

FINAL

A special meeting of the NEPOOL Participants Committee was held on Wednesday, October 15, 2014 at The Colonnade Hotel, Boston, MA. A quorum determined in accordance with the Second Restated NEPOOL Agreement was present and acting throughout the meeting. Attachment 1 identifies the members, alternates, and temporary alternates who attended or participated in the meeting.

Mr. Joel Gordon, Chair, presided and Mr. David Doot, Secretary, recorded. Mr. Gordon welcomed the members, alternates and guests who were present.

MITIGATION RULES FOR NEW IMPORT CAPACITY RESOURCES

Ms. Allison DiGrande, Markets Committee Chair, referred the Committee to and summarized the materials circulated and posted in advance of the meeting regarding revisions to Market Rule 1 and Appendix A to Market Rule 1, which were proposed by the ISO Internal Market Monitor (IMM) in response to the FERC's September 16 order in Docket No. EL14-99 (Show Cause Order). She explained that the IMM's proposed Market Rule changes would identify and potentially mitigate any market power reflected in FCM offers to import capacity in the next Forward Capacity Auctions (FCA). She reported that, at its October 8 meeting, the Markets Committee considered and provided feedback on the IMM's initial proposal, but did not vote on that proposal because the notice requirements for such a vote were not satisfied. She said that, in response to feedback received at the Markets Committee, the IMM modified its proposal, and materials reflecting those modifications had been circulated and posted with the Participants Committee meeting materials.

Mr. Jeffrey McDonald, the ISO's IMM, thanked NEPOOL members for working with the IMM during the short timeline dictated by the FERC and then explained the modified proposal

and the IMM's plans for after FCA9. He explained that the proposal reflects a pivotal supplier test for New Import Capacity Resources for FCA9. He offered to provide further information and explanation of the IMM proposal at the next Markets Committee meeting. In response to concerns that there be an opportunity following FCA9 to review the effectiveness of the proposal, he committed to participate in such a discussion at a regularly-scheduled Markets Committee meeting shortly after completion of FCA9.

Mr. Bob Laurita, from the IMM office, then proceeded to describe the changes proposed by the IMM since the October 8 Markets Committee meeting. He referred to and summarized a number of hypothetical examples that had been reflected in a memorandum circulated and posted the prior evening, and clarified information in response to questions.

Following the IMM presentation, a number of members expressed appreciation to the IMM for responding as it had to the Show Cause Order and its willingness to improve the pivotal supplier test in response to stakeholder feedback. Others expressed appreciation and support for the IMM's commitment to revisit the mitigation treatment of Import Capacity Resources after FCA9. Given the inability under the compressed schedule to fully understand the IMM's proposal and its impacts on FCA9, many members indicated that they would abstain from any vote on that proposal.

The following motion was duly made and seconded with respect to the IMM proposal:

RESOLVED, that the Participants Committee supports the revisions to Market Rule 1 and Appendix A to Market Rule 1, as recommended by the IMM in response to the FERC's September 16, 2014 order in Docket No. EL14-99, and as circulated to this Committee in advance of this meeting, together with any changes agreed to by the Participants Committee at this meeting and such non-substantive changes as may be approved by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Markets Committee.

After a discussion of the IMM proposal, but before consideration of the main motion, the Committee considered four motions to amend the main motion, all sponsored by Brookfield Energy Marketing (Brookfield).

Brookfield Amendment 1 (Price Quantity Pairs and Partial Withdrawal)

A motion was duly made and seconded to amend the main motion so as to allow New Import Capacity Resources (i) to submit up to five price-quantity pairs for IMM consideration, as opposed to a single requested floor price, and (ii) to partially withdraw from the auction, rather than be permitted only to wholly withdraw (Brookfield Amendment 1). The Brookfield representative explained that, without its proposed amendment to the IMM proposal, New Import Capacity Resources would only be permitted to offer one price-quantity pair to the IMM for its consideration. He opined that permitting five price-quantity pairs to be submitted for IMM consideration would be consistent with the treatment of existing generating resources under the current market mitigation rules, and would enable New Import Capacity Resources to provide more information to the IMM than otherwise contemplated by the IMM proposal. He explained that Brookfield Amendment 1 would also allow New Import Capacity Resources to provide and justify different offers for various capacity offered into the market, which would not be possible under the IMM proposal.

Mr. Laurita stated that the IMM could not support Brookfield Amendment 1 because the ISO was not in a position to accommodate multiple price-quantity pairs in FCA9 for import capacity resources. Further, he explained that, even if the IMM was then physically able to accept multiple price-quantity pairs in its system, it would not have sufficient time for an adequate review of so many offers from New Import Capacity Resources. He explained also that the IMM saw no reason to permit partial withdrawal of offers after they were submitted.

The Brookfield representative responded in part that the proposed amendment was simply to allow importers to provide more information to the IMM to justify their offers that the IMM would be able to evaluate in deciding whether an importer is associated with a Pivotal Supplier.

Following final comments by the Brookfield representative, the Committee considered and approved Brookfield Amendment 1 with a 62.02% Vote in favor, with many abstentions registered (Generation Sector – 17.17%; Transmission Sector – 17.17%; Supplier Sector – 17.17%; Alternative Resources Sector – 7.08%; Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 0%; and End User Sector – 3.43%) (See “Brookf’ld Amend. 1” on Attachment 2).

Brookfield Amendment 2 (Reconfiguration Auction and Bilateral Transaction Eligibility of Withdrawn Capacity)

A second motion was duly made and seconded to amend the main motion so as to revise Market Rule 1 Section III.13.1.3.5.7 to allow Qualified Capacity, withdrawn from FCA9, to be eligible to participate in Reconfiguration Auctions and Bilateral Transactions (Brookfield Amendment 2). Members asked clarifying questions and commented on Brookfield Amendment 2.

Members opposing the motion to amend expressed concern that it would allow New Import Capacity Resources that fail to provide cost information to support their offers in the FCA to continue to participate in the capacity market. Following discussion among members, the IMM indicated that it shared the concerns voiced with Brookfield Amendment 2 and could not support that Amendment without additional time to examine how such participation could impact the capacity market.

The Committee then considered and, by a show of hands, voted and failed to approve Brookfield Amendment 2.

Brookfield Amendment 3 (Submittal Deadline Extension)

A third motion to amend the main motion was duly made and seconded that would revise Market Rule 1 Section III.13.1.3.5.6 to extend by one week, to November 14, 2014, the deadline for submitting the request and cost information described in Sections III.13.1.1.2.2.3 and III.A.21.2 (Brookfield Amendment 3). The Brookfield representative explained that the less than three weeks under the IMM Proposal for New Import Capacity Resources to provide the required information to the IMM was too short to assemble the necessary information, while at the same time providing the IMM five weeks to review information that importers were able to assemble and provide. The Brookfield representative argued that Brookfield Amendment 3 would provide New Import Capacity Resources a small amount of additional time to compile their cost information submissions, without significantly reducing the time the IMM has to review those submissions. Although the IMM acknowledged the tight deadline under which the New Import Capacity Resources would be working for FCA9, the IMM said that Brookfield Amendment 3 did not provide the IMM enough time to adequately review and understand submitted cost information.

The Committee then considered and, by a show of hands, voted and failed to approve Brookfield Amendment 3.

Brookfield Amendment 4 (Pivotal Supplier Test)

A fourth and final motion to amend the main motion was duly made and seconded so as to revise Market Rule 1, Appendix A Section III.A.21.2 to add New Generating Capacity Resources and New Demand Resources to the deduction from ICR Resources in the calculation of system need (Brookfield Amendment 4). The Brookfield representative then referred to

Brookfield's proposed Tariff language and explained the details of this amendment to the Committee.

In discussion concerning this amendment, some members commented that Brookfield Amendment 4's modified calculation should be applied to all resources, not just New Import Capacity Resources as proposed by Brookfield, and that a broader assessment of this issue should be considered at a later date and in a subsequent process. The IMM indicated that Brookfield Amendment 4 would require a review that would fall outside the scope of the FERC's Show Cause Order, and stated that it could not support the amendment at that time.

The Committee then considered and, by a show of hands, voted and failed to approve Brookfield Amendment 4.

Once-Amended Main Motion

Following additional comments, the Committee considered and approved the once-amended main motion with a 83.24% Vote in favor and many abstentions registered (Generation Sector – 17.17%; Transmission Sector – 17.17% ; Supplier Sector – 17.17%; Alternative Resources Sector – 14.16%; Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 0.40%; and End User Sector – 17.17%). (See “Amended Tariff Revisions” on Attachment 2).

Unamended IMM Proposal

The ISO requested consideration of and a vote on the IMM's unamended proposal, as reflected in the original main motion. In response, the unamended main motion was again duly made, seconded and voted, with the Committee also supporting the IMM proposal with a 79.40% Vote in favor, this vote with even more abstentions registered (Generation Sector – 17.17%; Transmission Sector – 17.17%; Supplier Sector – 0%; Alternative Resources Sector – 14.16%;

Publicly Owned Entity Sector – 17.17%; and End User Sector – 13.73%). (See “IMM Tariff Revisions” on Attachment 2).

Following the voting, Mr. Sebastian Lombardi, NEPOOL Counsel, summarized that the Committee supported both the once-amended proposal and the unamended IMM proposal. In response to a member’s question concerning the significance of this outcome, Mr. Doot explained that the FERC had previously concluded that NEPOOL did not have a jump ball right with respect to Market Rule changes that the Commission required the ISO to submit as a compliance filing as a result of the Commission’s exercise of its authority under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. The ISO explained that it would be submitting the IMM proposal compliance filing in response to the Show Cause Order and not as a Section 205 filing. Mr. Doot indicated that, if that ISO filing was properly submitted in that way, the ISO would understandably take the position that the alternative NEPOOL-approved Market Rule changes would not be submitted as a jump ball filing. In any event, though, Mr. Doot explained that NEPOOL Counsel would ensure that amendments approved by NEPOOL would be filed with the FERC, along with a full explanation of the stakeholder process and resulting votes, including noting the large number of abstentions and reasons stated by the members for those abstentions. Mr. Raymond Hepper, ISO General Counsel, said that ISO would do its best to describe the once-amended main motion in its October 16 filing to respond to the Show Cause Order.

Mr. Gordon thanked Committee members and guests and the IMM for working through this challenging issue over such an abbreviated process, and indicated members were looking forward to future discussions on the IMM proposal with the benefit of more time and experience.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Gordon reminded the Committee that the next regularly-scheduled meeting would be held November 7, 2014 at the Hilton Boston Logan Airport Hotel and reminded members to submit by the end of the week their officers proposed agenda items for the Sector meetings with the ISO Board and New England State representatives.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David T. Doot, Secretary

**MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES PARTICIPATING IN
OCTOBER 15, 2014 SPECIAL PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Boylston Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
BP Energy Company	Supplier			Nancy Chafetz (tel)
Braintree Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Brookfield Energy Marketing/Cross-Sound Cable (CSC)	Supplier	Aleksandar Mitreski	Jose Rotger	
Calpine Energy Services, LP	Supplier	John Flumerfelt	Brett Kruse (tel)	
Central Maine Power Company	Transmission	Eric Stinneford (tel)		
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Concord Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Conn. Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative	Publicly Owned	Brian Forshaw		
Connecticut., State of, Office of Consumer Counsel	End User	Elin Katz (tel)	Joe Rosenthal (tel)	David Thompson (tel)
Conservation Services Group	AR			Doug Hurley (tel)
Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc.	Supplier			Jose Rotger
Dominion Energy Marketing, Inc.	Generation			Wes Walker (tel)
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	Supplier			Nancy Chafetz (tel)
Dynegy Marketing and Trade, LLC	Supplier			William Fowler
Emera Maine	Transmission	Jeff Jones (tel)	Stacy Dimou (tel)	
Energy America, LLC	Supplier			Nancy Chafetz (tel)
EnerNOC, Inc.	AR		Greg Geller	John Keene
Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing, Inc.	Generation			William Fowler
EquiPower Resources Management, LLC	Generation		William Fowler	
Essential Power, LLC	Generation		William Fowler	
Exelon Generation Company	Supplier	Steve Kirk	William Fowler	
First Wind Energy Marketing, Inc.	AR	John Keene		Bob Stein
Galt Power, Inc.	Supplier	Nancy Chafetz (tel)		
GDF SUEZ Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	Generation	Thomas Kaslow		
Generation Group Member	Generation			Robert Stein
Georgetown Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Granite Ridge/Energy, LLC	Supplier		William Fowler	
Groton Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Groveland Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.) Inc.	Supplier	Louis Guilbault (tel)	Robert Stein	
Harvard Dedicated Energy Limited	End User			Sarah Jackson (tel)
Hess Corporation	Supplier			Nancy Chafetz (tel)
High Liner Foods (USA) Incorporated	End User		William P. Short III	
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Holden Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Holyoke Gas & Electric Department	Publicly Owned			Gary Will
Hudson Light and Power Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Industrial Energy Consumer Group	End User	Don Sipe (tel)		
Ipswich Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Integrays Energy Services Inc.	Supplier			Nancy Chafetz (tel)
Littleton (MA) Electric Light and Water Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Load Response Provisional Group Member	AR	Brad Swalwell (tel)		
Long Island Lighting Company (LIPA)	Supplier	William Killgoar (tel)		
Maine Skiing	End User	Don Sipe (tel)		
Mansfield Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Marblehead Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	

**MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES PARTICIPATING IN
OCTOBER 15, 2014 SPECIAL PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING**

PARTICIPANT NAME	SECTOR	MEMBER NAME	ALTERNATE NAME	PROXY
Massachusetts Attorney General's Office	End User	Fred Plett	Christine Belew	
Mass. Development Finance Agency	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Mass. Municipal Wholesale Electric Company	Publicly Owned	Gary Will		
Merrimac Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Middleborough Gas and Electric Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Middleton Municipal Electric Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
New England Power Company (NGRID)	Transmission		Tim Martin	
New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Publicly Owned			Brian Forshaw
New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate	End User	Paul Peterson		
NU/NSTAR	Transmission		Calvin Bowie	Joseph Staszowski (tel)
NRG Power Marketing, Inc.	Generation	Peter Fuller (tel)		
Pascoag Utility District	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Paxton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Peabody Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
PowerOptions, Inc.	End User			Sarah Jackson (tel)
Princeton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC	Supplier	Joel Gordon		Johny Lopez
Reading Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Jane Parenteau (tel)	
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Russell Municipal Light Dept.	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Shrewsbury Electric & Cable Operations	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Small Load Response Group Member	AR	Doug Hurley (tel)		
South Hadley Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Sterling Municipal Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Stowe Electric Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Taunton Municipal Light Department	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
Templeton Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
TransCanada Power Marketing Ltd.	Generation			Dan Congel (tel)
United Illuminating	Transmission		Alan Trotta	
Vermont Electric Cooperative	Publicly Owned			David Mullett
Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc.	Transmission		Mark Sciarrotta	
Vermont Energy Investment Corporation	AR		Doug Hurley (tel)	
Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	Publicly Owned	David Mullett		Brian Callnan (tel)
Wakefield Municipal Gas and Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Wallingford DPU Electric Division	Publicly Owned	Dave Cavanaugh		
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	Publicly Owned		Dave Cavanaugh	
West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	
Westfield Gas & Electric Light Department	Publicly Owned		Gary Will	

**OCTOBER 15, 2014 PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING
ROLL CALL VOTES TAKEN ON
MITIGATION RULES FOR NEW IMPORT CAPACITY RESOURCES**

TOTAL

Sector	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
GENERATION	17.17	17.17	17.17
TRANSMISSION	17.17	17.17	17.17
SUPPLIER	17.17	17.17	0.00
ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES	7.08	14.16	14.16
PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY	0.00	0.40	17.17
END USER	3.43	17.17	13.73
% IN FAVOR	62.02	83.24	79.40

GENERATION SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
Dominion Energy Marketing	A	F	F
Energy Nuclear Power Mrk'ting	F	A	A
EquiPower Res. Management	F	A	A
Essential Power, LLC	A	A	A
GDF SUEZ Energy Marketing	A	A	A
Generation Group Member	F	A	A
NRG Power Marketing	A	--	--
TransCanada Power Marketing	F	F	F
IN FAVOR (F)	4	2	2
OPPOSED (O)	0	0	0
TOTAL VOTES	4	2	2
ABSTENTIONS (A)	4	5	5

TRANSMISSION SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
Central Maine Power	F	F	F
Emera Maine	A	F	A
New England Power Company	A	A	A
The United Illuminating Co.	A	A	A
NU / NSTAR	A	A	A
Vermont Electric Power Co.	A	A	A
IN FAVOR (F)	1	2	1
OPPOSED	0	0	0
TOTAL VOTES	1	2	1
ABSTENTIONS (A)	5	4	5

ALTERNATIVE RESOURCES SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
First Wind Energy Marketing	A	A	A
Conservation Services Group	A	F	F
EnerNOC, Inc.	F	F	A
VT Energy Investment Corp.	O	A	A
LR Small Group Member	A	F	F
LR Provisional Group Member	A	F	F
IN FAVOR (F)	1	3	2
OPPOSED	1	0	0
TOTAL VOTES	2	3	2
ABSTENTIONS (A)	4	2	3

SUPPLIER SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
BP Energy Company	A	A	A
Brookfield Energy Markt'g/CSC	S	S	S
Brookfield Energy Marketing	F	F	O
Cross-Sound Cable	F	F	A
Calpine Energy Services	A	A	A
Consolidated Edison Energy	F	F	A
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	A	A	A
Dynegy Marketing and Trade	A	A	A
Energy America, LLC	A	A	A
Exelon Generation Company	F	A	A
Galt Power, Inc.	A	A	A
Granite Ridge/Merrill Lynch Commod.	A	A	A
H.Q. Energy Services (U.S.)	F	A	A
Integrus Energy Services, Inc.	A	A	A
LIPA (Long Island Lighting Co.)	A	A	A
PSEG Energy Resources & Trade	F	A	A
IN FAVOR (F)	5.0	2.0	0.0
OPPOSED	0.0	0.0	0.7
TOTAL VOTES	5.0	2.0	0.7
ABSTENTIONS (A)	9.0	12.0	13.3

**OCTOBER 15, 2014 PARTICIPANTS COMMITTEE MEETING
ROLL CALL VOTES TAKEN ON
MITIGATION RULES FOR NEW IMPORT CAPACITY RESOURCES**

END USER SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
Conn. Office of Consumer Counsel	O	A	F
Harvard Dedicated Energy Limited	O	A	A
High Liner Foods (USA) Inc.	A	F	F
Industrial Energy Consumer Group	A	F	A
Maine Skiing, Inc.	A	F	A
Mass. Attorney General's Office	F	F	O
NH Office of Consumer Advocate	O	F	F
PowerOptions, Inc.	O	F	F
IN FAVOR (F)	1	6	4
OPPOSED	4	0	1
TOTAL VOTES	5	6	5
ABSTENTIONS (A)	3	2	3

PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY SECTOR

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
Ashburnham Municipal Light Plant	O	O	F
Boylston Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Braintree Electric Light Dep't	O	O	F
Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Concord Municipal Light Plant	O	O	F
Conn. Mun. Electric Energy Coop.	O	O	F
Danvers Electric Division	O	O	F
Georgetown Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Groton Electric Light Department	O	O	F
Groveland Electric Light Dep't	O	O	F
Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Holden Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Holyoke Gas & Electric Dep't	O	O	F
Hudson Light and Power Dep't	O	O	F
Hull Municipal Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Ipswich Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Littleton (MA) Electric Light Dep't	O	O	F
Mansfield Municipal Electric Dep't	O	O	F
Marblehead Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Mass. Development Finance Agc'y	O	O	F
Mass. Mun. Wholesale. Elec. Co.	O	O	F
Merrimac Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Middleborough Gas & Elec. Dep't	O	O	F

PUBLICLY OWNED ENTITY SECTOR (cont.)

Participant Name	Brookf'ld Amend. 1	Amended Tariff revisions	IMM Tariff revisions
Middleton Municipal Electric Dep't	O	O	F
Pascoag Utility District	O	O	F
Paxton Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Peabody Municipal Light Plant	O	O	F
Princeton Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Rowley Municipal Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Russell Municipal Light Dep't	O	O	F
Shrewsbury's Elec. & Cable Ops.	O	O	F
South Hadley Electric Light Dep't	O	O	F
Sterling Mun. Elec. Light Dep't	O	O	F
Stowe (VT) Electric Department	O	O	F
Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Templeton Mun. Lighting Plant	O	O	F
VT Electric Cooperative	O	F	F
VT Public Power Supply Authority	O	A	A
Wakefield Mun. Gas & Light Dep't	O	O	F
Wallingford (CT) Div. Pub. Utils.	O	O	F
Wellesley Municipal Light Plant	O	O	F
West Boylston Mun. Lighting Plant	O	O	F
Westfield Gas & Elec. Light Dep't	O	O	F
IN FAVOR (F)	0	1	42
OPPOSED	43	41	0
TOTAL VOTES	43	42	42
ABSTENTIONS (A)	0	1	1