and analyzing such information.

Site Control shall mean the exclusive -right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility over the term of expected operation of the Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by documentation establishing: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for which new interconnection is sought; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for which new interconnection is sought; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property. System Operator will maintain acreage requirements for each Generating Facility type on its OASIS or public website.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are not part of an Internal Affected System that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection

Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the System Operator’s Proportional Impact Method. System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Attachment 2 to the Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement. If System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer disagree about whether a particular Network Upgrade is a Stand Alone Network Upgrade, System Operator must provide the Interconnection Customer a written technical explanation outlining why System Operator does not consider the Network Upgrade to be a Stand Alone Network Upgrade within 15 days of its determination.

Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement (“SGIA”) shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Small Generating Facility, that is included in this Schedule 23 to the Tariff.

Standard Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SGIP”) shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Small Generating Facility that are included in this Schedule 23 to the Tariff.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections 7.3 and 7.5 of this SGIP.

Substation Network Upgrade shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions, and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

Surplus Interconnection Service shall mean a form of Interconnection Service that allows an Interconnection Customer to use any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in an Interconnection Agreement for an Generating Facility, such that if Surplus Interconnection Service is utilized the total amount of Interconnection Service at the same Point of Interconnection would remain the same.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Generating Facility and (2) the Generating Facility from

faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“Transitional CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3A of the Tariff and Section 5.1.1.3 of this SGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this SGIP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this SGIP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Generating Facility prior to Commercial Operation.

Unused Capability shall mean: (i) in the case of NR Interconnection Service at a Generating Facility with an executed Interconnection Agreement, the MW quantity as determined by the Original Interconnection Customer (as defined in Section 3.3 of the SGIP), not to exceed the Generating Facility’s NR Interconnection Service as specified in its Interconnection Agreement; and (ii) in the case of CNR Interconnection Service at an existing, commercial Generating Facility, for Summer, the Summer CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Summer Qualified Capacity, and for Winter, the Winter CNR Capability as specified in its Interconnection Agreement minus the latest Winter Qualified Capacity.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by System Operator to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this SGIP.

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS.

2.1 Application of Standard Small -Generator Interconnection Procedures.

The SGIP and SGIA shall apply to Interconnection Requests pertaining to Small Generating Facilities. Except as expressly provided in the SGIP and SGIA, nothing in the SGIP or SGIA shall be construed to limit the authority or obligations that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator, as applicable, has with regard to ISO New England Operating Documents. Infrastructure security of the New England Transmission System equipment and operations and control hardware and software is essential to ensure day-to-day reliability and operational security. The Commission expects the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, market participants, and Interconnection Customers interconnected to the New England Transmission System to comply with the recommendations offered by the President's Critical Infrastructure Protection Board and, eventually, best practice recommendations from the electric reliability authority. All public utilities are expected to meet basic standards for system infrastructure and operational security, including physical, operational, and cyber-security practices.

2.2. Comparability.

The System Operator shall receive, process and analyze all Interconnection Requests in a timely manner as set forth in this SGIP. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall process and analyze Interconnection Requests from all Interconnection Customers, regardless of whether the Generating Facilities are owned by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, its subsidiaries or Affiliates, or others.

2.3 Base Case Data.

System Operator shall maintain Base Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases, including all underlying assumptions, and contingency lists on a secured location on the System Operator's website. For the purposes of this provision, Base Case Data may include the electromagnetic transient network model that does not include proprietary electromagnetic transient equipment models. System Operator shall provide access to such information located on a secured location on the System Operator's website, subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy as

well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating disclosure or confidentiality of such information. System Operator shall maintain network models and underlying assumptions on a secured location on the System Operator's website. Such network models and underlying assumptions should reasonably represent those used during the most recent Interconnection Study and be representative of current system conditions as of the most recent Interconnection Study. The databases and lists addressed in this Section 2.3, hereinafter referred to as Base Cases, shall include all generation projects and transmission projects that are proposed for the New England Transmission System and any Affected System or Internal Affected System and for which a transmission expansion plan has been submitted and approved by the applicable authority and which, in the sole judgment of the System Operator, may have an impact on the Interconnection Request. The Base Cases shall also include generation projects that are not participating in the System Operator's interconnection process, but are expected to achieve approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff within ninety (90) days from the date of the creation of the Base Cases and for which steady state, short circuit, stability and electromagnetic transient network models for the generation projects and any associated system upgrades have been provided to the System Operator. The Interconnection Customer, where applicable, shall provide Base Case Data to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to facilitate required Interconnection Studies.

System Operator shall provide a link to the secured location on its website that contains the information required under this Section 2.3 on System Operator's OASIS site. System Operator is permitted to require that Interconnection Customers or their third party consultants, OASIS site users, and users of the secured location on System Operator's website sign a confidentiality agreement before the release of information governed by Section 13.1 or the ISO New England Information Policy, or the release of any other information that is commercially sensitive or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information.

2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service.

Nothing in this SGIP shall constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.

2.5 Time Requirements.

Parties that must perform a specific obligation under a provision of the SGIP or -Standard Small Generator Interconnection Agreement within a specified time period shall use Reasonable Efforts to

complete such obligation within the applicable time period. A Party may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion and within the time period set forth by the applicable procedure or agreement, request that the relevant Party consent to a mutually agreeable alternative time schedule, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS.

3.1 General.

To initiate an Interconnection Request, an Interconnection Customer must comply with all of the requirements set forth in Section 3.4.1. The Interconnection Customer shall submit a separate Interconnection Request for each site. Where multiple Generating Facilities share a site, Interconnection Customer(s) may submit separate Interconnection Requests or a single Interconnection Request. Within three (3) Business Days after the close of the Cluster Request Window, System Operator shall submit a copy of all valid Interconnection Requests received to Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s).

At Interconnection Customer's option, System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, will identify alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configurations at a Scoping Meeting within the Customer Engagement Window to evaluate in this process and attempt to eliminate alternatives in a reasonable fashion given resources and information available. Interconnection Customer will select the definitive Point of Interconnection to be studied no later than the execution of the Cluster Study Agreement. For purposes of Clustering of Interconnection Requests, System Operator may propose changes to the requested Point of Interconnection to facilitate efficient interconnection of Interconnection Customers at common Point(s) of Interconnection. System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in writing of any intended changes to the requested Point of Interconnection within the Customer Engagement Window, and the Point of Interconnection shall only change upon mutual agreement of the involved parties.

System Operator shall consider requests for Interconnection Service below the Small Generating Facility capability. An Interconnection Customer that submits an Interconnection Request for Interconnection Service below the Small Generating Facility capability shall include in the Interconnection Request the proposed control technologies to restrict the Small Generating Facility's output to the requested Interconnection Service levels. These requests for Interconnection Service shall be studied based on the nameplate capability of the Small

Generating Facility at the level of Interconnection Service requested for purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and associated costs, and the requests shall be studied at the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility's output and the safety and reliability of the system, with the study costs borne by Interconnection Customer. Interconnection Customers may be subject to additional control technologies as well as testing and validation of those technologies consistent with Article 2 of the SGIA. The necessary control technologies and protection systems shall be established in Attachment 2 of the executed, or requested to be filed unexecuted, SGIA.

System Operator shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Commercial Readiness Deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this SGIP must be (a) delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer, (b) through the provision and maintenance of an irrevocable letter of credit in a form and from a financial institution acceptable to System Operator, as described on the System Operator's public website , or (c) a combination thereof. Each letter of credit must specify the Interconnection Request to which it corresponds. All -costs associated with obtaining a letter of credit shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer. In the event that System Operator identifies an administrative deficiency with a submitted letter of credit, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days to cure the deficiency.;

If the System Operator removes the financial institution from the list, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days from the date on which System Operator provides notice of such removal to replace the letter of credit with a letter of credit from a financial institution on the list. The System Operator may extend this cure period in its sole discretion. Failure to cure a deficiency within the periods prescribed in this Section 3.1 shall result in the withdrawal of the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.7 of the SGIP without further opportunity to cure.

All other deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this SGIP must be paid in cash and delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer within the period specified in the respective provision. A deposit will not be considered received until it is in the System Operator's bank account or, in the case of a letter of credit provided as a Commercial Readiness Deposit, the letter of

credit is accepted by System Operator. Deposits that must be submitted to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be submitted in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

3.2 Type of Interconnection Services

At the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, the Interconnection Customer must request either CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service, as described in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 below. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain CNR Interconnection Service shall obtain NR Interconnection Service up to the NR Capability upon completion of all requirements for NR Interconnection Service, including all necessary upgrades. Upon completion of all requirements for the CNR Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer shall also receive CNR Interconnection Service for CNR Capability. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain NR Interconnection Service shall receive NR Interconnection Service for the Interconnection Customer's NR Capability.

3.2.1 Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service

3.2.1.1 The Product.

The System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the Small Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which CNRs are interconnected under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility to be designated as a CNR, and to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the CNR Capability or as otherwise provided in the Tariff, on the same basis as existing CNRs, and to be studied as a CNR on the assumption that such a designation will occur.

3.2.1.2 The Studies.

All Interconnection Studies for CNR Interconnection Service shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the unit. For Interconnection Requests seeking to achieve CNR Capability by

obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024, the CNR Group Study shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other CNRs and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. For all other Interconnection Requests, the intra-zonal deliverability analysis shall be performed as part of the Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study. The System Operator, in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and as appropriate the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.1.3 Milestones for CNR Interconnection Service.

In addition to the requirements set forth in this SGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that seeks to achieve CNR Capability by obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024 shall complete the following milestones prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service for the CNR Capability, such milestones to be specified in Attachment 4 of the SGIA, as either completed or to be completed: (i) submit the necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Generating Facility's requested Commercial Operation Date (except as modified pursuant to Sections 3.2.3 or 4.4 of this SGIP), in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13 of the Tariff; (ii) participate in a CNR Group Study for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the requested Generating Facility's Commercial Operation Date; (iii) qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff; and (iv) complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study and CNR Group Study after the Forward Capacity Auction, Reconfiguration Auction, or bilateral transaction through which the Interconnection Customer received a Capacity Supply Obligation to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. The re-study shall include those CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests with a higher Queue Position that cleared and shall exclude any upgrades that are no longer necessary as a result of existing capacity that will be retired as of the start of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the resource has received a Capacity Supply Obligation. With respect to (iv) above, if an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed, the Interconnection Study associated with the Interconnection Study Agreement shall include

the necessary analysis that would otherwise have been performed in a re-study. If the original Interconnection Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Study Agreement. If an SGIA has been either executed or filed with the Commission in unexecuted form then the last Interconnection Study completed for the Interconnection Customer under this SGIP shall be subject to re-study. The Appendices to the SGIA shall be amended (pursuant to Article 12.2 of the SGIA) to reflect CNR Capability and the results of the re-study.

After September 1, 2024, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this SGIP prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service. The Interconnection Customer shall complete the intra-zonal deliverability assessment by electing to participate in the Transitional Cluster Study or submit a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service during the applicable Cluster Entry Window to participate and complete a Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Customer with a valid Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that has a completed Interconnection System Impact Study as of May 1, 2024, but that has not received a Capacity Supply Obligation through the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction or an earlier auction may: 1) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff or 2) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the Transitional Cluster Study. Notwithstanding any other provision of the Tariff, an Interconnection Customer may seek to participate in both the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff and the Transitional Cluster Study simultaneously. If the Interconnection Customer achieves CNR Interconnection Service through Section III.13.1.1.2A, it may withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study without penalty and be refunded any remaining study deposits associated with the Transitional Cluster Study. If the Interconnection Customer does not enter, or complete, the process described in either Section III.13.1.1.2A or the Transitional Cluster Study, the System Operator shall reduce the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request to NR Interconnection Service.

3.2.2 Network Resource Interconnection Service

3.2.2.1 The Product.

The System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the

Small Generating Facility in a manner comparable to that in which Network Resources are interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard. NR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the provisions of Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the net NR Capability or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, on the same basis as other Network Resources. Notwithstanding the above, the portion of a Small Generating Facility that has been designated as a Network Resource interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be a capacity resource under Section III.13 of the Tariff, except pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service.

3.2.2.2 The Studies.

The Interconnection Studies for an Network Resource shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the unit, in accordance with the NC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The System Operator, in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and as appropriate the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.2.3 Milestones for NR Interconnection Service.

An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for NR Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this SGIP prior to receiving NR Interconnection Service.

3.3 Utilization of Surplus Interconnection Service.

Surplus Interconnection Service allows an existing Interconnection Customer to utilize or transfer Surplus Interconnection Service at the Generating Facility's Point of Interconnection once Interconnection Customer has an executed Interconnection Agreement or requested that the Interconnection Agreement be filed unexecuted. For purposes of Surplus Interconnection Service, the existing Interconnection Customer is referred to as the "Original Interconnection Customer," and the entity requesting Surplus Interconnection Service is referred to as the "Surplus Interconnection Customer." The Original Interconnection Customer or, with written

consent of the Original Interconnection Customer, one of its affiliates shall have priority to utilize Surplus Interconnection Service. If the Original Interconnection Customer or one of its affiliates does not exercise this priority, then the Surplus Interconnection Service may be utilized by a third party of the Original Interconnection Customer's choosing and with the Original Interconnection Customer's written consent.

Surplus Interconnection Service may be available for any Unused Capability of Interconnection Service established in the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. If the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility is for CNR Interconnection Service, any Surplus Interconnection Service may be for existing CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service. If the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility is for NR Interconnection Service, any Surplus Interconnection Service shall be for NR Interconnection Service. Surplus Interconnection Service is not applicable when a new Interconnection Request for Interconnection Service or Network Upgrades would be required to implement the proposed change to the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. Surplus Interconnection Service cannot be used to replace a retiring or to repower an existing Generating Facility.

The Original Interconnection Customer shall specify the amount of Unused Capability that is available for use by the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. The total output of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility plus the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility behind the same Point of Interconnection shall be limited to the maximum total amount of Interconnection Service granted to the Original Interconnection Customer as established in the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. Control technology to restrict the total output of the Original Interconnection Customer's and Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facilities shall be required in the case where the sum of the maximum output of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility plus the maximum output of the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility exceeds the total amount of Interconnection Service established in the Original Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Agreement. Surplus Interconnection Service shall only be available at the existing Point of Interconnection of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.

3.3.1 Surplus Interconnection Service Request

An Original Interconnection Customer or, with the consent of the Original Interconnection Customer, its affiliate or a third party of the Original Interconnection Customer's choosing may request Surplus Interconnection Service by submitting to the System Operator a completed Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application in the form contained in Attachment C to Appendix 1 of the SGIP. The Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application shall be accompanied by the Original Interconnection Customer's written consent for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's use of Unused Capability for Surplus Interconnection Service, and the technical data called for in the form.

Studies for Surplus Interconnection Service may consist of reactive power, short circuit/fault duty, stability analyses, and/or other appropriate studies. Steady-state (thermal/voltage) analyses may be performed as necessary to ensure that all required reliability conditions are studied. The study shall consider the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the total output of the Original Interconnection Customer's and Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facilities. If the Surplus Interconnection Service was not studied under off-peak conditions, off-peak steady state analyses shall be performed to the required level necessary to demonstrate reliable operation of the Surplus Interconnection Service. If the original system impact study report or Cluster Study Report is not available for Surplus Interconnection Service, both off-peak and peak analysis may need to be performed for the existing Generating Facility associated with the request for Surplus Interconnection Service. The reactive power, short circuit/fault duty, stability, and steady-state analyses for Surplus Interconnection Service will identify any additional Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades necessary. Any analyses shall be performed at the Surplus Interconnection Customer's expense.

System Operator shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

The Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility shall be replaced by a new agreement among the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Original Interconnection Customer, and Surplus Interconnection Customer. The agreement shall be in the form of the most currently effective SGIA, modified to reflect the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the amount of, and the terms for the

use of, the Surplus Interconnection Service. The agreement shall be developed and negotiated in accordance with Section 11 of the SGIP, at the Surplus Interconnection Customer's expense.

3.4 Valid Interconnection Request.

3.4.1 Cluster Request Window.

System Operator shall accept Interconnection Requests during a forty-five (45) Calendar Day period (the Cluster Request Window). The initial Cluster Request Window shall open for Interconnection Requests sixty (60) Calendar Days after the conclusion of the three hundred sixty (360) Day transition process set out in Section 5.1 of this SGIP. All subsequent Cluster Request Windows shall open sixty (60) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Results Meeting or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate). System Operator shall provide notice via posting on its public website at least thirty (30) Calendar Days, prior to each respective Cluster Request Window opening.

3.4.1.1 Study Deposits.

Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator, during a Cluster Request Window, an Interconnection Request in the form of Appendix 1 to this SGIP, a potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$15,000, and a refundable study deposit of \$100,000 (for new requests for NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service) or \$50,000 (for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service). System Operator shall apply the initial deposit toward the costs incurred by the System Operator associated with the Interconnection Request, the cost of developing the study agreements and their attachments, and the cost of developing the SGIA. The study deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Cluster Study.

3.4.2 Initiating an Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Customer seeking to join a Cluster shall submit its Interconnection Request to System Operator within, and no later than the close of, the Cluster Request Window. Interconnection Requests submitted outside of the Cluster Request Window will not be considered. To initiate and establish a valid Interconnection Request, Interconnection Customer must submit all of the following to the System Operator in the manner specified in Appendix 1 Interconnection Request to this SGIP:

- (i) a potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$15,000.

- (ii) a completed application in the form of Appendix 1 and all information required under its Attachments,
- (iii) all information and deposits required under this Section 3.4 and
- (iv) in the case of a request for CNR Interconnection Service a demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) site control and, in the case of NR Interconnection Service, a demonstration of no less than one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control or (1) a signed affidavit from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a minimum of \$50,000 and a maximum of \$200,000. Interconnection Requests from multiple Interconnection Customers for multiple Generating Facilities that share a site must include a contract or other agreement that allows for shared land use;
- (v) Generating Facility capability (MW) (and requested Interconnection Service level if the requested Interconnection Service is less than the Generating Facility capability),
- (vi) a Commercial Readiness Deposit equal to two times the study deposit described in Section 3.4.1.1 of this SGIP in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP. This Commercial Readiness Deposit is refunded to Interconnection Customer according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP,
- (vii) a Point of Interconnection, and;
- (viii) whether the Interconnection Request shall be studied for NR Interconnection Service or for CNR Interconnection Service, consistent with Section 3.2 of this SGIP. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNR Interconnection Service to NR Interconnection Service if the System Operator identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NR Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NR Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.

An Interconnection Customer that submits a deposit in lieu of Site Control due to demonstrated regulatory limitations must demonstrate that it is taking identifiable steps to secure the necessary regulatory approvals from the applicable federal, state, and/or tribal entities before execution of the Cluster Study Agreement. Such deposit will be held by System Operator until Interconnection Customer provides the required Site Control demonstration for its Generating Facility in the Cluster Study Process. Interconnection Customers facing qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control within one-hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days of the effective date of the SGIA.

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iv-v) of this SGIP. If System Operator determines, based on Interconnection Customer's information, that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall give Interconnection Customer ten (10) Business Days to demonstrate satisfaction with the applicable requirement subject to Transmission Provider's approval. Absent such, System Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP without further opportunity to cure.

Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Small Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property. The portions of the deposit of \$15,000 that have not been applied as provided in this Section 3.4.1 shall be refundable if the Interconnection Customer executes an SGIA or where the Interconnection Request is withdrawn by the Interconnection Customer within ten (10) Business Days of the Cluster Scoping Meeting. Otherwise, any unused balance of the deposit of \$15,000 shall be non-refundable and applied on a pro-rata basis to offset costs incurred by Interconnection Customers that are subject to re-study, as determined by the System Operator in accordance with the provisions of this SGIP, as a result of the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request within the same Cluster.

The expected Initial Synchronization Date of the new Small Generating Facility, of the increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility, or of the implementation of the Material Modification to the existing Generating Facility shall not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator, unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates that such time required to actively engineer, permit and construct the new Small Generating Facility or increase in

capacity of the existing Generating Facility or implement the Material Modification to the existing Generating Facility will take longer than the seven year period. Upon such demonstration, the Initial Synchronization Date may succeed the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator by a period of greater than seven (7) years so long as the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner agree, such agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld.

3.4.3 Acknowledgment of Interconnection Request.

System Operator shall acknowledge receipt of the Interconnection Request within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the request and attach a copy of the received Interconnection Request to the acknowledgement.

3.4.4 Deficiencies in Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Request will not be considered to be a valid Interconnection Request until all items in Section 3.4.2 of this SGIP have been received by the System Operator. If an Interconnection Request fails to meet the requirements set forth in Section 3.4.2 of this SGIP, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the initial Interconnection Request of the reasons for such failure and that the Interconnection Request does not constitute a valid request. Interconnection Customer shall provide the System Operator the additional requested information needed to constitute a valid request within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of such notice but no later than the close of the Cluster Request Window.

3.4.5 Customer Engagement Window.

Upon the close of each Cluster Request Window, System Operator shall open a sixty (60) Calendar Day period (Customer Engagement Window). During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements. Notwithstanding the preceding requirements and upon written consent of all Interconnection Customers within the Cluster, System Operator may shorten the Customer Engagement Window and begin the Cluster Study. Within ten (10) Business Days of the opening of the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall post on its OASIS a list of Interconnection Requests for that Cluster. The list shall identify, for each

anonymized Interconnection Request: (1) the requested amount of Interconnection Service; (2) the location by county and state; (3) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (4) the projected In-Service Date; (5) the type of Interconnection Service requested; and (6) the type of Generating Facility or Facilities to be constructed, including fuel types, such as coal, natural gas, solar, or wind. The System Operator must ensure that project information is anonymized and does not reveal the identity or commercial information of Interconnection Customers with submitted requests. During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding, updated good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for completing the Cluster Study and a Cluster Study Agreement to be executed prior to the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

At the end of the Customer Engagement Window, all Interconnection Requests deemed valid that have executed a Cluster Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 to this SGIP shall be included in the Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Requests not deemed valid at the close of the Customer Engagement Window shall be deemed withdrawn (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this SGIP) by System Operator, the initial deposit shall be forfeited to the System Operator, and the System Operator shall return the study deposit and Commercial Readiness Deposit to Interconnection Customer. Immediately following the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall initiate the Cluster Study described in Section 7 of this SGIP.

3.4.6 Cluster Study Scoping Meetings.

During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

The purpose of the Scoping Meeting shall be (i) to discuss alternative interconnection options, (ii) to exchange pertinent information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would reasonably be expected to impact such interconnection options, (iii) to discuss Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this SGIP, as applicable; (iv) to analyze such information, and (v) to discuss any other information necessary to facilitate the administration of the Interconnection Procedures.

The Parties will bring to the meeting such technical data, including, but not limited to: (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii) information regarding general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting. The Parties will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting. On the basis of the meeting, Interconnection Customer(s) shall designate its Point of Interconnection and one or more available alternative Point(s) of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be sufficient to accomplish its purpose.

If the Cluster Study Scoping Meeting consists of more than one Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall issue, no later than fifteen (15) Business Days after the commencement of the Customer Engagement Window, and Interconnection Customer shall execute a non-disclosure agreement in the form specified by System Operator prior to a group Cluster Study Scoping Meeting, which will provide for confidentiality of identifying commercially sensitive information pertaining to any other Interconnection Customers.

3.5 OASIS Posting.

3.5.1 OASIS Posting.

The System Operator will maintain on its OASIS a list of all Interconnection Requests in its Control Area. The list will identify, for each Interconnection Request: (i) the maximum summer and winter megawatt electrical output; (ii) the location by county and state; (iii) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (iv) the projected Initial Synchronization Date; (v) the status of the Interconnection Request, including Queue Position; (vi) the type of Interconnection Service being requested (i.e., CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service); and (vii) the availability of any studies related to the Interconnection Request; (viii) the date of the Interconnection Request; (ix) the type of Generating Facility to be constructed; and (x) for Interconnection Requests that have not resulted in a completed interconnection, an explanation as to why it was not completed. Except in the case of an Affiliate, the list will not disclose the identity of the Interconnection Customer until the Interconnection Customer executes an SGIA or requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file an unexecuted SGIA with the Commission. Before participating in a Scoping Meeting with an Interconnection Customer that is also an Affiliate, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall post on OASIS an advance notice of its intent to do so. The System Operator shall post to its OASIS site any deviations from the study timelines set forth herein. Interconnection

Study reports and Optional Interconnection Study reports shall be posted to the System Operator's OASIS site subsequent to the meeting between the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer to discuss the applicable study results. The System Operator shall also post any known deviations in the Small Generating Facility's Initial Synchronization Date.

3.5.2 Requirements to Post Interconnection Study Metrics

The System Operator will maintain on its website summary statistics related to processing Interconnection Studies pursuant to Interconnection Requests, updated quarterly. If the System Operator posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on the System Operator's OASIS site. For each calendar quarter, the System Operator must calculate and post the information detailed in Sections 3.5.2.1 through 3.5.2.4 of this SGIP.

3.5.2.1 Interconnection Cluster Study Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Cluster Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter.

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Cluster Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days after receipt the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete Cluster Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed a Cluster Study Agreement received by System Operator more than two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days before the reporting quarter end.

(D) Mean time (in days), Cluster Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the commencement of the Cluster Study to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Study Report to Interconnection Customer.

(E) Mean time (in days), Cluster Studies were completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster Request Window

to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Study Report to Interconnection Customer.

(F) Percentage of Cluster Studies exceeding two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days to complete this reporting quarter, calculated as the sum of 3.5.2.1(B) plus 3.5.2.1(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.1(A) plus 3.5.2.1(C).

3.5.2.2 Cluster Restudies Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than ninety (90) Calendar Days after System Operator notifies Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this SGIP,

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete Cluster Restudies where such System Operator notified Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this SGIP more than ninety (90) Calendar Days before the reporting quarter end,

(D) Mean time (in days), Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the date when System Operator notifies Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is required pursuant to Section 7.5(4) of this SGIP to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Restudy to Interconnection Customer,

(E) Mean time (in days), Cluster Restudies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster Request Window to the date when System Operator provided the completed Cluster Restudy Report to Interconnection Customer.

(F) Percentage of Cluster Restudies exceeding ninety (90) Calendar Days to complete this

reporting quarter, calculated as the sum of 3.5.2.2(B) plus 3.5.2.2(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.2(A) plus 3.5.2.2(C).

3.5.2.3 Interconnection Facilities Studies Processing Time.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter,

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests that had Interconnection Facilities Studies that are completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter that were completed more than ninety (90) Calendar Days for no more than +/- 20 percent cost estimate or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days for +/- 10 percent cost estimate after receipt by System Operator of the Interconnection Customer's executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement,

(C) At the end of the reporting quarter, the number of active valid Interconnection Requests with ongoing incomplete Interconnection Facilities Studies where such Interconnection Requests had executed Interconnection Facilities Studies Agreement received by System Operator more than ninety (90) Calendar Days for no more than +/- 20 percent cost estimate or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days for +/- 10 percent cost estimate before the reporting quarter end,

(D) Mean time (in days), for Interconnection Facilities Studies completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, calculated from the date when System Operator received the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the date when System Operator provided the completed Interconnection Facilities Study to the Interconnection Customer,

(E) Mean time (in days), Interconnection Facilities Study completed for the System Operator's Administered Transmission System during the reporting quarter, from the close of the Cluster Request Window to the date when System Operator provided the completed Interconnection Facilities Study to Interconnection Customer.

(F) Percentage of delayed Interconnection Facilities Studies this reporting quarter, calculated as

the sum of 3.5.2.3(B) plus 3.5.2.3(C) divided by the sum of 3.5.2.3(A) plus 3.5.2.3(C).

3.5.2.4 Interconnection Requests Withdrawn from Interconnection Queue.

(A) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter.

(B) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of any Interconnection Studies or execution of any Interconnection Study Agreements.

(C) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of a Cluster Study.

(D) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue during the reporting quarter before completion of an Interconnection Facility Study.

(E) Number of Interconnection Requests withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue after execution of an SGIA or Interconnection Customer requests the filing of an unexecuted SGIA.

(F) Mean time (in days), for all withdrawn Interconnection Requests, from the date when the request was determined to be valid to when System Operator received the request to withdraw from the queue.

3.5.3 System Operator is required to post on its website the measures in paragraph 3.5.2.1(A) through paragraph 3.5.2.4(F) for each calendar quarter within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter. System Operator will keep the quarterly measures posted on its website for three calendar years with the first required report to be the first quarter of 2020. If System Operator retains this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on System Operator's OASIS site.

3.5.4 In the event that any of the values calculated in paragraphs 3.5.2.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E) exceeds 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters, System Operator will have

to comply with the measures below for the next four consecutive calendar quarters and must continue reporting this information until System Operator reports four consecutive calendar quarters without the values calculated in 3.5.2.1(E), 3.5.2.2(E) or 3.5.2.3(E) exceeding 25 percent for two consecutive calendar quarters:

(i) System Operator must submit a report to the Commission describing the reason for each Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, or individual Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to one or more Interconnection Request(s) that exceeded its deadline (i.e., 270, 90 or 180 days) for completion. System Operator must describe the reasons for each study delay and any steps taken to remedy these specific issues and, if applicable, prevent such delays in the future. The report must be filed at the Commission within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter.

(ii) System Operator shall aggregate the total number of employee hours and third party consultant hours expended towards Interconnection Studies for its Administered Transmission System that quarter and post on its website. If System Operator posts this information on its website, a link to the information must be provided on System Operator's OASIS site. This information is to be posted within 30 days of the end of the calendar quarter.

3.5.5 Record Retention

The System Operator shall maintain for three years records, subject to audit, of all Interconnection Requests received under these procedures, the times required to complete Interconnection Request approvals and disapprovals, and justification for the actions taken on the Interconnection Requests

3.6 Coordination with Internal Affected Systems.

The System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Internal Affected Systems with Internal Affected Parties and, if possible, include those results (if available) in its applicable Interconnection Study within the time frame specified in this SGIP. The System Operator will include such Internal Affected Parties in all meetings held with the Interconnection Customer as required by this SGIP. The Interconnection Customer will cooperate with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs associated with the studies or portions of studies associated with the Internal Affected Systems, including costs associated with the requirements of Section I.3.9 of

the Tariff. Payment and refunds associated with the costs of such studies will be coordinated between the Interconnection Customer and the Internal Affected Party(ies) unless such costs are included in the costs of the Interconnection Study, in which case, the Internal Affected Party(ies) shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the respective Interconnection Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection Studies.

The System Operator shall seek the cooperation of all Internal Affected Parties in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. Nothing in the foregoing is intended to authorize the Interconnection Customer to receive interconnection, related facilities or other services on an Affected System, and provision of such services must be handled through separate arrangements with Internal Affected Party(ies).

3.6A Coordination with Affected Systems Outside New England Control Area.

System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems with Affected System Operators. Interconnection Customer will cooperate with System Operator and Affected System Operator in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems.

An Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the New England Control Area- whose system may be impacted by a proposed interconnection on an Affected System shall cooperate with the System Operator and Affected System to transmission whom a proposed interconnection has been requested in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's portion of the New England Transmission System.

3.6A.1 Initial Notification.

System Operator must notify Affected System Operator of a potential Affected System impact caused by an Interconnection Request within ten (10) Business Days of the completion of the Cluster Study or, if the potential Affected System impact is only determined in the Cluster Restudy, the completion of the Cluster Restudy.

At the time of initial notification, System Operator must provide Interconnection Customer with a list of potential Affected Systems, along with relevant contact information.

3.7 Withdrawal.

The Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice of such withdrawal to System Operator, which System Operator will transmit to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties. In addition, if the Interconnection Customer fails to adhere to all requirements of this SGIP, except as provided in Section 13.5 (Disputes), the System Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn and shall provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer of the deemed withdrawal and an explanation of the reasons for such deemed withdrawal. Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this SGIP, upon receipt of such written notice, the Interconnection Customer shall have fifteen (15) Business Days in which to either respond with information or actions that cure the deficiency or to notify the System Operator of its intent to pursue Dispute Resolution, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

Withdrawal shall result in the loss of the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position. If an Interconnection Customer disputes the withdrawal and loss of its Queue Position, then during Dispute Resolution, the System Operator may eliminate the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request from the queue until such time that the outcome of Dispute Resolution would restore its Queue Position. An Interconnection Customer that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request shall pay to System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Parties all costs prudently incurred with respect to that Interconnection Request prior to System Operator's receipt of notice described above. The Interconnection Customer must pay all monies due before it is allowed to obtain any Interconnection Study data or results.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn by System Operator under Section 3.7 of this SGIP, System Operator shall (i) update the OASIS Queue Position posting; and (ii) impose the Withdrawal Penalty described in Section 3.7.1 of this SGIP. Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this SGIP, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund to Interconnection Customer any refundable portion of Interconnection Customer's study deposit or study payments that exceeds the costs incurred, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations, or arrange to charge to the Interconnection Customer any amount of such costs incurred that exceed the Interconnection Customer's

deposit or study payments, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund any portion of the Commercial Readiness Deposit not applied to the Withdrawal Penalty and, if applicable, the deposit in lieu of Site Control. In the event of such withdrawal, System Operator, subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 of this SGIP and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information, shall provide, at Interconnection Customer's request, all information developed for any completed study conducted up to the date of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request.

3.7.1 Withdrawal Penalty.

Interconnection Customer shall be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty if it withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn, or the Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation unless: (1) the withdrawal does not have a material impact on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Request with an equal or lower Queue Position; (2) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's most recent Cluster Restudy Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than twenty-five percent (25%) compared to costs identified in Interconnection Customer's preceding Cluster Study Report or Cluster Restudy Report; or (3) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Study Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than one hundred percent (100%) compared to costs identified in the Cluster Study Report.

3.7.1.1 Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn prior to the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer shall not be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty. If Interconnection Customer withdraws, is deemed withdrawn, or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation at any point after the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty will be the greater of: (1) the Interconnection Customer's study deposit required under Section 3.4.1.1 of this SGIP; or (2) as follows in (a)–(d):

(a) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Study or after receipt of a Cluster Study Report, but prior to commencement of the Cluster Restudy or Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged two (2) times its actual allocated cost of all studies performed for Interconnection Customers in the Cluster up until that point in the interconnection study process.

(b) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Restudy or after receipt of any applicable restudy reports issued pursuant to Section 7.5 of this SGIP, but prior to commencement of the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged five percent (5%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(c) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Interconnection Facilities Study, after receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study Report issued pursuant to Section 8.3 of this SGIP, or after receipt of the draft SGIA but before Interconnection Customer has executed an SGIA or has requested that its SGIA be filed unexecuted, and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this SGIP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, SGIA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility), Interconnection Customer shall be charged ten percent (10%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(d) If Interconnection Customer has executed an SGIA or has requested that its SGIA be filed unexecuted and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this SGIP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, SGIA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility) and subsequently withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty shall be twenty percent (20%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

3.7.1.2 Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty.

3.7.1.2.1 Initial Distribution of Withdrawal Penalties Prior to Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

For a single cluster, System Operator shall hold all Withdrawal Penalty funds until all Interconnection Customers in that Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an SGIA; or (3) requested an SGIA to be filed unexecuted. Any Withdrawal Penalty funds collected from the Cluster shall first be used to fund studies conducted under the Cluster Study Process for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that have executed the SGIA or requested the SGIA to be filed unexecuted. Next, after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs in the same Cluster, System Operator will apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases, for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster, in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers that shared an obligation with the remaining Interconnection Customers to fund a Network Upgrade, as described in more detail in Sections 3.7.1.2.3 and 3.7.1.2.4.

Withdrawal Penalty funds shall first be applied as a refund to invoiced study costs for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that did not withdraw within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such Interconnection Customers executing their SGIA or requesting to have their SGIA filed unexecuted. Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty funds within one specific Cluster Study for study costs shall not exceed the total actual Cluster Study costs. Withdrawal Penalty funds applied to study costs shall be allocated within the same Cluster to Interconnection Customers in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this SGIP for allocating the costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. System Operator shall post the balance of Withdrawal Penalty funds held by System Operator but not yet dispersed on its OASIS site and update this posting on a quarterly basis.

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its SGIA, System Operator shall first apply such Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to any restudy costs required due to the Interconnection Customer's withdrawal as a credit to as-yet-to be invoiced study costs to be charged to the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this SGIP for allocating the costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty funds for such restudy costs shall not exceed the total actual restudy costs.

3.7.1.2.2 Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the same Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs, System Operator will determine if the withdrawn Interconnection Customers, at any point in the Cluster Study Process, shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrades with any remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster based on the Cluster Study Report, Cluster Restudy Report(s), Interconnection Facilities Study Report, and any subsequent issued restudy report issued for the Cluster.

In Section 3.7.1.2 of this SGIP, shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades refers to the cost of Network Upgrades still needed for the same Cluster for which an Interconnection Customer, prior to withdrawing its Interconnection Request, shared the obligation to fund along with Interconnection Customers that have executed an SGIA, or requested the SGIA to be filed unexecuted.

If System Operator's assessment determines that there are no shared cost assignments for any Network Upgrades in the same Cluster for the withdrawn Interconnection Customer, or determines that the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's withdrawal did not cause a net increase in the shared cost assignment for any remaining Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster, System Operator will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customer(s). Such remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds will be returned to withdrawn Interconnection Customers based on the proportion of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement required under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this SGIP). System Operator must make such disbursement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the date on which all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an SGIA; or (3) requested an SGIA to be filed unexecuted. For the withdrawn Interconnection Customers that System Operator determines have caused a net increase in the shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster under subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), System Operator will determine each such withdrawn Interconnection Customers' Withdrawal Penalty funds remaining balance that will be applied toward net increases in Network Upgrade shared costs calculated under subsections 3.7.1.2.3(a) and 3.7.1.2.3(b) based on each such withdrawn Interconnection Customer's proportional contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the same Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement requirement under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this SGIP).

If the System Operator's assessment determines that there are shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades in the same Cluster, System Operator will calculate the remaining Interconnection Customers'

net increase in cost assignment for Network Upgrades due to a shared cost assignment for Network Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer and distribute Withdrawal Penalty funds as described in Section 3.7.1.2.3, depending on whether the withdrawal occurred before the withdrawing Interconnection Customer executed the SGIA (or filed unexecuted), as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), or after such execution (or filing unexecuted) of an SGIA, as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b).

As discussed in subsection 3.7.1.2.4, System Operator will amend executed (or filed unexecuted) SGIA's of the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster to apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers on Interconnection Customers remaining in the same Cluster that had a shared cost assignment for Network Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customers.

3.7.1.2.3 Impact Calculations

3.7.1.2.3(a) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals During the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws before it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its SGIA, the System Operator will distribute in the following manner the Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment for a Network Upgrade with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer.

To calculate the reduction in the remaining Interconnection Customers' net increase in Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA, the System Operator will determine the financial impact of a withdrawing Interconnection Customer on other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that shared an obligation to fund the same Network Upgrade(s). System Operator shall calculate this financial impact once all the Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster either: (1) have withdrawn or have been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an SGIA; or (3) request an SGIA to be filed unexecuted. System Operator will perform the financial impact calculation using the following steps.

First, System Operator must determine which withdrawn Interconnection Customers shared an obligation to fund Network Upgrades with Interconnection Customers from the same Cluster that have SGIA's that are executed or have been requested to be filed unexecuted. Next, System Operator shall perform the calculation of the financial impact of a withdrawal on another Interconnection Request in the same Cluster by performing a comparison of the Network Upgrade cost estimates between each of the following:

- (1) Cluster Study phase to Cluster Restudy phase (if Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (2) Cluster Restudy phase to Facilities Study phase (if a Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (3) Cluster Study phase to Facilities Study phase (if no Cluster Restudy was performed);
- (4) Facilities Study phase to any subsequent restudy that was performed before the execution or filing of an unexecuted SGIA;
- (5) the restudy to the executed, or filed unexecuted, SGIA (if a restudy was performed after the Facilities Study phase and before the execution or filing of an unexecuted SGIA).

If, based on the above calculations, System Operator determines:

- (i) that the costs assigned to an Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster for Network Upgrades that a withdrawn Interconnection Customer shared cost assignment for increased between any two studies, and
- (ii) after the impacted Interconnection Customer's SGIA was executed or filed unexecuted, the Interconnection Customer's cost assignment for the relevant Network Upgrade is greater than it was prior to the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster that shared cost assignment for the Network Upgrade,

then System Operator shall apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs in the amount of the financial impact by reducing, in the same Cluster, the remaining Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA.

If System Operator determines that more than one Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster was financially impacted by the same withdrawn Interconnection Customer, System Operator will apply the relevant withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs to reduce the financial impact to each Interconnection Customer based on each Interconnection Customer's proportional share of the financial impact, as determined by either the proportional impact method if it is a System Network Upgrade or on a per capita basis if it is a Substation Network Upgrade, as described under Section 4.2.1 of this SGIP.

3.7.1.2.3(b) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals in the Same Cluster After the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its SGIA, System Operator will distribute in the following manner the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer for one or more Network Upgrades.

System Operator will determine the financial impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the withdrawal occurs. The System Operator will determine that financial impact by comparing the Network Upgrade cost funding obligations the Interconnection Customers shared with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer before the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer and after the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer. If that comparison indicates an increase in Network Upgrade costs for an Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to the increased costs each impacted Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster experienced associated with such Network Upgrade(s) in proportion to each Interconnection Customer's increased cost assignment, as determined by System Operator.

3.7.1.2.4 Amending SGIA to Apply Reductions to Interconnection Customer's Assigned Network Upgrade Costs and Associated Financial Security Requirement with Respect to Withdrawals in the Same Cluster

Within thirty (30) Calendar Days of all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster having: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an SGIA; or (3) requested an SGIA to be filed unexecuted, System Operator must perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a) of this SGIP and provide such Interconnection Customers with an amended SGIA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA, due from the Interconnection Customer to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Where an Interconnection Customer executes the SGIA (or requests the filing of an unexecuted SGIA) and is later withdrawn or its SGIA is terminated, System Operator must, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such withdrawal or termination, perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b) of this SGIP and provide such Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster with an amended SGIA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA, due from the Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Any repayment by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to Interconnection Customer under Article 6.1 of the pro forma SGIA of amounts advanced for Network Upgrades after the Generating Facility achieves Commercial Operation shall be limited to the Interconnection Customer's total amount of Network Upgrade costs paid and associated financial security provided to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 6.3 of the pro forma SGIA.

3.7.1.2.5 Final Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty Funds

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, as appropriate, will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster net of the amount of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds applied to study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers.

3.8 Identification of Contingent Facilities.

System Operator shall identify Contingent Facilities before the execution of the SGIA by reviewing the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or the list of transmission projects planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System to identify those upgrades that are not yet in service but upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing. Planned or proposed upgrades will be identified as Contingent Facilities for an Interconnection Request if the absence of those upgrades would cause additional Adverse System Impacts to be identified in the Cluster Study, using the same conditions as those used in the Cluster Study. The thresholds for identification of Adverse System Impact for the purpose of identifying Contingent Facilities will be as follows: (i) an increase in the flow in an element by at least two percent of the element's rating and that causes that flow to exceed that element's appropriate thermal rating by more than two percent where the appropriate thermal rating is the normal rating with all lines in service and the long time emergency or short time emergency rating after a contingency; (ii) a change of at least one percent in a voltage that causes a voltage level that is higher or lower than the appropriate high or low rating by more than one percent; (iii) an increase of at least a one percent change in the short circuit current experienced by an element and that causes a short circuit stress that is higher than an element's interrupting or withstand capability; or (iv) the introduction of a violation of stability criteria. Contingent Facilities that are identified during the evaluation of the Interconnection Request shall be documented in the Cluster Study report or the SGIA for the Small Generating Facility. System Operator shall also provide, upon request of the Interconnection Customer, the estimated Interconnection Facility and/or Network Upgrade costs and estimated in-service completion time for each identified Contingent Facilities when this information is readily available and not commercially sensitive.

3.9 Penalties for Failure to Meet Study Deadlines.

(1) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be subject to a penalty if it fails to complete a Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, Interconnection Facilities Study, or Affected Systems Study by the applicable deadline set forth in this SGIP. The responsibilities of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the conduct of such studies are set forth in the Transmission Operating Agreement, and ISO New England Planning Procedures. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for each late Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, and Interconnection Facilities Study on a pro rata basis per Interconnection Request to all Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from

System Operator's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for a late Affected Systems Study on a pro rata basis per interconnection request to all Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant Affected System Study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from the host transmission provider's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. Except as provided below, the study delay penalty for each late study shall be distributed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed.

(2) For penalties assessed in accordance with this Section, the penalty amount will be equal to: \$1,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this SGIP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Re-Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this SGIP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Affected System Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this SGIP; and \$2,500 per Business Day for delays of Interconnection Facilities Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this SGIP. The total amount of a penalty assessed under this Section shall not exceed: (a) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit(s) received for all of the Interconnection Requests in the Cluster for Cluster Studies and Cluster Restudies; (b) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit received for the single Interconnection Request in the study for Facilities Studies; and (c) one hundred percent (100%) of the study deposit(s) that System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner collects for conducting the Affected System Study.

(3) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may appeal to the Commission any penalties imposed under this Section. Any such appeal must be filed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed. While an appeal to the Commission is pending, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall remain liable for the penalty, but need not distribute the penalty until forty-five (45) Calendar Days after (1) the deadline for filing a rehearing request has ended, if no requests for rehearing of the appeal have been filed, or (2) the date that any requests for rehearing of the Commission's decision on the appeal are no longer pending before the Commission. The Commission may excuse System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner from penalties under this Section for good cause.

(4) No penalty will be assessed under this Section where a study is delayed by ten (10) Business Days or less. If the study is delayed by more than ten (10) Business Days, the penalty amount will be calculated from the first Business Day the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner misses the applicable study deadline.

(5) If (a) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner needs to extend the deadline for a particular study subject to penalties under this Section and (b) all Interconnection Customers or Affected System Interconnection Customers included in the relevant study mutually agree to such an extension, the deadline for that study shall be extended thirty (30) Business Days from the original deadline. In such a scenario, no penalty will be assessed for System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner missing the original deadline.

(6) No penalties shall be assessed until the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP.

(7) System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain on its OASIS or its public website summary statistics related to penalties assessed under this Section, updated quarterly. For each calendar quarter, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must calculate and post (1) the total amount of penalties assessed under this Section during the previous reporting quarter and (2) the highest penalty assessed under this Section paid to a single Interconnection Customer or Affected System Interconnection Customer during the previous reporting quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must post on their respective OASIS or website these penalty amounts for each calendar quarter within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the end of the calendar quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain the quarterly measures posted on their respective OASIS or website for three (3) calendar years with the first required posting to be the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after System Operator transitions to the Cluster Study Process.

SECTION 4. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST EVALUATION PROCESS.

4.1 Queue Position.

4.1.1 Assignment of Queue Position.

System Operator shall assign a Queue Position based upon the date and time of receipt of the valid Interconnection Request provided all items required pursuant to the provisions of Section 3.4.2 of this SGIP are received. A higher Queue Position assigned to an Interconnection Request is one that has been placed “earlier” in the queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is assigned a lower Queue

Position. All requests studied in a single Cluster Study shall be considered equally queued.

Interconnection Customers that are part of a Cluster Study initiated earlier in time than an instant Cluster Study shall be considered to have a higher Queue Position than Interconnection Customers that are part of Cluster Studies initiated later than an instant Cluster Study.

Any ongoing CSIS or CFACs as of May 1, 2024 shall include the Interconnection Requests that were identified as eligible to participate in the CSIS and CFAC and met the associated requirements for inclusion in said studies in accordance with Section 4.2 of this SGIP. Interconnection Requests included in such a CSIS or CFAC shall consider a higher queued Interconnection Request not included in the cluster. A lower queued Interconnection Request that is not included in such a CSIS or CFAC shall consider all of the higher queued Interconnection Requests that are part of such a CSIS or CFAC.

4.1.1 Considerations Related to Achieving CNR Interconnection Service

Participation in a CNR Group Study was required to achieve CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service prior to September 1, 2024.

After September 1, 2024 CNR the Transitional Cluster Study, Transitional CNR Group Study or Cluster Study processes shall be the only means for Generating Facilities subject to the Interconnection Procedures to achieve CNR Interconnection Service.

Interconnection Requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in Base Case for the Transitional CNR Group Study or a Cluster Study in order of submission/approval (the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates) provided that such Section I.3.9 approval was received at least ninety (90) Calendar days after the formation of the Base Case consistent with Section 2.3 of this SGIP.

4.2 General Study Process.

Interconnection Studies performed using clustering shall be conducted in such a manner to ensure the efficient implementation of the applicable Regional System Plan in light of the New England

Transmission System's capabilities for the time period under study and consistent with Good Utility Practice.

The System Operator may use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process. If the System Operator elects to use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process, System Operator must publish the criteria used to define and determine subgroups on its OASIS or public website prior to the opening of a Cluster Request Window.

4.2.1 Triggers for CRPS.

The System Operator, at its discretion, may initiate a CRPS pursuant to Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, when it identifies any of the following interconnection circumstances:

- (1) the withdrawal from the Cluster Study Process of two (2) or more Interconnection Requests for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area; or
- (2) where procurements are underway for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area;

and, none of the resources described in (1) or (2) above will be able to interconnect to the Administered Transmission System without the use of common significant new transmission line infrastructure rated at or above 115 kV AC or HVDC.

System Operator may also initiate a CRPS in an electrical part of the New England Control Area where System Operator previously identified the need for a CETU to interconnect new resources.

4.2.2 Notice of Initiation of CRPS.

When the System Operator identifies the interconnection circumstances in Section 4.2.1 of this SGIP, the System Operator will provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a CRPS in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff. The System Operator will perform a CRPS to identify the CETU and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources for which the interconnection circumstances described in Section 4.2.1 of this SGIP were identified, consistent with Section 15.2 of Attachment K. The results of the CRPS performed under Attachment K will inform the Cluster entry process and requirements for Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities that need the CETU to meet the interconnection standards in Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of the OATT. The System Operator will provide notice to the

Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Request identified as needing the CETU to meet the interconnection standards prior to or at the Cluster Scoping Meeting.

4.2.3 Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

4.2.3.1 Cluster Entry Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

4.2.3.1.1 CRPS Completed Prior to Transitional Cluster Study For a CRPS that was completed prior to the start of the Transitional Cluster Study, and for which a CSIS has not commenced, all Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report, by Queue Position as assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this SGIP, shall be eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster Study under Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP. By the deadline to return the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster Study must, in writing:

1. withdraw the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7; or
2. request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2, (except for the Commercial Readiness Deposit) and submit to the System Operator the CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this SGIP. Such deposit shall be -in cash.

If, by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, Interconnection Customer fails to withdraw its Interconnection Request or request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study and meet the requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1, then the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure. If Interconnection Customer elects option (2) above and does not meet all of the CSIS entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1 by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of that date without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of an otherwise incomplete Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements submission, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

4.2.3.1.2 CRPS Initiated After the Transition Cluster Study All Interconnection Requests that, based on a final CRPS report that the System Operator has completed pursuant to Attachment K, reasonably expect to, or have been notified by System Operator that they need, the CETU and associated system

upgrades identified in that final CRPS report must request to be included in the Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2 (with the exception of the Commercial Readiness Deposit), and submit to the System Operator the CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this SGIP. Such deposit shall be required to be in cash. If Interconnection Customer does not meet all of the entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.2 by close of the Cluster Request Window, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of the incomplete Interconnection Request, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

Where a CRPS under Attachment K has not been completed prior to the opening of a Cluster Entry Window, Interconnection Requests in the electrical part of the system subject to the CRPS will be eligible to participate in the next Cluster Study following completion of the CRPS.

4.2.3.2. CETU Participation Deposit for CETU Eligible Interconnection Requests. By the close of the Cluster Request Window, Interconnection Customer also must submit to the System Operator an initial CETU Participation Deposit equal to five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CRPS report. If the System Operator subsequently identifies that an Internal ETU has met the requirements to take the place of a CETU, or portion thereof, pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 and 7.3 of this ETU IP, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be reduced to exclude the costs associated with the CETU, or portion thereof, that is being replaced by the Internal ETU, and the Interconnection Customer shall be refunded the corresponding amount. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The initial CETU Participation Deposit will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7 of this SGIP) to Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that met the cluster entry requirements: (i) if the CETU is initially undersubscribed by more than ten (10) percent of the quantity of megawatts that the CETU developed through the CRPS was designed to enable and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, before the Cluster Study starts, (ii) if the CETU is initially oversubscribed as described in Section 4.2.3.3.2 of this SGIP (e.g., the CETU developed through the CRPS is designed to enable 1,000 MW and more than 1,000 MW meet the Cluster Study or Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements), in which case the CETU

Participation Deposit CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded to Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests corresponding to the oversubscribed megawatt quantities, (iii) if the cost estimates for the CETU and the associated system upgrades provided in the final CRPS report for the entire cluster have increased by twenty-five (25) percent or more when compared to the cost estimates provided in the draft Cluster Study report or the draft Transitional Cluster Study Report, draft Cluster Study or the draft Facilities Study Report and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft Transitional Cluster Study Report, draft Cluster Study Report or the draft Facilities Study Report in accordance with Sections 7.5 and 8.3 of this SGIP, respectively, (iv) if at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this SGIP or (v) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue.

Otherwise, the CETU Participation Deposit shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue at any time after the Customer Engagement Window. The non-refundable CETU Participation Deposit shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.2.3.3 CETU Filling and Oversubscription

For purposes of the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area that the System Operator previously identified as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and that met the Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements by the Cluster Request Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS report as potentially enabled by the CETU. The Interconnection Requests will be included Transitional Cluster Study in queue order, based on the Queue Positions assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this SGIP, relative to other eligible Interconnection Requests. In the event that the CETU is filled and lower queued Interconnection Requests remain, such requests shall be withdrawn by System Operator, all remaining deposits will be refunded, and System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.

For Cluster Studies, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area submitted during the next Cluster Request Window following the publication of the final CRPS report that the System Operator determines need the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and meet the Cluster Study entry requirements by close of the Cluster Entry Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS as potentially enabled by the CETU.

If the Interconnection Requests identified by the System Operator as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report that elect to enter the Cluster Study exceed the quantity of megawatts identified as potentially enabled by the CETU in the final CRPS report, the System Operator shall fill the CETU first with Interconnection Requests that have been selected in, or are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals. In the event that the CETU is filled and additional Interconnection Requests are not able to be included, such requests will not proceed into the Cluster Study, all deposits will be refunded, System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.

4.2.4. Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

The following provisions shall only apply to Interconnection Customers that executed a CFAC prior to the effective date of this SGIP.

Notwithstanding any other provision in this SGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS will not be eligible to waive the, or request a separate, CFAC. All Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS shall be studied together in the CFAC for the purpose of implementing the conclusions of the CSIS with respect to non-sole use facilities.

4.2.4.1 Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study Entry Requirements. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that was included in a completed CSIS shall execute an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator, together with the required technical data and refundable deposit for the Interconnection Facilities Study as specified in Section 8.1 of this SGIP.

4.2.4.2. Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study. The CFAC will be conducted in accordance with Sections 8.2 and 8.3 of this SGIP based on a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate.

4.2.4.3 Re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study. In addition to the circumstances specified in Section 8.5 of this SGIP, a re-study of the CFAC is required due to the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CFAC. A re-study of the CSIS and CFAC will be conducted to determine if there are any changes in the upgrades identified during the CSIS and CFAC with the exception of the CETU identified in the final CRPS report, which shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) considered in the final CRPS report.

4.2.4.4 Additional CETU Participation Deposit. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the final CFAC report in accordance with Section 8.3 of this SGIP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC shall submit to the System Operator an additional CETU Participation Deposit equal to five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CFAC report. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The additional CETU Participation Deposit provided under this Section 4.2.4 will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.7 of this SGIP) to Interconnection Customer that submitted the additional CETU Participation Deposit (i) at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in this CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this SGIP or (ii) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnect queue.

Otherwise, the additional CETU Participation Deposit shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue. The non-refundable additional CETU Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.3 Transferability of Queue Position.

An Interconnection Customer may transfer its Queue Position to another entity only if such entity acquires the specific Generating Facility identified in the Interconnection Request and the Point of Interconnection does not change. The Interconnection Customer must notify the System Operator, in

writing, of any transfers of Queue Position and must provide the System Operator with the transferee's contact information, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

4.4 Modifications.

The Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in writing, modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request, including its attachments. The Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position if the modifications are in accordance with Sections 4.4.1, ~~or 4.4.4~~, or 7.5 of this SGIP or the predecessor rules under the SGIP, or are determined not to be Material Modifications pursuant to Section 4.4.2 of this SGIP. The System Operator will notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and, when System Operator deems it appropriate in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, it will notify any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party of such modifications.

A new Interconnection Request shall be required to: (1) increase the energy capability or capacity capability output of a Generating Facility above that specified in an Interconnection Request, an existing Interconnection Agreement (whether executed or filed in unexecuted form with the Commission), or as established pursuant to Section 5.2 of this SGIP; or (2) change from NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service, at any time.

During the course of the Interconnection Studies, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, may identify changes to the planned interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes do not constitute a Material Modification and are acceptable to the Parties, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall modify the Point of Interconnection prior to the completion of a Cluster Study and Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position.

4.4.1 Prior to the return of the Cluster Study Agreement or **Transitional Cluster Study Agreement**, modifications permitted under this Section shall include specifically: (a) a decrease of up to 60 percent of electrical output (MW) of the proposed Small Generating Facility, through either (1) a decrease in facility size or (2) a decrease in Interconnection Service level (consistent with the process described in Section

3.1 of this SGIP) accomplished by applying System Operator-approved injection-limiting equipment proposed by the Interconnection Customer and subject to review in the Interconnection System Impact Study; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Small Generating Facility technology or the Small Generating Facility step-up transformer impedance characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration.

4.4.2 Prior to making any modification other than those specifically permitted by Sections 4.4.1, and 4.4.4, or 7.5 of this SGIP, Interconnection Customer may first request that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner evaluate whether such modification is a Material Modification. In response to Interconnection Customer's request, the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall evaluate, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, the proposed modifications prior to making them and the System Operator will inform the Interconnection Customer in writing of whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification. Any change to the Point of Interconnection, except those deemed acceptable under Sections 3.1.2 or 4.4.1 or so allowed elsewhere, shall constitute a Material Modification. The Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

4.4.3 Interconnection Customer may request, and System Operator shall evaluate, the addition to the Interconnection Request of a Generating Facility with the same Point of Interconnection indicated in the initial Interconnection Request, if the addition of the Generating Facility does not increase the requested Interconnection Service level. System Operator must evaluate such modifications prior to deeming them a Material Modification, but only if Interconnection Customer submits them prior to the return of the executed Facilities Study Agreement by Interconnection Customer to System Operator. Interconnection Customers requesting that such a modification be evaluated must demonstrate the required Site Control at the time such request is made.

4.4.4 Upon receipt of Interconnection Customer's request for modification that does not constitute a Material Modification and therefore is permitted under this Section 4.4, the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall commence and perform any necessary additional studies as soon as practicable, but in no event shall the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission

Owner, or Affected Party or Internal Affected Party commence such studies later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receiving notice of Interconnection Customer's request. Any additional studies resulting from such modification shall be done at Interconnection Customer's cost.- Any such request for modification of the Interconnection Request must be accompanied by any resulting updates to the models described in Attachment A to the Appendix 1 of this SGIP.

4.4.5 Extensions of less than three (3) cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date of the Small Generating Facility to which the Interconnection Request relates are not material and should be handled through construction sequencing, provided that the extension(s) do not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request was received by the System Operator. For purposes of this section, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the initial Interconnection Request shall be used to calculate the permissible extension prior to Interconnection Customer executing an SGIA or requesting that the SGIA be filed unexecuted. After an SGIA is executed or requested to be filed unexecuted, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the SGIA shall be used to calculate the permissible extension. Each cumulative extensions may not exceed three years including both extensions requested after execution of the SGIA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted SGIA by System Operator and those requested prior to execution of the SGIA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted SGIA by System Operator.

4.4.6 Extensions of three (3) or more cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date of the Small Generating Facility to which the Interconnection Request relates or any extension of a duration that results in the Initial Synchronization Date exceeding the date the Interconnection Request was received by the System Operator by seven (7) or more years is a Material Modification unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates to the System Operator due diligence, including At-Risk Expenditures, in pursuit of permitting, licensing and construction of the Small Generating Facility to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date provided in the Interconnection Request. Such demonstration shall be based on evidence to be provided by the Interconnection Customer of accomplishments in permitting, licensing, and construction in an effort to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date provided in this Interconnection Request. Such evidence may include filed documents, records of public hearings, governmental agency findings, documentation of actual construction progress or documentation acceptable to the System Operator showing At-Risk Expenditure made previously, including the previous four (4) months. If the evidence demonstrates that the Interconnection Customer did not undertake reasonable efforts to meet the Commercial Operation Date,

In-Service Date or Initial Synchronization Date specified in the Interconnection Request, or demonstrates that reasonable efforts were not undertaken until four (4) months prior to the request for extension, the request for extension shall constitute a Material Modification. The Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed Material Modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION.

5.1 Procedures for Transitioning to the Cluster Study Process

5.1.1 Any Interconnection Customer assigned a Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this SGIP) shall retain that Queue Position subject to the requirements in Sections 5.1.1.1 and 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP. Any Interconnection Customer that fails to meet these entry requirements shall have its Interconnection Request deemed withdrawn by System Operator pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP without further opportunity to cure. In such case, System Operator shall not assess the Interconnection Customer any Withdrawal Penalty.

Any Interconnection Customer that has received a final Interconnection Facilities Study Report before the commencement of the studies under the transition process set forth in this section shall be tendered an SGIA pursuant to Section 11 of this SGIP, and shall not be required to enter this transition process.

System Operator shall not accept Interconnection Requests submitted after the thirty (30) Calendar Day period described in this section until the first Cluster Request Window opens.

5.1.1.1 Transitional Serial Study. An Interconnection Customer that has been tendered an Interconnection Facilities Study (-Agreement (other than a CFAC Agreement) as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this SGIP) may opt to proceed with an Interconnection Facilities Study or proceed directly to SGIA negotiations. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 8 to this SGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP. System Operator shall proceed with the Interconnection Facilities Study, provided that the Interconnection Customer: (1) meets each of the following requirements; and (2) executes the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved

effective date of this SGIP. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn without further opportunity to cure and without penalty. System Operator must commence the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this SGIP.

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

(1) A deposit equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the costs identified for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades in Interconnection Customer's system impact study report. If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the deposit shall be trued up to actual costs once they are known and applied to future construction costs described in Interconnection Customer's eventual SGIA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 6.1 of the pro forma SGIA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, System Operator shall refund the remaining deposit after the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled. The deposit shall be in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP.

(2) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed Generating Facility.

(3) A study deposit in the amount of the greater of \$100,000 (for new NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service requests), \$50,000 (for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service or changes from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service) or estimated study costs

Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator shall conduct each Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study and issue the associated Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities

Study Report within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP.

After System Operator issues each Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this SGIP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty shall be imposed on Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this SGIP).

5.1.1.2 Transitional Cluster Study

An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this SGIP) may opt to proceed with a Transitional Cluster Study. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this SGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP. System Operator shall proceed with the Transitional Cluster Study that includes each Interconnection Customer that: (1) meets each of the following requirements listed as (1) – (4) in this section; and (2) executes the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP. All Interconnection Requests that enter the Transitional Cluster Study shall be considered to have an equal Queue Position that is lower than Interconnection Customer(s) proceeding with Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn without penalty and with no further opportunity to cure. System Operator must commence the Transitional Cluster Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. All identified Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrade costs shall be allocated according to Section 4.2.1 of this SGIP. Transitional Cluster Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this SGIP. Interconnection Customers for which the System Operator projects to complete the system impact studies between May 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024, shall be tendered a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this SGIP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP. However, if the Interconnection Customer accepts the results of its system impact study on or before July 1, 2024, the System Operator shall not include the Interconnection Request in the Transitional Cluster Study and instead tender a Small Generator Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section 11 of this SGIP, and refund any deposits associated with participation in the Transitional Cluster Study.

Notwithstanding any other provision, an Interconnection Customer with a valid Queue Position prior to May 1, 2024 that includes a Commercial Operation Date earlier than March 31, 2028, may make a one-time extension to its requested Commercial Operation Date upon entry into the Transitional Cluster Study, where any such extension shall not result in a Commercial Operation Date later than March 31, 2028.

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement:

- (1) A selection of either Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNR Interconnection Service to NR Interconnection Service if the System Operator identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NR Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NR Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNR Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.

- (2) A deposit of five hundred thousand (\$500,000) for Interconnection Requests seeking NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service, and two hundred-fifty thousand (\$250,000) for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service. The deposit shall be, in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP. If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the deposit shall be reconciled with and applied towards future construction costs described in the SGIA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 6.1 of the pro forma SGIA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial

Operation, System Operator must refund the remaining deposit once the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled.

(3) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed Generating Facility.

(4) A study deposit in the amount of one-hundred thousand (\$100,000) for Interconnection Requests seeking NR Interconnection Service or CNR Interconnection Service, and fifty-thousand (\$50,000) for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NR Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNR Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NR Interconnection Service to CNR Interconnection Service-Any unused balance of the study deposit associated with the Interconnection Request shall be applied toward the study deposit associated with the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement.

(5) All technical data required under Appendix 1, Attachment A and Attachment A-1 (if applicable) of this SGIP to the extent the Interconnection Customer has not already provided such data.

System Operator shall conduct the Transitional Cluster Study and issue both an associated interim Transitional Cluster Study Report and an associated final Transitional Cluster Study Report. The Study Case for the Transitional Cluster Study shall include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS Report prior to the opening of the Transitional Cluster Study, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispach condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Transitional Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU.

The interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of Contingent Facilities
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and
- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

In addition to the information provided in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades required to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System that resolve issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

The interim and final Transitional Cluster Study Reports shall be issued within three hundred (300) and three hundred sixty (360) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this SGIP, respectively, and shall be posted on System Operator's OASIS consistent with the posting of other study results pursuant to Section 3.5.1 of this SGIP. Interconnection Customer shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days to comment on the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, once it has been received.

After System Operator issues the final Transitional Cluster Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this SGIP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty will be imposed on the Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this SGIP).

5.1.1.3 Transitional CNR Group Study

In accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3A, System Operator shall conduct a Transitional CNR Group Study following the effective date of this SGIP. An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 may participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and consistent with Section II.48 of the Tariff, achieve CNR Interconnection Service. Any Interconnection Customer seeking to establish CNR Interconnection Service through this study must (1) have a valid Interconnection Request seeking CNR Interconnection Service, (2) submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form to participate in the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process, (3) have not secured a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 1, 2024, (4) have a completed System Impact Study or Interconnection Agreement establishing NR Interconnection Service, and 5) have a Commercial Operation Date prior to June 1, 2028.

System Operator shall conduct the study by performing an overlapping impacts analysis in the manner used for CNR Group Studies conducted prior to the effective date of this SGIP and as described in ISO Section III.13.1.1.2.3A and the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The Transitional CNR Group Study shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Small Generating Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission/approval (the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates). Interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates that have not yet received the System Operator's approval for their Proposed Plan Applications under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff at the commencement of the Transitional CNR Group Study shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study after all Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and all interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or

their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff have been included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission to the Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates.

Where an Interconnection Customer with a CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request submits a Show of Interest Form to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and identifies in that Show of Interest Form that one or more Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for an Internal ETU (with a completed Interconnection System Impact Study), that is not already included in the network model pursuant to Section III.12 of the Tariff supports its deliverability, the CNR or CNI Interconnection Request will be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study at the lowest of the CNR or CNI Interconnection Request's or its associated Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for the Internal ETU's Queue Position. Where multiple Interconnection Customers' CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests are associated with the same lower Queue Position for an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU in the CNR Group Study, the CNR Interconnection Request's Queue Position will be used as the tie breaker to dictate the relative order in which the CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study.

Any Interconnection Customer seeking to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study that receives a qualification determination notification under Section III.13.1.1.2.8 of the Tariff, must provide, a Commercial Readiness Deposit- of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, cash, or a combination thereof prior to the opening of the window to elect critical path schedule monitoring. Such deposit shall be refunded to the Interconnection Customer: (a) upon the Generating Facility achieving Commercial Operation on or before June 1, 2028; or (b) within sixty (60) Business Days of the date that the Interconnection Customer secures a Capacity Supply Obligation in a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction, where such auction was held prior to June 1, 2028. If the Interconnection Customer (a) withdraws the Interconnection Request from the queue or (b) neither achieves Commercial Operation nor secures a Capacity Supply Obligation through a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction prior to June 1, 2028, provided the Interconnection Customer reaches Commercial Operation on or before June 1, 2028. Otherwise, System Operator shall refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this SGIP and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request shall be reduced to NR Interconnection Service.

5.2 Grandfathering.

5.2.1 An Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility that is interconnected pursuant to an Interconnection Agreement executed or submitted to the Commission for approval prior to February 1, 2009, will maintain its status as a Network Resource with Network Resource Interconnection Service eligible to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the requirements of Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the megawatt amount specified in the Interconnection Agreement, subject to the Interconnection Customer satisfying all requirements set forth in the Interconnection Agreement and this SGIP or the predecessor rules under the SGIP. If the Generating Facility does not meet the criteria set forth in Section 5.2.3 of this SGIP, the Interconnection Customer will be eligible to make a one-time election, pursuant to Section 5.1.3, for Capacity Network Resource treatment without submitting a new Interconnection Request; however, the Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with the requirements for CNR Interconnection Service set forth in Section 3.2.1. Upon completion of the requirements to obtain CNR Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Agreement shall be amended to conform to the SGIA in Appendix 6 of this SGIP.

5.2.2 An Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility governed by an Interconnection Agreement either executed or filed with the Commission in unexecuted form prior to August 1, 2008, shall maintain the Queue Position assigned as of August 1, 2008, and be eligible to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with the requirements in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, as in effect as of August 1, 2008, so long as the Interconnection Customer complies with all of the requirements specified in the Interconnection Agreement, including achieving the milestones associated with At-Risk Expenditures, subject to Section 4.4 of this SGIP.

5.2.3 All resources that are treated as Existing Generating Capacity Resources in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13 of the Tariff shall receive treatment as a CNR and obtain CNR Interconnection Service, in accordance with this SGIP, up to the CNR Capability of the resource. The grandfathered CNR Capability for these resources shall be equal to the megawatt amount established pursuant to the following hierarchy:

(a) First, the megawatt amount specified in an Interconnection Agreement (whether executed or filed in unexecuted form with the Commission).

(b) Second, in the absence of an Interconnection Agreement with a specified megawatt amount, the megawatt amount specified in an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision).

(c) Third, in the absence of an Interconnection Agreement and an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) with a specified megawatt amount, as determined by the System Operator based on documented historic capability of the Generating Facility.

Where a resource has both an Interconnection Agreement and an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision), the lower megawatt amount will govern until the resource completes the applicable process(es) under the Tariff for obtaining the higher megawatt amount. The absence of an Interconnection Agreement or an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) specifying a megawatt amount shall be confirmed by an affidavit executed by a corporate officer of the resource attesting that the resource does not have an Interconnection Agreement and/or an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff (or its predecessor provision) that specifies a megawatt amount.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) specifies a megawatt amount at an ambient temperature consistent with the definition of CNR Capability, the grandfathered CNR Capability shall be equal to that amount.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) does not specify an ambient temperature, the megawatt amount will be deemed to be at the value consistent with the definition of CNR Capability.

Where the implementation of this Section 5.2.3 results in a CNR Capability that is different than previously had been identified, the revised CNR Capability will be applied commencing with the next Forward Capacity Auction qualification process (after the revised CNR Capability value is identified), which is initiated by the closing deadline of the Show of Interest Submission Window in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff. The revised CNR Capability will continue to govern until the resource completes the applicable process(es) for obtaining the higher megawatt amount.

5.2.4 All resources that are treated as Existing Generating Capacity Resources in the fourth Forward Capacity Auction pursuant to Section III.13 of the Tariff shall receive treatment as a NR and obtain NR

Interconnection Service, in accordance with this SGIP, up to the NR Capability of the resource. The grandfathered NR Capability shall be determined pursuant to the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) of a resource for which a temperature-adjustment curve is used for the claimed capability verification, as set forth in the ISO New England Manuals, specifies a megawatt amount at an ambient temperature, the grandfathered NR Capability shall be equal to a temperature-adjusted value consistent with the definition of NR Capability.

Where the governing document (as determined by the hierarchy set forth in Section 5.2.3) does not specify an ambient temperature, the megawatt amount will be deemed to be at the value consistent with the definition of NR Capability.

5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

If the System Operator transfers operational control of the New England Transmission System to a successor System Operator during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, the System Operator shall transfer to the successor System Operator any amount of the deposit or payment with interest thereon that exceeds the cost that it incurred to evaluate the request for interconnection. Any difference between such net amount and the deposit or payment required by this SGIP shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. The System Operator shall coordinate with the successor System Operator to complete any Interconnection Study, as appropriate, that the System Operator has begun but has not completed.

If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner transfers ownership of its transmission facilities to a successor transmission owner during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, and System Operator in conjunction with Interconnecting Transmission Owner has tendered a draft SGIA to the Interconnection Customer but the Interconnection Customer has not either executed the SGIA or requested the filing of an unexecuted SGIA with the Commission, unless otherwise provided, the Interconnection Customer must complete negotiations with the successor transmission owner.

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION INFORMATION ACCESS.

6.1 Publicly Posted Interconnection Information.

System Operator shall maintain and make publicly available: (1) an interactive visual representation of the estimated incremental injection capacity (in megawatts) available at each point of interconnection on the Administered Transmission System under N-1 conditions, and (2) a table of metrics concerning the estimated impact of a potential Generating Facility on the Administered Transmission System based on a user-specified addition of a particular number of megawatts at a particular voltage level at a particular point of interconnection. At a minimum, for each transmission facility impacted by the user-specified megawatt addition, the following information will be provided in the table: (1) the distribution factor; (2) the megawatt impact (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Generating Facility and the distribution factor); (3) the percentage impact on each impacted transmission facility (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Generating Facility and the facility rating); (4) the percentage of power flow on each impacted transmission facility before the injection of the proposed project; (5) the percentage power flow on each impacted transmission facility after the injection of the proposed Generating Facility. These metrics must be calculated based on the power flow model of the Administered Transmission System with the transfer simulated from each point of interconnection to the whole Administered Transmission System footprint (to approximate Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service), and with the incremental capacity at each point of interconnection decremented by the existing and queued Generating Facilities (based on the existing or requested interconnection service limit of the generation). These metrics must be updated within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the completion of each Cluster Study and Cluster Restudy. This information must be publicly posted, without a password or a fee. The website will define all underlying assumptions, including the name of the most recent Cluster Study or Restudy used in the Base Case.

For Interconnection Requests that were identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, prior to the effective date of this SGIP, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3.

6.2 Pre-Application for Small Generators

6.2.1 The System Operator shall designate an employee or office from which information on the application process and on an Affected System can be obtained through informal requests from the Interconnection Customer presenting a proposed project for a specific site. The names, telephone

numbers, and e-mail addresses of the System Operator's contact employees or offices shall be made available on the System Operator's public web site. Electric system information provided to the Interconnection Customer should include relevant system studies, interconnection studies, and other materials useful to an understanding of an interconnection at a particular point on the Administered Transmission System, to the extent such provision does not violate confidentiality provisions of prior agreements or critical infrastructure requirements. The System Operator shall comply with reasonable requests for such information.

6.2.2 In addition to the information described in sSection 6.2.1, which may be provided in response to an informal request, an Interconnection Customer may submit a formal written request form to the System Operator along with a non-refundable fee of \$500 for a pre-application report on a proposed project at a specific site. Within two (2) Business Days of receiving the pre-application report request form, the System Operator shall provide a copy of the pre-application request form to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the pre-application data described in sSection 6.2.3 to the Interconnection Customer within twenty (20) Business Days of receipt of the completed request form and payment of the \$500 fee. The pre-application report produced by the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner is non-binding, does not confer any rights, and the Interconnection Customer must still successfully apply to interconnect to the Administered Transmission System. The written pre-application report request form shall include the information in sSections 6.2.2.1 through 6.2.2.8 below to clearly and sufficiently identify the location of the proposed Point of Interconnection.

6.2.2.1 Project contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email address.

6.2.2.2 Project location (street address with nearby cross streets and town)

6.2.2.3 Meter number, pole number, or other equivalent information identifying proposed Point of Interconnection, if available.

6.2.2.4 Generator Type (e.g., solar, wind, combined heat and power, etc.)

6.2.2.5 Size (alternating current kW)

6.2.2.6 Single or three phase generator configuration

6.2.2.7 Stand-alone generator (no onsite load, not including station service – Yes or No?)

6.2.2.8 Is new service requested? Yes or No? If there is existing service, include the customer account number, site minimum and maximum current or proposed electric loads in kW (if available) and specify if the load is expected to change.

6.2.3 Using the information provided in the pre-application report request form in sSection 6.2.2., the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner will identify the substation/area bus, bank or circuit likely to serve the proposed Point of Interconnection. The selection by the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner does not necessarily indicate, after application of the screens and/or study, that this would be the circuit the project ultimately connects to. The Interconnection Customer must request additional pre-application reports if information about multiple Points of Interconnection is requested. If the pre-application report request form seeks information about a Point of Interconnection that is on a distribution facility, the Interconnection Customer shall follow the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures regarding generator interconnections. Subject to sSection 6.2.4, the pre-application report will include the following information:

6.2.3.1 Total capacity (in MW) of substation/area bus, bank or circuit based on normal or operating ratings likely to serve the proposed Point of Interconnection.

6.2.3.2 Existing aggregate generation capacity (in MW) interconnected to a substation/area bus, bank or circuit (i.e., amount of generation online) likely to serve the proposed Point of Interconnection.

6.2.3.3 Aggregate queued generation capacity (in MW) for a substation/area bus, bank or circuit (i.e., amount of generation in the queue) likely to serve the proposed Point of Interconnection.

6.2.3.4 Available capacity (in MW) of substation/area bus or bank and circuit likely to serve the proposed Point of Interconnection (i.e., total capacity less the sum of existing aggregate generation capacity and aggregate queued generation capacity).

6.2.3.5 Substation nominal distribution voltage and/or transmission nominal voltage if applicable.

6.2.3.6 Nominal distribution circuit voltage at the proposed Point of Interconnection.

6.2.3.7 Approximate circuit distance between the proposed Point of Interconnection and the substation.

6.2.3.8 Relevant line section(s) actual or estimated peak load and minimum load data, including daytime minimum load and absolute minimum load, when available.

6.2.3.9 Number and rating of protective devices and number and type (standard, bi-directional) of voltage regulating devices between the proposed Point of Interconnection and the substation/area. Identify whether the substation has a load tap changer.

6.2.3.10 Number of phases available at the proposed Point of Interconnection. If a single phase, distance from the three-phase circuit.

6.2.3.11 Limiting conductor ratings from the proposed Point of Interconnection to the distribution substation.

6.2.3.12 Whether the Point of Interconnection is located on a spot network, grid network, or radial supply.

6.2.3.13 Based on the proposed Point of Interconnection, existing or known constraints such as, but not limited to, electrical dependencies at that location, short circuit interrupting capacity issues, power quality or stability issues on the circuit, capacity constraints, or secondary networks.

6.2.4 The pre-application report need only include existing data. A pre-application report request does not obligate the System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to conduct a study or other analysis of the proposed generator in the event that data is not readily available. If the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot complete all or some of a pre-application report due to lack of available data, the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer with a pre-application report that includes the data that is available. The provision of information on “available capacity” pursuant to sSection 6.2.3.4 does not imply that an interconnection up to this level may be completed without impacts since there are many variables studied as part of the interconnection review process, and data provided in the pre-application report may become outdated at the time of the submission of the complete Interconnection Request. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of this section, the System Operator in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, in good faith, include data in the pre-application report that represents the best available information at the time of reporting.

SECTION 7. CLUSTER STUDY.

7.1 Cluster Study Agreement.

No later than five (5) Business Days after the close of a Cluster Request Window, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall tender to each Interconnection Customer that submitted a valid Interconnection Request a Cluster Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 of this SGIP. The Cluster Study Agreement shall require Interconnection Customer to compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Cluster Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the SGIA, pursuant to Section 13.3 of this SGIP. The specifications, assumptions, or other provisions in the appendices of the Cluster Study Agreement provided pursuant to Section 7.1 of this SGIP shall be subject to change by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner following the conclusion of the Scoping Meeting.

7.2 Execution of the Cluster Study Agreement.

Interconnection Customer shall execute the Cluster Study Agreement and deliver the executed Cluster Study Agreement to the System Operator no later than the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the estimated costs of the Cluster Study that are expected to be incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Cluster Study, including the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the SGIA. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the Cluster Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection System Impact Study. Costs of Cluster Studies shall be allocated to all Interconnection Customers on a 50% per capita, and 50% per MW basis. The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

If at any time during the Cluster Study, including during the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator determines that Interconnection Customer is required to provide additional technical data, or that the data provided is incomplete or contains errors, including during the Customer Engagement Window that Interconnection Customer is required to provide additional technical data, or that the data provided is incomplete or contains errors, System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Cluster Study Agreement or required deposits. Failure to provide all required information within this period will result in automatic withdrawal of Interconnection Request from queue without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this SGIP).

7.3 Scope of Cluster Study.

The Cluster Study shall evaluate the impact of the proposed interconnection on the reliability and operation of the New England Transmission System. The Cluster Study will consider the Base Case as well as all generating facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Network Upgrades associated with such higher queued interconnection) that, on the date the

Cluster Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the New England Transmission System; (ii) are interconnected to Affected Systems or Internal Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the New England Transmission System and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; and (iv) have no Queue Position but have executed an Interconnection Agreement or requested that an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement be filed with the Commission (the “Study Case” for the Cluster Study). The Study Case shall also include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS report prior to the opening of the Cluster Request Window, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from a Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the Customer Engagement Window that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU.

For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Cluster Study shall consider the level of Interconnection Service requested by Interconnection Customers in the Cluster. However, the Cluster Study shall consider the full Generating Facility capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility’s output and the safety and reliability of the system.

The Cluster Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, such as electromagnetic transient analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis and any other analyses that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the results of which are documented in a single Cluster Study Report, as applicable. Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s) and Internal Affected Systems (if applicable) shall provide to System Operator, within thirty (30) days of a request, and for purposes of inclusion in the Cluster Study Report, non-binding good faith estimates of cost responsibility for required upgrades, and a non-binding good faith estimated times to construct such upgrades.

At the conclusion of the Cluster Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue a Cluster Study Report. The Cluster Study Report will state the assumptions upon which it is based, state the results of the analyses, and provide the requirements or potential impediments to providing the requested interconnection service, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. The Cluster Study report will provide (i) a list of Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are required to reliably interconnect the Generating Facilities in that Cluster Study and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility; (ii) a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct; (iii) a protection assessment to determine the required protection upgrades; and may provide (iv) an evaluation of the siting of the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades; and (v) identification of the likely permitting and siting process including easements and environment work. The Cluster Report shall identify each Interconnection Customer's estimated allocated costs for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades pursuant to the method described in Schedule 11, Section II of the Tariff. System Operator shall hold an open stakeholder meeting pursuant to Section 7.4 of this SGIP.

For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Cluster Study shall study Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, when studying the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), using net shoulder system load as defined in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. These requests for Interconnection Service also may be subject to other studies at the full Generating Facility Capacity to ensure safety and reliability of the system, with the study costs borne by Interconnection Customer.

The Cluster Study shall evaluate the use of static synchronous compensators, static VAR compensators, advanced power flow control devices, transmission switching, synchronous condensers, voltage source converters, advanced conductors, and tower lifting. System Operator shall determine, in the manner described in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, whether the above technologies should be used, consistent with Good Utility Practice and other applicable regulatory requirements. System Operator shall include an explanation of the results of the Transmission Provider's evaluation for each technology in the Cluster Study Report.

7.4 Cluster Study Procedures.

The System Operator shall coordinate the Cluster Study with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, that is affected by the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.6 of this SGIP. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when it performs the Cluster Study. Interconnection Requests for a Cluster Study may be submitted only within the Cluster Request Window and System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall initiate the Cluster Study process pursuant to Section 7 of this SGIP.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the Cluster Study within two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days of the close of the Customer Engagement Window. Within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously issuing a Cluster Study Report to each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster and posting such report on OASIS, the System Operator shall convene a Cluster Study Report Meeting.

At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Cluster Study, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Cluster Study. If the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Cluster Study within the time period, the System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers and provide an estimated start date if the study has not commenced and completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customers all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant Study Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases that have been developed for the Cluster Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customers. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to Interconnection Customers.

7.5 Cluster Study Restudies.

(1) Within twenty (20) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, Interconnection Customer must provide the following:

- (a) Demonstration of continued Site Control pursuant to Section 3.4.2(iii) of this SGIP; and
- (b) An additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to System Operator five percent (5%) of the Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP. System Operator shall refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this SGIP.

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iii) of this SGIP. Upon System Operator determining that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customer. Within ten (10) Business Days of such notification, Interconnection Customer must demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirement subject to System Operator's approval, not to be unreasonably withheld. Absent such demonstration, System Operator shall deem the subject Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this SGIP).

At the same time that Interconnection Customer submits the information required under this Section 7.5(1)(a) and (b), an Interconnection Customer may also request a decrease in the size of the Small Generating Facility, provided that the Cluster Study identified that the Small Generating Facility proposed in the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request does not share any Network Upgrades with a Generating Facility or Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in a separate Interconnection Request. If System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is required under this Section 7.5 of this SGIP, within ten (10) Business Days of that determination Interconnection Customer shall provide all required updated modeling and data associated with the requested decrease in the size of the Small Generating Facility for use in the Cluster Restudy. If the System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is not required, the Interconnection Customer's request to decrease the size of the Small Generating Facility shall constitute a Material Modification pursuant to Section 4 of this SGIP.

(2) If no Interconnection Customer withdraws from the Cluster after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required.

(3) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraw from the Cluster or are deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP, [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall determine if a Cluster Restudy is necessary within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting. If [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine a Cluster Restudy is not necessary, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required and System Operator shall provide an updated Cluster Study Report within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination.

(4) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraws from the Cluster or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP, and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine a Cluster Restudy is necessary as a result, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster and post on OASIS that a Cluster Restudy is required within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting. [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall continue with such restudies until [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine that no further restudies are required. If an Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP during the Interconnection Facilities Study, or after other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have executed SGIAs, or requested that unexecuted SGIAs be filed, and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determines a Cluster Restudy is necessary, the Cluster shall be restudied.

(5) The scope of any Cluster Restudy shall be consistent with the scope of an initial Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7.3 of this SGIP. [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall complete the Cluster Restudy within ninety (90) Calendar Days of the System Operator informing the Interconnection Customers in the cluster that restudy is needed. The results of the Cluster Restudy shall be combined into a single report (Cluster Restudy Report). System Operator shall hold a meeting with the Interconnection Customers in the Cluster, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator (Cluster Restudy Report Meeting) within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously furnishing the Cluster Restudy Report to each Interconnection Customer in the Cluster Restudy and publishing the Cluster Restudy Report on OASIS.

If additional restudies are required, Interconnection Customer and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall follow the procedures of this Section 7.5 of this SGIP until such time that [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine that no further restudies are required. System Operator shall notify each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster when no further restudies are required.

Within twenty (20) Calendar Days following the Cluster Study Results Meeting, or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate) study results meeting, the Interconnection Customer shall provide to the System Operator written notice that it will either pursue the Interconnection Facilities Study or waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and elect an expedited interconnection. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, the option to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study is not available for Interconnection Customers that share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy unless each Interconnection Customers agrees in writing to waiver the Interconnection Facilities Study. In a case where Interconnection Customers share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy and do not agree to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, such study shall be performed at a level of +/- 20 percent. Once the Interconnection Customer notifies the System Operator of its election, such election is not subject to change. If the Interconnection Customer elects to pursue the Facilities Study it must proceed with the study. If the Interconnection Customer waives the Facilities Study, it shall commit to the following milestones in the SGIA: (i) Siting approval for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities; (ii) Engineering of Interconnection Facilities approved by Interconnecting Transmission Owner; (iii) Ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and system upgrades; (iv) Initial Synchronization Date; and (v) Commercial Operation Date.

7.7 Operational Readiness.

The System Operator shall, as close to the Interconnection Customer's actual Synchronization Date as reasonably possible, ensure that operational analysis, including current stability analyses, power flow analyses, and any other analyses deemed necessary by the System Operator, are performed, and that procedures are developed or updated to address the operation of the New England Transmission System with the addition of the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility. The operational analysis will also include tests of system performance with selected facilities out of service. Such studies shall be performed at the expense of the Interconnection Customer.

The System Operator is not obligated to perform the operational analyses described in this Section 7.7 if, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, the System Operator in consultation with Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System is remote and speculative.

Commissioning tests of the Interconnection Customer's installed equipment shall be performed pursuant to applicable codes and standards. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must be given at least five (5) Business Days written notice, or as otherwise mutually agreed to by the Parties, of the tests and may be present to witness the commissioning tests.

SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY.

8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Except as otherwise provided in Section 4.2.4 and 7.5 of this SGIP, the Interconnection Customer may waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and instead elect expedited interconnection, which means that the Interconnection Customer may enter into E&P Agreements under Section 13.7 if it had not already done so, and shall enter into an SGIA in accordance with the requirements specified in Section 11.

If the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study, the Interconnection Customer, subject to the specific terms of the E&P Agreements, assumes all risks and shall pay all costs associated with equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work covered by the Cluster Study as described in Section 8.2 below.

The System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 4 to this SGIP thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, unless System Operator determines that Cluster Restudy is required, in which case, System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the or Cluster Restudy Report Meeting.

Interconnection Customer shall compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the SGIA. Within five (5) Business Days following the Cluster Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report Meeting if applicable, the System

Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with requirements specified in Section 8.3 of this SGIP. The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator within thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt, together with:

(1) any required technical data;

(2) demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control or demonstration of a regulatory limitation and applicable deposit in lieu of Site Control provided to the System Operator in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of this SGIP;

(3) an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the System Operator to ten percent (10%) of Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, if applicable, in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this SGIP. In the case of a CETU-enabled Interconnection Request such deposit shall be made in cash.

System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund the Commercial Readiness Deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this SGIP.

In accordance with Section 8.3, the Interconnection Customer shall specify in Attachment A to the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement whether it wants no more than a +/- 20 percent or a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report. The deposit for the study shall be either: (i) the greater of twenty-five percent of the estimated cost of the study or \$100,000;

Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Studies that will be, or have been incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Facilities Study, the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the SGIA.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide System Operator on a monthly basis, and in the form and format specified by the System Operator, invoices for the work conducted on the Interconnection

Facilities Study each month and shall include in such invoices all employee hours and third party consultant hours, including subcontractor hours, expended toward the Interconnection Facilities Study. For a CFAC that began before May 31, 2024, costs that are associated with an individual Interconnection Request assessed within the CFAC will be charged directly to that Interconnection Customer. CFAC costs that are associated with the CFAC as a whole will be divided equally, on a per-project basis, among the Interconnection Customers in the cluster. The System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study.

The Interconnection Facilities Study shall be specific to each Interconnection Request and performed on an individual, i.e., non-clustered basis. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall specify and provide a non-binding estimate of the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the Cluster Study Report (and any associated restudies) in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Interconnection Facilities to the Administered Transmission System. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify the electrical switching configuration of the connection equipment, including, without limitation: the transformer, switchgear, meters, and other station equipment; the nature and estimated cost of any Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to accomplish the interconnection; and an estimate of the time required to complete the construction and installation of such facilities. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify any potential control technology for (1) requests for Interconnection Service at a level that is lower than the nameplate capability of the facility, and/or (2) or for Generating Facilities that include at least one electric storage resource, where study of the charging mode of the electric storage resource(s), was done using net shoulder system load as defined in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The scope and cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall include completion of any engineering work limited to what is reasonably required to (i) estimate such aforementioned cost to the accuracy specified by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Section 8.3, (ii) identify, configurations of required facilities and (iii) identify time requirements for construction and installation of required facilities.

8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures.

The System Operator shall coordinate the Interconnection Facilities Study with Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, pursuant to Section 3.6 of this SGIP. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the study and the System Operator shall issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, within the following number of days after receipt of an executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement: ninety (90) Calendar Days, with no more than a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report; or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days, if the Interconnection Customer requests a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate. Such cost estimates either individually or in the aggregate will be provided in the final study report.

At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Facilities Study. If the System Operator is unable to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report within the time required, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

The Interconnection Customer and appropriate Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties may, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report, provide written comments to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, which the System Operator shall include in the final Interconnection Facilities Study Report. The System Operator shall issue the final Interconnection Facilities Study Report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving Interconnection Customer's statement

that it will not provide comments. The System Operator may reasonably extend such fifteen-day period upon notice to the Interconnection Customer if the Interconnection Customer's comments require the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform additional analyses or make other significant modifications prior to the issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Report. Upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, or any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation, with workpapers, and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Interconnection Facilities Study. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 of this SGIP and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

8.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing a draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report to Interconnection Customer, the System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Interconnection Facilities Study.

8.5 Restudy.

If Restudy of the Interconnection Facilities Study is required due to (i) a higher or equally queued project withdrawing from the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher or equally queued project subject to Section 4.4 of this SGIP, or (iv) a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing. Each Restudy shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each Restudy shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date of notice. Except as provided in Section 3.7 of this SGIP in the case of withdrawing Interconnection Customer, any cost of Restudy shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being restudied. If the original Interconnection Facilities Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the Restudy shall be performed under a new Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Section 9 Affected System Study.

9.1 Applicability.

This Section 9 outlines the duties of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner when they receive notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System.

9.2 Response to Initial Notification

When System Operator receives notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System, System Operator must respond in writing within twenty (20) Business Days whether it intends to conduct an Affected System Study.

By fifteen (15) Business Days after the System Operator responds with its affirmative intent to conduct an Affected System Study, System Operator shall share with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and the schedule to complete the Affected System Study.

9.3 Affected System Queue Position.

System Operator must assign an Affected System Queue Position to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) that require(s) an Affected System Study. Such Affected System Queue Position shall be assigned based upon the date of execution of the Affected System Study Agreement. Relative to the System Operator's Interconnection Customers, this Affected System Queue Position shall be higher-queued than any Cluster that has not yet received its Cluster Study Report and shall be lower-queued than any Cluster that has already received its Cluster Study Report. Consistent with Section 9.7 of this SGIP, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall study the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) via Clustering, and all Affected System Interconnection Customers studied in the same Cluster under Section 9.7 shall be equally queued. For Affected System Interconnection Customers that are equally queued, the Affected System Queue Position shall have no bearing on the assignment of Affected System Network Upgrades identified in the applicable Affected System Study.

The costs of the Affected System Network Upgrades shall be allocated among the Affected System Interconnection Customers in accordance with Section 9.9 of this SGIP.

9.4 Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Unless otherwise agreed, System Operator shall provide to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 9 or Appendix 10 to this SGIP, as applicable, within ten (10) Business Days of System Operator sharing the schedule for the Affected System Study per Section 9.2 of this SGIP.

Upon Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' receipt of the Affected System Study Report, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall compensate System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Any invoices for the Affected System Study shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of the study. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall pay any excess costs beyond the already-paid Affected System Study deposit or be reimbursed for any costs collected over the actual cost of the Affected System Study within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice thereof. If Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) fail to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, it shall lose its Affected System Queue Position. System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider of such failure to pay.

9.5 Execution of Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement to System Operator, and provide the Affected System Study deposit within ten (10) Business Days of receipt.

If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not provide all required technical data when it delivers the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, System Operator shall notify the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer, as well as the host transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty

Affected System Study Agreement and the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice; provided, however, that such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or deposit for the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement. If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not cure the deficiency or fails to execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or provide the deposit, the Affected System Interconnection Customer shall lose its Affected System Queue Position.

9.6 Scope of Affected System Study.

The Affected System Study shall evaluate the impact that any Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's transmission system will have on the reliability of the New England Transmission System. The Affected System Study shall consider the Base Case as well as all Generating Facilities (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Affected System Network Upgrades associated with such higher-queued Interconnection Request) that, on the date the Affected System Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected the New England Transmission System; (ii) are directly interconnected to another transmission provider's transmission system and may have an impact on Affected System Interconnection Customer's interconnection request; (iii) have a pending higher-queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to Transmission Provider's Transmission System; and (iv) have no queue position but have executed an SGIA or requested that an unexecuted SGIA be filed with FERC. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner has no obligation to study impacts of Affected System Interconnection Customers of which it is not notified.

The Affected System Study shall consist of a power flow, stability, and short circuit analysis. The Affected System Study will: state the assumptions upon which it is based; state the results of the analyses; and provide the potential impediments to Affected System Interconnection Customer's receipt if interconnection service on its host transmission provider's transmission system, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. For purposes of determining necessary Affected System Network Upgrades, the Affected System Study shall consider the level of interconnection service requested in megawatts by Affected System Interconnection Customer, unless otherwise required to study the full generating facility capacity due to safety or reliability concerns. The Affected System Study shall provide a list of facilities that are required as a result of Affected System Interconnection Customer's

proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's system, a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility, and a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct. The Affected System Study may consist of a system impact study, a facilities study, or some combination thereof.

9.7 Affected System Study Procedures.

System Operator shall use Clustering in conducting the Affected System Study and shall use existing studies to the extent practicable, when multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers that are part of a single Cluster may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the Affected System Study and provide the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the host transmission provider with whom interconnection has been requested within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days after the receipt of the Affected System Study Agreement and deposit.

At the request of Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer as to the status of the Affected System Study. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Affected System Study within the requisite time period, it shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), as well as the transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, and shall provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner do not meet the deadlines in this section, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be subject to the financial penalties as described in Section 3.9 of this SGIP. Upon request, Transmission Provider shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) with all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant power flow, short circuit and stability databases for the Affected System Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Section 13.1 of this SGIP.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must study an Affected System Interconnection Customer using the Energy Resource Interconnection Service modeling standard used for Interconnection Requests on the New England Transmission System, regardless of the level of interconnection service that Affected System Interconnection Customer is seeking from the host transmission provider with whom it seeks to interconnect.

9.8 Results Meeting.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall meet to discuss the results of the Affected System Study.

9.9 Affected System Cost Allocation.

System Operator shall allocate Affected System Network Upgrade costs identified during the Affected System Study to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) using a proportional impact method, consistent with Schedule 11 of the OATT.

9.10 Tender of Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement.

System Operator shall tender to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, as applicable, in the form of Appendix 11 or 12 to this SGIP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of providing the Affected System Study Report. Within ten (10) Business Days of the receipt of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) must execute the agreement or request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC. System Operator shall execute the agreement or file the agreement unexecuted within five (5) Business Days after receiving direction from Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to execute the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, or failure to request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC, shall result in the loss of its Affected System Queue Position.

9.11 Restudy.

If restudy of the Affected System Study is required, System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) in writing within thirty (30) Calendar Days of discovery of the need for restudy. Such restudy shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date of notice. Any cost of restudy shall be borne by the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) being restudied.

SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY.

10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

On or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives Cluster Study Report and no later than five (5) Business Days after the study results meeting to review the report, the Interconnection Customer may request in writing, and the System Operator in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform, an Optional Interconnection Study. The request shall describe the assumptions that the Interconnection Customer wishes the System Operator to study within the scope described in Section 10.2. Within five (5) Business Days after receipt of a request for an Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer an Optional Interconnection Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 4.

The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall: (i) specify the technical data that the Interconnection Customer must provide for each phase of the Optional Interconnection Study, (ii) specify the Interconnection Customer's assumptions as to which Interconnection Requests with earlier queue priority dates will be excluded from the Optional Interconnection Study case, and (iii) specify the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimate of the cost of the Optional Interconnection Study. To the extent known by the System Operator, such estimate shall include any costs expected to be incurred by any Affected System or Internal Affected System whose participation is necessary to complete the Optional Interconnection Study. The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall specify that Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). Notwithstanding the above, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required as a result of an Optional Interconnection Study request to conduct any additional Interconnection Studies with respect to any other Interconnection Request.

The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement within ten (10) Business Days of receipt and deliver the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the required technical data and the refundable deposit for the Optional Interconnection Study to the System Operator. The deposit for the study shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the

Interconnection Customer an invoice for the costs of the Optional Interconnection Study that have been, or will be incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Optional Interconnection Study and the study agreement and its attachments(s). The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposits until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will also identify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the results of the Optional Interconnection Study. The System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to coordinate the study with any Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems that may be affected by the types of Interconnection Services that are being studied. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis, and any other analyses that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures.

The executed Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the prepayment, and technical and other data called for therein must be provided to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner within ten (10) Business Days of the Interconnection Customer receipt of the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within a mutually agreed-upon time period specified within the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. If the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within such time period, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such

circumstances, upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation and workpapers and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Optional Interconnection Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

10.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Optional Interconnection Study report to Interconnection Customer, System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Optional Interconnection Study.

10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study.

If the SGIA for a Small Generating Facility is based on the results of an Optional Interconnection Study, the SGIA shall reflect the conditions studied and any obligations that may involve: (i) additional studies if such conditions change, (ii) operational limits, or (iii) financial support for transmission upgrades.

SECTION 11. STANDARD SMALL GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (SGIA).

11.1 Tender.

Interconnection Customer shall tender comments or provide notice, in writing, to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Interconnection Customer has no comments on the draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the report. Except as provided in the E&P Agreement or any mutual agreement by the entities that would be Parties to the SGIA, the System Operator shall initiate the development of the SGIA process within fifteen (15) Calendar Days after the comments are submitted or waived, or within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of notifying System Operator that it will waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, by tendering to the Interconnection Customer a draft SGIA, together with draft appendices completed by the System

Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the extent practicable. The draft SGIA shall be in the form of the System Operator's Commission-approved standard form SGIA, which is in Appendix 6 to Schedule 23. The Interconnection Customer shall return the Interconnection Customer specific information required to complete the form of SGIA, including the appendices, in Appendix 6 of Schedule 23 that the Interconnection Customer is willing to execute within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft from the System Operator, unless (1) the sixty (60) Calendar Day negotiation period under Section 11.2 of this SGIP has commenced, or (2) SGIA execution, or filing unexecuted, has been delayed to await the Affected System Study Report pursuant to Section 11.2.1 of this SGIP.

11.2 Negotiation.

Notwithstanding Section 11.1, at the request of the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall begin negotiations with the Interconnection Customer concerning the appendices to the SGIA at any time after Interconnection Customer executes the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement or after the Cluster Study and/or Cluster Restudy is complete if the Interconnection Customer intends to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study. In the event that the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study and proceeds directly from the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy to SGIA negotiation, the Interconnection Customer shall an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the System Operator to ten percent (10%), as required by Section 8.1 of this SGIP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Cluster Study Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report meeting (as applicable). The System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate concerning any disputed provisions of the appendices to the draft SGIA for not more than sixty (60) Calendar Days after tender by the System Operator of the draft SGIA pursuant to Section 11. If the Interconnection Customer determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination of the negotiations at any time after tender of the draft SGIA pursuant to Section 11.1 and request submission of the unexecuted SGIA with the Commission or initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5. If the Interconnection Customer requests termination of the negotiations, but within sixty (60) Calendar Days thereafter fails to request either the filing of the unexecuted SGIA or initiate Dispute Resolution, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, if the Interconnection Customer has not executed the SGIA, requested filing of an unexecuted SGIA, or initiated Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5 within sixty (60) Calendar Days of tender of by the System Operator of the draft SGIA pursuant to Section 11.1, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner

shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a final SGIA within fifteen (15) Business Days after the mutually agreed completion of the negotiation process.

11.2.1 Delay in SGIA Execution, or Filing Unexecuted, to Await Affected System Study Report.

If Interconnection Customer has not received its Affected System Study Report from the Affected System Operator prior to the date that it would be required to execute its SGIA (or request that its SGIA be filed unexecuted) pursuant to Section 11.1 of this SGIP, System Operator shall, upon request of Interconnection Customer, extend this deadline to thirty (30) Calendar Days after Interconnection Customer's receipt of the Affected System Study Report. If Interconnection Customer, after delaying SGIA execution, or requesting unexecuted filing, to await Affected System Study Results, decides to proceed to SGIA execution, or request unexecuted filing, without those results, it may notify System Operator of its intent to proceed with SGIA execution (or request that its SGIA be filed unexecuted) pursuant to Section 11.1 of this SGIP. If System Operator determines that further delay to the SGIA execution date would cause a material impact on the cost or timing of an equal- or lower-queued interconnection customer, System Operator must notify Interconnection Customer of such impacts and set the deadline to execute the SGIA (or request that the SGIA be filed unexecuted) to thirty (30) Calendar Days after such notice is provided.

11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of SGIA.

11.3.1 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer.

11.3.1.1 Site Control and Commercial Readiness SGIA Deposit. Simultaneously with submitting the executed SGIA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer request that the SGIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, Interconnection Customer shall provide (A) to the System Operator demonstration of continued Site Control pursuant to Section 8.1(2) of this SGIP; and (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the SGIA Deposit equal to twenty percent (20%) of Interconnection Customer's estimated Network Upgrade costs identified in the draft SGIA minus the total amount of Commercial Readiness Deposit that Interconnection Customer has provided to the System Operator for its Interconnection Request. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use SGIA Deposits as (or as a portion of) the Interconnection Customer's security required under Article 6.3 of the SGIA. Interconnection Customer may not request to suspend its SGIA under Section 5.16 of the SGIP until

Interconnection Customer has provided (A) to the System Operator and (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If Interconnection Customer fails to provide (A) and (B) within the thirty (30) Calendar Days allowed for returning the executed SGIA and appendices under Section 11.1 of this SGIP, or within ten (10) Business Days after Interconnection Customer requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner file the SGIA unexecuted at the Commission as allowed in this Section 11.3 of this SGIP, the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP.

11.3.1.2 Development Milestones. Simultaneously with submitting the executed SGIA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer requests that the SGIA be filed unexecuted, Interconnection Customer also shall provide to the System Operator reasonable evidence that one or more of the following milestones in the development of the Small Generating Facility, to be elected by the Interconnection Customer, has been achieved (unless such milestone is inapplicable due to the characteristics of the Generating Facility): (i) the execution of a contract for the supply or transportation of fuel to the Small Generating Facility; (ii) the execution of a contract for the supply of cooling water to the Small Generating Facility; (iii) execution of a contract for the engineering for, procurement of major equipment for, or construction of, the Small Generating Facility; (iv) execution of a contract (or comparable evidence) for the sale of electric energy or capacity from the Small Generating Facility; (v) application for an air, water, or land use permit. At the same time, the Interconnection Customer shall commit to a schedule for the payment of upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement

Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final SGIA, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request studied using the CSIS and CFAC processes where such studies were triggered prior to the effective date of this SGIP that provided the additional CETU Participation Deposit in accordance with Section 4.2.4.4 shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in cash, a potentially non-refundable deposit of twenty (20) percent of the total costs for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades, including any CETUs, identified in the CFAC, unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's expenditure schedule for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades calls for an initial payment of greater than twenty (20) percent of the total upgrade costs, in which case the scheduled initial payment must instead be made within the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final SGIA. If the Interconnection Customer does not submit this deposit (or make the initial payment) by the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final SGIA, the Interconnection Request shall be automatically

withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure, and the Interconnection Customer's initial and additional CETU Participation Deposits shall become non-refundable. The non-refundable initial and additional CETU Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster at time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation. If an Interconnection Request is withdrawn after the Interconnection Customer's payment of twenty (20) percent of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then the payment shall be used to offset the costs of the CETU. Any unspent payments of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner will be refunded to the respective Interconnection Customers that executed the Interconnection Agreement and provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the twenty (20) percent deposit (or initial payment) if all the associated Interconnection Requests are withdrawn from the interconnection queue and the associated Interconnection Agreements are terminated.

11.3.2 Execution and Filing of SGIA. Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final SGIA, (i) the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall execute three (3) originals of the tendered SGIA and return them to the System Operator, who will send an original to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer; or (ii) the Interconnection Customer shall request in writing that the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file with the Commission an SGIA in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the executed originals of the tendered SGIA (if it does not conform with a Commission-approved standard form of interconnection agreement) or the request to file an unexecuted SGIA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in accordance with Section 11.3.3 or Section 11.3.4, as appropriate, shall jointly file the SGIA with the Commission, together with its explanation of any matters as to which the System Operator, Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to the Interconnection Customer under the SGIA. An unexecuted SGIA should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Request. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted SGIA, they may proceed pending Commission action.

With respect to the interconnection of an Interconnection Customer under Schedule 23, the SGIA shall be a three-party agreement among the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the System Operator and the

Interconnection Customer. If Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and Interconnection Customer agree to the terms and conditions of a specific SGIA, or any amendments to such an SGIA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file the executed SGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act. To the extent the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and Interconnection Customer cannot agree to proposed variations from the standard form of SGIA in Appendix 6 or cannot otherwise agree to the terms and conditions of the SGIA for such small generating unit, or any amendments to such an SGIA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted SGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall identify the areas of disagreement in such filing, provided that, in the event of disagreement on terms and conditions of the SGIA related to the costs of upgrades to such Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities, the anticipated schedule for the construction of such upgrades, any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets, then the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on such terms and conditions.

11.3.3 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner, acting on its own or jointly with the System Operator, may initiate a filing to amend this SGIP and the standard form of SGIA in Appendix 6 under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall include in such filing the views of System Operator, provided that the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer(s), and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets.

11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities.

If the Interconnection Customer executes the final SGIA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform their respective obligations in accordance with the terms of the SGIA, subject to modification by the Commission. Upon submission of an unexecuted SGIA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly comply with the unexecuted SGIA, subject to modification by the Commission.

SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES.

12.1 Schedule.

The Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall negotiate in good faith concerning a schedule for the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades.

12.2 Construction Sequencing.

12.2.1 General. In general, the Initial Synchronization Date of an Interconnection Customer seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System will determine the sequence of construction of Network Upgrades.

12.2.2 Advance Construction of Network Upgrades that are an Obligation of an Entity other than the Interconnection Customer. An Interconnection Customer with an executed or unexecuted, but filed with the Commission, SGIA, in order to maintain its Initial Synchronization Date, may request that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) were assumed in the Interconnection Studies for such Interconnection Customer, (ii) are necessary to support such Initial Synchronization Date, and (iii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to a contractual obligation of an entity other than the Interconnection Customer that is seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System, in time to support such Initial Synchronization Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party; (i) any associated expediting costs and (ii) the cost of such Network Upgrades.

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will refund to the Interconnection Customer both the expediting costs and the cost of Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 5 of the SGIA. Consequently, the entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be obligated to pay only that portion of the costs of the Network Upgrades that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party has not refunded to the Interconnection Customer. Payment by that entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be due on the date that it would have been due had there been no request for advance construction. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate

Affected Party shall forward to the Interconnection Customer the amount paid by the entity with a contractual obligation to construct the Network Upgrades as payment in full for the outstanding balance owed to the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party then shall refund to that entity the amount that it paid for the Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 5 of the SGIA.

12.2.3 Advancing Construction of Network Upgrades that are Part of the Regional System Plan of the System Operator. An Interconnection Customer with an SGIA, in order to maintain its Initial Synchronization Date, may request that Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Internal Affected Party advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) are necessary to support such Initial Synchronization Date and (ii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to the Regional System Plan, in time to support such Initial Synchronization Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party any associated expediting costs.

12.2.4 Amended Cluster Study. A Cluster Study Report will be amended to determine the facilities necessary to support the requested Initial Synchronization Date. This amended study report will include those transmission and Large Generating Facilities that are expected to be in service on or before the requested Initial Synchronization Date. The SGIA will also be amended to reflect the results of the amended Cluster Study and any changes in obligations, including financial support, of the Parties.

SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS.

13.1 Confidentiality.

Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information treated as confidential under the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by any of the Parties to the others prior to the execution of an SGIA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the

Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by any Party, the other Party(ies) shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

13.1.1 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of the SGIA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Section 13.1.6, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under the SGIA. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Parties that it no longer is confidential.

13.1.2 Release of Confidential Information. A Party shall not release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), employees, consultants, or to parties who may be or considering providing financing to or equity participation with Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with these procedures, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Section 13.1 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Section 13.1.

13.1.3 Rights. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Party(ies). The disclosure by each Party to the other Party(ies) of Confidential

Information shall not be deemed a waiver by any Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

13.1.4 No Warranties. By providing Confidential Information, a Party does not make any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, a Party does not obligate itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Party(ies) nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

13.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party(ies) under these procedures or its regulatory requirements.

13.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires a Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Party(ies) may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of the SGIA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

13.1.7 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's(ies') Breach of its obligations under this Section 13.1. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Party(ies) shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Section 13.1, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Section 13.1, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable

for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Section 13.1.

13.1.8 Disclosure to the Commission, its Staff, or a State. Notwithstanding anything in this Section 13.1 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR section 1b.20, if the Commission or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the SGIP, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR. section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to the SGIA when it is notified by the Commission or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner, consistent with applicable state rules, regulations and Section 13.1.

13.1.9 Subject to the exception in Section 13.1.8 of this SGIP, any information that a Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial or financial information (“Confidential Information”) shall not be disclosed by the other Party(ies) to any person not employed or retained by the other Party(ies), except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party(ies), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this SGIP or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or ISO or to a subregional, regional or national reliability organization or planning group. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of the other Party’s(ies’) Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party(ies) in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party(ies) in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

13.1.10 This provision shall not apply to any information that was or is hereafter in the public domain (except as a result of a Breach of this provision).

13.1.11 The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Interconnection Customer's election, destroy, in a confidential manner, or return the Confidential Information provided at the time when Confidential Information is no longer needed.

13.2 Delegation of Responsibility.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party may use the services of subcontractors as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this SGIP. The Party using the services of a subcontractor shall remain primarily liable to the Interconnection Customer for the performance of such subcontractors and compliance with its obligations of this SGIP. The subcontractor shall keep all information provided confidential and shall use such information solely for the performance of such obligation for which it was provided and no other purpose.

13.3 Obligation for Study Costs.

In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request prior to the commencement of the Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer must pay System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner the actual costs of processing its Interconnection Request. In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws after the commencement of the Cluster Study, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge, and the Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual costs of the Interconnection Studies.

Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the applicable Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded, except as otherwise provided herein, to the Interconnection Customers or offset against the cost of any future Interconnection Studies associated with the applicable Cluster prior to beginning of any such future Interconnection Studies. Any invoices for Interconnection Studies shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of each Interconnection Study. The Interconnection Customers shall pay any such undisputed costs within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice therefore. If an Interconnection Customer fails to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn from the Cluster Study Process and will be subject to Withdrawal Penalties pursuant to Section 3.7 of this SGIP.

13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies.

If (i) at the time of the signing of an Interconnection Study Agreement there is disagreement as to the estimated time to complete an Interconnection Study, (ii) the Interconnection Customer receives notice pursuant to Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 that the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner will not complete an Interconnection Study within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, or (iii) the Interconnection Customer receives neither the Interconnection Study nor a notice under Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, then the Interconnection Customer may request, which request will not be unreasonably denied, that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner utilize a third party consultant reasonably acceptable to the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party, to perform such Interconnection Study under the direction of the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner as applicable. At other times, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also utilize a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, either in response to a general request of the Interconnection Customer, or on its own volition.

In all cases, use of a third party consultant shall be in accord with Article 13.15 of the SGIA (Subcontractors) and limited to situations where the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that doing so will help maintain or accelerate the study process for the Interconnection Customer's pending Interconnection Request and not interfere with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's progress on Interconnection Studies for other pending Interconnection Requests. In cases where the Interconnection Customer requests use of a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, the Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate all of the pertinent terms and conditions, including reimbursement arrangements and the estimated study completion date and study review deadline. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey all workpapers, data bases, study results and all other supporting documentation prepared to date with respect to the Interconnection Request as soon as soon as practicable upon the Interconnection Customer's request subject to the confidentiality provision in Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. In any case, such third party contract may be entered into with the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, or Interconnecting Transmission Owner at the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's discretion. In the case of (iii) the Interconnection Customer maintains its right to submit a claim to Dispute Resolution to recover the costs of such third

party study. Such third party consultant shall be required to comply with this SGIP, Article 13.15 of the SGIA (Subcontractors), and the relevant Tariff procedures and protocols as would apply if the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner were to conduct the Interconnection Study and shall use the information provided to it solely for purposes of performing such services and for no other purposes.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate with such third party consultant and Interconnection Customer to complete and issue the Interconnection Study in the shortest reasonable time.

13.5 Disputes.

13.5.1 Submission. In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with the SGIA, the SGIP, or their performance, such Party (the “Disputing Party”) shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim (“Notice of Dispute”). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party’s(ies’) receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, after thirty (30) Calendar Days, then (i) in the case of disputes arising out of or in conjunction with the SGIA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted SGIA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission in accordance with Section 11.3.4, or (ii) in the case of disputes arising out of or in connection with any other matter regarding the administration of the SGIP, the System Operator may terminate the Interconnection Request and the Interconnection Customer may seek relief pursuant to Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. Each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this Schedule 23.

13.5.2 External Arbitration Procedures. Any arbitration initiated under these procedures shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by

the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (“Arbitration Rules”) and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Section 13, the terms of this Section 13 shall prevail.

13.5.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons for such decision. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of the SGIA and SGIP and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the SGIA and SGIP in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

13.5.4 Costs. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three-member panel and one-third of any associated arbitration costs; or (2) one-third the cost of the single arbitrator jointly chosen by the Parties and one-third of any associated arbitration costs.

13.5.5 Non-binding Dispute Resolution Procedures. If a Party has submitted a Notice of Dispute pursuant to Section 13.5.1, and the Parties are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within the thirty (30) Calendar Days provided in that section, and the Parties cannot reach mutual agreement to pursue the Section 13.5 arbitration process, a Party may request that the other Parties engage in Non-binding Dispute Resolution pursuant to this Section 13.5.5 by providing written notice to the other Parties (“Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution”). Conversely, either Party may file a Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution pursuant to this Section 13.5.5 without first seeking mutual agreement to pursue the Section 13.5 arbitration process. The process in Section 13.5.5 shall serve as an

alternative to, and not a replacement of, the Section 13.5 arbitration process. Pursuant to this process, System Operator must within 30 days of receipt of the Request for Non-binding Dispute Resolution appoint a neutral decision-maker that is an independent subcontractor that shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with the Parties. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the decision-maker shall render a decision within sixty (60) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and reasons therefore. This decision-maker shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of the SGIP and SGIA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the SGIP and SGIA in any manner. The result reached in this process is not binding, but, unless otherwise agreed, the Parties may cite the record and decision in the non-binding dispute resolution process in future dispute resolution processes, including in a Section 13.5 arbitration, or in a Federal Power Act Section 206 complaint. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the process and the cost of the decision-maker shall be divided equally among each Party to the dispute.

13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds.

13.6.1 Facilities Financed by Local Furnishing Bonds. This provision is applicable only to interconnections associated with facilities financed for the local furnishing of electric energy with tax-exempt bonds, as described in Section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code ("local furnishing bonds"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this SGIA and SGIP, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to provide Interconnection Service to the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this SGIA and SGIP if the provision of such Interconnection Service would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service.

13.6.2 Alternative Procedures for Requesting Interconnection Service. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that the provision of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance its facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service, it shall advise the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notice of the Interconnection Request. The Interconnection Customer thereafter may renew its Interconnection Request using the process specified in the Tariff.

13.7 Engineering & Procurement (“E&P”) Agreement

Prior to executing an SGIA, an Interconnection Customer may request, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the SGIP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer’s Queue Position or Initial Synchronization Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer, including a deposit of 100 percent of the estimated engineering and study costs, and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.

The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or an E&P Agreement is terminated by any Party, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected Party or Internal Affected Party that is a party to an E&P Agreement may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or relevant Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.

APPENDICES TO SGIP [TOC TO BE UPDATED]

APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

APPENDIX 2 CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 4 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 5 SMALL GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 6 TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 7 TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 8 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 9 TWO-PARTY AFFECTED FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 10 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 11 MULTI-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION
AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 12 INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES FOR A WIND GENERATING PLANT

APPENDIX 1
INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this request to interconnect its Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System under Schedule 23 - Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SGIP”) of the ISO New England Inc. Open Access Transmission Tariff (the “Tariff”). Capitalized terms have the meanings specified in the Tariff.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Proposed Project Name: _____

1. This Interconnection Request is for (check one):

- _____ **A proposed new Small Generating Facility**
- _____ **An increase in the generating capacity or a modification that has the potential to be a Material Modification of an existing Generating Facility**
- _____ **Commencement of participation in the wholesale markets by an existing Generating Facility**
- _____ **A change from Network Resource Interconnection Service to Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service**

2. The types of Interconnection Service requested:

- _____ **Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability only)**
- _____ **Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability and capacity capability)**

Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to Network Resource Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service testing

3. The Interconnection Customer shall provide the following information:

Address or Location of the Facility (including Town/City, County and State):

Requested Point of Interconnection:

Type of Generating Facility to be Constructed:

Will the Generating Facility include electric storage capacity? Yes No

Will the electric storage device charge from the Administered Transmission System? Yes No

If yes, describe the electric storage device and specifications to include aggregate charging capability measured at the POI and the associated aggregate reactive capability measured at the high side of the main transformer:

Primary frequency response operating range for electric storage resources:

Generating Facility Fuel Type:

Generating Facility Capacity (MW):

<u>Temperatures¹</u>	<u>Maximum Gross MW Electrical Output²</u>	<u>Maximum Net MW Electrical Output³</u>	<u>Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection⁴</u>
<u>At or above 90 degrees F</u>			
<u>At or above 50 degrees F</u>			
<u>At or above 20 degrees F</u>			
<u>At or above 0 degrees F</u>			

Requested capacity (in MW) of Interconnection Service (in MW) (if lower than the Generating Facility Capacity):

<u>TemperaturesService Level¹⁵</u>	<u>Requested Gross MW Electrical Output²</u>	<u>Requested Net MW Electrical Output³</u>	<u>Requested Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection⁴</u>
<u>CNR Capability SummerAt or above 90 degrees F</u>			
<u>NR Capability SummerAt or above 50 degrees F</u>			
<u>CNR Capability WinterAt or above 20 degrees F</u>			
<u>NR Capability WinterAt or above 0 degrees F</u>			

Notes:

¹ In each row, insert all values corresponding to the given temperature, or a temperature greater than the given temperature, at which aggregate maximum gross output of the Generating Facility would be the highest. For example, if the aggregate maximum gross Generating Facility output occurs at 12 degrees F, all values in the “At or above 0 degrees F” row shall correspond to the 12 degrees F operating condition.

² Measured at the terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable, for each generating unit comprising the Generating Facility.

³ Measured at the terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable, for each generating unit comprising the Generating Facility less any station service at each generating unit's terminal(s) or inverter/converter terminal(s), as applicable.

⁴ Measured at the Interconnection Customer's proposed Point of Interconnection. The values correspond to the requested levels of Interconnection Service pursuant to Section 3.1 of the SGIP. The values account for any station service, losses incurred in Interconnection Facilities, station or generator step up transformers, and any other auxiliary systems. After the Interconnection Request is deemed valid, any increases to these values shall be subject to a new, separate Interconnection Request.

⁵ As described in Section II.48.1 for CNR Capability and Section II.48.2 for NR Capability

General description of the equipment configuration, including any proposed control technologies to restrict the Small Generating Facility's output to the requested Interconnection Service levels, if applicable (# of units and GSUs):

Requested Commercial Operation Date:

Requested Initial Synchronization Date:

Requested In-Service Date:

Evidence of Site Control (check one):

100% exclusive Site Control in Interconnection Customer's name is provided herewith.

In lieu of evidence of Site Control,

a \$10,000/MW deposit subject to a minimum of \$50,000 and a maximum of \$200,000 is provided (refundable within the cure period as described in Section 3.4.3 of the SGIP), and.

_____ a signed affidavit from an officer of the company indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations, and

_____ documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations

_____ Site Control is not provided because the proposed modification is to the Interconnection Customer's existing Small Generating Facility and, by checking this option, the Interconnection Customer certifies that it has Site Control and that the proposed modification does not require additional real property.

The ISO will post the Project Information on the ISO web site under "Interconnection Service" and the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT.

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Company Name: _____

ISO Customer ID#: _____

(Interconnection Customer)

Company Address: PO Box No.:

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Company Representative: Name: _____

Title: _____

Company Representative's Company and Address (if different from above):

Company Name: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ **FAX:** _____ **email:** _____

This Interconnection Request is submitted by:

Authorized Signature: _____

Name (type or print): _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

In order for an Interconnection Request to be considered a valid request, it must include:

- (a) Be accompanied by all required deposits ~~an Interconnection Request deposit that is provided electronically and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of the SGIP;~~**
- (b) Required Cluster Study Deposit and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of the SGIP that is provided electronically;**
- (c) Commercial Readiness Deposit and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of the SGIP;**
- (d) For CNR Interconnection Service, upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.4.2 (iv). If for NR Interconnection Service, upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.4.2 (iv) or (1) a signed affidavit from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a minimum of \$50,000 and a maximum of \$200,000. An Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control for an Interconnection Request for a modification to its existing Small Generating Facility where the Interconnection Customer has certified that it has Site Control and that the proposed modification does not require additional real property). Interconnection Requests from multiple Interconnection Customers for multiple Generating Facilities that share a site must include a contract or other agreement that allows for shared land use;**
- (e) Include a detailed map, such as a map of the quality produced by the U.S. Geological Survey, which clearly indicates the site of the new facility and pertinent surrounding structures.; and**
- (f) Include all information required on the Interconnection Request form and attachments thereto.**

The Interconnection Request and attachments thereto must be submitted to the System Operator via the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT, a web-based application for submitting, tracking and viewing Interconnection Requests available on the ISO New England website.

Attachment A to Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For Cluster Study

The technical data required below must be inputted directly into IRTT and submitted with the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.4.2 of the SGIP.

SMALL GENERATING FACILITY DATA

UNIT RATINGS

<u>Kva</u>	<u>°F</u>	<u>Voltage</u>
<u>Power Factor</u>		
<u>Speed (RPM)</u>		<u>Connection (e.g. Wye)</u>
<u>Short Circuit Ratio</u>		<u>Frequency, Hertz</u>
<u>Stator Amperes at Rated Kva</u>		<u>Field Volts</u>
<u>Max Turbine MW</u>	<u>°F</u>	

Primary frequency response operating range for electric storage resources:

Minimum State of Charge: _____

Maximum State of Charge: _____

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 90 ° OR ABOVE

<u>Gross Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Lagging (MVAR)</u>
<u>Net Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Leading (MVAR)</u>
<u>Station Service (MW)</u>	<u>Station Service (MVAR)</u>
<u>Temperature (°F)</u>	

Attachment A (page 2)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Cluster Study

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 50° OR ABOVE

<u>Gross Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Lagging (MVAR)</u>
<u>Net Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Leading (MVAR)</u>
<u>Station Service (MW)</u>	<u>Station Service (MVAR)</u>
<u>Temperature (°F)</u>	

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 20° OR ABOVE

<u>Gross Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Lagging (MVAR)</u>
<u>Net Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Leading (MVAR)</u>
<u>Station Service (MW)</u>	<u>Station Service (MVAR)</u>
<u>Temperature (°F)</u>	

GREATEST UNIT RATING AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE OF 0° OR ABOVE

<u>Gross Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Lagging (MVAR)</u>
<u>Net Unit Rating (MW)</u>	<u>Gross Leading (MVAR)</u>
<u>Station Service (MW)</u>	<u>Station Service (MVAR)</u>
<u>Temperature (°F)</u>	

COMBINED TURBINE-GENERATOR-EXCITER INERTIA DATA

<u>Inertia Constant, H</u>	≡	<u>kW sec/kVA</u>
<u>Moment-of-Inertia, WR²</u>	≡	<u>lb. ft.²</u>

REACTANCE DATA (PER UNIT-RATED KVA)

	<u>DIRECT AXIS</u>	<u>QUADRATURE AXIS</u>
<u>Synchronous – saturated</u>	<u>X_{dv}</u>	<u>X_{qv}</u>
<u>Synchronous – unsaturated</u>	<u>X_{di}</u>	<u>X_{qi}</u>
<u>Transient – saturated</u>	<u>X' _{dv}</u>	<u>X' _{qv}</u>
<u>Transient – unsaturated</u>	<u>X' _{di}</u>	<u>X' _{qi}</u>

<u>Subtransient – saturated</u>	<u>X''dv</u>	<u>X''qv</u>
<u>Subtransient – unsaturated</u>	<u>X''di</u>	<u>X''qi</u>
<u>Negative Sequence – saturated</u>	<u>X2v</u>	
<u>Negative Sequence – unsaturated</u>	<u>X2i</u>	

FIELD TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

<u>Zero Sequence – saturated</u>	<u>X0v</u>	
<u>Zero Sequence – unsaturated</u>	<u>X0i</u>	
<u>Leakage Reactance</u>	<u>Xlm</u>	
<u>Open Circuit</u>	<u>T'qo</u>	<u>T'do</u>
<u>Three-Phase Short Circuit Transient</u>	<u>T'd3</u>	<u>T'q</u>
<u>Line to Line Short Circuit Transient</u>	<u>T'd2</u>	
<u>Line to Neutral Short Circuit Transient</u>	<u>T'd1</u>	
<u>Short Circuit Subtransient</u>	<u>T''d</u>	<u>T''q</u>
<u>Open Circuit Subtransient</u>	<u>T''do</u>	<u>T''qo</u>

ARMATURE TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

<u>Three Phase Short Circuit</u>	<u>Ta3</u>
<u>Line to Line Short Circuit</u>	<u>Ta2</u>
<u>Line to Neutral Short Circuit</u>	<u>Ta1</u>

NOTE: If requested information is not applicable, indicate by marking "N/A."

MW CAPABILITY AND PLANT CONFIGURATION

SMALL GENERATING FACILITY DATA

ARMATURE WINDING RESISTANCE DATA (PER UNIT)

<u>Positive</u>	<u>R1</u>	
<u>Negative</u>	<u>R2</u>	
<u>Zero</u>	<u>R0</u>	
<u>Rotor Short Time Thermal Capacity I²t</u>	<u>≡</u>	
<u>Field Current at Rated kVA, Armature Voltage and PF</u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>amps</u>
<u>Field Current at Rated kVA and Armature Voltage, 0 PF</u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>amps</u>
<u>Three Phase Armature Winding Capacitance</u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>microfarad</u>

<u>Field Winding Resistance</u>	≡	<u>ohms</u>	<u>°C</u>
<u>Armature Winding Resistance (Per Phase)</u>	≡	<u>ohms</u>	<u>°C</u>

CURVES

As applicable, provide Saturation, Vee, Capacity Temperature Correction curves. A Reactive Capability is required for all Large Generating Facilities. As applicable, designate normal and emergency Hydrogen Pressure operating range for multiple curves.

MODELS FOR NON-SYNCHRONOUS GENERATORS

Models that meet the requirements of ISO New England Planning Procedures PP5-6:

1. an appropriately parameterized library RMS positive sequence dynamics model, including model block diagram of the inverter control and plant control systems, that corresponds to Interconnection Customer’s Small Generating Facility, and,
2. a validated user-defined model where one exists for the equipment (i.e. where the manufacturer attests that a library model may fully capture the behavior of the equipment). The user model will only be used for the fuller understanding of equipment behavior and will not be used to finalize the upgrade requirements in the Cluster Study and will not be added to base cases going forward.
3. A validated electromagnetic transient model

Interconnection Customer must also demonstrate that the model is validated by providing evidence that the equipment behavior is consistent with the model behavior (e.g., an attestation from Interconnection Customer that the model accurately represents the entire Small Generating Facility; attestations from each equipment manufacturer that the user defined model accurately represents the component of the Small Generating Facility; or test data).

GENERATOR STEP-UP TRANSFORMER DATA RATINGS

<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Self-cooled/Maximum Nameplate</u>
/	<u>Kva</u>
<u>Voltage Ratio</u>	<u>Generator side/System side/Tertiary</u>
/	<u>kV</u>

Winding Connections Generator side/System Side/Tertiary (Delta or Wye)

/

Fixed Taps Available

Present Tap Setting

IMPEDANCE

Positive Z1 (on self-cooled kVA rating) % X/R

Zero Z0 (on self-cooled kVA rating) % X/R

EXCITATION SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of excitation system and power system stabilizer (“PSS”) for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding excitation system and PSS constants for use in the model.

GOVERNOR SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of governor system for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding governor system constants for use in the model.

WIND AND INVERTER-BASED GENERATORS

A completed Attachment A-1 Supplementary Wind and Inverter-Based Generating Facility Form to this Attachment A, must be supplied for all Interconnection Requests for wind and inverter-based Generating Facilities.

INDUCTION GENERATORS:

(*) Field Volts:

(*) Field Amperes:

(*) Motoring Power (kW):

(*) Neutral Grounding Resistor (If Applicable):

(*) I_2^2t or K (Heating Time Constant):

(*) Rotor Resistance:

(*) Stator Resistance:

(*) Stator Reactance:

(*) Rotor Reactance:

(*) Magnetizing Reactance:

(*) Short Circuit Reactance:

(*) Exciting Current:

(*) Temperature Rise:

(*) Frame Size:

(*) Design Letter:

(*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load):

(*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (Full Load):

(*) Total Rotating Inertia, H: _____ Per Unit on KVA Base

Note: Please consult System Operator prior to submitting the Interconnection Request to determine if the information designated by (*) is required.

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this Attachment A to the Interconnection Request is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment A-1
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Supplementary Wind
and Inverter-Based
Generating Facility Form

SUPPLEMENTARY WIND AND INVERTER-BASED GENERATING FACILITY AND
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES DATA FORM

- a) Attach a Geographic Map Demonstrating the Project Layout and its Interconnection to the Power Grid. (Specify the name of the attachment here)
- b) Attach a Bus-Breaker Based One-line Diagram (The diagram should include each of the individual unit generators, generator number, HVDC rating and terminal voltage.) (Specify the name of the attachment here)

i. Collection system detail impedance sheet

If a collector system is used, attach a collector system data sheet in accordance with the one-line diagram attached above. The data sheet should include: the type, length Z_0 , Z_1 and X_c/B of each circuit (feeder and collector string).

Specify the name of the attachment here: _____

ii. Collection system aggregate (equivalent) model data sheet

Attach an aggregate (equivalent) collection system data sheet. The data table should include: the type, length, Z_0 , Z_1 and X_c/B of the equivalent circuits (feeders and collector strings).

Specify the name of the attachment here: _____

c) Summary of the Unit Models in the wind or inverter-based Generating Facility (List all different unit models in the facility)

<u>Manufacturer Model</u>	<u>Type of this WTG* (if applicable)</u>	<u>Generator Unit Numbers in the field</u>	<u>Number(s) of these Units</u>	<u>Maximum Output of this Unit (MW)</u>	<u>Total MW</u>

- * Type 1 – Cage rotor induction generators
- Type 2 – Induction generators with variable rotor resistance
- Type 3 – Doubly-fed asynchronous generators with rotor-side converter
- Type 4 – Full-power converter interface

Repeat the following sections from 4 to 12 for each different unit model.

d) Unit Detail Information

<u>Unit Manufacturer Model</u>	
<u>Terminal Voltage</u>	
<u>Rating of Each Unit (MVA)</u>	
<u>Maximum Gross Electrical Output (MW)</u>	
<u>Minimum Gross Electrical Output (MW)</u>	
<u>Lagging Reactive Power Limit at Rated Real Power Output (MVAR)</u>	
<u>Leading Reactive Power Limit at Rated Real Power Output (MVAR)</u>	
<u>Lagging Reactive Power Limit at Zero Real Power Output (MVAR)</u>	
<u>Leading Reactive Power Limit at Zero Real Power Output (MVAR)</u>	
<u>Station Service Load (MW, MVAR)</u>	
<u>Minimum short circuit ratio (SCR) requirement by manufacturer</u>	
<u>On which bus the minimum SCR is required by manufacturer</u>	
<u>What voltage level the minimum SCR is required by manufacturer</u>	
<u>Positive sequence Xsource</u>	
<u>Zero sequence Xsource</u>	

e) Unit GSU – _____

<u>Nameplate rating (MVA)</u>	
<u>Total number of the GSUs</u>	
<u>Voltages, generator side/system side</u>	
<u>Winding connections, low voltage/high voltage</u>	
<u>Available tap positions on high voltage side</u>	
<u>Available tap positions on low voltage side</u>	
<u>Will the GSU operate as an LTC?</u>	
<u>Desired voltage control range if LTC</u>	
<u>Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time) if LTC</u>	
<u>Desired tap position if applicable</u>	
<u>Impedance, Z1, X/R ratio</u>	
<u>Impedance, Z0, X/R ratio</u>	

f) Low Voltage Ride Through (LVRT) – _____ (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

Does each Unit have LVRT capability?

Yes _____ No _____

If yes, please provide:

i. Unit LVRT mode activation and release condition:

When operating at maximum real power, what is the Unit terminal voltage for LVRT mode activation? _____

When operating at maximum real power, what is the Unit terminal voltage for releasing LVRT mode after it is activated? _____

If there is different LVRT activation and release logic, please state here _____

ii. A wind or other inverter-based generating facility technical manual from the manufacturer including description of LVRT functionality:

Attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

iii. Does the wind or other inverter-based generating facility technical manual attached above include a reactive power capability curve?

Yes No

If no, attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

g) Low Voltage Protection (considering LVRT functionality)

(Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

<u>Low Voltage Setting (pu)</u>	<u>Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)</u>

*Add more rows in the table as needed

h) High Voltage Protection - (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

<u>High Voltage Setting (pu)</u>	<u>Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)</u>

*Add more rows in the table as needed

i) Low Frequency Protection - (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

<u>Low Frequency Setting (Hz)</u>	<u>Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)</u>

*Add more rows in the table as needed

j) High Frequency Protection - (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

<u>High Frequency Setting (Hz)</u>	<u>Relay Pickup Time (Seconds)</u>

*Add more rows in the table as needed

Please make sure the settings in sections 7 through 10 comply with NERC and NPCC standards for generator protection relays.

k) Unit Reactive Power Control - (Specify the Manufacturer Model of this Unit)

i. What are the options for the Unit reactive power control (check all available)?

- Control the voltage at the Unit terminal
- Control constant power factor at the Unit terminal
- Control constant power factor at the low side of the station main transformer
- Control constant power factor at the high side of the station main transformer
- Control voltage at the low side of the station main transformer
- Control voltage at the high side of the station main transformer
- Other options. Please describe if select others

ii. In all the control options selected above, please list the options in which the Unit is able to control its terminal voltage to prevent low/high voltage tripping.

iii. What is the desired control mode from the selected options above? Specify the control plan in this mode. For example: control voltage at which bus to what schedule.

**WIND OR INVERTER-BASED GENERATING FACILITY AND INTERCONNECTION
FACILITIES MODELS MODELS**

(All model files provided under this section should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England and must be a standard library model in PSS/E)

A. Power flow model

i. A *.RAW file including **aggregated/equivalent wind or inverter-based generating facility and HVDC, if applicable, power flow model with appropriate parameters and settings.**

Attach the *.RAW file and specify the name of the attachment(s) here:

ii. A *.RAW file including **detailed wind or inverter-based Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, power flow model with appropriate parameters and settings.**

Attach the *.RAW file and specify the name of the attachment(s) here:

B. Dynamic simulation model(s)

(Please note that the dynamic model(s) must match the aggregated/equivalent power flow model(s) provided above. Attach the following information for each of the models.)

1. Wind or inverter-based Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, Model(s)

_____ (Please Specify the Manufacturer Model(s))

2. A compiled PSS/E dynamic model for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if necessary (a *.LIB or *.OBJ file)

Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file(s) and specify the name(s) of the attachment(s) here:

3. A dynamic data file with appropriate parameters and settings for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities, if applicable, (typically a *.DYR file)

*Attach the *.DYR file(s) and specify the name(s) of the attachment(s) here:*

4. PSS/E wind or inverter-based Generating Facility model user manual for the Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities

Attach and specify the name of the attachment here:

Repeat the above sections for each different wind or inverter-based generating facility model.

C. Power Plant Controller

For wind or inverter-based Generating Facility, will PPC have the ability to centrally control the output of the units? Yes No

1. Manufacturer model of the power plant controller

2. What are the reactive power control strategy options of the power plant controller?

3. Which of the control options stated above is being used in current operation?

4. Is the power plant controller able to control the unit terminal voltages to prevent low/high voltage tripping?

Yes No

Please provide the park controller technical manual from the manufacturer

Attach the file and specify the name of the attachment here:

D. Station Transformer

<u>Transformer Name</u>	
-------------------------	--

<u>Nameplate ratings (MVA)</u>		
<u>Total number of the main transformer(s)</u>		
<u>Voltage, High/Low/Tertiary (kV)</u>		
<u>Winding connections, High/Low Tertiary</u>		
<u>Available tap positions on high voltage side</u>		
<u>Available tap positions on low voltage side</u>		
<u>Will the transformer operate as a LTC?</u>		
<u>Desired voltage control range if LTC</u>		
<u>Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time) if LTC</u>		
<u>Desired tap position if applicable</u>		
<u>Tap adjustment time (Tap switching delay + switching time)</u>		
<u>Impedance Z_1, X/R ratio</u>	<u>Z_{1H-L}</u>	<u>X/R</u>
	<u>Z_{1H-T}</u>	<u>X/R</u>
	<u>Z_{1T-L}</u>	<u>X/R</u>
<u>Impedance Z_0, X/R ratio</u>	<u>Z_{0H-L}</u>	<u>X/R</u>
	<u>Z_{0H-T}</u>	<u>X/R</u>
	<u>Z_{0T-L}</u>	<u>X/R</u>

E. Dynamic Simulation Model for the Power Plant Controller(s)

(All model files provided under this section should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England and must be a standard library model in PSS/E)

1. A compiled PSS/E dynamic model for the power plant controller(s) (a *.LIB or *.OBJ file)

*Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file and specify the name of the attachment here:*

2. A dynamic data file with appropriate parameters and settings for the power plant controller(s) (typically a *.DYR file).

Attach the *.DYN file and specify the name of the attachment here:

3. PSS/E model user manual for the power plant controller(s)

Attach the manual and specify the name of the attachment or specify the name of the attachment here:

F. Capacitors and Reactors

Please provide necessary modeling data for all the capacitors and reactors that are part of the Interconnection Facilities, including: size, basic electrical parameters, connecting bus, switched or fixed, etc.

G. Dynamic Device(s)

(All model files provided under this section 17 should be compatible with Siemens PTI's PSS/E version currently in use at ISO New England standard library models in PSS/E or applicable applications.)

1. Provide necessary modeling data file for all the dynamic devices belong to the facility.

Attach the *.LIB or *.OBJ file and specify the name of the attachment here:

2. A dynamic data file containing the parameters for the units (typically a *.DYN file).

Set the parameters in accordance with the desired control mode.

Attach the *.DYN file and specify the name of the attachment here:

H. Collection System/Transformer Tap-Setting Design

Attach a collection system/transformer tap-setting design calculations, consistent with the requirements in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, that identify the calculations to

support the proposed tap settings for the unit step-up transformers and the station step-up transformers.

Attached the design document and specify the name of the attachment here:

I. Provide PSCAD Model and documentation for the wind or inverter-based Generating Facility, the Power Plant Controller(s) and Other Dynamic Devices or HVDC.

Attachment A-2
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Cluster System Impact Study
Application Form

CLUSTER SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY APPLICATION FORM

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this form to request the inclusion of the Interconnection Request for its Small Generating Facility in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study pursuant to Section 4.2.3-2.2 of this SGIP.

To be included in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the following must be submitted together with this form to the System Operator by the Cluster Entry Deadline:

1. Project Information:

a. Project Name: _____

(a) Queue Position: _____

(b) Is the Interconnection Request contractually associated with an Interconnection Request for an Elective Transmission Upgrade? Yes _____ No _____

If yes, identify Queue Position of the associated Interconnection Request and provide evidence of the contractual commitment. Queue Position No.: _____

2. Initial CETU Participation Deposit as specified in Section 4.2.3-2.2

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this form is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment C (page 1)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

SURPLUS INTERCONNECTION SERVICE REQUEST APPLICATION

The Surplus Interconnection Customer submits this application to request Surplus Interconnection Service pursuant to Section 3.3 of this SGIP.

SURPLUS INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER AND ORIGINAL INTERCONNECTION
CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Surplus Interconnection Customer Company Name: _____

ISO Customer ID# (If available): _____

Company Address: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Company Representative: Name: _____

Title: _____

Company Representative's Company and Address (if different from above):

Company Name: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Attachment C (page 2)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____ E-mail: _____

Original Interconnection Customer Company Name: _____

ISO Customer ID# (If available): _____

Company Address: _____

_____ PO Box No.: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Company Representative: Name: _____

Title: _____

Company Representative's Company and Address (if different from above):

Company Name: _____

PO Box No.: _____

Attachment C (page 3)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Street Address: _____

City, State ZIP: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____ email: _____

PROJECT INFORMATION

Description of the Original Interconnection Customer's existing, commercial Small Generating Facility:

Description of the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Select Type of Interconnection Service for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

___ CNR Interconnection Service

___ NR Interconnection Service

Specify the amount of Unused Capability at the corresponding CNR Interconnection Service or NR Interconnection Service available for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Attachment C (page 4)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

Requested Commercial Operations Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Requested Initial Synchronization Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

Requested In-Service Date for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility:

To request Surplus Interconnection Service, the Surplus Interconnection Customer shall provide the following, together with this Surplus Interconnection Service Request Application:

- 11 The Original Interconnection Customer's written consent for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to use Unused Capability associated with Interconnection Service established under the Interconnection Agreement for the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility, together with a copy of that Interconnection Agreement;
- 12 A detailed description of the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and their respective Interconnection Facilities and existing Point of Interconnection and Point of Change of Ownership, together with a completed Attachment A and Attachment A-1, as applicable, to Appendix 1 of this SGIP, including a site electrical one-line diagram reflecting both the Original Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and the proposed Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility and a plot plan; and
- 13 Site Control for the Surplus Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility.

Attachment C (page 5)
To Appendix 1
Surplus Interconnection Service
Request Application

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical and non-technical information necessary from the Original Interconnection Customer or the Surplus Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary to facilitate their review of the Surplus Interconnection Service request.

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this form is true and accurate.

Authorized Signature: _____

Name (type or print): _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX 2
CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer.”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Small Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System;

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform a Cluster Study to assess the impact of interconnecting the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System, and any Internal Affected Systems.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Large Generator Interconnection Procedure (“SGIP”).

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed a Cluster Study consistent with Section 7.0 of the SGIP in accordance with the Tariff.

3.0 The scope of the Cluster Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.

4.0 The Cluster Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in Attachment A (and Attachment A-1 as applicable) to the Interconnection Request, subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the SGIP. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Cluster Study.

5.0 The Cluster Study Report shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker or other facility short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload of any transmission facility or system voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- initial review of grounding requirements and electric system protection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of Contingent Facilities
- description and non-binding, good faith estimated cost of and the time to construct the facilities required to interconnect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and to address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues; and
- ~~to the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 7.4 of the SGIP, the report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

The Cluster Study Deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Cluster Study and the development of this Cluster Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the SGIA.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the times of commencement and completion of the Cluster Study is [insert dates].

Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Cluster Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection System Impact Study consists of \$ _____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$ _____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$ _____.

Upon receipt of the Cluster Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection System Impact Study.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection System Impact Study each month. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.

In accordance with the SGIP, in performing the Interconnection System Impact Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with Internal Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection System Impact Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection System Impact Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection System Impact Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study, the content of the Interconnection System Impact Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection System Impact Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System

Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, an Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case

of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection System Impact Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection System Impact Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations

hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator _____ Interconnecting Transmission Owner

By: _____ By:

Title: _____ Title:

Date: _____ Date:

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A
To Appendix 2
Cluster
Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
CLUSTER STUDY

The Cluster Study will be based upon the *technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request* subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the SGIP, and the following assumptions:

Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.

APPENDIX 3

INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Small Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated ; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have completed a Cluster Study and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform an Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the Cluster Study in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

1.0 _____ When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Small Generator Interconnection

Procedures (“SGIP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8.0 of the SGIP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.

3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A and the data provided in Attachment B to this Agreement.

4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study report (i) shall provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System and (ii) shall address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the Interconnection System Impact Study.

5.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a *Commercial Readiness Deposit per Section 8.1 of this SGIP to enter the Interconnection Facilities Study and the greater of 25 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study or \$100,000.*

The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study and the development of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the SGIA. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A.

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study consists of \$ _____ which is comprised of the System Operator’s estimated cost of \$ _____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s estimated cost of \$ _____.

Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.

Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion,

invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.

In accordance with the SGIP, in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall coordinate with Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

6.0 Miscellaneous.

6.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

6.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or

warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

6.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

6.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

6.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other

liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

6.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

6.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

6.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

6.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

6.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

6.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

6.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

6.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.

6.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

6.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

6.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

6.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator _____ Interconnecting Transmission Owner _____

By: _____ By: _____

Title: _____ Title: _____

Date: _____ Date: _____

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A
To Appendix 3
Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SCHEDULE ELECTION FOR CONDUCTING THE
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY

Interconnection Customer elects (check one):

b. +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.

c. +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study Report to the Interconnection Customer within the following number of days after receipt of an executed copy of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

- ninety (90) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the report, or

- one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the report.

Attachment B (page 1)

Appendix 3

Interconnection Facilities

Study Agreement

DATA FORM TO BE PROVIDED BY INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER

WITH THE

INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

Provide location plan and simplified one-line diagram of the plant and station facilities. For staged projects, please indicate future generation, transmission circuits, etc.

One set of metering is required for each generation connection to the new ring bus or existing New England Transmission System station. Number of generation connections:

On the one line indicate the generation capacity attached at each metering location. (Maximum load on Current Transformer/Power Transformer (“CT/PT”))

On the one line indicate the location of auxiliary power. (Minimum load on CT/PT) Amps

Will an alternate source of auxiliary power be available during CT/PT maintenance?

Yes _____ No _____

Will a transfer bus on the generation side of the metering require that each meter set be designed for the total plant generation? Yes _____ No _____

(Please indicate on one line).

What type of control system or Power Line Carrier (“PLC”) will be located at the Interconnection Customer’s Small Generating Facility?

What protocol does the control system or PLC use?

Attachment B (page 2)

Appendix 3

Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

Please provide a 7.5-minute quadrangle of the site. Sketch the plant, station, transmission line, and property line.

Physical dimensions of the proposed interconnection station:

Bus length from generation to interconnection station:

Line length from interconnection station to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission line.

Tower number observed in the field. (Painted on tower leg)*

Number of third party easements required for transmission lines*:

* To be completed in coordination with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Is the Small Generating Facility in Interconnecting Transmission Owner's service area?

Yes _____ No _____ Local provider:

Please provide proposed schedule dates:

Begin Construction Date:

Generator step-up transformer Date:

Receives back feed power Date

Generation Testing Date:

Commercial Operation Date:

APPENDIX 4
OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer.”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”).
Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Small Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____ ; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to establish an interconnection to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has submitted to System Operator an Interconnection Request; and

WHEREAS, on or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives the Interconnection System Impact Study results, Interconnection Customer has further requested that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner prepare an Optional Interconnection Study.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SGIP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Optional Interconnection Study consistent with Section 10.0 of the SGIP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.

3.0 The scope of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.

4.0 The Optional Interconnection Study shall be performed solely for informational purposes.

5.0 The Optional Interconnection Study report shall provide a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A to this Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will identify Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A.

In accordance with the SGIP, in performing the Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall coordinate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Parties and Internal Affected Parties, and shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

6.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Optional Interconnection Study is [insert date].

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Optional Interconnection Study consists of \$ _____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$ _____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$ _____.

Any difference between the initial payment and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon receipt of the

Optional Interconnection Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Optional Interconnection Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study, the content of the Optional Interconnection Study, or the conclusions of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any

incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owners under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Optional Interconnection Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Optional Interconnection Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or

relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instances; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

System Operator

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

By:

By:

Title:

Title:

Date:

Date:

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A

Appendix 4

Optional Interconnection

Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING
THE OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY

[To be completed by Interconnection Customer consistent with Section 10 of the SGIP.]

APPENDIX 6 to SGIP
TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”), and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Small Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____;

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Small Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to perform a “Transitional Cluster Study,” which combines the Cluster Study and Interconnection Facilities Study, in a single cluster study, followed by any needed restudies, to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to physically and electrically connect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has a valid Queue Position as of the {Transmission Provider to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects, and System Operator shall cause to be performed, a Transitional Cluster Study and Interconnection Customer elects that System Operator study the Small Generating Facility's request for.

_____ Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability only)

_____ Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service (energy capability and capacity capability)

Interconnection Customers seeking to complete studies for CNRIS for Interconnection Requests for which NRIS milestones have already been completed shall check this box and fill in the table below

<u>Service Level</u>	<u>Requested Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection</u>
<u>CNR Capability Summer</u>	
<u>CNR Capability Winter</u>	

Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to Network Resource Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service testing

3.0 The Transitional Cluster Study shall be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request. System Operator reserves the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Transitional Cluster Study and Interconnection Customer shall provide such data as quickly as reasonable.

4.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP, the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the information below:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and
- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

5.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall: (1) provide all the information included in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report; (2) provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Transmission System; and (3) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

6.0 Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.2 of this SGIP.

7.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit for the performance of Interconnection Studies. Interconnection Customer shall provide additional study deposits in the form described in Section 5.1.1.2. System Operator may invoice for additional costs as appropriate such that Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Transitional Cluster Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, in accordance with the provisions of Section 13.3 of this SGIP.

8.0 Miscellaneous.

- 8.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.
- 8.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Transitional Cluster Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Transitional Cluster Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Transitional Cluster Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Transitional Cluster Study , the content of the Transitional Cluster Study , or the conclusions of the Transitional Cluster Study . Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.
- 8.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.
- 8.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection

Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

8.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

8.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents

from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities (“Losses”) by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Transitional Cluster Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

8.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Transitional Cluster Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

- 8.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 8.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 8.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 8.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 8.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 8.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 8.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 8.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 8.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a

third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX 8 to SGIP

TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Small Generating Facility or generating capacity addition to an existing Small Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Small Generating Facility with the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to continue processing its Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the final Cluster Study (from the previously effective serial study process) in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator has provided an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the Interconnection Customer on or before {System Operator to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8 of this SGIP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement, which shall be the same assumptions as the previous Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement executed by the Interconnection Customer.
- 4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall: (1) provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Small Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and (2) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the most recently published Cluster Study Report.
- 5.0 Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.1 of this SGIP. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A, and shall be no later than 150 Calendar Days after {System Operator to insert effective date accepted on compliance}.
- 6.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit of _____ dollars (\$____) for the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 7.0 Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study results, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 8.0 Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.
- 9.0 Miscellaneous.
- 9.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

9.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

9.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

9.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

9.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not

be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

9.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by

Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 9.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 9.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 9.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 9.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 9.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 9.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

9.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.

9.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

9.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

9.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

9.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____

Title:

Date:

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By:

Title:

Date:

Attachment A to Appendix 8
Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE TRANSITIONAL SERIAL
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY

{Assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

APPENDIX 9 to SGIP
TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (System Operator). **Affected System Interconnection Customer and System Operatoreach may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”**

RECITALS

WHEREAS, **Affected System Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customer to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England Transmission System; and**

WHEREAS, **Affected System Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the {generating facility} with {name of host transmission provider}'s transmission system;**

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, **the Parties agree as follows:**

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.**
- 2.0 System Operator shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customer to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this SGIP.**
- 3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.**
- 4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customer and {name of host transmission provider}.**

System Operator reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.

5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
- non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on the New England Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facility} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
- description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.

6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of _____ for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third

parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or

omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds

or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.

7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____ By: _____

Title: _____ Title: _____

Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

|

Attachment A to Appendix 9
Two-Party Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customer and System Operator}

APPENDIX 10 to SGIP
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and among _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (System Operator). Affected System Interconnection Customers and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as the “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} with {name of host transmission provider}'s transmission system;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.
- 2.0 System Operator shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customers to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this SGIP.

3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.

4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customers and {name of host transmission provider}. System Operator reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customers as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.

5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
- non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on the New England Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facilities} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
- description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.

6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customers shall each provide a deposit of for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customers, System Operator shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customers, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.

7.0 Miscellaneous

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information

it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System

Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or

willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the SGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ISO New England Inc.

By: _____ By: _____
Title: _____ Title: _____
Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 10
Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customers and System Operator}

APPENDIX 11 TO SGIP

TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and between _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (Interconnecting Transmission Owner). Affected System Interconnection Customer and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customer to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on the New England Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the {generating facility} to {name of host transmission provider}'s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of the New England Transmission System to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer has requested, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1

DEFINITIONS

-

When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.

ARTICLE 2
TERM OF AGREEMENT

-
2.1 Effective Date. This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

-
2.2 Term.

-
2.2.1 General. This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (2) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (2) Affected System Interconnection Customer terminates this Agreement after providing Interconnecting Transmission Owner with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customer has no outstanding contractual obligations to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if (1) the commercial operation date for the {generating facility} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host transmission provider} or (2) the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-
2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, the non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may not terminate this Agreement if Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates Transmission Provider within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any such damages, including costs and expenses, incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of such Default.

-

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by either Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer due to a Default by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment to Interconnecting Transmission Owner of all amounts then due and payable for construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of the New England Transmission System. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs.

-

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

-

2.3 Filing. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. If Affected System Interconnection Customer has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by Interconnecting Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

-

2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

-

2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration.

-

ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

-

3.1 Construction.

-

3.1.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure, construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

-

3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

-

3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer must provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of its request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement

unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of New England Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of contracts and orders for material which Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Affected System Interconnection Customer's authorization. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

-

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customer has suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customer suspends work by Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and has not requested Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

-

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customer requests that Interconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customer to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

-

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer, to suspend, at any time, work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner due to Default by Affected System Interconnection Customer. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (as set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of either a Breach that Affected System Interconnection Customer is unable to cure-pursuant to Article 5 or a Default pursuant to Article 5. Any form of suspension by Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect Interconnecting Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

-

3.1.3 Construction Status. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Affected System Interconnection Customer advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. Affected System Interconnection Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If, at any time, Affected System Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will not be required until after the specified in-service date, Affected System Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner of such later date upon which the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) would be required. Interconnecting Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) accordingly.

-

3.1.4 Timely Completion. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly notify Affected System Interconnection Customer. In such circumstances, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with Affected System Interconnection Customer to evaluate the alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customer. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customer to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Article 8. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customer authorizes such actions, such authorization to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the Affected System Interconnection Customer funds costs associated therewith in advance.

-

3.2 Interconnection Costs.

-

3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Unless Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be funded by Affected System Interconnection Customer.

—

3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority

to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way, and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

-

-

3.3 Taxes.

-

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customer for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

-

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by Affected System Interconnection Customer and at Affected System Interconnection Customer's expense, shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate and consult in good faith with Affected System Interconnection Customer regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall not be required to pay Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which Interconnecting Transmission Owner (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which Affected System Interconnection Customer has already made payment to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to Affected System Interconnection Customer any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or which Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be entitled with respect to such payment. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner with credit assurances sufficient to meet Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of Interconnecting Transmission Owner for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

-

To the extent that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be reimbursed to Affected System

Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by Affected System Interconnection Customer, and at Affected System Interconnection Customer's sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which Affected System Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4

SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

-

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

-

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Party, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

-

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

-

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

-

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as Affected System Interconnection Customer: (1) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

ARTICLE 5

BREACH, CURE AND DEFAULT

-

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

-

(a) Failure to pay any amount when due;

-

(b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;

-

-
(c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party’s attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or

-
(d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

-
5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, the Party not in Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

-
5.3.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the “Cure Period”) which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days.

-
5.3.2 In the event the Breaching Party fails to cure within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Article 6.2 of this Agreement or take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

-
5.4 Rights in the Event of Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of a Default, the non-Defaulting Party shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

-
ARTICLE 6
TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

-
6.1 Expiration of Term. Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties’ obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

-

6.2 Termination. In addition to the termination provisions set forth in Article 2.2, a Party may terminate this Agreement upon the Default of the other Party in accordance with Article 5.2.2 of this Agreement. Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, the termination of this Agreement by the non-Defaulting Party shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

-

6.3 Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.

-

6.3.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

(a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

-

(b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,

-

(c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of New England Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

-

6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any costs incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

-

6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer such facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment for such facilities.

-

6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve either Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

-

ARTICLE 7

SUBCONTRACTORS

-

7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party for the performance of such subcontractor.

-

7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

-

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

-

7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8 CONFIDENTIALITY

-

8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Party prior to the execution of this Agreement.

-

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

-

Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

-

8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

-

8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

-

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

-

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

-

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

-

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires either Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

-

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

-

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. Neither Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

-

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or

its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

-

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

-

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

-

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Party information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Party for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties

shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

-

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period of twenty-four (24) months following Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customer at its expense shall have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

-

ARTICLE 10

NOTICES

-

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

-

To Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

-

10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

-

10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

-

To Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

-

-

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to Interconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that Interconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to Affected System Interconnection Customer under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

-

ARTICLE 11

MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties

shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims

against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

11.3.3 Indemnification. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.4 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.5 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.6 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.7 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.8 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.9 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.10 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.11 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

-
[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

-

-

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

{Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-

-

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-

-

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE

-
This Appendix A is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-
1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

{description}

-
1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

-
1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – Interconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

-
1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

-
Table 1: Interconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>START DATE</u>	<u>END DATE</u>
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-

-

Note: Construction schedule assumes that Interconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customer and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

-

1.4 Payment Schedule.

-

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payments and Security.

-

{description}

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customer’s payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

-

Table 2: Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

-	-	-
-	-	-

-

-

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

Attachment B to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

-

This Appendix B is a part of the Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer in substantially the form following:

-

{Date}

-

{Affected System Interconnection Customer Address}

-

Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

Dear {Name or Title}:

-

This letter is sent pursuant to the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between {Interconnecting Transmission Owner} and {Affected System Interconnection Customer}, dated _____, 20__.

-

On {Date}, Interconnecting Transmission Owner completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's {description of generating facility}. Interconnecting Transmission Owner confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

-

Thank you.

-

{Signature}

{Interconnecting Transmission Owner Representative}

Attachment C to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

Exhibit A1
Interconnecting Transmission Owner Site Map

-

Exhibit A2
Site Plan

-

Exhibit A3
Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

-

Exhibit A4
Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

-

-	<u>Location</u>	<u>Facilities to Be Constructed by Interconnecting Transmission Owner</u>	<u>Estimate in Dollars</u>
-	-	-	-
-	-	<u>Total:</u>	-

|

APPENDIX 12 TO SGIP

MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____, by and among _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Interconnecting Transmission Owner). Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner/Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host Interconnecting Transmission Owner}, dated _____, for which {name of host Interconnecting Transmission Owner} found impacts on New England Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} to {name of host Interconnecting Transmission Owner}'s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of New England Transmission System to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers have requested, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1
DEFINITIONS

-
When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this SGIP.

ARTICLE 2
TERM OF AGREEMENT

-
2.1 Effective Date. This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

-
2.2 Term.

-
2.2.1 General. This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) the final repayment, where applicable, by Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount funded by Affected System Interconnection Customers for Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) provided in Appendix A; (2) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (3) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (4) Affected System Interconnection Customers terminate this Agreement after providing Interconnecting Transmission Owner with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customers have no outstanding contractual obligations to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if the commercial operation date(s) for the {generating facilities} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host Interconnecting Transmission Owner} or the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, each non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may not terminate this Agreement if an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates Interconnecting Transmission Owner within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any such damages, including costs and expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of such Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Default by one or more Affected System Interconnection Customers shall not provide the other Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), either individually or in concert, with the right to terminate the entire Agreement. The non-Defaulting Party/Parties may, individually or in concert, initiate the removal of an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is a Defaulting Party from this Agreement. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not terminate this Agreement or the participation of any Affected System Interconnection Customer without provision being made for Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be fully reimbursed for all of its costs incurred under this Agreement.

-

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by a Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) due to a Default by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer whose participation in this Agreement is terminated shall be responsible for the payment to Interconnecting Transmission Owner of all amounts then due and payable for construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of New England Transmission System. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs. The cost responsibility of other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted, as necessary, based on the payments by an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is terminated from the Agreement.

-

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

-

2.3 Filing. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customers may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer that has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, shall reasonably cooperate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by Interconnecting Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

-

2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

-

2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement or termination of the participation in this Agreement of an Affected System Interconnection Customer, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of its proportionate share of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration. The cost responsibility of the other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted as necessary.

-
ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

-
3.1 Construction.

-
3.1.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure, construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

-
3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

-
3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers must jointly provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of their request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of New England Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of

contracts and orders for material which Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Affected System Interconnection Customers' authorization. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customers' failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

-

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customers to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customers pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customers suspend work by Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and have not requested Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System Interconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

-

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customers request that Interconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customers to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

-

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers, to suspend, at any time, work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner due to a Default by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Defaulting-Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (as set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Any form of suspension by Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect Interconnecting Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

-

3.1.3 Construction Status. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Affected System Interconnection Customers advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement, and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. An Affected System Interconnection Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If, at any time, an Affected System Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will not be required until after the specified in-service date, such Affected System Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to all other Parties of such later date for which the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) would be required. Interconnecting Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) accordingly, but only if agreed to by all other Affected System Interconnection Customers.

-

3.1.4 Timely Completion. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly notify all other Parties. In such circumstances, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate the alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customers. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customers all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Article 8. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at any Affected System Interconnection Customer's request

and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customers jointly authorize such actions, such authorizations to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by a given Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) funds the costs associated therewith in advance, or all Affected System Interconnection Customers agree in advance to fund such costs based on such other allocation method as they may adopt.

-

3.2 Interconnection Costs.

-

3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Except as expressly otherwise agreed, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be collectively responsible for these costs, based on their proportionate share of cost responsibility, as provided in Appendix A. Unless Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be initially funded by the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer.

—

3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customers or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customers' expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way, and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

-

3.2.2 Repayment.

-

3.2.2.1 Repayment. Consistent with articles 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's pro forma SGIA, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a cash repayment by Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount each Affected System Interconnection

Customer paid to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, if any, for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), including any tax gross-up or other tax-related payments associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and not refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 3.3.1 or otherwise. The Parties may mutually agree to a repayment schedule, to be outlined in Appendix A, not to exceed twenty (20) years from the commercial operation date, for the complete repayment for all applicable costs associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 CFR 35.19 a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) through the date on which Affected System Interconnection Customers receive a repayment of such payment pursuant to this subparagraph. Interest shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction pursuant to Article 3.1.2.1. Affected System Interconnection Customers may assign such repayment rights to any person.

-

3.2.2.2 Impact of Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation. If an Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another generating facility is later constructed and makes use of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at that time reimburse such Affected System Interconnection Customers for the portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) it funded. Before any such reimbursement can occur, Affected System Interconnection Customer (or the entity that ultimately constructs the generating facility, if different), is responsible for identifying the entity to which the reimbursement must be made.

-

3.3 Taxes.

-

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customers for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance

with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

-

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customers with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification. Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and at the expense of such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate and consult in good faith with the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall not be required to pay Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which Interconnecting Transmission Owner (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) has already made payment to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to such Affected System Interconnection

Customer(s) any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or to which Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be entitled with respect to such payment. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner with credit assurances sufficient to meet each Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of Interconnecting Transmission Owner for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

-

To the extent that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by each Affected System Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be reimbursed to such Affected System Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided such Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At the request and expense of any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer desiring such a request will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of such Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

-

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), and at such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which

such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) may be required to reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) who requested the action shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. The requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) will be responsible for all taxes, interest, and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4

SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

-

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for each Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner, at each Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit, or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from such Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The

letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

-

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Parties, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to another Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

-

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

-

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable each Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to each Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

-

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as each Affected System Interconnection Customer: (1) continues to make all

payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If any Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to such Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

-

ARTICLE 5

BREACH, CURE, AND DEFAULT

-

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

-

(a) Failure to pay any amount when due;

-

(b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;

-

(c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party's attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or

-

(d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

-

5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, any Party aggrieved by the Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

-

5.2.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the “Cure Period”) which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days. If an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Breaching Party and the Breach results from a failure to provide payments or security under Article 4.1 of this Agreement, the other Affected System Interconnection Customers, either individually or in concert, may cure the Breach by paying the amounts owed or by providing adequate security, without waiver of contribution rights against the breaching Affected System Interconnection Customer. Such cure for the Breach of an Affected System Interconnection Customer is subject to the reasonable consent of Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also cure such Breach by funding the proportionate share of the Affected System Network Upgrade costs related to the Breach of Affected System Interconnection Customer. Interconnecting Transmission Owner must notify all Parties that it will exercise this option within thirty (30) Calendar Days of notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has failed to provide payments or security under Article 4.1.

-

5.2.2 In the event the Breach is not cured within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Parties may (1) act in concert to amend the Agreement to remove an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is in Default from this Agreement for cause and to make other changes as necessary, or (2) either in concert or individually take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

5.3 **Rights in the Event of Default.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of Default, the non-Defaulting Parties shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

-

ARTICLE 6

TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

-

6.1 **Expiration of Term.** Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties’ obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

-

6.2 **Termination and Removal.** Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, termination of this Agreement, as to a given Affected System Interconnection Customer or in its entirety, shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

-
6.3 Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.

-
6.3.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-
(a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

-
(b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,

-
(c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of New England Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

-
6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for its share of any costs incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for its share of the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

-
6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such Affected System Network Upgrade(s) not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If Interconnecting

Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, and one or more of Affected System Interconnection Customers wish to purchase such facilities, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) such facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' payment for such facilities.

-

6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve any Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof, to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

-

ARTICLE 7
SUBCONTRACTORS

-

7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Parties for the performance of such subcontractor.

-

7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Parties for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

-

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

-
7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8 **CONFIDENTIALITY**

-
8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Parties prior to the execution of this Agreement.

-
Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

-
Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

-
8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

-
8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party

without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

-

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

-

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

-

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

-

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires any Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party

may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

-

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

-

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for another Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the Breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

-

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Parties to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Parties to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has

been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

-

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

-

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

-

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Parties information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Parties for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

-

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period

of twenty-four (24) months following Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customers may, jointly or individually, at the expense of the requesting Party(ies), during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

-

ARTICLE 10

NOTICES

-

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

-

To Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

-

10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

-

10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile, or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

-

To Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

-

-

-
To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to Interconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that Interconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to Affected System Interconnection Customers under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customers' generating facilities. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

-

-

ARTICLE 11

MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information.

Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected System Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either.

In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

11.3.3 Indemnification. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.4 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.5 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.6 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.7 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.8 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.9 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.10 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.11 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

-

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

-

-

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

{Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-

-

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-

-

Project No. _____

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

-

-

Project No. _____

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

**AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE, AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE**

-

This Appendix A is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

{description}

1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

-

1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – Interconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

-

1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

-

Table 3: Interconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>START DATE</u>	<u>END DATE</u>
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

-

-

Note: Construction schedule assumes that Interconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customers and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

-

1.4 Payment Schedule.

-

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payments and Security.

{description}

-

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customers' payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

Table 4: Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

<u>MILESTONE NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
	-	-

-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

-

-

* Affected System Interconnection Customers' proportionate responsibility for each payment is as follows:

Affected System Interconnection Customer 1 ____ . %

Affected System Interconnection Customer 2 ____ . %

Affected System Interconnection Customer N ____ . %

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customers' payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

Attachment B to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

-

This Appendix B is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers in substantially the form following:

-

{Date}

-

{Affected System Interconnection Customers Addresses}

-

Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

Dear {Name or Title}:

-

This letter is sent pursuant to the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among { Interconnecting Transmission Owner } and {Affected System Interconnection Customers}, dated _____, 20__.

-

On {Date}, Interconnecting Transmission Owner completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facilities. Interconnecting Transmission Owner confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

-

Thank you.

-

{Signature}

{ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Representative}

Attachment C to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

-

Exhibit A1
Transmission Provider Site Map

-

Exhibit A2
Site Plan

-

Exhibit A3
Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

-

Exhibit A4
Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

-

-

		<u>Facilities to Be Constructed by Transmission Provider</u>	<u>Estimate in Dollars</u>
-	<u>Location</u>		
-	-	-	-
-	-	<u>Total:</u>	-

SCHEDULE 25

ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS [TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS

 2.1 Application of Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures

 2.2 Comparability

 2.3 Base Case Data

 2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service

 2.5 Treatment of Elective Transmission Upgrades for Transmission, Operations, and Scheduling Purposes

 2.6 Time Requirements

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS

 3.1 General

 3.2 Type of Interconnection Services and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment

 3.3 Valid Interconnection Request

 3.4 OASIS Posting

 3.5 Coordination with Affected Systems

 3.6 Withdrawal

SECTION 4. QUEUE POSITION

 4.1 General

 4.2 Reserved

 4.3 Transferability of Queue Position

 4.4 Modifications

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION

 5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests

 5.2 Reserved

 5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

 6.1 Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement

 6.2 Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study

 6.3 Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures

 6.4 Re-Study

SECTION 7. INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY

- 7.1 Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement
- 7.2 Execution of Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement
- 7.3 Scope of Interconnection System Impact Study
- 7.4 Interconnection System Impact Study Procedures
- 7.5 Meeting with Parties
- 7.6 Re-Study
- 7.7 Operational Readiness
- SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY
 - 8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement
 - 8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study
 - 8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures
 - 8.4 Meeting with Parties
 - 8.5 Re-Study
- SECTION 9. ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT (“E&P”) AGREEMENT
- SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY
 - 10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement
 - 10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study
 - 10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures
 - 10.4 Meeting with Parties
 - 10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study
- SECTION 11. ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (ETU IA)
 - 11.1 Tender
 - 11.2 Negotiation
 - 11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of ETU IA
 - 11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities
 - 11.5 Other Regulatory Arrangements
- SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES
 - 12.1 Schedule
 - 12.2 Construction Sequencing
- SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS
 - 13.1 Confidentiality

- 13.2 Delegation of Responsibility
- 13.3 Obligation for Study Costs
- 13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies
- 13.5 Disputes
- 13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds

APPENDICES TO ETU INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES

[TO BE FURTHER UPDATED]

APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

APPENDIX 2 INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 4 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 5 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 6 ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

SECTION I. DEFINITIONS.

The definitions contained in this section are intended to apply in the context of the Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnection process provided for in this Schedule 25 (and its appendices). To the extent that the definitions herein are different than those contained in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff, the definitions provided below shall control only for purposes of Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnections under this Schedule 25. Capitalized terms in Schedule 25 that are not defined in this Section I shall have the meanings specified in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff.

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection. ~~shall mean any electric system that is within the Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned transmission facilities, or any other electric system that is not within the Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.~~

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this ETU IP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area ~~Transmission System~~ Transmission System that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than the New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an Affected System Interconnection Customer in System Operator's interconnection queue relative to System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area that have an impact on the New England-Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this ETU IP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this ETU IP that is made between System Operator and Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this ETU IP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this ETU IP. ~~**Affected Party** shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.~~

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3.

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability databases used for the Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resource or Elective Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Import Capability (“CNI Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNI Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service (“CNI Interconnection Service”) shall mean, for an External Elective Transmission Upgrade that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility, the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt of Capacity Network Import Capability. Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study- conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section 2 of the Tariff as a result of the triggering of conditions in Section 4.2.1 ~~conducted to accommodate the Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.~~ The CETU shall be considered part of an ETU Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster

Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

~~**CETU CETU Participation Deposit Cluster Participation Deposit**~~ shall mean ~~the a Commercial~~ Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in initial and additional deposit due under Sections 4.2.

~~**Cluster Entry Deadline**~~ shall mean ~~the deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1.~~

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this ETU IP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this ETU IP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this ETU IP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby ~~one or more a group of~~ Interconnection Requests ~~are~~ studied together, ~~instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this ETU IP. for the purpose of conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study and Interconnection Facilities Study and for the purpose of determining cost responsibility for upgrades identified through the Clustering provisions.~~

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of an Elective Transmission Upgrade that has commenced transmitting electricity, excluding performance during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date shall mean the date on which the Elective Transmission Upgrade commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Appendix E to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 5.1.1.3, 7.5, and 8.1 of this ETU IP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this ETU IP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 17 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Elective Transmission Upgrade ("ETU") shall mean a new Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnecting to the Administered Transmission System, or an upgrade to an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is part of or interconnected to the Administered Transmission System for which the Interconnection Customer has agreed to pay all of the costs of said Elective Transmission Upgrade and of any additions or modifications to the Administered Transmission System that are required to accommodate the Elective Transmission Upgrade. An Elective Transmission Upgrade is not a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade, a Regional Transmission Upgrade, or a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade.

Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement (“ETU IA”) shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to an Elective Transmission Upgrade, that is included in this Schedule 25 to Section II of the Tariff.

Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures (“ETU IP”) shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to an Elective Transmission Upgrade that are included in this Schedule 25 to Section II of the Tariff.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Elective Transmission Upgrade or Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities.

Engineering & Procurement (“E&P”) Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

External Elective Transmission Upgrade (“External ETU”) shall mean an Elective Transmission Upgrade that interconnects the New England Control Area with another Control Area.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order,

regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility is defined in Section I of Schedule 22 and Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 of Section II to the Tariff.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest in the portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator, and may refer to one or more Transmission Owners in the case of an Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of

Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Appendix A of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, that are separate and distinct from the Elective Transmission Upgrade and are located between the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may be sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission ~~are sole use facilities.~~

Interconnection Facilities shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as identified in the Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, or the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Elective Transmission

Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of this ETU IP.

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study** shall mean a preliminary evaluation of the system impact and cost of interconnecting the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System, the scope of which is described in Section 6 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. The Interconnection Customer has the option to request either that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. If the Interconnection Customer requests that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and there shall be only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 2 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

Interconnection Request shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to the ~~Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures~~ETU IP, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System; (ii) make a Material Modification to an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the capability of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System;

(iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System; or (v) change from NI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service for an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is eligible to request such services. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect to a transmission facility that is not part of the Administered Transmission System.

Interconnection Service shall mean the right to interconnect the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection pursuant to the terms of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff. For an External Elective Transmission Upgrade that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility, Interconnection Service shall include Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service or Network Import Interconnection Service.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study~~, the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study, the Cluster Study, the Cluster Restudy, ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. ~~Interconnection Study shall not include a CNR Group Study.~~

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: the Affected System Study Agreement, the Cluster Study Agreement, ~~the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement~~, ~~the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement~~, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study** shall mean an engineering study that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection of an Elective Transmission Upgrade on the safety and reliability of the Administered Transmission System and any other Affected System. The study shall identify and detail the system impacts that would result if the Elective Transmission Upgrade were interconnected without project modifications or system modifications, focusing on Adverse System Impacts, or to study potential impacts, including but not limited to those identified in the Scoping Meeting as described in the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. If the Interconnection Customer requests that the~~

~~Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and there shall be only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 3 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

~~**Internal Affected Party** shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.~~

~~**Internal Affected System** shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.~~

~~**Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade (“Internal ETU”)** shall mean an Elective Transmission Upgrade that interconnects solely within the New England Control Area.~~

~~**IRS** shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.~~

~~**ETU IA Deposit** shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed LGIAETU IAETUIA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the LGIAETU IAETU IA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this LGIPETU IPETU IP.~~

~~**Long Lead Time Facility (“Long Lead Facility”)** shall mean a Generating Facility or an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, respectively, that has, as applicable, elected or requested long lead time treatment and met the eligibility criteria and requirements specified in Schedule 22 or Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff, respectively,~~

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party's performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean: (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A to the Interconnection Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer, that either require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (*i.e.*, an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days) on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with an equal or later Queue Position-priority date; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility, or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; or (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Trial Operation Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control; ~~(iv) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.4, a withdrawal of a request for Long Lead Facility treatment; or (v) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.6, an election to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated.~~

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed pursuant to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this ETU IP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this ETU IP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this ETU IP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard (“NC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Import Capability (“NI Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NI Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Import Interconnection Service (“NI Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s Network Import Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the Network Import Capability. Network Import Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of ~~this Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures~~ ETU IP for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, where the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point(s), as set forth in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the System Operator in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility and/or Elective Transmission Upgrade in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission service ~~and~~

~~notification of requests for interconnection to other electric systems, as notified by the other electric systems, that impact the Administered Transmission System. References to a “higher queued” Interconnection Request shall mean one that has been received by System Operator (and placed in queue order) earlier than another Interconnection Request, which is referred to as “lower queued.”~~

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of the System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, ~~to exchange~~ information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this ETU IP, and analyzing such information~~to analyze such information, and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection.~~

Site Control shall mean ~~the exclusive right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility~~Elective Transmission Upgrade over the term of expected operation of the Elective Transmission Upgrade~~Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by documentation establishing~~~~documentation reasonably demonstrating~~: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for the Elective Transmission Upgrade’s terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection(s) within the New England Control Area; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property for the Elective Transmission Upgrade’s terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for the Elective Transmission Upgrade’s terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an

easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property where the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations will be located at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the System Operator's Proportional Impact Method. ~~The~~ System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections 7.3 and 7.5 of this ETU IP.

Substation Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions, and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Elective Transmission Upgrade and (2) the Elective Transmission Upgrade from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“Transitional CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3A of the Tariff and Section 5.1.1.3 of this ETU IP.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this ETU IP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this ETU IP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this ETU IP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this ETU IP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Elective Transmission Upgrade prior to Commercial Operation.

Trial Operation Date shall mean the date upon which the Elective Transmission Upgrade begins Trial Operation.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by System Operator to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from System Operator’s interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this ETU IP.

SECTION 2. SCOPE, APPLICATION AND TIME REQUIREMENTS.

2.1 Application of Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

The ETU IP and ETU IA shall apply to Interconnection Requests pertaining to Elective Transmission Upgrades. Except as expressly provided in the ETU IP and ETU IA, nothing in the ETU IP or ETU IA shall be construed to limit the authority or obligations that ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator, as applicable, has with regard to ISO New England Operating Documents.

2.2. Comparability.

~~The~~ System Operator shall receive, process and analyze all Interconnection Requests in a timely manner as set forth in this ETU IP. ~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~will use the same Reasonable Efforts in~~ shall processing and analyzing Interconnection Requests from all Interconnection Customers, regardless of whether the ETU is owned by ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, its subsidiaries or Affiliates, or others.

2.3 Base Case Data.

System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall provide Base Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases, including all underlying assumptions, and contingency lists upon request to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer and any third party consultant retained by ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer. For the purpose of this provision, Base Case Data may include the electromagnetic transient network model that does not include proprietary electromagnetic transient equipment models. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating disclosure or confidentiality of such information. System Operator is permitted to require that ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer or third party consultant sign a confidentiality agreement before the release of information governed by Section 13.1 or the ISO New England Information Policy, or the release of any other information that is commercially sensitive or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information. Such databases and lists, hereinafter referred to as Base Cases, shall include all generation and transmission projects that are proposed for the New England Transmission System and any Affected System or Internal Affected System and for which a transmission expansion plan has been submitted and approved by the applicable authority and which, in the sole judgment of ~~the~~ System Operator, may have an impact on the Interconnection Request. The Base Cases shall also include generation projects that are not participating in ~~the~~ System Operator's interconnection process, but are expected to achieve approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff within 90 days from the date of the creation of the Base Cases and for which

steady state, short circuit, ~~and~~ stability and electromagnetic transient models for the generation projects and any associated system upgrades have been provided to ~~the~~ System Operator. ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer, where applicable, shall provide Base Case Data to ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to facilitate required Interconnection Studies.

System Operator shall provide a link to the secured location on its website that contains the information required under this Section 2.3 on System Operator's OASIS site. System Operator is permitted to require that Interconnection Customers or their third party consultants, OASIS site users, and users of the secured location on System Operator's website sign a confidentiality agreement before the release of information governed by Section 13.1 or the ISO New England Information Policy, or the release of any other information that is commercially sensitive or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information.

2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service.

Nothing in this ETU IP shall constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.

2.5 Treatment of Elective Transmission Upgrades for Transmission, Operations, and Scheduling Purposes.

All ETUs must be categorized as PTF, Non-PTF, MTF or OTF. External ETUs will be treated for transmission, operations and scheduling purposes by the System Operator in a manner consistent with similarly situated PTF, Non-PTF, MTF or OTF under the Tariff. Internal ETUs will be operated and scheduled by the System Operator without recognition of physical transmission rights.

2.6 Time Requirements.

Parties that must perform a specific obligation under a provision of the ETU IP or ETU IA within a specified time period shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete such obligation within the applicable time period. A Party may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion and within the time period set forth by the applicable procedure or agreement, request that the relevant Party consent to a mutually agreeable alternative time schedule, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

SECTION 3. INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS.

3.1 General.

To initiate an Interconnection Request, ~~an~~ Interconnection Customer must comply with all of the requirements set forth in Section 3.3.1. The Interconnection Customer shall submit a separate Interconnection Request(s) for each Elective Transmission Upgrade of a: (a) specific technology to be interconnected at a designated Point of Interconnection for a specific capability; or (b) specific objective to facilitate the operation of specific Generating Facility(ies), including achieving CNR Interconnection Service, to increase transfer capability between two specific endpoints, or another specific and clearly defined discrete objective that the ~~I~~System Operator, at its sole discretion, determines that it is appropriate to propose in a single Interconnection Request. ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer must comply with the requirements specified in Section 3.3.1 for each Interconnection Request even when more than one request is submitted.

Within three (3) Business Days after the close of the Cluster Request Window, System Operator shall submit a copy of all valid Interconnection Requests received to Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s).

At Interconnection Customer's option, System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, will identify alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configurations at ~~the~~ Scoping Meeting within the Customer Engagement Window to evaluate in this process and attempt to eliminate alternatives in a reasonable fashion given resources and information available. Interconnection Customer will select the definitive Point(s) of Interconnection to be studied no later than the execution of the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or the Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study Agreement, if the Interconnection Customer elects not to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study. For purposes of Clustering of Interconnection Requests, System Operator, in its sole discretion, may propose changes to the requested Point(s) of Interconnection to facilitate efficient interconnection of Interconnection Customers at common Point(s) of Interconnection. System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in writing of any intended changes to the requested Point(s) of Interconnection within the Customer Engagement Window, and the Point(s) of Interconnection shall only change upon mutual agreement of the involved parties.

Commercial Readiness Deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this ETU IP must be (a) delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer, (b)~~or~~ through the provision

and maintenance of an irrevocable letter of credit in the form and from a financial institution acceptable to System Operator and included on the List of Eligible Letter of Credit Issuers, as described on the System Operator's public website, or (c) a combination thereof. Each letter of credit must specify the Interconnection Request to which it corresponds. All costs associated with obtaining a letter of credit shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer. In the event that System Operator identifies an administrative deficiency with a submitted letter of credit, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days to cure the deficiency. If the System Operator removes the financial institution from the list, Interconnection Customer shall have five (5) Business Days from the date on which System Operator provides notice of such removal to replace the letter of credit with a letter of credit from a financial institution on the list. The System Operator may extend this cure period in its sole discretion. Failure to cure a deficiency within the the periods prescribed in this Section 3.1 shall result in the withdrawal of the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.7 of the LGIP without further opportunity to cure.

All other deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this ETU IP must be made in cash and delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer within the period specified in the respective provision.

A deposit will not be considered received until it is in the System Operator's bank account or, in the case of a Commercial Readiness Deposit, the Letter of Credit is provided to the System Operator. Deposits that must be submitted to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be submitted in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

All deposits that must be submitted to the System Operator under this ETU IP, must be delivered to the System Operator's bank account by electronic transfer within the period specified in the respective provision. A deposit will not be considered received until it is in the System Operator's bank account.

3.2 Type of Interconnection Services ~~and Long Lead Time Facility Treatment.~~

Interconnection Service for all Elective Transmission Upgrades is the right to interconnect the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System at the Point(s) of Interconnection pursuant to the terms of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff. For an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility, Interconnection Service shall include CNI Interconnection Service or NI Interconnection Service. An External ETU Merchant Transmission

Facility or Other Transmission Facility is a controllable facility if it employs technology that, in the judgment of ~~the~~ System Operator, enables full control over the direction and amount of power flow on the Elective Transmission Upgrade without adjusting the dispatch of resources within or outside of the New England Control Area, and can be scheduled, curtailed and operated independently from any other interface that interconnects the New England Control Area with another Control Area.

An External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility seeking to import capacity and/or energy into the New England Control Area must select either CNI Interconnection Service or NI Interconnection Service at the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, as described in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 below. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain CNI Interconnection Service shall obtain NI Interconnection Service up to the NI Capability upon completion of all requirements for NI Interconnection Service, including all necessary upgrades. Upon completion of all requirements for the CNI Interconnection Service, ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer shall also receive CNI Interconnection Service for CNI Capability. An Interconnection Customer that meets the requirements to obtain NI Interconnection Service shall receive NI Interconnection Service for ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's NI Capability. ~~At the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, the Interconnection Customer may also request Long Lead Facility treatment in accordance with Section 3.2.3.~~

Interconnection Studies for Elective Transmission Upgrades shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnects to the Administered Transmission System consistent with the objectives specified in the ETU Interconnection Request and in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Elective Transmission Upgrade.

3.2.1 Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service.

3.2.1.1 The Product.

~~The~~ System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and ~~with~~ other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and

other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNI Interconnection Service allows ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility to enable the participation of an Import Capacity Resource in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the CNI Capability or as otherwise provided in the Tariff.

3.2.1.2 The Studies.

All Interconnection Studies for CNI Interconnection Service shall assure that ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the facility. For Interconnection Requests seeking to achieve CNI Capability by obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024, the CNR Group Study ~~The CNR Group Study for CNI Interconnection Service~~ shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resources and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. For all other Interconnection Requests, the intra-zonal deliverability analysis shall be performed as part of the Transitional Cluster Study or Cluster Study. ~~The~~ System Operator, in coordination with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer, ~~the~~ System Operator and as appropriate, ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.1.3 Milestones for Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service.

In addition to the requirements set forth in this ETU IP, to achieve CNI Interconnection Service through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service or its counterparty (i.e., Import Capacity Resource), as applicable, In addition to the requirements set forth in this ETU IP, an Interconnection

~~Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service or its counterparty (i.e., Import Capacity Resource) that seeks to achieve CNR Capability by that obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation through an auction in the Forward Capacity Market prior to September 1, 2024 as required~~ shall complete the following milestones prior to receiving CNI Interconnection Service for the CNI Capability, such milestones to be specified in Appendix B of the ETU IA, as either completed or to be completed: (i) submit the necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Elective Transmission Upgrade's Commercial Operation Date (except as modified pursuant to Sections 3.2.3 or 4.4 of the ETU IP) in accordance with the provisions of Section III.13 of the Tariff; (ii) participate in a CNR Group Study for the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the requested Elective Transmission Upgrade's Commercial Operation Date; (iii) qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff; and (iv) complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study and CNR Group Study after the Forward Capacity Auction, Reconfiguration Auction, or bilateral transaction through which ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's counterparty received a Capacity Supply Obligation to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. The re-study shall include those CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests with a higher Queue Position that cleared and shall exclude any upgrades that are no longer necessary as a result of existing capacity that will be retired as of the start of the Capacity Commitment Period for which the resource of ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's counterparty received a Capacity Supply Obligation. With respect to (iv) above, if an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed, the Interconnection Study associated with the Interconnection Study Agreement shall include the necessary analysis that would otherwise have been performed in a re-study. If the original Interconnection Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Study Agreement. If an ETU IA has been either executed or filed with the Commission in unexecuted form, then the last Interconnection Study completed for ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer under this ETU IP shall be subject to re-study. The Appendices to the ETU IA shall be amended (pursuant to Article 30 of the ETU IA) to reflect CNI Capability and the results of the re-study.

After September 1, 2024, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this LGIP prior to receiving CNR Interconnection Service. All other Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service that seek to achieve CNI Interconnection Service after September 1, 2024, shall complete the requirements in this ETU IP. Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests for

CNI Interconnection Service shall complete the intra-zonal deliverability assessment by electing to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, Transitional Cluster Study or submit a new Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service during the applicable Cluster Entry Window to participate and complete a Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Customer with a valid Interconnection Request for CNR Interconnection Service that has a completed Interconnection System Impact Study as of May 1, 2024, but that has not received a Capacity Supply Obligation through the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction or an earlier auction may: 1) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff or 2) seek to complete the process for obtaining CNR Interconnection Service through the Transitional Cluster Study. Notwithstanding any other provision of the Tariff, an Interconnection Customer may seek to participate in both the process described in Section III.13.1.1.2A of the Tariff and the Transitional Cluster Study simultaneously. If the Interconnection Customer achieves CNR Interconnection Service through Section III.13.1.1.2A, it may withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study without penalty and be refunded any remaining study deposits associated with the Transitional Cluster Study. If the Interconnection Customer does not enter, or complete, the process described in either Section III.13.1.1.2A or the Transitional Cluster Study, the System Operator shall reduce the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request to NR Interconnection Service.

3.2.2 Network Import Interconnection Service.

3.2.2.1 The Product.

~~The~~ System Operator must conduct the necessary studies in conjunction with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and ~~with~~ other Affected Parties as appropriate and in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and other Affected Parties as appropriate must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU under the NC Interconnection Standard. Notwithstanding the above, the portion of a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU that has been interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be used to support an Import Capacity Resource'(s') participation in the Forward Capacity Market under Section III.13 of the Tariff, except pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service.

3.2.2.2 The Studies.

The Interconnection Studies for an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's External ETU satisfies the minimum characteristics required to interconnect in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the unit, in accordance with the NC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The System Operator, in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, may also study the New England Transmission System under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and as appropriate the Interconnecting Transmission Owner must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

3.2.2.3 Milestones for Network Import Interconnection Service.

An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for NI Interconnection Service shall complete the requirements in this ETU IP prior to receiving NI Interconnection Service.

~~3.2.3 Long Lead Time Facility Treatment.~~

~~3.2.3.1 Treatment of Long Lead Facility.~~

~~Long Lead Facilities receive the treatment described herein in connection with the associated request of the Interconnection Customer for CNR Interconnection Service for its Large Generating Facility Elective Transmission Upgrade or CNI Interconnection Service for its External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility. Long Lead Facility treatment provides for the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility or controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU, after the completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study, to be modeled in the Base Cases for the next CNR Group Study to determine whether the Long Lead Facility would have qualified or enabled the qualification of an Import Capacity Resource to participate in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with that CNR Group Study, in accordance with Section III.13.1.2 of the Tariff, but for the Long Lead Facility's development cycle (which shall include development of required transmission upgrades). If the Long Lead Facility is deemed to qualify or have enabled an associated Import Capacity Resource to qualify, the Long Lead Facility shall be included in the re-study pursuant to Section 3.2.1.3(iv) in order to determine the facilities and upgrades that would be necessary in order to accommodate the Interconnection Request of the Long Lead Facility, and for which~~

~~costs the Interconnection Customer must be responsible. In order to maintain Long Lead Facility status, the Interconnection Customer must commit to the completion of these facilities and upgrades in time to allow the Long Lead Facility to achieve its Commercial Operation Date by the start of the associated Capacity Commitment Period. In addition, the Long Lead Facility will be treated as a New Generating Capacity Resource in the case of a Generating Facility or as if an Import Capacity Resource associated with the Long Lead Facility cleared in the case of an External ETU for the sole purpose of inclusion of the Long Lead Facility in the CNR Group Studies for the Forward Capacity Auctions that precede the Forward Capacity Auction for the Capacity Commitment Period by which the Long Lead Facility is expected to have achieved Commercial Operation. If an earlier queued Generating Facility seeking CNR Interconnection Service or an Import Capacity Resource associated with an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is seeking CNI Interconnection Service obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to or simultaneous with the Forward Capacity Auction in which the Long Lead Facility or its contractual counterparty in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation, the Long Lead Facility will be re-studied in order to determine whether any additional facilities and upgrades to those identified prior to the CNR Group Study must be completed, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, prior to its Commercial Operation Date. A Long Lead Facility's cost responsibility for the facilities necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request shall not be impacted by a Generating Facility or an External ETU with a Queue Position lower than the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty in the case of an External ETU that clears in a Forward Capacity Auction, in accordance with Section III.13.2 of the Tariff, prior to the clearance of the Long Lead Facility.~~

~~3.2.3.2 Request for Long Lead Facility Treatment.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer requesting CNR Interconnection Service for its proposed Generating Facility or CNI Interconnection Service for its proposed controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU, which the Interconnection Customer projects to have a development cycle that would not be completed until after the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for the Long Lead Facility is made) may elect or request Long Lead Facility treatment in the following manner:~~

- ~~(a) — An Interconnection Customer proposing a Generating Facility or a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU with a requested CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service of 100 MW or more may elect Long~~

~~Lead Facility treatment at the time the Interconnection Request is submitted, together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section 3.2.3.3.~~

~~(b) — An Interconnection Customer proposing a Generating Facility or a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU with a requested CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service under 100 MW at may request Long Lead Facility treatment by submitting a written request to the System Operator for its review and approval, explaining why the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU cannot achieve Commercial Operation by the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for Long Lead Facility treatment is made), together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section 3.2.3.3. In reviewing the request, the System Operator shall evaluate the feasibility of the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU achieving Commercial Operation to meet an earlier Capacity Commitment Period based on the information provided in the request and the critical path schedule submitted pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3, in a manner similar to that performed under Section III.13.3.2 of the Tariff. Within forty five (45) Business Days after its receipt of the request for Long Lead Facility treatment, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer in writing whether the request has been granted or denied. If the System Operator determines that the Generating Facility or the controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility External ETU can achieve a Commercial Operation Date prior to the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction, the Interconnection Customer's request shall be denied. The dispute resolution provisions of the LGIPETU IPETUIPETUIPETUIP in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETU IP for an External ETU are not available for disputes or claims associated with the ISO's determination to deny an Interconnection Customer's request for Long Lead Facility treatment.~~

~~(e) — An Interconnection Customer that did not request Long Lead Facility treatment at the time the Interconnection Request was submitted, may thereafter submit a request for treatment as a Long Lead Facility, together with the critical path schedule and deposits required in Section 3.2.3.3 and, if applicable, a request for an extension of the Commercial Operation Date specified in the Interconnection Request in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. A request for Long~~

~~Lead Facility treatment that is submitted after the initial Interconnection Request will not be eligible to participate in any Forward Capacity Auction prior to the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the extended Commercial Operation Date. The Long Lead Facility will be modeled in the Base Cases for the CNR Study Group associated with the near term Forward Capacity Auction unless that CNR Study Group is underway, in which case the Long Lead Facility will be modeled in the next CNR Study Group.~~

~~3.2.3.3 Critical Path Schedule and Deposits for Long Lead Facility Treatment.~~

~~At the time an Interconnection Customer submits an election or request for Long Lead Facility treatment, the Interconnection Customer must submit, together with the request:~~

~~(1) Critical Path Schedule. A critical path schedule, in writing, for the Long Lead Facility (with a development cycle that would not be completed until after the beginning of the Capacity Commitment Period associated with the next Forward Capacity Auction (after the election for the Long Lead Facility is made) that meets the requirements set forth in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2 of the Tariff. The Interconnection Customer must submit annually, in writing, an updated critical path schedule to the System Operator by the closing deadline of each New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window that precedes the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Capacity Commitment Period by which the Long Lead Facility is expected to have achieved Commercial Operation, prior to the inclusion of the Long Lead Facility in the Base Case for the CNR Group Study associated with the corresponding New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window. With its annual update, for each critical path schedule milestone achieved since the submission of the previous critical path schedule update, the Interconnection Customer must include in the critical path update documentation demonstrating that the milestone has been achieved by the date indicated and as otherwise described in the critical path schedule.~~

~~(2) Long Lead Facility Deposits.~~

~~(a) Deposits. In addition to the deposits required elsewhere in the LGIPETU IPETUIPETUIPETUI in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETUP IP for External ETU, at the time of its request for Long Lead Facility treatment, in accordance with Section 3.2.3.3, and by each deadline for which a New Generating Capacity Resource is required to provide financial assurance under Section III.13.1.9.1 of the Tariff, the Interconnection Customer must provide a~~

~~separate deposit in the amount of $0.25 * (\text{Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price } (\$/\text{kW} - \text{mo}) / 2) * \text{requested CNR Capability or CNI Capability}$. For each calculation of the deposit, the System Operator shall use the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price in effect for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction at the time of that calculation, pursuant to Section III.13.2.4 of the Tariff, or the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price for the previous Forward Capacity Auction in the case where the Forward Capacity Auction Starting Price in effect for the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction has not yet been calculated. The total amount of deposits shall not exceed the Non-Commercial Capacity Financial Assurance Amount that the Long Lead Facility would be required to provide if the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty cleared in the upcoming Forward Capacity Auction, in accordance with Section III.13.1.9.1 of the Tariff. The Long Lead Facility deposits will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.6) (i) if the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Scoping Meeting or of the completion of the System Impact Study (including restudy of the System Impact Study), pursuant to Section 7, or (ii) once the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty clears in a Forward Capacity Auction.~~

~~**(b) Reductions.** Ten (10) percent of the Long Lead Facility deposits collected pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a) shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request (except as provided in Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a)) after the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty fails to qualify or qualifies and fails to clear in the Forward Capacity Auction that follows the first Forward Capacity Auction for which the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty could qualify based on the Commercial Operation Date specified in the initial critical path schedule for the Long Lead Facility. An additional five (5) percent of the Long Lead Facility deposits collected pursuant to Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a) shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request (except as provided in Section 3.2.3.3(2)(a)) following each subsequent Forward Capacity Auction in which the Long Lead Facility or its counterparty fails to qualify or qualifies and fails to clear such Forward Capacity Auction, not to exceed the maximum period allowed under Sections 3.3.1, 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. The non-refundable portions of the deposits shall be credited to the revenue requirements under Schedule 1 of Section IV of the Tariff.~~

~~3.2.3.4 Withdrawal and Refunds After Expenditures for Upgrades.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer that provides documentation in the critical path schedule update to be submitted in accordance with Section 3.2.3.3(1), showing expenditures of the required amounts for upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies for the Long Lead Facility, may submit a withdrawal of the Interconnection Request for the Long Lead Facility, in accordance with Section 3.6, at any time up to thirty (30) Calendar Days, after the Long Lead Facility's or its counterparty's failure to clear in any Forward Capacity Auction. In such instance, the Interconnection Customer shall receive a refund from the System Operator of the Long Lead Facility deposits (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.6) as adjusted pursuant to 3.2.3.3(2), if appropriate, and from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner a refund of the payments for the upgrades that exceed the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws only its election or request for Long Lead Facility treatment, such withdrawal will be considered a Material Modification and the Long Lead Facility will lose its Queue Position unless its withdrawal occurs within one of the thirty (30) day periods described in Section 3.2.3.3(2) of the LGIPETU IPETUIPETUIPETUIP in the case of a Generating Facility or the ETU IP for an External ETU.~~

~~3.2.3.5 Additional Requirements to Maintain Long Lead Facility Treatment.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Long Lead Facility must begin payment as required by the transmission expenditure schedule for the transmission upgrade costs that have been identified in the pertinent Interconnection Studies. The Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service shall be deemed withdrawn under Section 3.6 if the Interconnection Customer fails to comply with the requirements for Long Lead Facility treatment, including the milestones specified in Section 3.2.1.4. In this circumstance, the conditions specified in an Interconnection Agreement for a Generating Facility seeking CNR Interconnection Service or External ETU seeking CNI Interconnection Service that had an Interconnection Request of a Queue Position lower than the Long Lead Facility, but cleared (in the case of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, the Import Capacity Resource) in a Forward Capacity Auction prior to the Long Lead Facility, shall be removed.~~

~~3.2.3.6 Participation in Earlier Forward Capacity Auctions.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Long Lead Facility may, without loss of Queue Position, elect to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated, but only if the election to accelerate is made to the System Operator in writing within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Scoping Meeting or within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the completion of the System Impact Study (but before the~~

~~Long Lead Facility and the results of the associated System Impact Study are incorporated into the Base Cases). Otherwise, such an election shall be considered a Material Modification.~~

3.3 Valid Interconnection Request.

3.3.1 Cluster Request Window.

~~System Operator shall accept Interconnection Requests during a forty-five (45) Calendar Day period (the Cluster Request Window). The initial Cluster Request Window shall open for Interconnection Requests sixty (60) Calendar Days after conclusion of the 360-day transition process set forth in Section 5.1 of this ETU IP. All subsequent Cluster Request Windows shall open sixty (60) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Results Meeting or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate). System Operator shall provide notice via posting on its public website at least thirty (30) Calendar Days, prior to each respective Cluster Request Window opening.~~

3.3.1.1 Study Deposits.

~~Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator, during a Cluster Request Window, an Interconnection Request in the form of Appendix 1 to this ETU IP, a potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$50,000, and a refundable cluster study deposit of \$250,000. System Operator shall apply the initial deposit toward the costs incurred by the System Operator associated with the Interconnection Request, the cost of developing the study agreements and their attachments, and the cost of developing the ETUIA. The study deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Cluster Study Process.~~

3.3.2~~1~~ Initiating an Interconnection Request.

~~An Interconnection Customer seeking to join a Cluster shall submit its Interconnection Request to System Operator within, and no later than the close of, the Cluster Request Window. Interconnection Requests submitted outside of the Cluster Request Window will not be considered. To initiate and establish a valid Interconnection Request, Interconnection Customer must submit all of the following to the System Operator in the manner specified in Appendix 1 Interconnection Request to this ETU IP: (i) an, potentially non-refundable initial deposit of \$50,000, (ii) a completed application in the form of Appendix 1, (iii) all information and deposits required under Section 3.3, and (iv) demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control for any HVDC terminals associated with the ETU. ~~or (1) a signed affidavit~~~~

~~from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of \$2,000,000. Interconnection Requests from multiple Interconnection Customers for multiple Generating Facilities that share a site must include a contract or other agreement that allows for shared land us. Interconenction Customer shall also be required to provide-(v) a Commercial Readiness Deposit equal to two times the study deposit described in Section 3.1.1.1 of this ETU IP in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash. This Commercial Readiness Deposit is refunded to Interconnection Customer according to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP. Interconnection Customer shall also provide,-(vi) a Point of Interconnection, and; -(vii) if applicable, whether the Interconnection Request shall be studied for Network Import Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, consistent with this ETU IP. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNI Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNI Interconnection Service to NI Interconnection Service if the System Operator identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NI Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NI Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer that submits a deposit in lieu of Site Control due to demonstrated regulatory limitations must demonstrate that it is taking identifiable steps to secure the necessary regulatory approvals from the applicable federal, state, and/or tribal entities before execution of the Cluster Study Agreement. Such deposit will be held by System Operator until Interconnection Customer provides the required Site Control demonstration for its Elective Transmission Upgrade in the Cluster Study Process. Interconnection Customers facing qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control within one-hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days of the effective date of the ETU IA.~~

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iii) of this ETU IP. If System Operator determines, based on Interconnection Customer's information, that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall give Interconnection Customer ten (10) Business Days to demonstrate satisfaction with the applicable requirement subject to System Operator's approval. Absent such, System Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP without further opportunity to cure.

~~ca-posting of an additional deposit of \$10,000 in lieu of Site Control for all Interconnection Request except those requesting CNI Interconnection Service, in which case Site Control is required.~~

Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for (i) a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility, or Other Transmission Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property, or (ii) a modification to existing Pool Transmission Facility that is not owned by the Interconnection Customer.

The portions of the deposit of \$50,000 that have not been applied as provided in this Section 3.3.1 shall be refundable ~~if (i) the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6, within ten (10) Business Days of the Scoping Meeting, (ii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, (iii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS requests, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in relative order with any other Interconnection Request also requesting to be re-queued pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in which case the unused balance of the deposit of \$50,000 (and any study related deposits, if any have been submitted) shall be applied toward the re-queued Interconnection Request's initial deposit of \$50,000 and any remaining balance will be refunded, or (iv)~~ if the Interconnection Customer executes an ETU IA. Otherwise, any unused balance of the deposit of \$50,000 shall be non-refundable and applied on a pro-rata basis to offset costs incurred by Interconnection Customers ~~with lower Queue Positions~~ that are subject to re-study, as determined by the System Operator in accordance with the provisions of this ETU IP, as a result of the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request within the same Cluster-a higher Queue Position.

~~The initial deposit of \$50,000 shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the System Operator associated with the Interconnection Request and Long Lead Facility treatment, as well as, the costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and/or the Interconnection System Impact Study, including the cost of developing the study agreements and their attachments, and the cost of developing the ETU IA. For Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit of \$50,000 also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS.~~

~~If, in the case of a request that is not for CNI Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer demonstrates Site Control within the cure period specified in Section 3.3.3 after submitting its Interconnection Request, the additional deposit of \$10,000 shall be refundable; otherwise, that deposit shall be applied as provided in Section 3.1, including, toward the costs of any Interconnection Studies pursuant to the Interconnection Request, the cost of developing the study agreement(s) and associated attachment(s), and the cost of developing the ETU IA. For Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. The portions of the deposit of \$10,000 that have not been applied as provided in this Section 3.3.1 also shall be refundable if (i) the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6, within ten (10) Business Days of the Scoping Meeting, (ii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, (iii) the Interconnection Customer that is eligible for inclusion in a CSIS requests, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.1, in which case the unused balance of the deposit shall be applied toward the re-queued Interconnection Request in lieu of Site Control for NR Interconnection Service, or (iv) if the Interconnection Customer executes an ETU IA.~~

The expected Trial Operation Date of the new Elective Transmission Upgrade, or the increase in capability of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility interconnected to the Administered Transmission System, or of the implementation of a Material Modification to an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System shall not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator,

unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates that such time required to actively engineer, permit and construct the new Elective Transmission Upgrade or increase in capability of the existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility interconnected to the Administered Transmission System or implement the Material Modification to an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System will take longer than the seven year period. Upon such demonstration, the Trial Operation Date may succeed the date the Interconnection Request is received by the System Operator by a period of greater than seven (7) years so long as the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner agree; such agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Within sixty (60) days of submitting an Interconnection Request to the System Operator, the Interconnection Customer with a request for an External ETU, shall provide evidence that it has submitted a valid request with the other Control Area to which it seeks to interconnect. Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, if such evidence is not provided within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, the Interconnection Request will immediately be deemed withdrawn.

3.3.32 Acknowledgment of Interconnection Request.

System Operator shall acknowledge receipt of the Interconnection Request within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the request and attach a copy of the received Interconnection Request to the acknowledgement. ~~With the System Operator's acknowledgement of a valid Interconnection Request, the System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 or an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 3.~~

3.3.43 Deficiencies in Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Request will not be considered to be a valid Interconnection Request until all items in Section 3.3.24 have been received by the System Operator. If an Interconnection Request fails to meet the requirements set forth in Section 3.3.1, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer within five (5) Business Days of receipt of the initial Interconnection Request of the reasons for such failure and that the Interconnection Request does not constitute a valid request. Interconnection Customer

shall provide the System Operator the additional requested information needed to constitute a valid request within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of such notice but no later than the close of the Cluster Request Window. ~~Failure by Interconnection Customer to comply with this Section 3.3.3 shall be treated in accordance with Section 3.6.~~

3.3.5 Customer Engagement Window.

Upon the close of each Cluster Request Window, System Operator shall open a sixty (60) Calendar Day period (Customer Engagement Window). During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements-. Notwithstanding the preceding requirements and upon written consent of all Interconnection Customers within the Cluster, System Operator may shorten the Customer Engagement Window and begin the Cluster Study. Within ten (10) Business Days of the opening of the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall post on its OASIS a list of Interconnection Requests for that Cluster. The list shall identify, for each anonymized Interconnection Request: (1) the requested amount of Interconnection Service; (2) the location by county and state; (3) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (4) the projected In-Service Date; (5) the type of Interconnection Service requested; and (6) the type of Generating Facility or Facilities to be constructed, including fuel types, such as coal, natural gas, solar, or wind. The System Operator must ensure that project information is anonymized and does not reveal the identity or commercial information of Interconnection Customers with submitted requests. During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding, updated good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for completing the Cluster Study and a Cluster Study Agreement to be executed prior to the close of the Customer Engagement Window.

At the end of the Customer Engagement Window, all Interconnection Requests deemed valid that have executed a Cluster Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 to this ETU IP shall be included in the Cluster Study. Any Interconnection Requests not deemed valid at the close of the Customer Engagement Window shall be deemed withdrawn (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this ETU IP) by System Operator, the initial deposit shall be forfeited to the System Operator, and the System Operator shall return the study deposit and Commercial Readiness Deposit to Interconnection Customer.

Immediately following the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall initiate the Cluster Study described in Section 7 of this ETU IP.

3.3.64 Cluster Study Scoping Meetings.

During the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator shall hold a Scoping Meeting with all Interconnecting Transmission Owners, Interconnection Customers whose valid Interconnection Requests were received in that Cluster Request Window, and any identified Affected Parties, or Internal Affected Parties as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

The purpose of the Scoping Meeting shall be (i) to discuss alternative interconnection options, (ii) to exchange pertinent information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would reasonably be expected to impact such interconnection options, (iii) to discuss Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this ETU IP, as applicable,; (iv) to analyze such information, and (v) to discuss any other information necessary to facilitate the administration of the Interconnection Procedures.

~~Within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of a valid Interconnection Request, System Operator shall establish a date agreeable to Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, for a Scoping Meeting, and such date shall be no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the valid Interconnection Request, unless otherwise mutually agreed upon by the Parties.~~

~~The purpose of the Scoping Meeting shall be (i) to discuss the estimated timeline for completing all applicable Interconnection Studies, and alternative interconnection options, (ii) to exchange pertinent information including any transmission data that would reasonably be expected to impact such interconnection options, (iii) to analyze such information, (iv) to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection, and (v) to discuss any other information necessary to facilitate the administration of the Interconnection Procedures. If a PSCAD model is required, the Parties shall discuss this at the Scoping Meeting. If the Interconnection Customer provided the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A with the Interconnection Request, the Parties shall discuss the detailed project design at the Scoping Meeting.~~

The Parties will bring to the meeting such technical data, including, but not limited to: (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii) information regarding general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting. The Parties will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting. On the basis of the meeting, Interconnection Customer shall designate its Point of Interconnection, ~~pursuant to Section 6.1,~~ and one or more available alternative Point(s) of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be sufficient to accomplish its purpose.

If the Cluster Study Scoping Meeting consists of more than one Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall issue, no later than fifteen (15) Business Days after the commencement of the Customer Engagement Window, and Interconnection Customer shall execute a non-disclosure agreement in the form specified by System Operator -prior to a group Cluster Study Scoping Meeting, which will provide for confidentiality of identifying commercially sensitive information pertaining to any other Interconnection Customers.

~~Unless the Interconnection Request has been identified to be included in a CRPS or eligible for inclusion in a CSIS, within five (5) Business Days following the Scoping Meeting Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator, in writing, (i) whether it wants the Interconnection Feasibility Study to be completed as a separate and distinct study or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study; (ii) if requesting the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, which of the alternative study scopes is being selected pursuant to Section 6.2; and (iii) the Point(s) of Interconnection and any reasonable alternative Point(s) of Interconnection for inclusion in the attachment to the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement if the Interconnection Customer elects not to pursue the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

3.4 OASIS Posting.

The System Operator will maintain on its OASIS a list of all Interconnection Requests in its Control Area. The list will identify, for each Interconnection Request: (i) the maximum net summer and winter megawatt electrical output; (ii) the location by county and state of the Point of Interconnection; (iii) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (iv) the projected Trial Operation Date; (v) the status of the Interconnection Request, including Queue Position; (vi) the type of Interconnection Service being requested (i.e., CNI Interconnection Service or NI Interconnection Service); and (vii) the availability of any studies related to the Interconnection Request; (viii) the date of

the Interconnection Request; (ix) the type of Elective Transmission Upgrade to be constructed (e.g., Internal ETU, External ETU, controllable, non-controllable); and (x) for Interconnection Requests that have not resulted in a completed interconnection, an explanation as to why it was not completed. Except in the case of an Affiliate, the list will not disclose the identity of the Interconnection Customer until the Interconnection Customer executes an ETU IA or requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file an unexecuted ETU IA with the Commission. Before participating in a Scoping Meeting with an Interconnection Customer that is also an Affiliate, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall post on OASIS an advance notice of its intent to do so. The System Operator shall post to its OASIS site any deviations from the study timelines set forth herein. Interconnection Study reports and Optional Interconnection Study reports shall be posted to the System Operator's OASIS site subsequent to the meeting between the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and Interconnection Customer to discuss the applicable study results. The System Operator shall also post any known deviations in the Elective Transmission Upgrade's Trial Operation Date.

The requirements to post Interconnection Study Metrics and Cluster Study Processing Time contained in Sections 3.5.2 in Schedule 22 of the OATT shall apply to Interconnection Requests submitted under this Schedule 25.

3.5 Coordination with Internal Affected Systems.

The System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Internal Affected Systems with Internal Affected Parties and, if possible, include those results (if available) in its applicable Interconnection Study within the time frame specified in this ETU IP. The System Operator will include such Internal Affected Parties in all meetings held with the Interconnection Customer as required by this ETU IP. The Interconnection Customer will cooperate with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs associated with the studies or portions of studies associated with the Internal Affected Systems, including costs associated with the requirements of Section I.3.9 of the Tariff. Payment and refunds associated with the costs of such studies will be coordinated between the Interconnection Customer and the Internal Affected Party(ies).

The System Operator shall seek the cooperation of all Internal Affected Parties in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Internal Affected Systems. Nothing in the foregoing is intended to authorize the Interconnection Customer to receive interconnection, related facilities or other services on an Internal Affected System, and provision of such services must be handled through separate arrangements with Internal Affected Party(ies).

3.5A Coordination with Affected Systems Outside the New England Control Area.

System Operator will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems with Affected System Operators. Interconnection Customer will cooperate with System Operator and Affected System Operator in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems.

An Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the New England Control Area whose system may be impacted by a proposed interconnection on an Affected System shall cooperate with the System Operator and Affected System to whom a proposed interconnection has been requested in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's portion of the New England Transmission System.

3.5A.1 Initial Notification.

System Operator must notify Affected System Operator of a potential Affected System impact caused by an Interconnection Request within ten (10) Business Days of the completion of the Cluster Study or, if the potential Affected System impact is only determined in the Cluster Restudy, the completion of the Cluster Restudy.

At the time of initial notification, System Operator must provide Interconnection Customer with a list of potential Affected Systems, along with relevant contact information.

3.6 Withdrawal.

The Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice of such withdrawal to System Operator, which System Operator will transmit to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties. In addition, if the Interconnection Customer fails to adhere to all requirements of this ETU IP, except as provided in Section 13.5 (Disputes), the System

Operator shall deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn and shall provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer of the deemed withdrawal and an explanation of the reasons for such deemed withdrawal. Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this ETU IP, the Interconnection Customer shall have fifteen (15) Business Days in which to either respond with information or actions that cure the deficiency or to notify the System Operator of its intent to pursue Dispute Resolution, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

Withdrawal shall result in the loss of the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position. If an Interconnection Customer disputes the withdrawal and loss of its Queue Position, then during Dispute Resolution, the System Operator may eliminate the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request from the queue until such time that the outcome of Dispute Resolution would restore its Queue Position. An Interconnection Customer that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request shall pay to System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Parties all costs prudently incurred with respect to that Interconnection Request prior to System Operator's receipt of notice described above. The Interconnection Customer must pay all monies due before it is allowed to obtain any Interconnection Study data or results.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn by System Operator under Section 3.6 of this ETU IP, System Operator shall (i) update the OASIS Queue Position posting; and (ii) impose the Withdrawal Penalty described in Section 3.6.1 of this ETU IP.~~The System Operator shall update the OASIS Queue Position posting.~~ Except as otherwise provided elsewhere in this ETU IP, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall arrange to refund to the Interconnection Customer any portion of the Interconnection Customer's deposit or study payments that exceeds the costs incurred, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations, or arrange to charge to the Interconnection Customer any amount of such costs incurred that exceed the Interconnection Customer's deposit or study payments, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund any portion of the Commercial Readiness Deposit not applied to the Withdrawal Penalty and, if applicable, the deposit in lieu of Site Control. In the event of such withdrawal, System Operator, subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information, shall provide, at

Interconnection Customer's request, all information developed for any completed study conducted up to the date of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request.

3.7.1 Withdrawal Penalty.

Interconnection Customer shall be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty if it withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn, or the Elective Transmission Upgrade does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation unless: (1) the withdrawal does not have a material impact on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Request with an equal or lower Queue Position; (2) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's most recent Cluster Restudy Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than twenty-five percent (25%) compared to costs identified in Interconnection Customer's preceding Cluster Study Report or Cluster Restudy Report; or (3) Interconnection Customer withdraws after receiving Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Study Report and the Network Upgrade costs assigned to the Interconnection Request identified in that report have increased by more than one hundred percent (100%) compared to costs identified in the Cluster Study Report.

3.7.1.1 Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty.

If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or is deemed withdrawn prior to the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer shall not be subject to a Withdrawal Penalty. If Interconnection Customer withdraws, is deemed withdrawn, or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation at any point after the commencement of the initial Cluster Study, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty will be the greater of: (1) the Interconnection Customer's study deposit required under Section 3.44.1.1 of this ETU IP; or (2) as follows in (a)–(d):

- (a) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Study or after receipt of a Cluster Study Report, but prior to commencement of the Cluster Restudy or Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged two (2) times its

actual allocated cost of all studies performed for Interconnection Customers in the Cluster up until that point in the interconnection study process.

(b) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Cluster Restudy or after receipt of any applicable restudy reports issued pursuant to Section 7.5 of this ETU IP, but prior to commencement of the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnection Customer shall be charged five percent (5%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(c) If Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn during the Interconnection Facilities Study, after receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study Report issued pursuant to Section 8.3 of this ETU IP, or after receipt of the draft ETU IA but before Interconnection Customer has executed an ETU IA or has requested that its ETUIA be filed unexecuted, and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this ETU IP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, ETU IA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility), Interconnection Customer shall be charged ten percent (10%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

(d) If Interconnection Customer has executed an ETU IA or has requested that its ETU IA be filed unexecuted and has satisfied the other requirements described in Section 11.3 of this ETU IP (i.e., Site Control demonstration, ETU IA Deposit, reasonable evidence of one or more milestones in the development of the Generating Facility) and subsequently withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, that Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty shall be twenty percent (20%) its estimated Network Upgrade costs.

3.7.1.2 Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty.

3.7.1.2.1 Initial Distribution of Withdrawal Penalties Prior to Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

For a single cluster, System Operator shall hold all Withdrawal Penalty funds until all Interconnection Customers in that Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an ETU IA; or (3) requested an ETU IA to be filed unexecuted. Any Withdrawal Penalty funds collected from the

Cluster shall first be used to fund studies conducted under the Cluster Study Process for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that have executed the ETU IA or requested the ETU IA to be filed unexecuted. Next, after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs in the same Cluster, System Operator will apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases, for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster, in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers that shared an obligation with the remaining Interconnection Customers to fund a Network Upgrade, as described in more detail in Sections 3.7.1.2.3 and 3.7.1.2.4.

Withdrawal Penalty funds shall first be applied as a refund to invoiced study costs for Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that did not withdraw within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such Interconnection Customers executing their ETU IA or requesting to have their ETU IA filed unexecuted. Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty funds within one specific Cluster Study for study costs shall not exceed the total actual Cluster Study costs. Withdrawal Penalty funds applied to study costs shall be allocated within the same Cluster to Interconnection Customers in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this ETU IP for allocating the costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. System Operator shall post the balance of Withdrawal Penalty funds held by System Operator but not yet dispersed on its OASIS site and update this posting on a quarterly basis.

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its ETU IA, System Operator shall first apply such Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to any restudy costs required due to the Interconnection Customer's withdrawal as a credit to as-yet-to be invoiced study costs to be charged to the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster in a manner consistent with the System Operator's method in Section 13.3 of this ETU IP for allocating the costs of interconnection studies conducted on a clustered basis. Distribution of the Withdrawal Penalty funds for such restudy costs shall not exceed the total actual restudy costs.

3.7.1.2.2 Assessment of Network Upgrade Costs Previously Shared with Withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the Same Cluster

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the same Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs, System Operator will determine if the withdrawn Interconnection Customers, at

any point in the Cluster Study Process, shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrades with any remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster based on the Cluster Study Report, Cluster Restudy Report(s), Interconnection Facilities Study Report, and any subsequent issued restudy report issued for the Cluster.

In Section 3.7.1.2 of this ETU IP, shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades refers to the cost of Network Upgrades still needed for the same Cluster for which an Interconnection Customer, prior to withdrawing its Interconnection Request, shared the obligation to fund along with Interconnection Customers that have executed an ETU IA, or requested the ETU IA to filed unexecuted.

If System Operator's assessment determines that there are no shared cost assignments for any Network Upgrades in the same Cluster for the withdrawn Interconnection Customer, or determines that the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's withdrawal did not cause a net increase in the shared cost assignment for any remaining Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster, System Operator will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customer(s). Such remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds will be returned to withdrawn Interconnection Customers based on the proportion of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement required under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this ETU IP). System Operator must make such disbursement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the date on which all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have either: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an ETU IA; or (3) requested an ETU IA to be filed unexecuted. For the withdrawn Interconnection Customers that System Operator determines have caused a net increase in the shared cost assignment for one or more Network Upgrade(s) in the same Cluster under subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), System Operator will determine each such withdrawn Interconnection Customers' Withdrawal Penalty funds remaining balance that will be applied toward net increases in Network Upgrade shared costs calculated under subsections 3.7.1.2.3(a) and 3.7.1.2.3(b) based on each such withdrawn Interconnection Customer's proportional contribution to the total amount of Withdrawal Penalty funds collected for the same Cluster (i.e., the total amount before the initial disbursement requirement under Section 3.7.1.2.1 of this ETU IP).

If the System Operator's assessment determines that there are shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades in the same Cluster, System Operator will calculate the remaining Interconnection Customers' net increase in cost assignment for Network Upgrades due to a shared cost assignment for Network

Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer and distribute Withdrawal Penalty funds as described in Section 3.7.1.2.3, depending on whether the withdrawal occurred before the withdrawing Interconnection Customer executed the ETU IA (or filed unexecuted), as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a), or after such execution (or filing unexecuted) of an ETU IA, as described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b).

As discussed in subsection 3.7.1.2.4, System Operator will amend executed (or filed unexecuted) ETU IAs of the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster to apply the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce net increases in Interconnection Customers' Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA attributable to the impacts of withdrawn Interconnection Customers on Interconnection Customers remaining in the same Cluster that had a shared cost assignment for Network Upgrades with the withdrawn Interconnection Customers.

3.7.1.2.3 Impact Calculations

3.7.1.2.3(a) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals During the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws before it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its ETU IA, the System Operator will distribute in the following manner the Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment for a Network Upgrade with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer.

To calculate the reduction in the remaining Interconnection Customers' net increase in Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA, the System Operator will determine the financial impact of a withdrawing Interconnection Customer on other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster that shared an obligation to fund the same Network Upgrade(s). System Operator shall calculate this financial impact once all the Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster either: (1) have withdrawn or have been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an ETU IA; or (3) request an ETU IA to be filed unexecuted. System Operator will perform the financial impact calculation using the following steps.

First, System Operator must determine which withdrawn Interconnection Customers shared an obligation to fund Network Upgrades with Interconnection Customers from the same Cluster that have ETU IAs that are executed or have been requested to be filed unexecuted. Next, System Operator shall perform the calculation of the financial impact of a withdrawal on another Interconnection Request in the same Cluster by performing a comparison of the Network Upgrade cost estimates between each of the following:

- (1) Cluster Study phase to Cluster Restudy phase (if Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (2) Cluster Restudy phase to Facilities Study phase (if a Cluster Restudy was necessary);
- (3) Cluster Study phase to Facilities Study phase (if no Cluster Restudy was performed);
- (4) Facilities Study phase to any subsequent restudy that was performed before the execution or filing of an unexecuted ETU IA;
- (5) the restudy to the executed, or filed unexecuted, ETU IA (if a restudy was performed after the Facilities Study phase and before the execution or filing of an unexecuted ETU IA).

If, based on the above calculations, System Operator determines:

- (i) that the costs assigned to an Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster for Network Upgrades that a withdrawn Interconnection Customer shared cost assignment for increased between any two studies, and
- (ii) after the impacted Interconnection Customer's ETU IA was executed or filed unexecuted, the Interconnection Customer's cost assignment for the relevant Network Upgrade is greater than it was prior to the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster that shared cost assignment for the Network Upgrade,

then System Operator shall apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs in the amount of the financial impact by reducing, in the

same Cluster, the remaining Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade costs and associated financial security requirements under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA.

If System Operator determines that more than one Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster was financially impacted by the same withdrawn Interconnection Customer, System Operator will apply the relevant withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds that has not already been applied to study costs to reduce the financial impact to each Interconnection Customer based on each Interconnection Customer's proportional share of the financial impact, as determined by either the proportional impact method if it is a System Network Upgrade or on a per capita basis if it is a Substation Network Upgrade, as described under Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP.

3.7.1.2.3(b) Impact Calculation for Withdrawals in the Same Cluster After the Cluster Study Process

If an Interconnection Customer withdraws after it executes, or requests the unexecuted filing of, its ETU IA, System Operator will distribute in the following manner the remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to reduce the Network Upgrade cost impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster who had a shared cost assignment with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer for one or more Network Upgrades.

System Operator will determine the financial impact on the remaining Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster within 30 calendar days after the withdrawal occurs. The System Operator will determine that financial impact by comparing the Network Upgrade cost funding obligations the Interconnection Customers shared with the withdrawn Interconnection Customer before the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer and after the withdrawal of the Interconnection Customer. If that comparison indicates an increase in Network Upgrade costs for an Interconnection Customer, System Operator shall apply the withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds to the increased costs each impacted Interconnection Customer in the same Cluster experienced associated with such Network Upgrade(s) in proportion to each Interconnection Customer's increased cost assignment, as determined by System Operator.

3.7.1.2.4 Amending ETU IA to Apply Reductions to Interconnection Customer's Assigned Network Upgrade Costs and Associated Financial Security Requirement with Respect to Withdrawals in the Same Cluster

Within thirty (30) Calendar Days of all Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster having: (1) withdrawn or been deemed withdrawn; (2) executed an ETU IA; or (3) requested an ETU IA to be filed unexecuted, System Operator must perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(a) of this ETU IP and provide such Interconnection Customers with an amended ETU IA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA, due from the Interconnection Customer to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Where an Interconnection Customer executes the ETU IA (or requests the filing of an unexecuted ETU IA) and is later withdrawn or its ETU IA is terminated, System Operator must, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such withdrawal or termination, perform the calculations described in subsection 3.7.1.2.3(b) of this ETU IP and provide such Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster with an amended ETU IA that provides the reduction in Network Upgrade cost assignment and associated reduction to the Interconnection Customer's financial security requirements, under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA, due from the Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting~~on~~ Transmission Owner.

Any repayment by Interconnecting~~on~~ Transmission Owner to Interconnection Customer under Article 11.4 of the pro forma ETU IA of amounts advanced for Network Upgrades after the Generating Facility achieves Commercial Operation shall be limited to the Interconnection Customer's total amount of Network Upgrade costs paid and associated financial security provided to Interconnecting~~on~~ Transmission Owner under Article 11.5 of the pro forma ETU IA.

3.7.1.2.5 Final Distribution of Withdrawal Penalty Funds

If Withdrawal Penalty funds remain for the Cluster after the Withdrawal Penalty funds are applied to relevant study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers, System Operator or Interconnecting~~on~~ Transmission Owner, as appropriate, will return any remaining Withdrawal Penalty funds to the withdrawn Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster net of the amount of each withdrawn Interconnection Customer's Withdrawal Penalty funds

applied to study costs and net increases in shared cost assignments for Network Upgrades to remaining Interconnection Customers.

3.8 Identification of Contingent Facilities.

System Operator shall identify Contingent Facilities before the execution of the ETU IA by reviewing the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or the list of transmission projects planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System to identify those upgrades that are not yet in service but upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing. Planned or proposed upgrades will be identified as Contingent Facilities for an Interconnection Request if the absence of those upgrades would cause additional Adverse System Impacts to be identified in the Cluster Study, using the same conditions as those used in the Cluster Study. The thresholds for identification of Adverse System Impact for the purpose of identifying Contingent Facilities will be as follows: (i) an increase in the flow in an element by at least two percent of the element's rating and that causes that flow to exceed that element's appropriate thermal rating by more than two percent where the appropriate thermal rating is the normal rating with all lines in service and the long time emergency or short time emergency rating after a contingency; (ii) a change of at least one percent in a voltage that causes a voltage level that is higher or lower than the appropriate high or low rating by more than one percent; (iii) an increase of at least a one percent change in the short circuit current experienced by an element and that causes a short circuit stress that is higher than an element's interrupting or withstand capability; or (iv) the introduction of a violation of stability criteria. Contingent Facilities that are identified during the evaluation of the Interconnection Request shall be documented in the Cluster Study report or the ETU IA for the ~~Large-Generating Facility~~ Elective Transmission Upgrade. System Operator shall also provide, upon request of the Interconnection Customer, the estimated Interconnection Facility and/or Network Upgrade costs and estimated in-service completion time for each identified Contingent Facilities when this information is readily available and not commercially sensitive.

3.9 Penalties for Failure to Meet Study Deadlines.

(1) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be subject to a penalty if it fails to complete a Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, Interconnection Facilities Study, or Affected Systems Study by the applicable deadline set forth in this ETU IP. The responsibilities of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in the conduct of such studies are set forth in the Transmission Operating Agreement, and ISO New England Planning Procedures. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for each late Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy, and Interconnection Facilities Study on a pro rata basis per Interconnection Request to all Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from System Operator's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner must pay the penalty for a late Affected Systems Study on a pro rata basis per interconnection request to all Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) included in the relevant Affected System Study that did not withdraw, or were not deemed withdrawn, from the host transmission provider's interconnection queue before the missed study deadline. Except as provided below, the study delay penalty for each late study shall be distributed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed.

(2) For penalties assessed in accordance with this Section, the penalty amount will be equal to: \$1,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this ETU IP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Cluster Re-Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this ETU IP; \$2,000 per Business Day for delays of Affected System Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this ETU IP; and \$2,500 per Business Day for delays of Interconnection Facilities Studies beyond the applicable deadline set forth in this ETU IP. The total amount of a penalty assessed under this Section shall not exceed: (a) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit(s) received for all of the Interconnection Requests in the Cluster for Cluster Studies and Cluster Restudies; (b) one hundred percent (100%) of the initial study deposit received for the single Interconnection Request in the study for Facilities Studies; and (c) one hundred percent (100%) of the study deposit(s) that System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner collects for conducting the Affected System Study.

(3) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may appeal to the Commission any penalties imposed under this Section. Any such appeal must be filed no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the late study has been completed. While an appeal to the Commission is pending, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall remain liable for the penalty, but need not distribute the penalty until forty-five (45) Calendar Days after (1) the deadline for filing a rehearing request has ended,

if no requests for rehearing of the appeal have been filed, or (2) the date that any requests for rehearing of the Commission's decision on the appeal are no longer pending before the Commission. The Commission may excuse System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner from penalties under this Section for good cause.

(4) No penalty will be assessed under this Section where a study is delayed by ten (10) Business Days or less. If the study is delayed by more than ten (10) Business Days, the penalty amount will be calculated from the first Business Day the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner misses the applicable study deadline.

(5) If (a) System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner needs to extend the deadline for a particular study subject to penalties under this Section and (b) all Interconnection Customers or Affected System Interconnection Customers included in the relevant study mutually agree to such an extension, the deadline for that study shall be extended thirty (30) Business Days from the original deadline. In such a scenario, no penalty will be assessed for System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner missing the original deadline.

(6) No penalties shall be assessed until the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after the Commission-approved effective date of System Operator's filing made in compliance with the Final Rule in Docket No. RM22-14-000.

(7) System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain on its OASIS or its public website summary statistics related to penalties assessed under this Section, updated quarterly. For each calendar quarter, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must calculate and post (1) the total amount of penalties assessed under this Section during the previous reporting quarter and (2) the highest penalty assessed under this Section paid to a single Interconnection Customer or Affected System Interconnection Customer during the previous reporting quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must post on their respective OASIS or website these penalty amounts for each calendar quarter within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the end of the calendar quarter. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must maintain the quarterly measures posted on their respective OASIS or website for three (3) calendar years with the first required posting to be the third Cluster Study cycle (including any Transitional Cluster Study cycle, but not Transitional Serial Studies) after System Operator transitions to the Cluster Study Process.

SECTION 4. INTERCONNECTION REQUEST EVALUATION PROCESS.

QUEUE POSITION.

4.1 Queue Position.

4.1.1 Assignment of Queue Position~~General~~

System Operator shall assign a Queue Position based upon the date and time of receipt of the valid Interconnection Request ~~provided all items required pursuant to the provisions of Section 3.4.2 of this ETU IP are received. All Interconnection Requests submitted and deemed valid in a single Cluster Request Window shall be considered equally queued; provided that, if the sole reason an Interconnection Request is not valid is the lack of required information on the application form in Appendix 1 to this ETU IP, and Interconnection Customer provides such information in accordance with Section 3.3.3, then System Operator shall assign Interconnection Customer a Queue Position based on the date the application form was originally submitted.~~

~~Except as otherwise provided in this Section 4.1, the Queue Position of each Interconnection Request will be used to determine: (i) the order of performing the Interconnection Studies; (ii) the order in which Interconnection Requests will be eligible for inclusion in the CSIS and CFAC; (iii) the order in which Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service will be included in the CNR Group Study; and (iv) the cost responsibility for the facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. A higher queued Interconnection Request is one that has been placed “earlier” in the queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is lower queued. A higher Queue Position assigned to an Interconnection Request is one that has been placed “earlier” in the queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is assigned a lower Queue Position. All requests studied in a single Cluster Study shall be considered equally queued.~~

~~Interconnection Customers that are part of a Cluster Study initiated earlier in time than an instant Cluster Study shall be considered to have a higher Queue Position than Interconnection Customers that are part of Cluster Studies initiated later than an instant Cluster Study.~~

~~Any ongoing CSIS or CFACs as of May 1, 2024. A CSIS and CFAC shall include the Interconnection Requests that were identified as eligible to participate in the CSIS and CFAC and met the associated requirements for inclusion in said studies in accordance with Section 4.2 of this ETU IP. An Interconnection Request included in a cluster shall consider a higher queued Interconnection Request not~~

included in the cluster. A lower queued Interconnection Request that is not included in such a CSIS or CFAC ~~the cluster~~ shall consider all of the higher queued Interconnection Requests that are part of the such a CSIS or CFAC ~~cluster~~.

4.1.1 Considerations Related to Achieving CNR Interconnection Service ~~Order of Interconnection Requests in the CNR Group Study.~~

Participation in a CNR Group Study ~~shall be a prerequisite~~ was required to achieve CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service prior to September 1, 2024. ~~The CNR Group Study (to be conducted in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff) shall include all Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service that have an associated New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted during the New Capacity Show of Interest Submission Window for the purpose of qualification for participation in the same Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period, in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2 of the Tariff, as well as Long Lead Facilities in accordance with Section 3.2.3. Where a CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with a lower Queue Position is associated with a New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted for qualification to participate in a particular Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period and another CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position is not associated with a New Capacity Show of Interest Form that was submitted for qualification until a subsequent Forward Capacity Auction, the CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with the lower Queue Position will be included in the CNR Group Study prior to the CNR Interconnection Service or the CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request with the higher Queue Position.~~

~~However, where an Interconnection Customer with a CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request submits a New Capacity Show of Interest Form for qualification to participate in a particular Forward Capacity Auction for a Capacity Commitment Period and identifies in that New Capacity Show of Interest Form one or more Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for an Internal ETU that is not already included in the network model pursuant to Section III.12 of the Tariff for the particular Forward Capacity Auction, the CNR Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study at the lowest of the CNR Interconnection Request's or its associated Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for the Internal ETU's Queue Position. Where multiple Interconnection Customers' CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests are associated with~~

~~the same lower Queue Position for an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU in the CNR Group Study, the CNR Interconnection Request's Queue Position will be used as the tie breaker to dictate the relative order in which the CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a Generating Facility or that is associated with an Import Capacity Resource in the case of an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is treated as a Conditional Qualified New Resource, in accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3(f) of the Tariff, may be responsible for the facilities and upgrades associated with an overlapping CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request having a higher Queue Position if the Conditional Qualified New Resource obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13.2.5 of the Tariff.~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with a lower queued CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Generating Facility or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for an Elective Transmission Upgrade that has achieved Commercial Operation and obtained CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively, may be responsible for additional facilities and upgrades if the related higher queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and obtains CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively. In such circumstance, Appendix A to the Interconnection Agreement for the lower queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request shall specify the facilities and upgrades for which the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible if the higher queued CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request for a Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and obtains CNR Interconnection Service or CNI Interconnection Service, respectively.~~

~~After September 1, 2024, the Transitional Cluster Study, Transitional CNR Group Study or the Cluster Study processes shall be the only means for Interconnection Customers to achieve CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service under this Schedule 25.~~

~~Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates~~

pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in the Base Case for Transitional CNR Group Study or a Cluster Study~~CNR Group Study~~ in order of submission/approval (~~the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and~~ the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates) provided that such Section I.3.9 approval was received at least ninety (90) Calendar Days after the start of the Cluster Study consistent with Section 2.3 of this ETU IP. ~~Interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates that have not yet received the System Operator's approval for their Proposed Plan Applications under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff at the commencement of the CNR Group Study shall be included in the CNR Group Study after all Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and all interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff have been included in the CNR Group Study in order of submission to the Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates.~~

4.2 ~~Clustering~~ General Study Process.

~~Clustering~~ Interconnection Studies performed using Clustering shall be conducted in such a manner to ensure the efficient implementation of the applicable Regional System Plan in light of the New England Transmission System's capabilities for the time period under study and consistent with Good Utility Practice.

The System Operator may use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process. ~~If the System Operator elects to use subgroups in the Cluster Study Process, System Operator must publish the criteria used to define and determine subgroups on its OASIS or public website, prior to the opening of a Cluster Request Window, study an Interconnection Request serially to the extent warranted by Good Utility Practice based upon the electrical remoteness of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade.~~

4.2.1 Triggers for CRPS ~~Studying Interconnection Requests in Clusters.~~

The System Operator, at its discretion, may initiate a CRPS pursuant to Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, when it identifies any of the following interconnection circumstances:

- (1) the withdrawal from the Cluster Study Process of two (2) or more Interconnection Requests for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area; or
(2) where procurements are underway for resources in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area;

and, none of the resources described in (1) or (2) above will be able to interconnect to the Administered Transmission System without the use of common significant new transmission line infrastructure rated at or above 115 kV AC or HVDC.

System Operator may also initiate a CRPS in an electrical part of the New England Control Area where System Operator previously identified the need for a CETU to interconnect new resources.

~~At the discretion of the System Operator, Interconnection Requests will be studied in clusters for the purpose of the Interconnection System Impact Study and the Interconnection Facilities Study when the combination of the following circumstances is present in the interconnection queue: (a) there are two (2) or more Interconnection Requests without completed Interconnection System Impact Studies in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area based on the requested Point of Interconnection, and (b) the System Operator determined that none of the Interconnection Requests identified in (a) of this Section 4.2.1 will be able to interconnect, either individually or on a cluster basis, without the use of common significant new transmission line infrastructure at or above 115 kV AC or HVDC. An Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU that the System Operator identifies as potentially eligible to take the place of a CETU will be eligible to participate in a CSIS.~~

4.2.2 Notice of Initiation of CRPS Cluster Studies.

When the System Operator identifies the interconnection circumstances in Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP, the System Operator will provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a CRPS in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff. The System Operator will perform a CRPS to identify the CETU and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources for which the interconnection circumstances described in Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP were identified, consistent with Section 15.2 of Attachment K. The results of the CRPS performed under Attachment K will inform the Cluster entry process and requirements for Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades that need the

~~CETU to meet the interconnection standards in Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of the OATT. The System Operator will provide notice to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Request identified as needing the CETU to meet the interconnection standards prior to or at the Cluster Scoping Meeting. When the combination of the triggers specified in Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP are present in the interconnection queue, the System Operator will provide notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a cluster for studying certain Interconnection Requests under the Regional System Planning Process in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff. The System Operator also will provide notice to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP, and at the time the System Operator notifies the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of a cluster, all study work for these Interconnection Requests will be suspended and they will proceed under Section 4.2 of this ETU IP. The System Operator will conduct Clustering in two phases. In the first phase, the System Operator will perform a CRPS to identify the CETU and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of potentially all of the resources proposed in the Interconnection Requests considered in Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP, consistent with Section 15.2 of Attachment K. In the second phase, the System Operator will conduct a CSIS and a CFAC to study the Interconnection Requests identified through the CRPS that have elected to participate in the CSIS together with the identified CETU and associated upgrades, in accordance with this ETU IP.~~

~~Within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the System Operator's notice to the Planning Advisory Committee of the initiation of the use of Clustering for studying certain Interconnection Requests under the Regional System Planning Process in accordance with Section 15.1 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in Section 4.2.1 shall submit the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A, to support the conduct of the CRPS.~~

4.2.3

Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

4.2.3.1 Cluster Entry Requirements for CETU-Eligible Interconnection Requests.

4.2.3.1.1 CRPS Completed Prior to Transitional Cluster Study For a CRPS that was completed prior to the start of the Transitional Cluster Study, and for which a CSIS has not commenced, all Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report, by Queue Position as assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this ETU IP, shall be eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster

Study under Section 5.1.1.2 of this ETU IP. By the deadline to return the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to elect to enter the Transitional Cluster Study must, in writing:

1. withdraw the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.7; or
2. request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2, (except for the Commercial Readiness Deposit) and submit to the System Operator the CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this ETU IP. Such deposit shall be in cash.

If, by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, Interconnection Customer fails to withdraw its Interconnection Request or request to be included in the Transitional Cluster Study and meet the requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1, then the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure. If Interconnection Customer elects option (2) above and does not meet all of the CSIS entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.1 by the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of that date without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of an otherwise incomplete Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements submission, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

4.2.3.1.2 CRPS Initiated After the Transitional Cluster Study. All Interconnection Requests that, based on the a final CRPS report that the System Operator has completed pursuant to Attachment K, reasonably expect to, or have been notified by System Operator that they need, the CETU and associated system upgrades identified in that final CRPS report must request to be included in the Cluster Study, meet the requirements specified in Section 5.1.1.2 (with the exception of the Commercial Readiness Deposit), and submit to the System Operator the CETU Participation Deposit specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this ETU IP. Such deposit shall be- in cash. If Interconnection Customer does not meet all of the entry requirements specified in this Section 4.2.3.1.2 by close of tthe Cluster Request Window, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the close of the Cluster Entry WindowDeadline without further opportunity to cure. If an initial CETU Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of the incomplete Interconnection Request, the initial CETU Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.

Where a CRPS under Attachment K, has not been completed prior to the opening of a Cluster Entry Window, Interconnection Requests in the electrical part of the system subject to the CRPS will be eligible to participate in the next Cluster Study following completion of the CRPS.

~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

~~4.2.3.1 Notice of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Deadline.~~

~~At the same time the System Operator issues the final CRPS report to the Planning Advisory Committee in accordance with Section 15.4 of Attachment K, the System Operator will provide notice of the entry deadline for the CSIS (the “Cluster Entry Deadline”) to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to participate in the CSIS. The Cluster Entry Deadline shall be thirty (30) Calendar Days from the posting of the final CRPS report.~~

~~4.2.3.2 Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Entry Requirements.~~

~~All Interconnection Requests identified in the final CRPS report, by Queue Position as assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this ETU IP, shall be eligible to be studied together in the CSIS.~~

~~4.2.3.2.1 Cluster Entry Deadline Election.~~ ~~By the Cluster Entry Deadline, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified as eligible to be studied in the CSIS must, in writing:~~

~~withdraw the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6;~~

~~request that the System Operator re-assign the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Request a new Queue Position at the bottom of the queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline in relative order with any other Interconnection Requests requesting to be re-queued under this Section 4.2.3.2.1; or~~

~~request to be included in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2.2.~~

~~If, by the Cluster Entry Deadline, Interconnection Customer fails to withdraw its Interconnection Request, request to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, or request to be included in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements, then the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If Interconnection Customer elects option (iii) and does not meet all of the CSIS entry requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2.2 by the Cluster Entry Deadline, the Interconnection Request will be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue as of the Cluster Entry Deadline without further opportunity to cure. If an initial Cluster Participation Deposit had been submitted as part of the~~

~~incomplete CSIS entry requirements submission, the initial Cluster Participation Deposit will be refunded at the time the Interconnection Request is withdrawn.~~

~~4.2.3.2.2 CSIS Entry Requirements~~

~~An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to be studied in the CSIS that elects option (iii) under Section 4.2.3.2.1 must meet the following CSIS entry requirements in order to be included in the CSIS:~~

~~(1) Cluster System Impact Study Application. By the Cluster Entry Deadline, Interconnection Customer must submit to the System Operator a completed Cluster System Impact Study Application in the form specified in Appendix 1, Attachment A-1, of this ETU IP requesting the inclusion of the Interconnection Request in the CSIS;~~

~~(2) System Impact Study Agreement, Study Deposit, Technical Data, and Site Control. If an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement has been executed prior to the issuance of the final CRPS report identifying the Interconnection Request as eligible for inclusion in a CSIS, such agreement shall terminate upon execution of a new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement in accordance with this Section 4.2.3.2.2, and any unused balance of the study deposit associated with the terminated agreement shall be applied toward the study deposit associated with the new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.~~

~~Within fifteen (15) Business Days following the Cluster Entry Deadline, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner will provide to Interconnection Customer an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, including a non-binding good faith estimate of the costs and timeframe for commencing and completing the CSIS.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to the System Operator no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt along with continued demonstration of Site Control, the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A, and a refundable study deposit, to the extent that any additional study deposit is required, in accordance with Section 7.2 of this ETU IP.~~

~~(3)4.2.3.2~~ CETU Participation Deposit for CETU Eligible Interconnection Requests~~Cluster Participation Deposit for the CSIS~~. By close of the Cluster Request Window, ~~the Cluster Entry Deadline~~, Interconnection Customer ~~also~~ must submit to the System Operator, for a CETU eligible project, a an initial CETU Participation Deposit~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~ deposit equal to: (a) for an External ETU, five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CRPS report, or (b) for an Internal ETU, the lesser of \$1,000,000 or five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's estimated costs for the Internal ETU as of the time the initial Cluster Participation Deposit is due. If the System Operator subsequently identifies that an Internal ETU has met the requirements to take the place of a CETU, or portion thereof, pursuant to Section 4.2.3.4 of this ETU IP, the initial ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit will be reduced to exclude the costs associated with the CETU, or portion thereof, that is being replaced by the Internal ETU, and the Interconnection Customer shall be refunded the corresponding amount. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The initial CETU Participation Deposit~~Cluster Participation Deposit~~ will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.6 of this ETU IP) to Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that met the cluster entry requirements: (i) if the CETU-CSIS is initially undersubscribed by more than ten (10) percent of the quantity of megawatts that the CETU developed through the CRPS was designed to enable and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6, before the Cluster Study~~CSIS~~ starts, (ii) if the ~~CSIS~~ CETU is initially oversubscribed as described in Section 4.2.3.3.2 of this ETU IP (e.g., the CETU developed through the CRPS is designed to enable 1,000 MW and more than 1,000 MW meet the Cluster Study or Transitional Cluster Study ~~CSIS~~ entry requirements ~~by the Cluster Entry Deadline~~), in which case the ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposits will be refunded to Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests corresponding to the oversubscribed megawatt quantities, (iii) if the cost estimates for the CETU and the associated system upgrades provided in the final CRPS report for the entire cluster have increased by twenty-five (25) percent or more when compared to the cost estimates provided in the draft Transitional Cluster Study, draft Cluster Study Report~~CSIS~~ report or the draft Facilities Study~~CFAC~~ report and the Interconnection Customer withdraws the Interconnection Request, pursuant to Section 3.6, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft ~~CSIS-Cluster Study~~ Rreport or the draft Facilities Study~~CFAC~~ report in accordance with Sections 7.5 and 8.3 of this ETU IP, respectively; (iv) if at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in

~~the CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this LGIP or (v) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue, (iv) if less than two (2) Interconnection Requests included in the CSIS or CFAC remain in the interconnection queue during the CSIS or CFAC, as applicable, in which case, the CSIS or the CFAC terminates and the remaining Interconnection Request proceeds in serial queue order, (v) at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this ETU IP, (vi) if no Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the cluster executes an Interconnection Agreement and provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in Section 11.3.1.2 of this ETU IP, or (vii) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnection queue.~~

Otherwise, the ~~initial Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue at any time after the ~~Cluster Entry Deadline~~ Customer Engagement Window. The non-refundable initial ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.2.3.3 CETU Filling and Oversubscription

~~Cluster Filling, Oversubscription and Backfilling Upon Withdrawal.~~

~~For purposes of the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area that the System Operator previously identified as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and that met the Transitional Cluster Study entry requirements by the Cluster Request Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS report as potentially enabled by the CETU. The Interconnection Requests will be included Transitional Cluster Study in queue order, based on the Queue Positions assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this ETU IP, relative to other eligible Interconnection Requests. In the event that the CETU is filled and lower queued Interconnection Requests remain, such requests shall be withdrawn by System Operator, all remaining deposits will be refunded, and System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.~~

For Cluster Studies, the CETU shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area submitted during the next Cluster Request Window following the publication of the final CRPS report that the System Operator determines need the CETU identified in the final CRPS report and meet the Cluster Study entry requirements by close of the Cluster Entry Window up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS as potentially enabled by the CETU. If the Interconnection Requests identified by the System Operator as needing the CETU identified in the final CRPS report that elect to enter the the Cluster Study exceed the quantity of megawatts identified as potentially enabled by the CETU in the final CRPS report, the System Operator shall fill the CETU first with Interconnection Requests for Generating Facilities that have been selected in, or are contractually bound by, a state-sponsored request for proposals. In the event that the CETU is filled and additional Interconnection Requests are not able to be included, such requests will not proceed into the Cluster Study, all deposits associated with the oversubscribed Interconnection Requests will be refunded, System Operator may initiate a new CRPS under Attachment K in the same electrical area of the system.

~~**4.2.3.3.1 Cluster Filling.** The CSIS shall be filled with all Interconnection Requests in the same electrical part of the New England Control Area relative to the CETU identified in the final CRPS report that do not yet have a completed Interconnection System Impact Study and met the CSIS entry requirements by the Cluster Entry Deadline up to the approximate megawatt quantity identified in the final CRPS report as potentially enabled by the CETU. The Interconnection Requests will be included in the CSIS in queue order, based on the Queue Positions assigned in accordance with Section 4.1 of this ETU IP, relative to other eligible Interconnection Requests.~~

~~**4.2.3.3.2 Cluster Oversubscription.** If an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request identified in the final CRPS report as eligible to participate in a CSIS met the CSIS entry requirements and therefore would have been eligible for inclusion in the CSIS but is excluded as a result of the quantity of megawatts identified as potentially enabled by the CETU in the final CRPS report having been exhausted in queue order under Section 4.2.3.3.1, (i) the initial Cluster Participation Deposit will be refunded to the Interconnection Customer, and (ii) the Interconnection Request will maintain its Queue Position. If there are two (2) or more Interconnection Request after the CSIS is filled, the System Operator will initiate another cluster to identify the transmission infrastructure to enable the~~

~~interconnection of another round of Interconnection Requests consistent with Section 15.1 of Attachment K.~~

~~**4.2.3.3.3 Cluster Backfilling Upon Withdrawal.** Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that is included in the CSIS, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS, in queue order, with later-queued Interconnection Requests consistent with the methodology used to fill the original CSIS as specified in Section 4.2.3.3.1 of this ETU IP. The System Operator will notify all Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests identified by the System Operator as eligible for backfilling that the respective Elective Transmission Upgrade (or part thereof) proposed in the Interconnection Request is eligible to participate in the CSIS, and the Interconnection Customer shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of System Operator's notice to withdraw its Interconnection Request, request to be re-assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue, or accept the inclusion of the Interconnection Request (or part thereof, in which case the Interconnection Customer shall modify the Interconnection Request to reflect the appropriate reduction) in the CSIS and meet the CSIS entry requirements, consistent with Section 4.2.3.2 of this ETU IP. If the Interconnection Customer does not make one of these three elections and complete the associated requirements by the thirtieth Calendar Day, the System Operator shall automatically withdraw the Interconnection Request from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure and consider other later-queued Interconnection Requests.~~

~~**4.2.3.4 Scope of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.** Except as otherwise provided in this Section 4.2.3.4, the CSIS shall be conducted in accordance with Sections 7.3 and 7.4 of this ETU IP. The Study Case developed for the CSIS shall also include the CETU and associated system upgrades identified in the final CRPS report. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the CSIS, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated in the Cluster Application Form or with the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU. The CSIS shall evaluate the proposed interconnections to the New England Transmission System under the NC Interconnection Standard consistent with Section 3.2.2 of this ETU IP and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CSIS shall consist~~

~~of the analysis specified in Section 7.3 of this ETU IP except for analysis associated with an Interconnection Feasibility Study or a preliminary, non-binding, analysis. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request being studied as part of the CSIS cannot elect to have the Interconnection Feasibility Study or a preliminary, non-binding, analysis performed as part of the CSIS.~~

~~**4.2.3.5. Restudy of Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study.** In addition to the circumstances specified in Section 7.6 of this ETU IP, a re-study of the CSIS is required due to the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CSIS. Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CSIS, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS with eligible Interconnection Requests pursuant to Section 4.2.3.3.3. A re-study will be conducted to determine if there are any changes in the upgrades identified during the CSIS with the exception of the CETU identified in the final CRPS report, which shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) considered in the final CRPS report.~~

4.2.4. Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

The following provisions shall only apply to Interconnection Customers that executed a CFAC prior to the effective date of this ETU IP.

Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS will not be eligible to waive the, or request a separate, CFAC. All Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request included in a completed CSIS shall be studied together in the CFAC for the purpose of implementing the conclusions of the CSIS with respect to non-sole use facilities.

4.2.4.1 Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study Entry Requirements. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that was included in a completed CSIS shall execute an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator, together with the required technical data and refundable deposit for the Interconnection Facilities Study as specified in Section 8.1 of this ETU IP.

4.2.4.2. Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study. The CFAC will be conducted in accordance with Sections 8.2 and 8.3 of this ETU IP based on a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate.

4.2.4.3 Re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study. In addition to the circumstances specified in Section 8.5 of this ETU IP, a re-study of the CFAC is required due to the withdrawal of an Interconnection Request that had been included in the CFAC. ~~Upon withdrawal of an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC, the System Operator will backfill the CSIS with eligible Interconnection Requests pursuant to Section 4.2.3.3.3.~~ A re-study of the CSIS and CFAC will be conducted to determine if there are any changes in the upgrades identified during the CSIS and CFAC with the exception of the CETU identified in the final CRPS report, which shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) considered in the final CRPS report.

4.2.4.4 Additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the final CFAC report in accordance with Section 8.3 of this ETU IP, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in the CFAC shall submit to the System Operator an additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit equal to: (a) for an External ETU, five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's cost allocation responsibility for the CETU and associated system upgrades to be determined based on the cost estimates provided in the final CFAC report, or (b) for an Internal ETU, the lesser of \$1,000,000 or five (5) percent of the Interconnection Customer's estimated costs for the Internal ETU as of the time the additional Cluster Participation Deposit is due. Cost allocation of the CETU and associated system upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedule 11, Section II of this Tariff.

The additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit provided under this Section 4.2.4.4 will be fully refunded (with interest to be calculated in accordance with Section 3.6 of this ETU IP) to Interconnection Customer that submitted the additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit ~~if (i) at the time the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request included in this CSIS provides to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the deposit specified in section 11.3.1.2 of this ETU IP or (ii) if all Interconnection Requests included in the cluster withdraw from the interconnect queue. the conditions specified in Sections 4.2.3.2.2(3)(v), (vi) or (vii) above occur.~~

Otherwise, the additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposit shall be non-refundable if the Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or the Interconnection Request is withdrawn from the interconnection queue. The non-refundable additional ~~Cluster-CETU~~ Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in a cluster at the time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation.

4.3 Transferability of Queue Position.

An Interconnection Customer may transfer its Queue Position to another entity only if such entity acquires the specific Elective Transmission Upgrade identified in the Interconnection Request and the Point of Interconnection does not change. The Interconnection Customer must notify the System Operator, in writing, of any transfers of Queue Position and must provide the System Operator with the transferee's contact information, and System Operator shall notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Parties of the same.

4.4 Modifications.

The Interconnection Customer shall submit to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in writing, modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request, including its attachments. The Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position if the modifications are in accordance with Sections 4.4.1, ~~or~~ 4.4.4, or 7.5 of this ETU IP, or are determined not to be Material Modifications pursuant to Section 4.4.2. The System Operator will notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and, when System Operator deems it appropriate in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, it will notify any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party of such modifications.

A new Interconnection Request shall be required to: (1) increase the capability of an Elective Transmission Upgrade above that specified in an Interconnection Request, or an existing Interconnection Agreement (whether executed or filed in unexecuted form with the Commission); (2) change from NI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service, in which case a new Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service shall be required to be submitted during a future Customer Entry Window; or (3) change the objective specified in an Interconnection Request. ~~Such new Interconnection Request will receive the lowest Queue Position available at the time the Interconnection Request is submitted for purposes of cost allocation and study analysis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for Interconnection Requests deemed valid prior to June 1, 2020, the following timeout rules shall apply: (1) an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service has until the Forward Capacity Auction for which the associated Capacity Commitment Period begins less than seven (7) years (or the years agreed to pursuant to Section 3.3.1 or Section 4.4.5) from the date of the original Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service for an Import Capacity Resource(s) associated with its Elective Transmission Upgrade to clear the entire megawatt amount for which CNI~~

~~Interconnection Service was requested; and (2) a new Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service will be required for the Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable the participation of an Import Capacity Resource in any subsequent auctions. The foregoing timeout rules shall not apply to Interconnection Requests deemed valid after May 31, 2020.~~

During the course of the Interconnection Studies, ~~either the~~ System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, may identify changes to the planned interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes do not constitute a Material Modification and are acceptable to the Parties, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, System Operator and ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall modify the Point(s) of Interconnection ~~prior to the completion of a Cluster Study~~executed Cluster Study Agreement and/or configuration in accordance with such changes and proceed with any re-studies necessary to do so in accordance with Section 6.4, Section 7.6 and Section 8.5 as applicable and Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position.

4.4.1 Prior to the return of the Cluster Study Agreement, or Transitional Cluster Study Agreement commencement of the Interconnection System Impact Study, modifications permitted under this Section shall include specifically: (a) a decrease of up to 60 percent in the capability of the proposed project; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Elective Transmission Upgrade technology or characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration. ~~Notwithstanding the foregoing, an Interconnection Customer may decrease the electrical output of a proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade after the Cluster Entry Deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1 of this ETU IP; however, the requesting Interconnection Customer remains responsible for costs corresponding to the megawatt quantity requested as of the Cluster Entry Deadline.~~

4.4.2 Prior to making any modification other than those specifically permitted by Sections 4.4.1, and 4.4.4, or 7.5 of this ETU IP, Interconnection Customer may first request that ~~the~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner evaluate whether such modification is a Material Modification. In response to Interconnection Customer's request, ~~the~~ System Operator in consultation with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected

Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall evaluate, at ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's cost, the proposed modifications prior to making them and ~~the~~ System Operator will inform ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer in writing of whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification. Any change to the Point(s) of Interconnection, except those deemed acceptable under Sections 4.4.1, 6.1, 7.2 or so allowed elsewhere, shall constitute a Material Modification. ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

4.4.3 Upon receipt of Interconnection Customer's request for modification that does not constitute a Material Modification and therefore is permitted under this Section 4.4, the System Operator in consultation with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and in consultation with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, shall commence and perform any necessary additional studies as soon as practicable, but in no event shall ~~the~~ System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or Affected Party or Internal Affected Party commence such studies later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receiving notice of Interconnection Customer's request. Any additional studies resulting from such modification shall be done at Interconnection Customer's cost. Any such request for modification of the Interconnection Request must be accompanied by any resulting updates to the models described in Attachment A to the Appendix 1 of this ETU IP.

4.4.4 Extensions of less than three (3) cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Trial Operation Date of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to which the Interconnection Request relates are not material and should be handled through construction sequencing, provided that the extension(s) do not exceed seven (7) years from the date the Interconnection Request was received by ~~the~~ System Operator. For purposes of this Section 4.4.4, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the initial Interconnection Request shall be used to calculate the permissible extension prior to Interconnection Customer executing an ETU IA or requesting that the ETU IA be filed unexecuted. After an ETU IA is executed or requested to be filed unexecuted, the Commercial Operation Date reflected in the ETU IA shall be used to calculate the permissible extension. Such cumulative extensions may not exceed three years including both extensions requested after execution of the ETU IA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted ETU IA by System Operator and those requested prior to

execution of the ETU IA by Interconnection Customer or the filing of an unexecuted ETU IA by System Operator.

4.4.5 Extensions of three (3) or more cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Trial Operation Date of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to which the Interconnection Request relates or any extension of a duration that results in the Trial Operation Date exceeding the date the Interconnection Request was received by ~~the~~ System Operator by seven (7) or more years is a Material Modification unless ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer demonstrates to ~~the~~ System Operator due diligence in pursuit of permitting, licensing and construction of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Trial Operation Date provided in the Interconnection Request. Such demonstration shall be based on evidence to be provided by ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer of accomplishments in permitting, licensing, and construction in an effort to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Trial Operation Date provided in this Interconnection Request. Such evidence may include filed documents, records of public hearings, governmental agency findings, documentation of actual construction progress, including the previous four (4) months. If the evidence demonstrates that ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer did not undertake reasonable efforts to meet the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date or Trial Operation Date specified in the Interconnection Request, or demonstrates that reasonable efforts were not undertaken until four (4) months prior to the request for extension, the request for extension shall constitute a Material Modification. ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed Material Modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR TRANSITION.

5.1

Procedures for Transitioning to the Cluster Study Process

5.1.1

Any Interconnection Customer assigned a Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this ETU IP) shall retain that Queue Position subject to the requirements in Sections 5.1.1.1 and 5.1.1.2 of this ETU IP. Any Interconnection Customer that fails to meet the entry requirements shall have its Interconnection Request deemed withdrawn by System Operator pursuant to

Section 3.7 of this ETU IP without further opportunity to cure. In such case, System Operator shall not assess~~the~~ Interconnection Customer any Withdrawal Penalty.

Any Interconnection Customer that has accepted a final Interconnection Facilities Study Report before the commencement of the studies under the transition process set forth in this section shall be tendered an ETU IA pursuant to Section 11 of this ETU IP, and shall not be required to enter this transition process.

System Operator shall not accept Interconnection Requests submitted after the thirty (30) Calendar Day period described in this section until such time as the first Cluster Request Window opens.

5.1.1.1 Transitional Serial Study. An Interconnection Customer that has been tendered an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement (other than a CFAC Agreement) as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after [April 1, 2024] (the filing date of this ETU IP) may opt to proceed with an Interconnection Facilities Study or proceed to directly to ETU IA negotiations. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 8 to this ETU IP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP. System Operator shall proceed with the Interconnection Facilities Study, provided that~~the~~ Interconnection Customer: (1) meets each of the following requirements; and (2) executes the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn without further opportunity to cure and without penalty. System Operator must commence the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this ETU IP.

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

- (1) A deposit equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the costs identified for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades in Interconnection Customer's system impact study report. If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the

deposit shall be trued up to actual costs once they are known and applied to future construction costs described in Interconnection Customer's eventual ETU IA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 12.2 of the pro forma ETU IA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, System Operator shall refund the remaining deposit after the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled. The deposit shall be in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.

(2) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed ~~Generating Facility~~ Elective Transmission Upgrade.

(3) A study deposit in the amount of the greater of \$250,000 or estimated study costs. ~~\$250,000~~

Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall conduct each Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study and issue the associated Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP.

After System Operator issues each Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this ETU IP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty shall be imposed on Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this ETU IP).

5.1.1.2 Transitional Cluster Study

An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 (the filing date of this ETU IP) may opt to proceed with a Transitional Cluster Study. System Operator shall tender each eligible Interconnection Customer a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this ETU IP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU

IP. System Operator shall proceed with the Transitional Cluster Study that includes each Interconnection Customer that: (1) meets each of the following requirements listed as (1) – (5) in this section; and (2) executes the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement within sixty (60) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP. All Interconnection Requests that enter the Transitional Cluster Study shall be considered to have an equal Queue Position that is lower than Interconnection Customer(s) proceeding with Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study. If an eligible Interconnection Customer does not meet these requirements, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn without penalty and with no further opportunity to cure. System Operator must commence the Transitional Cluster Study at the conclusion of this sixty (60) Calendar Day period. All identified Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrade costs shall be allocated according to Section 4.2.1 of this ETU IP. Transitional Cluster Study costs shall be allocated according to the method described in Section 13.3 of this ETU IP. Interconnection Customers for which the System Operator projects to complete the system impact studies between May 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024, shall be tendered a Transitional Cluster Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 7 to this ETU IP, no later than the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP. However, if the Interconnection Customer accepts the results of its system impact study on or before July 1, 2024, the System Operator shall not include the Interconnection Request in the Transitional Cluster Study and instead tender an Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section 11 of this ETU IP, and refund any deposits associated with participation in the Transitional Cluster Study.

Notwithstanding any other provision, an Interconnection Customer with a valid Queue Position prior to May 1, 2024 that includes a Commercial Operation Date earlier than March 31, 2028, may make a one-time extension to its requested Commercial Operation Date upon entry into the Transitional Cluster Study, where any such extension shall not result in a Commercial Operation Date later than March 31, 2028

All of the following must be included when an Interconnection Customer returns the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement:

- (1) A selection of either Network Import Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service. Upon making this selection, an Interconnection Customer requesting CNI Interconnection Service may request that System Operator reduce the Interconnection Request from CNI Interconnection Service to NI Interconnection Service if the System Operator

identifies thermal violations in the analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing conditions that are not identified in the analysis associated with the NI Interconnection Service testing conditions for the Interconnection Request. System Operator will notify the Interconnection Customer that its Interconnection Request has been reduced to NI Interconnection Service, and list the thermal violations identified in the analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing conditions in the Cluster Study Report.

- (2) A deposit of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) for all Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Requests and those seeking NRI Interconnection Service or CNRI Interconnection Service, and one million (\$1,000,000) for Interconnection Requests for which Interconnection Studies for NI Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNI Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NRI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service. The deposit shall be, in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP. If Interconnection Customer does not withdraw, the deposit shall be reconciled with and applied towards future construction costs described in the ETU IA. Any amounts in excess of the actual construction costs shall be returned to Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of a final invoice for construction costs, in accordance with Article 12.2 of the pro forma ETU IA. If Interconnection Customer withdraws or otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, System Operator must refund the remaining deposit once the final invoice for study costs and Withdrawal Penalty is settled.
- (3) Exclusive Site Control for 100% of the proposed ~~Generating Facility~~ Elective Transmission Upgrade.
- (4) A study deposit in the amount of \$250,000; for all Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Requests seeking NRI Interconnection Service or CNRI Interconnection Service, and one hundred thousand (\$100,000) for Interconnection Requests or which Interconnection Studies for NI Interconnection Service have been completed but have not achieved CNI Interconnection Service or for Interconnection Requests seeking to change from existing NI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service. Any unused balance of the study deposit associated with the Interconnection Request shall be applied toward the study deposit associated with the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement.

(5) All technical data required under Appendix 1, Attachment A and Attachment A-1 (if applicable) of this LGIP to the extent the Interconnection Customer has not already provided such data.

System Operator shall conduct the Transitional Cluster Study and issue both an associated interim Transitional Cluster Study Report and an associated final Transitional Cluster Study Report. The Study Case for the Transitional Cluster Study shall include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS Report prior to the opening of the Transitional Cluster Study, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from the Transitional Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Transitional Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the deadline to submit the Transitional Cluster Study Agreement that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU.

The interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the following information:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of Contingent Facilities
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and
- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

In addition to the information provided in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades required to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System that resolve issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

The interim and final Transitional Cluster Study Reports shall be issued within three hundred (300) and three hundred sixty (360) Calendar Days of the Commission-approved effective date of this ETU IP, respectively, and shall be posted on System Operator's OASIS consistent with the posting of other study results pursuant to Section 3.5.1 of this ETU IP. Interconnection Customer shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days to comment on the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report, once it has been received.

After System Operator issues the final Transitional Cluster Study Report, Interconnection Customer shall proceed pursuant to Section 11 of this ETU IP. If Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request or if Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade otherwise does not reach Commercial Operation, a Withdrawal Penalty will be imposed on Interconnection Customer equal to nine (9) times Interconnection Customer's total study cost incurred since entering the System Operator's interconnection queue (including the cost of studies conducted under Section 5 of this ETU IP).

5.1.1.3 Transitional CNR Group Study

In accordance with Section III.13.1.1.2.3A, System Operator shall conduct a Transitional CNR Group Study following the effective date of this LGIP. An Interconnection Customer with an assigned Queue Position as of thirty (30) Calendar Days after April 1, 2024 may participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and consistent with Section II.48 of the Tariff, achieve CNI Interconnection Service. Any Interconnection Customer seeking to establish CNI Interconnection through this study must (1) have a valid Interconnection Request seeking CNI Interconnection Service, (2) submit a New Capacity Show of Interest Form to participate in the interim reconfiguration auction qualification process, (3) have not secured a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 1, 2024, (4) have a completed System Impact Study or Interconnection Agreement establishing NI Interconnection Service, and 5) have a Commercial Operation Date prior to June 1, 2028.

System Operator shall conduct the study by performing an overlapping impacts analysis in the manner used for CNR Group Studies conducted prior to the effective date of this LGIP and as described in ISO Section III.13.1.1.2.3A and the ISO New England Planning Procedures. The Transitional CNR Group Study shall assure that the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility can be interconnected in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispach of other Capacity Network Resources and Elective Transmission Upgrades with CNI Interconnection Service, in accordance with the CC Interconnection Standard and as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Interconnection Requests for CNR Interconnection Service and CNI Interconnection Service submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission/approval (the dates of submission shall be used for Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator and the dates of Proposed Plan Application approval shall be used for interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates). Interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates that have not yet received the System Operator's approval for their Proposed Plan Applications under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff at the commencement of the Transitional CNR Group Study shall be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study after all Interconnection Requests submitted to the System Operator pursuant to Schedules 22, 23, or 25 of Section II of the Tariff and all interconnection requests submitted to Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates pursuant to the applicable state tariff, rules or procedures that have obtained Proposed Plan Application approval from the System Operator pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff have been included in the Transitional CNR Group Study in order of submission to the Interconnecting Transmission Owners or their distribution company affiliates.

Where an Interconnection Customer with a CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request submits a Show of Interest Form to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study, and identifies in that Show of Interest Form that one or more Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for an Internal ETU (with a completed Interconnection System Impact Study), that is not already included in the network model pursuant to Section III.12 of the Tariff supports its deliverability, the CNR or CNI

Interconnection Request will be included in the Transitional CNR Group Study at the lowest of the CNR or CNI Interconnection Request's or its associated Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request(s) for the Internal ETU's Queue Position. Where multiple Interconnection Customers' CNR or CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Requests are associated with the same lower Queue Position for an Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request for an Internal ETU in the CNR Group Study, the CNR Interconnection Request's Queue Position will be used as the tie breaker to dictate the relative order in which the CNR Interconnection Service Interconnection Request will be included in the CNR Group Study.

Any Interconnection Customer seeking to participate in the Transitional CNR Group Study that receives a qualification determination notification under Section III.13.1.1.2.8 of the Tariff, must provide, a Commercial Readiness Deposit of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit, cash, or a combination thereof prior to the opening of the window to elect critical path schedule monitoring. Such deposit shall be refunded to the Interconnection Customer: (a) upon the Elective Transmission Upgrade achieving Commercial Operation on or before June 1, 2028; or (b) within sixty (60) Business Days of the date that the Interconnection Customer secures a Capacity Supply Obligation is secured in a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction, where such auction was held prior to June 1, 2028. If the Interconnection Customer (a) withdraws the Interconnection Request from the queue or (b) neither achieves Commercial Operation nor is associated with an Import Resource that secures a Capacity Supply Obligation through a reconfiguration auction or Forward Capacity Auction prior to June 1, 2028 provided the Interconnection Customer reaches Commercial Operation on or before June 1, 2028. Otherwise, System Operator shall refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this LGIP and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request shall be reduced to NI Interconnection Service.

~~Rules for Establishing Queue Position for Interconnection Requests Pending Prior to February 16, 2015.~~

~~**5.1.1**—An Interconnection Customer with a request for Elective Transmission Upgrade submitted prior to February 16, 2015, shall be assigned a Queue Position pursuant to the following provisions:~~

~~5.1.1.1 If the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade has received an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff prior to February 16, 2015:~~

~~5.1.1.1.1 The Interconnection Request shall be assigned a Queue Position based on the date of the Elective Transmission Upgrade's approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff and shall be respected by all Interconnection Requests with a lower Queue Position than the Elective Transmission Upgrade's assigned Queue Position. The assigned Queue Position for an Interconnection Request of an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall be for NI Interconnection Service. Within sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015, the Interconnection Customer must: (a) proceed as directed in Section 8 of this ETU IP, and (b) submit a deposit of \$47,500 for the difference between the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application deposit (i.e., \$ 2,500) and the new Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request deposit (i.e., \$50,000) to be applied toward the costs of developing the ETU IA. Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, if the Interconnection Customer fails to meet these requirements within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn.~~

~~5.1.1.1.2 The Interconnection Request shall be assigned a placeholder to establish a separate Queue Position for CNI Interconnection Service if the Interconnection Customer proposing an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility submits a valid Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service within sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015. The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service may also include a request for Long Lead Facility Treatment, which shall be subject to review pursuant to Section 3.2.3, and, if applicable, a request for a change of the Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. The placeholder for such Queue Position shall be at the bottom of the queue as of February 16, 2015, in relative order with any other Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request that falls under this Section 5.1.1.1.2. Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, if a valid Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service is not submitted within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015, the placeholder Queue Position shall be deemed withdrawn.~~

~~5.1.1.2 If the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade has not received an approval pursuant to Section I.3.9 of the Tariff prior to February 16, 2015:~~

~~5.1.1.2.1~~ An Interconnection Request with a System Impact Study Agreement that has been executed prior to February 16, 2015, and has been recognized by the System Operator as actively under study, shall be assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue as of February 16, 2015, below the Queue Position of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Requests that fall under Section 5.1.1.1.2 and in relative order based on the date of the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application submitted pursuant to Section II.47.5 of the Tariff, with any other Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request that falls under this Section 5.1.1.2.1. The assigned Queue Position of an Interconnection Request for an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall be for CNI Interconnection Service unless the Interconnection Customer indicates in its updated Interconnection Request that it only seeks NI Interconnection Service. The System Impact Study shall be completed, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies shall be processed, in accordance with the version of the ETU IP in effect on February 16, 2015 (or as revised thereafter), including potential re-study to accommodate the revised queue. Within sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015, the Interconnection Customer shall submit: (a) an updated Interconnection Request for the same Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application submitted under Section II.47.5 of the Tariff together with all data requested to facilitate the System Operator, in coordination with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator, completion of the System Impact Study, and (b) a deposit of \$250,000 minus any amounts already paid to the System Operator for estimated costs of the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be applied toward the costs of the remaining study work and development of the ETU IA. At that time, Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service may also include in its updated Interconnection Request a request for Long Lead Facility Treatment, which shall be subject to review pursuant to Section 3.2.3, and, if applicable, a request for a change of the Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, if the Interconnection Customer fails to meet these requirements within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.

~~5.1.1.2.2~~ An Interconnection Customer with a System Impact Study Agreement that has been executed prior to February 16, 2015, but is not recognized by the System Operator as actively under study, shall be assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue as of February 16, 2015, below the Queue Position of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Requests that fall under Section 5.1.1.2.1 and in relative order with any other Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request that falls under this

~~Section 5.1.1.2.2. The assigned Queue Position of an Interconnection Request for an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall be for CNI Interconnection Service unless the Interconnection Customer indicates in its updated Interconnection Request that it only seeks NI Interconnection Service. The System Impact Study shall be completed, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies shall be processed, in accordance with the version of the ETU IP in effective on February 16, 2015 (or as revised thereafter), including potential re-study to accommodate the revised queue. Within sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015, the Interconnection Customer shall submit: (a) an updated Interconnection Request for the same Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application submitted under Section II.47.5 of the Tariff together with all data requested to facilitate the System Operator, in coordination with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator, conduct of the System Impact Study, and (b) \$250,000 to be applied toward the costs of the System Impact Study and development of the ETU IA. At that time, Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service may also include in its updated Interconnection Request a request for Long Lead Facility Treatment, which shall be subject to review pursuant to Section 3.2.3, and, if applicable, a request for a change of the Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IA, if the Interconnection Customer fails to meet these requirements within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.~~

~~**5.1.1.2.3** An Interconnection Customer that does not have an executed System Impact Study Agreement prior to February 16, 2015, shall be assigned a Queue Position at the bottom of the queue as of February 16, 2015, below the Queue Position of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Requests that fall under Section 5.1.1.2.2 and in relative order with any other Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request that falls under this Section 5.1.1.2.3. The assigned Queue Position of an Interconnection Request for an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall be for CNI Interconnection Service unless the Interconnection Customer provides written notification to the System Operator that it seeks only NI Interconnection Service. Within sixty (60) days from February 16, 2015, the Interconnection Customer shall: (a) submit an updated Interconnection Request for the same Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application submitted under Section II.47.5 of the Tariff together with all data requested to facilitate the System Operator, in coordination with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator, conduct of the Interconnection~~

~~Studies, (b) submit a deposit of \$47,500 for the difference between the former Elective Transmission Upgrade application deposit (i.e., \$ 2,500) and the new Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Request deposit (i.e., \$50,000) to be applied toward the costs of the Interconnection Studies and development of the ETU IA, and (c) proceed as directed in Section 6 of this ETU IP. At that time, Interconnection Customers with an Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service may also include a request for Long Lead Facility Treatment, which shall be subject to review pursuant to Section 3.2.3, and, if applicable, a request for a change of the Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Sections 4.4.4 and 4.4.5. Interconnection Studies shall be processed in accordance with the version of the ETU IP in effective on February 16, 2015 (or as revised thereafter). Notwithstanding any other provision in this ETU IP, if the Interconnection Customer fails to meet these requirements within a period not to exceed sixty (60) days, the Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn.~~

~~5.2 — Transition Rules for Pending Interconnection Requests After February 16, 2015.~~

~~5.2.1 — Any Interconnection Customer assigned a Queue Position prior to November, 1 2017, shall retain that Queue Position subject to Section 4.4 of this ETU IP.~~

~~5.2.1.1 — If an Interconnection Study Agreement has not been executed prior to November 1, 2017, then such Interconnection Study, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies, shall be processed in accordance with the version of this ETU IP in effect on November 1, 2017 (or as revised thereafter).~~

~~5.2.1.2 — If an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed prior to November 1, 2017 and is actively under study, such Interconnection Study shall be completed in accordance with the terms of such agreement. If an Interconnection Study Agreement has been executed prior to November 1, 2017, but the Interconnection Study has not commenced, such Interconnection Study shall be completed, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies shall be processed, in accordance with the version of the ETU IP in effect on November 1, 2017. Interconnection Studies for Interconnection Requests seeking to interconnect into the Northern and Western Maine parts of the New England Control Area that do not have a completed Interconnection System Impact Study by November 1, 2017 shall be included in the Maine Resource Integration Study, which shall be the first CRPS. The Interconnection Customers identified in the Maine Resource Integration Study as eligible to participate in the associated Cluster System Impact Study shall make one of the elections and complete the associated requirements specified in Section 4.2.3.2 of this ETU IP within thirty (30) Calendar Days from the later of November 1, 2017 or~~

~~the issuance of the final Maine Resource Integration Study report. If the Interconnection Customer does not make one of the elections and complete the associated requirements by the thirtieth Calendar Day, the System Operator shall automatically withdraw the Interconnection Request from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure.~~

~~**5.2.2**—Transition Period. To the extent necessary, the System Operator, Interconnection Customers with an outstanding Interconnection Request (i.e., an Interconnection Request for which an ETU IA has neither been executed nor submitted to the Commission for approval prior to November 1, 2017), Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Parties, shall transition to proceeding under the version of the ETU IP in effect as of November 1, 2017 (or as revised thereafter) within a reasonable period of time not to exceed sixty (60) Calendar Days. The use of the term “outstanding Interconnection Request” herein shall mean any Interconnection Request, on November 1, 2017: (i) that has been submitted, together with the required deposit and attachments, but not yet accepted by the System Operator; (ii) where the related ETU IA has not yet been submitted to the Commission for approval in executed or unexecuted form, (iii) where the relevant Interconnection Study Agreements have not yet been executed, or (iv) where any of the relevant Interconnection Studies are in process but not yet completed. Any Interconnection Customer with an outstanding Interconnection Request as of the effective date of this ETU IP may request a reasonable extension of the next applicable deadline if necessary to avoid undue hardship or prejudice to its Interconnection Request. A reasonable extension, not to exceed sixty (60) Calendar Days, shall be granted by the System Operator to the extent consistent with the intent and process provided for under this ETU IP.~~

5.3 New System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

~~If the System Operator transfers operational control of the New England Transmission System to a successor System Operator during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, the System Operator shall transfer to the successor System Operator any amount of the deposit or payment with interest thereon that exceeds the cost that it incurred to evaluate the request for interconnection. Any difference between such net amount and the deposit or payment required by this ETU IP shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. The System Operator shall coordinate with the successor System Operator to complete any Interconnection Study, as appropriate, that the System Operator has begun but has not completed.~~

If ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner transfers ownership of its transmission facilities to a successor transmission owner during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, and System Operator in conjunction with Interconnecting Transmission Owner has tendered a draft ETU IA to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer but ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer has not either executed the ETU IA or requested the filing of an unexecuted ETU IA with the Commission, unless otherwise provided, ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer must complete negotiations with ~~the~~ successor transmission owner.

SECTION 6. INTERCONNECTION INFORMATION ACCESSFEASIBILITY STUDY.

6.1 Publicly Posted Interconnection Information.

System Operator shall maintain and make publicly available: (1) an interactive visual representation of the estimated incremental injection capacity (in megawatts) available at each point of interconnection on the Administered Transmission System under N-1 conditions, and (2) a table of metrics concerning the estimated impact of a potential Elective Transmission Upgrade on the Administered Transmission System based on a user-specified addition of a particular number of megawatts at a particular voltage level at a particular point(s) of interconnection. At a minimum, for each transmission facility impacted by the user-specified megawatt addition, the following information will be provided in the table: (1) the distribution factor; (2) the megawatt impact (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade and the distribution factor); (3) the percentage impact on each impacted transmission facility (based on the megawatt values of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade and the facility rating); (4) the percentage of power flow on each impacted transmission facility before the injection of the proposed project; (5) the percentage power flow on each impacted transmission facility after the injection of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade. These metrics must be calculated based on the power flow model of the Administered Transmission System with the transfer simulated from each point of interconnection to the whole Administered Transmission System footprint (to approximate Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service), and with the incremental capacity at each point of interconnection decremented by the existing and queued ETU (based on the existing or requested interconnection service limit of the Elective Transmission Upgrade). These metrics must be updated within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the completion of each Cluster Study and Cluster Restudy. This information must be publicly posted, without a password or a fee. The website will define all underlying assumptions, including the name of the most recent Cluster Study or Restudy used in the Base Case.

~~For Interconnection Requests that were identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, prior to the effective date of this ETU IP the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3.~~ **Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.**

~~Except as otherwise provided in Section 4.2.3.4 of this ETU IP, the Interconnection Customer has the option to request either that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study under this Section 6, or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study under Section 7. If the Interconnection Customer requests that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and the System Operator shall be responsible for generating only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

~~Within five (5) Business Days following the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's receipt from the Interconnection Customer of its designation of the Point(s) of Interconnection and of the type of study to be performed pursuant to Section 3.3.4, System Operator shall tender to Interconnection Customer the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, which includes a good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study. The Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall specify that Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). No later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, (a) the Interconnection Customer shall execute and deliver the agreement to System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, (b) the Interconnection Customer shall also deliver the refundable deposit for the Interconnection Feasibility Study to the System Operator, and (c) the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment B. The deposit for the study shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). For~~

~~Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study that have been incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner on the Interconnection Feasibility Study, including the development of the study agreement and its attachment(s). The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold any amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

~~On or before the return of the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A or B, depending on the scope elected pursuant to Section 3.3.1. If the Interconnection Customer does not provide all such technical data when it delivers the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and the Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or deposit.~~

~~If the Interconnection Feasibility Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and acceptable to the Parties, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to Section 6.4 as applicable. For the purpose of this Section 6.1, if the Parties cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then Interconnection Customer may direct that~~

~~one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, as specified pursuant to Section 3.3.4, shall be the substitute.~~

~~6.2 — Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall preliminarily evaluate the feasibility of the proposed interconnection to the Administered Transmission System with available data and information. The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consider the Base Case as well as all generating facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades (and with respect to (iii), any identified Network Upgrades) that, on the date the Interconnection Feasibility Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the New England Transmission System; (ii) are interconnected to Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the New England Transmission System and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; and (iv) have no Queue Position but have executed an Interconnection Agreement or requested that an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement be filed with the Commission (the “Study Case” for the Interconnection Feasibility Study). An Interconnection Customer with a CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request may also request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study include a preliminary, non-binding, analysis to identify potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff, based on a limited set of assumptions to be specified by the Interconnection Customer and reflected in Attachment A to the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consist of a power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis. The Interconnection Feasibility Study report will provide (i) a list of facilities, and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility; (ii) a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades; (iii) a protection assessment to determine the required Interconnection Facilities; and may provide (iv) an evaluation of the siting of Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades; and (v) identification of the likely permitting and siting process including easements and environmental work for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades.~~

~~Alternatively, in the case where the Interconnection Customer requests that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, the Interconnection Customer may provide the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A with the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study consist of limited~~

~~thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability analysis, and electromagnetic transient analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade's interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting. In this case, the Interconnection Feasibility Study report will provide (i) the study findings; and, (ii) a preliminary description of a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless such cost estimate is waived by the Interconnection Customer) and the time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade as identified within the scope of the analysis performed as part of the study. To the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 6.2, the Interconnection Feasibility Study report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

~~6.3 — Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures.~~

~~The System Operator in coordination with Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when it performs the study. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study no later than forty five (45) Calendar Days after System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner receive the fully executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, study deposit and required technical data in accordance with Section 6.1. At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. If the System Operator is unable to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study within that time period, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, the System Operator with input from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant Study Case power flow and short circuit databases that have been developed for the Interconnection Feasibility Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or~~

~~confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.~~

~~6.3.1 — Meeting with Parties.~~

~~Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Interconnection Feasibility Study report to the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~6.4 — Re-Study.~~

~~If re-study of the Interconnection Feasibility Study is required due to (i) a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher queued project subject to Section 4.4, (iii) a re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 6.1, (iv) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff, or (v) a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing. Each re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the re-study commences. Any cost of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection Feasibility Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall have the option to waive the re-study and elect to have the re-study performed as part of its Interconnection System Impact Study. The Interconnection Customer shall provide written notice of the waiver and election of moving directly to the Interconnection System Impact Study within five (5) Business Days of receiving notice from the System Operator of the required re-study.~~

SECTION 7. ~~INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT~~CLUSTER STUDY.

7.1 Cluster~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Study Agreement.

~~If the Interconnection Customer did not request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and the System Operator shall be responsible for generating only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

No later than five (5) Business Days after the close of a Cluster Request Window, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall tender to each Interconnection Customer that submitted a valid Interconnection Request a Cluster Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 2 of this ETU IP. The Cluster Study Agreement shall require Interconnection Customer to compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Cluster Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the ETU IA, pursuant to Section 13.3 of this ETU IP. The specifications, assumptions, or other provisions in the appendices of the Cluster Study Agreement provided pursuant to Section 7.1 of this ETU IP shall be subject to change by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner following the conclusion of the Scoping Meeting.

~~Within five (5) Business Days following the Interconnection Feasibility Study results meeting, or subsequent to the Scoping Meeting within five (5) Business Days following the receipt of designation of the Point(s) of Interconnection and type of study to be performed pursuant to Section 3.3.4, if the Interconnection Customer did not request that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customer the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, which includes a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for commencing and completing the Interconnection System Impact Study. The Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall provide that the Interconnection Customer shall compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the ETU IA.~~

7.2 Execution of ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study Agreement.

~~The~~ Interconnection Customer shall execute the Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement to ~~the~~ System Operator no later than ~~thirty (30) Calendar Days~~ after its receipt along with a demonstration of Site Control and the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A, and the Interconnection Customer shall also deliver a refundable deposit ~~the~~ close of the Customer Engagement Window. ~~An Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for (i) a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property, or (ii) a modification of an existing Pool Transmission Facility that is not owned by the Interconnection Customer. If a PSCAD model was determined to be needed for the Elective Transmission Upgrade at the Scoping Meeting, then the Interconnection Customer shall have ninety (90) Calendar Days from the execution of the System Impact Study Agreement to provide the PSCAD model. The deposit for the study shall be the greater of 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study or \$250,000.~~

~~The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the ETU IA. For Interconnection Requests that are identified for inclusion in a CRPS performed under Section 15 of Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff, the deposit also shall be applied toward the costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the cost estimates in support of the CRPS. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study or the actual costs incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in developing the costs estimates in support of the CRPS shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the estimated costs of Interconnection System Impact the Cluster Study that have been incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the System Impact Cluster Study, including the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the ETU IA. Costs of Cluster Studies shall be allocated to all Interconnection Customers on a 50% per capita, and 50% per MW basis. In the case of Clustering, CSIS costs that are associated with an individual Interconnection Request assessed within the CSIS will be charged directly to that Interconnection Customer. CSIS costs that are associated with the CSIS as a~~

~~whole will be divided equally, on a per project basis, among the Interconnection Customers in the cluster. If the Interconnection Customer elects the deposit described in (ii) above, the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection System Impact Study on each month. The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

~~If at any time during the Cluster Study, including during the Customer Engagement Window, System Operator determines that Interconnection Customer is required to provide additional technical data, or that the data provided is incomplete or contains errors, System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Cluster Study Agreement or required deposits. Failure to provide all required information within this period will result in automatic withdrawal of Interconnection Request from queue without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.~~

~~On or before the return of the executed Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the technical data called for in Appendix 1, Attachment A; provided that if a PSCAD model was determined to be needed at the Scoping Meeting, then the Interconnection Customer shall have ninety (90) Calendar Days from the execution of the System Impact Study Agreement to provide the PSCAD model.~~

~~If the Interconnection Customer does not provide all required ~~such~~ technical data when it delivers the Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement and the Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Cluster Study Agreement or required deposits. Failure to provide all required information within this period will result in automatic~~

withdrawal of the Interconnection Request from the interconnection queue without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.

~~If the Interconnection System Impact Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting or the Interconnection Feasibility Study, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and acceptable to each Party, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to Section 7.6 as applicable. For the purpose of this Section 7.2, if the Parties cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then Interconnection Customer may direct that one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or Interconnection System Impact Study depending on whether Interconnection Customer requested that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, as specified pursuant to Section 3.3.4, shall be the substitute.~~

7.3 Scope of ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study.

The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study shall evaluate the impact of the proposed interconnection on the reliability and operation of the New England Transmission System. The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study will consider the Base Case as well as all generating facilities and Elective Transmission Upgrades (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Network Upgrades associated with such higher queued interconnection) that, on the date the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the New England Transmission System; (ii) are interconnected to Affected System or Internal Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the New England Transmission System and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; and (iv) have no Queue Position but have executed an Interconnection Agreement or requested that an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement be filed with the Commission (the “Study Case” for the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study). The Study Case shall also include any CETU and associated system upgrades identified in a final CRPS report prior to the opening of the Cluster Request Window, provided that System Operator receives Interconnection Requests that require such CETU. Consistent with the NC Interconnection Standard, the evaluation will include conditions

where the projects proposed in the Interconnection Requests that are included in the CSIS are not dispatched against each other if they do not share a system constraint that would provide the basis for a redispatch condition. The CETU shall remain configured consistent with the megawatt quantity(ies) specified in the final CRPS report. In the event that all CETU-eligible Interconnection Requests withdraw from a Cluster Study, the CETU shall be removed from the Study Case. An Internal ETU can be considered, and included in the Cluster Study, in place of a CETU, or portion thereof, if all of the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster that the ISO has determined need to use the Internal ETU have indicated by the end of the Customer Engagement Window that they have a contractual commitment in place providing for the Interconnection Customers to fund and the right to use the Internal ETU. An Interconnection Customer with a CNI Interconnection Service Interconnection Request may also request that the Interconnection System Impact Study include a preliminary, non-binding, analysis to identify potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff, based on a limited set of assumptions to be specified by the Interconnection Customer and reflected in Attachment A to the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.

For purposes of determining necessary Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Cluster Study shall consider the level of Interconnection Service requested by Interconnection Customers in the Cluster. However, the Cluster Study shall consider the full ETU capability to ensure the acceptability of the proposed control technology to restrict the facility's output and the safety and reliability of the system.

The ~~Cluster Interconnection System Impact~~ Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis and any other analyses, such as electromagnetic transient analysis, that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the results of which are documented in a single Cluster Study Report, as applicable.– Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s) and Internal Affected Systems (if applicable) shall provide to System Operator, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of a request, and for purposes of inclusion in the Cluster Study Report, non-binding good faith estimates of cost responsibility for required upgrades, and a non-binding good faith estimated times to construct such upgrades.

At the conclusion of the Cluster Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue a Cluster Study Report. The Interconnection System Impact Study report will state the assumptions upon which it is based, state the results of the analyses, and provide the requirements or potential impediments to providing the requested interconnection service, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study report will provide (i) a list of Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are required to reliably interconnect all facilities in that Cluster Study~~as a result of the Interconnection Request~~ and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility; (ii) a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct; (iii) a protection assessment to determine the required protection upgrades; and may provide (iv) an evaluation of the siting of the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades; and (v) identification of the likely permitting and siting process including easements and environment work. The Cluster Report shall identify each Interconnection Customer's estimated allocated costs for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades pursuant to the method described in Schedule 11, of Section II of the Tariff. System Operator shall hold an open stakeholder meeting pursuant to Section 7.4 of this ETU IP.~~To the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 7.3, the Interconnection System Impact Study report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

The Cluster Study shall evaluate the use of static synchronous compensators, static VAR compensators, advanced power flow control devices, transmission switching, synchronous condensers, voltage source converters, advanced conductors, and tower lifting. System Operator shall determine, in the manner described in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, whether the above technologies should be used, consistent with Good Utility Practice and other applicable regulatory requirements. System Operator shall include an explanation of the results of the System Operator's evaluation for each technology in the Cluster Study Report.

7.4 ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study Procedures.

~~The~~ System Operator shall coordinate the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study with ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and with any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality

requirements, that is affected by the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 3.5 above. ~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when it performs the study.

Interconnection Requests for a Cluster Study may be submitted only within the Cluster Request Window and System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall initiate the Cluster Study process pursuant to Section 7 of this ETU IP.

~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the Cluster Study within two hundred and seventy (270) Calendar Days of the close of the Customer Engagement Window. Within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously issuing a Cluster Study Report to each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster and posting such report on OASIS, the System Operator shall convene a Cluster Study Report Meeting.

~~The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study within ninety (90) Calendar Days after the receipt of the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, study deposit, demonstration of Site Control, if Site Control is required, and required technical data in accordance with Section 7.2. If System Operator uses Clustering, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to deliver a completed Interconnection System Impact Study within the times specified in this Section 7.4.~~

At the request of ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer or at any time ~~the~~ System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study, the System Operator shall notify ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study. If ~~the~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study within the time period, ~~the~~ System Operator shall notify ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated start date if the study has not commenced and completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such circumstances, upon request, ~~the~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customers all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant Study Case power flow, short circuit and stability databases that have been developed for the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study to any third party consultant retained by ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as

well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer.

~~Except in the case of a CSIS, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer when the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence within sixty five (65) Calendar Days. An Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request being studied serially will be permitted to update the technical data provided in Appendix 1 and Attachment A, and submit modifications to that technical data to the System Operator no later than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date that the System Operator notified the Interconnection Customer that the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence. Such modifications will not be deemed Material Modifications provided they meet the requirements of Section 4.4.1 of this ETU IP.~~

~~Where sufficient time has elapsed since the initial Scoping Meeting, within ten (10) Business Days after notifying the Interconnection Customer that the Interconnection System Impact Study is expected to commence, the System Operator may convene a second Scoping Meeting for the purpose of providing updated information to the Interconnection Customer in preparation for the submittal of updates to the technical data. =~~

7.5 Cluster Study Restudies.

(1) Within twenty (20) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, Interconnection Customer must provide the following:

(a) Demonstration of continued Site Control pursuant to Section 3.4.2(iii) of this ETU~~ETU~~ IP;

and

(b) An additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to System Operator five percent (5%) of the Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study in the form of an irrevocable letter of or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP. System Operator shall

refund the deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.

Interconnection Customer shall promptly inform System Operator of any material change to Interconnection Customer's demonstration of Site Control under Section 3.4.2(iii) of this ETU IP. Upon System Operator determining that Interconnection Customer no longer satisfies the Site Control requirement, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customer. Within ten (10) Business Days of such notification, Interconnection Customer must demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirement subject to System Operator's approval, not to be unreasonably withheld. Absent such demonstration, System Operator shall deem the subject Interconnection Request withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP (without the cure period provided under Section 3.7 of this ETU IP).

At the same time that Interconnection Customer submits the information required under this Section 7.5(1)(a) and (b), an Interconnection Customer may also request a decrease in the size of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, provided that the Cluster Study identified that Elective Transmission Upgrade proposed in the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request does not share any Network Upgrades with an Elective Transmission Upgrade or Generating Facility proposed in a separate Interconnection Request. If System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is required under this Section 7.5 of this LGIP, within ten (10) Business Days of that determination Interconnection Customer shall provide all required updated modeling and data associated with the requested decrease in the size of the Elective Transmission Upgrade for use in the Cluster Restudy. If the System Operator determines that a Cluster Restudy is not required, the Interconnection Customer's request to decrease the size of the Elective Transmission Upgrade shall constitute a Material Modification pursuant to Section 4 of this ETU IP.

(2) If no Interconnection Customer withdraws from the Cluster after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP after completion of the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required.

(3) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraw from the Cluster or are deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP, [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall determine if a Cluster Restudy is necessary within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study

Report Meeting. If [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine a Cluster Restudy is not necessary, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster that a Cluster Restudy is not required and System Operator shall provide an updated Cluster Study Report within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination.

(4) If one or more Interconnection Customers withdraws from the Cluster or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP, and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine a Cluster Restudy is necessary as a result, System Operator shall notify Interconnection Customers in the Cluster and post on OASIS that a Cluster Restudy is required within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting. [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall continue with such restudies until [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine that no further restudies are required. If an Interconnection Customer withdraws or is deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP during the Interconnection Facilities Study, or after other Interconnection Customers in the same Cluster have executed ETU IAs, or requested that unexecuted ETU IAs be filed, and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determines a Cluster Restudy is necessary, the Cluster shall be restudied.

(5) The scope of any Cluster Restudy shall be consistent with the scope of an initial Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7.3 of this ETU IP. [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall complete the Cluster Restudy within ninety (90) Calendar Days of the System Operator informing the Interconnection Customers in the cluster that restudy is needed. The results of the Cluster Restudy shall be combined into a single report (Cluster Restudy Report). System Operator shall hold a meeting with the Interconnection Customers in the cluster, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator (Cluster Restudy Report Meeting) within ten (10) Business Days of simultaneously furnishing the Cluster Restudy Report to each Interconnection Customer in the Cluster Restudy and publishing the Cluster Restudy Report on OASIS.

If additional restudies are required, Interconnection Customer and [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] shall follow the procedures of this Section 7.5 of this ETU IP until such time that [System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner] determine that no further restudies are required. System Operator shall notify each Interconnection Customer within the Cluster when no further restudies are required.

Meeting with Parties.

~~Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Interconnection System Impact Study report to Interconnection Customer, the System Operator shall convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, to discuss the results of the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

Within ~~twentyten~~ (2019) Business Days following the Cluster Study Results Meeting, or Cluster Restudy Results Meeting (as appropriate) ~~study results meeting, the~~ Interconnection Customer shall provide to the System Operator written notice that it will either pursue the Interconnection Facilities Study or waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and elect an expedited interconnection. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, the option to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study is not available for Interconnection Customers that share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy unless each Interconnection Customers agrees in writing to waiver the Interconnection Facilities Study. In a case where Interconnection Customers share responsibility for the same Network Upgrades identified in a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy and do not agree to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study, such study shall be performed at a level of +/- 20%. Where more than one Interconnection Customer is responsible for the same Network Upgrades resulting from a Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, all such Interconnection Customers must agree in writing to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study. Once ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer(s) notifies ~~the~~ System Operator of its election, such election is not subject to change. If ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer elects to pursue the Facilities Study it must proceed with the study. If ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer waives the Facilities Study, it shall commit to the following milestones in the ETU IA: (i) Siting process and approval schedule for the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Facilities; (ii) Engineering of Interconnection Facilities and Elective Transmission upgrade approved by Interconnecting Transmission Owner; (iii) Ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and system upgrades; (iv) Trial Operation Date; and (v) Commercial Operation Date.

~~Within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Interconnection Customer receiving the Interconnection System Impact Study report, the Interconnection Customer shall provide written comments on the report or written notice that it has no comments on the report. The System Operator shall issue a final Interconnection System Impact Study report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving the Interconnection Customer's notice that it will not provide comments.~~

~~7.6~~ — ~~Re-Study.~~

~~If re-study of the Interconnection System Impact Study is required due to (i) a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher queued project subject to Section 4.4, (iii) re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 7.2, (iv) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff, or (v) a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing.~~

~~Each re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the re-study commences. Any cost of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection System Impact Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.~~

7.7 Operational Readiness.

~~The~~ System Operator shall, as close to the Interconnection Customer's actual Trial Operation Date as reasonably possible, ensure that operational analysis, including current stability analyses, power flow analyses, and any other analyses deemed necessary by ~~the~~ System Operator, are performed, and that procedures are developed or updated to address the operation of the New England Transmission System with the addition of ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade. The operational analysis will also include tests of system performance with selected facilities out of service. Such studies shall be performed at the expense of ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer.

~~The~~ System Operator is not obligated to perform the operational analyses described in this Section 7.7 if, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, ~~the~~ System Operator in consultation with Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System is remote and speculative.

SECTION 8. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY.

8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Except as otherwise provided in Section 4.2.4 and 7.5 of this ETU IP, the Interconnection Customer may waive the Interconnection Facilities Study and instead elect expedited interconnection, which means that the Interconnection Customer may enter into E&P Agreements under Section 9 if it had not already done so, and shall enter into an ETU IA in accordance with the requirements specified in Section 11.

If the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study, the Interconnection Customer, subject to the specific terms of the E&P Agreements, assumes all risks and shall pay all costs associated with equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work covered by the ~~Interconnection Facilities~~Cluster Study as described in Section 8.2 below.

The System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 4 to this ETU IP thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Cluster Study Report Meeting, unless System Operator determines that Cluster Restudy is required, in which case, System Operator shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the or Cluster Restudy Report Meeting, simultaneously with the delivery of the Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study to the Interconnection Customer.~~

~~The Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall provide that the~~ Interconnection Customer shall compensate the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the cost of developing the ETU IA. Within ~~fivethree (53)~~ five (5) Business Days following the Cluster Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report Meeting if applicable~~Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting~~, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to Interconnection Customer a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study in accordance with requirements specified in Section 8.3. The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the System Operator within thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt, together with:

(1) any required technical data;

(2) demonstration of one-hundred percent (100%) Site Control or demonstration of a regulatory limitation and applicable deposit in lieu of Site Control provided to the System Operator in accordance with Section 3.4.2 of this ETU IP;

(3) an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the System Operator to ten percent (10%) of Interconnection Customer's Network Upgrade cost assignment identified in the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy, if applicable, in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit or cash where cash deposits shall be treated according to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP. In the case of a CETU-enabled Interconnection Request such deposit shall be made in cash.

System Operator/Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund the Commercial Readiness Deposit deposit to Interconnection Customer upon withdrawal in accordance with Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.

~~the required technical data and the refundable deposit for the Interconnection Facilities Study.~~ In accordance with Section 8.3, ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer shall specify in Attachment A to the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement whether it wants no more than a +/- 20 percent or a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report. The deposit for the study shall be the greater of twenty-five percent of the estimated cost of the study or \$250,000.

Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, ~~the~~ System Operator and/or ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Studies that will be, or have been incurred by ~~the~~ System Operator and/or ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Facilities Study, the study agreement and its attachment(s) and the ETU IA. ~~In the case of Clustering.~~ For a CFAC that began before May 31, 2024, costs that are associated with an individual Interconnection Request assessed within the CFAC will be charged directly to that Interconnection Customer. CFAC costs that are associated with the CFAC as a whole will be divided equally, on a per-project basis, among the Interconnection Customers in the cluster. ~~The~~ System Operator and ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. ~~The~~ Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice.

~~The~~ System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice with ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer and ~~the~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study.

The Interconnection Facilities Study shall be specific to each Interconnection Request and performed on an individual, i.e., non-clustered basis. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall specify and provide a non-binding estimate of the ~~specify and estimate the cost of the~~ equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the Cluster Study Report (and any associated restudies) ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~ in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Interconnection Facilities ~~iesy~~ to the Administered Transmission System. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify the electrical switching configuration of the connection equipment, including, without limitation: the transformer, switchgear, meters, and other station equipment; the nature and estimated cost of any Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to accomplish the interconnection; and an estimate of the time required to complete the construction and installation of such facilities. The scope and cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall include completion of any engineering work limited to what is reasonably required to (i) estimate such aforementioned cost to the accuracy specified by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Section 8.3, (ii) identify, configurations of required facilities and (iii) identify time requirements for construction and installation of required facilities.

8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures.

~~The~~ System Operator shall coordinate the Interconnection Facilities Study with Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, pursuant to Section 3.5 above. ~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study. ~~The~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall ~~use Reasonable Efforts to~~ complete the study and ~~the~~ System Operator shall issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, within the following number of days after receipt of an executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement: ninety (90) Calendar Days, with no more than a +/- 20 percent good faith cost estimate contained in the report; or one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days, if

the Interconnection Customer requests a +/- 10 percent good faith cost estimate. Such cost estimates either individually or in the aggregate will be provided in the final study report. ~~If the System Operator uses Clustering, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to deliver a completed Interconnection Facilities Study within the times specified in this Section 8.3.~~

At the request of ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer or at any time ~~the~~ System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator shall notify ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Facilities Study. If ~~the~~ System Operator is unable to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report within the time required, ~~the~~ System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

~~The~~ Interconnection Customer and appropriate Affected Parties and Internal Affected Parties may, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft Interconnection Refacilities Study Report~~report~~, provide written comments to ~~the~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, which ~~the~~ System Operator shall include in the final Interconnection Facilities Study Report. ~~The~~ System Operator shall issue the final Interconnection Facilities Study report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving Interconnection Customer's statement that it will not provide comments. ~~The~~ System Operator may reasonably extend such fifteen-day period upon notice to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer if ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer's comments require ~~the~~ System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform additional analyses or make other significant modifications prior to the issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Report. Upon request, ~~the~~ System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, or any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation, with workpapers, and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Interconnection Facilities Study.

The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/ disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer.

8.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to Interconnection Customer, ~~the~~ System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by ~~the~~ System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Interconnection Facilities Study.

8.5 Re-Study.

If re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study is required due to (i) a higher or equally queued project ~~dropping out of~~ withdrawing from the queue, (ii) a modification of a higher or equally queued project subject to Section 4.4, ~~(iii) a re-assessment of the upgrade responsibilities of an Elective Transmission Upgrade associated with an Import Capacity Resource(s) or a Generating Facility after the Import Capacity Resource(s) or the Generating Facility receives a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff,~~ or ~~(iiiiv)~~ a modification to a transmission project included in the Base Case, ~~the~~ System Operator shall notify ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing. Each re-study shall be conducted serially based on the Queue Position of each Interconnection Customer, and each re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date ~~of notice~~ the re-study commences. Except as provided in Section 3.7 of this ETU IP in the case of withdrawing Interconnection Customer, ~~a~~ Any cost of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied. If the original Interconnection Facilities Study is complete and the final invoice has been issued, the re-study shall be performed under a new Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Section 9 Affected System Study.

9.1 Applicability.

This Section 9 outlines the duties of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner when they receive notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System.

9.2 Response to Initial Notification

When System Operator receives notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to its host transmission provider may impact the New England Transmission System, System Operator must respond in writing within twenty (20) Business Days whether it intends to conduct an Affected System Study.

By fifteen (15) Business Days after the System Operator responds with its affirmative intent to conduct an Affected System Study, System Operator shall share with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and the schedule to complete the Affected System Study.

9.3 Affected System Queue Position.

System Operator must assign an Affected System Queue Position to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) that require(s) an Affected System Study. Such Affected System Queue Position shall be assigned based upon the date of execution of the Affected System Study Agreement. Relative to the System Operator's Interconnection Customers, this Affected System Queue Position shall be higher-queued than any Cluster that has not yet received its Cluster Study Report and shall be lower-queued than any Cluster that has already received its Cluster Study Report. Consistent with Section 9.7 of this ETU IP, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall study the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) via Clustering, and all Affected System Interconnection Customers studied in the same Cluster under Section 9.7 shall be equally queued. For Affected System Interconnection Customers that are equally queued, the Affected System Queue Position shall have no bearing on the assignment of Affected System Network Upgrades identified in the applicable Affected System Study. The costs of the Affected System Network Upgrades shall be allocated among the Affected System Interconnection Customers in accordance with Section 9.9 of this ETU IP.

9.4 Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Unless otherwise agreed, System Operator shall provide to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, in the form of Appendix 9 or Appendix 10 to this ETU IP, as applicable, within ten (10) Business Days of System Operator sharing the schedule for the Affected System Study per Section 9.2 of this ETU IP.

Upon Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' receipt of the Affected System Study Report, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall compensate System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Any invoices for the Affected System Study shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of the study. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall pay any excess costs beyond the already-paid Affected System Study deposit or be reimbursed for any costs collected over the actual cost of the Affected System Study within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice thereof. If Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) fail to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, it shall lose its Affected System Queue Position. System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer's host transmission provider of such failure to pay.

9.5 Execution of Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement.

Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement to System Operator, and provide the Affected System Study deposit within ten (10) Business Days of receipt.

If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not provide all required technical data when it delivers the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement, System Operator shall notify the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer, as well as the host transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement and the deficient Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice: provided, however, that such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or deposit for the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty

Affected System Study Agreement. If Affected System Interconnection Customer does not cure the deficiency or fails to execute the Affected System Study Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement or provide the deposit, the Affected System Interconnection Customer shall lose its Affected System Queue Position.

9.6 Scope of Affected System Study.

The Affected System Study shall evaluate the impact that any Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's transmission system will have on the reliability of the New England Transmission System. The Affected System Study shall consider the Base Case as well as all Generating Facilities (and with respect to (iii) below, any identified Affected System Network Upgrades associated with such higher-queued Interconnection Request) that, on the date the Affected System Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected the New England Transmission System; (ii) are directly interconnected to another transmission provider's transmission system and may have an impact on Affected System Interconnection Customer's interconnection request; (iii) have a pending higher-queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to Transmission Provider's Transmission System; and (iv) have no queue position but have executed an ETU IA or requested that an unexecuted ETU IA be filed with FERC. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner has no obligation to study impacts of Affected System Interconnection Customers of which it is not notified.

The Affected System Study shall consist of a power flow, stability, and short circuit analysis. The Affected System Study will: state the assumptions upon which it is based; state the results of the analyses; and provide the potential impediments to Affected System Interconnection Customer's receipt if interconnection service on its host transmission provider's transmission system, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. For purposes of determining necessary Affected System Network Upgrades, the Affected System Study shall consider the level of interconnection service requested in megawatts by Affected System Interconnection Customer, unless otherwise required to study the full generating facility capacity due to safety or reliability concerns. The Affected System Study shall provide a list of facilities that are required as a result of Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to another transmission provider's system, a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility, and a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct. The Affected System Study may consist of a system impact study, a facilities study, or some combination thereof.

9.7 Affected System Study Procedures.

System Operator shall use Clustering in conducting the Affected System Study and shall use existing studies to the extent practicable, when multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers that are part of a single Cluster may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall complete the Affected System Study and provide the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and the host transmission provider with whom interconnection has been requested within one hundred fifty (150) Calendar Days after the receipt of the Affected System Study Agreement and deposit.

At the request of Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer as to the status of the Affected System Study. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Affected System Study within the requisite time period, it shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), as well as the transmission provider with which Affected System Interconnection Customer seeks to interconnect, and shall provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. If System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner do not meet the deadlines in this section, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be subject to the financial penalties as described in Section 3.9 of this ETU IP. Upon request, Transmission Provider shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) with all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant power flow, short circuit and stability databases for the Affected System Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Section 13.1 of this ETU IP.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must study an Affected System Interconnection Customer using the Energy Resource Interconnection Service modeling standard used for Interconnection Requests on the New England Transmission System, regardless of the level of interconnection service that Affected System Interconnection Customer is seeking from the host transmission provider with whom it seeks to interconnect.

9.8 Results Meeting.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing the Affected System Study Report to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall meet to discuss the results of the Affected System Study.

9.9 Affected System Cost Allocation.

System Operator shall allocate Affected System Network Upgrade costs identified during the Affected System Study to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) using a proportional impact method, consistent with Schedule 11 of the OATT.

9.10 Tender of Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement.

System Operator shall tender to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) an Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, as applicable, in the form of Appendix 11 or 12 to this ETU IP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of providing the Affected System Study Report. Within ten (10) Business Days of the receipt of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) must execute the agreement or request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC. System Operator shall execute the agreement or file the agreement unexecuted within five (5) Business Days after receiving direction from Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to execute the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement/Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement, or failure to request the agreement to be filed unexecuted with FERC, shall result in the loss of its Affected System Queue Position.

9.11 Restudy.

If restudy of the Affected System Study is required, System Operator shall notify Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) in writing within thirty (30) Calendar Days of discovery of the need for restudy. Such restudy shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date of notice. Any cost of restudy shall be borne by the Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) being restudied.

~~**SECTION 9. ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT (“E&P”) AGREEMENT.**~~

~~Prior to executing an ETU IA, an Interconnection Customer may request, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected Party shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the ETU IP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer’s Queue Position or Trial Operation Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer, including a deposit of 100 percent of the estimated engineering and study costs, and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.~~

~~The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or an E&P Agreement is terminated by any Party, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected Party that is a party to an E&P Agreement may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or relevant Affected Party shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.~~

SECTION 10. OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY.

10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

On or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study R~~e~~port and no later than five (5) Business Days after the study results meeting to review the report, the Interconnection Customer may request in writing, and the System Operator in coordination with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform, an Optional Interconnection Study. The request shall describe the assumptions that the Interconnection Customer wishes the System Operator to study within the scope described in Section 10.2. Within five (5) Business Days after receipt of a request for an Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer an Optional Interconnection Study Agreement in the form of Appendix 5.

The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall: (i) specify the technical data that the Interconnection Customer must provide for each phase of the Optional Interconnection Study, (ii) specify the Interconnection Customer's assumptions as to which Interconnection Requests with earlier queue priority dates will be excluded from the Optional Interconnection Study case, and (iii) specify the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimate of the cost of the Optional Interconnection Study. To the extent known by the System Operator, such estimate shall include any costs expected to be incurred by any Affected System or Internal Affected System whose participation is necessary to complete the Optional Interconnection Study. The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall specify that Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study, including the cost of developing the study agreement and its attachment(s). Notwithstanding the above, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required as a result of an Optional Interconnection Study request to conduct any additional Interconnection Studies with respect to any other Interconnection Request.

The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement within ten (10) Business Days of receipt and deliver the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the required technical data and the refundable deposit for the Optional Interconnection Study to the System Operator. The deposit for the study shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, except as otherwise provided in Section 13.3. In accordance with Section 13.3, the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall issue to the Interconnection Customer an invoice for the costs of the Optional Interconnection Study that have been.

or will be incurred by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Optional Interconnection Study and the study agreement and its attachments(s). The Interconnection Customer shall pay the invoiced amounts, to the extent such amounts are greater than the initial deposit, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The System Operator shall continue to hold the amounts on deposits until settlement of the final invoice with the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will also identify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the results of the Optional Interconnection Study. The System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to coordinate the study with any Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems that may be affected by the types of Interconnection Services that are being studied. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, a system protection analysis, and any other analyses that are deemed necessary by the System Operator in consultation with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures.

The executed Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the prepayment, and technical and other data called for therein must be provided to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner within ten (10) Business Days of the Interconnection Customer receipt of the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within a mutually agreed-upon time period specified within the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. If the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner are unable to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within such time period, the System Operator shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. In such

circumstances, upon request, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation and workpapers and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Optional Interconnection Study to any third party consultant retained by the Interconnection Customer. The recipient(s) of such information shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. To the extent that any applicable information is not covered by any applicable confidentiality/disclosure requirements, such information may be provided directly to the Interconnection Customer.

10.4 Meeting with Parties.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Optional Interconnection Study report to Interconnection Customer, System Operator will convene a meeting of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements to discuss the results of the Optional Interconnection Study.

10.5 Interconnection Agreement Developed Based on Optional Interconnection Study.

If the ETU IA for an Elective Transmission Upgrade is based on the results of an Optional Interconnection Study, the ETU IA shall reflect the conditions studied and any obligations that may involve: (i) additional studies if such conditions change, (ii) operational limits, or (iii) financial support for transmission upgrades.

SECTION 11. ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (ETU IA).

11.1 Tender.

Interconnection Customer shall tender comments or provide notice, in writing, to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Interconnection Customer has no comments on the draft Interconnection Facilities Study ~~R~~report ~~or on the draft Cluster Study Report (or associated restudies)~~Interconnection System Impact Study report if the Interconnection Customer waived the ~~Interconnection Facilities Study~~, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the report. Except as provided in the E&P Agreement or any mutual agreement by the entities that would be Parties to the ETU

IA, the System Operator shall initiate the development of the ETU IA process within fifteen (15) Calendar Days after the comments are submitted or waived, or within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of notifying System Operator that it will waive the Interconnection Facilities Study by tendering to the Interconnection Customer a draft ETU IA, together with draft appendices completed by the System Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the extent practicable. The draft ETU IA shall be in the form of the System Operator's Commission-approved standard form ETU IA which is in Appendix 6 to Schedule 25. The Interconnection Customer shall return the Interconnection Customer specific information required to complete the form of ETU IA, including the appendices, in Appendix 6 of Schedule 25 that the Interconnection Customer is willing to execute within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft from the System Operator, unless (1) the sixty (60) Calendar Day negotiation period under Section 11.2 of this ETU IP has commenced, or (2) ETU IA execution, or filing unexecuted, has been delayed to await the Affected System Study Report pursuant to Section 11.2.1 of this ETU IP.

11.2 Negotiation.

Notwithstanding Section 11.1, at the request of the Interconnection Customer, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall begin negotiations with the Interconnection Customer concerning the appendices to the ETU IA at any time after Interconnection Customer executes the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement or after the Cluster Study and/or Cluster Restudy ~~the Interconnection Facilities Study is complete or after the Interconnection System Impact Study is complete~~ if the Interconnection Customer intends to waive the Interconnection Facilities Study. In the event that the Interconnection Customer waives the Interconnection Facilities Study and proceeds directly from the Cluster Study or Cluster Restudy to ETU IA negotiation, the Interconnection Customer shall provide an additional deposit that brings the total Commercial Readiness Deposit submitted to the System Operator to ten percent (10%), as required by Section 8.1 of this LGIP, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Cluster Study Report Meeting or Cluster Restudy Report meeting (as applicable). The System Operator, Interconnection Customer, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate concerning any disputed provisions of the appendices to the draft ETU IA for not more than sixty (60) Calendar Days after tender by the System Operator of the draft ETU IA pursuant to Section 11. If the Interconnection Customer determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination of the negotiations at any time after tender of the draft ETU IA pursuant to Section 11.1 and request submission of the unexecuted ETU IA with the Commission or initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5. If the Interconnection Customer requests termination of the negotiations, but within sixty (60)

Calendar Days thereafter fails to request either the filing of the unexecuted ETU IA or initiate Dispute Resolution, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, if the Interconnection Customer has not executed the ETU IA, requested filing of an unexecuted ETU IA, or initiated Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to Section 13.5 within sixty (60) Calendar Days of tender of by the System Operator of the draft ETU IA pursuant to Section 11.1, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a final ETU IA within fifteen (15) Business Days after the mutually agreed completion of the negotiation process.

11.2.1 Delay in ETU IA Execution, or Filing Unexecuted, to Await Affected System Study Report.

If Interconnection Customer has not received its Affected System Study Report from the Affected System Operator prior to the date that it would be required to execute its ETU IA (or request that its ETU IA be filed unexecuted) pursuant to Section 11.1 of this ETU IP, System Operator shall, upon request of Interconnection Customer, extend this deadline to thirty (30) Calendar Days after Interconnection Customer's receipt of the Affected System Study Report. If Interconnection Customer, after delaying ETU IA execution, or requesting unexecuted filing, to await Affected System Study Results, decides to proceed to ETU IA execution, or request unexecuted filing, without those results, it may notify System Operator of its intent to proceed with ETU IA execution (or request that its ETU IA be filed unexecuted) pursuant to Section 11.1 of this ETU IP. If System Operator determines that further delay to the ETU IA execution date would cause a material impact on the cost or timing of an equal- or lower-queued interconnection customer, System Operator must notify Interconnection Customer of such impacts and set the deadline to execute the ETU IA (or request that the ETU IA be filed unexecuted) to thirty (30) Calendar Days after such notice is provided.

11.3 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer; Execution and Filing of ETU IA.

11.3.1 Evidence to be Provided by Interconnection Customer.

11.3.1.1 ~~Site Control.~~ Site Control and ETU IA Commercial Readiness Deposit. Simultaneously with submitting the executed ETU IA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer request that the ETU IA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, Interconnection Customer shall provide (A) to the System Operator demonstration of continued Site

Control pursuant to Section 8.1(2) of this ETU IP; and (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in a form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the ETU IA Deposit equal to twenty percent (20%) of Interconnection Customer's estimated Network Upgrade costs identified in the draft ETU IA minus the total amount of Commercial Readiness Deposit that Interconnection Customer has provided to the System Operator for its Interconnection Request. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use ETU IA Deposits as (or as a portion of) the Interconnection Customer's security required under Article 11.5 of the ETUIA. Interconnection Customer may not request to suspend its ETU IA under Article 5.16 of the ETU IA until Interconnection Customer has provided (A) to the System Operator and (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If Interconnection Customer fails to provide (A) and (B) within the thirty (30) Calendar Days allowed for returning the executed ETU IA and appendices under Section 11.1 of this ETU IP, or within ten (10) Business Days after Interconnection Customer requests that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner file the ETU IA unexecuted at the Commission as allowed in this Section 11.3 of this ETU IP, the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP. Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final ETU IA, the Interconnection Customer shall provide (A) to the System Operator, reasonable evidence of continued Site Control, or (B) to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner posting of \$250,000 non-refundable additional security, which shall be applied toward future construction costs. If multiple Interconnecting Transmission Owners, the \$250,000 non-refundable additional security shall be distributed evenly among them. Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control where the Interconnection Request is for (i) a modification to the Interconnection Customer's existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility, or Other Transmission Facility and the Interconnection Customer has certified in the Interconnection Request that it has Site Control and that the modification proposed in the Interconnection Request does not require additional real property, or (ii) a modification of an existing Pool Transmission Facility that is not owned by the Interconnection Customer.

11.3.1.2 Development Milestones. Simultaneously with submitting the executed ETU IA to the System Operator, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer requests that the ETU IA be filed unexecuted, Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final ETU IA, the Interconnection Customer also shall provide to the System Operator reasonable evidence that one or more of the following milestones in the development of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, to be elected by the Interconnection Customer, has been achieved: (i) the submission of filings for regulatory siting; (ii) execution of a contract for the engineering for, procurement of major equipment for, or construction of,

the Elective Transmission Upgrade; (iii) execution of an agreement (or comparable evidence) regarding the use of the Elective Transmission Upgrade; (iv) application for environmental or land use permit.

~~At the same time, the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request shall commit to a schedule for the payment of upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement. At the same time, the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request that was not studied using Clustering, shall commit to a schedule for the payment of upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement and either: (A) provide evidence of approvals for all Major Permits for the Elective Transmission Upgrade, as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2(a) of the Tariff, or (B) provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in the form acceptable to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, a refundable deposit of twenty (20) percent of the total costs for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades identified in the Interconnection Studies or an E&P Agreement, unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's expenditure schedule for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades calls for an initial payment of greater than twenty (20) percent of the total upgrade costs, in which case the scheduled initial payment must instead be made within the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final ETU IA. If the Interconnection Customer selects option (B) above, it shall also commit in the ETU IA to the achievement of: (i) milestones for the completion of Major Permit approvals, and (ii) in the case of a CNR Interconnection Request, milestones to align the ETU IA with the fulfillment of terms outlined in Section III.13 of the Tariff for participation in the Forward Capacity Market.~~

Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final ETU IA, an Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request studied in a CSIS and CFAC where such studies were triggered prior to the effective date of this ETU IP Clustering that provided the additional ~~Cluster~~ CETU Participation Deposit in accordance with Section 4.2.4.4 shall provide to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in cash, a potentially non-refundable deposit of twenty (20) percent of the total costs for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades, including any CETUs, identified in the CFAC, unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's expenditure schedule for the Interconnection Facilities and other upgrades calls for an initial payment of greater than twenty (20) percent of the total upgrade costs, in which case the scheduled initial payment must instead be made within the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final ETU IA. If the Interconnection Customer does not submit this deposit (or make the initial payment) by the fifteenth Business Day after receipt of the final ETU IA, the Interconnection Request shall be automatically withdrawn from the interconnection queue without further opportunity to cure, and the Interconnection Customer's initial and additional ~~Cluster~~ CETU Participation Deposits shall become non-

refundable. The non-refundable initial and additional ~~Cluster~~-CETU Participation Deposits shall be re-allocated, according to the cost allocation methodology contained in Schedule 11, to the Interconnection Customers with Interconnection Requests included in the cluster at time the facilities proposed in the Interconnection Requests achieve Commercial Operation. If an Interconnection Request is withdrawn after the Interconnection Customer's payment of twenty (20) percent of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then the payment shall be used to offset the costs of the CETU. Any unspent payments of the total cost responsibility for the upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner will be refunded to the respective Interconnection Customers that executed the Interconnection Agreement and provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner the twenty (20) percent deposit (or initial payment) if all the associated Interconnection Requests are withdrawn from the interconnection queue and the associated Interconnection Agreements are terminated.

11.3.2 Execution and Filing of ETU IA. Within fifteen (15) Business Days after receipt of the final ETU IA, (i) the Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall execute three (3) originals of the tendered ETU IA, and return them to the System Operator, who will send an original to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer; or (ii) the Interconnection Customer shall request in writing that the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner jointly file with the Commission an ETU IA in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the executed originals of the tendered ETU IA (if it does not conform with a Commission-approved standard form of interconnection agreement) or the request to file an unexecuted ETU IA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in accordance with Section 11.3.3 or Section 11.3.4, as appropriate, shall jointly file the ETU IA with the Commission, together with its explanation of any matters as to which the System Operator, Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to the Interconnection Customer under the ETU IA. An unexecuted ETU IA should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnection Request. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted ETU IA, they may proceed pending Commission action.

With respect to the interconnection of an Interconnection Customer under Schedule 25, the ETU IA shall be a three-party agreement among the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the System Operator and the Interconnection Customer. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and

Interconnection Customer agree to the terms and conditions of a specific ETU IA, or any amendments to such an ETU IA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file the executed ETU IA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act. To the extent the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, System Operator and Interconnection Customer cannot agree to proposed variations from the standard form of ETU IA in Appendix 6 or cannot otherwise agree to the terms and conditions of the ETU IA for such Elective Transmission Upgrade, or any amendments to such an ETU IA, then the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted ETU IA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall identify the areas of disagreement in such filing, provided that, in the event of disagreement on terms and conditions of the ETU IA related to the costs of upgrades to such Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities, the anticipated schedule for the construction of such upgrades, any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets, then the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on such terms and conditions.

11.3.3 The Interconnecting Transmission Owner, acting on its own or jointly with the System Operator, may initiate a filing to amend this ETU IP and the standard form of ETU IA in Appendix 6 under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and shall include in such filing the views of System Operator, provided that the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's position on any financial obligations of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer(s), and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets.

11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities.

If the Interconnection Customer executes the final ETU IA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall perform their respective obligations in accordance with the terms of the ETU IA, subject to modification by the Commission. Upon submission of an unexecuted ETU IA, the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly comply with the unexecuted ETU IA, subject to modification by the Commission.

11.5 Other Regulatory Arrangements.

Prior to achieving Commercial Operation, the Elective Transmission Upgrade must be under the Operational Authority of the System Operator pursuant to a Transmission Operating Agreement and establish a schedule under the ISO OATT pursuant to which service will be offered over the Elective Transmission Upgrade.

SECTION 12. CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCONNECTING TRANSMISSION OWNER INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES.

12.1 Schedule.

The Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall negotiate in good faith concerning a schedule for the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades.

12.2 Construction Sequencing.

12.2.1 General. In general, the Trial Operation Date of an Interconnection Customer seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System will determine the sequence of construction of Network Upgrades.

12.2.2 Advance Construction of Network Upgrades that are an Obligation of an Entity other than the Interconnection Customer. An Interconnection Customer with an executed or unexecuted, but filed with the Commission, ETU IA, in order to maintain its Trial Operation Date, may request that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) were assumed in the Interconnection Studies for such Interconnection Customer, (ii) are necessary to support such Trial Operation Date, and (iii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to a contractual obligation of an entity other than the Interconnection Customer that is seeking interconnection to the Administered Transmission System, in time to support such Trial Operation Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the

Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party; (i) any associated expediting costs and (ii) the cost of such Network Upgrades.

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will refund to the Interconnection Customer both the expediting costs and the cost of Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the ETU IA. Consequently, the entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be obligated to pay only that portion of the costs of the Network Upgrades that the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party has not refunded to the Interconnection Customer. Payment by that entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be due on the date that it would have been due had there been no request for advance construction. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party shall forward to the Interconnection Customer the amount paid by the entity with a contractual obligation to construct the Network Upgrades as payment in full for the outstanding balance owed to the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party then shall refund to that entity the amount that it paid for the Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the ETU IA.

12.2.3 Advancing Construction of Network Upgrades that are Part of the Regional System Plan of the System Operator. An Interconnection Customer with an ETU IA, in order to maintain its Trial Operation Date, may request that Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) are necessary to support such Trial Operation Date and (ii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to the Regional System Plan, in time to support such Trial Operation Date. Upon such request, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party any associated expediting costs.

12.2.4 Amended ~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study. An ~~Interconnection System Impact Cluster~~ Study Report will be amended to determine the facilities necessary to support the requested Trial Operation Date. This amended study-report will include those transmission and Generating Facilities that are expected to be in service on or before the requested Trial Operation Date. The ETU IA will also be

amended to reflect the results of the ~~a~~Amended ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study and any changes in obligations, including financial support, of the Parties.

SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS.

13.1 Confidentiality.

Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information treated as confidential under the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by any of the Parties to the others prior to the execution of an ETU IA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by any Party, the other Party(ies) shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

13.1.1 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of the ETU IA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Section 13.1.6, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under the ETU IA. Information designated as Confidential

Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Parties that it no longer is confidential.

13.1.2 Release of Confidential Information. A Party shall not release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), employees, consultants, or to parties who may be or considering providing financing to or equity participation with Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with these procedures, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Section 13.1 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Section 13.1.

13.1.3 Rights. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Party(ies). The disclosure by each Party to the other Party(ies) of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by any Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

13.1.4 No Warranties. By providing Confidential Information, a Party does not make any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, a Party does not obligate itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Party(ies) nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

13.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party(ies) under these procedures or its regulatory requirements.

13.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires a Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with prompt notice of such request(s) or

requirement(s) so that the other Party(ies) may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of the ETU IA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

13.1.7 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's(ies') Breach of its obligations under this Section 13.1. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Party(ies) shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Section 13.1, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Section 13.1, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Section 13.1.

13.1.8 Disclosure to the Commission, its Staff, or a State. Notwithstanding anything in this Section 13.1 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR section 1b.20, if the Commission or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the ETU IP, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR. section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to the ETU IA when it is notified by the Commission or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner, consistent with applicable state rules, regulations and Section 13.1.

13.1.9 Subject to the exception in Section 13.1.8, any information that a Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial or financial information (“Confidential Information”) shall not be disclosed by the other Party(ies) to any person not employed or retained by the other Party(ies), except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party(ies), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this ETU IP or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or ISO or to a subregional, regional or national reliability organization or planning group. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of the other Party’s(ies’) Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party(ies) in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party(ies) in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

13.1.10 This provision shall not apply to any information that was or is hereafter in the public domain (except as a result of a Breach of this provision).

13.1.11 The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Interconnection Customer’s election, destroy, in a confidential manner, or return the Confidential Information provided at the time when Confidential Information is no longer needed.

13.2 Delegation of Responsibility.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party may use the services of subcontractors as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this ETU IP. The Party using the services of a subcontractor shall remain primarily liable to the Interconnection Customer for the performance of such subcontractors and compliance with its obligations of this ETU IP. The subcontractor shall keep all information provided confidential and shall use such information solely for the performance of such obligation for which it was provided and no other purpose.

13.3 Obligation for Study Costs.

In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws its Interconnection Request prior to the commencement of the Cluster Study, Interconnection Customer must pay System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner the actual costs of processing its Interconnection Request. In the event an Interconnection Customer withdraws after the commencement of the Cluster Study, tThe System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge, and the Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual costs of the Interconnection Studies. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the applicable Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded, except as otherwise provided herein, to the Interconnection Customer or offset against the cost of any future Interconnection Studies associated with the applicable Interconnection Request prior to beginning of any such future Interconnection Studies. Any invoices for Interconnection Studies shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of each Interconnection Study. The Interconnection Customer shall pay any such undisputed costs within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice therefore. -If an Interconnection Customer fails to pay such undisputed costs within the time allotted, its Interconnection Request shall be deemed withdrawn from the Cluster Study Process and will be subject to Withdrawal Penalties pursuant to Section 3.7 of this ETU IP.~~The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be obligated to perform or continue to perform any studies unless the Interconnection Customer has paid all undisputed amounts in compliance herewith.~~

13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies.

If (i) at the time of the signing of an Interconnection Study Agreement there is disagreement as to the estimated time to complete an Interconnection Study, (ii) the Interconnection Customer receives notice pursuant to Sections 6.3, 7.4, 8.3 or 10.3 that the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner will not complete an Interconnection Study within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, or (iii) the Interconnection Customer receives neither the Interconnection Study nor a notice under Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, then the Interconnection Customer may request, which request will not be unreasonably denied, that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner utilize a third party consultant reasonably acceptable to the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any appropriate Affected Party or Internal Affected Party, to perform such Interconnection Study under the direction of the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner as applicable. At other times, System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also utilize a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, either in response to a general request of the

Interconnection Customer, or on its own volition. In all cases, use of a third party consultant shall be in accord with Article 26 of the ETU IA (Subcontractors) and limited to situations where the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that doing so will help maintain or accelerate the study process for the Interconnection Customer's pending Interconnection Request and not interfere with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's progress on Interconnection Studies for other pending Interconnection Requests. In cases where the Interconnection Customer requests use of a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, the Interconnection Customer, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall negotiate all of the pertinent terms and conditions, including reimbursement arrangements and the estimated study completion date and study review deadline. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey all workpapers, data bases, study results and all other supporting documentation prepared to date with respect to the Interconnection Request as soon as soon as practicable upon the Interconnection Customer's request subject to the confidentiality provision in Section 13.1 and the ISO New England Information Policy, as well as any other applicable requirement under Applicable Laws and Regulations regulating the disclosure or confidentiality of such information. In any case, such third party contract may be entered into with the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, or Interconnecting Transmission Owner at the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's discretion. In the case of (iii) the Interconnection Customer maintains its right to submit a claim to Dispute Resolution to recover the costs of such third party study. Such third party consultant shall be required to comply with this ETU IP, Article 26 of the ETU IA (Subcontractors), and the relevant Tariff procedures and protocols as would apply if the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner were to conduct the Interconnection Study and shall use the information provided to it solely for purposes of performing such services and for no other purposes.

The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate with such third party consultant and Interconnection Customer to complete and issue the Interconnection Study in the shortest reasonable time.

13.5 Disputes.

13.5.1 Submission. In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with the ETU IA, the ETU IP, or their performance, such Party (the "Disputing Party") shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim ("Notice of Dispute"). Such

dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party's(ies') receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, after thirty (30) Calendar Days, then (i) in the case of disputes arising out of or in conjunction with the ETU IA, the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file an unexecuted ETU IA, or amendment thereto, with the Commission in accordance with Section 11.3.4, or (ii) in the case of disputes arising out of or in connection with any other matter regarding the administration of the ETU IP, the System Operator may terminate the Interconnection Request and the Interconnection Customer may seek relief pursuant to Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. Each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this Schedule 25.

13.5.2 External Arbitration Procedures. Any arbitration initiated under these procedures shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association ("Arbitration Rules") and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Section 13, the terms of this Section 13 shall prevail.

13.5.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons for such decision. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of the ETU IA and ETU IP and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the ETU IA and ETU IP in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and

binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

13.5.4 Costs. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three-member panel and one-third of any associated arbitration costs; or (2) one-third the cost of the single arbitrator jointly chosen by the Parties and one-third of any associated arbitration costs.

13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds.

13.6.1 Facilities Financed by Local Furnishing Bonds. This provision is applicable only to interconnections associated with facilities financed for the local furnishing of electric energy with tax-exempt bonds, as described in Section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code ("local furnishing bonds"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this ETU IA and ETU IP, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to provide Interconnection Service to the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this ETU IA and ETU IP if the provision of such Interconnection Service would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service.

13.6.2 Alternative Procedures for Requesting Interconnection Service. If the Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that the provision of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any local furnishing bond(s) used to finance its facilities that would be used in providing such Interconnection Service, it shall advise the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notice of the Interconnection Request. The Interconnection Customer thereafter may renew its Interconnection Request using the process specified in the Tariff.

~~SECTION 9.13.7 Engineering & Procurement AGREEMENT (“E&P”)~~
~~Agreement AGREEMENT~~

Prior to executing an ETU IA, an Interconnection Customer may request, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the ETU IP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer’s Queue Position or Trial Operation Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer, including a deposit of 100 percent of the estimated engineering and study costs, and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.

The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or an E&P Agreement is terminated by any Party, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Affected Party or Internal Affected Party that is a party to an E&P Agreement may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or relevant Affected Party or Internal Affected Party shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.

APPENDICES TO ETU IP

- APPENDIX 1 INTERCONNECTION REQUEST FOR ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE
- APPENDIX 2 INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT
- APPENDIX 3 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT
- APPENDIX 4 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT
- APPENDIX 5 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT
- APPENDIX 6 ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

APPENDIX 1
INTERCONNECTION REQUEST
FOR ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this request to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade (“ETU”) to the Administered Transmission System under Schedule 25 – Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures (“ETU IP”) of Section II to the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”). Capitalized terms have the meanings specified in the Tariff.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Proposed Project Name: _____

Description of the ETU ~~objective~~ (select one of a, b, c, d, or e):

a. Addition of a specific technology:

Type of new facility (check all applicable):

DC AC controllable non-controllable Other (Explain):

Address(es) or Location(s) of the ETU (including Town/City, County & State or a map detailing such information):

Location(s) of the proposed Point(s) of Interconnection and associated terminals:

Transmission transfer capability, including:

- i. Energy transfer capability and direction(s) of flow**
- ii. Capacity transfer capability and direction(s) of flow**
- iii. Other:**

Indicate whether the study should consider:

- i. Both directions of flow**
- ii. One direction of flow only**
- iii. Explain:**

- b. ___ Modification to existing PTF, MTF or OTF that is part of or interconnected to the Administered Transmission System. Explain.**

- c. ___ Specific performance objective associated with specific Generating Facility(ies)/resources:**

Identify Generating Facility(ies)/resources, including Queue Positions:

Identify the specific performance goals/objectives of the ETU (e.g., energy integration):

d. **Increase in transfer capability between points, including:**

- i. **Transfer points (from/to)**
- ii. **Energy transfer capability increase and direction(s) of flow**
- iii. **Capacity transfer capability increase and direction(s) of flow**
- iv. **Other**

e. **Other specific and clearly described discrete objective:**

Projected Requested Dates:

Commercial Operation: _____

Trial Operation: _____

In-Service: _____

This request is for (*check either Internal ETU or External ETU options*):

1) **An Internal ETU (*check one ~~of i or ii~~*):**

i. **The interconnection of proposed new (*check one*):**

 PTF; or

 OTF or MTF.

ii) ___ A modification to, an increase in the transmission capability of, or other specific proposed objective associated with (*check one*):

___ existing internal PTF; **or**

___ existing internal MTF or OTF that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System.

2) ___ An **External ETU** (*check ~~i~~ or ~~ii~~ or ~~iii~~ one and specify the other Control Area interconnecting to _____*)

i. ___ The interconnection of proposed new (*check one*):

___ PTF; **or**

___ -OTF or MTF.

ii. ___ A modification to, an increase in the transmission capability of, or other specific proposed objective associated with (*check one*):

___ existing external PTF; **or**

___ existing external MTF or OTF.

iii. ___ A change from NI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service for a controllable MTF or OTF (no physical change to facilities).

For External controllable OTF or MTF in the importing direction, applicant requests (*check one NI or CNI*):

___ NI Interconnection Service (i.e., energy only): _____ MW

___ CNI Interconnection Service (i.e., capacity and energy): _____ MW

~~Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to NI Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing~~

~~i. If CNI Interconnection Service, does the Interconnection Customer request Long Lead Facility treatment? ___ Yes or ___ No~~

~~If yes, provide to ISO-NE, together with this Interconnection Request, the Long Lead Facility deposit and other required information as specified in Section 3.2.3 of the ETU IP, including a justification for Long Lead Facility treatment.~~

Evidence of Site Control (*check one*):

- a. ___ If for CNI Interconnection Service, Site Control is included with this Interconnection Request form, as required.
- b. ___ If for NI Interconnection Service (*check one*):
 - i. ___ Site Control is provided with this Interconnection Request form.
 - ii. ___ In lieu of evidence of Site Control, a \$10,000 deposit is provided with this Interconnection Request form (refundable within the cure period as described in Section 3.3.32 of the ETU IP).
 - iii. ___ Site Control is not provided because the proposed modification is either: a) to existing MTF, OTF or PTF and by checking this option, the Interconnection Customer certifies that the proposed modification does not require additional real property, or b) to PTF and the Interconnection Customer does not own such PTF.

~~a. This Interconnection Customer requests (*check one*):~~

~~4) An Interconnection Feasibility Study to be completed as a separate and distinct study, or~~

~~5) An Interconnection System Impact Study with the Feasibility Study to be performed as the first step of the study.~~

~~6) If seeking CNI Interconnection Service, does the Interconnection Customer request a preliminary non-binding analysis to identify potential upgrades that may be necessary to qualify resources for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction? ___ Yes or ___ No~~

~~Note: The above selection of a or b is not required as part of the initial Interconnection Request; however, the Interconnection Customer shall select either option and may revise this selection up to within five (5) Business Days following the Scoping Meeting.~~

The ETU technical data specified within the applicable attachment to this form is required to be (check one):

___ Is included with the submittal of this Interconnection Request.

~~1) ___ Will be provided on or before the execution and return of the Feasibility Study Agreement (Attachment B) or the System Impact Study Agreement (Attachment A), as applicable.~~

2)1) _____

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

	<u>Interconnection Customer</u>	<u>Customer Representative</u>
Company Name:		
Address: (PO Box)		
(Street)		
(City, State, ZIP)		
Phone:		
FAX:		
Email:		

ISO Customer ID# (if available): _____

This Interconnection Request is submitted by:

Authorized Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name (type or print): _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

*In order for an Interconnection Request to be considered a valid request, it must **include**:*

- (a) Be accompanied by a all required deposits n Interconnection Request deposit of \$50,000.00 that is provided electronically and ~~which~~ may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.3.12 of the ETU IP;*
- (b) Required Cluster Study Deposit and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.3.2 of the ETU IP that is provided electronically;*
- ~~(a)~~(c) Commercial Readiness Deposit and may be refundable in accordance with Section 3.3.2 of the ETU IP;*
- ~~(b)~~(d) For CNI Interconnection Service, ~~include~~ upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.3.2. If for NI Interconnection Service upload documentation demonstrating 100% Site Control in accordance with Section 3.4.2 (iv) or (1) a signed affidavit from an officer of the Interconnection Customer indicating that Site Control is unobtainable due to regulatory limitations as such term is defined by the System Operator; and (2) documentation sufficiently describing and explaining the source and effects of such regulatory limitations, including a description of any conditions that must be met to satisfy the regulatory limitations and the anticipated time by which Interconnection Customer expects to satisfy the regulatory requirements and (3) a cash deposit in lieu of Site Control of \$10,000 per MW, subject to a minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of \$2,000,000, ~~demonstrate Site Control or post an additional deposit of \$10,000. If the Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request for NI Interconnection Service demonstrates Site Control within the cure period specified in Section 3.3.3 of the ETU IP, the additional deposit of \$10,000 shall be refundable~~ ~~(An Interconnection Customer does not need to demonstrate Site Control for an Interconnection Request for a modification to its existing PTF, MTF or OTF facility where the Interconnection Customer has certified that it has Site Control and that the proposed modification does not require additional real property)~~;*
- ~~(e)~~(e) Include a detailed map, such as a map of the quality produced by the U.S. Geological Survey, which clearly indicates the site of the new facility and pertinent surrounding structures;*
- ~~(d)~~(f) Include a one-line diagram of the facilities ~~(2 copies)~~; and*

~~(e)(g) Include all information required on the Interconnection Request form and any attachments thereto; and~~

~~(f) Include the deposit and all information required for Long Lead Facility treatment, if such treatment is requested in accordance with Section 3.2.3 of the ETU IP.~~

The Interconnection Request and attachments thereto must be submitted to the System Operator via the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT, a web-based application for submitting, tracking and viewing Interconnection Requests available on the ISO New England website.

In addition, within sixty (60) days of submitting an Interconnection Request to the System Operator, the Interconnection Customer with a request for an External ETU, shall provide evidence that it has submitted a valid request with the other Control Area to which it seeks to interconnect.

~~In addition, within sixty (60) days of submitting an Interconnection Request to the System Operator, the Interconnection Customer with a request for an External ETU, shall provide evidence that it has submitted a valid request with the other Control Area to which it seeks to interconnect.~~

~~All Interconnection Requests must be sent to the System Operator via the Interconnection Request Tracking Tool or IRTT, a web-based application for submitting, tracking and viewing Interconnection Requests available on the ISO New England website.~~

ISO New England Inc. Use

~~Date Elective Transmission Upgrade Request Received: _____~~

~~Received By: _____~~

~~Deficient _____ Date Cured: _____~~

~~Date Deemed Valid Application: _____~~

~~Deemed Valid By: _____~~

Attachment A (~~page 1~~)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
~~Interconnection System Impact~~ Cluster Study

The technical data required below must be inputted directly into IRTT and submitted no later than the date of execution submission of the System Impact Study Agreement with the Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 7.23.3.2 of the ETU IP. Submit additional data sheets as necessary.

ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADES:

GEOGRAPHIC MAP
Geographic map which clearly illustrates the location of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade facilities and which includes the location of the proposed Point(s) of Interconnection and a specific transmission line or transmission cable route if applicable.
ONE LINE DIAGRAM
Detailed one-line diagram of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrades facilities showing the connectivity between all new proposed equipment (i.e., circuit breakers, instrument transformers, surge arresters, transformers, shunt-connected capacitor banks, shunt-connected reactors, dynamic reactive power supply systems, transmission lines, etc.) and the proposed bus configuration at the Point(s) of Interconnection. Equipment grounding configuration should be depicted on the one-line (i.e., for transformers show winding and grounding arrangement)
PROPOSED POINT(S) OF INTERCONNECTION <i>(include additional points as necessary)</i>
Point of Interconnection A:
Voltage Level: _____ kV
Point of Interconnection B:

~~Attachment A (page 2)~~
~~To Appendix 1~~
~~Interconnection Request~~
~~Technical Data Required For~~
~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~

Voltage Level: _____ Kv
Point of Interconnection C:
Voltage Level: _____ kV
AC TRANSMISSION LINE DATA <i>(include data for segments between the POI and converter station(s) as necessary)</i>
Transmission line length: _____ Miles
AC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors per bundle, spacing of conductors within bundle, phase spacing between conductors or conductor bundles, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.
Voltage level: _____ kV
Transmission line MVA base: _____ MVA
Positive sequence impedances on transmission line MVA base: R: _____ p.u. X: _____ p.u. B: _____ p.u.
Zero sequence impedances on transmission line MVA base): R: _____ p.u. X: _____ p.u. B: _____ p.u.
Line Rating: Normal/LTE/STE Rating _____ MVA / _____ MVA / _____ MVA

Attachment A (page 3)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study

TRANSFORMER DATA <i>(include data for converter station power transformer(s) as necessary)</i>
Transformer Rating: OA/FA/FOA Rating _____ MVA / _____ MVA / _____ MVA
Voltage Ratio: High-side/Low-side/Tertiary _____ kV / _____ kV / _____ kV
Winding Connections (Delta, Wye, or Wye-Grounded): High-side Winding / Low-side Winding / Tertiary Winding _____ / _____ / _____
Fixed or Variable Taps:
Tap Range:
Two-Winding Transformer Impedances: Positive Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base: _____ % _____ X/R Zero Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base: _____ % _____ X/R
Three-Winding Transformer Impedances:
Positive Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base Z1 _{H-L} (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ Z1 _{H-T} (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ Z1 _{L-T} (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____

~~Attachment A (page 4)~~
~~To Appendix 1~~
~~Interconnection Request~~
~~Technical Data Required For~~
~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~

Zero Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base $Z0_{H-L}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z0_{H-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z0_{L-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____
FIXED OR SWITCHED SHUNT CAPACITOR BANK DATA
Capacitor Bank Rating: _____ MVA _r
Positive sequence susceptance on capacitor bank rating base: B: _____ p.u.
Zero sequence susceptance on capacitor bank rating base: B: _____ p.u.
FIXED OR SWITCHED SHUNT REACTOR DATA
Nameplate Reactor Rating: _____ MVA _r
Positive sequence susceptance on reactor rating base: B: _____ p.u.
Zero sequence susceptance on reactor rating base: B: _____ p.u.
DYNAMIC SHUNT REACTIVE SUPPLY SYSTEM
Device Type (i.e., SVC, STATCOM, etc.):
Reactive power supply reference point:
Maximum leading reactive power supply capability: _____ MVA _r

Attachment A (page 5)
 To Appendix 1
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection System Impact Study

Maximum lagging reactive power supply capability: _____ MVAr
DC TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS (LINE-COMMUTATED CONVERTER TECHNOLOGY)
Nameplate power transmission capacity: _____ MW _____ MVA
Minimum power transmission capacity: _____ MW
Maximum power transmission ramp rate: _____ MW/min
Point-to-point or back-to-back transmission:
Monopolar or bipolar transmission configuration:
Unidirectional or bidirectional power transmission: (identify rectifier station for detail to be submitted below):
Rated DC voltage: _____ kV
Rated DC current: _____ A
Power controlling converter station and real power reference location:
Converter station losses (including auxiliary power demand) at nameplate power: Rectifier: _____ kW Inverter: _____ kW
Transmission line or cable losses at nameplate power: _____ kW
Nominal rectifier firing angle (alpha): _____ deg

Nominal inverter extinction angle (gamma): _____ deg

Attachment A (page 6)
To Appendix I
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study

Converter station total reactive power supply (including filtering system) at nameplate active power:

Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar

Number of switched filter or reactive power supply devices:

Rectifier: _____ Inverter: _____

Size of largest switched filter or reactive power supply device:

Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar

DC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors, spacing between pole conductors or conductor bundles, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.

DC cable design illustrating cable type, cable spacing, and underground or submarine installation design.

Pole conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature: _____ ohms

DMNR conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature : _____ ohms

DC TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS (VOLTAGE SOURCE CONVERTER TECHNOLOGY)

Nameplate power transmission capacity: _____ MW _____ MVA

Point-to-point or back-to-back transmission:

~~Attachment A (page 7)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study~~

Transmission configuration (i.e., mono-pole, bi-pole or other):
Unidirectional or bidirectional power transmission: (identify rectifier station for detail to be submitted below):
Maximum power transmission ramp rate: _____ MW/min
Rated DC voltage: _____ kV
Rated DC current: _____ A
Real power controlling converter and reference location:
Converter station losses (including auxiliary power demand) at nameplate power: _____ kW
Transmission line or cable losses at nameplate power: _____ kW
Passive filter size: Rectifier: Fixed: ____MVA _r Switched at de-block: ____MVA _r Inverter: Fixed: ____MVA _r Switched at de-block: ____MVA _r
Maximum converter station leading reactive power supply (including filtering system) at the network side of the power transformer and at nameplate active power: Rectifier: _____ MVA _r Inverter: _____ MVA _r

~~Attachment A (page 8)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection System Impact Study~~

Maximum converter station lagging reactive power supply (including filtering system) at the network side of the power transformer and at nameplate active power:

Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar

Provide reactive capability curve.

DC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors, spacing between pole conductors or conductor bundles, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.

DC cable design illustrating cable type, cable spacing, and underground or submarine installation design.

Pole conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature: _____ ohms

POWER SYSTEM SIMULATION MODELS

Completed, fully-functioning, public (*i.e.*, non-proprietary or non-confidential) Siemens PTI's ("PSS/E") power flow models or other compatible formats, such as IEEE and General Electric Company Power Systems Load Flows ("PSLF") data sheet, must be supplied with this Attachment A. If additional public data sheets are more appropriate to the proposed device, then they shall be provided ~~and discussed at the Scoping Meeting~~. For all Interconnection Studies commencing after January 1, 2017, all power flow models must be standard library models in PSS/E or applicable applications. After January 1, 2017, user-models will not be accepted. When proxy generation is submitted, it must meet the data and modeling requirements of Schedule 22.

~~If a PSCAD model is deemed required at the Scoping Meeting, then the PSCAD model must be provided to the System Operator within ninety (90) Calendar Days of the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. A benchmarking analysis, consistent with the requirements in the ISO New England Planning Procedures, confirming acceptable performance of the PSS/E model in~~

~~comparison to the PSCAD model, shall be provided at the time PSCAD model is submitted. A PSCAD model for all ETUs used for interconnecting wind and inverter based Generating Facilities and any Interconnection Facilities such as HVDC or auxiliary dynamic reactive devices must be supplied with this Attachment A. If a PSCAD model is deemed required for other types of ETUs, such PSCAD model must be provided to System Operator with this Attachment A. A benchmarking analysis, consistent with the requirements in the ISO New England Planning Procedures and shall be provided with this Attachment A.~~

MODELS FOR NON-SYNCHRONOUS GENERATORS

Models that meet the requirements of PP5-6ISO New England Planning Procedures:

1. an appropriately parameterized library RMS positive sequence dynamics model, including model block diagram of the inverter control and plant control systems, that corresponds to Interconnection Customer's ETU and proxy Generating Facility, if applicable, and,
2. a validated user-defined model where one exists for the equipment (i.e. where the manufacturer attests that a library model may fully capture the behavior of the equipment). The user model will only be used for the fuller understanding of equipment behavior and will not be used to finalize the upgrade requirements in the Cluster Study and will not be added to base cases going forward.
3. A validated electromagnetic transient model

Interconnection Customer must also demonstrate that the model is validated by providing evidence that the equipment behavior is consistent with the model behavior (e.g., an attestation from Interconnection Customer that the model accurately represents the entire ETU and proxy Generating Facility, if applicable; attestations from each equipment manufacturer that the user defined model accurately represents the component of the ETU and proxy Generating Facility, if applicable; or test data).

OTHER TRANSMISSION FACILITY DATA

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the ~~Interconnection Facilities~~ Cluster Study.

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this Attachment A to the Interconnection Request is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

Attachment A-~~12~~
To Attachment A of Appendix 1
Cluster System Impact Study

Application Form

CLUSTER SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY APPLICATION FORM

The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this form to request the inclusion of the Interconnection Request for its Elective Transmission Upgrade in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study pursuant to Section 4.2.3.2.2 of this ETU IP.

To be included in a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, the following must be submitted together with this form to the System Operator by the Cluster Entry Deadline:

1. Project Information:

1.3 Project Name: _____

1.4 Queue Position: _____

1.5 Is the Interconnection Request contractually associated with an Interconnection Request for a Generating Facility? Yes ____ No ____

If yes, identify Queue Position of the associated Interconnection Request and provide evidence of the contractual commitment. Queue Position No.: ____

2. Initial ~~CETU~~~~cluster~~ Participation Deposit as specified in Section 4.2.3.~~2.2~~

Applicant Signature

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this form is true and accurate.

For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____

**Attachment B (page 1)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study**

The technical data required below must be submitted no later than the date of execution of the Feasibility Study Agreement pursuant to Section 6.1 of the ETU IP. Submit additional data sheets as necessary.

ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADES:

GEOGRAPHIC MAP
Geographic map which clearly illustrates the location of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade facilities and which includes the location of the proposed Point(s) of Interconnection and a conceptual transmission line or transmission cable route if applicable.
ONE LINE DIAGRAM
Conceptual one-line diagram of the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrades facilities showing the connectivity between all new proposed equipment (i.e., circuit breakers, transformers, shunt connected capacitor banks, shunt connected reactors, dynamic reactive power supply systems, transmission lines, etc.) and the proposed bus configuration at the Point(s) of Interconnection.
PROPOSED POINT(S) OF INTERCONNECTION <i>(include additional points as necessary)</i>
Point of Interconnection A:
Voltage Level: _____kV
Point of Interconnection B:
Voltage Level: _____kV

Attachment B (page 2)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

Point of Interconnection C:
Voltage Level: _____ kV
AC TRANSMISSION LINE DATA <i>(include data for segments between the POI and converter station(s) as necessary)</i>
Estimated transmission line length: _____ Miles
Conceptual AC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors per bundle, spacing of conductors within bundle, phase spacing between conductors or conductor bundle spacing, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.
Voltage level: _____ kV
Transmission line MVA base: _____ MVA
Estimated positive sequence impedances on transmission line MVA base: R: _____ p.u. X: _____ p.u. B: _____ p.u.
Estimated zero sequence impedances on transmission line MVA base): R: _____ p.u. X: _____ p.u. B: _____ p.u.
Line Rating: Normal/LTE/STE Rating _____ MVA / _____ MVA / _____ MVA

Attachment B (page 3)
 To Appendix I
 Interconnection Request
 Technical Data Required For
 Interconnection Feasibility Study

TRANSFORMER DATA
<i>(include data for converter station power transformer(s) as necessary)</i>
Estimated Transformer Rating: OA/FA/FOA Rating _____ MVA / _____ MVA / _____ MVA
Voltage Ratio: High side/Low side/Tertiary _____ kV / _____ kV / _____ kV
Winding Connections (Delta, Wye, or Wye Grounded): High side Winding / Low side Winding / Tertiary Winding _____ / _____ / _____
Fixed or Variable Taps:
Estimated Tap Range:
Estimated Two-Winding Transformer Impedances: Positive Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base: _____ % _____ X/R Zero Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base: _____ % _____ X/R
Estimated Three-Winding Transformer Impedances:
Positive Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base $Z1_{H-L}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z1_{H-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z1_{L-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____

Attachment B (page 4)
To Appendix I
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

Zero Sequence Impedance on transformer OA MVA base $Z0_{H-L}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z0_{H-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____ $Z0_{L-T}$ (on self-cooled MVA rating) _____ %, X/R _____
FIXED OR SWITCHED SHUNT CAPACITOR BANK DATA
Capacitor Bank Rating: _____ MVA _r
Estimated positive sequence susceptance on capacitor bank rating base: B: _____ p.u.
Estimated zero sequence susceptance on capacitor bank rating base: B: _____ p.u.
FIXED OR SWITCHED SHUNT REACTOR DATA
Nameplate Reactor Rating: _____ MVA _r
Estimated positive sequence susceptance on reactor rating base: B: _____ p.u.
Estimated zero sequence susceptance on reactor rating base: B: _____ p.u.
DYNAMIC SHUNT REACTIVE SUPPLY SYSTEM
Device Type (i.e., SVC, STATCOM, etc.):
Reactive power supply reference point:
Maximum leading reactive power supply capability: _____ MVA _r

Attachment B (page 5)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

Maximum lagging reactive power supply capability: _____ MVar
DC TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS (LINE-COMMUTATED CONVERTER TECHNOLOGY)
Nameplate power transmission capacity: _____ MW _____ MVA
Minimum power transmission capacity: _____ MW
Maximum power transmission ramp rate: _____ MW/min
Point-to-point or back-to-back transmission:
Monopolar or bipolar transmission configuration:
Unidirectional or bidirectional power transmission: (identify rectifier station for detail to be submitted below):
Rated DC voltage: _____ kV
Rated DC current: _____ A
Power controlling converter station and real power reference location:
Estimated converter station losses (including auxiliary power demand) at nameplate power: Rectifier: _____ kW Inverter: _____ kW
Estimated transmission line or cable losses at nameplate power: _____ kW
Nominal rectifier firing angle (alpha): _____ deg

Attachment B (page 6)
To Appendix I
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

Nominal inverter extinction angle (γ): _____ deg
Estimated converter station total reactive power supply (including filtering system) at nameplate active power: Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar
Estimated number of switched filter or reactive power supply devices: Rectifier: _____ Inverter: _____
Estimated size of largest switched filter or reactive power supply device: Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar
Conceptual DC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors, spacing between pole conductors or conductor bundles, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.
Conceptual DC cable design illustrating cable type, cable spacing, and underground or submarine installation design.
Estimated pole conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature: _____ ohms
Estimated DMNR conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature : _____ ohms
DC TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS (VOLTAGE SOURCE CONVERTER TECHNOLOGY)
Nameplate power transmission capacity: _____ MW _____ MVA
Point to point or back to back transmission:

Attachment B (page 7)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study

Transmission configuration (i.e., mono-pole, bi-pole or other):
Unidirectional or bidirectional power transmission: (identify rectifier station for detail to be submitted below):
Maximum power transmission ramp rate: _____ MW/min
Rated DC voltage: _____ kV
Rated DC current: _____ A
Real power controlling converter and reference location:
Estimated converter station losses (including auxiliary power demand) at nameplate power: _____ kW
Estimated transmission line or cable losses at nameplate power: _____ kW
Estimated passive filter size: Rectifier: _____ Fixed: _____ MVar Switched at de-block: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ Fixed: _____ MVar Switched at de-block: _____ MVar
Estimated maximum converter station leading reactive power supply (including filtering system) at the network side of the power transformer and at nameplate active power: Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar

~~Attachment B (page 8)
To Appendix 1
Interconnection Request
Technical Data Required For
Interconnection Feasibility Study~~

~~Estimated maximum converter station lagging reactive power supply (including filtering system) at the network side of the power transformer and at nameplate active power:~~

~~Rectifier: _____ MVar Inverter: _____ MVar~~

~~Provide reactive capability curve.~~

~~Conceptual DC transmission tower design illustrating tower type, conductor type, number of conductors, spacing between pole conductors or conductor bundles, and conductor or conductor bundle clearances.~~

~~Conceptual DC cable design illustrating cable type, cable spacing, and underground or submarine installation design.~~

~~Estimated pole conductor resistance at maximum operating temperature: _____ ohms~~

~~POWER SYSTEM SIMULATION MODELS~~

~~Completed, fully functioning, public (*i.e.*, non-proprietary or non-confidential) Siemens PTF's PSS/E power flow models or other compatible formats, such as IEEE and General Electric Company Power Systems Load Flows ("PSLF") data sheet, must be supplied with this Attachment A. If additional public data sheets are more appropriate to the proposed device, then they shall be provided and discussed at the Scoping Meeting. For all Interconnection Studies commencing after January 1, 2017, all power flow models must be standard library models in PSS/E or applicable applications. After January 1, 2017, user models will not be accepted.~~

~~OTHER TRANSMISSION FACILITY DATA~~

~~System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

~~**Applicant Signature** _____~~

~~I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all the information provided in this Attachment B to the Interconnection Request is true and accurate.~~

~~For Interconnection Customer: _____ Date: _____~~

APPENDIX 2
INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT

~~THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”) [and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”)]. Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”~~

RECITALS

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing an Elective Transmission Upgrade consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System; and~~

~~WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s) to perform an Interconnection Feasibility Study to assess the feasibility of interconnecting the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System, and any Affected Systems.~~

~~NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:~~

~~1.0 — When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission approved Elective Transmission Upgrade~~

~~Interconnection Procedures (“ETU IP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).~~

~~2.0 — Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Feasibility Study consistent with Section 6.0 of the ETU IP in accordance with the Tariff.~~

~~3.0 — The scope of the Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.~~

~~4.0 — The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be based on the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in Attachment B to the Interconnection Request, as may be modified as the result of the Scoping Meeting. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and as designated in accordance with Section 3.3.4 of the ETU IP. If, after the designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.3.4 of the ETU IP, Interconnection Customer modifies its Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 4.4, the time to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study may be extended.~~

~~5.0 — The Interconnection Feasibility Study report shall provide the following information depending on whether the Feasibility Study consisted of (a) a power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis, or (b) limited thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability analysis, and electromagnetic analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade’s interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting:~~

~~—— preliminary identification of any circuit breaker or other facility short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection, or, findings of the limited thermal analysis, voltage analysis, short circuit analysis, stability~~

~~analysis, and electromagnetic transient analysis, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade's interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting;~~

~~— preliminary identification of any thermal overload of any transmission facility or system voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection, as appropriate, focusing on the issues that are expected to be the most significant for the proposed Elective Transmission Upgrade's interconnection given recent study experience and as discussed at the Scoping Meeting;~~

~~— preliminary description of and a non-binding good faith order of magnitude estimated cost of (unless such cost estimate is waived by the Interconnection Customer) and the time to construct the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade as identified within the scope of the analysis performed as part of the study;~~

~~— If the Feasibility Study consisted of a power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis, initial review of grounding requirements and electric system protection;~~

~~— If the Feasibility Study consisted of a power flow, including thermal analysis and voltage analysis, and short circuit analysis, preliminary description and non-binding estimated cost of and the time to construct the facilities required to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System and to address the identified short circuit and power flow issues; and~~

~~— to the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 6.2 of the ETU IP, the report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

~~In accordance with the ETU IP, in performing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with each other and Affected Parties, and shall receive and~~

~~incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.~~

~~6.0 — The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and the development of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and its attachment(s). Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement is [insert date].~~

~~The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection Feasibility Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon receipt of the Interconnection Feasibility Study System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

~~Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.~~

~~7.0 — Miscellaneous.~~

~~7.1 — Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.~~

~~7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Feasibility Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Feasibility Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Feasibility Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the content of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.~~

~~7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.~~

~~7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.~~

~~7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or an Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or an Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.~~

~~7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties~~

~~arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.~~

~~7.4 — Third Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.~~

~~7.5 — Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Feasibility Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.6 of the ETU IP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing~~

~~written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.~~

~~7.6 — Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.~~

~~7.7 — Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.~~

~~7.8 — Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.~~

~~7.9 — Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.~~

~~7.10 — Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.~~

~~7.11 — Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.~~

~~7.12 — No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.~~

~~7.13 — Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.~~

~~7.14 — Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.~~

~~IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their
duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.~~

~~[System Operator] _____ [Insert name of Interconnection Customer]~~

~~By: _____ By: _____~~

~~Title: _____ Title: _____~~

~~Date: _____ Date: _____~~

~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~

~~[Insert name of ITO] _____ [Insert name of ITO]~~

~~By: _____ By: _____~~

~~Title: _____ Title: _____~~

~~Date: _____ Date: _____~~

~~Attachment A to
Appendix 2
Interconnection Feasibility
Study Agreement~~

~~**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY**~~

~~The Interconnection Feasibility Study will be based upon the information set forth in the Interconnection Request and agreed upon in the Scoping Meeting held on _____:~~

~~Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.~~

~~Designation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration.~~

~~[Above assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to be provided by Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner]~~

APPENDIX 3

INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”) [and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”)]. Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing an Elective Transmission Upgrade consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System; and

~~**WHEREAS**, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have completed an Interconnection Feasibility Study (the “Feasibility Study”) and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer, or Interconnection Customer has requested that the Feasibility Study be completed as part of the System Impact Study pursuant to Section 6.1 of the ETU IP, or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”)(This recital is to be omitted if Interconnection Customer has elected to forego the Interconnection Feasibility Study); and~~

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform a Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study to assess the impact of interconnecting the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System, and any Internal Affected Systems.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedure (“ETU IP”).
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed a ~~Cluster~~Interconnection System Impact Study consistent with Section 7.0 of the ETU IP in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection System Impact Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study will be based upon ~~the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, whether performed separately or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and~~ the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in Attachment A to the Interconnection Request, subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the ETU IP. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of ~~the Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study. ~~If Interconnection Customer modifies its designated Point of Interconnection, Interconnection Request, or the technical information provided therein is modified, the time to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study may be extended.~~
- 5.0 The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study Rreport shall provide the following information:
 - identification of any circuit breaker or other facility short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;

- identification of any thermal overload of any transmission facility or system voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
 - identification of Contingent Facilities
 - initial review of grounding requirements and electric system protection;
 - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
 - description and non-binding, good faith estimated cost of and the time to construct the facilities required to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System and to address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues; and
- ~~to the extent the Interconnection Customer requested a preliminary analysis as described in this Section 7.4 of the ETU IP, the report will also provide a list of potential upgrades that may be necessary for the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to enable an Import Capacity Resource(s) to qualify for participation in a Forward Capacity Auction under Section III.13 of the Tariff.~~

6.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to the greater of 100 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study or \$250,000.

The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study and the development of this ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the ETU IA. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's good faith estimate for the times of commencement and completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study is [insert dates].

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection System Impact Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____.

Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the ~~Interconnection System Impact Study~~Cluster Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.

Upon receipt of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection System Impact Study.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection System Impact Study each month.

Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice.

In accordance with the ETU IP, in performing the Interconnection System Impact Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with Internal Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection System Impact Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the

Interconnection System Impact Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection System Impact Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study, the content of the Interconnection System Impact Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection System Impact Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not

be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, an Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

- 7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or

willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection System Impact Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection System Impact Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.6 of the ETU IP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

[System Operator]

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

[Insert name of ITO]

[Insert name of ITO]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Attachment A

To Appendix 3

~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster

Study Agreement

**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
~~INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT~~CLUSTER STUDY**

The ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request~~the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, whether performed separately or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study,~~ subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the ETU IP, and the following assumptions:

Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.

Designation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration.

[Above assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to be provided by Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner]

APPENDIX 4
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”) [and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”)]. Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing an Elective Transmission Upgrade consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____ ; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have completed a ~~an~~ ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study ~~(the “System Impact Study”)~~ and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to perform an Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the ~~Interconnection System Impact~~Cluster Study in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agreed as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures (“ETU IP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8.0 of the ETU IP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A and the data provided in Attachment B to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study report (i) shall provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System and (ii) shall address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the Interconnection System Impact Study.
- 5.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a *Commercial Readiness Deposit per Section 8.1 of this ETU IP to enter the Interconnection Facilities Study* and a deposit equal to the greater of 25 percent of the estimated cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study or \$250,000.

The deposit shall be applied toward the cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study and the development of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and its attachment(s) and the ETU IA. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A.

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study each month. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the invoice. In accordance with the ETU IP, in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall coordinate with Affected Parties, shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.

6.0 Miscellaneous.

6.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

6.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the

Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

6.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

6.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

6.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not

be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

- 6.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or

willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 6.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 6.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.6 of the ETU IP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 6.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

- 6.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 6.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 6.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 6.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 6.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 6.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 6.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

6.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

[System Operator]

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

[Insert name of ITO]

[Insert name of ITO]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Attachment A
To Appendix 4
Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

**INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SCHEDULE ELECTION FOR CONDUCTING THE
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY**

Interconnection Customer elects (check one):

- b. +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.
- c. +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the Interconnection Facilities Study report.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study ~~R~~report to the Interconnection Customer within the following number of days after ~~of~~ receipt of an executed copy of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

- ninety (90) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the report, or
- one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the report.

Attachment B (page 1)
Appendix 4
Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

**DATA FORM TO BE PROVIDED BY INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER
WITH THE
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT**

Provide location plan and simplified one-line diagram of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, including terminal facilities. For staged projects, please indicate future equipment, etc.

One set of metering is required for each ETU connection to the new ring bus or existing New England Transmission System station. Number of connections:

On the one line indicate the required capacity attached at each metering location. (Maximum load on Current Transformer/Power Transformer (“CT/PT”))

On the one line indicate the location of auxiliary power. (Minimum load on CT/PT) Amps

Will an alternate source of auxiliary power be available during CT/PT maintenance?

Yes _____ No _____

Will a transfer bus on the ETU side of the metering require that each meter set be designed for the total ETU capacity? Yes _____ No _____

(Please indicate on one line).

What type of control system or Power Line Carrier (“PLC”) will be located at the Interconnection Customer’s ETU?

What protocol does the control system or PLC use?

Attachment B (page 2)
Appendix 4
Interconnection Facilities
Study Agreement

Please provide a 7.5-minute quadrangle of the site. Sketch the facility, station, transmission line, and property line.

Physical dimensions of the proposed interconnection station:

Bus length from facility to interconnection station:

Line length from interconnection station to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission line.

Tower number observed in the field. (Painted on tower leg)*

Number of third party easements required for transmission lines*:

* To be completed in coordination with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Is the ETU in Interconnecting Transmission Owner's service area?

Yes _____ No _____ Local provider:

Please provide proposed schedule dates:

Begin Construction Date:

Trial Operation Date:

Commercial Operation Date:

APPENDIX 5
OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer,”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”) [and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”)]. Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing an Elective Transmission Upgrade consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to establish an interconnection to the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has submitted to System Operator an Interconnection Request; and

WHEREAS, on or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives the Interconnection System Impact Study results, Interconnection Customer has further requested that the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner prepare an Optional Interconnection Study.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the Commission-approved Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures (“ETU IP”), or in the other provisions of the ISO New England Inc. Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and System Operator shall cause an Optional Interconnection Study consistent with Section 10.0 of the ETU IP to be performed in accordance with the Tariff.
- 3.0 The scope of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Optional Interconnection Study shall be performed solely for informational purposes.
- 5.0 The Optional Interconnection Study report shall provide a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A to this Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will identify Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A.
In accordance with the ETU IP, in performing the Optional Interconnection Study, the System Operator shall coordinate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected Parties and Internal Affected Parties, and shall receive and incorporate input from such entities into its study, and shall provide copies of the final study report to such entities.
- 6.0 The Interconnection Customer is providing a deposit equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of the study. Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s and System Operator’s good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Optional Interconnection Study is [insert date].

The total estimated cost of the performance of the Optional Interconnection Study consists of \$_____ which is comprised of the System Operator's estimated cost of \$_____ and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's estimated cost of \$_____.

Any difference between the initial payment and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon receipt of the Optional Interconnection Study, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer shall pay any invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice.

7.0 Miscellaneous.

7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Optional Interconnection Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Optional Interconnection Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the

information considered in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study, the content of the Optional Interconnection Study, or the conclusions of the Optional Interconnection Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System

Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

- 7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owners under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the

indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limitation of Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, or review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Optional Interconnection Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Optional Interconnection Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.6 of the ETU IP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instances; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

[System Operator]

[Insert name of Interconnection Customer]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Interconnecting Transmission Owner

[Insert name of ITO]

[Insert name of ITO]

By: _____

By: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Attachment A
Appendix 5
Optional Interconnection
Study Agreement

**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING
THE OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY**

[To be completed by Interconnection Customer consistent with Section 10 of the ETU IP.]

APPENDIX 6 to ETU IP
TRANSITIONAL CLUSTER STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 20____ by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”), and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Elective Transmission Upgrade or generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____;

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered ~~New England~~ Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator to perform a “Transitional Cluster Study,” which combines the Cluster Study and Interconnection Facilities Study, in a single cluster study, followed by any needed restudies, to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to physically and electrically connect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to ~~Transmission Provider’s~~ the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has a valid Queue Position as of the {Transmission Provider to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.

2.0 Interconnection Customer elects, and System Operator shall cause to be performed, a Transitional Cluster Study, and Interconnection Customer elects that System Operator study the Large Generating Facility's request for.

Network Import Interconnection Service (energy capability only)

Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service (energy capability and capacity capability)

Interconnection Customers seeking to complete studies for CNIIS for Interconnection Requests for which NIIS milestones have already been completed shall check this box and fill in the table below

<u>Service Level</u>	<u>Requested Net MW Capability at the Point of Interconnection</u>
<u>CNI Capability Summer</u>	
<u>CNI Capability Winter</u>	

Interconnection Customer requests to be downgraded to NI Interconnection Service where violations are identified in the thermal analysis associated with CNI Interconnection Service testing

1.03.0 The Transitional Cluster Study shall be based upon the technical information provided by Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request. System Operator reserves the right to request additional technical information from Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Transitional Cluster Study and Interconnection Customer shall provide such data as quickly as reasonable.

2.04.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP, the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report shall provide the information below:

- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
- identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
- identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection; and
- Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades that are expected to be required as a result of the Interconnection Request(s) and a non-binding, good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding, good faith estimated time to construct.

3.05.0 Pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP, the final Transitional Cluster Study Report shall: (1) provide all the information included in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report; (2) provide a description of, estimated cost of, and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Generating Facility to the Transmission System; and (3) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the interim Transitional Cluster Study Report.

4.06.0 Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIP.

5.07.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit for the performance of Interconnection Studies. Interconnection Customer shall provide additional study deposits in the form described in Section 5.1.1.2. System Operator may invoice for additional costs as appropriate such that Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual

costs of the Transitional Cluster Study. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, in accordance with the provisions of Section 13.3 of this LGIP.

6.08.0 Miscellaneous.

- 8.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.
- 8.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Transitional Cluster Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Transitional Cluster Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Transitional Cluster Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Transitional Cluster Study , the content of the Transitional Cluster Study , or the conclusions of the Transitional Cluster Study . Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.
- 8.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.
 - 8.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to

an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

- 8.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or

profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

8.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

8.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Transitional Cluster Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

- 8.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Transitional Cluster Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 8.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 8.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 8.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 8.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 8.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 8.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 8.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

8.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

8.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ISO New England Inc.

By: ___

Title: _

Date: _

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: ___

Title: _

Date: _

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By: ___

Title: _

Date: _

APPENDIX 8 to ETU IP
TRANSITIONAL SERIAL INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of ____, 20__, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnection Customer”) and ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Elective Transmission Upgrade or generating capacity addition to an existing Elective Transmission Upgrade consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by Interconnection Customer dated _____; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer has requested System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner to continue processing its Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction work needed to implement the conclusions of the final interconnection system impact study (from the previously effective serial study process) in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, System Operator has provided an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the Interconnection Customer on or before {Transmission Provider to insert effective date of compliance filing}.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this LGIP.
- 2.0 Interconnection Customer elects and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8 of this LGIP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement, which shall be the same assumptions as the previous Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement executed by the Interconnection Customer.
- 4.0 The Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall: (1) provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Administered Transmission System; and (2) address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the most recently published Cluster Study Report.
- 5.0 Interconnection Customer has met the requirements described in Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIP. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A, and shall be no later than 150 Calendar Days after {System Operator to insert effective date accepted on compliance}.
- 6.0 Interconnection Customer previously provided a deposit of _____ dollars (\$___) for the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 7.0 Upon receipt of the Interconnection Facilities Study results, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall charge and Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
- 8.0 Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to Interconnection Customer, as appropriate.
- 9.0 Miscellaneous.
- 9.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the

information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

- 9.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Interconnection Facilities Study (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Interconnection Facilities Study), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study, the content of the Interconnection Facilities Study, or the conclusions of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

- 9.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

9.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

9.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

9.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or

Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 9.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Interconnection Facilities Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 9.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Interconnection Facilities Study is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.
- 9.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

- 9.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 9.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 9.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 9.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 9.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 9.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 9.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 9.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

By: ____

Title: _

Date: _

ISO New England Inc.

By: ____

Title: _

Date: _

{Insert name of Interconnection Customer}

By: ____

Title: _

Date: _

**Attachment A to Appendix 8
Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement**

**ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE TRANSITIONAL SERIAL
INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY**

{ Assumptions to be completed by Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner }

APPENDIX 9 to ETU IP
TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ___ day of _____, 20___, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~). Affected System Interconnection Customer and System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customer to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on New England Transmission System ~~Transmission Provider’s Transmission System~~; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the {generating facility} with {name of host transmission provider}’s transmission system;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this ETU IP.
- 2.0 System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customer to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this ETU IP.

- 3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customer and {name of host transmission provider}. System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.
- 5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:
- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
 - identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
 - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
 - non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on the New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facility} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
 - description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.
- 6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of _____ for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.
- Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it

provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

- 7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

- 7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

- 7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.
- 7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or

Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a

third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~7.0 — This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability, and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of the ETU IP.~~

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Transmission Provider}

By: _____ By: _____

Title: _____ Title: _____

Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 9
Two-Party Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{ Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customer and System
Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ }

APPENDIX 10 to ETU IP
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ___ day of _____, 20___, by and among _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (System Operator~~Transmission Provider~~). Affected System Interconnection Customers and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party,” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as the “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on New England Transmission System~~Transmission Provider’s Transmission System~~; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} with {name of host transmission provider}’s transmission system;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1.0 When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in this ETU IP.

- 2.0 System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall coordinate with Affected System Interconnection Customers to perform an Affected System Study consistent with Section 9 of this ETU IP.
- 3.0 The scope of the Affected System Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
- 4.0 The Affected System Study will be based upon the technical information provided by Affected System Interconnection Customers and {name of host transmission provider}. System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ reserves the right to request additional technical information from Affected System Interconnection Customers as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Affected System Study.
- 5.0 The Affected System Study shall provide the following information:
- identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded as a result of the interconnection;
 - identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection;
 - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances resulting from the interconnection;
 - non-binding, good faith estimated cost and time required to construct facilities required on New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System to accommodate the interconnection of the {generating facilities} to the transmission system of the host transmission provider; and
 - description of how such facilities will address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues.
- 6.0 Affected System Interconnection Customers shall each provide a deposit of _____ for performance of the Affected System Study. Upon receipt of the results of the Affected System Study by the Affected System Interconnection Customers, System Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall charge, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, the actual cost of the Affected System Study. Any difference between the deposit and the actual cost of the Affected System Study shall be paid by or refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customers, as appropriate, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations.

- 7.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.
- 7.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, beyond the commitment to use Reasonable Efforts in preparing and/or participating in the Affected System Study Agreement (including, but not limited to, exercise of Good Utility Practice in verifying the accuracy of information provided for or used in the Affected System Study Agreement), as applicable, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in conducting the Affected System Study Agreement, the content of the Affected System Study Agreement, or the conclusions of the Affected System Study Agreement. Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.
- 7.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.
- 7.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the

System Operator, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

7.3.2 Liability. System Operator shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by System Operator in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by System Operator is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Interconnection Customer has claims against System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

7.3.3 Indemnification. Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission

Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities (“Losses”) by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of System Operator, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by System Operator or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Interconnection Customer to indemnify System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several. The liability provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

- 7.4 Third-Party Beneficiaries. Without limiting Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this Agreement, the Parties agree that subcontractor consultants hired by them to conduct, participate in, review, or to assist in the conducting, participating in, or reviewing of, an Affected System Study Agreement shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.
- 7.5 Term and Termination. This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Section 7.5, shall continue in effect for a term of one year or until the Affected System Study Agreement is completed. This Agreement shall automatically terminate upon the withdrawal of Interconnection Request under Section 3.7 of the LGIP. The System Operator or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner may terminate this Agreement fifteen (15) days after providing written notice to

the Interconnection Customer that it has breached one of its obligations hereunder, if the breach has not been cured within such fifteen (15) day period.

- 7.6 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.
- 7.7 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.
- 7.8 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.
- 7.9 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.
- 7.10 Survival. All warranties, limitations of liability and confidentiality provisions provided herein shall survive the expiration or termination hereof.
- 7.11 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.
- 7.12 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.
- 7.13 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.
- 7.14 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations

hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~1.0 — This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability, and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of the ETU IP.~~

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

{Insert name of Transmission Provider}

By: _____ By: _____
Title: _____ Title: _____
Date: _____ Date: _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

Project No. _____

{Insert name of Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 10
Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM STUDY

The Affected System Study will be based upon the following assumptions:

{ Assumptions to be completed by Affected System Interconnection Customers and System
Operator ~~Transmission Provider~~ }

APPENDIX 11 TO ETU IP
TWO-PARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__, by and between _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer) and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~). Affected System Interconnection Customer and Transmission Provider each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a {description of generating facility or generating capacity addition to an existing generating facility} consistent with the interconnection request submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customer to {name of host transmission provider}, dated _____, for which {name of host transmission provider} found impacts on ~~Transmission Provider's~~ the New England Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the {generating facility} to {name of host transmission provider}'s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of ~~Transmission Provider's~~ the New England Transmission System to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customer has requested, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITIONS

When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this ETU IP.

ARTICLE 2 TERM OF AGREEMENT

2.1 Effective Date. This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

2.2 Term.

2.2.1 General. This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) ~~the final repayment, where applicable, by Transmission Provider of the amount funded by Affected System Interconnection Customer for Transmission Provider's design, procurement, construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) provided in Appendix A;~~ (2) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (3) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (4) Affected System Interconnection Customer terminates this Agreement after providing Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customer has no outstanding contractual obligations to Transmission Provider under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if (1) the commercial operation date for the {generating facility} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host transmission provider} or (2) the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~.

2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, the non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, Transmission Provider may not terminate this Agreement if Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer by Transmission Provider for any such damages, including costs and expenses, incurred by Transmission Provider as a result of such Default.

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by either Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer due to a Default by Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment to Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ of all amounts then due and payable for construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of the New England ~~Transmission Provider's~~ Transmission System. Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs.

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. Interconnecting Transmission Owner ~~Transmission Provider~~ shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such

modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

2.3 Filing. Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~Transmission Provider~~ shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. If Affected System Interconnection Customer has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~Transmission Provider~~ with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by Interconnecting Transmission Owner~~Transmission Provider~~ needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration.

ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

3.1 Construction.

3.1.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure,

construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer must provide to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of its request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of contracts and orders for material which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall

obtain Affected System Interconnection Customer's authorization. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customer's failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customer has suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customer suspends work by Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and has not requested Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customer requests that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customer to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer, to suspend, at any time, work by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner due to Default by Affected System Interconnection Customer. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (as set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of either a Breach that Affected System Interconnection Customer is unable to cure pursuant to Article 5 or a Default pursuant to Article 5. Any form of suspension by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

3.1.3 Construction Status. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Affected System Interconnection Customer advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. Affected System Interconnection Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If, at any time, Affected System Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will not be required until after the specified in-service date, Affected System Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner of such later date upon which the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) would be required. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) accordingly.

3.1.4 Timely Completion. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly notify Affected System Interconnection Customer. In such circumstances, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with Affected System Interconnection Customer to evaluate the

alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customer. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customer to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Article 8. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customer authorizes such actions, such authorization to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the Affected System Interconnection Customer funds costs associated therewith in advance.

3.2 Interconnection Costs.

3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Unless ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be initially funded by Affected System Interconnection Customer.

3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customer or ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way, and easements that are necessary to construct,

operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

~~3.2.2 Repayment.~~

-

~~3.2.2.1 Repayment.~~ Consistent with Articles 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 of the Transmission Provider's pro forma ETU IA, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a cash repayment by Transmission Provider of the amount paid to Transmission Provider, if any, for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), including any tax gross-up or other tax-related payments associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and not refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 3.3.1 or otherwise. The Parties may mutually agree to a repayment schedule, to be outlined in Appendix A, not to exceed twenty (20) years from the commercial operation date, for the complete repayment for all applicable costs associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 CFR 35.19 a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) through the date on which Affected System Interconnection Customer receives a repayment of such payment pursuant to this subparagraph. Interest shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customer has suspended construction pursuant to Article 3.1.2. Affected System Interconnection Customer may assign such repayment rights to any person.

-

~~3.2.2.2 Impact of Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation.~~ If the Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another generating facility is later constructed and makes use of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Transmission Provider shall at that time reimburse Affected System Interconnection Customer for the amounts advanced for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Before any such reimbursement can occur, Affected System Interconnection Customer (or the entity that ultimately constructs the generating facility, if different), is responsible for identifying the entity to which the reimbursement must be made.

3.3 Taxes.

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customer for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customer with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by Affected System Interconnection Customer and at Affected System

Interconnection Customer's expense, shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate and consult in good faith with Affected System Interconnection Customer regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall not be required to pay ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which Affected System Interconnection Customer has already made payment to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to Affected System Interconnection Customer any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be entitled with respect to such payment. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner with credit assurances sufficient to meet Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

To the extent that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for

Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be reimbursed to Affected System Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by Affected System Interconnection Customer, and at Affected System Interconnection Customer's sole expense, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which Affected System Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Transmission Provider's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of

competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4 SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, at Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Party, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as Affected System Interconnection Customer: (1) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

ARTICLE 5 BREACH, CURE AND DEFAULT

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

- (a) Failure to pay any amount when due;
- (b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;
- (c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party's attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or
- (d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, the Party not in Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

5.3.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the "Cure Period") which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days.

5.3.2 In the event the Breaching Party fails to cure within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Article 6.2 of this Agreement or take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

5.4 Rights in the Event of Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of a Default, the non-Defaulting Party shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

ARTICLE 6 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

6.1 Expiration of Term. Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties' obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

6.2 Termination. In addition to the termination provisions set forth in Article 2.2, a Party may terminate this Agreement upon the Default of the other Party in accordance with Article 5.2.2 of this Agreement. Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, the termination of this Agreement by the non-Defaulting Party shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

6.3 Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.

6.3.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

(a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

(b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,

(c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any costs incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such Affected System Network Upgrade(s) not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to Affected System Interconnection Customer such facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment for such facilities.

6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve either Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

ARTICLE 7 SUBCONTRACTORS

7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party for the performance of such subcontractor.

7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8

CONFIDENTIALITY

8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Party prior to the execution of this Agreement.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party

without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires either Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or

requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. Neither Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated

as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as the ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Party information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Party for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties

shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period of twenty-four (24) months following ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customer at its expense shall have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

ARTICLE 10 NOTICES

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

To ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Party and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

To ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

To Affected System Interconnection Customer:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and support for the costs that ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to Affected System Interconnection Customer under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

ARTICLE 11

MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party (“Providing Party”) may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall

promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

11.3.3 Indemnification. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities ("Losses") by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the

extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.4 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.5 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.6 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.7 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.8 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.9 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.10 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.11 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~11.1 — This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of this ETU IP.~~

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner

{~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Project No. _____

**Attachment A to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement**

**AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE**

This Appendix A is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

{description}

1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

Table 1: ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

MILESTONE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	START DATE	END DATE

Note: Construction schedule assumes that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customer and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

1.4 Payment Schedule.

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payments and Security.

{description}

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customer’s payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

Table 2: Affected System Interconnection Customer’s Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

MILESTONE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	DATE

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customer's payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

Attachment B to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

This Appendix B is a part of the Affected Systems Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customer in substantially the form following:

{Date}

{Affected System Interconnection Customer Address}

Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

Dear {Name or Title}:

This letter is sent pursuant to the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between {~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner} and {Affected System Interconnection Customer}, dated _____, 20__.

On {Date}, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's {description of generating facility}. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

Thank you.

{Signature}

{~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Representative}

Attachment C to Appendix 11
Two-Party Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customer and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Exhibit A1

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Site Map

Exhibit A2

Site Plan

Exhibit A3

Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

Exhibit A4

Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

	Location	Facilities to Be Constructed by Transmission Provider Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Estimate in Dollars
		Total:	

APPENDIX 12 TO ETU IP
MULTIPARTY AFFECTED SYSTEM FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 20__, by and among _____, organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State of _____ (Affected System Interconnection Customer); and _____, an entity organized under the laws of the State of _____ (~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner). Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.” When it is not important to differentiate among them, Affected System Interconnection Customers each may be referred to as “Affected System Interconnection Customer” or collectively as “Affected System Interconnection Customers.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers are proposing to develop {description of generating facilities or generating capacity additions to an existing generating facility}, consistent with the interconnection requests submitted by Affected System Interconnection Customers to {name of host ~~transmission provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner}, dated _____, for which {name of host ~~transmission provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner} found impacts on ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers desire to interconnect the {generating facilities} to {name of host ~~transmission provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner}’s transmission system; and

WHEREAS, additions, modifications, and upgrade(s) must be made to certain existing facilities of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Transmission System to accommodate such interconnection; and

WHEREAS, Affected System Interconnection Customers have requested, and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has agreed, to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of facilitating the construction of necessary Affected System Network Upgrade(s);

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITIONS

When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified and not otherwise defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings indicated in this ETU IP.

ARTICLE 2 TERM OF AGREEMENT

2.1 Effective Date. This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

2.2 Term.

2.2.1 General. This Agreement shall become effective as provided in Article 2.1 and shall continue in full force and effect until the earlier of (1) the final repayment, where applicable, by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount funded by Affected System Interconnection Customers for ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's design, procurement, construction, and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) provided in Appendix A; (2) the Parties agree to mutually terminate this Agreement; (3) earlier termination is permitted or provided for under Appendix A of this Agreement; or (4) Affected System Interconnection Customers terminate this Agreement after providing ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner with written notice at least sixty (60) Calendar Days prior to the proposed termination date, provided that Affected System Interconnection Customers have no outstanding contractual obligations to ~~Transmission~~

~~Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement. No termination of this Agreement shall be effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination. The term of this Agreement may be adjusted upon mutual agreement of the Parties if the commercial operation date(s) for the {generating facilities} is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by {name of host ~~transmission provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner} or the in-service date for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is adjusted in accordance with the rules and procedures established by ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

2.2.2 Termination Upon Default. Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 5 of this Agreement where Breach and Breaching Party are defined in Article 5. Defaulting Party shall mean the Party that is in Default. In the event of a Default by a Party, each non-Defaulting Party shall have the termination rights described in Articles 5 and 6; provided, however, ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner may not terminate this Agreement if an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Defaulting Party and compensates ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner within thirty (30) Calendar Days for the amount of damages billed to Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) by ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any such damages, including costs and expenses incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of such Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Default by one or more Affected System Interconnection Customers shall not provide the other Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), either individually or in concert, with the right to terminate the entire Agreement. The non-Defaulting Party/Parties may, individually or in concert, initiate the removal of an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is a Defaulting Party from this Agreement. ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not terminate this Agreement or the participation of any Affected System Interconnection Customer without provision being made for ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner to be fully reimbursed for all of its costs incurred under this Agreement.

2.2.3 Consequences of Termination. In the event of a termination by a Party, other than a termination by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) due to a Default by ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer whose participation in this Agreement is terminated shall be responsible for the payment to ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner of all amounts then due and payable for construction and

installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (including, without limitation, any equipment ordered related to such construction), plus all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner in connection with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), through the date of termination, and, in the event of the termination of the entire Agreement, any actual costs which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably incurs in (1) winding up work and construction demobilization and (2) ensuring the safety of persons and property and the integrity and safe and reliable operation of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Transmission System. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize such costs. The cost responsibility of other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted, as necessary, based on the payments by an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is terminated from the Agreement.

2.2.4 Reservation of Rights. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

2.3 Filing. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required. Affected System Interconnection Customers may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 8. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer that has executed this Agreement, or any amendment thereto, shall reasonably cooperate with ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information

reasonably requested by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

2.4 Survival. This Agreement shall continue in effect after termination, to the extent necessary, to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this Agreement; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party pursuant to this Agreement or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove, or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

2.5 Termination Obligations. Upon any termination pursuant to this Agreement or termination of the participation in this Agreement of an Affected System Interconnection Customer, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the payment of its proportionate share of all costs or other contractual obligations incurred prior to the termination date, including previously incurred capital costs, penalties for early termination, and costs of removal and site restoration. The cost responsibility of the other Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be adjusted as necessary.

ARTICLE 3

CONSTRUCTION OF AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S)

3.1 Construction.

3.1.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall (or shall cause such action to) design, procure, construct, and install, and Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay, consistent with Article 3.2, the costs of all Affected System Network Upgrade(s) identified in Appendix A. All Affected System Network Upgrade(s) designed, procured, constructed, and installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this Agreement shall satisfy all requirements of applicable safety and/or engineering codes and comply with Good Utility Practice, and further, shall satisfy all Applicable Laws and Regulations. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its

material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, or any Applicable Laws and Regulations.

3.1.2 Suspension of Work.

3.1.2.1 Right to Suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers must jointly provide to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner written notice of their request for suspension. Only the milestones described in the Appendices of this Agreement are subject to suspension under this Article 3.1.2. Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be constructed on the schedule described in the Appendices of this Agreement unless: (1) construction is prevented by the order of a Governmental Authority; (2) the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are not needed by any other Interconnection Customer; or (3) ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner determines that a Force Majeure event prevents construction. In the event of (1), (2), or (3), any security paid to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under Article 4.1 of this Agreement shall be released by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon the determination by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will no longer be constructed. If suspension occurs, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner incurs (i) in accordance with this Agreement prior to the suspension; (ii) in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Transmission System and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation of contracts and orders for material which ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; and (iii) reasonably incurs in winding up work and construction demobilization; provided, however, that, prior to canceling any such contracts or orders, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Affected System Interconnection Customers' authorization. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for all costs incurred in connection with Affected System Interconnection Customers' failure to authorize cancellation of such contracts or orders.

Interest on amounts paid by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the design, procurement, construction, and installation

of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction under this Article 3.1.2.

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Affected System Interconnection Customers pursuant to Article 4 and will use Reasonable Efforts to minimize its costs. In the event Affected System Interconnection Customers suspend work by Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this Agreement pursuant to this Article 3.1.2.1, and have not requested Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this Agreement on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Affected System ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, whichever is earlier, if no effective date of suspension is specified.

3.1.2.2 Recommencing of Work. If Affected System Interconnection Customers request that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner recommence construction of Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have no obligation to afford such work the priority it would have had but for the prior actions of Affected System Interconnection Customers to suspend the work. In such event, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for any costs incurred in recommencing the work. All recommenced work shall be completed pursuant to an amended schedule for the interconnection agreed to by the Parties. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has the right to conduct a restudy of the Affected System Study if conditions have materially changed subsequent to the request to suspend. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be responsible for the costs of any studies or restudies required.

3.1.2.3 Right to Suspend Due to Default. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right, upon written notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers, to suspend, at any time, work by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner due to a Default by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s). Defaulting-Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall be responsible for any additional expenses incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) (as

set forth in Article 2.2.3) upon the occurrence of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Any form of suspension by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be barred by Articles 2.2.2, 2.2.3, or 5.2.2, nor shall it affect ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's right to terminate the work or this Agreement pursuant to Article 6.

3.1.3 Construction Status. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Affected System Interconnection Customers advised periodically as to the progress of its design, procurement, and construction efforts, as described in Appendix A. An Affected System Interconnection Customer may, at any time and reasonably, request a progress report from ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. If, at any time, an Affected System Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will not be required until after the specified in-service date, such Affected System Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to all other Parties of such later date for which the completion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) would be required. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may delay the in-service date of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) accordingly, but only if agreed to by all other Affected System Interconnection Customers.

3.1.4 Timely Completion. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to design, procure, construct, install, and test the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) in accordance with the schedule set forth in Appendix A, which schedule may be revised from time to time by mutual agreement of the Parties. If any event occurs that will affect the time or ability to complete the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly notify all other Parties. In such circumstances, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, within fifteen (15) Calendar Days of such notice, convene a meeting with Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate the alternatives available to Affected System Interconnection Customers. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall also make available to Affected System Interconnection Customers all studies and work papers related to the event and corresponding delay, including all information that is in the possession of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner that is reasonably needed by Affected System Interconnection Customers to evaluate alternatives, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with Article 8. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at any Affected System Interconnection Customer's request and expense, use Reasonable Efforts to accelerate its work under this

Agreement to meet the schedule set forth in Appendix A, provided that (1) Affected System Interconnection Customers jointly authorize such actions, such authorizations to be withheld, conditioned, or delayed by a given Affected System Interconnection Customer only if it can demonstrate that the acceleration would have a material adverse effect on it; and (2) the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) funds the costs associated therewith in advance, or all Affected System Interconnection Customers agree in advance to fund such costs based on such other allocation method as they may adopt.

3.2 Interconnection Costs.

3.2.1 Costs. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall pay to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner costs (including taxes and financing costs) associated with seeking and obtaining all necessary approvals and of designing, engineering, constructing, and testing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), as identified in Appendix A, in accordance with the cost recovery method provided herein. Except as expressly otherwise agreed, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall be collectively responsible for these costs, based on their proportionate share of cost responsibility, as provided in Appendix A. Unless ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), they shall be initially funded by the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer.

3.2.1.1 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Affected System Interconnection Customers or ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, at Affected System Interconnection Customers' expense, use efforts similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority to the extent permitted and consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations and, to the extent consistent with such Applicable Laws and Regulations, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights-of-way, and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace, or remove the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) upon such property.

~~3.2.2 Repayment.~~

-

~~**3.2.2.1 Repayment.** Consistent with articles 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 of the Transmission Provider's pro forma ETU IA, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a cash repayment by Transmission Provider of the amount each Affected System Interconnection Customer paid to Transmission Provider, if any, for the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), including any tax gross-up or other tax related payments associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and not refunded to Affected System Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 3.3.1 or otherwise. The Parties may mutually agree to a repayment schedule, to be outlined in Appendix A, not to exceed twenty (20) years from the commercial operation date, for the complete repayment for all applicable costs associated with the Affected System Network Upgrade(s). Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 CFR 35.19 a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) through the date on which Affected System Interconnection Customers receive a repayment of such payment pursuant to this subparagraph. Interest shall not accrue during periods in which Affected System Interconnection Customers have suspended construction pursuant to Article 3.1.2.1. Affected System Interconnection Customers may assign such repayment rights to any person.~~

-

~~**3.2.2.2 Impact of Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation.** If an Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another generating facility is later constructed and makes use of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), Transmission Provider shall at that time reimburse such Affected System Interconnection Customers for the portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) it funded. Before any such reimbursement can occur, Affected System Interconnection Customer (or the entity that ultimately constructs the generating facility, if different), is responsible for identifying the entity to which the reimbursement must be made.~~

3.3 Taxes.

3.3.1 Indemnification for Contributions in Aid of Construction. With regard only to payments made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for income taxes in the

amounts it charges Affected System Interconnection Customers for the installation of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) unless (1) ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation, or (2) any Governmental Authority directs ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with this Article, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten (10)-year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the Internal Revenue Service, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Article 3.3.1, and to the extent permitted by law, to the extent that the receipt of such payments by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner is determined by any Governmental Authority to constitute income by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner subject to taxation, Affected System Interconnection Customers shall protect, indemnify, and hold harmless ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and its Affiliates, from all claims by any such Governmental Authority for any tax, interest, and/or penalties associated with such determination. Upon receiving written notification of such determination from the Governmental Authority, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Affected System Interconnection Customers with written notification within thirty (30) Calendar Days of such determination and notification. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, upon the timely written request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and at the expense of such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the compromise or settlement of the claim; provided that ~~Transmission~~

~~Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate and consult in good faith with the requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) regarding the conduct of such contest. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall not be required to pay ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax, interest, and/or penalties prior to the seventh (7th) Calendar Day before the date on which ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner (1) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties or other amount in lieu thereof pursuant to a compromise or settlement of the appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest; (2) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as the result of a final, non-appealable order by a Governmental Authority; or (3) is required to pay the tax, interest, and/or penalties as a prerequisite to an appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. In the event such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest results in a determination that ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner is not liable for any portion of any tax, interest, and/or penalties for which any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) has already made payment to ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) any payment attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, plus any interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)) or other payments ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or to which ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner may be entitled with respect to such payment. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner with credit assurances sufficient to meet each Affected System Interconnection Customer's estimated liability for reimbursement of ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for taxes, interest, and/or penalties under this Article 3.3.1. Such estimated liability shall be stated in Appendix A.

To the extent that ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and not a corporation, and has elected to be taxed as a partnership, then the following shall apply: ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents, and the Parties acknowledge, that ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner is a limited liability company and is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Any payment made by Affected System Interconnection Customers to ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is to be treated as an upfront payment. It is anticipated by the Parties that any amounts paid by each Affected System Interconnection Customer to ~~Transmission Provider~~Interconnecting Transmission Owner for Affected System Network Upgrade(s) will be

reimbursed to such Affected System Interconnection Customer in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, provided such Affected System Interconnection Customer fulfills its obligations under this Agreement.

3.3.2 Private Letter Ruling. At the request and expense of any Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement are subject to federal income taxation. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer desiring such a request will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of such Affected System Interconnection Customer's knowledge. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

3.3.3 Other Taxes. Upon the timely request by any one or more Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), and at such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' sole expense, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which such Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) may be required to reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this Agreement. Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) who requested the action shall pay to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. The requesting Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is

withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) will be responsible for all taxes, interest, and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Each Party shall cooperate with the other Party to maintain each Party's tax status. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to adversely affect any Party's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, local furnishing bonds, as described in section 142(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ARTICLE 4 SECURITY, BILLING, AND PAYMENTS

4.1 Provision of Security. By the earlier of (1) thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the due date for each Affected System Interconnection Customer's first payment under the payment schedule specified in Appendix A, or (2) the first date specified in Appendix A for the ordering of equipment by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for installing the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall provide ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, at each Affected System Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit, or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring, and installing the applicable portion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for these purposes.

The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from such Affected System Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount. The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date. The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

4.2 Invoice. Each Party shall submit to the other Parties, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due, if any, for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and

fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to another Party under this Agreement, including interest payments, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.

4.3 Payment. Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified by the Parties. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by a Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims that Party may have under this Agreement.

4.4 Final Invoice. Within six (6) months after completion of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable each Affected System Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund, with interest (calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii)), to each Affected System Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Affected System Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

4.5 Interest. Interest on any unpaid amounts shall be calculated in accordance with 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

4.6 Payment During Dispute. In the event of a billing dispute among the Parties, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to construct the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) under this Agreement as long as each Affected System Interconnection Customer: (1) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (2) pays to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If any Affected System Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements, then ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to such Affected System Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 5. Within thirty (30)

Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to another Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in 18 CFR 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

ARTICLE 5

BREACH, CURE, AND DEFAULT

5.1 Events of Breach. A Breach of this Agreement shall include the:

- (a) Failure to pay any amount when due;
- (b) Failure to comply with any material term or condition of this Agreement, including but not limited to any material Breach of a representation, warranty, or covenant made in this Agreement;
- (c) Failure of a Party to provide such access rights, or a Party's attempt to revoke access or terminate such access rights, as provided under this Agreement; or
- (d) Failure of a Party to provide information or data to another Party as required under this Agreement, provided the Party entitled to the information or data under this Agreement requires such information or data to satisfy its obligations under this Agreement.

5.2 Definition. Breaching Party shall mean the Party that is in Breach.

5.3 Notice of Breach, Cure, and Default. Upon the occurrence of an event of Breach, any Party aggrieved by the Breach, when it becomes aware of the Breach, shall give written notice of the Breach to the Breaching Party and to any other person representing a Party to this Agreement identified in writing to the other Party in advance. Such notice shall set forth, in reasonable detail, the nature of the Breach, and where known and applicable, the steps necessary to cure such Breach.

5.2.1 Upon receiving written notice of the Breach hereunder, the Breaching Party shall have a period to cure such Breach (hereinafter referred to as the "Cure Period") which shall be sixty (60) Calendar Days. If an Affected System Interconnection Customer is the Breaching Party and the Breach results from a

failure to provide payments or security under Article 4.1 of this Agreement, the other Affected System Interconnection Customers, either individually or in concert, may cure the Breach by paying the amounts owed or by providing adequate security, without waiver of contribution rights against the breaching Affected System Interconnection Customer. Such cure for the Breach of an Affected System Interconnection Customer is subject to the reasonable consent of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may also cure such Breach by funding the proportionate share of the Affected System Network Upgrade costs related to the Breach of Affected System Interconnection Customer. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner must notify all Parties that it will exercise this option within thirty (30) Calendar Days of notification that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has failed to provide payments or security under Article 4.1.

5.2.2 In the event the Breach is not cured within the Cure Period, the Breaching Party will be in Default of this Agreement, and the non-Defaulting Parties may (1) act in concert to amend the Agreement to remove an Affected System Interconnection Customer that is in Default from this Agreement for cause and to make other changes as necessary, or (2) either in concert or individually take whatever action at law or in equity as may appear necessary or desirable to enforce the performance or observance of any rights, remedies, obligations, agreement, or covenants under this Agreement.

5.3 Rights in the Event of Default. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon the occurrence of Default, the non-Defaulting Parties shall be entitled to exercise all rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law.

ARTICLE 6 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

6.1 Expiration of Term. Except as otherwise specified in this Article 6, the Parties' obligations under this Agreement shall terminate at the conclusion of the term of this Agreement.

6.2 Termination and Removal. Subject to the limitations set forth in Article 6.3, in the event of a Default, termination of this Agreement, as to a given Affected System Interconnection Customer or in its entirety, shall require a filing at FERC of a notice of termination, which filing must be accepted for filing by FERC.

6.3 Disposition of Facilities Upon Termination of Agreement.

6.3.1 ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Obligations. Upon termination of this Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

- (a) shall, prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) and to the extent possible, cancel any pending orders of, or return, such equipment or material for such Affected System Network Upgrade(s);
- (b) may keep in place any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) already constructed and installed; and,
- (c) shall perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and to preserve the integrity of ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Transmission System (e.g., construction demobilization to return the system to its original state, wind-up work).

6.3.2 Affected System Interconnection Customer Obligations. Upon billing by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall reimburse ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for its share of any costs incurred by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performance of the actions required or permitted by Article 6.3.1 and for its share of the cost of any Affected System Network Upgrade(s) described in Appendix A. ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize costs and shall offset the amounts owed by any salvage value of facilities, if applicable. Each Affected System Interconnection Customer shall pay these costs pursuant to Article 4.3 of this Agreement.

6.3.3 Pre-construction or Installation. Upon termination of this Agreement and prior to the construction and installation of any portion of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such Affected System Network Upgrade(s) not cancelled or returned in accordance with Article 6.3.1(a), in which case ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated

with procuring such Affected System Network Upgrade(s). To the extent that an Affected System Interconnection Customer has already paid ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all of such costs, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund Affected System Interconnection Customer for those payments. If ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to not retain any portion of such facilities, and one or more of Affected System Interconnection Customers wish to purchase such facilities, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall convey and make available to the applicable Affected System Interconnection Customer(s) such facilities as soon as practicable after Affected System Interconnection Customer(s)' payment for such facilities.

6.4 Survival of Rights. Termination or expiration of this Agreement shall not relieve any Party of any of its liabilities and obligations arising hereunder prior to the date termination becomes effective, and each Party may take whatever judicial or administrative actions as appear necessary or desirable to enforce its rights hereunder. The applicable provisions of this Agreement will continue in effect after expiration, or early termination hereof, to the extent necessary to provide for (1) final billings, billing adjustments, and other billing procedures set forth in this Agreement; (2) the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this Agreement was in effect; and (3) the confidentiality provisions set forth in Article 8.

ARTICLE 7 SUBCONTRACTORS

7.1 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of subcontractors, as it deems appropriate, to perform its obligations under this Agreement; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this Agreement in providing such services, and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Parties for the performance of such subcontractor.

7.1.1 Responsibility of Principal. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, each Party shall be fully responsible to the other Parties for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor it hires as if no subcontract had been made. Any applicable obligation imposed by this

Agreement upon a Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.

7.1.2 No Third-Party Beneficiary. Except as may be specifically set forth to the contrary herein, no subcontractor or any other party is intended to be, nor will it be deemed to be, a third-party beneficiary of this Agreement.

7.1.3 No Limitation by Insurance. The obligations under this Article 7 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of any insurance policies or coverages, including any subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 8 CONFIDENTIALITY

8.1 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied to the other Parties prior to the execution of this Agreement.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential. The Parties shall maintain as confidential any information that is provided and identified by a Party as Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII), as that term is defined in 18 CFR 388.113(c).

Such confidentiality will be maintained in accordance with this Article 8. If requested by the receiving Party, the disclosing Party shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

8.1.1 Term. During the term of this Agreement, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, except as otherwise provided in this Article 8 or with regard to CEII,

each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information. CEII shall be treated in accordance with FERC policies and regulations.

8.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a non-Party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this Agreement; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 8.1.6 of this Agreement, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this Agreement. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the receiving Party that it no longer is confidential.

8.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, agents, consultants, or to non-Parties that may be or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), or to potential purchasers or assignees of Affected System Interconnection Customer(s), on a need-to-know basis in connection with this Agreement, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 8 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 8.

8.1.4 Rights. Each Party shall retain all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that it discloses to the receiving Party. The disclosure by a Party to the receiving Party of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by the disclosing Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

8.1.5 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication, or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party under this Agreement or its regulatory requirements.

8.1.6 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires any Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the disclosing Party with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the disclosing Party may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

8.1.7 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the requesting Party) or return to the requesting Party any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the requesting Party, except that each Party may keep one copy for archival purposes, provided that the obligation to treat it as Confidential Information in accordance with this Article 8 shall survive such termination.

8.1.8 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for another Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 8. Each Party accordingly agrees that the disclosing Party shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the receiving Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 8, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the Breaching Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 8, but it shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for

indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 8.

8.1.9 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State Regulatory Body. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 8 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from a Party that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this Agreement, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Parties to this Agreement prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Parties to the Agreement when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time either of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

8.1.10 Subject to the exception in Article 8.1.9, any information that a disclosing Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial, or financial information under this Agreement shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party to any person not employed or retained by the receiving Party, except to the extent disclosure is (1) required by law; (2) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (3) otherwise permitted by consent of the disclosing Party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (4) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement or as ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner or a balancing authority, including disclosing the Confidential Information to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the receiving Party in writing of the information that Party claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of that Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any non-Party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the Party that received the Confidential Information from the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the disclosing Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and

cooperate with the disclosing Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order, or other reasonable measures.

ARTICLE 9

INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

9.1 Information Access. Each Party shall make available to the other Parties information necessary to verify the costs incurred by the other Parties for which the requesting Party is responsible under this Agreement and carry out obligations and responsibilities under this Agreement, provided that the Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 9.1 and to enforce their rights under this Agreement.

9.2 Audit Rights. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 8 of this Agreement, the accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) shall be subject to audit during the period of this Agreement and for a period of twenty-four (24) months following ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 4.4. Affected System Interconnection Customers may, jointly or individually, at the expense of the requesting Party(ies), during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner, audit such accounts and records. Any audit authorized by this Article 9.2 shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to obligations under this Agreement.

ARTICLE 10

NOTICES

10.1 General. Any notice, demand, or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties, and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party, may be so given, tendered, or delivered, as the case may be, by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for transmission by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Parties, or personally delivered to the Parties, at the address set out below:

To ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

10.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses shown in Article 10.1 unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.

10.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to the other Parties and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile, or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out below:

To ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

To Affected System Interconnection Customers:

10.4 Execution and Filing. Affected System Interconnection Customers shall either: (i) execute two originals of this tendered Agreement and return them to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner; or (ii) request in writing that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner file with FERC this Agreement in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the two executed originals of this tendered Agreement (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of this Agreement) or the request to file this Agreement unexecuted, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file this Agreement with FERC, together with its explanation of any matters as to which Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner disagree and

support for the costs that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner proposes to charge to Affected System Interconnection Customers under this Agreement. An unexecuted version of this Agreement should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Affected System Interconnection Customers' generating facilities. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted version of this Agreement, they may proceed pending FERC action.

ARTICLE 11 MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 Accuracy of Information. Except as a Party ("Providing Party") may otherwise specify in writing when it provides information to the other Parties under this Agreement, the Providing Party represents and warrants that, to the best of its knowledge, the information it provides to the other Parties shall be accurate and complete as of the date the information is provided. The Providing Party shall promptly provide the other Parties with any additional information needed to update information previously provided.

11.2 Disclaimer of Warranty. In conducting the duties described herein, as applicable, each Party and any subcontractor consultants employed by it shall have to rely on information provided by the Providing Party, and possibly by third parties, and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, no Party nor any subcontractor consultant employed by it makes any warranties, express or implied, whether arising by operation of law, course of performance or dealing, custom, usage in the trade or profession, or otherwise, including without limitation implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with regard to the accuracy of the information considered in carrying out such responsibilities. Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder.

11.3 Force Majeure, Liability and Indemnification.

11.3.1 Force Majeure. Neither Interconnecting Transmission Owner nor an Affected System Interconnection Customer will be considered in default as to any obligation under this Agreement if prevented from fulfilling the obligation due to an event of Force Majeure; provided that no event of Force Majeure affecting any entity shall excuse that entity from making any payment that it is obligated to make hereunder. However, an entity whose performance under this Agreement is hindered by an event of Force Majeure shall make all reasonable efforts to perform its obligations under this Agreement, and shall promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the Interconnection Customer, whichever is appropriate, of the commencement and end of each event of Force Majeure.

11.3.2 Liability. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be liable for money damages or other compensation to the Affected System Interconnection Customer for action or omissions by Interconnecting Transmission Owner in performing its obligations under this Agreement, except to the extent such act or omission by Interconnecting Transmission Owner is found to result from its gross negligence or willful misconduct. To the extent the Affected System Interconnection Customer has claims against Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Affected System Interconnection Customer may only look to the assets of Interconnecting Transmission Owner (as the case may be) for the enforcement of such claims and may not seek to enforce any claims against the directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either who, the Affected System Interconnection Customer acknowledges and agrees, have no personal or other liability for obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner by reason of their status as directors, members, shareholders, officers, employees or agents of Interconnecting Transmission Owner or Affiliate of either. In no event shall Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any Affected System Interconnection Customer be liable for any incidental, consequential, multiple or punitive damages, loss of revenues or profits, attorneys fees or costs arising out of, or connected in any way with the performance or non-performance under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section shall diminish an Affected System Interconnection Customer's obligations under the Indemnification section below.

11.3.3 Indemnification. Affected System Interconnection Customer shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save harmless the Interconnecting Transmission Owners and their respective directors, officers, members, employees and agents from any and all damages, losses, claims and liabilities (“Losses”) by or to third parties arising out of or resulting from the performance by Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this Agreement, any bankruptcy filings made by the Affected System Interconnection Customer, or the actions or omissions of the Affected System Interconnection Customer in connection with this Agreement, except in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents, and, in the case of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, to the extent such Losses arise from the gross negligence or willful misconduct by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its directors, officers, members, employees or agents. The amount of any indemnity payment hereunder shall be reduced (including, without limitation, retroactively) by any insurance proceeds or other amounts actually recovered by the indemnified party in respect of the indemnified action, claim, demand, cost, damage or liability. The obligations of Affected System Interconnection Customer to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owners shall be several, and not joint or joint and several.

11.4 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located without regard to any choice of laws provisions.

11.5 Severability. In the event that any part of this Agreement is deemed as a matter of law to be unenforceable or null and void, such unenforceable or void part shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if each part was not contained herein.

11.6 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as the original instrument.

11.7 Amendment. No amendment, modification or waiver of any term hereof shall be effective unless set forth in writing and signed by the Parties hereto.

11.8 Independent Contractor. Each of the Parties shall at all times be deemed to be an independent contractor of the other Parties, and none of its employees or the employees of its subcontractors shall be considered to be employees of the other Parties as a result of this Agreement.

11.9 No Implied Waivers. The failure of a Party to insist upon or enforce strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver or relinquishment to any extent of such Party's right to insist or rely on any such provision, rights and remedies in that or any other instance; rather, the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

11.10 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement may not be assigned, by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of the other Parties hereto, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement, and each and every term and condition hereof, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, to the extent the same are authorized hereunder.

11.11 Due Authorization. Each Party to this Agreement represents and warrants that it has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder, that execution of this Agreement will not violate any other agreement with a third party, and that the person signing this Agreement on its behalf has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement.

~~11.1 This Agreement shall include standard miscellaneous terms including, but not limited to, indemnities, representations, disclaimers, warranties, governing law, amendment, execution, waiver, enforceability, and assignment, which reflect best practices in the electric industry, that are consistent with regional practices, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and the organizational nature of each Party. All of these provisions, to the extent practicable, shall be consistent with the provisions of this ETU IP.~~

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original Agreement among the Parties.

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner

{~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Project No. _____

Affected System Interconnection Customer

{Affected System Interconnection Customer}

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Project No. _____

Attachment A to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

**AFFECTED SYSTEM NETWORK UPGRADE(S), COST ESTIMATES AND RESPONSIBILITY,
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE, AND MONTHLY PAYMENT SCHEDULE**

This Appendix A is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement between Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

1.1 Affected System Network Upgrade(s) to be installed by ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

{description}

1.2 First Equipment Order (including permitting).

{description}

1.2.1. Permitting and Land Rights – ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

{description}

1.3 Construction Schedule. Where applicable, construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) is scheduled as follows and will be periodically updated as necessary:

Table 3: ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Construction Activities

MILESTONE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	START DATE	END DATE
------------------	-------------	------------	----------

Note: Construction schedule assumes that ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has obtained final authorizations and security from Affected System Interconnection Customers and all necessary permits from Governmental Authorities as necessary prerequisites to commence construction of any of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

1.4 Payment Schedule.

1.4.1 Timing of and Adjustments to Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payments and Security.

{description}

1.4.2 Monthly Payment Schedule. Affected System Interconnection Customers' payment schedule is as follows.

{description}

Table 4: Affected System Interconnection Customers' Payment/Security Obligations for Affected System Network Upgrade(s).

MILESTONE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	DATE

* Affected System Interconnection Customers’ proportionate responsibility for each payment is as follows:

Affected System Interconnection Customer 1 ____._%

Affected System Interconnection Customer 2 ____._%

Affected System Interconnection Customer N ____._%

Note: Affected System Interconnection Customers’ payment or provision of security as provided in this Agreement operates as a condition precedent to ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s obligations to construct any Affected System Network Upgrade(s), and failure to meet this schedule will constitute a Breach pursuant to Article 5.1 of this Agreement.

1.5 Permits, Licenses, and Authorizations.

{description}

Attachment B to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION

This Appendix B is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Where applicable, when ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed construction of the Affected System Network Upgrade(s), ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall send notice to Affected System Interconnection Customers in substantially the form following:

{Date}

{Affected System Interconnection Customers Addresses}

Re: Completion of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

Dear {Name or Title}:

This letter is sent pursuant to the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among {~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner} and {Affected System Interconnection Customers}, dated _____, 20__.

On {Date}, ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner completed to its satisfaction all work on the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) required to facilitate the safe and reliable interconnection and operation of Affected System Interconnection Customer's generating facilities.

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner confirms that the Affected System Network Upgrade(s) are in place.

Thank you.

{Signature}

{~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Representative}

Attachment C to Appendix 12
Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement

EXHIBITS

This Appendix C is a part of the Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement among Affected System Interconnection Customers and ~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Exhibit A1

~~Transmission Provider~~ Interconnecting Transmission Owner Site Map

Exhibit A2

Site Plan

Exhibit A3

Affected System Network Upgrade(s) Plan & Profile

Exhibit A4

Estimated Cost of Affected System Network Upgrade(s)

	Location	Facilities to Be Constructed by Transmission Provider Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Estimate in Dollars
		Total:	

APPENDIX 6
ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Article 1	Definitions
Article 2	Effective Date, Term and Termination
Article 3	Regulatory Filings
Article 4	Scope of Service
Article 5	Interconnection Facilities Engineering, Procurement, and Construction
Article 6	Testing and Inspection
Article 7	Metering
Article 8	Communications
Article 9	Operations
Article 10	Maintenance
Article 11	Performance Obligation
Article 12	Invoice
Article 13	Emergencies
Article 14	Regulatory Requirements and Governing Law
Article 15	Notices
Article 16	Force Majeure
Article 17	Default
Article 18	Indemnity, Consequential Damages and Insurance
Article 19	Assignment
Article 20	Severability
Article 21	Comparability
Article 22	Confidentiality
Article 23	Environmental Releases
Article 24	Information Requirements
Article 25	Information Access and Audit Rights
Article 26	Subcontractors

Article 27	Disputes
Article 28	Representations, Warranties and Covenants
Article 29	Omitted
Article 30	Miscellaneous

THIS ELECTIVE TRANSMISSION UPGRADE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

(“Agreement”) is made and entered into this ____ day of _____ 20__, by and between _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ (“Interconnection Customer” with an Elective Transmission Upgrade Facility), ISO New England Inc., a non-stock corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (“System Operator”), and _____, a _____ organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of _____ (“Interconnecting Transmission Owner”). Under this Agreement the Interconnection Customer, System Operator, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each may be referred to as a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, System Operator is the central dispatching agency provided for under the Transmission Operating Agreement (“TOA”) which has responsibility for the operation of the New England Control Area from the System Operator control center and the administration of the Tariff; and

WHEREAS, Interconnecting Transmission Owner is the owner or possessor of an interest in the Administered Transmission System; and

WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer intends to own, lease and/or control and operate the Elective Transmission Upgrade identified in Appendix C to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner have agreed to enter into this Agreement for the purpose of interconnecting the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, it is agreed:

When used in this Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, terms with initial capitalization that are not defined in Article 1 shall have the meanings specified in the Article in which they are used.

ARTICLE 1. DEFINITIONS

The definitions contained in this Article 1 and those definitions embedded in an Article of this Agreement are intended to apply in the context of the Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnection process provided for in Schedule 25 (and its appendices). To the extent that the definitions herein are different than those contained in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff, the definitions provided below shall control only for purposes of Elective Transmission Upgrade interconnections under Schedule 25. Capitalized terms in Schedule 25 that are not defined in this Article 1 shall have the meanings specified in Section I.2.2 of the Tariff.

Administered Transmission System shall mean the PTF and the Non-PTF.

Adverse System Impact shall mean any significant negative effects on the stability, reliability or operating characteristics of the electric system.

Affected Party shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity operating outside of the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.

Affected System shall mean any electric system that is outside the New England Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.

Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 11 to this LGIPETU IP that is made between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Affected System Interconnection Customer to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Affected System Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity that submits an interconnection request for a generating facility to a transmission system outside of the New England Control Area Transmission System Transmission System that may cause the need for Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System.

Affected System Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System required to accommodate Affected System Interconnection Customer's proposed interconnection to a transmission system other than New England Transmission Provider's Transmission System.

Affected System Operator shall mean the entity that operates an Affected System.

Affected System Queue Position shall mean the queue position of an Affected System Interconnection Customer in System Operator's interconnection queue relative to System Operator's Interconnection Customers' Queue Positions.

Affected System Study shall mean the evaluation of Affected System Interconnection Customers' proposed interconnection(s) to a transmission system outside the New England Control Area that have an impact on the New England-System Operator's Transmission System, as described in Section 9 of this LGIPETU IP.

Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 9 to this LGIPETU IP that is made between System Operator and Affected System Interconnection Customer to conduct an External Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIPETU IP.

Affected System Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9.6 of this LGIPETU IP.

~~**Affected System** shall mean any electric system that is within the Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned transmission facilities, or any other electric system that is not within the Control Area that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.~~

~~**Affected Party** shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Affected System, or any other entity that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.~~

Affiliate shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

Applicable Laws and Regulations shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

Applicable Reliability Council shall mean the reliability council applicable to the New England Control Area.

Applicable Reliability Standards shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the NPCC and the New England Control Area, including publicly available local reliability requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owners or other Affected Parties or Internal Affected Party.

Base Case shall have the meaning specified in Section 2.3.

Base Case Data shall mean the Base Case power flow, short circuit, and stability databases used for the Interconnection Studies by the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party, as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements.

Breach shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Breaching Party shall mean a Party that is in Breach of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a Federal Holiday.

Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard (“CC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including

protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or an Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, and in a manner that ensures intra-zonal deliverability by avoidance of the redispatch of other Capacity Network Resource or Elective Transmission Upgrades with Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Capacity Network Import Capability (“CNI Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with CNI Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service (“CNI Interconnection Service”) shall mean, for an External Elective Transmission Upgrade that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility, the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Capacity Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service shall be for the megawatt of Capacity Network Import Capability. Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey transmission service.

Capacity Network Resource Group Study (“CNR Group Study”) shall mean the study performed by the System Operator under Section III.13.1.1.2.3 of the Tariff to determine which resources qualify to participate in a Forward Capacity Auction.

Cluster shall mean a group of one or more Interconnection Requests that are studied together for the purpose of conducting a Cluster Study, Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, and Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade (“CETU”) shall mean new significant transmission line infrastructure that consists of AC transmission lines and related terminal equipment having a nominal voltage rating at or above 115 kV or HVDC transmission lines and HVDC terminal equipment that is identified through the Clustering Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study conducted in accordance with Attachment K, Section 2 of the Tariff to accommodate the Interconnection Requests for

~~which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.~~ The CETU shall be considered part of an ETU Interconnection Related Upgrade and be categorized as Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades.

Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade Regional Planning Study (“CRPS”) shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator under Attachment K, Section II of the Tariff to identify the Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrade and associated system upgrades to enable the interconnection of Interconnection Requests for which the conditions identified in Section 4.2.1 have been triggered.

Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study (“CFAC”) shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.4.

Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study (“CSIS”) shall mean an Interconnection System Impact Study performed using Clustering pursuant to Section 4.2.3.

CETU Participation Deposit shall a Commercial Readiness Deposit as described in Section 4.2.

Cluster Request Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.1 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Restudy shall mean a restudy of a Cluster Study conducted pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Restudy Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Restudy Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Restudy pursuant to Section 7.5 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Study shall mean the evaluation of one or more Interconnection Requests within a Cluster as described in Section 7 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 2 to this LGIPETU IP for conducting the Cluster Study.

Cluster Study Process shall mean the following processes, conducted in sequence: the Cluster Request Window; the Customer Engagement Window and Scoping Meetings therein; the Cluster Study; any needed Cluster Restudies; and the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIPETU IP.

Cluster Study Report Meeting shall mean the meeting held to discuss the results of a Cluster Study pursuant to Section 7 of this LGIPETU IP.

Clustering shall mean the process whereby one or more Interconnection Requests are studied together instead of serially, as described in Sections 4.2.3, 4.2.4, and 7 of this LGIPETU IP.

~~**Cluster Participation Deposit** shall mean the initial and additional deposit due under Sections 4.2.3.2.2 and 4.2.4.4.~~

~~**Cluster Entry Deadline** shall mean the deadline specified in Section 4.2.3.1.~~

~~**Clustering** shall mean the process whereby a group of Interconnection Requests is studied together for the purpose of conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study and Interconnection Facilities Study and for the purpose of determining cost responsibility for upgrades identified through the Clustering provisions.~~

Commercial Operation shall mean the status of an Elective Transmission Upgrade that has commenced transmitting electricity, excluding performance during Trial Operation.

Commercial Operation Date shall mean the date on which the Elective Transmission Upgrade commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the Parties pursuant to Appendix E to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Commercial Readiness Deposit shall mean a deposit paid as set forth in Sections 3.4.2, 4.4.2, 7.5, and 8.1 of this LGIPETU IP.

Confidential Information shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise. Confidential Information shall include, but not be limited to, information that is confidential pursuant to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Contingent Facilities shall mean those unbuilt Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position or a transmission project that is planned or proposed for the New England Transmission System upon which the Interconnection Request's costs, timing, and study findings are dependent, and if delayed or not built, could cause a need for restudies of the Interconnection Request or a reassessment of the Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades and/or costs and timing.

Customer Engagement Window shall mean the time period set forth in Section 3.4.5 of this LGIPETU IP.

Default shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 17 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Dispute Resolution shall mean the procedure for resolution of a dispute between the Parties in which they will first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis.

Distribution System shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and equipment used to transmit electricity to ultimate usage points such as homes and industries directly from nearby generators or from interchanges with higher voltage transmission networks which transport bulk power over longer distances. The voltage levels at which distribution systems operate differ among areas.

Distribution Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Distribution System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to facilitate interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

Effective Date shall mean the date on which the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission.

Elective Transmission Upgrade ("ETU") shall mean a new Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnecting to the Administered Transmission System, or an upgrade to an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is part of or interconnected to the Administered Transmission System for which the Interconnection Customer has agreed to pay all of the costs of said Elective Transmission Upgrade and of any additions or modifications to the Administered Transmission System that are required to accommodate the Elective Transmission Upgrade. An Elective Transmission Upgrade is not a Generator Interconnection Related Upgrade, a Regional Transmission Upgrade, or a Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade.

Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement ("ETU IA") shall mean the form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to an Elective Transmission Upgrade, that is included in this Schedule 25 to Section II of the Tariff.

Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures ("ETU IP") shall mean the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to an Elective Transmission Upgrade that are included in this Schedule 25 to Section II of the Tariff.

Emergency Condition shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or any Affected System to which the New England Transmission System is

directly connected; or (3) that, in the case of Interconnection Customer, is likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Elective Transmission Upgrade or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement shall mean an agreement that authorizes the Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and any other Affected Party or Internal Affected Party to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection in order to advance the implementation of the Interconnection Request.

Environmental Law shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

ETU IA Deposit shall mean the deposit Interconnection Customer submits when returning the executed ~~LGIA~~ETU IA, or within ten (10) Business Days of requesting that the ETUIA be filed unexecuted at the Commission, in accordance with Section 11.3 of this ETUIP.

External Elective Transmission Upgrade ("External ETU") shall mean an Elective Transmission Upgrade that interconnects the New England Control Area with another Control Area.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

Force Majeure shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

Generating Facility is defined in Section I of Schedule 22 and Attachment 1 to Schedule 23 of Section II to the Tariff.

Governmental Authority shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or any Affiliate thereof.

Hazardous Substances shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of “hazardous substances,” “hazardous wastes,” “hazardous materials,” “hazardous constituents,” “restricted hazardous materials,” “extremely hazardous substances,” “toxic substances,” “radioactive substances,” “contaminants,” “pollutants,” “toxic pollutants” or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

In-Service Date shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall mean Transmission Owner that owns, leases or otherwise possesses an interest in the portion of the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection and shall be a Party to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement. The term Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be read to include the System Operator, and may refer to one or more Transmission Owners in the case of an Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled, or operated by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Customer shall mean any entity, including a transmission owner or its Affiliates or subsidiaries, that interconnects or proposes to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Appendix A of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, that are separate and distinct from the Elective Transmission Upgrade and are located between the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's may be sole use facilities or subject to shared use pursuant to arrangements filed with and approved by the Commission ~~Interconnection Facilities are sole use~~ facilities.

Interconnection Facilities shall mean the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean a study conducted by the System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as identified in the I Cluster Study, Cluster Restudy or Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System. The scope of the study is defined in Section 8 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 4 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of an Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 8 of the LGIPETU IP.

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study** shall mean a preliminary evaluation of the system impact and cost of interconnecting the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System, the scope of which is described in Section 6 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. The Interconnection Customer has the option to request either that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as a separate and distinct study, or as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. If the Interconnection Customer requests that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and there shall be only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

~~**Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 2 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection Feasibility Study.~~

Interconnection Request shall mean an Interconnection Customer's request, in the form of Appendix 1 to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures, in accordance with the Tariff, to: (i) interconnect a new Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System; (ii) make a Material Modification to an Elective Transmission upgrade with an outstanding Interconnection Request; (iii) increase the capability of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected to the Administered Transmission System; (iv) make a Material Modification to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Pool Transmission Facility,

Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System ; or (v) change from NI Interconnection Service to CNI Interconnection Service for an Elective Transmission Upgrade that is eligible to request such services. Interconnection Request shall not include a request to interconnect to a transmission facility that is not part of the Administered Transmission System.

Interconnection Service shall mean the right to interconnect the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System at the Point of Interconnection pursuant to the terms of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement and, if applicable, the Tariff. For an External Elective Transmission Upgrade that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility, Interconnection Service shall include Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service or Network Import Interconnection Service.

Interconnection Study shall mean any of the following studies: Cluster Interconnection System Impact Study, Cluster Interconnection Facilities Study, the Cluster Study, the Cluster Restudy, the Surplus Interconnection Service System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in the LGIPETU IP~~the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Facilities Study and the Optional Interconnection Study described in the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. Interconnection Study shall not include a CNR Group Study.~~

Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean any of the following agreements: Affected System Study Agreement, Cluster Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to the LGIPETU IP~~the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement attached to Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study** shall mean an engineering study that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection of an Elective Transmission Upgrade on the safety and reliability of the Administered Transmission System and any other Affected System. The study shall identify and detail the system impacts that would result if the Elective Transmission Upgrade were interconnected without~~

~~project modifications or system modifications, focusing on Adverse System Impacts, or to study potential impacts, including but not limited to those identified in the Scoping Meeting as described in the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures. If the Interconnection Customer requests that the Interconnection Feasibility Study be completed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, Section 6 shall be performed as the first step of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and shall be regarded as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study. When the requirements of Section 6 are performed as part of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible only for the deposit requirements of the Interconnection System Impact Study, and there shall be only one final report, which will include the results of both Section 6 and Section 7.~~

~~**Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 3 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Interconnection System Impact Study.~~

~~**Internal Affected Party** shall mean the entity that owns, operates or controls an Internal Affected System, or any other entity operating within the New England Control Area that otherwise may be a necessary party to the interconnection process.~~

~~**Internal Affected System** shall mean any electric system that is within the New England Control Area, including, but not limited to, generator owned transmission facilities that may be affected by the proposed interconnection.~~

Internal Elective Transmission Upgrade (“Internal ETU”) shall mean an Elective Transmission Upgrade that interconnects solely within the New England Control Area.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

~~**Long Lead Time Facility (“Long Lead Facility”)** shall mean a Generating Facility or an Elective Transmission Upgrade with an Interconnection Request for Capacity Network Resource Interconnection Service or Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service, respectively, that has, as applicable, elected or requested long lead time treatment and met the eligibility criteria and requirements specified in Schedule 22 or Schedule 25 of Section II of the Tariff, respectively,~~

Loss shall mean any and all losses relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from another Party's performance, or non-performance of its obligations under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnifying Party.

Major Permits shall be as defined in Section III.13.1.1.2.2.2(a) of the Tariff.

Material Modification shall mean: (i) except as expressly provided in Section 4.4.1, those modifications to the Interconnection Request, including any of the technical data provided by the Interconnection Customer in Appendix 1, Attachment A to the Interconnection Request or to the interconnection configuration, requested by the Interconnection Customer, that either require significant additional study of the same Interconnection Request and could substantially change the interconnection design, or have a material impact (*i.e.*, an evaluation of the proposed modification cannot be completed in less than ten (10) Business Days) on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Studies or upgrades associated with an Interconnection Request with an equal or later queue Queue Position~~a later queue priority date~~; (ii) a change to the design or operating characteristics of an existing Pool Transmission Facility, Merchant Transmission Facility, or Other Transmission Facility that is interconnected with the Administered Transmission System that may have a significant adverse effect on the reliability or operating characteristics of the New England Transmission System; (iii) a delay to the Commercial Operation Date, In-Service Date, or Trial Operation Date of greater than three (3) years where the reason for delay is unrelated to construction schedules or permitting which delay is beyond the Interconnection Customer's control;~~(iv) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.4, a withdrawal of a request for Long Lead Facility treatment; or (v) except as provided in Section 3.2.3.6, an election to participate in an earlier Forward Capacity Auction than originally anticipated.~~

Metering Equipment shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed pursuant to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

Multiparty Affected System Facilities Construction Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 12 to this LGIPETU IP that is made among Interconnecting Transmission Owner and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to facilitate the construction of and to set forth cost responsibility for necessary Affected System Network Upgrades on the New England Transmission System.

Multiparty Affected System Study Agreement shall mean the agreement contained in Appendix 10 to this LGIPETU IP that is made among Interconnecting ~~or~~ Transmission Owner, System Operator and multiple Affected System Interconnection Customers to conduct an Affected System Study pursuant to Section 9 of this LGIPETU IP.

Network Capability Interconnection Standard (“NC Interconnection Standard”) shall mean the minimum criteria required to permit the Interconnection Customer to interconnect a Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service in a manner that avoids any significant adverse effect on the reliability, stability, and operability of the New England Transmission System, including protecting against the degradation of transfer capability for interfaces affected by the Generating Facility seeking Network Resource Interconnection Service or Elective Transmission Upgrade seeking Network Import Interconnection Service, as detailed in the ISO New England Planning Procedures.

Network Import Capability (“NI Capability”) shall mean the MW quantity associated with NI Interconnection Service, calculated as described in Section II.48 of the Tariff.

Network Import Interconnection Service (“NI Interconnection Service”) shall mean the Interconnection Service selected by the Interconnection Customer to interconnect its Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System in accordance with the Network Capability Interconnection Standard. An Interconnection Customer’s Network Import Interconnection Service shall be solely for the megawatt amount of the Network Import Capability. Network Import Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey transmission service.

Network Upgrades shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the New England Transmission System required at or beyond the Point of Interconnection to accommodate the interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System.

Notice of Dispute shall mean a written notice of a dispute or claim that arises out of or in connection with the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement or its performance.

Optional Interconnection Study shall mean a sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall mean the form of agreement contained in Appendix 5 of the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Procedures for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Party shall mean the System Operator, Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any combination of the above.

Point of Change of Ownership shall mean the point, as set forth in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, where the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

Point of Interconnection shall mean the point(s), as set forth in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Administered Transmission System.

Proportional Impact Method shall mean a technical analysis conducted by the System Operator in accordance with the criteria and parameters specified in the ISO New England Planning Procedures to determine the degree to which each Generating Facility in the Cluster Study contributes to the need for a specific System Network Upgrade.

Queue Position shall mean the order of a valid request in the New England Control Area, relative to all other pending requests in the New England Control Area, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of such request by the System Operator. Requests are comprised of interconnection requests for Generating Facilities, Elective Transmission Upgrades, and requests for transmission service ~~and notification of requests for interconnection to other electric systems, as notified by the other electric systems, that impact the Administered Transmission System. References to a “higher queued”~~ Interconnection Request shall mean one that has been received by System Operator (and placed in queue order) earlier than another Interconnection Request, which is referred to as “lower queued.”

Reasonable Efforts shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Scoping Meeting shall mean the meeting between representatives of the System Operator, Interconnection Customer(s), Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s), or any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, conducted for the purpose of discussing the proposed Interconnection Requests and any alternative interconnection options, to exchange information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, refining information and models provided by Interconnection Customer(s), discussing the Cluster Study materials posted to OASIS pursuant to Section 3.5 of this LGIPETU IP, and analyzing such information ~~to analyze such information, and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection.~~

Site Control shall mean the exclusive land right to develop, construct, operate, and maintain the Generating Facility over the term of expected operation of the Generating Facility. Site Control of sufficient size to construct and operate may be demonstrated by documentation establishing documentation reasonably demonstrating: (a) that the Interconnection Customer is the owner in fee simple of the real property or holds an easement for the Elective Transmission Upgrade’s terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; (b) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written leasehold or other contractual interest in the real property

for the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; (c) that the Interconnection Customer holds a valid written option to purchase or a leasehold interest in the real property for the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; (d) that the Interconnection Customer holds a duly executed written contract to purchase, acquire an easement, a license or a leasehold interest in the real property for the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area; or (e) that the Interconnection Customer has filed applications for required permits to site on federal or state property where the Elective Transmission Upgrade's terminal locations will be located at the Point of Interconnection within the New England Control Area.

Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the New England Transmission System during their construction and the following conditions are met: (1) a Substation Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster and no other Interconnection Customer in that Cluster is required to interconnect to the same Substation Network Upgrades, and (2) a System Network Upgrade must only be required for a single Interconnection Customer in the Cluster, as indicated under the System Operator's Proportional Impact Method. The System Operator, Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by the System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements, must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Elective Transmission Upgrade Interconnection Agreement.

Study Case shall have the meaning specified in Sections ~~6.2~~ and 7.3 of this ETU IP.

Substation Network Upgrade shall mean Network Upgrades comprising breakers, bus positions and associated equipment that are required at the substation located at the Point of Interconnection.

System Network Upgrades shall mean Network Upgrades that are required beyond the substations located at the Point of Interconnection

System Protection Facilities shall mean the equipment, including necessary signal protection communications equipment, required to protect (1) the New England Transmission System from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Elective Transmission Upgrade and (2) the Elective Transmission Upgrade from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the New England Transmission System or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the New England Transmission System is directly connected.

Transitional Cluster Study shall mean an Interconnection Study evaluating a Cluster of Interconnection Requests during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIPETU IP.

Transitional Cluster Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Cluster Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.2 of this LGIPETU IP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study shall mean an Interconnection Facilities Study evaluating an Interconnection Request on a serial basis during the transition to the Cluster Study Process, as set forth in Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIPETU IP.

Transitional Serial Interconnection Facilities Study Report shall mean the report issued following completion of a Transitional Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to Section 5.1.1.1 of this LGIPETU IP.

Trial Operation shall mean the period during which Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of the Elective Transmission Upgrade prior to Commercial Operation.

Trial Operation Date shall mean the date upon which the Elective Transmission Upgrade begins Trial Operation.

Withdrawal Penalty shall mean the penalty assessed by System Operator to an Interconnection Customer that chooses to withdraw or is deemed withdrawn from System Operator's interconnection queue or whose Generating Facility does not otherwise reach Commercial Operation. The calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty is set forth in Section 3.7.1 of this LGIPETU IP.

ARTICLE 2. EFFECTIVE DATE, TERM AND TERMINATION

- 2.1 Effective Date.** This ETU IA shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by the Commission (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by the Commission. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, shall promptly and jointly file this ETU IA with the Commission upon execution in accordance with Section 11.3 of the ETU IP and Article 3.1, if required.
- 2.2 Term of Agreement.** This ETU IA, subject to the provisions of Article 2.3, and by mutual agreement of the Parties, shall remain in effect for a period of _____ years from the Effective Date (*term to be specified in individual Agreement, but in no case should the term be less than ten (10) years from the Effective Date or such other longer period as the Interconnection Customer may request*) and shall be automatically renewed for each successive one-year period thereafter.
- 2.3 Termination Procedures.**
- 2.3.1 Written Notice.** This ETU IA may be terminated by the Interconnection Customer, subject to continuing obligations of this ETU IA and the Tariff, after giving the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner ninety (90) Calendar Days advance written notice, or by System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner notifying the Commission after the Elective Transmission Upgrade retires pursuant to the Tariff, provided that if an Interconnection Customer exercises its right to terminate on ninety (90) Calendar Days, any reconnection would be treated as a new interconnection request; or this ETU IA may be terminated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator by notifying the Commission after the Elective Transmission Upgrade permanently ceases Commercial Operation.
- 2.3.2 Default.** Each Party may terminate this ETU IA in accordance with Article 17. Notwithstanding Articles 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, no termination shall become effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such

termination, including the filing, if applicable, with the Commission of a notice of termination of this ETU IA, which notice has been accepted for filing by the Commission. Termination of the ETU IA shall not supersede or alter any requirements for deactivation or retirement of an Elective Transmission Upgrade under ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

2.4 Termination Costs. If a Party elects to terminate this ETU IA pursuant to Article 2.3 above, each Party shall pay all costs incurred (including any cancellation costs relating to orders or contracts for Interconnection Facilities and equipment) or charges assessed by the other Party(ies), as of the date of such Party's(ies') receipt of such notice of termination, that are the responsibility of such Party(ies) under this ETU IA. In the event of termination by a Party, all Parties shall use commercially Reasonable Efforts to mitigate the costs, damages and charges arising as a consequence of termination. Upon termination of this ETU IA, unless otherwise ordered or approved by the Commission:

2.4.1 With respect to any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades to the extent covered by this ETU IA, that have not yet been constructed or installed, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall to the extent possible and with Interconnection Customer's authorization cancel any pending orders of, or return, any materials or equipment for, or contracts for construction of, such facilities; provided that in the event Interconnection Customer elects not to authorize such cancellation, Interconnection Customer shall assume all payment obligations with respect to such materials, equipment, and contracts, and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall deliver such material and equipment, and, if necessary, and to the extent possible, assign such contracts, to Interconnection Customer as soon as practicable, at Interconnection Customer's expense. To the extent that Interconnection Customer has already paid Interconnecting Transmission Owner for any or all such costs of materials or equipment not taken by Interconnection Customer, either (i) in the case of overpayment, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund such amounts to Interconnection Customer, less any costs, including penalties incurred by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to cancel any pending orders of or

return such materials, equipment, or contracts, or (ii) in the case of underpayment, Interconnection Customer shall promptly pay such amounts still due plus any costs, including penalties incurred by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to cancel any pending orders of or return such materials, equipment, or contracts.

If an Interconnection Customer terminates this ETU IA, it shall be responsible for all costs incurred in association with that Interconnection Customer's interconnection, including any cancellation costs relating to orders or contracts for Interconnection Facilities and equipment, and other expenses including any Network Upgrades for which the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has incurred expenses and has not been reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer.

2.4.2 Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, at its option, retain any portion of such materials, equipment, or facilities that Interconnection Customer chooses not to accept delivery of, in which case Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such materials, equipment, or facilities.

2.4.3 With respect to any portion of the Interconnection Facilities, and any other facilities already installed or constructed pursuant to the terms of this ETU IA, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs associated with the removal, relocation or other disposition or retirement of such materials, equipment, or facilities.

2.5 Disconnection. Upon termination of this ETU IA, Interconnection Service shall terminate and, the Parties will take all appropriate steps to disconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. All costs required to effectuate such disconnection shall be borne by the terminating Party, unless such termination resulted from a non-terminating Party's Default of this ETU IA or such non-terminating Party otherwise is responsible for these costs under this ETU IA.

2.6 Survival. This ETU IA shall continue in effect after termination to the extent necessary to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this ETU IA; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this ETU IA was in

effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Party(ies) pursuant to this ETU IA or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

ARTICLE 3. REGULATORY FILINGS

- 3.1 Filing.** The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall jointly file this ETU IA (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority, if required, in accordance with Section 11.3 of the ETU IP. Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 22. If the Interconnection Customer has executed this ETU IA, or any amendment thereto, the Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by the System Operator and/or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

ARTICLE 4. SCOPE OF SERVICE

- 4.1 Interconnection Product Options.** Interconnection Customer with an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility (import direction) has selected the following (checked) type(s) of Interconnection Service:

Check: NI Interconnection Service (NI Capability Only)

CNI Interconnection Service (CNI Capability and NI Capability)

- 4.1.1 Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service (CNI Interconnection Service).**

4.1.1.1 The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to

interconnect the External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility under the CC Interconnection Standard. CNI Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility to enable the participation of an Import Capacity Resource in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the net CNI Capability, or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff.

4.1.2 Network Import Interconnection Service (NI Interconnection Service).

4.1.2.1 The Product. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner must conduct the necessary studies and Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Internal Affected Parties must construct the Network Upgrades needed to interconnect the External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility under the NC Interconnection Standard. NI Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility to participate in the New England Markets, in accordance with Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff, up to the NI Capability or as otherwise provided in Market Rule 1, Section III of the Tariff. Notwithstanding the above, the portion of an External ETU that is a controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility that has been interconnected under the NC Interconnection Standard cannot be used to support an Import Capacity Resource's(s') participation in the Forward Capacity Market under Section III.13 of the Tariff, except pursuant to a new Interconnection Request for CNI Interconnection Service.

4.2 Provision of Service. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Interconnection Service for the Elective Transmission Upgrade at the Point of Interconnection.

- 4.3 Performance Standards.** Each Party shall perform all of its obligations under this ETU IA in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations, the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and Good Utility Practice, and to the extent a Party is required or prevented or limited in taking any action by such requirements and standards, such Party shall not be deemed to be in Breach of this ETU IA for its compliance therewith. If such Party is the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then that Party shall amend the ETU IA and System Operator, in conjunction with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, shall submit the amendment to the Commission for approval.
- 4.4 No Transmission Delivery Service.** The execution of this ETU IA does not constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any service except for Interconnection Service, including, but not limited to, transmission delivery service, local delivery service, distribution service, capacity service, energy service, or Ancillary Services under any applicable tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.
- 4.5 Transmission Delivery Service Implications.** Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade to be interconnected to the Administered Transmission System. Although Interconnection Service does not convey a reservation of transmission service, any Network Customer can utilize its network service under the Tariff to obtain delivery of capability from the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade. An Elective Transmission Upgrade may also be used to provide Ancillary Services, in accordance with the Tariff, after technical studies and/or periodic analyses are performed with respect to the Elective Transmission Upgrade's ability to provide any applicable Ancillary Services, provided that such studies and analyses have been or would be required in connection with the provision of such Ancillary Services by any existing Elective Transmission Upgrade. However, an Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade cannot be required to provide Ancillary Services except to the extent such requirements extend to all Elective Transmission Upgrades that are similarly situated.

Interconnection Service does not necessarily provide the Interconnection Customer with the capability to physically deliver electricity to any particular load on the New England Transmission System without incurring congestion costs. In the event of transmission constraints

on the New England Transmission System, the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade shall be subject to the applicable congestion management procedures for the New England Transmission System.

Once an Interconnection Customer satisfies the requirements for obtaining Interconnection Service, as long as the Elective Transmission Upgrade has not been deemed to be retired, any future transmission service request for delivery of electricity from the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the New England Transmission System of any amount of capacity capability and/or energy capability will not require that any additional studies be performed or that any further upgrades associated with such Elective Transmission Upgrade be undertaken, and regardless of changes in ownership of the Elective Transmission Upgrade. To the extent the Interconnection Customer enters into an arrangement for long-term transmission service for deliveries from the Elective Transmission Upgrade outside the New England Transmission System, or if the Elective Transmission Upgrade has been deemed to be retired, such request may require additional studies and upgrades in order for Interconnecting Transmission Owner to grant such request.

4.6 Interconnection Customer Provided Services. The services provided by Interconnection Customer under this ETU IA are set forth in Article 9.6 and Article 13.4. Interconnection Customer shall be paid for such services in accordance with Article 11.6.

ARTICLE 5. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES ENGINEERING, PROCUREMENT, AND CONSTRUCTION

5.1 Options. Unless otherwise mutually agreed to between the Parties, Interconnection Customer shall specify the In-Service Date, Trial Operation Date, and Commercial Operation Date as specified in the Interconnection Request or as subsequently revised pursuant to Section 4.4 of the ETU IP; and select either Standard Option or Alternate Option set forth below for completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as set forth in Appendix A, and such dates and selected option shall be set forth in Appendix B (Milestones). In accordance with Section 8 of the ETU IP and unless otherwise mutually agreed, the Alternate Option is not an available option if the Interconnection Customer waived the Interconnection Facilities Study.

5.1.1 Standard Option. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, and construct the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, using Reasonable Efforts to complete the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades by the dates set forth in Appendix B (Milestones). The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, and Applicable Laws and Regulations. In the event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner reasonably expects that it will not be able to complete the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades by the specified dates, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer and shall undertake Reasonable Efforts to meet the earliest dates thereafter.

5.1.2 Alternate Option. If the dates designated by Interconnection Customer are acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall so notify Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities by the designated dates.

If Interconnecting Transmission Owner subsequently fails to complete Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities by the In-Service Date, to the extent necessary to provide back feed power; or fails to complete Network Upgrades by the Trial Operation Date to the extent necessary to allow for Trial Operation at full power output, unless other arrangements are made by the Parties for such Trial Operation; or fails to complete the Network Upgrades by the Commercial Operation Date, as such dates are reflected in Appendix B (Milestones); Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall pay Interconnection Customer liquidated damages in accordance with Article 5.3, Liquidated Damages, provided, however, the dates designated by Interconnection Customer shall be extended day for day for each day that the applicable System Operator refuses to grant clearances to install equipment.

5.1.3 Option to Build. If the dates designated by Interconnection Customer are not acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall so notify the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and unless the Parties agree otherwise, Interconnection Customer shall have the option to assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades on the dates specified in Article 5.1.2. The System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnection Customer, and any Affected Party as deemed appropriate by System Operator in accordance with applicable codes of conduct and confidentiality requirements must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify such Stand Alone Network Upgrades in Appendix A to the ETU IA. Except for Stand Alone Network Upgrades, Interconnection Customer shall have no right to construct Network Upgrades under this option.

5.1.4 Negotiated Option. If the Interconnection Customer elects not to exercise its option under Article 5.1.3 (Option to Build), Interconnection Customer shall so notify Interconnecting Transmission Owner within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and the Parties shall in good faith attempt to negotiate terms and conditions (including revision of the specified dates and liquidated damages, the provision of incentives or the procurement and construction of a portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's

Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades by Interconnection Customer) pursuant to which Interconnecting Transmission Owner is responsible for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on such terms and conditions, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades pursuant to 5.1.1 (Standard Option).

5.2 General Conditions Applicable to Option to Build. If Interconnection Customer assumes responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades,

(1) ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer shall engineer, procure equipment, and construct the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades (or portions thereof) using Good Utility Practice and using standards and specifications provided in advance by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner;

(2) Interconnection Customer's engineering, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall comply with all requirements of law to which Interconnecting Transmission Owner would be subject in the engineering, procurement or construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

(3) Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall review and approve the engineering design, equipment acceptance tests, and the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

(4) ~~p~~Prior to commencement of construction, Interconnection Customer shall provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner a schedule for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, and shall promptly respond to requests for information from Interconnecting Transmission Owner;

(5) ~~a~~At any time during construction, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall have the right to gain unrestricted access to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades and to conduct inspections of the same;

(6) ~~a~~At any time during construction, should any phase of the engineering, equipment procurement, or construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades not meet the standards and specifications provided by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to remedy deficiencies in that portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;

(7) ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer shall indemnify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for claims arising from the Interconnection Customer's construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades under the terms and procedures applicable to Article 18.1 (Indemnity);

(8) ~~the~~ Interconnection Customer shall transfer control of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner;

(9) Unless Parties otherwise agree, Interconnection Customer shall transfer ownership of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to Interconnecting Transmission Owner;

(10) Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall approve and accept for operation and maintenance the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the extent engineered, procured, and constructed in accordance with this Article 5.2; and

(11) Interconnection Customer shall deliver to Interconnecting Transmission Owner "as built" drawings, information, and any other documents that are reasonably required by Interconnecting

Transmission Owner to assure that the Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades are built to the standards and specifications required by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

5.3 Liquidated Damages. The actual damages to the Interconnection Customer, in the event the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not completed by the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer and accepted by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to subparagraphs 5.1.2 or 5.1.4, above, may include Interconnection Customer's fixed operation and maintenance costs and lost opportunity costs. Such actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time. Because of such uncertainty, any liquidated damages paid by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the Interconnection Customer in the event that Interconnecting Transmission Owner does not complete any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades by the applicable dates, shall be an amount equal to ½ of 1 percent per day of the actual cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, in the aggregate, for which Interconnecting Transmission Owner has assumed responsibility to design, procure and construct.

However, in no event shall the total liquidated damages exceed 20 percent of the actual cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has assumed responsibility to design, procure, and construct. The foregoing payments will be made by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to the Interconnection Customer as just compensation for the damages caused to the Interconnection Customer, which actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time, and as reasonable liquidated damages, but not as a penalty or a method to secure performance of this ETU IA. Liquidated damages, when the Parties agree to them, are the exclusive remedy for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's failure to meet its schedule.

No liquidated damages shall be paid to Interconnection Customer if: (1) Interconnection Customer is not ready to commence use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to transmit power from the Elective Transmission Upgrade on the specified dates, unless the Interconnection Customer would have been able to

commence use of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to transmit power from the Elective Transmission Upgrade, but for Interconnecting Transmission Owner's delay; (2) the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's failure to meet the specified dates is the result of the action or inaction of the Interconnection Customer or any other Interconnection Customer who has entered into an ETU IA with the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or any cause beyond Interconnecting Transmission Owner's reasonable control or reasonable ability to cure, including, but not limited to, actions by the System Operator that cause delays and/or delays in licensing, permitting or consents where the Interconnecting Transmission Owner has pursued such licenses, permits or consents in good faith; (3) the Interconnection Customer has assumed responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades; or (4) the Parties have otherwise agreed.

5.4 Power System Stabilizers. If a Power System Stabilizer or other frequency damping control equipment is required to be installed on the Elective Transmission Upgrade for the purpose of maintaining system stability, the Interconnection Customer shall procure, install, maintain and operate such equipment in accordance with the guidelines and procedures established by the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and consistent with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserve the right to reasonably establish minimum acceptable settings for any installed Power System Stabilizers or other frequency damping control equipment, subject to the design and operating limitations of the Elective Transmission Upgrade. If the Elective Transmission Upgrade's Power System Stabilizers or other frequency damping control equipment are removed from service or not capable of automatic operation, the Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner, or their designated representative.

5.5 Equipment Procurement. If responsibility for construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades is to be borne by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner, then the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall commence design of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades and

procure necessary equipment as soon as practicable after all of the following conditions are satisfied, unless the Parties otherwise agree in writing:

- 5.5.1** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has completed the Facilities Study pursuant to the Facilities Study Agreement;
 - 5.5.2** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received written authorization to proceed with design and procurement from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Appendix B (Milestones); and
 - 5.5.3** The Interconnection Customer has provided security to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones).
- 5.6 Construction Commencement.** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall commence construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which it is responsible as soon as practicable after the following additional conditions are satisfied:
- 5.6.1** Approval of the appropriate Governmental Authority has been obtained for any facilities requiring regulatory approval;
 - 5.6.2** Necessary real property rights and rights-of-way have been obtained, to the extent required for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades;
 - 5.6.3** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received written authorization to proceed with construction from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Appendix B (Milestones); and

5.6.4 The Interconnection Customer has provided security to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones).

5.7 Work Progress. The Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep each Party informed, by written quarterly progress reports, as to the progress of their respective design, procurement and construction efforts in order to meet the dates specified in Appendix B (Milestones). Any Party may also, at any other time, request a written progress report from the other Parties. If, at any time, the Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will not be required until after the specified In-Service Date, the Interconnection Customer, upon the System Operator's approval that the change in the In-Service Date will not constitute a Material Modification pursuant to Section 4.4 of the ETU IP, will provide written notice to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner of such later date upon which the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will be required.

5.8 Information Exchange. As soon as reasonably practicable after the Effective Date, the Parties shall exchange information regarding the design and compatibility of the Parties' Interconnection Facilities and compatibility of the Interconnection Facilities with the New England Transmission System, and shall work diligently and in good faith to make any necessary design changes.

5.9 Limited Operation. If any of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not reasonably expected to be completed prior to the Commercial Operation Date of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall, upon the request and at the expense of Interconnection Customer, perform operating studies to determine the extent to which the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may operate prior to the completion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards, Good Utility Practice, and this ETU IA. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the operating studies and permit Interconnection Customer to operate the Elective Transmission

Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the results of such studies.

5.10 Elective Transmission Upgrade ("ETU") and Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities ("ICIF"). Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, design, procure, construct, own and install the ICIF, as set forth in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades).

5.10.1 Elective Transmission Upgrade Specifications. Interconnection Customer shall submit initial specifications for the ETU and ICIF, including System Protection Facilities, to Interconnecting Transmission Owner at least one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Trial Operation Date; and final specifications for review and comment at least ninety (90) Calendar Days prior to the Trial Operation Date. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall review such specifications to ensure that the ETU and ICIF are compatible with the technical specifications, operational control, and safety requirements of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and comment on such specifications within thirty (30) Calendar Days of Interconnection Customer's submission. All specifications provided hereunder shall be deemed confidential.

5.10.2 Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Review. Interconnecting Transmission Owner's review of Interconnection Customer's final specifications shall not be construed as confirming, endorsing, or providing a warranty as to the design, fitness, safety, durability or reliability of the ETU or the ICIF. Interconnection Customer shall make such changes to the ETU or the ICIF as may reasonably be required by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in accordance with Good Utility Practice, to ensure that the ETU and ICIF are compatible with the technical specifications, operational control, and safety requirements of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

5.10.3 ETU and ICIF Construction. The ETU and ICIF shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Parties agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Interconnection Customer shall deliver to the Interconnecting

Transmission Owner “as-built” drawings, information and documents for the ETU and ICIF, such as: a one-line diagram, a site plan showing the ETU and the ICIF, plan and elevation drawings showing the layout of the ETU and ICIF, a relay functional diagram, relaying AC and DC schematic wiring diagrams and relay settings for all facilities associated with the ETU and the ICIF, and the impedances (determined by factory tests) for any associated transformers. The Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner specifications for any and all controls, automatic voltage regulating equipment or controls, ETU control and protection settings, transformer tap settings, and communications, if applicable.

- 5.11 Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities Construction.** The Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Upon request, within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Parties agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall deliver to the Interconnection Customer “as-built” drawings, information and documents for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities. The appropriate drawings and relay diagrams shall be included in Appendix A of this ETU IA. The System Operator will obtain operational control of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades upon completion of such facilities pursuant to the TOA.
- 5.12 Access Rights.** Upon reasonable notice and supervision by a Party, and subject to any required or necessary regulatory approvals, a Party (“Granting Party”) shall furnish at the incremental cost to another Party (“Access Party”) any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements with respect to lands owned or controlled by the Granting Party, its agents if allowed under the applicable agency agreement, that are necessary to enable the Access Party solely to obtain ingress and egress to construct, operate, maintain, repair, test (or witness testing), inspect, replace or remove facilities and equipment to: (i) interconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade with the Administered Transmission System; (ii) operate and maintain the Elective Transmission Upgrade, the Interconnection Facilities and the New England Transmission System; and (iii) disconnect or remove the Access Party’s facilities and equipment upon termination of this ETU

IA. In exercising such licenses, rights of way and easements, the Access Party shall not unreasonably disrupt or interfere with normal operation of the Granting Party's business and shall adhere to the safety rules and procedures established in advance, as may be changed from time to time, by the Granting Party and provided to the Access Party.

- 5.13 Lands of Other Property Owners.** If any part of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades is to be installed on property owned by persons other than Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at Interconnection Customer's expense use Reasonable Efforts, including use of its eminent domain authority, and to the extent consistent with state law, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace or remove the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades upon such property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not be obligated to exercise eminent domain authority in a manner inconsistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations or when an Interconnection Customer is authorized under Applicable Laws and Regulations to exercise eminent domain on its own behalf.
- 5.14 Permits.** System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate with each other in good faith in obtaining all permits, licenses, and authorizations that are necessary to accomplish the interconnection in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations. With respect to this paragraph, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide permitting assistance to the Interconnection Customer comparable to that provided to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's own, or an Affiliate's generation or transmission facilities, if any.
- 5.15 Early Construction of Base Case Facilities.** Interconnection Customer may request Interconnecting Transmission Owner to construct, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall construct, using Reasonable Efforts to accommodate Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date, all or any portion of any Network Upgrades required for Interconnection Customer to be interconnected to the Administered Transmission System, which are included in the Base Case of the Facilities Study for the Interconnection Customer, and which also are required to be

constructed for another Interconnection Customer, but where such construction is not scheduled to be completed in time to achieve Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date. The Interconnection Customer shall reimburse the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for all costs incurred related to early construction to the extent such costs are not recovered from other Interconnection Customers included in the base case.

5.16 Suspension. Interconnection Customer reserves the right, upon written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, to suspend ~~at any time~~ all work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the construction and installation of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades required under this ETU IA at any time with the condition that the New England Transmission System shall be left in a safe and reliable condition in accordance with Good Utility Practice and the System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's safety and reliability criteria. In such event, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs which Interconnecting Transmission Owner (i) has incurred pursuant to this ETU IA prior to the suspension and (ii) incurs in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of the New England Transmission System during such suspension and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation or suspension of material, equipment and labor contracts which Interconnecting Transmission Owner cannot reasonably avoid; provided, however, that prior to canceling or suspending any such material, equipment or labor contract, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall obtain Interconnection Customer's authorization to do so. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall invoice Interconnection Customer for such costs pursuant to Article 12 and shall use due diligence to minimize its costs. In the event Interconnection Customer suspends work by Interconnecting Transmission Owner required under this ETU IA pursuant to this Article 5.16, and has not requested Interconnecting Transmission Owner to recommence the work required under this ETU IA on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this ETU IA shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, if no effective date is specified. A suspension under this Article 5.16 does not automatically permit an extension of the In-Service Date, the Trial Operation Date or the Commercial Operation Date. A request for

extension of such dates is subject to Section 4.4.5 of the ETU IP. Notwithstanding the extensions permitted under Section 4.4.5 of the ETU IP, the three-year period shall in no way result in an extension of the In-Service Date, the Trial Operation Date or the Commercial Operation Date that exceeds seven (7) years from the date of the Interconnection Request; otherwise, this ETU IA shall be deemed terminated.

5.17 Taxes.

5.17.1 Payments Not Taxable. The Parties intend that all payments or property transfers made by any Party for the installation of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades shall be non-taxable, either as contributions to capital, or as an advance, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws and shall not be taxable as contributions in aid of construction or otherwise under the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws.

5.17.2 Representations and Covenants. In accordance with IRS Notice 2001-82 and IRS Notice 88-129, Interconnection Customer represents and covenants that (i) ownership of the electricity transmitted on the Elective Transmission Upgrade will pass to another party prior to the transmission of the electricity on the New England Transmission System, (ii) for income tax purposes, the amount of any payments and the cost of any property transferred to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities will be capitalized by Interconnection Customer as an intangible asset and recovered using the straight-line method over a useful life of twenty (20) years, and (iii) any portion of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities that is a "dual-use intertie," within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, is reasonably expected to carry only a de minimis amount of electricity in the direction of the Elective Transmission Upgrade. For this purpose, "de minimis amount" means no more than 5 percent of the total power flows in both directions, calculated in accordance with the "5 percent test" set forth in IRS Notice 88-129. This is not intended to be an exclusive list of the relevant conditions that must be met to conform to IRS requirements for non-taxable treatment.

At Interconnecting Transmission Owner's request, Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner with a report from an independent engineer confirming its representation in clause (iii), above. Interconnecting Transmission Owner represents and covenants that the cost of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities paid for by Interconnection Customer will have no net effect on the base upon which rates are determined.

5.17.3 Indemnification for the Cost Consequences of Current Tax Liability Imposed Upon Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Notwithstanding Article 5.17.1, Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless Interconnecting Transmission Owner from the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner as the result of payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this ETU IA, as well as any interest and penalties, other than interest and penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall not include a gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability in the amounts it charges Interconnection Customer under this ETU IA unless (i) Interconnecting Transmission Owner has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner should be reported as income subject to taxation or (ii) any Governmental Authority directs Interconnecting Transmission Owner to report payments or property as income subject to taxation; provided, however, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner may require Interconnection Customer to provide security, in a form reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner (such as a parental guarantee or a letter of credit), in an amount equal to the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17. Interconnection Customer shall reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with Article 5.17.4, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from Interconnecting Transmission Owner of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten year testing period, and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner upon request of the IRS, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article 5.17.

5.17.4 Tax Gross-Up Amount. Interconnection Customer’s liability for the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17 shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis. Except as may otherwise be agreed to by the parties, this means that Interconnection Customer will pay Interconnecting Transmission Owner, in addition to the amount paid for the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, an amount equal to (1) the current taxes imposed on Interconnecting Transmission Owner (“Current Taxes”) on the excess of (a) the gross income realized by Interconnecting Transmission Owner as a result of payments or property transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this ETU IA (without regard to any payments under this Article 5.17) (the “Gross Income Amount”) over (b) the present value of future tax deductions for depreciation that will be available as a result of such payments or property transfers (the “Present Value Depreciation Amount”), plus (2) an additional amount sufficient to permit the Interconnecting Transmission Owner to receive and retain, after the payment of all Current Taxes, an amount equal to the net amount described in clause (1). For this purpose, (i) Current Taxes shall be computed based on Interconnecting Transmission Owner composite federal and state tax rates at the time the payments or property transfers are received and Interconnecting Transmission Owner will be treated as being subject to tax at the highest marginal rates in effect at that time (the “Current Tax Rate”), and (ii) the Present Value Depreciation Amount shall be computed by discounting Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s anticipated tax depreciation deductions as a result of such payments or property transfers by Interconnecting Transmission Owner current weighted average cost of capital. Thus, the formula for calculating Interconnection Customer’s liability to Transmission Owner pursuant to this Article 5.17.4 can be expressed as follows: $(\text{Current Tax Rate} \times (\text{Gross Income Amount} - \text{Present Value of Tax Depreciation})) / (1 - \text{Current Tax Rate})$. Interconnection Customer’s

estimated tax liability in the event taxes are imposed shall be stated in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades).

5.17.5 Private Letter Ruling or Change or Clarification of Law. At Interconnection Customer's request and expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall file with the IRS a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under this ETU IA are subject to federal income taxation. Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling, and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of Interconnection Customer's knowledge. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request.

Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Interconnection Customer fully informed of the status of such request for a private letter ruling and shall execute either a privacy act waiver or a limited power of attorney, in a form acceptable to the IRS, that authorizes Interconnection Customer to participate in all discussions with the IRS regarding such request for a private letter ruling. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall allow Interconnection Customer to attend all meetings with IRS officials about the request and shall permit Interconnection Customer to prepare the initial drafts of any follow-up letters in connection with the request.

5.17.6 Subsequent Taxable Events. If, within ten (10) years from the date on which the relevant Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities are placed in service, (i) Interconnection Customer Breaches the covenant contained in Article 5.17.2, (ii) a "disqualification event" occurs within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, or (iii) this ETU IA terminates and Interconnecting Transmission Owner retains ownership of the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall pay a tax gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed on Interconnecting Transmission Owner, calculated using the methodology described in Article 5.17.4 and in accordance with IRS Notice 90-60.

5.17.7 Contests. In the event any Governmental Authority determines that Interconnecting Transmission Owner's receipt of payments or property constitutes income that is subject to taxation, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Interconnection Customer, in writing, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notification of such determination by a Governmental Authority. Upon the timely written request by Interconnection Customer and at Interconnection Customer's sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. Upon Interconnection Customer's written request and sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may file a claim for refund with respect to any taxes paid under this Article 5.17, whether or not it has received such a determination. Interconnecting Transmission Owner reserves the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the selection of counsel and compromise or settlement of the claim, but Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall keep Interconnection Customer informed, shall consider in good faith suggestions from Interconnection Customer about the conduct of the contest, and shall reasonably permit Interconnection Customer or an Interconnection Customer representative to attend contest proceedings.

Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest. At any time during the contest, Interconnecting Transmission Owner may agree to a settlement either with Interconnection Customer's consent or after obtaining written advice from nationally-recognized tax counsel, selected by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, but reasonably acceptable to Interconnection Customer, that the proposed settlement represents a reasonable settlement given the hazards of litigation. Interconnection Customer's obligation shall be based on the amount of the settlement agreed to by Interconnection Customer, or if a higher amount, so much of the settlement that is supported by the written advice from nationally recognized tax counsel selected under the terms of the preceding sentence. The settlement amount shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis to cover any related cost consequences of the current tax liability. Any settlement

without Interconnection Customer's consent or such written advice will relieve Interconnection Customer from any obligation to indemnify Interconnecting Transmission Owner for the tax at issue in the contest.

5.17.8 Refund. In the event that (a) a private letter ruling is issued to Interconnecting Transmission Owner which holds that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this ETU IA is not subject to federal income taxation, (b) any legislative change or administrative announcement, notice, ruling or other determination makes it reasonably clear to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in good faith that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this ETU IA is not taxable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner, (c) any abatement, appeal, protest, or other contest results in a determination that any payments or transfers made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner are not subject to federal income tax, or (d) if Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives a refund from any taxing authority for any overpayment of tax attributable to any payment or property transfer made by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to this ETU IA, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall promptly refund to Interconnection Customer the following:

- (i) any payment made by Interconnection Customer under this Article 5.17 for taxes that is attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, together with interest thereon,
- (ii) interest on any amounts paid by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes which Interconnecting Transmission Owner did not submit to the taxing authority, interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in the Commission's regulations at 18 CFR §35.19a(a)(2)(iii) from the date payment was made by Interconnection Customer to the date Interconnecting Transmission Owner refunds such payment to Interconnection Customer, and

(iii) with respect to any such taxes paid by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, any refund or credit Interconnecting Transmission Owner receives or to which it may be entitled from any Governmental Authority, interest (or that portion thereof attributable to the payment described in clause (i), above) owed to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such overpayment of taxes (including any reduction in interest otherwise payable by Interconnecting Transmission Owner to any Governmental Authority resulting from an offset or credit); provided, however, that Interconnecting Transmission Owner will remit such amount promptly to Interconnection Customer only after and to the extent that Interconnecting Transmission Owner has received a tax refund, credit or offset from any Governmental Authority for any applicable overpayment of income tax related to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.

The intent of this provision is to leave Parties, to the extent practicable, in the event that no taxes are due with respect to any payment for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades hereunder, in the same position they would have been in had no such tax payments been made.

5.17.9 Taxes Other Than Income Taxes. Upon the timely request by Interconnection Customer, and at Interconnection Customer's sole expense, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against Interconnecting Transmission Owner for which Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse Interconnecting Transmission Owner under the terms of this ETU IA. Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner on a periodic basis, as invoiced by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall

be payable by Interconnection Customer to Interconnecting Transmission Owner for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, non-appealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

5.18 Tax Status. Each Party shall cooperate with the others to maintain the other Party's(ies') tax status. Nothing in this ETU IA is intended to adversely affect any Interconnecting Transmission Owner's tax-exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, Local Furnishing Bonds.

5.19 Modification.

5.19.1 General. Either Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may undertake modifications to its facilities. If a Party plans to undertake a modification that reasonably may be expected to affect the other Party's facilities, the facilities of any Affected Parties or Internal Affected Parties, or the New England Transmission System, that Party shall provide to the other Parties and any Affected Party or Internal Affected Party: (i) sufficient information regarding such modification so that the other Party(ies) may evaluate the potential impact of such modification prior to commencement of the work; and (ii) such information as may be required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Such information shall be deemed to be confidential hereunder and shall include information concerning the timing of such modifications and whether such modifications are expected to interrupt the flow of electricity from the Elective Transmission Upgrade. The Party desiring to perform such work shall provide the relevant drawings, plans, and specifications to the other Party(ies) at least ninety (90) Calendar Days in advance of the commencement of the work or such shorter period upon which the Parties may agree, which agreement shall not unreasonably be withheld, conditioned or delayed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no Party shall be obligated to proceed with a modification that would constitute a Material

Modification and therefore require an Interconnection Request under the ETU IP, except as provided under and pursuant to the ETU IP.

In the case of Elective Transmission Upgrade or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facility modifications that do not require Interconnection Customer to submit an Interconnection Request, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide, within thirty (30) Calendar Days (or such other time as the Parties may agree), an estimate of any additional modifications to the New England Transmission System, Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades necessitated by such Interconnection Customer modification and a good faith estimate of the costs thereof.

5.19.2 Standards. Any additions, modifications, or replacements made to a Party's facilities shall be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with this ETU IA and Good Utility Practice.

5.19.3 Modification Costs. Interconnection Customer shall not be directly assigned for the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements that Interconnecting Transmission Owner makes to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System to facilitate the interconnection of a third party to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System, or to provide transmission service to a third party under the Tariff, except as provided for under the Tariff or any other applicable tariff. Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements to the Elective Transmission Upgrade or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities that may be necessary to maintain or upgrade such Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards or Good Utility Practice.

ARTICLE 6. TESTING AND INSPECTION

- 6.1 Pre-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications.** Prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall test Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades and Interconnection Customer shall test the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to ensure their safe and reliable operation. Similar testing may be required after initial operation. Each Party shall make any modifications to its facilities that are found to be necessary as a result of such testing. Interconnection Customer shall bear the cost of all such testing and modifications. Interconnection Customer shall transmit test energy to or from the Elective Transmission Upgrade only if it has arranged for the transfer of such test energy.
- 6.2 Post-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications.** Each Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall at its own expense perform routine inspection and testing of its facilities and equipment in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, as may be necessary to ensure the continued interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System in a safe and reliable manner. The Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner each shall have the right, upon advance written notice, to require reasonable additional testing of the other Party's(ies') facilities, at the requesting Party's expense, as may be in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The System Operator shall also have the right to require reasonable additional testing of the other Party's (ies') facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 6.3 Right to Observe Testing.** Each Party shall notify the System Operator and other Party(ies) in advance of its performance of tests of its Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Facilities. The other Party(ies) has the right, at its own expense, to observe such testing.
- 6.4 Right to Inspect.** Each Party shall have the right, but shall have no obligation to: (i) observe the other Party's(ies') tests and/or inspection of any of its System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment; (ii) review the settings of the other Party's(ies') System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment; and (iii) review the other Party's(ies') maintenance

records relative to the Interconnection Facilities, the System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment. Each Party may exercise these rights from time to time as it deems necessary upon reasonable notice to the other Parties. The exercise or non-exercise by a Party of any such rights shall not be construed as an endorsement or confirmation of any element or condition of the Interconnection Facilities or the System Protection Facilities or other protective equipment or the operation thereof, or as a warranty as to the fitness, safety, desirability, or reliability of same. Any information that a Party obtains through the exercise of any of its rights under this Article 6.4 shall be governed by Article 22.

ARTICLE 7. METERING

- 7.1 General.** Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall comply with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding metering. Interconnection Customer shall bear all reasonable documented costs associated with the purchase, installation, operation, testing and maintenance of the Metering Equipment. Unless the System Operator otherwise agrees, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for installing and maintaining compatible metering and communications equipment to accurately account for the capacity and energy being transmitted under this Tariff and to communicate the information to the System Operator. Unless otherwise agreed, such equipment shall remain the property of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner.
- 7.2 Check Meters.** Interconnection Customer, at its option and expense, may install and operate, on its premises and on its side of the Point of Interconnection, one or more check meters to check Interconnecting Transmission Owner's meters. Such check meters shall be for check purposes only and shall not be used for the measurement of power flows for purposes of this ETU IA, except as provided in Article 7.4 below. The check meters shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection and examination by Interconnecting Transmission Owner or its designee. The installation, operation and maintenance thereof shall be performed entirely by Interconnection Customer in accordance with Good Utility Practice.
- 7.3 Standards.** Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall install, calibrate, and test revenue quality Metering Equipment in accordance with applicable ANSI standards and the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 7.4 Testing of Metering Equipment.** Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall inspect and test all of their respectively owned Metering Equipment upon installation and thereafter as specified in the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall give reasonable notice of the time when any inspection or test shall take place, and may have representatives present at the test or inspection. If at any time Metering Equipment is

found to be inaccurate or defective, it shall be adjusted, repaired or replaced at Interconnection Customer's expense, in order to provide accurate metering. If Metering Equipment fails to register, or if the measurement made by Metering Equipment during a test varies by more than the values specified within ISO New England Operating Documents, or successor documents, from the measurement made by the standard meter used in the test, the Interconnection Customer and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall adjust the measurements of their respective equipment, in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

- 7.5 Metering Data.** At Interconnection Customer's expense, metered data shall be telemetered to one or more locations designated by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. The hourly integrated metering, established in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, used to transmit Megawatt hour ("MWh") per hour data by electronic means and the Watt-hour meters equipped with kilowatt-hour ("kwh") or MWh registers to be read at month's end shall be the official measurement of the amount of energy transmitted from the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Point of Interconnection. Instantaneous metering is required in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 8. COMMUNICATIONS

- 8.1 Interconnection Customer Obligations.** Interconnection Customer shall maintain satisfactory operating communications with the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 8.2 Remote Terminal Unit.** Prior to the Trial Operation Date of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, a Remote Terminal Unit, or equivalent data collection and transfer equipment acceptable to the Parties, shall be installed by Interconnection Customer or Interconnecting Transmission Owner at Interconnection Customer's expense, to gather accumulated and instantaneous data to be telemetered to the location(s) designated by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner through use of a dedicated point-to-point data circuit(s). The communication protocol for

the data circuit(s) shall be specified by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. All information required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, or successor documents, must be telemetered directly to the location(s) specified by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.

Each Party will promptly advise the other Party(ies) if it detects or otherwise learns of any metering, telemetry or communications equipment errors or malfunctions that require the attention and/or correction by the other Party(ies). The Party owning such equipment shall correct such error or malfunction as soon as reasonably feasible.

8.3 No Annexation. Any and all equipment placed on the premises of a Party shall be and remain the property of the Party providing such equipment regardless of the mode and manner of annexation or attachment to real property, unless otherwise mutually agreed by the Parties.

8.4 Reserved.

ARTICLE 9. OPERATIONS

9.1 General. Each Party shall comply with applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding operations. Each Party shall provide to the other Party(ies) all information that may reasonably be required by the other Party(ies) to comply with Applicable Laws and Regulations and Applicable Reliability Standards.

9.2 Control Area Notification. Before Trial Operation Date, the Interconnection Customer shall notify the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in writing in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents. If the Interconnection Customer elects to have the Elective Transmission Upgrade dispatched and operated from a remote Control Area other than the Control Area in which the Elective Transmission Upgrade is physically located, and if permitted to do so by the relevant transmission tariffs and ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents, all necessary arrangements, including but not limited to those set forth in Article 7 and Article 8 of this ETU IA, and remote Control Area generator interchange agreements, if applicable, and the appropriate measures under such agreements, shall be executed

and implemented prior to the placement of the Elective Transmission Upgrade in the other Control Area for dispatch and operations.

9.3 Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator Obligations. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall cause the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities to be operated, maintained and controlled in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this ETU IA and ISO New England Operating Documents, Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator may provide operating instructions to Interconnection Customer consistent with this ETU IA, ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's and System Operator's operating protocols and procedures as they may change from time to time. Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator will consider changes to their operating protocols and procedures proposed by Interconnection Customer.

9.4 Interconnection Customer Obligations. Interconnection Customer shall at its own expense operate, maintain and control the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this ETU IA and ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.5 Start-Up and Trial Operation. The Interconnection Customer is responsible for the proper start-up and Trial Operation of the Elective Transmission Upgrade as part of the New England Transmission System in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6 Reactive Power.

9.6.1 Power Factor Design Criteria. Interconnection Customer shall design the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Facilities that are capable of voltage control to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous rated power output at the Point of Interconnection with dynamic reactive capability over the power factor range of 0.95

leading to 0.95 lagging or any reactive power or power factor requirement specified in the Interconnection System Impact Study for the Elective Transmission Upgrade, unless the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner has established different requirements that apply to all similar-situated facilities in the Control Area on a comparable basis and in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6.2 Voltage Schedules. Once the Interconnection Customer has commenced Trial Operation of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the New England Transmission System, Interconnection Customer shall operate the Elective Transmission Upgrade at the direction of System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, regarding voltage schedules in accordance with such requirements.

9.6.2.1 Voltage Regulating Equipment. The Interconnection Customer must keep and maintain voltage regulating equipment on all voltage-controlling elements of the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Facilities any voltage control requirements specified in the Interconnection System Impact Study and in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. All Interconnection Customers that have, or are required to have, voltage regulating equipment shall normally operate the voltage regulating equipment in automatic operation.

It is the responsibility of the Interconnection Customer to maintain the voltage regulating equipment and function in good operating condition and promptly report to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner any problems that could cause interference with its proper operation.

9.6.2.2 Governor Control. The Interconnection Customer is obligated to provide and maintain a functioning governor or frequency regulation on all elements of the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Facilities that are capable of

frequency regulation in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

It is the responsibility of the Interconnection Customer to maintain the frequency regulating equipment and function in good operating condition and promptly report to the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner any problems that could cause interference with its proper operation.

9.6.2.3 System Protection. The Interconnection Customer shall install and maintain protection systems in accordance with applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.6.3 Payment for Reactive Power.

Interconnection Customers shall be compensated for Reactive Power service in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Section II of the Tariff.

9.7 Outages and Interruptions.

9.7.1 Outages.

9.7.1.1 Outage Authority and Coordination. The System Operator shall have the authority to coordinate facility outages in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Each Party may in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, in coordination with the other Party(ies), remove from service any of its respective Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades that may impact the other Party's(ies') facilities as necessary to perform maintenance or testing or to install or replace equipment, subject to the oversight of System Operator in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.1.2 Outage Schedules. Outage scheduling, and any related compensation, shall be in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.2 Interruption of Service. In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, the System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may require Interconnection Customer to interrupt or reduce deliveries of electricity if such delivery of electricity could adversely affect System Operator's or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's ability to perform such activities as are necessary to safely and reliably operate and maintain the New England Transmission System.

9.7.3 Ride Through Capability and Performance Under Frequency and Over Frequency Conditions. Interconnection Customer shall implement under-frequency and over-frequency protection set points for the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Facilities as required by the applicable provisions of ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Elective Transmission Upgrade response to frequency deviations of pre-determined magnitudes, both under-frequency and over-frequency deviations, shall be studied and coordinated with System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnection Customer shall also implement under-voltage and over-voltage relay set points, or equivalent electronic controls, as required by NERC to ensure voltage "ride through" capability of the Transmission System. The term "ride through" as used herein shall mean the ability of a Elective Transmission Upgrade to stay connected to and synchronized with the New England Transmission System during system disturbances within a range of under-frequency, over-frequency, under-voltage, and over-voltage conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice and consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other Elective Transmission Upgrades in the New England Control Area on a comparable basis. For abnormal frequency conditions and voltage conditions within the "no trip

zone” defined by Reliability Standard PRC-024-3 or successor mandatory ride through reliability standards, the Elective Transmission Upgrade must ensure that, within any physical limitations of the Elective Transmission Upgrade, its control and protection settings are configured or set to (1) continue active power production during disturbance and post disturbance periods at pre-disturbance levels, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (2) minimize reductions in active power and remain within dynamic voltage and current limits, if reactive power priority mode is enabled, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response; (3) not artificially limit dynamic reactive power capability during disturbances; and (4) return to pre-disturbance active power levels without artificial ramp rate limits if active power is reduced, unless providing primary frequency response or fast frequency response.

9.7.4 System Protection and Other Control Requirements.

9.7.4.1 System Protection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, install, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities as a part of the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall install at Interconnection Customer’s expense, in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, any System Protection Facilities that may be required on the Interconnecting Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System as a result of the interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities.

9.7.4.2 Each Party’s protection facilities shall be designed and coordinated with other systems in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.4.3 Each Party shall be responsible for protection of its facilities consistent with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.4.4 Each Party's protective relay design shall allow for tests required in Article 6.

9.7.4.5 Each Party will test, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

9.7.5 Requirements for Protection. In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, and compliance with Good Utility Practice, Interconnection Customer shall provide, install, own, and maintain relays, circuit breakers and all other devices necessary to remove any fault contribution of the Elective Transmission Upgrade to any short circuit occurring on the New England Transmission System not otherwise isolated by Interconnecting Transmission Owner's equipment, such that the removal of the fault contribution shall be coordinated with the protective requirements of the New England Transmission System. Such protective equipment shall include, without limitation, a disconnecting device or switch with load-interrupting capability located between the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the New England Transmission System at a site selected upon mutual agreement (not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed) of the Parties. Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for protection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Customer's other equipment from such conditions as negative sequence currents, over- or under-frequency, sudden load rejection, over- or under-voltage, and generator loss-of-field. Interconnection Customer shall be solely responsible to disconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade and Interconnection Customer's other equipment if conditions on the New England Transmission System could adversely affect the Elective Transmission Upgrade. Relays and other equipment that protect for other conditions such as over- or under-frequency, over- or under-voltage, and overloads shall be coordinated with the protective requirements of the New England Transmission System.

- 9.7.6 Power Quality.** A Party's facilities shall not cause excessive voltage flicker nor introduce excessive distortion to the sinusoidal voltage or current waves as defined by ANSI Standard C84.1-1989, in accordance with IEEE Standard 519, or any applicable superseding electric industry standard.
- 9.8 Switching and Tagging Rules.** Each Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with a copy of its switching and tagging rules that are applicable to the other Party's activities. Such switching and tagging rules shall be developed on a non-discriminatory basis. The Parties shall comply with applicable switching and tagging rules, as amended from time to time, in obtaining clearances for work or for switching operations on equipment.
- 9.9 Use of Interconnection Facilities by Third Parties.**
- 9.9.1 Purpose of Interconnection Facilities.** Except as may be required by Applicable Laws and Regulations, or as otherwise agreed to among the Parties, the Interconnection Facilities shall be constructed for the sole purpose of interconnecting the Elective Transmission Upgrade to the Administered Transmission System and shall be used for no other purpose.
- 9.9.2 Third Party Users.** If required by Applicable Laws and Regulations or if the Parties mutually agree, such agreement not to be unreasonably withheld, to allow one or more third parties to use the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, or any part thereof, Interconnection Customer will be entitled to compensation for the capital expenses it incurred in connection with the Interconnection Facilities based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, all third party users, and Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually agreed-upon methodology. In addition, cost responsibility for ongoing costs, including operation and maintenance costs associated with the Interconnection Facilities, will be allocated between Interconnection Customer and any third party users based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by Interconnecting Transmission Owner, all third party users, and

Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually agreed-upon methodology. If the issue of such compensation or allocation cannot be resolved through such negotiations, it shall be submitted to the Commission for resolution.

- 9.10 Disturbance Analysis Data Exchange.** The Parties will cooperate with one another in the analysis of disturbances to either the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the New England Transmission System by gathering and providing access to any information relating to any disturbance, including information from oscillography, protective relay targets, breaker operations and sequence of events records, and any disturbance information required by the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 10. MAINTENANCE

- 10.1 Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Customer Obligations.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer shall each maintain that portion of its respective facilities that are part of the New England Transmission System and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 10.2 Operating and Maintenance Expenses.** Subject to the provisions herein addressing the use of facilities by others, and except for operations and maintenance expenses associated with modifications made for providing interconnection or transmission service to a third party and such third party pays for such expenses, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable expenses including overheads, associated with: (1) owning, operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities; and (2) operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Stand Alone Network Upgrades, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades.

ARTICLE 11. PERFORMANCE OBLIGATION

- 11.1 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.** Interconnection Customer shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades) at its sole expense.
- 11.2 Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades) at the sole expense of the Interconnection Customer.
- 11.3 Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall design, procure, construct, install, and own the Network Upgrades, and to the extent provided by Article 5.1, Stand Alone Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades described in Appendix A (Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades). The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs related to Distribution Upgrades. Unless the Interconnecting Transmission Owner elects to fund the capital for the Network Upgrades, they shall be solely funded by the Interconnection Customer.
- 11.4 Cost Allocation; Compensation; Rights; Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems.**
- 11.4.1 Cost Allocation.** Cost allocation of ETU Interconnection Related Upgrades shall be in accordance with Schedules 11 and 12 of Section II of the Tariff.
- 11.4.2 Compensation.** Any compensation due to the Interconnection Customer for increases in transfer capability to the PTF resulting from its ETU and associated system upgrades shall be determined in accordance with Sections II and III of the Tariff.
- 11.4.3 Rights.** Notwithstanding any other provision of this ETU IA, nothing herein shall be construed as relinquishing or foreclosing any rights, including but not limited to firm transmission rights, capacity rights, transmission congestion rights, or transmission credits, that the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to, now or in the future, under

any other agreement or tariff as a result of, or otherwise associated with, the transmission capacity, if any, created by the Network Upgrades.

11.4.4 Special Provisions for Affected Systems and Internal Affected Systems. The Interconnection Customer shall enter into separate related facilities agreements to address any upgrades to the Affected System(s) or Internal Affected System(s) that are necessary for safe and reliable interconnection of the Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade.

11.5 Provision of Security. At least thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the commencement of the procurement, installation, or construction of a discrete portion of an Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades, Interconnection Customer shall provide Interconnecting Transmission Owner a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner in accordance with the Tariff. In addition:

11.5.1 The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount.

11.5.2 The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

11.5.3 The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and must specify a reasonable expiration date.

11.6 Interconnection Customer Compensation. If System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner requests or directs Interconnection Customer to provide a service pursuant to Articles 9.6.3 (Payment for Reactive Power), or 13.4.1 of this ETU IA, Interconnection Customer shall be compensated pursuant to the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

11.6.1 Interconnection Customer Compensation for Actions During Emergency Condition.

Interconnection Customer shall be compensated for its provision of real and reactive power and other Emergency Condition services that Interconnection Customer provides to support the New England Transmission System during an Emergency Condition in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 12. INVOICE

- 12.1 General.** Each Party shall submit to the other Party(ies), on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party(ies) under this ETU IA, including interest payments or credits, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party.
- 12.2 Final Invoice.** Within six months after completion of the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall refund to Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice. Interconnection Customer shall pay to Interconnecting Transmission Owner any amount by which the actual payment by Interconnection Customer for estimated costs falls short of the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.

- 12.3 Payment.** Invoices shall be rendered to the paying Party at the address specified in Appendix F. The Party receiving the invoice shall pay the invoice within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the other Party, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Party. Payment of invoices by any Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims the other Party(ies) may have under this ETU IA.
- 12.4 Disputes.** In the event of a billing dispute between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer, Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall continue to provide Interconnection Service under this ETU IA as long as Interconnection Customer: (i) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (ii) pays to Interconnecting Transmission Owner or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements for continuation of service, then Interconnecting Transmission Owner may provide notice to Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 17. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to the other Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accord with the methodology set forth in the Commission's Regulations at 18 CFR § 35.19a(a)(2)(iii).

ARTICLE 13. EMERGENCIES

- 13.1 Obligations.** Each Party shall comply with the Emergency Condition procedures of the System Operator in accordance with the applicable provisions of the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.
- 13.2 Notice.** Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator as applicable shall notify Interconnection Customer and System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner as applicable, promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities or the New England Transmission System that may reasonably be expected to affect Interconnection Customer's operation of the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall notify Interconnecting Transmission

Owner and System Operator promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities that may reasonably be expected to affect the New England Transmission System or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. To the extent information is known, the notification shall describe the Emergency Condition, the extent of the damage or deficiency, the expected effect on the operation of Interconnection Customer's or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's facilities and operations, its anticipated duration and the corrective action taken and/or to be taken. The initial notice shall be followed as soon as practicable with written notice.

13.3 Immediate Action. Unless, in Interconnection Customer's reasonable judgment, immediate action is required, Interconnection Customer shall obtain the consent of Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator, such consent to not be unreasonably withheld, prior to performing any manual switching operations at the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in response to an Emergency Condition either declared by the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or the System Operator or otherwise regarding the New England Transmission System.

13.4 System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Authority.

13.4.1 General. System Operator or Interconnecting Transmission Owner may take whatever actions or inactions with regard to the New England Transmission System or the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities it deems necessary during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the New England Transmission System or Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service.

System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may, on the basis of technical considerations and

equipment capabilities, require the Elective Transmission Upgrade to mitigate an Emergency Condition by taking actions necessary and limited in scope to remedy the Emergency Condition, including, but not limited to, directing Interconnection Customer to shut-down, start-up, increase or decrease the real or reactive power output of the Elective Transmission Upgrade; implementing a reduction or disconnection pursuant to Article 13.4.2; directing the Interconnection Customer to assist with black start (if available) or restoration efforts; or altering the outage schedules of the Elective Transmission Upgrade and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall comply with all of System Operator's and Interconnecting Transmission Owner's operating instructions concerning Elective Transmission Upgrade real power and reactive power output within the manufacturer's design limitations of the Elective Transmission Upgrade's equipment that is in service and physically available for operation at the time, in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations.

13.4.2 Reduction and Disconnection. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner may reduce Interconnection Service or disconnect the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities when such reduction or disconnection is necessary in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents. These rights are separate and distinct from any right of curtailment of the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to the Tariff. When the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner can schedule the reduction or disconnection in advance, System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall notify Interconnection Customer of the reasons, timing and expected duration of the reduction or disconnection. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall coordinate with the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents to schedule the reduction or disconnection during periods of least impact to the Interconnection Customer and the System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Any reduction or disconnection shall continue only for so long as reasonably necessary in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable

Reliability Standards, or successor documents. The Parties shall cooperate with each other to restore the Elective Transmission Upgrade, the Interconnection Facilities, and the New England Transmission System to their normal operating state as soon as practicable in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

- 13.5 Interconnection Customer Authority.** In accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents and the ETU IA and the ETU IP, the Interconnection Customer may take whatever actions or inactions with regard to the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service. Interconnection Customer shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the New England Transmission System and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities. System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall use Reasonable Efforts to assist Interconnection Customer in such actions.
- 13.6 Limited Liability.** Except as otherwise provided in Article 11.6.1 of this ETU IA, a Party shall not be liable to another Party for any action it takes in responding to an Emergency Condition so long as such action is made in good faith and in accordance with the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents.

ARTICLE 14. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 Regulatory Requirements.** Each Party's obligations under this ETU IA shall be subject to its receipt of any required approval or certificate from one or more Governmental Authorities in the form and substance satisfactory to the applying Party, or the Party making any required filings with, or providing notice to, such Governmental Authorities, and the expiration of any time period associated therewith. Each Party shall in good faith seek and use its Reasonable Efforts to obtain such other approvals. Nothing in this ETU IA shall require Interconnection Customer to take any action that could result in its inability to obtain, or its loss of, status or exemption under

the Federal Power Act or the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended. To the extent that a condition arises that could result in Interconnection Customer's inability to obtain, or its loss of, status or exemption under the Federal Power Act, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, or the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, the Parties shall engage in good faith negotiations to address the condition so that such result will not occur and so that this ETU IA can be performed.

14.2 Governing Law.

14.2.1 The validity, interpretation and performance of this ETU IA and each of its provisions shall be governed by the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to its conflicts of law principles.

14.2.2 This ETU IA is subject to all Applicable Laws and Regulations.

14.2.3 Each Party expressly reserves the right to seek changes in, appeal, or otherwise contest any laws, orders, rules, or regulations of a Governmental Authority.

ARTICLE 15. NOTICES

15.1 General. Unless otherwise provided in this ETU IA, any notice, demand or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another Party and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another Party shall be effective when delivered and may be so given, tendered or delivered, by recognized national courier, or by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for delivery by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Party, or personally delivered to the Party, at the address set out in Appendix F (Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings).

A Party may change the notice information in this ETU IA by giving five (5) Business Days written notice prior to the effective date of the change.

15.2 Billings and Payments. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses set out in Appendix F.

15.3 Alternative Forms of Notice. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another Party and not required by this Agreement to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or email to the telephone numbers and email addresses set out in Appendix F.

15.4 Operations and Maintenance Notice. Each Party shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the identity of the person(s) that it designates as the point(s) of contact with respect to the implementation of Articles 9 and 10.

ARTICLE 16. FORCE MAJEURE

16.1 Force Majeure.

16.1.1 Economic hardship is not considered a Force Majeure event.

16.1.2 A Party shall not be considered to be in Default with respect to any obligation hereunder (including obligations under Article 4), other than the obligation to pay money when due, if prevented from fulfilling such obligation by Force Majeure. A Party unable to fulfill any obligation hereunder (other than an obligation to pay money when due) by reason of Force Majeure shall give notice and the full particulars of such Force Majeure to the other Party(ies) in writing or by telephone as soon as reasonably possible after the occurrence of the cause relied upon. Telephone notices given pursuant to this Article shall be confirmed in writing as soon as reasonably possible and shall specifically state full particulars of the Force Majeure, the time and date when the Force Majeure occurred and when the Force Majeure is reasonably expected to cease. The Party affected shall exercise due diligence to remove such disability with reasonable dispatch, but shall not be required to accede or agree to any provision not satisfactory to it in order to settle and terminate a strike or other labor disturbance.

ARTICLE 17. DEFAULT

17.1 Default.

17.1.1 General. No Breach shall exist where such failure to discharge an obligation (other than the payment of money) is the result of Force Majeure as defined in this ETU IA or the result of an act or omission of the other Party(ies). Upon a Breach, the non-Breaching Party shall give written notice of such Breach to the breaching Party. Except as provided in Article 17.1.2, the Breaching Party shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the Breach notice within which to cure such Breach; provided however, if such Breach is not capable of cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days, the Breaching Party shall commence such cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days after notice and continuously and diligently complete such cure within ninety (90) Calendar Days from receipt of the Breach notice; and, if cured within such time, the Breach specified in such notice shall cease to exist.

17.1.2 Right to Terminate. If a Breach is not cured as provided in this Article, or if a Breach is not capable of being cured within the period provided for herein, the non-Breaching Party(ies) shall have the right to terminate this ETU IA by written notice at any time until cure occurs, and be relieved of any further obligation hereunder and, whether or not those Parties terminate this ETU IA, to recover from the Breaching Party all amounts due hereunder, plus all other damages and remedies to which they are entitled at law or in equity. The provisions of this Article will survive termination of this ETU IA.

ARTICLE 18. INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES AND INSURANCE

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Transmission Operating Agreement (“TOA”) or other applicable operating agreements shall apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the liability, indemnification and insurance provisions of the Tariff apply to the relationship between the System Operator and the Interconnection Customer and between the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer.

18.1 Indemnity. Each Party shall at all times indemnify, defend, and save the other Party(ies) harmless from any and all damages, losses, claims, including claims and actions relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties, arising out of or resulting from the other Party's(ies') action or inactions of their obligations under this ETU IA on behalf of the Indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by an indemnified Party.

18.1.1 Indemnified Person. If an Indemnified Person is entitled to indemnification under this Article 18 as a result of a claim by a third party, and the Indemnifying Party fails, after notice and reasonable opportunity to proceed under Article 18.1, to assume the defense of such claim, such Indemnified Person may at the expense of the Indemnifying Party contest, settle or consent to the entry of any judgment with respect to, or pay in full, such claim.

18.1.2 Indemnifying Party. If an Indemnifying Party is obligated to indemnify and hold any Indemnified Person harmless under this Article 18, the amount owing to the Indemnified Person shall be the amount of such Indemnified Person's actual Loss, net of any insurance or other recovery.

18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures. Promptly after receipt by an Indemnified Person of any claim or notice of the commencement of any action or administrative or legal proceeding or investigation as to which the indemnity provided for in Article 18.1 may apply, the Indemnified Person shall notify the Indemnifying Party of such fact. Any failure of or delay in such notification shall not affect a Party's indemnification obligation unless such failure or delay is materially prejudicial to the Indemnifying Party.

The Indemnifying Party shall have the right to assume the defense thereof with counsel designated by such Indemnifying Party and reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Person. If the defendants in any such action include one or more Indemnified Persons and the Indemnifying Party and if the Indemnified Person reasonably concludes that there may be legal defenses available to it and/or other Indemnified Persons which are different

from or additional to those available to the Indemnifying Party, the Indemnified Person shall have the right to select separate counsel to assert such legal defenses and to otherwise participate in the defense of such action on its own behalf. In such instances, the Indemnifying Party shall only be required to pay the fees and expenses of one additional attorney to represent an Indemnified Person or Indemnified Persons having such differing or additional legal defenses.

The Indemnified Person shall be entitled, at its expense, to participate in any such action, suit or proceeding, the defense of which has been assumed by the Indemnifying Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Indemnifying Party (i) shall not be entitled to assume and control the defense of any such action, suit or proceedings if and to the extent that, in the opinion of the Indemnified Person and its counsel, such action, suit or proceeding involves the potential imposition of criminal liability on the Indemnified Person, or there exists a conflict or adversity of interest between the Indemnified Person and the Indemnifying Party, in which event the Indemnifying Party shall pay the reasonable expenses of the Indemnified Person, and (ii) shall not settle or consent to the entry of any judgment in any action, suit or proceeding without the consent of the Indemnified Person, which shall not be reasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

18.2 Consequential Damages. Other than the Liquidated Damages heretofore described, in no event shall a Party be liable under any provision of this ETU IA for any losses, damages, costs or expenses for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages, including but not limited to loss of profit or revenue, loss of the use of equipment, cost of capital, cost of temporary equipment or services, whether based in whole or in part in contract, in tort, including negligence, strict liability, or any other theory of liability; provided, however, that damages for which a Party may be liable to the other Party under another agreement will not be considered to be special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages hereunder.

18.3 Insurance. The Interconnecting Transmission Owner and the Interconnection Customer shall, at their own expense, maintain in force throughout the period of this ETU IA, and until released by the other Party(ies), the following minimum insurance coverages, with insurers authorized to do business in the state where the Point of Interconnection is located:

- 18.3.1** Employers' Liability and Workers' Compensation Insurance providing statutory benefits in accordance with the laws and regulations of the state in which the Point of Interconnection is located.
- 18.3.2** Commercial General Liability Insurance including premises and operations, personal injury, broad form property damage, broad form blanket contractual liability coverage (including coverage for the contractual indemnification) products and completed operations coverage, coverage for explosion, collapse and underground hazards, independent contractors coverage, coverage for pollution to the extent normally available and punitive damages to the extent normally available and a cross liability endorsement, with minimum limits of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence/One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) aggregate combined single limit for personal injury, bodily injury, including death, and property damage.
- 18.3.3** Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance for coverage of owned and non-owned and hired vehicles, trailers or semi-trailers designed for travel on public roads, with a minimum, combined single limit of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury, including death, and property damage.
- 18.3.4** Excess Public Liability Insurance over and above the Employers' Liability Commercial General Liability and Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance coverage, with a minimum combined single limit of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) per occurrence/Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) aggregate.
- 18.3.5** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall name the other Party(ies), its parent, associated and Affiliate companies and their respective directors, officers, agents, servants and employees ("Other Party Group") as additional insured. All policies shall contain provisions whereby the insurers waive all rights of subrogation in accordance with the provisions of this ETU IA against the Other Party Group and provide thirty (30)

Calendar Days advance written notice to the Other Party Group prior to anniversary date of cancellation or any material change in coverage or condition.

- 18.3.6** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall contain provisions that specify that the policies are primary and shall apply to such extent without consideration for other policies separately carried and shall state that each insured is provided coverage as though a separate policy had been issued to each, except the insurer's liability shall not be increased beyond the amount for which the insurer would have been liable had only one insured been covered. Each Party shall be responsible for its respective deductibles or retentions.
- 18.3.7** The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies, if written on a Claims First Made Basis, shall be maintained in full force and effect for two (2) years after termination of this ETU IA, which coverage may be in the form of tail coverage or extended reporting period coverage if agreed by the Parties.
- 18.3.8** The requirements contained herein as to the types and limits of all insurance to be maintained by the Parties are not intended to and shall not in any manner, limit or qualify the liabilities and obligations assumed by the Parties under this ETU IA.
- 18.3.9** Within ten (10) days following execution of this ETU IA, and as soon as practicable after the end of each fiscal year or at the renewal of the insurance policy and in any event within ninety (90) days thereafter, each Party shall provide certification of all insurance required in this ETU IA, executed by each insurer or by an authorized representative of each insurer.
- 18.3.10** Notwithstanding the foregoing, each Party may self-insure to meet the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8 to the extent it maintains a self-insurance program, provided that such Party's senior secured debt is rated at investment grade, or better, by Standard & Poor's and that its self-insurance program

meets the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8. For any period of time that a Party's senior secured debt is unrated by Standard & Poor's or is rated at less than investment grade by Standard & Poor's, such Party shall comply with the insurance requirements applicable to it under Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.9. In the event that a Party is permitted to self-insure pursuant to this Article, it shall notify the other Party(ies) that it meets the requirements to self-insure and that its self-insurance program meets the minimum insurance requirements in a manner consistent with that specified in Article 18.3.9.

18.3.11 The Parties agree to report to each other in writing as soon as practical all accidents or occurrences resulting in injuries to any person, including death, and any property damage arising out of this ETU IA.

ARTICLE 19. ASSIGNMENT

19.1 Assignment. This ETU IA may be assigned by any Party only with the written consent of the other Parties; provided that the Parties may assign this ETU IA without the consent of the other Parties to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this ETU IA; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this ETU IA, without the consent of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or System Operator, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Elective Transmission Upgrade, provided that the Interconnection Customer will promptly notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s), including providing the Interconnecting Transmission Owner with proof that it meets the requirements of Articles 11.5 and 18.3. Any attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this ETU IA shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof.

Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

ARTICLE 20. SEVERABILITY

- 20.1 Severability.** If any provision in this ETU IA is finally determined to be invalid, void or unenforceable by any court or other Governmental Authority having jurisdiction, such determination shall not invalidate, void or make unenforceable any other provision, agreement or covenant of this ETU IA; provided that if the Interconnection Customer (or any third party, but only if such third party is not acting at the direction of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner) seeks and obtains such a final determination with respect to any provision of the Alternate Option (Article 5.1.2), or the Negotiated Option (Article 5.1.4), then none of these provisions shall thereafter have any force or effect and the Parties' rights and obligations shall be governed solely by the Standard Option (Article 5.1.1).

ARTICLE 21. COMPARABILITY

- 21.1 Comparability.** The Parties will comply with all applicable comparability and code of conduct laws, rules and regulations, as amended from time to time.

ARTICLE 22. CONFIDENTIALITY

- 22.1 Confidentiality.** Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information governed by the ISO New England Information Policy, all information obtained from third parties under confidentiality agreements, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by a Party to another prior to the execution of this ETU IA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by

inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Party receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by a Party, the other Party(ies) shall provide, in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

22.1.1 Term. During the term of this ETU IA, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this ETU IA, except as otherwise provided in this Article 22, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information.

22.1.2 Scope. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this ETU IA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 22.1.7 of the ETU IA, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this ETU IA. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Party(ies) that it no longer is confidential.

22.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. A Party shall not release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements), subcontractors, employees, consultants, or to parties who may be

or are considering providing financing to or equity participation with Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this ETU IA, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 22 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 22.

22.1.4 Rights. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Party(ies). The disclosure by each Party to the other Party(ies) of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by a Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

22.1.5 No Warranties. By providing Confidential Information, a Party does not make any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, a Party does not obligate itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Party(ies) nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

22.1.6 Standard of Care. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Party(ies) under this ETU IA or its regulatory requirements.

22.1.7 Order of Disclosure. If a court or a Governmental Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires a Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Party(ies) with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Party(ies) may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms

of this ETU IA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

22.1.8 Termination of Agreement. Upon termination of this ETU IA for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Calendar Days of receipt of a written request from the other Party(ies), use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the other Party(ies)) or return to the other Party(ies), without retaining copies thereof, any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the other Party(ies).

22.1.9 Remedies. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for the other Party's(ies') Breach of its obligations under this Article 22. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Party(ies) shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 22, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Parties shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 22, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 22.

22.1.10 Disclosure to the Commission, its Staff, or a State. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 22 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 CFR. section 1b.20, if the Commission or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this ETU IA, the Party shall provide the requested information to the Commission or its

staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to the Commission or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 CFR section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by the Commission and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Party(ies) to this ETU IA prior to the release of the Confidential Information to the Commission or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Party(ies) to the ETU IA when it is notified by the Commission or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by the Commission, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 CFR section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.

22.1.11 Subject to the exception in Article 22.1.10, any information that a Party claims is competitively sensitive, commercial or financial information under this ETU IA (“Confidential Information”) shall not be disclosed by the other Party(ies) to any person not employed or retained by the other Party(ies), except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Party(ies), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this ETU IA or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or ISO or to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Party(ies) in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of the other Parties’ Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party(ies) in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party(ies) in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

ARTICLE 23. ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES

- 23.1** Each Party shall notify the other Party(ies), first orally and then in writing, of the release of any Hazardous Substances, any asbestos or lead abatement activities, or any type of remediation activities related to the Elective Transmission Upgrade or the Interconnection Facilities, each of which may reasonably be expected to affect the other Party(ies). The notifying Party shall: (i) provide the notice as soon as practicable, provided such Party makes a good faith effort to provide the notice no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such Party becomes aware of the occurrence; and (ii) promptly furnish to the other Party(ies) copies of any publicly available reports filed with any Governmental Authorities addressing such events.

ARTICLE 24. INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

- 24.1 Information Acquisition.** Subject to any applicable confidentiality restrictions, including, but not limited to, codes of conduct, each Party shall submit specific information regarding the electrical characteristics of their respective facilities to each other as described below and in accordance with Applicable Reliability Standards.
- 24.2 Information Submission by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner.** The initial information submission by System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Trial Operation Date and shall include information necessary to allow the Interconnection Customer to select equipment and meet any system protection and stability requirements, unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the Parties. On a monthly basis Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide Interconnection Customer a status report on the construction and installation of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, including, but not limited to, the following information: (1) progress to date; (2) a description of the activities since the last report; (3) a description of the action items for the next period; and (4) the delivery status of equipment ordered.

24.3 Updated Information Submission by Interconnection Customer. The updated information submission by the Interconnection Customer, including manufacturer information, shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Trial Operation Date. Interconnection Customer shall submit a completed copy of the Elective Transmission Upgrade data requirements contained in Appendix 1 to the ETU IP. It shall also include any additional information provided to Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator for the ~~Interconnection Feasibility Study, Interconnection System Impact Study-Cluster Study, Transitional Cluster Study,~~ and Interconnection Facilities Study. Information in this submission shall be the most current Elective Transmission Upgrade design or expected performance data. Information submitted for stability models shall be compatible with Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator standard models. If there is no compatible model, the Interconnection Customer will work with a consultant mutually agreed to by the Parties to develop and supply a standard model and associated information.

If the Interconnection Customer's data is different from what was originally provided to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to the Interconnection Study Agreement between Interconnecting Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer, then the System Operator will review it and conduct appropriate studies, as needed, at the Interconnection Customer's cost, to determine the impact on the New England Transmission System based on the actual data submitted pursuant to this Article 24.3. The Interconnection Customer shall not begin Trial Operation until such studies are completed.

24.4 Information Supplementation. Prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Parties shall supplement their information submissions described above in this Article 24 with any and all "as-built" Elective Transmission Upgrade information and "as-tested" performance information that differs from the initial submissions or, alternatively, written confirmation that no such differences exist. The Interconnection Customer shall conduct tests on the Elective Transmission Upgrade as required by Good Utility Practice to verify proper operation of the Elective Transmission Upgrade's voltage regulation capability, and of other automatic controls for which the Elective Transmission Upgrade is reliant upon for acceptable performance, as described and requested by the System Operator. Documentation of the test results will be provided to the System Operator.

The Interconnection Customer shall provide the Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator with any information changes due to proposed equipment replacement, repair, or adjustment. Interconnecting Transmission Owner shall provide the Interconnection Customer and System Operator with any information changes due to proposed equipment replacement, repair or adjustment in the directly connected substation or any adjacent Interconnecting Transmission Owner-owned substation that may affect the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities equipment ratings, protection or operating requirements. The Parties shall provide such information in accordance with Article 5.19 of this Agreement.

ARTICLE 25. INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS

- 25.1 Information Access.** Each Party (the “disclosing Party”) shall make available to the other Parties information that is in the possession of the disclosing Party and is necessary in order for the other Party(ies) to: (i) verify the costs incurred by the disclosing Party for which the other Party(ies) are responsible under this ETU IA; and (ii) carry out its obligations and responsibilities under this ETU IA. The Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 25.1 and to enforce their rights under this ETU IA.
- 25.2 Reporting of Non-Force Majeure Events.** Each Party (the “notifying Party”) shall notify the other Party(ies) when the notifying Party becomes aware of its inability to comply with the provisions of this ETU IA for a reason other than a Force Majeure event. The Parties agree to cooperate with each other and provide necessary information regarding such inability to comply, including the date, duration, reason for the inability to comply, and corrective actions taken or planned to be taken with respect to such inability to comply. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notification, cooperation or information provided under this Article shall not entitle the Party receiving such notification to allege a cause for anticipatory Breach of this ETU IA.
- 25.3 Audit Rights.** Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 22 of this ETU IA, each Party shall have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to the other Party(ies), to audit at its own expense the other Party's(ies') accounts and records pertaining to a Party's performance or a Party's satisfaction of obligations under this ETU IA. Such audit rights shall include audits of the other Party's(ies') costs, calculation of invoiced

amounts, the efforts to allocate responsibility for the provision of reactive support to the New England Transmission System, the efforts to allocate responsibility for interruption or reduction of generation on the New England Transmission System, and each Party's actions in an Emergency Condition. Any audit authorized by this Article shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to each Party's performance and satisfaction of obligations under this ETU IA. Each Party shall keep such accounts and records for a period equivalent to the audit rights periods described in Article 25.4.

25.4 Audit Rights Periods.

25.4.1 Audit Rights Period for Construction-Related Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades shall be subject to audit for a period of twenty-four (24) months following Interconnecting Transmission Owner's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 12.2.

25.4.2 Audit Rights Period for All Other Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to a Party's performance or satisfaction of all obligations under this ETU IA other than those described in Article 25.4.1 shall be subject to audit as follows: (i) for an audit relating to cost obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four (24) months after the auditing Party's receipt of an invoice giving rise to such cost obligations; and (ii) for an audit relating to all other obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four (24) months after the event for which the audit is sought.

25.5 Audit Results. If an audit by a Party determines that an overpayment or an underpayment has occurred, a notice of such overpayment or underpayment shall be given to the other Party(ies) together with those records from the audit which support such determination.

ARTICLE 26. SUBCONTRACTORS

- 26.1 General.** Nothing in this ETU IA shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of any subcontractor as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this ETU IA; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this ETU IA in providing such services and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party(ies) for the performance of such subcontractor.
- 26.2 Responsibility of Principal.** The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this ETU IA. The hiring Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party(ies) for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor the hiring Party hires as if no subcontract had been made; provided, however, that in no event shall the Interconnecting Transmission Owner be liable for the actions or inactions of the Interconnection Customer or its subcontractors with respect to obligations of the Interconnection Customer under Article 5 of this ETU IA. Any applicable obligation imposed by this ETU IA upon the hiring Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.
- 26.3 No Limitation by Insurance.** The obligations under this Article 26 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of subcontractor's insurance.

ARTICLE 27. DISPUTES

- 27.1 Submission.** In the event a Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with this ETU IA or its performance, such Party (the "disputing Party") shall provide the other Party(ies) with written notice of the dispute or claim ("Notice of Dispute"). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party(ies). In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party's(ies') receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute

to arbitration, each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this ETU IA.

- 27.2 External Arbitration Procedures.** Any arbitration initiated under this ETU IA shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The arbitrator so chosen by the System Operator shall chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (“Arbitration Rules”) and any applicable Commission regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Article 27, the terms of this Article 27 shall prevail
- 27.3 Arbitration Decisions.** Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons therefore. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of this ETU IA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of this Agreement in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with the Commission if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.
- 27.4 Costs.** Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit

on the three member panel; or (2) a pro rata share of the cost of a single arbitrator chosen by the Parties.

ARTICLE 28. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS

28.1 General. Each Party makes the following representations, warranties and covenants:

28.1.1 Good Standing. Such Party is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state in which it is organized, formed, or incorporated, as applicable; that it is qualified to do business in the state or states in which the Elective Transmission Upgrade, Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades owned by such Party, as applicable, are located; and that it has the corporate power and authority to own its properties, to carry on its business as now being conducted and to enter into this ETU IA and carry out the transactions contemplated hereby and perform and carry out all covenants and obligations on its part to be performed under and pursuant to this ETU IA.

28.1.2 Authority. Such Party has the right, power and authority to enter into this ETU IA, to become a Party hereto and to perform its obligations hereunder. This ETU IA is a legal, valid and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable against such Party in accordance with its terms, except as the enforceability thereof may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and by general equitable principles (regardless of whether enforceability is sought in a proceeding in equity or at law).

28.1.3 No Conflict. The execution, delivery and performance of this ETU IA does not violate or conflict with the organizational or formation documents, or bylaws or operating agreement, of such Party, or any judgment, license, permit, order, material agreement or instrument applicable to or binding upon such Party or any of its assets.

28.1.4 Consent and Approval. Such Party has sought or obtained, or, in accordance with this ETU IA will seek or obtain, each consent, approval, authorization, order, or acceptance by any Governmental Authority in connection with the execution, delivery and

performance of this ETU IA, and it will provide to any Governmental Authority notice of any actions under this ETU IA that are required by Applicable Laws and Regulations.

ARTICLE 29. [OMITTED]

ARTICLE 30. MISCELLANEOUS

- 30.1 Binding Effect.** This ETU IA and the rights and obligations hereof shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- 30.2 Conflicts.** In the event of a conflict between the body of this ETU IA and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this ETU IA shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- 30.3 Rules of Interpretation.** This ETU IA, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this ETU IA, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this ETU IA), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document, instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any Applicable Laws and Regulations means such Applicable Laws and Regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article of this ETU IA or such Appendix of this ETU IA, or such Section of the ETU IP or such Appendix of the ETU IP, as the case may be; (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this ETU IA as a whole and not to any particular Article or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the

determination of any period of time, “from” means “from and including”, “to” means “to but excluding” and “through” means “through and including”.

30.4 Entire Agreement. Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, or successor documents, this ETU IA, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this ETU IA. Except for the ISO New England Operating Documents, Applicable Reliability Standards, any applicable tariffs, related facilities agreements, or successor documents, there are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party’s compliance with its obligations under this ETU IA.

30.5 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This ETU IA is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.

30.6 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this ETU IA to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this ETU IA will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by a Party of its rights with respect to this ETU IA shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, or duty of this ETU IA. Termination or Default of this ETU IA for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer’s legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Interconnecting Transmission Owner. Any waiver of this ETU IA shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

30.7 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles of this ETU IA have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this ETU IA.

30.8 Multiple Counterparts. This ETU IA may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.

30.9 Amendment. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this ETU IA by a written instrument duly executed by the Parties.

30.10 Modification by the Parties. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this ETU IA by a written instrument duly executed by all of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this ETU IA upon satisfaction of all Applicable Laws and Regulations.

30.11 Reservation of Rights. Consistent with Section 11.3 of the ETU IP, Interconnecting Transmission Owner and System Operator shall have the right to make unilateral filings with the Commission to modify this ETU IA with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with the Commission to modify this ETU IA pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other Parties and to participate fully in any proceeding before the Commission in which such modifications may be considered. In the event of disagreement on terms and conditions of the ETU IA related to the costs of upgrades to such Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities, the anticipated schedule for the construction of such upgrades, any financial obligations of Interconnecting Transmission Owner, and any provisions related to physical impacts of the interconnection on Interconnecting Transmission Owner's transmission facilities or other assets, then the standard applicable under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act shall apply only to Interconnecting Transmission Owner's

position on such terms and conditions. Nothing in this ETU IA shall limit the rights of the Parties or of the Commission under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

30.12 No Partnership. This ETU IA shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, the other Parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this ETU IA in triplicate originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original effective Agreement between the Parties.

ISO New England Inc. (System Operator)

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

[Insert Name of (Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s))

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

[Insert name of] (Interconnection Customer)

By: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDICES TO ETU IA

- Appendix A Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades
- Appendix B Milestones
- Appendix C Interconnection Details
- Appendix D Security Arrangements Details
- Appendix E Commercial Operation Date
- Appendix F Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings

APPENDIX A TO ETU IA

Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades

1. Interconnection Facilities:

a. Point(s) of Interconnection

List and identify each Point of Interconnection (e.g., first, second, etc.)

The Point of Interconnection for the first terminal location shall be at the point where *[insert description of the first location internal to New England Control Area]*. See Appendix A-1, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

The Point of Interconnection for the second terminal location shall be at the point where *[insert description of the second location internal to New England Control Area or the location external to New England Control Area, if applicable]*. See Appendix A-2, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

b. Point(s) of Change of Ownership

List and identify the Point of Change of Ownership for each Point of Interconnection (e.g., first, second, etc.)

The Point of Change of Ownership for the first terminal location shall be at the point where *[insert description of the first location internal to New England Control Area]*. See Appendix A-1, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

The Point of Change of Ownership for the second terminal location shall be at the point where *[insert description of the second location internal to New England Control Area or the location external to New England Control Area, if applicable]*. See Appendix A-2, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

c. Metering

List and identify the metering point for each Point of Interconnection (*e.g.*, first, second, etc.)

The metering point for the first terminal location shall be located at ~~where:~~ *[insert description of the first location internal to New England Control Area]*. See Appendix A-1, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

The metering point for the second terminal location shall be located at ~~where:~~ *[insert description of the second location internal to New England Control Area or the location external to New England Control Area, if applicable]*. See Appendix A-2, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

d. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities (including metering equipment).

List and identify the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities for each Point of Interconnection (*e.g.*, first, second, etc.)

The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities for the first terminal location shall include *[insert Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities]*. See Appendix A-1, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities for the second terminal location shall include *[insert Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities for the second terminal location, if applicable]*. See Appendix A-2, which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof.

e. **Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities (including metering equipment).**

List and identify the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities for each Point of Interconnection (*e.g.*, first, second, etc.)

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities for the first terminal location shall include [*insert Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities, including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades, for the first terminal location*]. See Appendix -1, [which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof](#).

The Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities for the second terminal location shall include [*insert Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities for the second terminal location, [if applicable](#)*]. See Appendix -2, [which drawing is attached hereto and made part hereof](#).

2. **Network Upgrades:**

- a. **Stand Alone Network Upgrades.** [*insert Stand Alone Network Upgrades associated with the first terminal location*]
- b. ~~**Other Substation Network Upgrades.** [*insert ~~Other Substation~~ Network Upgrades; including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades, associated with the first terminal location*];~~
- c. [System Network Upgrades.](#) [*insert System Network Upgrades, including any Cluster Enabling Transmission Upgrades, associated with the first terminal location*]
- e.d. **Stand Alone Network Upgrades.** [*insert Stand Alone Network Upgrades associated with the second terminal position if [applicable and](#) it is internal to the New England Control Area, list all Network Upgrades for terminal locations external to New England Control Area as Affected System Upgrades*];
- e. [Substation Network Upgrades.](#) [*insert Substation Network Upgrades associated with the second terminal position if applicable and it is internal to New England Control Area, list all Network Upgrades for terminal locations external to New England Control Area as Affected System Upgrades*];

f. ~~System Network Upgrades. [insert System Network Upgrades associated with the second terminal location, if applicable]~~

~~Other Network Upgrades. [insert Other Network Upgrades associated with the second terminal position if it is internal to New England Control Area, list all Network Upgrades for terminal locations external to New England Control Area as Affected System Upgrades].~~

3. Distribution Upgrades.

a. [insert Distribution Upgrades associated with the first terminal position]

b. [insert Distribution Upgrades associated with the second terminal position if applicable and it is internal to New England Control Area, list all Distribution Upgrades for terminal locations external to New England Control Area as Affected System Upgrades]

4. Affected System ~~Network~~ Upgrades.

a. [insert Affected System Upgrades associated with the first terminal position]

b. [insert Affected System Upgrades associated with the second terminal position, if applicable]

5. Internal Affected System ~~Network~~ Upgrades.

a. [insert Internal Affected System Upgrades associated with the first terminal position]

b. [insert Internal Affected System Upgrades associated with the second terminal position, if applicable]

~~Contingency Upgrades List:~~

~~5. a. Long Lead Facility Related Upgrades.~~

~~6. The Interconnection Customer's Elective Transmission Upgrade is associated with a Long Lead Facility, in accordance with Section 3.2.3 of the ETU IP. Pursuant to Section 4.1.1 of the ETU IP, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the following upgrades in~~

~~the event that the Long Lead Facility achieves Commercial Operation and its counterparty obtains a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13.1 of the Tariff:~~

~~7. _____ [insert]~~

~~8. _____ If the Interconnection Customer fails to cause these upgrades to be in-service prior to the commencement of the Long Lead Facility's associated) counterparty's Capacity Commitment Period, the Interconnection Customer shall be deemed to be in Breach of this ETU IA in accordance with Article 17.1, and the System Operator will initiate all necessary steps to terminate this ETU IA, in accordance with Article 2.3.~~

~~9. _____~~

~~10.6. b. _____ Other Contingent Facilityey Upgrades.~~

[e.g., list of upgrades associated with higher queued Interconnection Requests and any other contingency upgrades that the Parties may deem necessary for the interconnection of the Elective Transmission Upgrade]

~~11.7. e. _____ Post-Forward Capacity Auction Re-study Upgrade Obligations. [insert any change in upgrade obligations that result from re-study conducted post receiving a Capacity Supply Obligation through a Forward Capacity Auction]~~

APPENDIX B TO ETU IA

Milestones

1. Selected Option Pursuant to Article 5.1: Interconnection Customer selects the *[insert]*.

Options as described in Articles 5.1.*[insert]*, 5.1.*[insert]*, and 5.1.*[insert]* shall not apply to this ETU IA.

2. Milestones and Other Requirements for all Elective Transmission Upgrades: The description and entries listed in the following table establish the required Milestones in accordance with the provisions of the ETU IP and this ETU IA. The referenced section of the ETU IP or article of the ETU IA should be reviewed by each Party to understand the requirements of each milestone.

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	ETU IP/ETU IA Reference
1	Provide evidence of continued-100% Site Control to System Operator, or \$250,000 non-refundable deposit to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	Within 15 BD of final ETU IA receipt	§ 11.3.1.1 of ETU IP
2	Provide evidence of one or more milestones specified in § 11.3 of ETU IP to the System Operator and to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	Within 15 BD of final ETU IA receipt	§ 11.3.1.2 of ETU IP
3	Commit to a schedule for payment of upgrades to the	Interconnection Customer	Within 15 BD of final ETU IA receipt	§ 11.3.1.2 of ETU IP

	Interconnecting Transmission Owner			
4	ETU IA Deposit Provide either (1) evidence of Major Permits to the System Operator and the Interconnecting Transmission Owner or (2) a refundable deposit to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer Interconnection Customer	Simultaneously with ETU IA Execution, or within ten (10) Business Days after the Interconnection Customer request that the ETU IA be filed unexecuted If (1) Within 15 BD of final ETU IA receipt or if (2) At time of ETU IA execution	§ 11.3.1.12 of ETU IP
5	Provide certificate of insurance to each Party	Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 10 Calendar Days of execution of ETU IA	§ 18.3.9 of ETU IA
6A	Provide siting process approval schedule for the Elective Transmission Upgrade to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of ETU IP

6B	Provide siting process approval schedule for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities at the first terminal location to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of ETU IP
6C	Provide siting process approval schedule for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities at the second terminal location to System Operator and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of ETU IP
7A	Receive Governmental Authority approvals for a the Elective Transmission Upgrade facilities requiring regulatory approval	Interconnection Customer	If needed, as may be agreed to by the Parties	
7B	Receive Governmental Authority approvals for any facilities associated with the first terminal location	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed, as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.1 of ETU IA

	requiring regulatory approval			
7C	Receive Governmental Authority approvals for any facilities associated with the second terminal location requiring regulatory approval	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed <u>and applicable</u> , as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.1 of ETU IA
8A	Obtain necessary real property rights and rights-of-way associated with the first terminal location for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed, as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.2 of ETU IA
8B	Obtain necessary real property rights and rights-of-way associated with the second terminal location for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Interconnecting Transmission Owner's Interconnection	Interconnection Customer and/or Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If needed <u>and applicable</u> , as may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.2 of ETU IA

	Facilities and Network Upgrades			
9A	Provide to Interconnecting Transmission Owner associated with the first terminal position written authorization to proceed with design, equipment procurement and construction	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.3 of ETU IA
9B	Provide to owner associated with the second terminal position written authorization to proceed with design, equipment procurement and construction	Interconnection Customer	As <u>If applicable,</u> may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6.3 of ETU IA
10	Provide quarterly written progress reports	Interconnection Customer and Interconnecting Transmission Owner	15 Calendar Days after the end of each quarter beginning the quarter that includes earlier of the dates for Milestones 9A or 9B and ending when the	§ 5.7 of ETU IA

			entire Elective Transmission Upgrade and all required Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades are in place	
11A	Provision of Security associated with the first terminal position to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to Section 11.5 of ETU IA	Interconnection Customer	At least 30 Calendar Days prior to design, procurement and construction	§§ 5.5.3 and 5.6.4 of ETU IA
11B	Provision of Security associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE, to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to Section 11.5 of ETU IA	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> At least 30 Calendar Days prior to design, procurement and construction	§§ 5.5.3 and 5.6.4 of ETU IA
12A	Provision of Security Associated with Tax Liability associated with the first terminal position to Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.17.3 of ETU IA

	pursuant to Section 5.17.3 of ETU IA			
12B	Provision of Security Associated with Tax Liability associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE, to Interconnecting Transmission Owner pursuant to Section 5.17.3 of ETU IA	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.17.3 of ETU IA
13A	Commit to the ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with the first terminal position	Interconnection Customer	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of ETU IP
13B	Commit to the ordering of long lead time material for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 7.5 of ETU IP
14A	Provide initial design, engineering and specification for the	Interconnection Customer	180 Calendar Days prior to	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA

	Elective Transmission Upgrade		Trial Operation Date	§ 7.5 of ETU IP
14B	Provide initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	180 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
<u>14C</u>	Provide initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE, to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> 180 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
15A	Provide comments on initial design, engineering and specification for the Elective Transmission Upgrade	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP

15B	Provide comments on initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
15C	Provide comments on initial design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	<u>If applicable,</u> W within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
16A	Provide final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position to Interconnecting	Interconnection Customer	90 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP

	Transmission Owner(s)			
16B	Provide final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position to Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s)	Interconnection Customer	90 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
16C	Provide final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE, to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable</u> , 90 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
17A	Provide comments on final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP

	Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position			
17B	Provide comments on final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
17C	Provide comments on final design, engineering and specification for Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	<u>If applicable,</u> W within 30 Calendar Days of receipt	§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA § 7.5 of ETU IP
18A	Deliver to Transmission Owner "as built" drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnection Customer's	Interconnection Customer	Within 120 Calendar Days of Commercial Operation date	§ 5.10.3 of ETU IA

	Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position			
18B	Deliver to Transmission Owner “as built” drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnection Customer’s Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> W within 120 Calendar Days of Commercial Operation date	§ 5.10.3 of ETU IA
19A	Provide protective relay settings associated with the first terminal position to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner for coordination and verification	Interconnection Customer	At least 90 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA
19B	Provide protective relay settings associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE, to the Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable,</u> A at least 90 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§§ 5.10.1 of ETU IA

	for coordination and verification			
20A	Commencement of construction of Interconnection Facilities associated with the first terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s)	As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6 of ETU IA
20B	Commencement of construction of Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnecting Transmission Owner(s)	<u>If applicable.</u> As may be agreed to by the Parties	§ 5.6 of ETU IA
21	Submit updated data “as purchased”	Interconnection Customer	No later than 180 Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation Date	§ 24.3 of ETU IA
22A	In Service Date of first terminal position	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.3.1 and 4.4.5 of ETU IP, § 5.1 of ETU IA
22B	In Service Date of second terminal position	Interconnection Customer	<u>If applicable.</u> S ame as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.3.1 and 4.4.5 of ETU IP, § 5.1 of ETU IA

23	Trial Operation Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.3.1, 4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5 of ETU IP
24A	Submit supplemental and/or updated data – “as built/as-tested” associated with first terminal position	Interconnection Customer	Prior to Commercial Operation Date	§ 24.4 of ETU IA
24B	Submit supplemental and/or updated data – “as built/as-tested” associated with second terminal position	Interconnection Customer	P rior to Commercial Operation Date	§ 24.4 of ETU IA
25	Commercial Operation Date	Interconnection Customer	Same as Interconnection Request unless subsequently modified	§ 3.3.1, 4.4.4, 4.4.5, and 7.5 of ETU IP
26A	Deliver to Interconnection Customer “as built” drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities associated with first terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If requested, within 120 Calendar Days after Commercial Operation Date	§ 5.11 of ETU IA

26B	Deliver to Interconnection Customer “as built” drawings, information and documents regarding Interconnecting Transmission Owner’s Interconnection Facilities associated with the second terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	If requested <u>and applicable</u> , within 120 Calendar Days after Commercial Operation Date	§ 5.11 of ETU IA
27A	Provide Interconnection Customer final cost invoices associated with first terminal position	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	Within 6 months of completion of construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades	§ 12.2 of ETU IA
27B	Provide Interconnection Customer final cost invoices associated with the second terminal position, if it is internal to ISO-NE	Interconnecting Transmission Owner	<u>If applicable</u> , W within 6 months of completion of construction of Interconnecting Transmission Owner Interconnection	§ 12.2 of ETU IA

			Facilities and Network Upgrades	
<u>25</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer with qualifying regulatory limitations must demonstrate 100% Site Control by or the ETU IA may be terminated per Article 17 (Default) of this ETU IA and the Interconnection Customer may be subject to Withdrawal Penalties per Section 3.7.1.1 of the Transmission Provider System Operator's LGIP ETU IP (Calculation of the Withdrawal Penalty).</u>	<u>Interconnection Customer</u>	<u>180 days from the effective date of this ETU IA</u>	

- 3. Milestones Applicable Solely for CNI Interconnection Service ~~and Long Lead Facility Treatment~~.** In addition to the Milestones above, for projects that achieve a Capacity Supply Obligation prior to September 2, 2024, the following Milestones apply to Interconnection Customers requesting CNI Interconnection Service ~~and/or Long Lead Facility Treatment~~:

Item No.	Milestone Description	Responsible Party	Date	ETU IP/ETU IA Reference
----------	-----------------------	-------------------	------	-------------------------

1	If Long Lead Facility, all dates by which Critical Path Schedule upgrades will be submitted to System Operator (end date for New Capacity Show of Interest Submission)	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.3 of ETU IP
2	If Long Lead Facility, dates by which Long Lead Facility Deposits will be provided to System Operator (each deadline for which New Generating Capacity Resource would be required to provide financial assurance under § III.13.1.9 of the Tariff)	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.3 of ETU IP
3	If Long Lead Facility, Capacity Commitment Period (not to exceed the Commercial Operation Date)	Interconnection Customer		§ 1 and 3.2 of ETU IP
4	Counterparty to submit necessary requests for participation in the Forward Capacity Auction associated with the Elective Transmission Upgrade's requested Commercial Operation Date, in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of ETU IP
5	Participate in a CNR Group Study	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of ETU IP
6	Counterparty to qualify and receive a Capacity Supply Obligation in accordance with Section III.13 of the Tariff	Interconnection Customer		§ 3.2.1.3 of ETU IP
7	Complete a re-study of the applicable Interconnection Study to determine the cost responsibility for facilities and upgrades necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request based on the	System Operator		§ 3.2.1.3 of ETU IP

	results of the Forward Capacity Auction or Reconfiguration Auction or bilateral transaction through which the Interconnection Customer's counterparty received a Capacity Supply Obligation			
--	---	--	--	--

APPENDIX C TO ETU IA

Interconnection Details

1. Description of Interconnection:

This Interconnection Agreement is for an (*insert either Internal ETU or External ETU description from Article 1 of Appendix I*)

The ETU consists of (*insert description from Article 2 of Appendix I*):

The External Elective Transmission Upgrade that is controllable Merchant Transmission Facility or Other Transmission Facility shall receive (*enter N/A for other ETUs*):

Network Import Interconnection Service solely for the NI Capability of [*insert amount*] MWs.

Capacity Network Import Interconnection Service for: (i) the NI Capability of [*insert amount*] MWs; and (ii) the CNI Capability of [*insert amount*] MWs.

2. Detailed Description of the Elective Transmission Upgrade:

[*Insert any other description relating to the Elective Transmission Upgrade, including updates to all the technical data included on Attachment A to Appendix I.*]

3. Other Description of Interconnection Plan and Facilities associated with the Elective Transmission Upgrade:

4. Other Description of Interconnection Plan and Facilities associated with the first interconnection location:

5. **Other Description of Interconnection Plan and Facilities associated with the second interconnection location (if, applicable):**

APPENDIX D TO ETU IA

Security Arrangements Details

Infrastructure security of the New England Transmission System equipment and operations and control hardware and software is essential to ensure day-to-day New England Transmission System reliability and operational security. The Commission will expect System Operator, Interconnecting Transmission Owners, market participants, and Interconnection Customers interconnected to the New England Transmission System to comply with the recommendations offered by the Critical Infrastructure Protection Committee and, eventually, best practice recommendations from NERC. All public utilities will be expected to meet basic standards for system infrastructure and operational security, including physical, operational, and cyber-security practices.

APPENDIX E TO ETU IA
Commercial Operation Date

This Appendix E is a part of the ETU IA between System Operator Interconnecting, Transmission Owner and Interconnection Customer.

[Date]

[Interconnecting Transmission Owner; Address]
[to be supplied]

Transmission ~~Strategy &~~ Services
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Re: _____ Elective Transmission Upgrade

Dear _____:

On [Date] [Interconnection Customer] has completed Trial Operation of [Elective Transmission Upgrade]. This letter confirms that [Interconnection Customer] commenced commercial operation of [Elective Transmission Upgrade], effective as of [Date plus one day].

Thank you.

[Signature]
[Interconnection Customer Representative]

APPENDIX F TO ETU IA

Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings Notices:

System Operator:

Transmission ~~Strategy &~~ Services
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

With copy to:
Billing Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:

[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:

[To be supplied.]

Billings and Payments:

System Operator:

Transmission ~~Strategy &~~ Services
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

With copy to:
Billing Department
ISO New England Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:
[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:
[To be supplied.]

Alternative Forms of Delivery of Notices (telephone, facsimile or email):

System Operator:
Facsimile: (413) 540-4203
E-mail: genintercomm@iso-ne.com

With copy to:
Facsimile: (413) 535-4024
E-mail: billingdept@iso-ne.com

Interconnecting Transmission Owner:
[To be supplied.]

Interconnection Customer:
[To be supplied.]

DUNS Numbers:

Interconnection Customer: [To be supplied]

Interconnecting Transmission Owner: [To be supplied]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings
as of March 5, 2024

The following activity, as more fully described in the attached Litigation Report, has occurred since the report dated January 30, 2024 (“last Report”) was circulated. New matters/proceedings since the last Report are preceded by an asterisk ‘*’. Page numbers precede the matter description.

FERC Administrative Developments

- * 3 New Commissioner Nominations Feb 29 President Biden announces his intent to nominate as FERC Commissioners **Judy W. Chang** (former MA Undersecretary of Energy and Climate Solutions; Adjunct Lecturer at Harvard), **David Rosner** (FERC Energy Industry Analyst; since 2022 a detailee to the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources), and **Lindsay S. See** (currently serving as the Solicitor General for West Virginia)

I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

- 1 206 Proceeding: ISO Market Power Mitigation Rules (EL23-62) Feb 7 FERC grants ISO-NE’s Jan 29 motion; no FERC action to be taken until **Aug 30, 2024**

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

- * 5 FCA18 Results Filing (ER24-1290) Feb 21 Feb 22-27 ISO-NE files FCA18 results; comment deadline **Apr 8, 2024**
NEPOOL, Calpine, NEPGA, Public Citizen intervene
- 6 **Mystic 8/9 COSA (ER18-1639)**
- 8 (-028) Mystic’s Request for Reh’g of the *Second CapEx Info Filing Order* Feb 5 FERC issues Allegheny Notice that Mystic’s request for reh’g can be deemed denied by operation of law
- 8 (-027) Second CapEx Info Filing Settlement Proceedings Feb 12 Settlement Judge French issues 1st status report, recommending that the settlement process continue
- 7 (-026) ENECOS’ Request for Rehearing of *Order on Remand Modification Order* Feb 15 FERC issues *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order Allegheny Order*, modifying the discussion in the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order* but reaching the same result
- 9 Transmission Rate Annual (2023-24) Update/Informational Filing (ER20-2054) Feb 21 Mar 4 Consumer Advocates, Identified TOs respond to MOPA Formal Challenge
MOPA answers Identified TOs’ Feb 21 response

III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests

- * 11 Waiver Request: Withdrawal from IEP and Return of IEP Net Revenues Received) (Canal Marketing/Canal 3) (ER24-1407) Mar 4 Canal Marketing requests one-time waiver of Market Rule 1 Appendix K (IEP) to (i) withdraw its participation in the IEP on behalf of Canal 3 for Winter 2023-24 and (ii) return to ISO-NE the net revenues, with applicable interest, that CM received on behalf of Canal 3 for Canal 3’s participation in the IEP for Winter 2023-24; comment deadline **Mar 25, 2024**
- * 11 Waiver Request: Interconnection Request Deposit Refund Deadline) (Moscow Dev. Co.) (ER24-1295) Feb 14 Mar 1 Moscow Dev. Co. requests waiver of OATT Schedule 22 § 3.4.1 (Interconnection Request Deposit Refund Deadline); comment deadline **Mar 6, 2024**
ISO-NE submits comments supporting waiver request

* 11	FRM Offer Cap and Data Publication Timeline Changes (ER24-1245)	Feb 14	ISO-NE and NEPOOL jointly file changes to set the Forward Reserve Offer Cap to \$7,100/MW-month and delay FRM Offer Data publication for one year; comment deadline Mar 6, 2024
		Feb 20-27	Calpine, Constellation, LS Power, National Grid, NEPGA, MADPU intervene doc-lessly
		Mar 5	IMM submits comments supporting FRM Revisions
12	New England's Order 2222 Compliance Filings (ER22-983-007)	Feb 14	NEPOOL submits comments supporting ISO-NE's Jan 31 Further Compliance Changes

IV. OATT Amendments / TOAs / Coordination Agreements

14	Attachment F App. A PBOP Fixed Expense Revisions (CMP; UI) (ER24-774; ER24-775)	Feb 8	FERC accepts Revisions, <i>eff. Feb 28, 2024</i>
14	FG&E Attachment F App. D Depreciation Rate Changes (ER24-684)	Feb 12	FERC accepts changes, <i>eff. Mar 1, 2020 and Nov 1, 2020</i>

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

14	FCM Delivery FA Calculation Changes (ER24-661)	Feb 9	FERC accepts Changes, <i>eff. Mar 1, 2024</i>
----	--	-------	---

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes & Agreements

15	Schedule 21-GMP: National Grid/Green Mountain Power LSA (ER23-2804)	Feb 21	National Grid files Time Value Refunds Report; comment deadline Mar 13, 2024
----	---	--------	---

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activities to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

* 16	Capital Projects Report - 2023 Q4 (ER24-1229)	Feb 10 Feb 17 Feb 26	ISO-NE files 2022 Q4 Report NEPOOL intervenes and files comments supporting Q4 Report National Grid intervenes
* 17	Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report Q4 2023 (ER19-1951)	Feb 14	ISO-NE files required quarterly report
17	Transmission Projects Annual Informational Filing (ER13-193)	Feb 28	ISO-filed files an errata to correct the hyperlink provided in footnote 2 of the transmittal letter to point to the 2023 Prior Year List of Projects Designated to the PTOs

IX. Membership Filings

* 18	Mar 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-1369)	Feb 29	New Members: DRW Energy Trading; Innovo Markets; OW North America; and Trailstone Renewables; Termination of Participant status: Transource New England; and the Name Changes of Canal Marketing (f/k/a Stonepeak Kestrel Energy Marketing; and Excelsior Billerica, Bondsville, and Lexington (f/k/a Billerica, Bondsville, and Lexington); comment deadline Mar 21, 2024
------	--	--------	--

18	Jan 2023 Membership Filing (ER24-769)	Feb 23	FERC accepts (i) the memberships of Bristol BESS; Frankland Road Solar; Dare US; Eoch Energy; and Phillips 66 Energy Trading; and (ii) the termination of the Participant status of Astral Energy
* 18	Suspension Notice – Paper Birch Energy (not docketed)	Feb 21	ISO-NE files notice of Feb 16, 2024 suspension of Paper Birch Energy from the New England Markets

X. Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards



* 19	Revised Reliability Standard: EOP-012-2 (RD24-5)	Feb 16 Feb 22-27	NERC files proposed Reliability Standard EOP-012-2 (Extreme Cold Weather Preparedness and Ops.); comment deadline Mar 21, 2024 EPSA, Dominion, NEPGA intervene
19	Revised Reliability Standards: EOP-011-4 and TOP-002-5 (RD24-1)	Feb 15	FERC approves EOP-11-4 and TOP-002-5 and their associated violation risk factors and violation severity levels
* 20	NERC Cold Weather Data Collection Plan (RD23-1-002)	Feb 16	NERC files its Cold Weather Data Collection Plan (first annual information filing to be submitted on Oct 1, 2025); comment deadline Mar 12, 2024
* 20	RTO Recommendations for Gas-Electric Coordination (not docketed)	Feb 21	ISO-NE, MISO, PJM, and SPP release " Strategies for Enhanced Gas Electric Coordination: A Blueprint for National Progress ," a paper recommending potential initiatives that could help enhance the reliability of gas-electric coordination

XI. Misc. - of Regional Interest



21	203 Application: Energy Harbor / Vistra (EC23-74)	Feb 16	FERC conditionally authorizes a proposed transaction pursuant to which the Energy Harbor Public Utilities and certain Vistra subsidiaries that are public utilities will become indirectly owned by Vistra Vision, subject to Vistra's commitment to divest its Richland and Stryker generating facilities and the implementation of interim mitigation until the proposed divestiture is completed
* 21	LGIA – ISO-NE/NSTAR/MMWEC (ER24-1238)	Feb 12	ISO-NE and NSTAR file non-conforming LGIA to govern the interconnection of MMWEC's existing Large Generating Facility and Surplus Interconnection of MMWEC's new 6.9 MW solar facility
* 21	RFA – PSNH/NECEC (ER24-1210)	Feb 8 Feb 26	PSNH files a Related Facilities Agreement to set forth the terms and conditions under which it will construct Related Facilities to allow the reliable interconnection of NECEC Transmission's Project National Grid intervenes
* 21	CMP ESF Service Rate (ER24-1177)	Feb 1 Feb 21 Feb 21-22	CMP files rate schedule for distribution services for ESFs seeking to participate in the ISO-NE Market ENGIE, Glenvale intervene EMI, Rumford ESS, Maine Renewable Energy Assoc. protest
* 22	Facilities Support Agreement – NSTAR/Hingham (ER24-1175)	Feb 1	NSTAR files a Facilities Support Agreement to cover the design, engineering, construction, ownership, operation, and maintenance of a new switching station on land owned by NSTAR in Weymouth, MA and new connections to NSTAR's 115 kilovolt Line #478-502
22	IA Cancellation Versant / PERC (ER24-965)	Feb 12 Feb 29	Penobscot Energy Recovery Co. intervenes Versant asks that the FERC take no action prior to May 1, 2024 , in order to allow Versant and the new owner of the PERC facility to agree to a course of action
22	Viridon Incentive Rate Treatments (ER24-771)	Feb 15	Viridon files supplemental information explaining that it would use the common formula transmission rate already embedded in the Tariff, and would not have a Viridon-specific formula rate

23	D&E Agreement 2d Amendment: NSTAR/Park City Wind (ER24-747)	Feb 15	FERC accepts 2d Amendment, <i>eff. Dec 22, 2023</i>
----	---	--------	---

XII. Misc. - Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings

24	Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission (AD21-15)	Feb 15 Feb 28	FERC accepts NARUC nominee, Chair Mary Throne of the Wyoming PSC, to represent the Western Conf. of Public Service Comms. region 8 th JFSTF meeting held in Washington, DC
25	NOPR: EQR Filing Process and Data Collection (RM23-9)	Feb 16-26	Comments on the NOPR were filed by ISO-NE , CAISO , MISO , NYISO , PJM , BPA , EEL , Energy Compliance Consulting , EPSA , Interstate Gas Supply , Macquarie , PG&E , Systrends , Tri-State , XBRL US
25	Order 2023: Interconnection Reforms (RM22-14)		ISO-NE's Order 2023 Revisions are to be considered at the Mar 7 Participants Committee meeting; compliance deadline Apr 3, 2024
28	Transmission NOPR (RM21-17)	Feb 5-Mar 1	EEL , ELCON , Niskanen Center , WIRES file comments; a number of individuals and organizations urging the FERC to finalize the <i>Transmission NOPR</i> ; Harvard Electricity Law Initiative responds to WIRES' comments

XIII. FERC Enforcement Proceedings

Electric-Related Enforcement Actions

* 29	Ketchup Caddy and Philip Mango (IN23-14)	Feb 5-Mar 1	FERC issues show cause order directing Respondents to show cause why they should not (i) be found to have violated FERC statutes and regulations, as well as the MISO Tariff; (ii) be assessed civil penalties of \$25 million (Ketchup Caddy) and \$1.5 million (Mango); and Mango required to disgorge \$506,502, plus interest, in unjust profits
------	--	-------------	---

XIV. Natural Gas Proceedings

No Activity to Report

XV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No Activity to Report

XVI. Federal Courts

33	Order 2023 Compliance Orders	Feb 15 Feb 20	FERC proposes continued a beyance Court grants unopposed motion, directing parties to file motions to govern further proceedings by Apr 16, 2024
33	Order 2222 Compliance Orders (23-1167 et al.)(consolidated)	Feb 6	Court grants motion to continue to hold cases in a beyance, directing (i) the FERC to file status reports at 60-day intervals beginning on Apr 8, 2024 ; and (ii) the parties to file motions to govern future proceedings within 14 days of the expiration of the period for filing a petition for review of the FERC's forthcoming order on reh'g of its November 2023 order in ER22-983
34	Seabrook Dispute Order (23-1094, 23-1215) (consol.)	Feb 6	Oral argument heard by Judges Millet, Katsas and Rao
35	CASPR (20-1333, 21-1031) (consolidated)**	Mar 1	Petitioners ask the Court to hold this matter in a beyance until Mar 1, 2026 "in light of the continued delay of the revisions to its capacity market that ISO New England previously asserted were a predicate to eliminating the market impediment that is the subject of the underlying claims before the Court".

MEMORANDUM

TO: NEPOOL Participants Committee Members and Alternates

FROM: Patrick M. Gerity, NEPOOL Counsel

DATE: March 6, 2024

RE: Status Report on Current Regional Wholesale Power and Transmission Arrangements Pending Before the Regulators, Legislatures and Courts

We have summarized below the status of key ongoing proceedings relating to NEPOOL matters before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”),¹ state regulatory commissions, and the Federal Courts and legislatures through March 5, 2024. If you have questions, please contact us.

I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

- **206 Proceeding: ISO Market Power Mitigation Rules (EL23-62)**

This Section 206 proceeding is being held in abeyance. As previously reported, this proceeding was instituted by the FERC on May 5, 2023, pursuant to its finding that the existing ISO-NE Tariff provisions related to the mechanics of its market power mitigation and the consideration of any proposed fuel price adjustment, may be unjust and unreasonable.² Parties to this proceeding include: NEPOOL, Calpine, Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel (“CT OCC”), Massachusetts (“MA”) Attorney General (“MA AG”), New England Power Generators Association (“NEPGA”), New England States Committee On Electricity (“NESCOE”), Public Systems,³ Electric Power Supply Association (“EPSA”), MA Department of Public Utilities (“MA DPU”), Maine Public Utilities Commission (“MPUC”), and Public Citizen.

ISO-NE Request for Continued Abeyance. On January 29, 2024, ISO-NE requested that this proceeding continue to be held in abeyance,⁴ through **August 30, 2024**, “pending completion of the stakeholder process through which further revisions to [the Tariff] are being proposed and vetted.”⁵ As previously reported, changes in response to some of the requirements of the *Dynegy Mitigation Order* (“Upward Mitigation Revisions”) were

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this filing are intended to have the meanings given to such terms in the Second Restated New England Power Pool Agreement (the “Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement”), the Participants Agreement, or the ISO New England Inc. (“ISO” or “ISO-NE”) Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”).

² *Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC and ISO New England, Inc.*, 183 FERC ¶ 61,091 (May 5, 2023) (“*Dynegy Mitigation Order*”). In the *Dynegy Mitigation Order*, ISO-NE was directed to either: (1) show cause as to why the Tariff remains just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential; or (2) explain what changes to the Tariff it believes would remedy the identified concerns if the FERC were to determine that the Tariff has in fact become unjust and unreasonable or unduly discriminatory. The refund effective date for this proceeding is May 12, 2023.

³ “Public Systems” for purposes of this proceeding are, collectively: the Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative (“CMEEC”), Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (“MMWEC”), New Hampshire Electric Cooperative (“NHEC”), and Vermont Public Power Supply Authority (“VPPSA”).

⁴ On July 14, 2023, the FERC granted ISO-NE’s June 28, 2023 motion, supported by NEPOOL on July 5, 2023, requesting that the FERC hold this proceeding in abeyance to allow potential ISO-NE Tariff design changes to be vetted through the Participant Processes. The FERC stated that it would not take any action on this 206 proceeding before Feb. 1, 2024.

⁵ ISO-NE identified as additional topics not fully addressed by the Upward Mitigation Revisions the following: (1) whether the duration of general threshold energy mitigation is appropriate; and (2) whether a Resource should be permitted to submit multiple fuel price adjustments that reflect the cost of fuel for segments of its Supply Offer that exceed a Resource’s Day-Ahead Energy Market awards.

supported by the Participants Committee, jointly filed with ISO-NE, accepted by the FERC,⁶ and became effective as of *December 12, 2023*. ISO-NE's request for further abeyance updates a statement in the Upward Mitigation Revisions filing that ISO-NE would "be in a position to provide the Commission with its next filing no later than April 2024, rather than in February 2024." On February 7, 2024, the FERC granted ISO-NE's motion, stating that it would not take any action on this 206 proceeding before **August 30, 2024**.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

- **RENEW Network Upgrades O&M Cost Allocation Complaint (EL23-16)**

The December 13, 2022 complaint by RENEW Northeast, Inc. ("RENEW") against ISO-NE and the Participating Transmission Owners ("PTOs"), which seeks changes to the ISO-NE Tariff (Schedules 11 and 21) that would eliminate the direct assignment of Network Upgrade Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") costs to Interconnection Customers,⁷ remains pending before the FERC. As previously reported, the proposed revisions to Schedule 11 of the Tariff were voted by the Transmission Committee at its October 26, 2021 meeting, and were discussed at the Participants Committee's November 3, 2021 meeting. RENEW asked the FERC to issue an order granting the Complaint by April 14, 2023 (approximately 60 days prior to the June 15, 2023 deadline for the NE PTOs to publish a draft of the Annual Update to the data used in the transmission formula rate). Both of those dates have long since passed.

Responses, comments and protests were filed in late January 2023 by [ISO-NE](#) (which alternatively moved to dismiss itself as a party ("[ISO-NE Jan 19 Motion](#)")), the [PTO AC](#), [NEPOOL](#), [AEU/Clean Energy Council](#), [CPV Towantic](#), [Glenvale](#), [MA AG](#), [NECOS](#), [NEPGA](#), and [NESCOE](#). Doc-less interventions only were filed by Calpine, CMMEC, EMI, Eversource, Narragansett ("RI Energy"), National Grid, New Leaf Energy, NextEra, NRG, Versant, CT DEEP, MA DPU, the American Clean Power Association ("ACPA"), Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA"), and Public Citizen. In additional rounds of briefing, [RENEW](#) answered [ISO-NE's Jan 19 Motion](#); [RENEW](#), the [PTO AC](#), and [National Grid](#) filed answers to the January 23 protests/comments; ISO-NE answered RENEW's February 7 answer; and [CPV Towantic](#), [Glenvale](#), and the [MA AG](#) filed answers to the February 7 answers. There was again no activity since the last Report. As noted, this matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have questions on this proceeding, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com) or Margaret Czepiel (202-218-3906; mzczepiel@daypitney.com).

- **Base ROE Complaints I-IV: (EL11-66, EL13-33; EL14-86; EL16-64)**

There are four proceedings pending before the FERC in which consumer representatives seek to reduce the TOS' return on equity ("Base ROE") for regional transmission service.

- **Base ROE Complaint I (EL11-66)**. In the first Base ROE Complaint proceeding, the FERC concluded that the TOS' ROE had become unjust and unreasonable,⁸ set the TOS' Base ROE at 10.57% (reduced from 11.14%), capped the TOS' total ROE (Base ROE *plus* transmission incentive adders) at 11.74%, and required implementation effective as of October 16, 2014 (the date of *Opinion*

⁶ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER24-324-000 (Dec. 12, 2023) (unpublished letter order).

⁷ RENEW also requested (i) that it be considered an Interested Party or afforded a adequate opportunity to participate and access transmission rate information under the PTOs' Formula Rate Protocols and (ii) the PTOs be directed to provide greater transparency regarding O&M costs in the interconnection process.

⁸ The TOS' 11.14% pre-existing Base ROE was established in *Opinion 489. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co.*, Opinion No. 489, 117 FERC ¶ 61,129 (2006), *order on reh'g*, 122 FERC ¶ 61,265 (2008), *order granting clarif.*, 124 FERC ¶ 61,136 (2008), *aff'd sub nom.*, Conn. Dep't of Pub. Util. Control v. FERC, 593 F.3d 30 (D.C. Cir. 2010) ("*Opinion 489*").

531-A).⁹ However, the FERC's orders were challenged, and in *Emera Maine*,¹⁰ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit ("DC Circuit") vacated the FERC's prior orders, and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its order. The FERC's determinations in *Opinion 531* are thus no longer precedential, though the FERC remains free to re-adopt those determinations on remand as long as it provides a reasoned basis for doing so.

- **Base ROE Complaints II & III (EL13-33 and EL14-86) (consolidated).** The second (EL13-33)¹¹ and third (EL14-86)¹² ROE complaint proceedings were consolidated for purposes of hearing and decision, though the parties were permitted to litigate a separate ROE for each refund period. After hearings were completed, ALJ Sterner issued a 939-paragraph, 371-page *Initial Decision*, which lowered the base ROEs for the EL13-33 and EL14-86 refund periods from 11.14% to 9.59% and 10.90%, respectively.¹³ The *Initial Decision* also lowered the ROE ceilings. Parties to these proceedings filed briefs on exception to the FERC, which has not yet issued an opinion on the ALJ's *Initial Decision*.
- **Base ROE Complaint IV (EL16-64).** The fourth and final ROE proceeding¹⁴ also went to hearing before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), Judge Glazer, who issued his initial decision on March 27, 2017.¹⁵ The *Base ROE IV Initial Decision* concluded that the currently-filed base ROE of 10.57%, which may reach a maximum ROE of 11.74% with incentive adders, was **not** unjust and unreasonable for the Complaint IV period, and hence was not unlawful under Section 206 of the FPA.¹⁶ Parties in this proceeding filed briefs on exception to the FERC, which has not yet issued an opinion on the *Base ROE IV Initial Decision*.

⁹ *Coakley Mass. Att'y Gen. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co.*, 147 FERC ¶ 61,234 (2014) ("*Opinion 531*"), order on paper hearing, 149 FERC ¶ 61,032 (2014) ("*Opinion 531-A*"), order on reh'g, 150 FERC ¶ 61,165 (2015) ("*Opinion 531-B*").

¹⁰ *Emera Maine v. FERC*, 854 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2017) ("*Emera Maine*"). *Emera Maine* vacated the FERC's prior orders in the Base ROE Complaint I proceeding, and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its order. The Court agreed with both the TOs (that the FERC did not meet the Section 206 obligation to first find the existing rate unlawful before setting the new rate) and "Customers" (that the 10.57% ROE was not based on reasoned decision-making, and was a departure from past precedent of setting the ROE at the midpoint of the zone of reasonableness).

¹¹ The 2012 Base ROE Complaint, filed by Environment Northeast (now known as Acadia Center), Greater Boston Real Estate Board, National Consumer Law Center, and the NEPOOL Industrial Customer Coalition ("NICC", and together, the "2012 Complainants"), challenged the TOs' 11.14% ROE, and seeks a reduction of the Base ROE to 8.7%.

¹² The 2014 Base ROE Complaint, filed July 31, 2014 by the Massachusetts Attorney General, together with a group of State Advocates, Publicly Owned Entities, End Users, and End User Organizations (together, the "2014 ROE Complainants"), seeks to reduce the current 11.14% Base ROE to 8.84% (but in any case no more than 9.44%) and to cap the Combined ROE for all rate base components at 12.54%. 2014 ROE Complainants state that they submitted this Complaint seeking refund protection against payments based on a pre-incentives Base ROE of 11.14%, and a reduction in the Combined ROE, relief as yet not afforded through the prior ROE proceedings.

¹³ *Environment Northeast v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co. and Mass. Att'y Gen. v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co.*, 154 FERC ¶ 63,024 (Mar. 22, 2016) ("*2012/14 ROE Initial Decision*").

¹⁴ The 4th ROE Complaint asked the FERC to reduce the TOs' current 10.57% return on equity ("Base ROE") to 8.93% and to determine that the upper end of the zone of reasonableness (which sets the incentives cap) is no higher than 11.24%. The FERC established hearing and settlement judge procedures (and set a refund effective date of April 29, 2016) for the 4th ROE Complaint on September 20, 2016. Settlement procedures did not lead to a settlement, were terminated, and hearings were subsequently held December 11-15, 2017. The September 26, 2016 order was challenged on rehearing, but rehearing of that order was denied on January 16, 2018. *Belmont Mun. Light Dept. v. Central Me. Power Co.*, 156 FERC ¶ 61,198 (Sep. 20, 2016) ("*Base ROE Complaint IV Order*"), reh'g denied, 162 FERC ¶ 61,035 (Jan. 18, 2018) (together, the "*Base ROE Complaint IV Orders*"). The *Base ROE Complaint IV Orders*, as described in Section XVI below, have been appealed to, and are pending before, the DC Circuit.

¹⁵ *Belmont Mun. Light Dept. v. Central Me. Power Co.*, 162 FERC ¶ 63,026 (Mar. 27, 2018) ("*Base ROE Complaint IV Initial Decision*").

¹⁶ *Id.* at P 2.; Finding of Fact (B).

October 16, 2018 Order Proposing Methodology for Addressing ROE Issues Remanded in Emera Maine and Directing Briefs. On October 16, 2018, the FERC, addressing the issues that were remanded in *Emera Maine*, proposed a new methodology for determining whether an existing ROE remains just and reasonable.¹⁷ The FERC indicated its intention that the methodology be its policy going forward, including in the four currently pending New England proceedings (*see, however, Opinion 569-A*¹⁸ (EL14-12; EL15-45) in Section XI below). The FERC established a paper hearing on how its proposed methodology should apply to the four pending ROE proceedings.¹⁹

At highest level, the new methodology will determine whether (1) an existing ROE is unjust and unreasonable under the first prong of FPA Section 206 and (2) if so, what the replacement ROE should be under the second prong of FPA Section 206. In determining whether an existing ROE is unjust and under the first prong of Section 206, the FERC stated that it will determine a “composite” zone of reasonableness based on the results of three models: the Discounted Cash Flow (“DCF”), Capital Asset Pricing Model (“CAPM”), and Expected Earnings models. Within that composite zone, a smaller, “presumptively reasonable” zone will be established. Absent additional evidence to the contrary, if the utility’s existing ROE falls within the presumptively reasonable zone, it is not unjust and unreasonable. Changes in capital market conditions since the existing ROE was established may be considered in assessing whether the ROE is unjust and unreasonable.

If the FERC finds an existing ROE unjust and unreasonable, it will then determine the new just and reasonable ROE using an averaging process. For a diverse group of average risk utilities, FERC will average four values: the midpoints of the DCF, CAPM and Expected Earnings models, and the results of the Risk Premium model. For a single utility of average risk, the FERC will average the medians rather than the midpoints. The FERC said that it would continue to use the same proxy group criteria it established in *Opinion 531* to run the ROE models, but it made a significant change to the manner in which it will apply the high-end outlier test.

The FERC provided preliminary analysis of how it would apply the proposed methodology in the Base ROE I Complaint, suggesting that it would affirm its holding that an 11.14% Base ROE is unjust and unreasonable. The FERC suggested that it would adopt a 10.41% Base ROE and cap any preexisting incentive-based total ROE at 13.08%.²⁰ The new ROE would be effective as of the date of *Opinion 531-A*, or October 16, 2014. Accordingly, the issue to be addressed in the Base ROE Complaint II proceeding is whether the ROE established on remand in the first complaint proceeding remained just and reasonable based on financial data for the six-month period September 2013 through February 2014 addressed by the evidence presented by the participants in the second proceeding. Similarly, briefing in the third and fourth complaints will have to address whether whatever ROE is in effect as a result of the immediately preceding complaint proceeding continues to be just and reasonable.

The FERC directed participants in the four proceedings to submit briefs regarding the proposed approaches to the FPA section 206 inquiry and how to apply them to the complaints (separate briefs for each

¹⁷ *Coakley v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co.*, 165 FERC ¶ 61,030 (Oct. 18, 2018) (“*Order Directing Briefs*” or “*Coakley*”).

¹⁸ *Ass’n of Bus. Advocating Tariff Equity v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc.*, Opinion No. 569-A, 171 FERC ¶ 61,154 (2020) (“*Opinion 569-A*”). The refinements to the FERC’s ROE methodology included: (i) the use of the Risk Premium model instead of only relying on the DCF model and CAPM under both prongs of FPA Section 206; (ii) adjusting the relative weighting of long- and short-term growth rates, increasing the weight for the short-term growth rate to 80% and reducing to 20% the weight given to the long-term growth rate in the two-step DCF model; (iii) modifying the high-end outlier test to treat any proxy company as high-end outlier if its cost of equity estimated under the model in question is more than 200% of the median result of all the potential proxy group members in that model before any high- or low-end outlier test is applied, subject to a natural break analysis. This is a shift from the 150% threshold applied in *Opinion 569*; and (iv) calculating the zone of reasonableness in equal thirds, instead of using the quartile approach that was applied in *Opinion 569*.

¹⁹ *Id.* at P 19.

²⁰ *Id.* at P 59.

proceeding). Additional financial data or evidence concerning economic conditions in any proceeding must relate to periods before the conclusion of the hearings in the relevant complaint proceeding. Following a FERC notice granting a request by the TOs and Customers²¹ for an extension of time to submit briefs, the latest date for filing initial and reply briefs was extended to January 11 and March 8, 2019, respectively. On January 11, initial briefs were filed by EMCOS, Complainant-Aligned Parties, TOs, Edison Electric Institute (“EEI”), Louisiana PSC, Southern California Edison, and AEP. As part of their initial briefs, each of the Louisiana PSC, SEC and AEP also moved to intervene out-of-time. Those interventions were opposed by the TOs on January 24, 2019. The Louisiana PSC answered the TOs’ January 24 motion on February 12. Reply briefs were due March 8, 2019 and were submitted by the TOs, Complainant-Aligned Parties, EMCOS, and FERC Trial Staff.

TOs Request to Re-Open Record and file Supplemental Paper Hearing Brief. On December 26, 2019, the TOs filed a Supplemental Brief that addresses the consequences of the November 21 *MISO ROE Order*²² and requested that the FERC re-open the record to permit that additional testimony on the impacts of the *MISO ROE Order's* changes. On January 21, 2020, EMCOS and CAPs opposed the TOs’ request and brief.

These matters remain pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; erunge@daypitney.com) or Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com).

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

- **FCA18 Results Filing (ER24-1290)**

On February 21, 2024, ISO-NE filed the results of the eighteenth Forward Capacity Auction (“FCA18”) held February 5, 2024 for the June 1, 2027 - May 31, 2028 Capacity Commitment Period (“CCP”). ISO-NE reported the following highlights:

- ◆ FCA18 Capacity Zones were the Northern New England (“NNE”) Capacity Zone (the Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont Load Zones), the Maine Capacity Zone (the Maine Load Zone) and the Rest-of-Pool (“ROP”) Capacity Zone (the Southeastern Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Northeastern Massachusetts/Boston, Connecticut and Western/Central Massachusetts Load Zones). NNE was modeled as an export-constrained Capacity Zone. The Maine Load Zone was modeled as a separate nested export-constrained Capacity Zone within NNE.
- ◆ FCA18 commenced with a starting price of \$14.52/kW-mo. and concluded for all Capacity Zones after four rounds.
- ◆ Capacity Clearing Prices were the same, \$3.58/kw-mo, for all Capacity Zones and imports over the external interfaces.²³
- ◆ There were no active demand bids for the substitution auction and, accordingly, the substitution auction was not conducted.
- ◆ No resources cleared as Conditional Qualified New Generating Capacity Resources.
- ◆ No Long Lead Time Generating Facilities secured a Queue Position to participate as a New Generating Capacity Resource.
- ◆ No De-List Bids were rejected for reliability reasons.

²¹ For purposes of the motion seeking clarification, “Customers” are CT PURA, MA AG and EMCOS.

²² *Ass’n of Buss. Advocating Tariff Equity v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc.*, Opinion No. 569, 169 FERC ¶ 61,129 (Nov. 21, 2019) (“*MISO ROE Order*”), *order on reh’g*, Opinion No. 569-A, 171 FERC ¶ 61,154 (May 21, 2020).

²³ 123 MW over the NY AC Ties, 70 MW over the New Brunswick external interface, 18.17 MW over the HQ Highgate external interface, and 253.78 MW over the Phase I/II HQ Excess external interface.

ISO-NE asked the FERC to accept the FCA18 rates and results, effective June 20, 2024. Comments on this filing are due on or before **April 8, 2024**. Thus far, NEPOOL, Calpine, NEPGA, and Public Citizen have filed doc-less interventions. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com) or Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com).

- **Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement (ER18-1639)**

Mystic I Remand. As previously reported, the DC Circuit issued a decision on August 23, 2022²⁴ that, among other things: (i) granted State Petitioners' petitions for review on the cost allocation issue; (ii) vacated the clawback portions excluding Everett costs and the challenged delay provision of the orders under review; and (iii) remanded the cases to the FERC to address NESCOE's request for clarification about revenue credits and for clarification of the apparent contradictions in the FERC's *December 2020 Rehearing Order*.

(-000) Third CapEx Info Filing. On September 15, 2023, Mystic submitted, as required by orders in this proceeding and Sections I.B.1.i. and II.6. of Schedule 3A of the COS Agreement ("Protocols") its "Third CapEx Info Filing" to provide support for the capital expenditures and related costs that Mystic projects will be collected as an expense between January 1, 2024 to May 31, 2024 ("2024 CapEx Projects"). This filing was not noticed for public comment by the FERC.

(-018) Second CapEx Info Filing. On December 5, 2023, the FERC issued an order²⁵ on the formal challenges to Mystic's September 15, 2022 "Second CapEx Info Filing".²⁶ As previously reported, formal challenges to the Second CapEx Info Filing were submitted by NESCOE and ENECOS²⁷ (with ENECOS challenges supported separately by MMWEC/NHEC). Several rounds of answers, described in previous reports, followed. In February 2023, Mystic asked that the Formal Challenges to the Second CapEx Info Filing be held in abeyance pending submission of a settlement agreement to resolve challenges to the First CapEx Info Filing. ENECOS protested that request, identifying issues in their challenges to the Second CapEx Info Filing that would not be resolved by a First CapEx Settlement Agreement. The First CapEx Settlement Agreement was filed and approved, leaving for resolution certain of ENECOS' challenges.

In the *Second CapEx Info Filing Order*, the FERC granted in part, subject to hearing and settlement judge procedures, and dismissed in part, ENECOS' Formal Challenges. Specifically, the FERC found that, issues of material fact, that could not be resolved on the record before it, continued with respect to a number of ENECOS' Formal Challenges. Accordingly, the FERC set for hearing and settlement judge procedures issues raised, in whole or in part, in ENECOS Formal Challenges 1, 2, 6, and 7. The FERC summarily dismissed ENECOS' Formal Challenges 3-5 and 8 (as outside the scope of the proceeding).

²⁴ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC v. FERC*, 45 F.4th 1028 (D.C. Cir. 2022) ("*Mystic I Remand Order*").

²⁵ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,170 (Dec. 5, 2023) ("*Second CapEx Info Filing Order*").

²⁶ The "Second CapEx Info Filing" provides support for the capital expenditures and related costs that Mystic projects will be collected as an expense between January 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023 ("2023 CapEx Projects").

²⁷ ENECOS Formal Challenges included failures by Mystic: (1) to adequately support its July 1, 2004 – Dec. 31, 2017 Rate Base on Attachment B to Mystic 8&9 Schedule D (with the majority of the cost appearing to O&M expenses that should have been expensed prior to the term); (2) to adequately support its Jan. 1, 2018 – May 31, 2022 Rate Base in line with the requirements of Schedule 3A and the Methodology of the Mystic COSA; (3-5) to prove that certain costs under Mystic's 2022 CapEx Projects - specifically, its Campus Segregation Project and comprehensive rotor inspections - are necessary to meet the reliability need of the Mystic COSA and the least-cost commercially reasonable option consistent with Good Utility Practice; (6) to sufficiently support Everett's Nov. 1, 2018 – May 31, 2022 Rate Base in Attachment B; (7) to properly classify certain of Everett's 2022 and 2023 CapEx Projects costs (some of which should have been characterized as maintenance expenses charged before the term of the Mystic COSA); and (8) to include costs of firm interstate and intrastate pipeline transportation reservations in Everett Schedule B of the populated template.

(-026) Allegheny Order Addressing ENECOS' Request for Rehearing of Order on Remand Modification

Order. On November 6, 2023, ENECOS requested rehearing of the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order*.²⁸ Specifically, ENECOS requested that the FERC both (i) reinstate its conclusions as to the scope of customer scrutiny of formula rate inputs under the COSA set forth in its March 28, 2023 *Mystic I Order on Remand*²⁹ and (ii) grant Public Systems' motion for additional disclosure to facilitate customer review of the extraordinary costs incurred during the first 18 months of the COSA's operation. On December 7, 2023, the FERC issued an "Allegheny Notice", noting that ENECOS request for rehearing may be deemed to have been denied by operation of law, but noting that ENECOS' request will be addressed in a future order.³⁰ On February 15, 2024, the FERC issued that order, modifying the discussion in the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order* but reaching the same result.³¹ On February 29, 2024, ENECOS amended their petition for review before the DC Circuit (Case No. 24-1018) to include the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order Allegheny Order* (see Section XVI below),

Recall that, as previously reported with respect to this aspect of the Mystic proceeding, Mystic requested rehearing and/or clarification of the March 28, 2023 *Mystic I Order on Remand* (-024). Mystic asserted that (a) the FERC should have considered and rejected NESCOE's arguments about "truing up" and challenging the Revenue Credit; (b) the Tank Congestion Charge and the calculation of the Forward Sales Margin credited to Mystic and its ratepayers should not be included in the true-up process; and (c) if the FERC does not grant rehearing on (a) or (b), in the alternative, it should clarify that the scope of review during the true-up for Revenue Credits and the Forward Sale Margin Shared with Mystic is not a prudence review and does not require disclosure of granular, unmasked transaction data. On May 30, 2023, the FERC issued a "Notice of Denial of Rehearings by Operation of Law and Providing for Further Consideration".³² The FERC then issued the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order* which modified the discussion in the *Mystic I Order on Remand* and set aside that *Order* in part.³³ In addition, the *Order* also denied Public Systems³⁴ May 19, 2023 request that the FERC direct ISO-NE to release

²⁸ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,016 (Oct. 6, 2023) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order*"). The *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order* set aside the FERC determinations in the *Mystic I Order on Remand* that: (i) interested parties may review and challenge revenues and Revenue Credits during the true-up process; (ii) interested parties may review and challenge Tank Congestion Charges during the true-up process; and (iii) the revenues from the sliding scale revenue sharing mechanism for third-party vapor sales should be included within the true-up. As previously reported, the FERC concluded in the *Mystic I Order on Remand* that "the language of the true-up and Protocol provisions of the [COS] Agreement, Schedule 3A, does not include these three items within the scope of the true-up, nor is calculation of these items consistent with purpose for the true-up mechanism in the [COS] Agreement because none of them are projected in advance, but rather they are each settled and audited on a monthly basis. The FERC found that "existing cost review and audit processes, ... facilitated by ISO-NE, its auditors, and the Internal Market Monitor, are sufficient to ensure that Mystic adheres to its filed rate with respect to these items and continues to appropriately balance customers' interest in transparency of the formula rate with Mystic's interests in protecting commercially-sensitive information, reducing security risks, and avoiding burdensome audit obligations".

²⁹ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,200 (Mar. 28, 2023) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand*"), *reh'g denied by operation of law*, 183 FERC ¶ 62,115 (May 30, 2023) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand Allegheny Notice*"); *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order* (addressing arguments raised on *reh'g* and setting aside the *Mystic I Order on Remand*, in part, granting Constellation motion to lodge and denying Public Systems' Request for Disclosure of Audit Information).

³⁰ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 185 FERC ¶ 62,120 (Dec. 7, 2023) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order Allegheny Notice*").

³¹ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 186 FERC ¶ 61,103 (Feb. 15, 2024) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order Allegheny Order*").

³² *Mystic I Order on Remand Allegheny Notice*.

³³ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,016 (Oct. 6, 2023) ("*Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order*").

³⁴ "Public Systems" for these purposes are: MMWEC, CMEEC, NHEC, VPPSA, the Eastern New England Consumer-Owned Systems ("ENECOS"), and Energy New England, LLC ("ENE").

additional information concerning ISO-NE's audit of performance under Mystic COSA ("Audit Information Request").³⁵

(-027) Second CapEx Info Filing Settlement Proceedings. While the FERC set several aspects of ENECOS Formal Challenges for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, the FERC encouraged the parties to make every effort to settle their disputes before hearing procedures are commenced, and to that end, is holding the hearing in abeyance pending the completion of settlement judge procedures. As directed, the Chief ALJ appointed a settlement judge, Judge Patricia M. French, to assist participants in settling the issues in this proceeding, and deemed the settlement proceedings continued without further action. Judge French convened a first settlement conference on January 4, 2024, and scheduled a second settlement conference for **March 20, 2024**. Judge French submitted her first report on February 12, 2024, recommending that the settlement process continue.

(-028) Second CapEx Info Filing Order - Mystic's Request for Rehearing Deemed Denied by Operation of Law. On January 4, 2024, Mystic requested clarification, and in the alternative rehearing, of the *Second CapEx Info Filing Order*.³⁶ Specifically, Mystic requested clarification and/or rehearing of (i) the FERC's ruling on ENECOS's Formal Challenge No. 7 related to Everett's projected 2023 capital expenditures, (ii) that the FERC denied the accounting argument that ENECOS included in their Formal Challenge No. 1; and (iii) the FERC's rulings related to capital costs incurred prior to the start of the term of the COS Agreement (its grant in part of ENECOS's Formal Challenge No. 1 on the basis that Mystic did not adequately "support" Mystic 8&9 capital costs between July 2004 and December 31, 2017 ("Pre-2018 Rate Base"), and its grant of ENECOS's Formal Challenges Nos. 2 and 6). On January 19, 2024, ENECOS answered Mystic's request. On February 5, 2024, the FERC issued an "Allegheny Notice",³⁷ noting that ENECOS request for rehearing may be deemed to have been denied by operation of law, but noting that ENECOS' request will be addressed in a future order.³⁸

(-014) Revised ROE (Sixth) Compliance Filing. Also still pending is Mystic's December 20, 2021 filing in response to the requirements of the *Mystic ROE Allegheny Order*.³⁹ The sixth compliance filing revised (i) the Cost of Common Equity figures from 9.33% to 9.19%, for both Mystic 8&9 and Everett Marine Terminal ("Everett"), and (ii) the stated Annual Fixed Revenue Requirements for both the 2022/23 and 2023/24 Capacity Commitment Periods. Comments on the sixth compliance filing were due on or before January 10, 2022; none were filed. The Sixth Compliance Filing remains pending before the FERC.

³⁵ In the *Mystic I Order on Remand Modification Order*, the FERC found that the additional audit information requested was "not supported by the Mystic [COSA] and unnecessary, given the attention that ISO-NE, its auditors, and the Market Monitor give these items on a regular basis". Nevertheless, the FERC accepted "ISO-NE's offer to provide additional transparency measures for the remainder of the Mystic Agreement as soon as practicable, starting no later than [December 5, 2023]." (P 13).

³⁶ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,170 (Dec. 5, 2023) ("*Second CapEx Info Filing Order*").

³⁷ The FERC issues an "Allegheny Notice" when it does not act within 30 days after receiving a challenge (a request for clarification and/or rehearing) to a FERC order. An Allegheny Notice confirms that the request is deemed denied by operation of law (*see Allegheny Def. Project v. FERC*, 964 F.3d 1, 2020 WL 3525547 (D.C. Cir. June 30, 2020)) and the FERC order is final and ripe for appeal. The FERC has the right, up to the point when the record in a proceeding is filed with the court of appeals, to modify or set aside, in whole or in part, any finding or order made or issued by it. The FERC's intention to avail itself of its right and to issue a further order addressing the issues raised in the request (a "merits order") is signaled by the phrase "and providing for Further Consideration"; the absence of that phrase signals that the FERC does not intend to issue a merits order in response to the rehearing request.

³⁸ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 186 FERC ¶ 62,048 (Feb. 5, 2024) ("*Second CapEx Info Filing Order Allegheny Notice*").

³⁹ An "Allegheny Order" is a merits rehearing order issued on or after the 31st day after receipt of a rehearing request, reflecting the FERC's authority to "modify or set aside, in whole or in part," its order until it files the record on appeal with a reviewing federal court. An Allegheny Order will use "modifying the discussion" if the FERC is providing a further explanation, but is not changing the outcome, of the underlying order; or "set aside" if the FERC is changing the outcome of the underlying order. Aggrieved parties have 60 days after a deemed denial to file a review petition, even if FERC has announced its intention to issue a further merits order.

30-Day Compliance Filing per Order on ENECOS Mystic COSA Complaint (ER23-1735). On April 27, 2023, Mystic filed, as directed by the FERC's March 28, 2023 *Order on ENECOS Mystic COSA Complaint*,⁴⁰ changes to the Mystic COSA to include pipeline-related crediting as an explicit provision in the COSA. Mystic also provided additional information/COSA changes to (i) describe the crediting process; (ii) differentiate, through both an explanation in its compliance filing and creation of two new line items in Schedule 3A, the credits and charges included as part of the Fixed Pipeline Costs; (iii) address how and whether the pipeline-related crediting procedure interacts or should interact with the true-up procedure already included in the COSA and revise the true-up as necessary; and (iv) differentiate in the COSA the Pipeline Transportation Costs as Fixed O&M/Return on Investment Costs from the Pipeline Transportation Agreement Costs. Comments on the 30-day compliance filing were due on or before May 18, 2023. ISO-NE and Monitoring Analytics, LLC filed doc-less motions to intervene.

On July 10, 2023, ENECOS submitted comments (out-of-time) asserting that Mystic's compliance filing did not provide information sufficient to show that Mystic's after-the-fact pipeline-related crediting ensures that Mystic customers do not pay for pipeline costs that do not benefit them ("Crediting Issue"), the Schedule 3A true-up process does not provide the opportunity for an adequate verification process, and ISO-NE's COSA-related filings to date have similarly not addressed the Crediting Issue. ENECOS requested that the FERC direct Mystic to provide a work paper to "verify its assertion that it has always applied a full credit for third-party pipeline transportation costs to Constellation LNG's billings to Mystic". On July 20, 2023, Mystic protested ENECOS' comments. This 30-day compliance filing remains pending before the FERC.

If you have questions on any aspect of these proceedings, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com) or Margaret Czepiel (202-218-3906; mczepiel@daypitney.com).

- **Transmission Rate Annual (2023-24) Update/Informational Filing (ER20-2054-003)**

Formal Challenge by MOPA. On January 31, 2024, the Maine OPA ("MOPA") filed a formal challenge ("MOPA Formal Challenge") to the 2023-24 Annual Update.⁴¹ MOPA asserted that, with respect to the cost of asset condition projects placed into service in 2022, the NETOs have refused to answer questions regarding investment policies and practices related to prudence of these investments and asserts that the NETOs' decision not to respond to these questions violates their obligation under the OATT's Protocols. Comments on the MOPA Formal Challenge were due on or before February 21, 2024 and were filed by Consumer Advocates⁴² (who supported MOPA's attempt to discover the information requested in its September 15, 2023 requests and agreed that policies, processes, and procedures related to ACP costs are discoverable pursuant to the Protocols) and Identified TOs⁴³ (who urged the FERC to reject the MOPA Formal Challenge as baseless and misguided). On March 4, 2024, MOPA answered Identified TOs' comments on the Formal Challenge. This

⁴⁰ *Belmont Municipal Light Dept., et al. v. Constellation Mystic Power, LLC and ISO New England, Inc.*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,199 (Mar. 28, 2023) ("Order on ENECOS Mystic COSA Complaint", which denied in part, and accepted in part, ENECOS' Complaint against Mystic and ISO-NE challenging the pass-through of firm pipeline transportation costs under the 2nd Amended and Restated Mystic COSA).

⁴¹ On July 31, 2023, the PTO AC submitted its annual filing identifying adjustments to Regional Transmission Service charges, Local Service charges, and Schedule 12C Costs under Section II of the Tariff for 2024 (the "2023-24 Annual Update"). The filing reflected the charges to be assessed under annual transmission and settlement formula rates, reflecting actual 2022 cost data, plus forecasted revenue requirements associated with projected PTF, Local Service and Schedule 12C capital additions for 2023 and 2024, as well as the Annual True-up including associated interest. The PTO AC stated that the annual updates result in a Pool "postage stamp" RNS Rate of \$154.35/kW-year effective Jan. 1, 2024, an increase of \$12.71 /kW-year from the charges that went into effect on Jan. 1, 2023. In addition, the filing included updates to the revenue requirements for Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Services (the Schedule 1 formula rate), which result in a Schedule 1 charge of \$1.95 kW-year (effective June 1, 2023 through May 31, 2024), a \$0.20/kW-year increase from the Schedule 1 charge that last went into effect on June 1, 2023.

⁴² For purposes of this proceeding, "Consumer Advocates" are the MA AG, CT OCC, NH OCA and RI Division.

⁴³ "Identified TOs" are the New England Transmission Owners with asset condition projects that are the focus of the MOPA Formal Challenge: CL&P, Maine Electric Power Company ("MEPCO"), NSTAR (East & West), National Grid, Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("PSNH"), Rhode Island Energy ("RI Energy"), and Vermont Transco LLC ("VTransco").

matter is pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

- **Versant MPD OATT 2023 Annual Update Settlement Agreement (ER20-1977-006)**

On January 5, 2024, Versant submitted a Joint Offer of Settlement (“Versant MPD OATT 2023 Annual Update Settlement Agreement”) between itself and the Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, Inc. (“EMEC”) and the Maine Public Utilities Commission (together, the “Maine Parties”) which, if approved, would resolve all issues raised by the Maine Parties with regards to Versant’s 2023 annual update to the transmission charges under the MPD OATT. Comments on the Versant MPD OATT 2023 Annual Update Settlement Agreement were due on or before January 26, 2024; none were filed. The Versant MPD OATT 2023 Annual Update Settlement Agreement is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **Versant MPD OATT 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement (ER20-1977-005)**

On August 30, 2023, Versant submitted a Joint Offer of Settlement (“Versant MPD OATT 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement”) between itself and the Maine Wholesale Customer Group, the Aroostook Energy Association, MOPA, and the Maine Public Utilities Commission (together, the “Maine Parties”) which, if approved, would resolve all issues raised by the Maine Parties with regards to Versant’s 2022 annual update to the transmission charges under the MPD OATT. Comments on the Versant MPD OATT 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement were due on or before September 20, 2023; none were filed. The Versant MPD OATT 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **Transmission Rate Annual (2022-23) Update/Informational Filing (ER09-1532)**

RENEW Formal Challenge. RENEW’s January 31, 2023 formal challenge (“Challenge”) to the 2022/23 Update/Informational Filing⁴⁴ remains pending before the FERC. In the Challenge, RENEW asserted that (i) the TOs failed to provide adequate rate input information in the Annual Informational Filing and in the Information Exchange Period under the Interim Formula Rate Protocols regarding inclusion or exclusion of “O&M costs” on Network Upgrades that the TOs directly assign to Interconnection Customers (and thereby failing to demonstrate that such O&M costs are not being double counted in transmission rates); and (ii) the TO’s Interpretation of “Interested Party” to exclude RENEW violated the Interim Formula Rate Protocols. RENEW thus asked that the FERC (a) require the TOs to show the calculation of the annual O&M charges with sources of data inputs and show how such O&M charges are not being double recovered in transmission rates, and (b) determine that an entity such as RENEW is an Interested Party under the Interim Formula Rate Protocols and that its Information Requests seeking rate inputs and support for the O&M charges on Network Upgrades are within the scope of the Interim Formula Rate Protocols process. Comments on RENEW’s Challenge were due on or before March 16, 2023. Comments and protests were filed by: [Avangrid](#), [Eversource](#), [National Grid](#), [Public Systems](#), [RI Energy](#), [Unitil](#), [Versant Power](#), [VTransco/GMP](#). On March 31, 2023, RENEW answered the comments and protests to its Challenge. Subsequently, on April 14, 2023, Eversource answered RENEW’s March 31 answer. There has been no activity in this proceeding since Eversource’s answer. This matter remains pending before the FERC. If there are questions on this matter, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

⁴⁴ The 2022/23 annual filing reflected the charges to be assessed under annual transmission and settlement formula rates, reflecting actual 2021 cost data, plus forecasted revenue requirements associated with projected PTF, Local Service and Schedule 12C capital additions for 2022 and 2023, as well as the Annual True-up including associated interest. The formula rates in effect for 2023 included a billing true up of seven months of 2021 (June-Dec.). The Pool “postage stamp” RNS Rate, effective Jan. 1, 2023, was \$140.94 /kW-year, a decrease of \$1.84 /kW-year from the charges that went into effect the year prior. The updates to the revenue requirements for Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Services (the Schedule 1 formula rate) resulted in a Schedule 1 charge of \$1.75 kW-year (eff. June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2023), a \$0.12/kW-year decrease from the Schedule 1 charge that last went into effect on June 1, 2022.

III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes, Interpretations and Waiver Requests

- **Waiver Request: Withdrawal from IEP and Return of IEP Net Revenues Received (Canal Marketing/ Canal 3) (ER24-1407)**

On March 4, 2024, Canal Marketing LLC (f/k/a Stonepeak Kestrel Energy Marketing LLC) (“CM”) requested a one-time waiver of the provisions of Appendix K to Market Rule 1 (Inventoried Energy Program (the “IEP”)) so as to permit CM to (i) withdraw CM’s participation in the IEP on behalf of Canal 3 Generating LLC (“Canal 3”)⁴⁵ for Winter 2023-24 and (ii) to return to ISO-NE the net revenues, with applicable interest, that CM received on behalf of Canal 3 for Canal 3’s participation in the IEP for Winter 2023-2024 because Canal 3’s return from a forced outage was delayed beyond the end of the IEP’s Winter 2023-24 period.⁴⁶ CM explained that, when it elected to participate in the IEP on behalf of Canal 3 on September 21, 2023, CM anticipated that the Canal 3 Facility would be back in service by December 18, 2023, and would be available for the remainder of the IEP’s Winter 2023-24 period. However, the actual return-to-service date for the Canal 3 Facility was delayed beyond the end of the IEP’s Winter 2023-24 period and Canal 3 was not able to perform during the Winter 2023-24 period. CM seeks the requested waiver because no provision in Appendix K nor any other provision of the Tariff was identified as providing a mechanism for a Participant to withdraw from the IEP or to return IEP revenues to ISO-NE. Comments on the CM Waiver Request are due on or before **March 25, 2024**. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **Waiver Request: OATT Schedule 22 § 3.4.1 (Interconnection Request Deposit Refund Deadline) (Moscow Dev. Co.) (ER24-1295)**

On February 14, 2024, Moscow Development Company, LLC (“MDC”)⁴⁷ requested a one-time waiver of the 10 Business Day deadline in OATT Schedule 22 §3.4 (Valid Interconnection Request) to withdraw an Interconnection Request following its Scoping Meeting with ISO-NE (and then be entitled to the return of any remaining balance of the initial \$50,000 deposit provided). MDC explained, and ISO-NE confirmed, that MDC did not receive complete information at the Scoping Meeting (specifically that development of its project would depend upon completion of the Third Maine Resource Integration Study (“3rd ME Cluster Study”), a condition that would lead to MDC withdrawing the interconnection request). Instead, ISO-NE provide MDC with the 3rd ME Cluster Study information 6 weeks after the Scoping Meeting. Upon receipt of the information, MDC withdrew its Interconnection Request, but after the deadlines set forth in Schedule 22 for the return of the deposit. MDC asserted that, had it received complete information at the Scoping Meeting, MDC would have withdrawn the project within the 10 Business Day deadline, and asks for a waiver of § 3.4 to permit it to receive the remainder of its interconnection request deposit. ISO-NE submitted comments on March 5, 2023 confirming the underlying facts and supporting the requested Waiver. Any other comments on the Waiver are due on or before **March 6, 2024**. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **FRM Offer Cap and Data Publication Timeline Changes (ER24-1245)**

On February 14, ISO-NE and NEPOOL jointly filed changes to Market Rule 1 (i) to update the Forward Reserve Offer Cap (“FRM Offer Cap”) to \$7,100/MW-mo. and (ii) to delay FRM Offer Data publication for one year after each procurement period begins (to eliminate the potential for the previous year’s Offer Data to influence

⁴⁵ Canal 3 is an approximately 333 MW (summer rating) gas- and oil-fired generation facility. Canal 3 has been on forced outage since Feb. 3, 2023, when a blade on the turbine wheel broke off and caused catastrophic damage to the gas turbine, which significantly impacted the compressor blades and bearings. As a result, the full train was disassembled and shipped to General Electric (“GE”), its manufacturer, for repair. GE initially provided a repair schedule that contemplated Canal 3’s return to service by Dec. 15, 2023.

⁴⁶ At the time CM made its IEP election submission, CM anticipated that, based on information provided by GE, Canal 3 would be back on line by Dec. 18, 2023. CM informed ISO-NE in mid-December that forced outage of Canal 3 would continue until near the end of the IEP’s Winter 2023-24 period, but no mechanism for a withdrawal from the IEP or the return of IEP payments received was identified.

⁴⁷ MDC is a partnership between Cianbro Development Co., LLC and Patriot Renewables, LLC. MDC is developing its Radar Solar project on property owned by affiliated company Moscow Land Holdings, LLC.

current year offer formulations in an anti-competitive manner) (together, the “FRM Revisions”). Both Revisions are consistent with the IMM’s recommendations in its 2023 Spring Quarterly Markets Report. The FRM Revisions were unanimously supported by the Participants Committee at its February 1, 2024 meeting (Agenda Item 6). ISO-NE requested an effective date of April 15, 2024, which will permit the FRM Revisions to take effect prior to the summer 2024 Forward Reserve Auction, which will open on April 17, 2024. Comments on this filing are due on or before **March 6, 2024**. Thus far, the IMM submitted comments supporting the FRM Revisions. Doc-less interventions have been filed by Calpine, Constellation, LS Power, National Grid, NEPGA, and the MA DPU. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

- **ISO/RTO Credit-Related Information Sharing (ER24-138)**

As previously reported, in response to the requirements of *Order 895*, ISO-NE and NEPOOL jointly filed, on October 18, 2023, changes to the Information Policy to (i) permit ISO-NE to share Market Participant, Transmission Customer and Applicant (collectively, “Participants”) credit-related information with other ISO/RTOs; (ii) permit ISO-NE to use credit-related information received from other ISO/RTOs to the same extent and for the same purposes as ISO-NE is permitted under the Tariff with respect to its Participants; and (iii) require ISO-NE to keep such received credit-related information confidential in accordance with the Tariff, in each case for the purpose of credit risk management and mitigation (the “Credit Info Sharing Changes”). The Credit Info Sharing Changes were supported by the Participants Committee by way of the October 5, 2023 Consent Agenda (Item # 6). Comments on the Credit Info Sharing Changes were due on or before November 8, 2023; none were filed. National Grid intervened doc-lessly. This matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

- **New England’s *Order 2222* Compliance Filings (ER22-983)**

In a lengthy compliance *Order*⁴⁸ issued March 1, 2023, the FERC approved in part, and rejected in part, ISO-NE, NEPOOL and the PTO AC’s (“Filing Parties”) *Order 2222* compliance filing⁴⁹ (“*Order 2222 Compliance Order*”).⁵⁰ In the *Order 2222 Compliance Order*, the FERC directed a number of revisions and additional compliance and informational filings to be filed within 30, 60 or 180 days of the *Order 2222 Compliance Order*. As previously reported, the FERC accepted the 30-, 60- and 180-day compliance filings.⁵¹ In the order conditionally accepting the 60-day compliance filing,⁵² the FERC directed ISO-NE to submit a further compliance filing, on or

⁴⁸ Commissioners Danly and Clements each provided separate concurrences with, and Commissioner Christie provided a separate dissent from, the *Compliance Order*. Commissioners Danly and Christie, despite their opposing positions on the Compliance Order, both reiterated their reasons for dissenting from *Order 2222* and concern for FERC overreach and difficulty with complying with *Order 2222*. In her separate concurrence, Commissioner Clements urged the ISO on compliance to “modify its proposal to address undue barriers and make participation more workable” and “to pursue steps that genuinely open [the New England Markets] to DERs like behind-the-meter resources.”

⁴⁹ As previously reported, the Filing Parties submitted on Feb. 2, 2022 Tariff revisions (“*Order 2222 Changes*”) in response to the requirements of *Order 2222*. The Filing Parties stated that the *Order 2222 Changes* create a pathway for Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations (“DERAs”) to participate in the New England Markets by: creating new, and modifying existing, market participation models for DERA use; establishing eligibility requirements for DERA participation (including size, location, information and data requirements); setting bidding parameters for DERAs; requiring metering and telemetry arrangements for DERAs and individual Distributed Energy Resources (“DERs”); and providing for coordination with distribution utilities and relevant electric retail regulatory authorities (“RERRAs”) for DERA/DER registration, operations, and dispute resolution purposes.

⁵⁰ *ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm.*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,137 (Mar. 1, 2023) (“*First Order 2222 Compliance Order*”).

⁵¹ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket Nos. ER22-983-003 and ER22-983-005 (Oct. 25, 2023) (unpublished letter order) (“*30/180-Day Order 2222 Compliance Order*”). The 30-Day compliance filings explained how current Tariff capacity market mitigation rules would apply to DECRs participating in FCA18 and provided an update on implementation timeline milestones associated with DECR participation in FCA18 and the other markets. The 180-Day compliance filing explained how the current Tariff capacity market mitigation rules would apply to DECRs participating in FCA19 and beyond and the Mar. 1, 2024 effective date for the rules allowing DECRs to participate in the FCM).

⁵² *ISO New England Inc.*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,095 (Nov. 2, 2023) (“*Order 2222 60-Day Compliance Filing Order*”).

before January 31, 2024, to comply with the directives of the *First Compliance Order* regarding the submission of DERA meter data.⁵³ The FERC also granted in part ISO-NE's request for an extension of time to address directives in the *First Order 2222 Compliance Order*.⁵⁴

Request for Rehearing of Order 2222 60-Day Compliance Filing Order Deemed Denied By Operation of Law (-006). On December 4, 2023, AEU requested rehearing of the *Order 2222 60-Day Compliance Filing Order*. AEU asserted that the *Order 2222 60-Day Compliance Filing Order* is arbitrary and capricious because (i) it concludes, contrary to substantial record evidence, that ISO-NE's metering configurations do not pose an undue barrier to participation for most behind-the-meter DERs, and as such, are consistent with Order No. 2222; (ii) it fails to respond meaningfully to the arguments and record evidence submitted by AEU; (iii) it concludes that "ISO-NE satisfactorily discusses the steps that it contemplated and the less burdensome alternative approaches it considered" in connection with its metering proposal; (iv) it concludes that ISO-NE's description of submetering requirements for DERAs participating as Alternative Technology Regulation Resources ("ATRR") conforms to the FERC's orders; and (v) it concludes that ISO-NE's proposal to extend its existing requirements for Binary Storage Facilities ("BSF") and Continuous Storage Facilities ("CSF") to DERAs seeking to provide withdrawal service are consistent with *Order 2222*. On January 4, 2024, the FERC issued an Allegheny Notice, noting that AEU's request for rehearing may be deemed to have been denied by operation of law, but noting that AEU's request will be addressed in a future order.⁵⁵

Federal Court (DC Circuit) Appeals. As previously reported, CMP and UI, National Grid, Eversource, and ISO-NE filed separate appeals of the *Order 2222 Compliance Order*. Those appeals have been consolidated (Case No. 23-1167) and are reported on in [Section XVI below](#).

Further Compliance Changes (-007). As directed, on January 31, 2024, ISO-NE filed further compliance changes, which were related to the responsibilities for the provision of metering data and metering data submission deadlines for DERAs participating in New England Markets ("Further Compliance Changes"). The Further Compliance Changes were supported by the Participants Committee at its February 1, 2024 meeting. Comments on the Further Compliance Changes were due on or before February 21, 2024. On February 14, 2024, NEPOOL filed comments supporting the Further Compliance Changes. No other comments or protests were filed. The Further Compliance Changes are pending before the FERC.

⁵³ Specifically, the FERC directed ISO-NE to revise the Tariff to designate the DER Aggregator as the entity responsible for providing any required metering information to ISO-NE, and to require that each DER Aggregator maintain and submit aggregate settlement data for the DERA, so that ISO-NE can regularly settle with the DER Aggregator for its market participation. To the extent that ISO-NE proposes in that further compliance filing that metering data come from or flow through distribution utilities, the FERC directed ISO-NE to coordinate with distribution utilities and relevant electric retail regulatory authorities to establish protocols for sharing such metering data, and explain how such protocols minimize costs and other burdens and address concerns raised with respect to privacy and cyber security. *Id.* at P 34.

⁵⁴ The FERC ordered ISO-NE in its 60-day compliance filing to revise the Tariff to: (1) have RERRA make the determination of whether to allow customers of small utilities to participate in ISO-NE's markets through aggregation; (2) require that each DER Aggregator maintain and submit aggregate settlement data for the DERA; (3) designate the DER Aggregator as the entity responsible for providing any required metering information to ISO-NE, and if necessary, establish protocols for sharing meter data that minimize costs and other burdens and address concerns raised with respect to privacy and cybersecurity; (4) designate the DER Aggregator as the entity responsible for providing any required metering information to ISO-NE; and (5) add specificity regarding existing resource non-performance penalties that would apply to a DERA when a Host Utility overrides ISO-NE dispatch instructions. ISO-NE was also directed to: (1) identify the existing rules requiring a Market Participant that provides energy withdrawal service to be a load serving entity that is billed for energy withdrawal ("LSE Requirement") and explain whether the LSE Requirement is required of all resources seeking to provide wholesale energy withdrawal service in the energy market; (2) explain why its proposed metering and telemetry requirements were just and reasonable and did not pose an unnecessary and undue barrier to individual DERs joining a DERA; (3) establish protocols for sharing metering data that minimize costs and other burdens and address privacy and cybersecurity concerns; and (4) address how ISO-NE will resolve disputes that are within its authority and subject to its Tariff, regardless of whether there is an available dispute resolution process established by the RERRA.

⁵⁵ *ISO New England Inc.*, 186 FERC ¶ 62,002 (Jan. 4, 2023) ("*Order 2222 60-Day Compliance Filing Order Allegheny Notice*").

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Sebastian Lombardi (860-275-0663; slombardi@daypitney.com); Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com); or Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

IV. OATT Amendments / TOAs / Coordination Agreements

- **Avangrid (CMP/UI) Attachment F Appendix A PBOP Collections Reports (ER24-774; ER24-775)**

On February 8, 2024, the FERC accepted two reports,⁵⁶ one filed by CMP and one filed by UI (together, the “Avangrid Companies”), that identified planned collection activity related to the recovery of post-retirement benefits other than pensions (“PBOP”) under Appendix A to Attachment F to the ISO-NE OATT.⁵⁷ As previously reported, for CMP (ER24-774), the report showed an under-recovery, after interest, of \$300,133; for UI (ER24-775), an over-recovery of \$275,075. The Reports were accepted effective as of February 28, 2024. The PBOP figures will be used in the Avangrid Companies’ 2024 Annual Updates. Unless the February 8 orders are challenged, these proceedings will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning these proceedings, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **FG&E Attachment F App. D Depreciation Rate Changes (ER24-684)**

On February 12, 2024, the FERC accepted changes to FG&E Appendix D to Attachment F of the ISO-NE OATT to correct the depreciation rates identified in the Tariff sheets (as approved in Docket No. ER20-2215, but not reflected in the ISO-NE Tariff sheets).⁵⁸ The changes were accepted effective *March 1, 2020* and *November 1, 2020*, as requested. Unless the February 12 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

- **FCM Delivery FA Calculation Changes (ER24-661)**

On February 9, 2024, the FERC accepted changes jointly filed by ISO-NE and NEPOOL to the FCM Delivery Financial Assurance (“FA”) calculation (“Changes”) in the Financial Assurance Policy (“FAP”).⁵⁹ As previously reported, the Changes are designed to reduce the risk of collateral shortfalls from defaulting Market Participants with Capacity Supply Obligations (“CSOs”) that incur net payment obligations (i.e., penalties) under FCM’s pay for performance (“PFP”) construct. The Changes were accepted effective as of *March 1, 2024*, as requested. Unless the February 9 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Paul Belval (860-275-0381; pnbval@daypitney.com).

⁵⁶ *ISO New England Inc. and Central Maine Power Co.*, Docket No. ER24-774-000 (Feb. 8, 2024); *ISO New England Inc. and The United Illuminating Co.*, Docket No. ER24-775-000 (Feb. 8, 2024).

⁵⁷ A Report is required when “the absolute value of [(Cumulative Under/(Over) Recovery, including Current Year interest)] is greater than \$100,000 and the absolute value of [(Cumulative Under/(Over) recovery, including Current Year interest, as a per cent of transmission-related PBOP expense)] is greater than 20%. See ISO-NE OATT, Attachment F, Appendix A, Worksheet 9, Note (j).

⁵⁸ *ISO New England Inc. and Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Co.*, Docket No. ER24-684-000 (Feb. 12, 2024) (unpublished letter order).

⁵⁹ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER24-661-000 (Feb. 9, 2024) (unpublished letter order) (accepting FA changes designed to reduce the risk of collateral shortfalls from defaulting Market Participants with CSOs that incur net payment obligations (i.e., penalties) under FCM’s PFP construct).

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Changes & Agreements

- **Schedule 21-VP: Versant/Jonesboro LSA (ER24-24)**

As previously reported, the FERC accepted for filing a Local Service Agreement (“LSA”) by and among Versant, ISO-NE, NE Renewable Power, and Jonesboro, LLC (“Jonesboro”), effective *December 4, 2023*, but denied waiver of the FERC’s 60-day prior notice requirement for the filing.⁶⁰ The FERC found that the Filing Parties did not make the required showing of extraordinary circumstances to warrant waiver of the prior filing requirement. Accordingly, the FERC directed the Filing Parties (i) to refund the time value of revenues collected for the time period the rate was collected without FERC authorization, with refunds limited so as not to cause Filing Parties to operate at a loss (“Time Value Refunds”); and (ii) to file a refund report, including information supporting calculation of the Time Value Refunds.

Time Value Refunds Report. On December 18, 2023, Versant Power filed a refund report (“Report”) detailing the Time Value Refunds it paid to NE Renewable Power and Jonesboro on December 15, 2023. Comments on the Report were due on or before January 8, 2024; none were filed. The Report remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **Schedule 21-GMP: National Grid/Green Mountain Power LSA (ER23-2804)**

As previously reported, ISO-NE and New England Power (“National Grid”, and together with ISO-NE, the “Filing Parties”) filed on September 11, 2023, a 20-year LSA by and among National Grid, ISO-NE and Green Mountain Power (“GMP”).⁶¹ The Filing Parties stated that the LSA conformed to the *pro forma* LSA contained in the ISO-NE Tariff and superseded and replaced another conforming LSA among ISO-NE, National Grid, and GMP that listed an expiration date of September 30, 2022 (TSA-NEP-25). The Parties requested that the FERC grant waiver of its notice requirement⁶² to the extent necessary to permit a requested October 21, 2022 effective date. The LSA was filed separately given that requested effective date.

LSA Accepted; Waiver of Prior Filing Requirement Denied; Time Value Refunds Ordered. Similar to the Versant/Jonesboro proceeding (*see* ER24-24 above), the FERC accepted the National Grid/GMP LSA for filing, effective *November 11, 2023*, but denied waiver of the FERC’s 60-day prior notice requirement for the filing.⁶³ The FERC found that the Filing Parties did not make the required showing of extraordinary circumstances to warrant waiver of the prior filing requirement. Accordingly, the FERC directed the Filing Parties to make Time Value Refunds. On December 4, 2023, Filing Parties requested, and on December 6, 2023 the FERC granted, a 45-day extension of time (to January 22, 2024) to make the Time Value Refunds, with the corresponding refund report to be filed no later than February 21, 2024.

Time Value Refunds Report. On February 21, 2024, National Grid filed a refund report (“Report”) detailing the Time Value Refunds National Grid paid to GMP on January 22, 2024. Comments on the Report are due on or before **March 13, 2024**.

⁶⁰ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER24-24-000 (Nov. 30, 2023) (unpublished letter order).

⁶¹ The LSA was designated as Service Agreement No. TSA-NEP-114 under the ISO-NE OATT.

⁶² 18 CFR § 35.11 (which permits, upon application and for good cause shown, the FERC to allow a rate schedule, tariff, service agreement, or a part thereof, to become effective as of a date prior to the date of filing or the date such change would otherwise become effective in accordance with the FERC’s rules (e.g. 60 days after filing)). FERC policy is to deny waiver of the prior notice requirement when an agreement for new service is filed on or after the date that services commence, absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

⁶³ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER23-2804-000 (Nov. 7, 2023) (unpublished letter order).

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **Schedule 21-VP: Versant/Black Bear LSAs (ER23-2035)**

On July 28, 2023, the FERC accepted seven fully executed, non-conforming LSAs by and among Versant Power, ISO-NE and Black Bear Hydro Partners, LLC or Black Bear SO, LLC (together with Black Bear Hydro Partners, “Black Bear”).⁶⁴ The service agreements are based on the Form of Local Service Agreement contained in Schedule 21-Common under the ISO-NE OATT, but were filed because they are non-conforming insofar as they reflect different rates from those set forth in Schedule 21-VP. The LSAs were accepted for filing effective *August 1, 2023*, rather than January 1, 2021 as requested, triggering a Time Value Refund requirement.⁶⁵ On August 29, 2023, Versant Power submitted a Refund Report detailing the Time Value Refunds it paid to Black Bear Hydro Partners, LLC and Black Bear SO, LLC on August 18, 2023. Comments on the Refund Report were due on or before September 19, 2023; none were filed. The Refund Report remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **Schedule 21-VP: 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement (ER20-2054-003)**

On August 29, 2023, Versant submitted a Joint Offer of Settlement (“Versant 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement”) between itself and the MPUC. Versant stated that, if approved, the 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement would resolve all issues raised by the MPUC with respect to the 2022 Annual Update. Comments on the Versant 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement were due on or before September 19, 2023; none were filed. MPUC intervened doc-lessly on September 15, 2023. This matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this proceeding, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activities to Report

VIII. Regional Reports⁶⁶

- **Capital Projects Report - 2023 Q4 (ER24-1229)**

On February 9, 2024, ISO-NE filed its Capital Projects Report and Unamortized Cost Schedule covering the fourth quarter (“Q4”) of calendar year 2023 (the “Report”). ISO-NE is required to file the Report under section 205 of the FPA pursuant to Section IV.B.6.2 of the Tariff. Report highlights include the following new projects: (i) nGEM Real-Time Market Clearing Engine Implementation Phase I (\$14.8 million); (ii) Managing Transmission Line Ratings (\$7.7 million); (iii) CIP Electronic Security Perimeter Redesign Phase II (\$5.2 million); and (iv) FCM Delivery Financial Assurance (\$270,000). Two projects were reported to have significant changes (reductions in funds): IMM Data Analysis Phase IV (reduced by \$288,800) and Settlement of Technology Improvements (reduced by \$119,400). Comments on this filing were due on or before March 1, 2024. NEPOOL filed comments on February 13, 2024 supporting the 2023 Q4 Report. National Grid intervened doc-lessly. This matter is pending before the FERC. If

⁶⁴ *ISO New England Inc.*, Docket No. ER23-2035-000 (July 28, 2023) (“*Versant Black Bear LSAs Order*”).

⁶⁵ The FERC denied the requested waiver of its 60-day prior notice requirement (18 C.F.R. § 35.11), finding that the Filing Parties did not make an adequate showing of extraordinary circumstances. Accordingly, Versant was required to refund the time value of revenues collected for the time period the rate was collected without FERC authorization (with refunds limited so as not to cause Versant to operate at a loss) and file a refund report with the FERC.

⁶⁶ Reporting on the *Opinion 531* Refund Reports (EL11-66) has been suspended and will be continued if and when there is new activity to report.

you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Rosendo Garza (860-275-0660; rgarza@daypitney.com).

- **Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report Q4 2023 (ER19-1951)**

On February 14, 2024, ISO-NE filed, as required,⁶⁷ public and confidential⁶⁸ versions of its Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report (the “Exceedance Report”) for the Fourth Quarter of 2023 (“2023 Q4”). ISO-NE reported that with respect to:

- ◆ **Interconnection Feasibility Study (“IFS”) Reports**

- Both of the 2023 Q4 IFS Reports delivered to Interconnection Customers were delivered *later* than the best efforts completion timeline (20% cost estimates or 180 days for 10% cost estimates).
- 1 IFS Report not yet completed has exceeded the 180-day for a 20% level of cost estimate completion.
- The average mean time from ISO-NE’s receipt of the executed IFS Agreement to delivery of the completed IFS report to the Interconnection Customer was 234 days.

- ◆ **System Impact Study (“SIS”) Reports**

- 7 of the 8 SIS Reports delivered to Interconnection Customers were delivered *later* than the best efforts completion timeline of 270 days.
- 30 SIS Studies that are not yet completed have exceeded the 270-day completion expectation.
- The average mean time from ISO-NE’s receipt of the executed SIS Agreement to delivery of the completed SIS report to the Interconnection Customer was 523 days (a decrease of a little more than 100 days from 2023 Q3).

- ◆ **Facility Study Reports**

- 2 Facility Study reports were delivered to Interconnection Customers and each was delivered *later* than the best efforts timeline of 90 days for 20% cost estimates or 180 days for 10% cost estimates.
- 1 Facility Study in process has exceeded the 90-day completion expectations for a 20% level of cost estimate.
- The average time from executed Facilities Study Agreement receipt to delivery of completed Facilities Study report to the Interconnection Customer was 233.5 days, which is comparable with the 2023 Q3 report.

Section 4 of the Exceedance Report identified steps ISO-NE has identified to remedy issues and prevent future delays, including mitigating the impact of backlogs and initiating clustering, moving to earlier in the process certain Interconnection Customer data reviews, and enhanced information sharing and coordination efforts with Interconnecting TOs. The Exceedance Report was not noticed for public comment.

- **Transmission Projects Annual Informational Filing (ER13-193)**

On January 30, 2024, ISO-NE filed, as required under Section 4.1(j)(iii) of the OATT, its annual informational filing of projects on the Regional System Plan (“RSP”) project list that had a year of need three years or less from the completion of the Needs Assessment. The list of prior year designations is maintained on the ISO-NE website at <https://www.iso->

⁶⁷ Under section 3.5.4 of ISO-NE’s Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”), ISO-NE must submit an informational report to the FERC describing each study that exceeds its Interconnection Study deadline, the basis for the delay, and any steps taken to remedy the issue and prevent such delays in the future. The Exceedance Report must be filed within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter, and ISO-NE must continue to report the information until it reports four consecutive quarters where the delayed amounts do not exceed 25 percent of all the studies conducted for any study type in two consecutive quarters.

⁶⁸ ISO-NE requested that the information contained in Section 3 of the un-redacted version of the Exceedance Report, which contains detailed information regarding ongoing Interconnection Studies and if released could harm or prejudice the competitive position of the Interconnection Customer, be treated as confidential under FERC regulations.

[ne.com/search?query=Prior%20Year%20List%20of%20Projects%20Designated%20to%20the%20PTOs](https://www.iso-ne.com/search?query=Prior%20Year%20List%20of%20Projects%20Designated%20to%20the%20PTOs). Since the last Report, ISO-NE filed an errata to correct the hyperlink provided in footnote 2 of the transmittal letter to point to the 2023 Prior Year List of Projects Designated to the PTOs.

- **IMM Quarterly Markets Reports – Fall 2023 (ZZ24-5)**

On January 29, 2024, the IMM filed with the FERC its Fall 2023 report of “market data regularly collected by [the IMM] in the course of carrying out its functions under ... Appendix A and analysis of such market data,” as required pursuant to Section 12.2.2 of Appendix A to Market Rule 1. These filings are not noticed for public comment by the FERC. The Fall 2023 Report was discussed with the Markets Committee at the February 7, 2024 Markets Committee meeting.

IX. Membership Filings

- **March 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-1369)**

On February 29, 2024, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept: (i) the following Applicants’ membership in NEPOOL as of March 1, 2024: DRW Energy Trading LLC [Related Person to Ebsen and Umber (Supplier Sector)]; Innovo Markets Inc. (GIS-Only Participant); OW North America LLC [Related Person to ENGIE (AR Sector) and Marble River (the EDP companies) (Supplier Sector)]; and Trailstone Renewables, LLC [Related Person to Talen Energy Marketing et al. (Supplier Sector)]; (ii) the Termination as of March 1, 2024 of the Participant status of: Transource New England, LLC (Provisional Group Member); and (iii) the name change of the following Participants: Canal Marketing LLC (f/k/a Stonepeak Kestrel Energy Marketing LLC); Excelsior Billerica, LLC (f/k/a Syncarpha Billerica, LLC); Excelsior Bondsville, LLC (f/k/a Syncarpha Bondsville, LLC); Excelsior Lexington, LLC (f/k/a Syncarpha Lexington, LLC). Comments on this filing, if any, are due on or before **March 21, 2024**.

- **February 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-1062)**

On January 31, 2024, NEPOOL requested that the FERC accept: (i) the following Applicants’ membership in NEPOOL: Bristol BESS, LLC and Frankland Road Solar, LLC [Related Persons to the Agilitas Companies (AR Sector, DG Sub-Sector)]; Dare US LLC (Supplier Sector); Eoch Energy LLC (Supplier Sector); and Phillips 66 Energy Trading LLC (Supplier Sector); and (ii) the termination of the Participant status of Community Eco Power, LLC (AR Sector, RG Sub-Sector, Small RG Group Seat); MPower Energy LLC (Supplier Sector); Pixelle Energy Services LLC (Generation Sector); Power Ledger Pty Ltd (GIS-Only Member); Union Atlantic Electricity (Supplier Sector); and Utility Services of Vermont LLC [Related Person to ENE (Publicly Owned Entity Sector)]. No comments were filed and this filing is pending before the FERC.

- **January 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-769)**

On February 23, 2024, the FERC accepted: (i) the following Applicants’ membership in NEPOOL: Bristol BESS, LLC and Frankland Road Solar, LLC [Related Persons to the Agilitas Companies (AR Sector, DG Sub-Sector)]; Dare US LLC (Supplier Sector); Eoch Energy LLC (Supplier Sector); and Phillips 66 Energy Trading LLC (Supplier Sector); and (ii) the termination of the Participant status of Astral Energy LLC (Supplier Sector).⁶⁹ Unless the February 23 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded.

- **Suspension Notice (not docketed)**

Since the last Report, ISO-NE filed, pursuant to Section 2.3 of the Information Policy, a notice with the FERC noting that the following Market Participant was suspended from the New England Markets on the date indicated (at 4:00 p.m.) due to a Payment Default:

⁶⁹ *New England Power Pool Participants Comm.*, Docket No. ER24-769-000 (Feb. 23, 2024).

<i>Date of Suspension/ FERC Notice</i>	<i>Participant Name</i>	<i>Default Type</i>	<i>Date Reinstated</i>
Feb 16/21	Paper Birch Energy, LLC	Payment	--

Suspension notices are for the FERC’s information only and are not docketed or noticed for public comment.

X. Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards⁷⁰

Questions concerning any of the ERO Reliability Standards or related rule-making proceedings or filings can be directed to Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **Revised Reliability Standard: EOP-012-2 (RD24-5)**

On February 16, 2024, NERC filed proposed Reliability Standard EOP-012-2 (Extreme Cold Weather Preparedness and Operations) to provide a comprehensive framework of requirements addressing cold weather planning and operations. NERC stated that EOP-012-2 would improve upon the approved, but not yet effective, EOP-012-1 by clarifying the applicability of standard’s requirements for generator cold weather preparedness, further defining the circumstances under which a Generator Owner may declare that constraints preclude them from implementing one or more corrective actions to address freezing issues, and shorting the implementation timeline so cold weather reliability risks would be addressed more quickly. EOP-012-2 also reflects additional improvements that would address the recommendations of the FERC, NERC, and Regional Entity Staff Joint Inquiry into the causes of the February 2021 cold weather event affecting Texas and the south-central United States. Comments on EOP-012-2 are due on or before **March 21, 2024**. Thus far, EPSA, Dominion and NEPGA have intervened doc-lessly.

- **Revised Reliability Standard: CIP-012-2 (RD24-3)**

On January 31, 2024, NERC filed proposed Reliability Standard CIP-012-2 (Cyber Security – Communications between Control Centers) to 2 improves upon and expands the protections required by Reliability Standard CIP-012-1 by requiring Responsible Entities to mitigate the risk posed by loss of availability of communication links and Real-time Assessment and Real-time monitoring data transmitted between Control Centers. Proposed Reliability Standard CIP-012-2 modifies CIP-012-1 by adding two new Parts to Requirement R1 to address availability: Part 1.2, which requires protections for the availability of data in transit; and Part 1.3, which requires protections to initiate recovery of lost (i.e., unavailable) communication links. Comments on CIP-012-2 were due on or before February 21, 2024; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC.

- **Revised Reliability Standards: EOP-011-4 and TOP-002-5 (RD24-1)**

On February 15, 2024, the FERC approved NERC’s changes to Reliability Standards EOP-011-4 (Emergency Operations) and TOP-002-5 (Operations Planning).⁷¹ The Reliability Standards build upon the first and second rounds of cold weather Reliability Standards, approved by the FERC in 2021,⁷² and 2023,⁷³ respectively, to further reduce the risks posed by extreme cold weather to the reliability of the Bulk-Power System (“BPS”). NERC stated that EOP-011-4 will advance reliability by requiring Balancing Authorities, Transmission Operators, and load shedding entities identified by Transmission Operators to limit the participation of critical natural gas infrastructure loads in the demand response and emergency load shedding programs they oversee, particularly

⁷⁰ Reporting on the following ERO Reliability Standards or related rule-making proceedings has been suspended since the last Report and will be continued if and when there is new activity to report: NERC Report on Evaluation of Physical Reliability Standard (CIP-014) (RD23-2); *Order 901*: IBR Reliability Standards (RM22-12); and 2024 Reliability Standards Development Plan (RM05-17 *et al.*).

⁷¹ *N. Am. Elec. Rel. Corp.*, 186 FERC ¶ 61,115 (Feb. 15, 2024) (approving EOP-011-4 and TOP-002-5).

⁷² *N. Am. Elec. Rel. Corp.*, 176 FERC ¶ 61,119 (2021) (approving EOP-011-2, IRO-010-4, and TOP-003-5).

⁷³ *N. Am. Elec. Rel. Corp.*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,094 (2023) (approving EOP-011-3 and EOP-012-1 and directing further revisions).

during cold weather conditions when natural gas supply issues for generation have proven to be the most challenging. TOP-002-5 will advance reliability through a new requirement addressing how the Balancing Authority will prepare for operations during extreme cold weather conditions. The proposed Reliability Standards address Key Recommendations 1g, 1h, and 1i from the 2021 Joint Inquiry Report.⁷⁴

- **NERC Cold Weather Data Collection Plan (RD23-1-002)**

Also on February 16, 2024, NERC filed, in response to the requirements of the *Cold Weather Standards Order*,⁷⁵ its plan to gather and analyze certain data related to generator owner declared constraints and the performance of freeze protection measures during future extreme cold weather events (“NERC Cold Weather Data Collection Plan”) to be included in each October 1 annual information filing, the first of which will be submitted on October 1, 2025. Comments on the Cold Weather Data Collection Plan are due on or before **March 12, 2024**.

- **CIP Standards Development: Informational Filings on Virtualization and Cloud Computing Services Projects (RD20-2)**

As previously reported, NERC is required to file on an informational basis quarterly status updates regarding the development of new or modified Reliability Standards pertaining to virtualization and cloud computing services. NERC submitted its most recent informational filing regarding one active CIP standard development project (Project 2016-02 – Modifications to CIP Standards (“Project 2016-02”))⁷⁶ on December 15, 2023. Project 2016-02 focuses on modifications to the CIP Reliability Standards to incorporate applicable protections for virtualized environments. In the December 15 report, NERC reported that the schedule for Project 2016-02 has been further revised and now calls for final balloting of revised standards in March 2024, NERC Board of Trustees Adoption in May 2024 and filing of the revised standards with the FERC in **June 2024**.

- **RTO Recommendations for Gas-Electric Coordination**

On February 21, 2024, ISO-NE, MISO, PJM, and SPP (“Joint RTOs”) released “[Strategies for Enhanced Gas Electric Coordination: A Blueprint for National Progress](#),” a paper recommending potential initiatives that could help enhance the reliability of gas-electric coordination. Joint RTOs put forth a range of immediate- and near-term initiatives aimed at enhancements to the gas market, RTO operations, and coordination between state and federal regulators. The Joint RTOs identify specific recommendations along with suggested specific action steps to be undertaken respectively by the RTOs; gas producers, marketers, and pipelines; and/or federal and state regulators corresponding to each recommendation.

XI. Misc. - of Regional Interest

- **203 Application: Three Corners Solar/Three Corners Prime Tenant (EC23-90)**

On July 28, 2023, the FERC authorized⁷⁷ the disposition and consolidation of jurisdictional facilities and the lease of an existing generation facility that will result from the commencement of a master lease agreement (“Lease”) between Three Corners Solar, LLC (“Lessor”) and Three Corners Prime Tenant, LLC (“Lessee”) pursuant to which Lessee will lease, operate, and control an approximately 112 MWac solar photovoltaic (“PV”) electric generation facility owned by Lessor in Kennebec County, Maine (the “Transaction”). Pursuant to the July 28 order, Lessor and Lessee must file a notice within 10 days of consummation of the transaction, which as of the date of

⁷⁴ See FERC, NERC, *Regional Entity Staff Report: The February 2021 Cold Weather Outages in Texas and the South Central U.S.* (Nov. 2021), <https://www.ferc.gov/media/february-2021-cold-weather-outages-texas-and-south-central-united-states-ferc-nerc-and> (“Joint Inquiry Report”).

⁷⁵ *N. Am. Elec. Rel. Corp.*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,094 (Feb. 16, 2023) (“*Cold Weather Standards Order*”), *reh’g denied*, 183 FERC ¶ 62,034 (Apr. 20, 2023), *order addressing arguments raised on reh’g*, 183 FERC ¶ 61,222 (June 29, 2023).

⁷⁶ The other project which had been addressed in prior updates, Project 2019-02, has concluded, and the FERC approved in RD21-6 the Reliability Standards revised as part of that project (CIP-004-7 and CIP-011-3) on Dec. 7, 2021.

⁷⁷ *Three Corners Solar, LLC and Three Corners Prime Tenant, LLC*, 184 FERC ¶ 62,060 (Jul. 28, 2023).

this Report has not yet occurred. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **203 Application: Energy Harbor / Vistra (EC23-74)**

On February 16, 2024, nearly 10 months after it was first asked, the FERC conditionally authorized⁷⁸ a proposed transaction pursuant to which Energy Harbor, LLC and Energy Harbor Nuclear Generation LLC (collectively, the “Energy Harbor Public Utilities”) and certain Vistra subsidiaries that are public utilities⁷⁹ (together with the Energy Harbor Public Utilities, “Applicants”) will become indirectly owned by a newly-formed subsidiary holding company of Vistra – Vistra Vision, subject to Vistra’s commitment to divest its Richland and Stryker generating facilities (“Divestiture Transaction”) and Applicants’ commitment to implement interim mitigation until the proposed divestiture is completed, including the use of cost-based offers and offer caps for sales from Richland-Stryker, and the engagement of an independent entity to oversee compliance and to prepare quarterly reports that Applicants will file with the FERC. Applicants were directed, among other things, to submit an informational filing in this docket to notify parties to this proceeding when the Divestiture Transaction is consummated, as well as the usual notice within 10 days of consummation of the transaction authorized in this proceeding. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **LGIA – ISO-NE/NSTAR/MMWEC (ER24-1238)**

On February 12, 2024, ISO-NE and NSTAR filed a non-conforming LGIA to govern the interconnection of MMWEC’s existing Large Generating Facility and the Surplus Interconnection of MMWEC’s new 6.9 MW solar generating facility, both of which are located in Ludlow, MA. The LGIA is non-conforming in that it includes a fourth party, the Surplus Interconnection Customer, and also contains non-conforming changes that are necessary in light of MMWEC’s representations regarding its ability to meet the indemnification requirement. A January 10, 2024 effective date was requested. Comment on the LGIA filing were due on or before March 4, 2024; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **RFA – PSNH/NECEC (ER24-1210)**

On February 8, 2024, PSNH filed a Related Facilities Agreement (“RFA”) to set forth the terms and conditions under which it will construct Related Facilities in its New Hampshire service territory to allow the reliable interconnection of NECEC Transmission’s Project. A February 9, 2024 effective date was requested. Comments on the LGIA filing were due on or before March 1, 2024; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **CMP ESF Rate (ER24-1177)**

On February 1, 2024, CMP a rate schedule for distribution services for electric storage facilities (“ESFs”) seeking to participate in the ISO-NE Market (“ESF Rate”). The ESF Rate, which is substantially similar to the rate approved by the MPUC in August 2021 (“MPUC Rate”), was filed as a FERC-jurisdictional rate following re-consideration by the MPUC of the jurisdictional applicability of the ESF rate (which, while it recovers costs associated with the use of local the distribution network, the MPUC found upon re-consideration to include charges related to a FERC-jurisdictional wholesale transaction per *Order 841*). Accordingly, CMP seeks in this proceeding to obtain FERC approval of a modified version of the MPUC Rate, with the primary difference between

⁷⁸ *Energy Harbor Corp. and Vistra Corp.*, 186 FERC ¶ 61,129 (Feb. 16, 2024).

⁷⁹ The following Vistra subsidiaries were identified as public utilities: Ambit Northeast; Conn. Gas & Elec.; Dynegy Energy Services; Dynegy Energy Services (East); Energy Rewards; Energy Services Providers; Everyday Energy; Mass. Gas & Elec.; Public Power; Public Power (PA); Public Power & Utility of NY; Public Power & Utility of Maryland; Everyday Energy NJ; TriEagle Energy; Viridian Energy; Viridian Energy NY; Viridian Energy PA; Viridian Energy Ohio; Moss Landing Energy Storage 1; Moss Landing Energy Storage 2; and Moss Landing Energy Storage.

the MPUC Rate and the ESF Rate being the removal of state benefit charges. Comments on the ESF Rate were due on or before February 22, 2024. Protests were filed by Rumford ESS (the one customer charged under the MPUC Rate), Energy Management Inc. (“EMI”) and the Maine Renewable Energy Association. Doc-less interventions only were filed by ENGIE and Glenvale. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **Facilities Support Agreement – NSTAR/Hingham (ER24-1175)**

On February 1, 2024, NSTAR filed a Facilities Support Agreement to cover the design, engineering, construction, ownership, operation, and maintenance, at Hingham’s expense, of a new switching station on land owned by NSTAR in Weymouth, MA and new connections to NSTAR’s 115 kilovolt Line #478-502, part of a solution designed to address a reliability concern identified in Hingham’s service territory. A February 9, 2024 effective date was requested. Comments on the LGIA filing were due on or before February 22, 2024; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **IA Cancellation Versant / PERC (ER24-965)**

On January 22, 2024, Versant filed a notice of cancellation of an Interconnection Agreement (“IA”) between itself and Penobscot Energy Recovery Company (“PERC”). Versant reported that PERC discontinued operations of an approximately 25 MW solid waste-fired generating facility that interconnected to its Orrington Substation. The facility was later sold to C&M Faith Holdings LLC, and is no longer connected or operating. Comments on the notice of cancellation are due on or before February 12, 2024; none were filed. On February 12, PERC intervened doc-lessly. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

Since the last Report, Versant Power asked that the FERC take no action on the filed notice of cancellation prior to **May 1, 2024**, in order to allow Versant and the new owner of the PERC facility, which may wish to reenergize the facility and assume the IA, to agree to a course of action. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **Viridon Incentive Rate Treatments (ER24-771)**

On December 26, 2023, Viridon New England LLC (“Viridon”), an affiliate of Blackstone Inc., requested authorization to utilize three incentive rate treatments – (i) a regulatory asset incentive, (ii) a hypothetical capital structure incentive, and (iii) an RTO participation incentive⁸⁰ – for the development of transmission projects within the New England region. Viridon also asked the FERC to authorize any Viridon subsidiaries created to own or develop specific transmission assets in New England to use the same rate incentives without re-litigation. Costs for any Viridon project would flow through ISO-NE transmission rates once Viridon becomes a New England Transmission Owner. Viridon stated that it will request that ISO-NE file any required conforming changes to incorporate the Viridon rate incentives approved in this docket into the OATT formula rate before collecting revenue requirements through the OATT. Comments on this filing were due on or before January 16, 2024; none were filed.

⁸⁰ Specifically, the incentive rate treatments for which authorization is sought are (i) recovery of all prudently incurred pre-commercial, start-up and formation costs, and establishment of a regulatory asset that will include all expenses that are incurred prior to the rate year in which Viridon’s costs are first flowed through to customers under the Tariff, including authorization to accrue carrying charges and to amortize the regulatory asset over five years for cost recovery purposes (“Regulatory Asset Incentive”); (ii) use of a hypothetical capital structure of 40% debt and 60% equity until the first transmission project awarded to Viridon achieves commercial operation (“Hypothetical Capital Structure Incentive”); and (iii) inclusion of a 50 basis-point return on equity (“ROE”) added to the base ROE (“RTO Participation Incentive”) in recognition that Viridon has committed to turn over functional control of all transmission assets it develops and owns to ISO-NE. Viridon states that it will become a transmission-owning member of ISO-NE at the earliest possible date permitted under the Tariff and governing documents and will transfer functional control of any transmission project to ISO-NE once such project is placed in service.

Since the last Report, Viridon supplemented its request with information explaining that Viridon would use the common formula transmission rate already embedded in the Tariff, and would not have a Viridon-specific formula rate. Comments regarding the supplemental information were due on or before February 26, 2024; none were filed. This proceeding is again pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **D&E Agreement 2d Amendment: NSTAR/Park City Wind (ER24-747)**

On February 15, 2024, the FERC accepted a second amendment to the Design & Engineering (“D&E”) Agreement between NSTAR and Park City Wind LLC (“Park City Wind”) (the “Park City Wind D&E Agreement”).⁸¹ As previously reported, the second amendment to the Park City Wind D&E Agreement further extends the term and amends the scope of work under the Agreement to (i) address the risks and impacts of new milestone revisions under consideration; (ii) conduct engineering associated with an additional 115 kV breaker at West Barnstable Station; and (iii) conduct engineering to support foundation expansion and line exists at NSTAR’s West Barnstable and Bourne Stations. The Park City Wind D&E Agreement was accepted effective *December 22, 2023*, as requested. Unless the February 15 order is challenged, this proceeding will be concluded. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

- **E&P Agreement 2d Amendment: Seabrook/NECEC Transmission (ER24-508)**

On November 30, 2023, NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC (“Seabrook”) filed a second amendment to the Engineering and Procurement (“E&P”) Agreement between Seabrook and NECEC Transmission LLC (“NECEC”) (the “A&R E&P Agreement”). The A&R E&P Agreement covers the final engineering drawings through the procurement and delivery of the 24.5 kV generator circuit breaker and ancillary equipment to Seabrook Station in advance of the Fall 2024 outage. The second amendment seeks approximately \$2 million in additional funding to cover higher engineering costs as well as changes to the scope of work related to a hydraulic controller, the generator breaker monitoring system, and other items. Comments on the November 30 filing were due on or before December 21, 2023; none were filed. Avangrid and National Grid submitted doc-less interventions only. Since the last Report, Seabrook filed an amendment to correct the eTariff record of the Amended A&R E&P Agreement by submitting a complete eTariff record. Additional comments were due on or before February 16, 2024; none were filed. This matter is pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (pmgerity@daypitney.com; 860-275-0533).

XII. Misc. - Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings⁸²

- **ACPA Petition for Capacity Accreditation Technical Conference (AD23-10)**

On August 22, 2023, the American Clean Power Association (“ACPA”) asked the FERC to convene a technical conference “to explore ways to improve the accreditation of resources’ capacity value in ISO/RTO regions with and without capacity markets, as well as in non-ISO/RTO regions. Comments on the ACPA request were due on or before October 2, 2023. The [IRC](#) opposed the ACPA request. Comments supporting, or not opposing, a technical conference were filed by, among others: [ACRE](#), [AEU](#), [Calpine](#), [Colorado PUC](#), [EPSA](#), [NYU Law School Policy Integrity Institute](#), [Pine Gate Renewables](#), [SCE](#), [SEIA](#), [Sierra Club](#), [UCS](#), and [University of Chicago Law School](#). Both [ACPA](#) and the [PJM IMM](#) answered the October 2 comments. This matter is pending before the FERC.

- **Reliability Technical Conference (AD23-9)**

On November 9, 2023, the FERC convened its annual Reliability Technical Conference. The purpose of the Conference was to discuss policy issues related to the reliability and security of the Bulk-Power System (“BPS”). The Conference also discussed the impact on electric reliability of the Environmental Protection Agency’s (“EPA”)

⁸¹ *NSTAR Elec. Co.*, Docket No. ER24-747-000 (Feb. 15, 2024) (unpublished letter order).

⁸² Reporting on the following Administrative proceeding has been suspended since the last Report and will be continued if and when there is new activity to report: NOPR: Duty of Candor (RM22-20).

proposed rule under section 111 of the Clean Air Act. The conference included the following Commissioner-led and staff-led panels: Morning Panel 1: State of Bulk Power System Reliability with a Focus on the Changing Resource Mix and Resource Adequacy (Commission Led); Morning Panel 2: CIP Reliability Standards and the Evolving Grid (Commission Led); Afternoon Panel 1: EPA Presentation of EPA Section 111 Proposed Rule (Commission Led); and Afternoon Panels 2 (Electric Industry Stakeholders Panel) and 3 (Regional, State, and Local Regulatory Entities Panel): Discussion of the Proposed Rule (Staff Led). For further information, please see the FERC's October 30, 2023 [Second Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference](#). Speaker materials have been posted to FERC's eLibrary.

On November 14, 2023, the FERC invited all interested persons to file post-technical conference comments addressing issues raised during the Reliability Technical Conference and identified in the Second Supplemental Notice. Post technical conference comments were filed by: [Constellation](#), [NRDC](#), [ACPA](#), [ACRE](#), [AEP](#), [American Forest & Paper Assoc.](#), [American Municipal Power](#), [America's Power](#), [Duke](#), [Earthjustice](#), [EEI 1](#), [EEI 2](#), [Energy Strategy Coalition](#), [Energy Transfer](#), [Florida Municipal Power Agency](#), [INGA](#), [ITC](#), [LPPC](#), [OH Fed. Energy Advocate](#), [PJM Cities and Communities Coalition](#), [Southern Company Services](#), [TAPS](#), [US Chamber of Commerce](#), [Reliable Energy Analytics](#), [US EPA Office of Air and Radiation](#), and [Sue Tierney](#) (who attached her prepared statement from the technical conference and her recently-prepared report on the same issues). Since the last Report, each of the three FERC commissioners posted responses to members of Congress who had commented or had inquired about the Technical Conference. In addition, on January 25, 2024, a group of Senators, including a few from the New England delegation, filed comments. This matter is pending before the FERC.

- **Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission (AD21-15)**

An eighth meeting of the FERC-established Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission ("Transmission Task Force" or "JFSTF")⁸³ will be held on Wednesday, **February 28, 2024**, from approximately 1:30 pm to 4:00 pm Eastern time, at the Westin Washington in Washington, DC.⁸⁴ All interested persons were invited to file comments in this docket suggesting agenda items by January 8, 2024. Comments were filed by [American Council on Renewable Energy \("ACRE"\)](#), [AEP](#), [Harvard Electricity Law Initiative](#), [Invenergy Transmission](#), [Rocky Mountain Institute](#), and [Thomas Donahue](#).

Since the last Report, the FERC accepted NARUC's nomination of Chair Mary Throne of the Wyoming Public Service Commission to represent the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners region for the remainder of the one-year term of Chair Thad LeVar, who recently resigned his position at the Utah Public Service Commission.⁸⁵ Also, on February 28, 2024, the JFSTF held its eighth meeting in Washington, DC, with the principal topic "Transmission Siting", featuring guest speakers from the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") Grid Deployment Office -- Gretchen Kershaw, Senior Advisor for Transmission and Jeff Dennis, Deputy Director for Transmission.

- **RTO/ISOs Common Performance Metrics (AD19-16)**

On January 31, 2024, FERC Staff issued its summary of its review of performance metrics data on RTOs/ISOs activities and data related to RTO/ISO administrative functions, energy markets, and capacity markets

⁸³ *Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission*, 175 FERC ¶ 61,224 (June 18, 2021). The Transmission Task Force is comprised of all FERC Commissioners as well as representatives from 10 state commissions (two from each NARUC region). State commission representatives will serve one-year terms from the date of appointment by FERC and in no event will serve on the Task Force for more than three consecutive terms. The Transmission Task Force will convene multiple formal meetings annually, with FERC issuing orders fixing the time and place and agenda for each meeting, and the meetings will be open to the public for listening and observing and on the record. The Transmission Task Force will focus on "topics related to efficiently and fairly planning and paying for transmission, including transmission to facilitate generator interconnection, that provides benefits from a federal and state perspective." New England is represented by Commissioners Riley Allen (VT PUC) and Marissa Gillett (Chair, CT PURA). See Order on Nominations, *Joint Federal-State Task Force on Elec. Trans.*, 180 FERC ¶ 61,030 (July 15, 2022).

⁸⁴ Summaries of the first – seventh meetings of the Transmission Task Force can be found in previous Reports.

⁸⁵ *Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission*, 186 FERC ¶ 61,102 (Feb. 13, 2024).

for the 2019 to 2022 reporting period. The data collection consisted of, and the discussion is organized by 29 Common Metrics divided into 3 groups from the 6 RTO/ISOs;⁸⁶ no non-RTO/ISO responded. As previously reported, ISO-NE submitted its Form 922 on April 24, 2023. The metrics included in the 2023 Report are identical to the metrics from the 2021 Report.

- **NOPR: EQR Filing Process and Data Collection (RM23-9)**

On October 19, 2023, the FERC issued a NOPR⁸⁷ proposing various changes to current Electric Quarterly Report (“EQR”) filing requirements, including both the method of collection and the data being collected. The proposed changes are designed to update the data collection, improve data quality, increase market transparency, decrease costs, over time, of preparing the necessary data for submission, and streamline compliance with any future filing requirements. Among other things, the FERC proposes to implement a new collection method for EQR reporting based on the eXtensible Business Reporting Language (“XBRL”)-Comma-Separated Values standard; amend its regulations to require ISO/RTOs to produce reports containing market participant transaction data; and modify or clarify EQR reporting requirements. Requests for additional time to comment on the *EQR NOPR* were filed by EEI/EP SA, the IRC and the Bonneville Power Administration (“BPA”). On December 7, 2023, the FERC extended the deadline for submitting comments to and including February 26, 2024. Comments on the NOPR were filed by [ISO-NE](#), [CAISO](#), [MISO](#), [NYISO](#), [PJM](#), [BPA](#), [EEI](#), [Energy Compliance Consulting](#), [EPSA](#), [Interstate Gas Supply](#), [Macquarie](#), [PG&E](#), [Systrends](#), [Tri-State](#), [XBRL US](#). This matter is pending before the FERC.

- **Order 2023: Interconnection Reforms (RM22-14)**

On July 28, 2023, the FERC issued *Order 2023*,⁸⁸ its final rule on proposed reforms to the *pro forma* Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (“LGIP”), *pro forma* Small Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SGIP”), *pro forma* Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (“LGIA”), and *pro forma* SGIA to address interconnection queue backlogs, improve certainty, and prevent undue discrimination for new technologies. *Order 2023* adopts reforms to: (i) implement a first-ready, first-served cluster study process;⁸⁹ (ii) increase the speed of

⁸⁶ There are seven **Group 1 metrics**: Reserve Margins, Average Heat Rates, Fuel Diversity, Capacity Factor by Technology Type, Energy Emergency Alerts (“EEA”) Level 1 or Higher, Performance by Technology Type during EEA Level 1 or Higher, and Resource Availability (Equivalent Forced Outage Rate Demand (“EFORD”). There are 12 **Group 2 metrics**: Number and Capacity of Reliability Must-Run Units, Reliability Must-Run Contract Usage, Demand Response Capability, Unit Hours Mitigated, Wholesale Power Costs by Charge Type, Price Cost Markup, Fuel Adjusted Wholesale Energy Price, Energy Market Price Convergence, Congestion Management, Administrative Costs, New Entrant Net Revenues, and Order No. 825 Shortage Intervals and Reserve Price Impacts; There are 10 **Group 3 metrics**: Net Cost of New Entry (“Net CONE”) Value, Resource Deliverability, New Capacity (Entry), Capacity Retirement (Exit), Forecasted Demand, Capacity Market Procurement and Prices, Capacity Obligations and Performance Assessment Events, Capacity Over-Performance, Capacity Under-Performance, and Total Capacity Bonus Payments and Penalties. The update metrics eliminate previously-collected metrics on reliability, RTO/ISO billing controls and customer satisfaction, interconnection and transmission processes, and system lambda.

⁸⁷ *Revisions to the Filing Process and Data Collection for the Electric Quarterly Report*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,043 (Oct. 19, 2023) (“*EQR NOPR*”).

⁸⁸ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, Order No. 2023, 184 FERC ¶ 61,054 (July 28, 2023) (“*Order 2023*”).

⁸⁹ A first-ready, first-served cluster study process improves efficiency in the interconnection study process by including the following elements: increased access to information prior to entering the queue; a mechanism to study interconnection requests in groups where all interconnection requests in the group are equally queued and of equal study priority; and increased financial commitments and readiness requirements to enter and proceed through the queue. In contrast, the existing first-come, first-served serial study process in the *pro forma* LGIA and LGIP provides limited information to interconnection customers prior to entering the queue, assigns interconnection requests an individual queue position based solely on the date of entry into the queue, and contains limited financial and readiness requirements.

In order to implement a first-ready, first-served cluster study process, *Order 2023* requires: (1) transmission providers to publicly post available information pertaining to generator interconnection; (2) transmission providers to use cluster studies as the interconnection study method; (3) transmission providers to allocate cluster study costs on a pro rata and per capita basis; (4) transmission providers to allocate network upgrade costs based on a proportional impact method; (5) interconnection customers to pay study and commercial readiness deposits as part of the cluster study process; (6) interconnection customers to demonstrate site control at the time of submission of the interconnection request; and (7) transmission providers to impose withdrawal penalties on interconnection customers for

interconnection queue processing;⁹⁰ and (iii) incorporate technological advancements into the interconnection process.⁹¹ Many of the reforms adopted in *Order 2023* closely track the reforms set out in the FERC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.⁹² However, the FERC did revise aspects of the reforms.⁹³ *Order 2023* became effective November 6, 2023⁹⁴ (60 days from its publication in the *Federal Register* ("Publication Date")).

A more [detailed summary](#) of, and [a presentation](#) on, *Order 2023* was provided to, and discussed with, the Transmission Committee. Compliance will require changes to the Tariff's *pro forma* LGIA, LGIP, SGIA and SGIP. Absent further changes to the compliance schedule, there will be much to accomplish in a relatively short amount of time.

Requests for Clarification and/or Rehearing. Requests for rehearing, clarification and/or an extension of time were filed by 35 parties. Those parties raised, among other issues, the following:

- ◆ The FERC erred in removing the Reasonable Efforts standard and imposing penalties for late studies;
- ◆ The FERC must clarify aspects of the transition process and use of Transitional Cluster Studies and Transitional Serial Studies;
- ◆ Transmission Providers need additional details on the FERC's requirement for Transmission Provider's to publish heatmaps;
- ◆ The FERC must provide insight on the process of performing cluster studies as well as the cost allocation methodology; and
- ◆ Transmission Providers require further clarity regarding the alternative transmission technologies that they are required to review.

withdrawing from the interconnection queue, with certain exceptions. We also require transmission providers to adopt a transition process to move from the existing serial interconnection process to the new cluster study process.

⁹⁰ In order to increase the speed of interconnection queue processing, *Order 2023*: (1) eliminates the reasonable efforts standard for conducting interconnection studies and imposes a financial penalty on transmission providers that fail to meet interconnection study deadlines; and (2) establishes an affected system study process and associated *pro forma* affected system agreements.

⁹¹ In order to incorporate technological advancements into the interconnection process, *Order 2023* requires transmission providers to: (1) allow more than one generating facility to co-locate on a shared site behind a single point of interconnection and share a single interconnection request; (2) evaluate the proposed addition of a generating facility at the same point of interconnection prior to deeming such an addition a material modification if the addition does not change the originally requested interconnection service level; (3) allow interconnection customers to access the surplus interconnection service process once the original interconnection customer has an executed LGIA or requests the filing of an unexecuted LGIA; (4) use operating assumptions in interconnection studies that reflect the proposed charging behavior of an electric storage resource; and (5) evaluate the list of alternative transmission technologies enumerated in this final rule during the generator interconnection study process.

⁹² *Order 2023* also requires: (i) interconnection customers requesting to interconnect a non-synchronous generating facility to: (a) provide the transmission provider with the models needed for accurate interconnection studies; and (b) have the ability to maintain power production at pre-disturbance levels and provide dynamic reactive power to maintain system voltage during transmission system disturbances and within physical limits; (ii) all newly interconnecting large generating facilities provide ride through capability consistent with any standards and guidelines that are applied to other generating facilities in the balancing authority area on a comparable basis; and (iii) with respect to the *pro forma* SGIP and *pro forma* SGIA, the incorporation of enumerated alternative transmission technologies into the interconnection process, and the provision of modeling and ride through requirements for non-synchronous generating facilities.

⁹³ Reforms revised in *Order 2023* pertain to the cluster study process, allocation of cluster study and network upgrade costs, increased financial commitments and readiness requirements, financial penalties for delayed interconnection studies, the affected system study process, *pro forma* affected system agreements, the material modification process, operating assumptions for interconnection studies, incorporating the enumerated alternative transmission technologies, and ride through requirements. In addition, the FERC declined to adopt the NOPR proposals pertaining to informational interconnection studies, shared network upgrades, the optional resource solicitation study, and the alternative transmission technologies annual report.

⁹⁴ *Order 2023* was published in the Fed. Reg. on Sep. 6, 2023 (Vol. 88, No. 171) pp. 61,041-61,349.

Requests for Clarification and/or Rehearing Denied by Operation of Law. On September 28, 2023, the FERC issued a “Notice of Denial of Rehearing by Operation of Law and Providing for Further Consideration”.⁹⁵ The *Order 2023 Allegheny Notice* confirmed that the 60-day period during which a petition for review of *Order 2023* can be filed with an appropriate federal court was triggered when the FERC did not act on the requests for rehearing and/or clarification of *Order 2023* within the required 30-day period. The Notice also indicated that the FERC would address, as is its right, the rehearing request in a future order, and may modify or set aside its order, in whole or in part, “in such manner as it shall deem proper.” Several parties submitted petitions in Federal Court challenging *Order 2023*. Developments in those federal court proceedings will be summarized in Section XVI below.

October 25, 2023 Order Extending Compliance Deadline. On October 25, 2023, the FERC issued an order modifying the discussion in *Order 2023* and setting aside the *Order*, in part, to extend the deadline to submit compliance filings to **April 3, 2024** (210 days after the publication of *Order 2023* in the *Federal Register*).⁹⁶ The FERC clarified that its Order does not change or modify any other determination or other deadlines established by *Order 2023*, including the deadline for eligibility for interconnection customers to opt to proceed with a transitional serial study (for those interconnection customers tendered a facilities study agreement) or transitional cluster study (for those interconnection customers assigned a queue position) or to withdraw their interconnection requests without penalty (i.e., 30 calendar days after the transmission provider submits its initial compliance filing (or **May 3, 2024**)).⁹⁷ Since the last Report, two transmission providers, Sunzia Transmission and Western Interconnect, asked for a limited extension of time to make their compliance filings. ISO-NE’s *Order 2023 Revisions* are to be considered at the **March 7 Participants Committee meeting**.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Margaret Czepiel (202-218-3906; mczepiel@daypitney.com) or Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com).

- **NOPR: Transmission Siting (RM22-7)**

On December 15, 2022, the FERC issued a NOPR⁹⁸ proposing to revise its regulations governing applications for permits to site electric transmission facilities under section 216 of the FPA, as amended by the Infrastructure and Jobs Act. The *Transmission Siting NOPR* is intended to ensure consistency with the Infrastructure and Jobs Act’s amendments to FPA section 216, to modernize certain regulatory requirements, and to incorporate other updates and clarifications to provide for the efficient and timely review of permit applications. Following a NARUC request for an extension of time granted by the FERC, comments on the *Transmission Siting NOPR* were due on or before May 17, 2023. Comments were filed by [CLF](#), [AL PSC](#), [National Wildlife Federation Action Fund](#), [National Wild Life Federation and State-Affiliated Organizations](#), [AEU](#), [CLF \(May 16\)](#), [NESCOE](#), [ACPA](#), [ACRE](#), [Clean Energy Buyers Assoc.](#), [EDF](#), [EEI/WIRES](#), [Joint Consumer Advocates](#), [Public Interest Organizations](#), [SEIA](#), and [US Chamber of Commerce](#). Commissioner Phillips’ and each of the Commissioners’ responses to Senator Schumer’s and Senator Barrasso’s letters have been posted to eLibrary. This matter is pending before the FERC.

⁹⁵ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, 184 FERC ¶ 62,163 (Sep 28, 2023) (“*Order 2023 Allegheny Notice*”).

⁹⁶ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, 185 FERC ¶ 61,063 (Oct. 25, 2023).

⁹⁷ *Id.* at P 11.

⁹⁸ *Applications for Permits to Site Interstate Elec. Transmission Facilities*, 181 FERC ¶ 61,205 (Dec. 15, 2022) (“*Transmission Siting NOPR*”).

- **Transmission NOPR (RM21-17)**

Following its ANOPR process,⁹⁹ the FERC issued on April 21, 2022 a NOPR¹⁰⁰ that would require public utility transmission providers to:

- (i) conduct long-term regional transmission planning on a sufficiently forward-looking basis to meet transmission needs driven by changes in the resource mix and demand;
- (ii) more fully consider dynamic line ratings and advanced power flow control devices in regional transmission planning processes;
- (iii) seek the agreement of relevant state entities within the transmission planning region regarding the cost allocation method or methods that will apply to transmission facilities selected in the regional transmission plan for purposes of cost allocation through long-term regional transmission planning;
- (iv) adopt enhanced transparency requirements for local transmission planning processes and improve coordination between regional and local transmission planning with the aim of identifying potential opportunities to “right-size” replacement transmission facilities; and
- (v) revise their existing interregional transmission coordination procedures to reflect the long-term regional transmission planning reforms proposed in this NOPR.

In addition, the *Transmission NOPR* would not permit public utility transmission providers to take advantage of the construction-work-in-progress (“CWIP”) incentive for regional transmission facilities selected for purposes of cost allocation through long-term regional transmission planning and would permit the exercise of federal rights of first refusal (“ROFR”) for transmission facilities selected in a regional transmission plan for purposes of cost allocation, conditioned on the incumbent transmission provider with the federal ROFR for such regional transmission facilities establishing joint ownership of the transmission facilities. While the ANOPR sought comment on reforms related to cost allocation for interconnection-related network upgrades, interconnection queue processes, interregional transmission coordination and planning, and oversight of transmission planning and costs, the *Transmission NOPR* does not propose broad or comprehensive reforms directly related to these topics. The FERC indicated that it would continue to review the record developed to date and expects to address possible inadequacies through subsequent proceedings that propose reforms, as warranted, related to these topics.

A number of the elements of the *Transmission NOPR*, if adopted as part of a final rule, would result in some significant changes to how the region’s transmission needs are identified, solutions are evaluated and selected, and costs recovered and allocated. A more fulsome high-level summary from NEPOOL Counsel of the *Transmission NOPR* was distributed to, and was reviewed with, the Transmission Committee.

⁹⁹ See *Building for the Future Through Electric Regional Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation and Generator Interconnection*, 176 FERC ¶ 61,024 (July 15, 2021) (“*Transmission Planning & Allocation/Generation Interconnection ANOPR*”). The FERC convened a tech. conf. on Nov. 15, 2021, to examine in detail the issues and potential reforms described in the *ANOPR*. Speaker materials and a transcript of the tech. conf. are posted in FERC’s eLibrary. Pre-technical conference comments were submitted by over 175 parties, including by: [NEPOOL](#), [ISO-NE](#), [AEU](#), [Anbaric](#), [Avangrid](#), [BP](#), [CPV](#), [Dominion](#), [EDF](#), [EDP](#), [Enel](#), [EPSA](#), [Eversource](#), [Exelon](#), [LS Power](#), [MA AG](#), [MMWEC](#), [National Grid](#), [NECOS](#), [NESCOE](#), [NextEra](#), [NRDC](#), [Orsted](#), [Shell](#), [UCS](#), [VELCO](#), [Vistra](#), [Potomac Economics](#), [ACORE](#), [ACPA/ESA](#), [APPA](#), [EEI](#), [ELCON](#), [Industrial Customer Orgs](#), [LPPC](#), [MA DOER](#), [NARUC](#), [NASUCA](#), [NASEO](#), [NERC](#), [NRECA](#), [SEIA](#), [State Agencies](#), [TAPS](#), [WIRES](#), [Harvard Electric Law Initiative](#); [NYU Institute for Policy Integrity](#), [New England for Offshore Wind Coalition](#), and the [R Street Institute](#). *ANOPR* reply comments and post-technical conference comments were filed by over 100 parties, including: by: [CTAG](#), [Acadia Center/CLF](#), [CTAG](#), [Dominion](#), [Enel](#), [Eversource](#), [LS Power](#), [MA AG](#), [MMWEC](#), [NESCOE](#), [NextEra](#), [Shell](#), [UCS](#), [Vistra](#), [ACPA/ESA](#), [AEU](#), [APPA](#), [EEI](#), [ELCON](#), [Environmental and Renewable Energy Advocates](#), [EPSA](#), [Harvard ELI](#), [NRECA](#), [Potomac Economics](#), and [SEIA](#). Supplemental reply comments were filed by [WIRES](#), a group of [former military leaders and former Department of Defense officials](#), and [ACPA/AEU/SEIA](#).

¹⁰⁰ *Building for the Future Through Electric Regional Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation and Generator Interconnection*, 179 FERC ¶ 61,028 (Apr. 21, 2022) (“*Transmission NOPR*”).

Comments. Following a number of requests for extensions of time, comments on the *Transmission NOPR* were due August 17, 2022.¹⁰¹ Nearly 200 sets of comments were filed, including comments by [NEPOOL](#), [ISO-NE](#), [Acadia/CLF](#), [Anbaric](#), [AEU](#), [Avangrid](#), [BP](#), [Dominion](#), [Enel](#), [Engie](#), [Eversource](#), [Invenergy](#), [LSP Power](#), [MOPA](#), [MMWEC/CMEEC/NHEC/VPPSA](#), [National Grid](#), [NECOES](#), [NESCOE](#), [NextEra](#), [NRG](#), [Onward Energy](#), [Orsted](#), [PPL](#), [Shell](#), [Transource](#), [VELCO](#), [Vistra](#), [ISO/RTO Council](#), [NERC](#), [US DOJ/FTC](#), [MA AG](#), [State Agencies](#), [VT PUC/DPS](#), [Potomac Economics](#), [ACPA](#), [ACRE](#), [APPA](#), [EEI](#), [EPSA](#), [Industrial Customer Organizations](#), [LPPC](#), [NASUCA](#), [NRECA](#), [Public Interest Organizations](#), [SEIA](#), [TAPS](#), [WIRES](#), [Harvard Electricity Law Initiative](#), [New England for Offshore Wind](#), and the [R Street Institute](#).

Reply Comments. Reply comments were due September 19, 2022. Nearly 100 sets of reply comments were filed, including by: [ISO-NE](#), [AEU](#), [Anbaric](#), [Avangrid](#), [CT DEEP](#), [Cypress Creek](#), [Dominion](#), [ENGIE](#), [Eversource](#), [Invenergy](#), [LS Power](#), [MA AG](#), [NECOS](#), [NESCOE](#), [NextEra](#), [Shell](#), [Transource](#), [UCS](#), [ACPA](#), [ACRE](#), [APPA](#), [EEI](#), [Industrial Customer Organizations](#), [LPPA](#), [NRECA](#), [Public Interest Organizations](#), [R Street](#), and [SEIA](#). On November 28, 2022, the New Jersey BPU moved to lodge its recently issued [Board Order](#) selecting transmission projects to be built pursuant to PJM’s State Agreement Approach (“SAA”) for the purpose of supporting New Jersey’s offshore wind (“OSW”) goals, the Brattle Group’s [SAA Evaluation Report](#), and [PJM’s SAA Economic Analysis Report](#), which it stated demonstrates that competitive transmission solicitations can provide significant value to consumers. In December 2022, the [Harvard Electricity Law Initiative](#), and [P. Alaama](#) submitted further comments.

LS Power and NRG filed comments in this proceeding, as well as in (Transmission Planning and Cost Management Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission) (AD22-8) and JFSTF proceeding (AD21-15). They asserted that the FERC “cannot sufficiently address the transmission planning issues raised in its Transmission NOPR without addressing the intertwined cost management issues raised in AD22-8-000 and during the October 6, 2022 Technical Conference in AD22-8.” Additional comments were filed by [ACRE](#), [Breakthrough Energy](#), [Clean Energy Buyers Association](#), the [Cross Sector Coalition](#), [Developers Advocating Transmission Advancements](#), [Environmental Advocates](#),¹⁰² [Institute for Policy Integrity at NYU](#), and [Rocky Mountain Institute](#).

Since the last Report, comments were filed by [EEI](#), [ELCON](#), [Niskanen Center](#), [WIRES](#), and a number of individuals and organizations urging the FERC to finalize the *Transmission NOPR*. The [Harvard Electricity Law Initiative](#) responded to WIRES’ comments.

This matter remains pending before the FERC. If you have any questions concerning the *Transmission NOPR*, please contact Eric Runge (617-345-4735; ekrunge@daypitney.com) or Margaret Czepiel (202-218-3906; mczepiel@daypitney.com).

XIII. FERC Enforcement Proceedings

Electric-Related Enforcement Actions

- **Ketchup Caddy / Phillip Mango (MISODR Program Violations) (IN23-14)**

On February 21, 2024, the FERC directed Ketchup Caddy, LLC (“Ketchup Caddy”) and Phillip Mango, Ketchup Caddy’s CEO and co-owner (together, “Respondents”), to show cause why they should not be found to have violated FPA section 222, along with section 1c.2 of the FERC’s regulations, Sections 69A.3.5 and 69A.7.1 of the MISO Tariff by offering uncontracted resources into the annual Planning Resource Auctions (“PRAs”) that

¹⁰¹ A July 27, 2022, request by the Georgia Public Service Commission (“GA PUC”) for an additional 30 days of time to submit comments and reply comments was denied on Aug. 9, 2022.

¹⁰² The “Environmental Advocates” included: AEU, ACPA, Clean Air Task Force, Earthjustice, Environmental Defense Fund, Evergreen Action, Fresh Energy, Interwest Energy Alliance, League of Conservation Voters, National Wildlife Federation, NRDC, Northwest Energy Coalition, Rewiring America, Sierra Club, Southern Environmental Law Center, The Environmental Law & Policy Center, UCS, WE ACT for Environmental Justice, and Western Resource Advocates.

MISO uses to procure capacity necessary to maintain the reliability of the MISO grid.¹⁰³ The FERC directed Ketchup Caddy and Mango to show cause why they should not be assessed **civil penalties of \$25 million** and **\$1.5 million**, respectively, and why **Mango** should not **disgorge \$506,502, plus interest**, in unjust profits. Enforcement alleges that “Ketchup Caddy operated as a fraudulent enterprise with no legitimate market activity, registering and clearing demand response resources without their knowledge or consent and collecting capacity payments in turn, without making payments to the registered resources. Mango ... made no attempt to contract with—or even to contact—legitimate customers, and the purported customers Ketchup Caddy registered with MISO would not have responded if dispatched. Collectively, Mango and his co-owner received \$1,013,004 in capacity payments paid to Ketchup Caddy by MISO during the Relevant Period. Staff’s recommended penalties are predicated on its finding that Respondents caused \$17,639,142.07 in losses to other suppliers because Ketchup Caddy’s fraudulent offers lowered capacity prices in the 2019/20, 2020/21, and 2021/22 MISO PRAs.”¹⁰⁴ Respondents 30 days to file an answer or to make an election to have the procedures set forth in Section 31(d)(3) of the FPA apply to this proceeding.¹⁰⁵ If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

Natural Gas-Related Enforcement Actions

- **Rover Pipeline, LLC and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (CPCN Show Cause Order) (IN19-4)**

Procedural Schedule Suspended. As previously reported, on May 24, 2022, the Honorable Judge Karen Gren Scholer of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas (“Northern District”) issued an order staying this proceeding. Consistent with that order and out of an abundance of caution, ALJ Joel DeJesus, who will be the presiding judge for hearings in this matter,¹⁰⁶ suspended the procedural schedule until such time as the Court’s stay is lifted and the parties provide jointly a proposed amended procedural schedule.

On June 14, 2023, the Commission issued an Order on Presiding Officer Reassignment,¹⁰⁷ which (i) directed the Chief ALJ to reassign this proceeding to another ALJ not previously involved in the proceeding (i.e., designate a new presiding officer) once the *June 14 Order* takes effect; (ii) held that the *June 14 Order* will take effect once the Northern District clarifies or lifts its stay for the limited purpose of allowing the *June 14 Order* to take effect or the stay is lifted or dissolved such that hearing procedures may resume; and (iii) stated that this proceeding otherwise remains suspended until the Northern District’s stay is lifted or dissolved such that hearing procedures may resume.

- **Rover and ETP (Tuscarawas River HDD Show Cause Order) (IN17-4)**

On December 16, 2021, the FERC issued a show cause order¹⁰⁸ in which it directed Rover and ETP (together, “Respondents”) to show cause why they should not be found to have violated NGA section 7(e), FERC

¹⁰³ *Ketchup Caddy, LLC and Philip Mango*, 186 FERC ¶ 61,132 (Feb. 21, 2024).

¹⁰⁴ *Id.* at P 3.

¹⁰⁵ Under that provision, if the FERC finds a violation, the FERC will issue a penalty assessment and, if not paid within 60 days of the order assessing penalties, the FERC will institute an action in the appropriate United States district court.

¹⁰⁶ See *Rover Pipeline, LLC, and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.*, 178 FERC ¶ 61,028 (Jan. 20, 2022) (“*Rover/ETP Hearings Order*”). The hearings will be to determine whether Rover Pipeline, LLC (“Rover”) and its parent company Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (“ETP” and collectively with Rover, “Respondents”) violated section 157.5 of the FERC’s regulations and to ascertain certain facts relevant for any application of the FERC’s Penalty Guidelines.

¹⁰⁷ *Rover Pipeline, LLC, and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.*, 183 FERC ¶ 61,190 (June 14, 2023) (“*June 14 Order*”).

¹⁰⁸ *Rover Pipeline, LLC, and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.*, 177 FERC ¶ 61,182 (Dec. 16, 2021) (“*Rover/ETP Tuscarawas River HDD Show Cause Order*”).

Regulations (18 C.F.R. § 157.20); and the FERC's Certificate Order,¹⁰⁹ by: (i) intentionally including diesel fuel and other toxic substances and unapproved additives in the drilling mud during its horizontal directional drilling ("HDD") operations under the Tuscarawas River in Stark County, Ohio, in connection with the Rover Pipeline Project;¹¹⁰ (ii) failing to adequately monitor the right-of-way at the site of the Tuscarawas River HDD operation; and (iii) improperly disposing of inadvertently released drilling mud that was contaminated with diesel fuel and hydraulic oil. The FERC directed Respondents to show why they should not be assessed civil penalties in the amount of **\$40 million**.

On March 21, 2022, Respondents answered and denied the allegations in the *Rover/ETP CPCN Show Cause Order*. On April 20, 2022, OE Staff answered Respondents' March 21 answer. On May 13, Respondents submitted a surreply, reinforcing their position that "there is no factual or legal basis to hold either [Respondent] liable for the intentional wrongdoing of others that is alleged in the Staff Report." The FERC denied Respondents' request for rehearing of the FERC's January 21, 2022 designation notice.¹¹¹ This matter is pending before the FERC.

- **Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. et al. (IN12-17)**

On April 28, 2016, the FERC issued a show cause order¹¹² in which it directed Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. ("TGPNA") and its West Desk traders and supervisors, Therese Tran f/k/a Nguyen ("Tran") and Aaron Hall (collectively, "Respondents") to show cause why Respondents should not be found to have violated NGA Section 4A and the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule through a scheme to manipulate the price of natural gas at four locations in the southwest United States between June 2009 and June 2012.¹¹³

The FERC also directed TGPNA to show cause why it should not be required to disgorge unjust profits of **\$9.18 million**, plus interest; TGPNA, Tran and Hall to show cause why they should not be assessed civil penalties (TGPNA - **\$213.6 million**; Hall - **\$1 million** (jointly and severally with TGPNA); and Tran - **\$2 million** (jointly and severally with TGPNA)). In addition, the FERC directed TGPNA's parent company, Total, S.A. ("Total"), and TGPNA's affiliate, Total Gas & Power, Ltd. ("TGPL"), to show cause why they should not be held liable for TGPNA's, Hall's, and Tran's conduct, and be held jointly and severally liable for their disgorgement and civil penalties based on Total's and TGPL's significant control and authority over TGPNA's daily operations. Respondents filed their answer on July 12, 2016. OE Staff replied to Respondents' answer on September 23, 2016. Respondents answered OE's September 23 answer on January 17, 2017, and OE Staff responded to that answer on January 27, 2017.

Hearing Procedures. On July 15, 2021, the FERC issued an order establishing hearing procedures to determine whether Respondents violated the FERC's Anti-Manipulation Rule, and to ascertain certain facts

¹⁰⁹ *Rover Pipeline LLC*, 158 FERC ¶ 61,109 (2017), *order on clarification & reh'g*, 161 FERC ¶ 61,244 (2017), *Petition for Rev., Rover Pipeline LLC v. FERC*, No. 18-1032 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 29, 2018) ("Certificate or Certificate Order").

¹¹⁰ The Rover Pipeline Project is an approximately 711 mile long interstate natural gas pipeline designed to transport gas from the Marcellus and Utica shale supply areas through West Virginia, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Michigan to outlets in the Midwest and elsewhere.

¹¹¹ *Rover Pipeline, LLC, and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.*, 179 FERC ¶ 61,090 (May 11, 2022) ("*Designation Notice Rehearing Order*"). The "Designation Notice" provided updated notice of designation of the staff of the FERC's Office of Enforcement ("OE") as non-decisional in deliberations by the FERC in this docket, with the exception of certain staff named in that notice.

¹¹² *Total Gas & Power North America, Inc.*, 155 FERC ¶ 61,105 (Apr. 28, 2016) ("*TGPNA Show Cause Order*").

¹¹³ The allegations giving rise to the Total Show Cause Order were laid out in a September 21, 2015 FERC Staff Notice of Alleged Violations which summarized OE's case against the Respondents. Staff determined that the Respondents violated NGA section 4A and the Commission's Anti-Manipulation Rule by devising and executing a scheme to manipulate the price of natural gas in the southwest United States between June 2009 and June 2012. Specifically, Staff alleged that the scheme involved making largely uneconomic trades for physical natural gas during bid-week designed to move indexed market prices in a way that benefited the company's related positions. Staff alleged that the West Desk implemented the bid-week scheme on at least 38 occasions during the period of interest, and that Tran and Hall each implemented the scheme and supervised and directed other traders in implementing the scheme.

relevant for any application of the FERC's Penalty Guidelines.¹¹⁴ On July 27, 2021, Chief Judge Cintron designated Judge Suzanne Krolikowski as the Presiding ALJ and established an extended Track III Schedule for the proceeding.

Discovery in this case closed on December 2, 2022. On December 16, 2022, Respondents filed for a preliminary injunction in the US District Court for the Southern District of Texas ("Southern District"). In order to allow for briefing and a decision on that motion, the FERC placed this proceeding in abeyance.¹¹⁵

On June 14, 2023, the Commission issued an Order on Presiding Officer Reassignment,¹¹⁶ which (i) directed the Chief ALJ to reassign this proceeding to another ALJ not previously involved in the proceeding (i.e., designate a new presiding officer) once the *TGPNA Presiding Officer Reassignment Order* takes effect; (ii) held that the *TGPNA Presiding Officer Reassignment Order* will take effect once the Southern District clarifies or lifts its stay for the limited purpose of allowing the *TGPNA Presiding Officer Reassignment Order* to take effect or the stay is lifted or dissolved such that hearing procedures may resume; (iii) stated that this proceeding otherwise remains suspended until the Southern District's stay is lifted or dissolved such that hearing procedures may resume; and (iv) provided procedural guidance to the new presiding officer. On July 18, Judge Patricia M. French was substituted as Presiding Judge (relieving Judge Krolikowski of all of her duties with respect to this proceeding).

XIV. Natural Gas Proceedings

For further information on any of the natural gas proceedings, please contact Joe Fagan (202-218-3901; jfagan@daypitney.com).

New England Pipeline Proceedings

The following New England pipeline projects are currently under construction or before the FERC:

- **Iroquois ExC Project (CP20-48)**
 - ▶ 125,000 Dth/d of incremental firm transportation service to ConEd and KeySpan by building and operating new natural gas compression and cooling facilities at the sites of four existing Iroquois compressor stations in Connecticut (Brookfield and Milford) and New York (Athens and Dover).
 - ▶ Three-year construction project; service request by November 1, 2023.
 - ▶ On March 25, 2022, after procedural developments summarized in previous Reports, the FERC issued to Iroquois a certificate of public convenience and necessity, authorizing it to construct and operate the proposed facilities.¹¹⁷ The certificate was conditioned on: (i) Iroquois' completion of construction of the proposed facilities and making them available for service within **three years** of the date of the; (ii) Iroquois' compliance with all applicable FERC regulations under the NGA; (iii) Iroquois' compliance with the environmental conditions listed in the appendix to the order; and (iv) Iroquois' filing written statements affirming that it has executed firm service agreements for volumes and service terms equivalent to those in its precedent agreements, prior to commencing construction. The March 25, 2022 order also approved, as modified, Iroquois' proposed incremental recourse rate and incremental fuel retention percentages as the initial rates for transportation on the Enhancement by Compression Project.
 - ▶ On April 18, 2022, Iroquois accepted the certificate issued in the *Iroquois Certificate Order*.

¹¹⁴ *Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. et al.*, 176 FERC ¶ 61,026 (July 15, 2021).

¹¹⁵ *Total Gas & Power North America, Inc., Total, S.A., Total Gas & Power, Ltd., Aaron Hall, and Therese Tran f/k/a Nguyen*, 181 FERC ¶ 61,252 (Dec. 21, 2022).

¹¹⁶ *Total Gas & Power North America, Inc., Total, S.A., Total Gas & Power, Ltd., Aaron Hall, and Therese Tran f/k/a Nguyen*, 183 FERC ¶ 61,189 (June 14, 2023) ("*TGPNA Presiding Officer Reassignment Order*").

¹¹⁷ *Iroquois Gas Transmission Sys., L.P.*, 178 FERC ¶ 61,200 (2022) (*Iroquois Certificate Order*).

- ▶ On June 17, 2022, in accordance with the *Iroquois Certificate Order*, Iroquois submitted its Implementation Plan, documenting how it will comply with the FERC's Certificate conditions.
- ▶ In its February 8, 2024 monthly status report, Iroquois indicated that it is still awaiting issuance of air permits from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. Iroquois has not yet requested or received authorization to commence construction; accordingly, no construction activities were undertaken in January 2024 and no construction was planned for January.

XV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No activities to report.

XVI. Federal Courts

The following are matters of interest, including petitions for review of FERC decisions in NEPOOL-related proceedings, that are currently pending before the federal courts (unless otherwise noted, the cases are before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ("DC Circuit")). An "***" following the Case No. indicates that NEPOOL has intervened or is a litigant in the appeal. The remaining matters are appeals as to which NEPOOL has no organizational interest but that may be of interest to Participants. For further information on any of these proceedings, please contact Pat Gerity (860-275-0533; pmgerity@daypitney.com).

- **Order 2023 (23-1282 (AEU); 23-1284 (MISO); 23-1289 (PacificCorp); 23-1293 (FPL); 23-1297 (SPP); 23-1299 (PJM); 23-1305 (FirstEnergy); 23-1310 (NYISO); 23-1312 (Dominion); 23-1313 (Exelon); 23-1320 (MISO TOs); 23-1327 (Avangrid); 23-1330 (Central Hudson); 23-1346 (PacifiCorp)) (consolidated)**
Underlying FERC Proceeding: RM22-14¹¹⁸

Petitioners: AEU et al.

Status: Being Held In Abeyance; Motions to Govern Future Proceedings Due Apr 16, 2024

Several Petitioners have challenged *Order 2023*. Those challenges have now been consolidated, with the AEU docket (23-1282) as the lead docket. Most recently, on February 15, 2024, the FERC asked that the consolidated cases continue to be held in abeyance pending further order of the court. In response, on February 20, 2024, the Court granted the request for continued abeyance, directing the parties to file motions to govern future proceedings in these consolidated proceedings by **April 16, 2024**.

- **Order 2222 Compliance Orders (23-1167, 23-1168, 23-1169, 23-1170, 23-1335)(consolidated)**
Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER22-983¹¹⁹

Petitioners: Eversource, ISO-NE, National Grid, and CMP/UI

Status: Being Held In Abeyance

On June 30, 2023, ISO-NE (23-1168), CMP/UI (23-1170), Eversource (23-1167), and National Grid (23-1169) petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's orders related to the FERC's *Order 2222 Compliance Orders*.¹²⁰ On July 3, 2023, the Court consolidated the cases, with Case No. 23-1667 as the lead case.

¹¹⁸ *Improvements to Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements*, 184 FERC ¶ 61,054 (July 28, 2023) ("*Order 2023*"); 184 FERC ¶ 62,163 (Sep. 28, 2023) (Notice of Denial of Rehearing by Operation of Law).

¹¹⁹ *ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm.*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,137 (Mar. 1, 2023) ("*Order 2222 Compliance Order*"); *ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool Participants Comm.*, 183 FERC ¶ 62,050 (May 1, 2023) ("*Order 2222 Compliance Allegheny Notice*", and together with the *Order 2222 Compliance Order*, the "*Order 2222 Compliance Orders*").

¹²⁰ In response to the region's *Order 2222 Changes*, the FERC directed a number of revisions and additional compliance and informational filings to be filed within 30, 60 or 180 days of the *Order 2222 Compliance Order*, as described in previous Reports. When filed, the Filing Parties stated that the *Order 2222 Changes* create a pathway for Distributed Energy Resource Aggregations ("DERAs") to participate in the New England Markets by: creating new, and modifying existing, market participation models for DERA use; establishing eligibility requirements for DERA participation (including size, location, information and data requirements); setting bidding parameters for

On July 24, 2023, the FERC moved to have the consolidated cases held in abeyance pending the issuance of the Commission's further order on rehearing. The Court granted that motion on July 27, 2023, with the case to be held in abeyance pending further order of the Court. Since the last Report, the Court granted the FEC's latest motion to continue to hold cases in abeyance, directing (i) the FERC to file status reports at 60-day intervals beginning on **April 8, 2024**; and (ii) the parties to file motions to govern future proceedings within 14 days of the expiration of the period for filing a petition for review of the FERC's forthcoming order on reh'g of its November 2023 order in ER22-983.

- **Seabrook Dispute Order (23-1094, 23-1215) (consolidated)**
Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL21-6, EL 23-3¹²¹
Petitioner: NextEra Energy Resources, LLC and NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC
Status: Oral Argument Held Feb 6, 2024; Case Pending Before the Court

On April 4, 2023, NextEra Energy Resources, LLC and NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC (collectively, "NextEra") petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's orders related to the Seabrook Dispute.¹²² NextEra subsequently petitioned the Court for review of the June 15, 2023 *Seabrook Dispute Allegheny Order*, which was consolidated with Case No. 23-1094. Briefing is completed. Oral argument was heard on February 6, 2024 by Judges Millett, Katsas and Rao. This matter is pending before the Court.

DERAs; requiring metering and telemetry arrangements for DERAs and individual Distributed Energy Resources ("DERs"); and providing for coordination with distribution utilities and relevant electric retail regulatory authorities ("RERRAs") for DERA/DER registration, operations, and dispute resolution purposes.

¹²¹ *NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC and NECEC Transmission LLC and Avangrid, Inc. v. NextEra Energy Resources, LLC and NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC*, 182 FERC ¶ 61,044 (Feb. 1, 2023) ("*Seabrook Dispute Order*"), reh'g denied by operation of law, *NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC et al.*, 183 FERC ¶ 62,001 (Apr. 3, 2023) ("*Seabrook Dispute Allegheny Notice*"); *NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC et al.*, 183 FERC ¶ 61,196 (June 15, 2023) ("*Seabrook Dispute Allegheny Order*").

¹²² In the Seabrook Dispute Order, the FERC (i) both denied and granted in part the Seabrook Complaint; (ii) dismissed the Seabrook Declaratory Order Petition; and (iii) directed Seabrook to replace the Seabrook Station breaker pursuant to its obligations under the Seabrook LGIA and Good Utility Practice. Specifically, the FERC denied the Seabrook Complaint in part because it found that Avangrid had "not shown that Seabrook is obligated to replace the breaker due to Seabrook failing to meet certain open access obligations or because Seabrook has failed to comply with Schedule 25 of the ISO-NE Tariff". However, the FERC found that, "under Seabrook's LGIA, Seabrook may not refuse to replace the breaker because it is needed for reliable operation of Seabrook Station and required by Good Utility Practice" and thus, given the specific facts and circumstances in the record, granted the Seabrook Complaint in part. With respect to cost issues, the FERC agreed with Avangrid that, in this case, Seabrook should not recover opportunity costs (e.g. lost profits, lost revenues, and foregone Pay for Performance ("PFP") bonuses) or legal costs. In dismissing the Declaratory Order Petition, the FERC noted that the issues raised in the Petition were addressed in the Seabrook Dispute Order, that additional findings were unnecessary, and thus exercised its discretion to not take action on, and to dismiss, the Petition. The breaker replacement is currently expected to take place during the Fall 2024 refueling outage and the commercial operation date for the NECEC Project is December 2024. Seabrook plans to file an agreement governing installation at the earlier of 30 days prior to delivery of the breaker or 120 days prior to the start of the Fall 2024 outage. The FERC noted its expectation that such an agreement would resolve whatever remaining issues exist between the parties to allow replacement of the breaker to move forward during the 2024 outage, or if not, an unexecuted agreement would be filed.

- **Mystic II (ROE & True-Up)**
(21-1198; 21-1222, 21-1223, 21-1224, 22-1001, 22-1008, 22-1026) (consolidated)
Underlying FERC Proceeding: EL18-1639-010, -011,¹²³ -013¹²⁴ -017¹²⁵
Petitioners: Mystic, CT Parties,¹²⁶ MA AG, ENECOS

Status: Being Held in Abeyance; Motions to Govern Future Proceedings Due Apr 24, 2024

This case was initiated when, on October 8, 2021, Mystic petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC's orders setting the base ROE for the Mystic COS Agreement at 9.33%. The *Mystic ROE Order* and subsequent FERC orders addressing the Mystic ROE issues have all also been appealed by various parties and consolidated under 21-1198. Docketing Statements and Statements of Issues to be Raised, and the Underlying Decision from which the various appeals arise have been filed as new dockets have been opened and then consolidated with 21-1198. As previously reported, the Certified Index to the Record was due, and filed by the FERC, on February 22, 2022. On March 10, 2022, MMWEC and NHEC filed a notice of intent to participate in support of FERC in Case Nos. 21-1198, 22-1008, and 22-1026 and in support of Petitioners in the remaining consolidated cases, and filed a statement of issues. On March 17, 2022, CT Parties moved to intervene, and those interventions were granted on May 4, 2022.

As previously reported, on July 8, 2022, Connecticut Parties and ENECOS jointly moved to hold these proceedings in abeyance until 30 days after the DC Circuit issued an opinion in *MISO Transmission Owners v. FERC*, 16-1325 ("*MISO TOs*"). They requested abeyance on the basis that the consolidated petitions in this proceeding and *MISO TOs* both involve challenges to the FERC's ROE methodology (the FERC set the ROE used in calculating Constellation's rates using the methodology challenged in *MISO TOs*). Although Constellation opposed the abeyance request, the Court granted the abeyance request on July 27, 2022, directing the Parties to file motions to govern future proceedings within 30 days of the court's disposition of *MISO TOs*. The Court has since decided *MISO TOs*. However, the parties continue to agree that this case should remain in abeyance pending further proceedings related to *MISO TOs*, now on remand at the FERC. Most recently, on January 24, 2024, Constellation reported that all parties agree and asked the Court that this case should remain in abeyance for an additional 90 days pending FERC action on remand in the *MISO TOs* case. On January 25, 2024, the Court issued an order that these cases remain in abeyance and that the parties file motions to govern future proceedings by **April 24, 2024**.

- **CASPR (20-1333, 21-1031) (consolidated)****
Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER18-619¹²⁷
Petitioners: Sierra Club, NRDC, RENEW Northeast, and CLF
Status: Being Held in Abeyance

As previously reported, the Sierra Club, NRDC, RENEW Northeast, and CLF petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals on August 31, 2020 for review of the FERC's order accepting ISO-NE's CASPR revisions and the FERC's subsequent *CASPR Allegheny Order*. Appearances, docketing statements, a statement of issues to be raised, and a statement of intent to utilize deferred joint appendix were filed. A motion by the FERC to dismiss the case was

¹²³ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 176 FERC ¶ 61,019 (July 15, 2021) ("*Mystic ROE Order*"); *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 176 FERC ¶ 62,127 (Sep. 13, 2021) ("*September 13 Notice*") (Notice of Denial By Operation of Law of Rehearings of Mystic ROE Order).

¹²⁴ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 178 FERC ¶ 61,116 (Feb. 18, 2022) ("*Mystic ROE Second Allegheny Order*"); *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 178 FERC ¶ 62,028 (Jan. 18, 2022) ("*January 18 Notice*") (Notice of Denial By Operation of Law of Rehearings of Mystic ROE Second Allegheny Order).

¹²⁵ *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 179 FERC ¶ 61,011 (Apr. 28, 2022) ("*Mystic First CapEx Info. Filing Order*"); *Constellation Mystic Power, LLC*, 179 FERC ¶ 62,179 (June 27, 2022) ("*June 27 Notice*") (Notice of Denial By Operation of Law of Rehearings of *Mystic First CapEx Info. Filing Order*).

¹²⁶ In this appeal, "CT Parties" are the CT PURA CT PURA, Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection ("CT DEEP"), and the CT OCC.

¹²⁷ *ISO New England Inc.*, 162 FERC ¶ 61,205 (Mar. 9, 2018) ("*CASPR Order*").

dismissed as moot by the Court, referred to the merits panel (Judges Pillard, Katsas and Walker), and is to be addressed by the parties in their briefs.

Petitioners have moved to hold this matter in abeyance now four times. In the most recent request (filed March 1, 2024) (fourth abeyance request), Petitioners ask the Court to hold this matter in abeyance until March 1, 2026 “in light of the continued delay of the revisions to its capacity market that ISO New England previously asserted were a predicate to eliminating the market impediment that is the subject of the underlying claims before the Court”. Petitioners request for continued abeyance is pending before the Court.

- **Opinion 531-A Compliance Filing Undo (20-1329)**

Underlying FERC Proceeding: ER15-414¹²⁸

Petitioners: TOs’ (CMP et al.)

Status: Being Held in Abeyance

On August 28, 2020, the TOs¹²⁹ petitioned the DC Circuit Court of Appeals for review of the FERC’s October 6, 2017 order rejecting the TOs’ filing that sought to reinstate their transmission rates to those in place prior to the FERC’s orders later vacated by the DC Circuit’s *Emera Maine*¹³⁰ decision. On September 22, 2020, the FERC submitted an unopposed motion to hold this proceeding in abeyance for four months to allow for the Commission to “a future order on petitioners’ request for rehearing of the order challenged in this appeal, and the rate proceeding in which the challenged order was issued remains ongoing before the Commission.” On October 2, 2020, the Court granted the FERC’s motion, and directed the parties to file motions to govern future proceedings in this case by February 2, 2021. On January 25, 2021, the FERC requested that the Court continue to hold this petition for review in abeyance for an additional three months, with parties to file motions to govern future proceedings at the end of that period. The FERC requested continued abeyance because of its intention to issue a future order on petitioners’ request for rehearing of the order challenged in this appeal, and the rate proceeding in which the challenged order was issued remains ongoing before the FERC. Petitioners consented to the requested abeyance. On February 11, 2021, the Court issued an order that that this case remain in abeyance pending further order of the court. On April 21, 2021, the FERC filed an unopposed motion for continued abeyance of this case *because* the Commission intends to issue a future order on Petitioners’ request for rehearing of the challenged Order Rejecting Compliance Filing, and because the remand proceeding in which the challenged order was issued remains ongoing.

On May 4, 2021, the Court ordered that this case remain in abeyance pending further order of the Court, directing the FERC to file a status report by September 1, 2021 and at 120-day intervals thereafter. The parties were directed to file motions to govern future proceedings in this case within 30 days of the completion of agency proceedings. The FERC’s last status report, indicating that the proceedings before the Commission remain ongoing and that this appeal should continue to remain in abeyance, was filed on November 28, 2023.

¹²⁸ *ISO New England Inc.*, 161 FERC ¶ 61,031 (Oct. 6, 2017) (“*Order Rejecting Filing*”).

¹²⁹ The “TOs” are CMP; Eversource Energy Service Co., on behalf of its affiliates CL&P, NSTAR and PSNH; National Grid; New Hampshire Transmission; UI; Unitil and Fitchburg; VTransco; and Versant Power.

¹³⁰ *Emera Maine v. FERC*, 854 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2017) (“*Emera Maine*”).

Other Federal Court Activity of Interest

- ***Northern Access Project (22-1233)***
Underlying FERC Proceeding: ***CP15-115***¹³¹
Petitioner: Sierra Club

Status: Oral Argument Held Sep 18, 2023; Awaiting Decision

On September 6, 2022, the Sierra Club petitioned the DC Circuit for review of *Northern Access Project Add'l Extension Order*. Briefing is complete. Oral argument before Judges Henderson, Pan and Rogers was held on September 18, 2023. This matter is pending before the Court.

¹³¹ *National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. and Empire Pipeline, Inc.*, 179 FERC ¶ 61,226 (June 29, 2022) (“*Northern Access Project Add'l Extension Order*”).

INDEX
Status Report of Current Regulatory and Legal Proceedings
as of March 5, 2024

I. Complaints/Section 206 Proceedings

206 Proceeding: ISO Market Power Mitigation Rules	(EL23-62).....	1
Base ROE Complaints I-IV	(EL11-66, EL13-33; EL14-86; EL16-64).....	2
RENEW Network Upgrades O&M Cost Allocation Complaint.....	(EL23-16).....	2

II. Rate, ICR, FCA, Cost Recovery Filings

FCA18 Results Filing	(ER24-1290).....	5
Mystic 8/9 Cost of Service Agreement.....	(ER18-1639).....	6
Mystic 30-Day Compliance Filing per Order on ENECOS Mystic COSA Complaint.....	(ER23-1735).....	9
Mystic Allegheny Order Addressing ENECOS' Request for Reh'g of <i>Order on Remand Modification Order</i>	(ER18-1639-026).....	7
Mystic Revised ROE (Sixth) Compliance Filing	(ER18-1639-014).....	8
Mystic Second CapEx Info Filing.....	(ER18-1639-018).....	6
Mystic Third CapEx Info Filing	(ER18-1639-000).....	6
RENEW Network Upgrades O&M Cost Allocation Complaint.....	(EL23-16).....	2
Transmission Rate Annual (2022-23) Update/Informational Filing.....	(ER09-1532).....	10
Transmission Rate Annual (2024) Update/Informational Filing	(ER20-2054).....	9
Versant MPD OATT 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement.....	(ER20-1977-005).....	10
Versant MPD OATT 2023 Annual Update Settlement Agreement.....	(ER20-1977-006).....	10

***III. Market Rule and Information Policy Changes,
Interpretations and Waiver Requests***

206 Proceeding: ISO-NE Market Power Mitigation Rules	(EL23-62).....	1
FRM Offer Cap and Data Publication Timeline Changes	(ER24-1245).....	11
ISO/RTO Credit-Related Information Sharing	(ER24-138).....	12
New England's <i>Order 2222</i> Compliance Filings.....	(ER22-983).....	12
Waiver Request: Interconnection Req. Deposit Refund Deadline) (Moscow Dev. Co.).....	(ER24-1295).....	11
Waiver Request: Withdrawal from IEP and Return of IEP Net Revenues Received (Canal Marketing/Canal 3)	(ER24-1407).....	11

IV. OATT Amendments/Coordination Agreements

Att. F App. A PBOP Collections Report (Avangrid (CMP/UI))	(ER24-774; ER24-775).....	14
Att. F App. D Depreciation Rate Changes (FG&E)	(ER24-684).....	14
RENEW Network Upgrades O&M Cost Allocation Complaint.....	(EL23-16).....	2

V. Financial Assurance/Billing Policy Amendments

FCM Delivery FA Calculation Changes	(ER24-661).....	14
---	-----------------	----

VI. Schedule 20/21/22/23 Updates & Agreements

Schedule 21-GMP: National Grid/Green Mountain Power LSA.....	(ER23-2804).....	15
Schedule 21-VP: 2022 Annual Update Settlement Agreement.....	(ER20-2054-003).....	16
Schedule 21-VP: Versant/Black Bear LSAs	(ER23-2035).....	16
Schedule 21-VP: Versant/Jonesboro LSA.....	(ER24-24).....	15

VII. NEPOOL Agreement/Participants Agreement Amendments

No Activities to Report

VIII. Regional Reports

Capital Projects Report - 2023 Q4..... (ER24-1229) 16
 IMM Quarterly Markets Reports – Fall 2023 (ZZ24-5)..... 18
 Interconnection Study Metrics Processing Time Exceedance Report Q4 2023..... (ER19-1951) 17
 Transmission Projects Annual Informational Filing (ER13-193)..... 17

IX. Membership Filings

Feb 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-1062)..... 18
 Jan 2024 Membership Filing..... (ER24-769) 18
 March 2024 Membership Filing (ER24-1369)..... 18
 Suspension Notice – Paper Birch Energy, LLC..... (not docketed)..... 18

X. Misc. - ERO Rules, Filings; Reliability Standards

CIP Standards Development: Info. Filings on Virtualization and
 Cloud Computing Services Projects (RD20-2)..... 20
 NERC Cold Weather Data Collection Plan (RD23-1-002) 20
 Revised Reliability Standard: CIP-012-2..... (RD24-3)..... 19
 Revised Reliability Standard: PRC-023-6 (RD23-5)..... 19
 Revised Reliability Standards: EOP-011-4 and TOP-002-5 (RD24-1)..... 19
 RTO Recommendations for Gas-Electric Coordination (not docketed)..... 20

XI. Misc. Regional Interest

203 Application: Energy Harbor/Vistra..... (EC23-74)..... 21
 203 Application: Three Corners Solar/Three Corners Prime Tenant..... (EC23-90)..... 20
 CMP ESF Rate (ER24-1177)..... 21
 D&E Agreement 2d Amendment: NSTAR/Park City Wind..... (ER24-747) 23
 E&P Agreement 2d Amendment: Seabrook/NECEC Transmission..... (ER24-508) 23
 Facilities Support Agreement – NSTAR/Hingham..... (ER24-1175)..... 22
 IA Cancellation Versant / PERC..... (ER24-965)..... 22
 LGIA – ISO-NE/NSTAR/MMWEC..... (ER24-1238)..... 21
 RFA – PSNH/NECEC..... (ER24-1210)..... 21
 Viridon Incentive Rate Treatments (ER24-771)..... 22

XII. Misc: Administrative & Rulemaking Proceedings

ACPA Petition for Capacity Accreditation Technical Conference (AD23-10)..... 23
 Joint Federal-State Task Force on Electric Transmission..... (AD21-15)..... 24
 NOPR: EQR Filing Process and Data Collection (RM23-9)..... 25
 NOPR: Transmission Planning and Allocation and Generator Interconnection (RM21-17)..... 28
 NOPR: Transmission Siting..... (RM22-7)..... 27
 Order 2023: Interconnection Reforms..... (RM22-14)..... 25
 Reliability Technical Conference (AD23-9)..... 23
 RTO/ISOs Common Performance Metrics (AD19-16)..... 24

XIII. FERC Enforcement Proceedings

Ketchup Caddy/ Phillip Mango (MISO DR Program Violations) (IN23-14)..... 29
 Rover Pipeline, LLC and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (CPCN Show Cause Order)..... (IN19-4)..... 30
 Rover and ETP (Tuscarawas River HDD Show Cause Order) (IN17-4) 30
 Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. (IN12-17)..... 31

XIV. Natural Gas Proceedings

New England Pipeline Proceedings..... 32
 Iroquois ExC Project (CP20-48)..... 32

XV. State Proceedings & Federal Legislative Proceedings

No activities to Report

XVI. Federal Courts

CASPR	20-1333	(DC Cir.)... 35
Mystic II (ROE & True-Up)	21-1198	(DC Cir.)... 35
Northern Access Project	22-1233	(DC Cir.)... 2
<i>Opinion 531-A</i> Compliance Filing Undo.....	20-1329	(DC Cir.)... 36
<i>Order 2023</i>	23-1282 et al....	(DC Cir.)... 33
<i>Order 2222</i> Compliance Orders	23-1167 et al....	(DC Cir.)... 33
Seabrook Dispute Order	23-1094	(DC Cir.)... 34